NOTICE

CERTAIN DATA CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO READ IN MICROFICHE PRODUCTS.

Conf-9011127-12

UCRL-IC-104425 PREPRINT

UCRL-JC--104425 DE91 006515

Stagnation and Interpenetration of Laser-Created Colliding Plasmas

JAN 2 2 1991 S. M. Pollaine, J. R. Albritton, R. Kauffman, and C. J. Keane

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Livermore, CA 94550

R. L. Berger, R. Bosch, N. D. Delameter, and B. H. Failor

KMS Fusion Ann Harbor, MI 48106

This paper was prepared for 32nd APS Annual Meeting **Division of Plasma Physics** Cincinnati, OH November 12-16, 1990

November 5, 1990

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

borator

-

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsements purposes.

Mag and

and the state of the second

. . .

32nd Annual Meeting of the Division of Plasma Physics Nov 12, 1990

Stannation and Interpenetration of Laser-Created Colliding Plasmas

S.M.Pollaine, R.Kaufman, J.R.Albritton, and D.J.Keane Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

R.L.Berger, B.H.Failor, and N.D.Delameter and R. Bach MS Fusion

<u>Abstract</u>

A KMS laser experiment collides Aluminum (A1) and Magnesium (Mg) plasmas. The measurements include electron density, time and space resolved Ly-alpha and He-alpha lines of A1 and Mg, and x-ray images. These measurements were analyzed with a hydrodynamic code, LASNEX, and a special two-fluid code OFIS¹. The results strongly suggest that at early times, the A1 interpenetrates the counterstreaming Mg and deposits in the dense Mg region. At late times, the A1 plasma stagnates against the Mg plasma.

Experiment

Two opposing disks, one Mg and one with a 1 to 2-micron thick 125-micron diameter dot of Al mounted on CH, were irradiated with 100 J of 0.53 micron light from the KMS CHROMA laser (figs. 1 and 2). The disks were separated by 400 microns, the pulse length was 0.7 to 1.3 ns, and the laser intensity was 0.5 to 1.0 \pm 10¹⁴ W/cm2. The disks were arranged so that the expanding Al plasma collided with the expanding Mg plasma in order to see the extent to which the plasmas interpenetrated each other. The experiment was monitored by x-ray pinhole cameras, an x-ray framing spectrograph, and holographic interferometry to measure electron density.

Pinhole images, shown in fig. 3, show x-ray emission reulting from the plasma collision. A gated spectrograph with an imaging slit clearly shows the Ly-alpha and He-alpha lines from H-like and He-like Al and Mg (fig. 4). Midplane ion temperatures of 10 to 20 KeV were calculated from the spectral line widths (fig. 5). The He-alpha to Ly-alpha line ratios imply that the electron temperatures increase towards the midplane to a maximum of 700 to 800 eV (fig. 6).

In this poster session we concentrate on the variation of He-alpha and Ly-alpha Al lines with distance from the Al target at 640 ps, 1060 ps and 1270 ps (fig. 7). Most of the emission comes from the initial position of the Al target. At 640 ps, one can see a prominent bump in both He-alpha and Ly-alpha emission about 50 microns from the initial Mg surface. At later times, this bump disappears and instead we see emission from the midplane, where the Al and Mg plasmas would stagnate against each other. These features are explained, at least qualitatively, by interpenetration at early times and stagnation at later times.

Modeling the Experiment

We modeled the experiment with two codes: LASNEX, which has much of the detailed physics but can only model stagnation, and OFIS, which can model both stagnation and interpenetration but lacks electron and ion conduction, radiation transport, and the process of laser absorption. Both two-dimensional and one-dimensional LASNEX simulations show that the plasmas begin to stagnate at 400 ps. Al line emission peaks strongly in the stagnation region, and as expected in a one-fluid code, no Al line emission is seen beyond the midplane. In this poster session, we concentrate on the results of OFIS because of its ability to model both the case of stagnation and the case of interpenetration.

en en la companya de la companya de

. . II

OFIS is a one-dimensional two-fluid code that allows two electron temperatures and an ion temperature but has no laser absorption, radiation transport, electron conduction or ion conduction¹. It assumes an ideal equation of state. In our simulation, two disks of pure Al separated by 600 microns expand due to a 0.145% 800 eV electron component in a 10 eV background. These parameters were selected to match the experimental value of 800 eV electron temperature and an electron density of about 7*10²⁰ /cc observed 100 microns from the midplane at 800 ps (fig. 8).

Figure 9 shows the electron and ion density profiles in the case of stagnation. In the code, the ionization has been set to 11.5, so that the electron density is just 11.5 times the ion density. Figure 10 shows the electron and ion density profiles in the case of interpenetration. The electron density is symmetric about the midplane, and is similar to what is seen in the case of stagnation except that the density spikes near the midplane are gone. The ion densities are similar to the case of stagnation within 150 microns of the initial location of the Al. However, in the case of interpenetration, no midplane density spike appears except at late times, when the plasmas are stagnating against each other. At 550 ps, a prominant density spike a, pears near the opposite plate. This spike arises from the Al plasma that penetrates the opposing stream and deposits in the dense material of the opposite plate.

The output from OFIS, consisting of electron and ion densities and temperatures as a function of time, were run through DSP, a spectroscopy postprocessor developed by C.Keane, R.Lee and J.Grandy². DSP calculates the Al populations for 10 H-like levels, 19 He-like levels, 25 Li-like levels, and 11 other ionization states based on non-LTE rate equations. It then calculates the opacities and emissivities in up to 2000 photon bins based on the level populations. Figures 11 and 12 show the spatial variation of emission in the He-alpha and Ly-alpha lines in the case of stagnation and interpenetration, respectively. As expected, in the case of stagnation, a big spike in emission comes from the stagnation region near the midplane with no emission beyond that. In the case of interpenetration, at t = 650 ps there is a strong spike in emission coming from the opposite plate that becomes less prominant at later times. At 950 ps, one can ser increased emission coming from stagnation at the midplane. These figures are to be compared with figure 7, which shows the experimental results.

Discussion and Conclusions

The details of how the interpenetrating ions are slowed down and captured in the dense counterstreaming plasma are not done correctly. Thus the calculations of level populations and line emission from the ions as they stagnate and termalize are qualitative at best. As can be seen in figure 13, the Al ions are mostly fully stripped as they interpenetrate the opposing stream of ions. As they slow down and thermalize, both the colder electron temperature and the higher electron density they encounter decrease the ionization state of the Al ions and they emit He-alpha and Ly-alpha lines. Evidence of this is seen in the experiment. We conclude that our experiment and calculations strongly suggests that at early times, the Al plasma penetrates the counterstreaming Mg plasma and deposits in the dense regions. At late times, the Al plasma stagnates against the Mg plasma. We are now improving our codes, and hope to do an improved interpenetrating experiment on the NOVA laser.

References

- R.Berger, J.R.Albritton, and C.J.Randall, "Stopping and Thermalization of Interpenetrating Plasma Streams", 1986 Laser Program Annual Report, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, pp. 2-41 to 2-49.
- C.J.Keane, R.W.Lee, and J.P. Grandy, "Detailed K-shell Spectroscopy Postprocessor (DSP)", International Workshop on the Radiative Properties of Hot Dense Matter, Sarasota, Florida, Oct. 22-26, 1990.

2022 Sw2 Division 2015-Cheinaction O his Thirty - Second Annuel matery of the and Haterperetration of LLNL 4 الديد ا しくし kus Z Z Z $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{N}^{r}$ 1990 Delameter Colliding Plasmas الدهم Albitton S. Pollaine Berger (Can Frim Fa: lor Rosch of Placeur Physics, Nov 12 Ų Ś H K. 5 \otimes C¥ > tagnation Created JCNL

Significant contributions were made by

J

- Experimenters
- R. Bosch, G. Busch, G. Charatis, B. Failor, E. Gabl
- Theory and Modeling

N. Delamater, J. Albritton, R. Kaufmann , C Kerne S. Pollerine, S20925

y ree

DB020790M-15

- Data Acquisition and Reduction
- Chroma Laser and Diagnostic Groups

Abstract

These measurements were analyzed with a hydrodynamic code, LASNEX, and a early times, the Al interpenstrates the counterstreaming Mg and deposits in the dense Mg region. At late times, the Al plasma stagnates against A KMS laser experiment collides Aluminum (Al) and Magnesium (Mg) plasmas. The measurements include electron density, time and space resolved Ly-alpha and He-alpha lines of Al and Mg, and x-ray images. special two-fluid code OFIS1. The results strongly suggest that at the Mg plasma.

IJ 7 AND AND THE TANK T Top view The primary Collisionality targets were A STATE OF A STATE Magnesium square 300 μm x 300 μm 15 μm thick dot 125 µm dla. Aluminum 2 µm thick double arm targets CH square 300 μm x 300 μm 125 μm thick

400 µm 125 µm dia. 300 µm dia. 0.5 - 1x10¹⁴ W/cm² 0.7 - 1.3 ns BBBOATRS-13 A Beam Disk separation Pinhole Camera Laser Intensity Pulse length Laser spot Al dot CH Square λ=0.53 μm using the CHROMA laser **Opposing disks were irradiated at** AI Dot Mg Square Gated Spectrograph B Beam

Collisionality diagnostics

Laser:

- energy on target
 - pulse shape

Target:

- x-ray pinhole cameras x-ray framing spectrograph holographic interferometry

Pinhole images show x-ray emission resulting from plasma collision

J





The spatially resolved Ly and He emission from AI shows the interpenetration and stagnation

100 ps Francluidad.



IJ





1.1.

DB020790M-21

The electron density is about 7×10 /cc

in the midpline at 1000 ps.

BB90ATRS-15

DATE FILMED

 $H_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}$