## DOE PC 88931. J2

## PROGRESS REPORT

Coalification by Clay-Catalyzed Oligomerization of Plant Monomers.

January 1, 1990 to March 31, 1990

DOE/PC/88931--T2

MASIER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

1.7.47

Grant No. : DE-FGJ22-88PC88931 DE91 005464

Milton Orchin and R. Marshall Wilson Department of Chemistry, University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0172

The next step in our systematic examination of the radical cationinitiated dimerization of plant monomers from the C6-C3 pool of shikimic acid metabolites was to study the dimerization of cinnamic acid and its derivatives. In order to do this we needed a radical cation initiator that has a reduction potential greater than that of BAHA (Ered = 1.30 volts), the electron-withdrawing carboxylate functional since aroup of cinnamates renders them inert to oxidation with BAHA. This problem was solved with the observation that DBAHA (tris-(2,4dibromophenyl)aminium hexachloroantimonate, Ered =1.74 volts, shown in Scheme 1) intiated the radical cation dimerization of methyl 3,4dimethoxycinnamate (1 in Scheme 1).

Several aspects of this reaction (Scheme 1) are worth noting. The first of these is that no Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was used in this reaction, since it was felt that the acid-catalyzed dimerization of 1 would not be an important side reaction due to the more electron deficient nature of the

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Ξ

cinnamate double bond. Clearly this is not the case, as the acidcatalyzed dimers 2 and 3 are produced in this reaction. Nevertheless, the

Scheme 1



radical cation dimers 4-9 are the predominant products. Here again, as with the methylisoeugenol system, the two regioisomeric families are produced in comparable yields, (4+6+8):(5+7+9) = 0.81. Furthermore, this is the first instance where dihydronaphthalenes such as 4 and 5 could be isolated. Of particular interest is the observation that 4 and 5 apparently are oxidized further to the naphthalenes 6 and 7, respectively, via radical cation mechanisms. In addition, 4 and 5 also are converted to the dearylated naphthalenes 8 and 9, respectively, in what appears to acid-catalyzed processes. In this latter reaction, the companion product would be expected to be 1,2-dimethoxybenzene. However, this material could not be detected in this reaction mixture.



In order to inhibit the formation of the regioisometric radical cation Diels-Alder products related to 5, 7, and 9 in Scheme 1, the tethered dicinnamate 10 was synthesized (Scheme 2). The short six-atom tether in 10 is only long enough to allow the two ends of the molecule to come together with a regiochemistry related to that of 4, 6, and 8 in Scheme 1. Furthermore, this bifunctional molecule might lead to higher oligomers Thus, treatment of 10 with more closely related to natural lignins. DBAHA, again without added Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, leads to the formation two acidcatalyzed macrocycles 11 and 12, as well as two acid-cataylzed cleavage products, the indene 13 and the naphthalene 14 (Scheme 2). The structures of both acid-catalyzed products 11 and 12 have been confirmed by X-ray crystallography. The naphthalene 14 has undergone the same dearylation process that gave rise to 8 and 9 in Scheme 1. However, in this tethered case, the fate of the cleaved aryl group is The mechanism by which this cleavage proceeds has been evident. confirmed in the cases of the indane 12 and the untethered dihydronaphthalenes 4 and 5 by the treatment of these molecules with strong acid (Scheme 3). On the basis of these correlations, it is probable that the tethered dihydronaphthalene 15 undergoes dearylation via the same acid-catalyzed mechanism (Scheme 3).

and the second second

In the second se

Finally, it should be noted that this acid-catalyzed cleavage is probably greatly facilitated by the electron-rich nature of the appended dialkoxybenzene ring which should be protonated easily in the initial step of the cleavage reaction, and by the relatively high acidity of the proton lost in the final step of the cleavage. If these types of arylindanes, arylindene, arylnaphthalenes, or aryldihydronaphthalenes are present as units in the coal structure, then it should be possible to affect the same

type of cleavage in coal which might be of considerable utility in the liquifaction of coal. Conversely, it should be possible to suppress this acid-catalyzed cleavage by conducting the radical cation Diels-Alder reaction in the presence of a large excess of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Whatever the future prospects of this radical cation Diels-Alder reaction might be, it is clear



at this time that the tethering approach to limiting the formation of unnatural regioisomers is a viable synthetic strategy. Therefore, we are continuing to explore the chemistry of these and related tethered cinnamates.

In the next block of research in this systematic study of the radical cation chemistry of cinnamate derivatives, we have examined the radical

Scheme 4



cation chemistry of the cinnamic acids themselves (Scheme 4). Thus treatment of the 3,4-dimethoxycinnamic acid (15) with DBAHA followed esterification with diazomethane afforded the mixture bv of dihydronaphthalenes and naphthalenes shown in Scheme 4. Of particular significance here is the ratio of the regionsometric families, (19+20): (16+17+18) = 52.3. Apparently some unanticipated factor has come into play in this cinnamic acid dimerization, and that factor greatly favors the natural regioisomers. addition, both dearylation (18) and In decarboxylation (20) have occurred with the decarboxylation pathway being favored by a considerable margin.

٠

The simpliest explanation for this unexpected regioselectivity would seem to be that the hydrogen-bonded carboxylic acid dimer is orienting the cinnamic acid molecules in a geometry that favors the natural regiochemistry as illustrated in Scheme 5. This orientation governed by the carboxlic acid dimer would lead to the dihydronaphthalene 21 and upon further oxidation by the DBAHA to the naphthalene 22. Protonation of 22, which should occur readily in the absence of a Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, would produce decarboxylation as shown in Scheme 5. The significance of these observations is that the regiochemistry of radical cation Diels-Alder reactions apparently can be determined by strong intermolecular This correlation tends to support the model for hydrogen bonding. regiochemical control through hydrogen bonding to the montmorillonite surface developed in our last progress report. Finally, this correlation indicates that tethering may be unnecessary as a means of gaining regiochemical control. Since this approach might be most profitable in devising schemes to mimic the biosynthesis of lignins, we intend to

explore other means of achieving regiochemical control via intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

Scheme 5





