

COHERENT AND TURBULENT FLUCTUATIONS IN TFTR

K. McGuire, V. Arunasalam, M.G. Bell, M. Bitter, W.R. Blanchard,
 N.L. Bretz, R. Budny, C.E. Bush*, J.D. Callen**, M. Chance,
 S.A. Cohen, S.K. Combs*, S.L. Davis, D.L. Dimock, H.F. Dylla,
 P.C. Efthimion, L.C. Emerson*, A.C. England*, H.P. Eubank,
 R.J. Fonck, E. Fredrickson, H.P. Furth, G. Gammel, L.R. Grisham,
 R.J. Goldston, B. Grek, G. Hammett, R.J. Hawryluk, W.W. Heidbrink***,
 H.W. Hendel†, K.W. Hill, E. Hinnov, S. Hiroe*, R.A. Hulse, H. Hsuan,
 K.P. Jaehnig, D. Jassby, F.C. Jobses, D.W. Johnson, L.C. Johnson,
 R. Kaita, R. Kamperschroer, S.M. Kaye, S.J. Kilpatrick, R.J. Knize,
 H. Kugel, P.H. LaMarche, B. LeBlanc, R. Little, C.H. Ma*, J. Manickam,
 D.M. Manos, D. Mansfield, M.P. McCarthy, R.T. McCann, D.C. McCune,
 D.H. McNeill, D.M. Meade, S.S. Medley, S.L. Milora*, D.R. Mikkelsen,
 D. Monticello, A.W. Morris††, D. Mueller, E.B. Nieschmidt†††, J. O'Rourke\$,
 D.K. Owens, H. Park, W. Park, N. Pomphrey, B. Prichard, A.T. Ramsey,
 M.H. Redi, A.L. Roquemore, P.H. Rutherford, N.R. Sauthoff, G. Schilling,
 J. Schivell, G.L. Schmidt, S.D. Scott, S. Sesnic, J.C. Sennis,
 F.J. Stauffer\$\$, B.C. Stratton, G.D. Tait, G. Taylor, J.R. Timberlake,
 H.H. Towner, M. Ulrickson, S. von Goeler, F. Wagner\$\$\$, R. Wieland,
 J.B. Wilgen*, M. Williams, K.L. Wong, S. Yoshikawa, K.M. Young,
 M.C. Zarnstorff, and S.J. Zweben

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University

P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08544

-
- *Permanent address: Oak Ridge National Lab., Oak Ridge, TN
 **Permanent address: University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI
 ***Permanent address: GA Technologies, San Diego, CA
 †Permanent address: RCA David Sarnoff Res. Ctr., Princeton, NJ
 ††Permanent address: Balliol College, University of Oxford, UK
 †††Permanent address: EG&G, Idaho
 \$Permanent address: JET Joint Undertaking, Abingdon, UK
 \$\$Permanent address: University of Maryland, College Park, MD
 \$\$\$Permanent address: Max Planck Institut für Plasmaphysik, Garching, FRG

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT

ABSTRACT

Classification of the sawteeth observed in the TFTR tokamak has been carried out to highlight the differences between the many types observed. Three types of sawteeth are discussed: "simple," "small," and "compound." During the enhanced confinement discharges on TFTR, sawteeth related to $q = 1$ are usually not present, but a sawtooth-like event is sometimes observed. β approaches the Troyon limit only at low q_{cyl} with a clear reduction of achievable β_n at high q_{cyl} . This suggests that a β_p limit, rather than the Troyon-Gruber limit, applies at high q_{cyl} in the enhanced confinement discharges. These discharges also reach the stability boundary for $n \rightarrow \infty$ ideal MHD ballooning modes. Turbulence measurements in the scrape-off region with Langmuir and magnetic probes show strong edge density turbulence $\bar{n}/n = 0.3 - 0.5$, with weak magnetic turbulence $B_\theta/B_0 > 5 \times 10^{-6}$ measured at the wall, but these measurements are very sensitive to local edge conditions.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

1. INTRODUCTION

Near-term achievement of ignition in tokamaks depends on overcoming anomalous heat conduction, and/or control of the central energy transport due to sawteeth. Sawteeth in TFTR have been studied (Section 2) in an attempt to understand the mechanism that causes the sawtooth crash and gives rise to enhanced heat pulse propagation. The MHD activity observed in enhanced confinement discharges (locally known as supershots) and the constraints imposed by the Troyon and the β_p limit are discussed in Sections 3 and 4. In Section 5, a summary of turbulence measurements carried out on TFTR is presented, and the correlation between edge turbulence and gross confinement is examined. Finally in Section 6, we summarize the results of the coherent and turbulent fluctuations measured in TFTR.

2. SAWTOOTH ACTIVITY

Three types of sawtooth activity have been identified in TFTR: (1) simple or normal sawteeth, (2) small sawteeth, and (3) compound sawteeth. Simple sawtooth oscillations, as observed in most tokamaks, are a series of $m/n = 0/0$ relaxations, each with an $m/n = 1/1$ precursor mode, where m and n are poloidal and toroidal mode numbers, respectively. Figure 1a shows a simple sawtooth which is observed in TFTR plasmas with a small $q = 1$ radius and high q_a . Small sawteeth (Fig. 1b) are similar to simple sawteeth in period, but the $m/n = 1/1$ mode is different. While the precursor oscillations before the $m/n = 0/0$ crash are usually not present or have very small amplitude, the successor oscillations have a large amplitude. Compound (sometimes called "double") sawtooth activity (Fig. 1c) has been observed recently in many tokamaks [1-3]. Each compound sawtooth consists of a subordinate relaxation followed by a large (up to 20% in central T_e) main relaxation with a smaller inversion radius. Compound sawteeth appear frequently in ohmically heated plasmas with high plasma current ($I_p > 1.0$ MA) and/or high density ($\bar{n}_e > 2.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$). Sawteeth may switch from compound to small during the steady phase of a discharge, although global parameters such as averaged temperature, density, Z_{eff} , and even the inversion radius of the sawtooth do not change [2]. This suggests that small or localized changes of plasma parameters affect the characteristics of sawteeth significantly. The subordinate relaxation and the small amplitude of the $m = 1$ precursor

indicate that compound sawteeth and small sawteeth cannot be explained by the Kadomtsev model [4]. It has been found that the duration of the crash (τ_{cr}) is proportional to the final growth time (τ_g) of an $m = 1$ mode during the crash phase itself (Fig. 2). Note that τ_{cr} appears to be completely determined by τ_g . Possible explanations include fast transport or reconnection due to: a) small perturbations at the $q = 1$ surface, b) two $q = 1$ surfaces [5] or c) a flat q profile with q_0 close to 1 [1,6].

3. MHD ACTIVITY IN ENHANCED CONFINEMENT DISCHARGES

Recent experiments on TFTR at low I_p and high-power balanced neutral beam injection (NBI) have achieved enhanced confinement relative to the predictions of L-mode scaling [7,8]. These discharges are remarkable from the MHD viewpoint, the most obvious feature being the lack of sawteeth during NBI for $q_{cyl} > 5$. This may be due to the removal of the $q=1$ surface from the plasma (some data shows $r_{inv} \rightarrow 0$), but $q_{cyl} < 5$ enhanced confinement discharges with sawteeth have r_{inv} unchanged. During enhanced confinement plasmas with $q_{cyl} > 5$, there are occasional sawtooth-like events which are similar to the subordinate relaxations described in Section 2. They have only a small perturbation on axis and an inversion close to the calculated location of the $q = 3/2$ or $2/1$ surfaces. Figure 3 shows an $m = 2$ sawtooth-like event which was observed in an enhanced confinement discharge with $I_p = 0.8$ MA, $q_{cyl} = 7.6$ and 10 MW of balanced NBI; the calculated $q = 2$ radius for this discharge was about 0.25 m. In Fig. 3 the line-averaged soft X-ray inversion radius was about 0.20 m for the $m = 2$ sawtooth, which was larger than the $m = 1$ inversion radius observed at an $m/n = 1/1$ sawtooth in an $I_p = 0.9$ MA, $q_{cyl} = 7.3$, and $P_{inj} = 3.6$ MW counter-injection L-mode discharge. The mode amplitude and the phase on the X-ray diodes clearly show an $m = 2$ mode structure, also a small amplitude odd - m mode was present near the center. The magnetic signals at the wall show a clear $m/n = 2/1$ mode with no coupled mode structure. These $m = 2$ sawteeth are infrequent with an irregular period and they do not appear to affect the confinement of the discharge. The off-axis sawtooth events can occur during a period of continuous $m/n = 3/2$ or $2/1$ activity (detected by magnetic measurements at the wall) with no interruption of the oscillation. Similar type sawteeth have also been observed in pellet injection discharges during a period of continuous $m = 1$ mode activity.

For identical operating conditions, enhanced confinement discharges can vary substantially depending on the MHD activity. Figure 4 shows two such discharges, one with $m/n = 2/1$ activity, the other without MHD activity. The correlation of the MHD activity with the drop in total stored energy and neutron emission is very clear. This can occur with $m/n = 2/1$ alone, or $m/n = 3/2$ alone. Figure 5 shows the coherent MHD activity versus the rate of change of stored energy (calculated from the diamagnetic signal) 50 ms before the end of the beam pulse. It is clear that a large amplitude of MHD activity is correlated with negative dW_{\perp}^{DIA}/dt . From delta-prime calculations on the $j(r)$ profiles calculated by the TRANSP code [9] it is found that the profiles are sometimes unstable to the $m/n = 2/1$ and/or $m/n = 3/2$ modes. This implies that the $j(r)$ profile may play an important role in the energy balance inside the $q = 2$ surface for the enhanced confinement discharges on TFTR [10].

At lower q_{cyl} (< 7) bursts of $m = 1$ activity are seen in the center of the plasma on the soft X-ray detectors. This mode couples out as $m/n \approx 6/1$ on the Mirnov coils, similar to the fishbone oscillations observed on PDX. This is in contrast with the $2/1$ and $3/2$ modes which appear as $m/n = 2/1$ and $3/2$, respectively, on the Mirnov coils, even though the mode amplitude peaks at a similar radius (0.1 - 0.3 m). There is no measurable effect on the neutron emission due to $m = 1$ activity at the levels observed to date, although we have observed coherent (5 kHz) oscillations in the charge-exchange neutral signals.

Enhanced confinement discharges which disrupt can lose most of the plasma energy in as little as 20 - 100 μ s with only a low amplitude or no detectable MHD activity preceding them. The temperature on the inner wall, as measured by 1.0 μ m radiation, can rise to over 1200°C in 20 μ s after a high β_p disruption. In a few disruptive discharges, a 40 kHz mode growing on a 50 μ s time scale with an $m = 1$ structure in the center and $m > 4$, $n = 1$ on the magnetic signals has been observed. Although the bulk of the plasma energy is lost rapidly, the current disruption occurs up to 0.5 s later, after a narrowing of the T_e and X-ray emission profiles. It is also observed that few major disruptions take place for $q_{cyl} < 5$: here the continuous $3/2$ and/or $2/1$ modes are detected and the energy is lost slowly, as in Fig. 4.

4. BETA LIMITS

Enhanced confinement discharges with $q_{cyl} < 5$ have values of normalized toroidal beta $\beta_n = \beta_\phi/\beta_c$ ($\beta_c = \mu_0 I_p/aB_\phi$) which approach the limit found from optimized ideal MHD kink stability theory [11,12]. This limit has previously been confirmed on other devices with NBI (DIII, PDX, ASDEX, ISX-B, for example). The experimental limit from these devices is $\beta_n = 2.2 - 2.5$, approximately independent of plasma shape, but only for a small range of aspect ratio and q_{cyl} . Figure 6 shows β_n for the enhanced confinement regime of TFTR versus q_{cyl} . Diamagnetic β_ϕ^{DIA} (assuming a circular cross-sectional plasma) is used in Fig. 6 and since the NBI is tangential, this underestimates the total β (< 10% at high β_p). It is seen that there is a clear fall of the achievable β_n with q_{cyl} . There is little published data from other auxiliary-heated tokamaks in the high- β_n high- q region [13]. At high q_{cyl} , the limit appears to be disruptive, but at $q_{cyl} < 5$ the β_n limit is associated with coherent MHD activity. Attempts to reach higher β_n by reducing (I_p , B_ϕ) at constant q_{cyl} have not been successful. For a current ramp-down experiment with NBI, β_p reached 2.65 before the discharge disrupted; this β_p was not maintained in equilibrium. There appears to be a hard β_p limit at 2 - 2.2 or an $\epsilon\beta_p = 0.7$ limit, as illustrated in Fig. 6. However, the range of the inverse aspect ratio ϵ for the TFTR data is from 0.28 to 0.33, and it is not clear from these data whether ϵ is an important parameter in the β limit.

The profiles of enhanced confinement discharges have been examined for violation of the high- n ballooning mode stability criterion. Figure 7 shows points on the profile in the S- α diagram [14] (where $S = r(dq/dr)/q$, $\alpha = -2\mu_0 q^2 R(dP_T/dr)/B_\phi^2$) for various types of discharges. The pressure profile, $(P_\parallel + P_\perp)/2$ from the TRANSP code [9], which includes calculated beam and thermal ion pressure profiles and anisotropy, is used for dP_T/dr ($\alpha_{beam} \leq 0.7\alpha_{total}$). The beam-driven and bootstrap currents have been included in the calculation of q . The profile of maximum β_p hovers close to the curve for the large aspect ratio, circular tokamak $n \rightarrow \infty$ ideal ballooning-mode stability limit [14]. Though the profiles may be at the ballooning limit, these discharges are not the optimum for $n \rightarrow \infty$ ballooning stability [15] ($j(r)$ is not optimum). It is well known [16] that FLR, finite- n , toroidicity, and pressure anisotropy effects can all lead to significant movement of the stability boundary, and it is likely that TFTR is stable to modes with $n \geq 10$

under these conditions. Higher-n modes ($m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$) have been observed on soft X-ray diodes viewing the center of the plasma in TFTR at $q_{cyl} \approx 5 - 7$ and at high injection power. These high-n modes are not observed before disruptions at high β_p .

5. TURBULENCE IN TFTR

Langmuir probes in the scrape-off region outside the last closed flux surface have revealed broadband turbulent fluctuations in the frequency range 10 - 200 kHz, with fluctuation levels typically $\tilde{n}/n = 0.3 - 0.5$ and $\tilde{\phi}/T_e = 0.1$. Imaging of D-alpha light at the inner wall also shows large amplitude fluctuations [17] in this frequency range, with typical poloidal wavelengths $\lambda_{pol} = 0.02 - 0.07$ m, but with $\lambda_{tor} > 1.0$ m. Measurements of the magnetic fluctuations 0.11 m outside the plasma edge show that the amplitude at 100 kHz increases by a factor of 4 to $B_{\theta}/B_0 = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ during neutral beam injection for both L-mode and enhanced confinement discharges. Since the distance of the coils from the plasma boundary varies for different coils, a radial e-folding distance can be measured: for most conditions this distance is about 0.05 m. A strong dependence of the turbulent fluctuations on local edge conditions is found. For example, a gas puff of 5 Torr.l/s or a burst of low frequency $m/n = 5/1$ or $4/1$ mode activity in an ohmically heated discharge can decrease the turbulent fluctuations by a factor of 10. From this result it is clear that turbulent fluctuations measured outside the plasma are not generally appropriate for direct correlation with global energy confinement.

The broadband spectra of \tilde{n} , $\tilde{\phi}$, and B_{θ} in the frequency range 10 - 250 kHz have an approximate power-law dependence $P(f) \propto f^{\gamma}$ where $-1 > \gamma > -2$. Short radial decay lengths are found for \tilde{n} and B_{θ} in the range corresponding to "mode numbers" $m > 15$, i.e., small scale compared with the plasma size. The amplitude of the broadband fluctuations of \tilde{n} and B_{θ} increased with NBI both in the L-mode and enhanced confinement mode.

6. CONCLUSIONS

On TFTR three different types of sawteeth have been identified. The main differences are in the precursor and successor oscillation amplitudes. Possible explanations include extensions of the Kadomtsev model with two $q = 1$ surfaces and partial reconnection. Turbulence measured in the scrape-off

region shows large amplitude fluctuations in the frequency range 10-250 kHz, with fluctuation levels typically $\tilde{n}/n = 0.3-0.5$ and $\tilde{\phi}/T_e = 0.1$. It is also found that the measured edge fluctuations of \tilde{n} and B_θ can be extremely sensitive to local edge conditions.

The enhanced confinement discharges on TFTR have values of β_n at low q_{cyl} which approach the limit found from ideal kink stability theory. At higher q_{cyl} it appears that the beta limit is better described by $\epsilon\beta_p^{DIA} \approx 0.7$. In addition, dP_T/dr in these discharges is close to the $n \rightarrow \infty$ large aspect ratio, ideal ballooning stability limit. High frequency modes at ≥ 60 kHz with $m \geq 3$, $n \geq 3$ have been observed in the core of discharges with high β_p and high neutral beam power. Deterioration of confinement in the enhanced confinement discharges appears to be associated more with coherent modes ($m/n = 2/1$ and $3/2$). Major disruptions at high β_p can have fast thermal energy decay (< 100 μ sec); $n = 1$ modes (with many coupled m -modes) are sometimes observed but high- n modes are not detected by the magnetic coils or soft X-ray diodes during these disruptions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to D.J. Grove and J.R. Thompson for their advice and support, and to J. Strachan for his many contributions. This work was supported by US DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH03073. The ORNL participants were also supported by US DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.

REFERENCES

- [1] PFFIFFER, W., Nucl. Fusion 25 (1985) 673.
- [2] MCGUIRE, K., et al., Proc. 12th Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics Budapest, 1985. Part 1 p. 134.
- [3] CAMPBELL, D., et al., Nucl. Fusion 26 (1986) 1085.
- [4] KADOMTSEV, B., Sov. J. Plasma Phys., 1 (1975) 389.
- [5] DENTON, R., et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 2477.
- [6] PARAIL, V., Pereverzev, G., Sov. J. Plasma Phys., 6 (1980) 14.
- [7] HAWRYLUK, R., et al., to be published in Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Proc. 11th Int. Conf., Kyoto, 1986).
- [8] GOLDSTON, R., et al., ibid.
- [9] HAWRYLUK, R., in Proceedings of the Course in Physics Close to Thermonuclear Conditions (Varena, Italy), Report EUR-FU-BRU/XII/476/80, p. 503; GOLDSTON, R., et al., J. Comput. Phys. 43 (1981) 61.
- [10] FURTH, H., Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 28 (1986) 1305.
- [11] TROYON, F., et al., Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 26 (1984) 209.
- [12] MANICKAM, J., et al., Nucl. Fusion 24 (1984) 595.
- [13] ROBINSON, D., et al., in Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Proc. 10th Int. Conf., London, (1984), Vol. 1, IAEA, Vienna (1985) 205.
- [14] LORTZ, D., et al., Phys. Lett 68A (1978) 49.
CHOE, W., et al., Phys. Fluids 29 (1986) 1766.
- [15] SYKES, A., et al., Proc. 11th Eur. Conf., Aachen, 1983. Part 11 p. 363.
- [16] BISHOP, C., et al., Nucl. Fusion 24 (1985) 1443.
TANG, W., et al., Nucl. Fusion 21 (1981) 891.
- [17] ZWEBEN, S., et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 145-147 (1987) to be published.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1 Soft X-ray emissivity signals indicating the three main types of sawteeth observed in TFTR. (a) simple or normal sawteeth, (b) small sawteeth, and (c) compound sawteeth.
- FIG. 2 A comparison between the growth time of the $m = 1$ mode at the crash of the sawtooth with the crash time of the sawtooth, as measured by the central soft X-ray signal.
- FIG. 3 A plot of $\Delta A/A$ of the sawtooth crash versus radius, for an $m/n = 1/1$ sawtooth in a L-mode $I_p = 900$ kA with 3.4 MW of counter NBI, and an $m/n = 2/1$ sawtooth in an $I_p = 800$ kA enhanced confinement discharge with 10 MW of balanced NBI. The $m = 2$ sawtooth-like event has an inversion at 0.2 m which is close to the calculated $q = 2$ radius.
- FIG. 4 Illustration of the effect of MHD activity on enhanced confinement discharges.
- FIG. 5 MHD activity between 5 - 55 kHz versus dW_1^{DIA}/dt 50 ms before the end of the beam pulse. These data include only enhanced confinement discharges. No similar correlation of MHD activity and confinement is seen for L-mode-type discharges.
- FIG. 6 The present enhanced confinement regime of TFTR on a plot of $\beta_n = \beta/\beta_c$ ($\beta_c = \mu_0 I_p / a B_0$) versus q_{cyl} . The square symbols show discharges that disrupted during the neutral beam pulse. Also shown is a curve that represents $\epsilon\beta_p = 0.7$, which fits the high q_{cyl} data better than the optimized Troyon Limit.
- FIG. 7 Data from TFTR profiles on an $S - \alpha$ diagram (where $S = r(dq/dr)/q$, $\alpha = -2 \mu_0 q^2 R (dP_T/dr) / B_0^2$) for various types of discharge. The pressure profile used is the total pressure, $(P_{||} + P_{\perp})/2$ calculated by the TRANSP code [9].




Fig. 2





Fig. 3



86X1734

Fig. 4

#86X1737




Fig. 5




Fig. 6

#86X1625




Fig. 7

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-20

Dr. Frank J. Paoloni, Univ of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. M.H. Brennan, Univ Sydney, AUSTRALIA
 Plasma Research Lab., Australian Nat. Univ., AUSTRALIA
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ., AUSTRALIA
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst Theo Phys, AUSTRIA
 Prof. M. Helndler, Institut fur Theoretische Physik, AUSTRIA
 M. Goossens, Astronomisch Instituut, BELGIUM
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab de Phys Plasmas, BELGIUM
 Com. of European, Dg XII Fusion Prog, BELGIUM
 Prof. R. Boucique, Laboratorium voor Natuurkunde, BELGIUM
 Dr. P.H. Sakenaka, Univ Estadual, BRAZIL
 Instituto De Pesquisas Espaciais-INPE, BRAZIL
 Library, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited, CANADA
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA
 Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ of Saskatchewan, CANADA
 Dr. H. Bernard, University of British Columbia, CANADA
 Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, CANADA
 Prof. S.R. Sreanivasan, University of Calgary, CANADA
 Prof. Tudor W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA
 Dr. C.R. James, Univ. of Alberta, CANADA
 Dr. Peter Lukac, Komenskeho Univ, CZECHOSLOVAKIA
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND
 Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, ENGLAND
 C. Mouttet, Lab. de Physique des Milieux Ionises, FRANCE
 J. Radet, CEN/CADARACHE - Bat 506, FRANCE
 Dr. Tom Muai, Academy Bibliographic, HONG KONG
 Preprint Library, Cent Res Inst Phys, HUNGARY
 Dr. B. Dasgupta, Saha Inst, INDIA
 Dr. R.K. Chhajlani, Vikram Univ. INDIA
 Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, INDIA
 Dr. Phillip Rosenau, Israel Inst Tech, ISRAEL
 Prof. S. Cuperman, Tel Aviv University, ISRAEL
 Librarian, Int'l Ctr Theo Phys, ITALY
 Prof. G. Rostagni, Univ Di Padova, ITALY
 Miss Clelia De Palo, Assoc EURATOM-ENEA, ITALY
 Biblioteca, del CNR EURATOM, ITALY
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Dev, JAPAN
 Prof. I. Kawakami, Atomic Energy Res. Institute, JAPAN
 Prof. Kyoji Nishikawa, Univ of Hiroshima, JAPAN
 Direc. Dept. Lg. Tokamak Res. JAERI, JAPAN
 Prof. Satoshi Itoh, Kyushu University, JAPAN
 Research Info Center, Nagoya University, JAPAN
 Prof. S. Tanaka, Kyoto University, JAPAN
 Library, Kyoto University, JAPAN
 Prof. Nobuyuki Inoue, University of Tokyo, JAPAN
 S. Mori, JAERI, JAPAN
 M.H. Kim, Korea Advanced Energy Research Institute, KOREA
 Prof. D.I. Choi, Adv. Inst Sci & Tech, KOREA
 Prof. B.S. Liley, University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
 Institute of Plasma Physics, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Librarian, Institute of Phys., PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Library, Tsing Hua University, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Z. Li, Southwest Inst. Physics, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Inst Superior Tecn, PORTUGAL
 Dr. Octavian Petrus, AL I CUZA University, ROMANIA
 Dr. Johan de Villiers, Plasma Physics, AEC, SO AFRICA
 Prof. M.A. Hellberg, University of Natal, SO AFRICA
 Fusion Div. Library, JEN, SPAIN
 Dr. Lennart Stenflo, University of UMEA, SWEDEN
 Library, Royal Inst Tech, SWEDEN
 Prof. Hans Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ Tech, SWEDEN
 Centre Phys des Plasmas, Ecole Polytech Fed, SWITZERLAND
 Bibliotheek, Fom-Inst Voor Plasma-Fysica, THE NETHERLANDS
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Acad Sci, USSR
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, Kurchatov Institute, USSR
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Inst Electro-Physical, USSR
 Dr. V.T. Tolok, Inst. Phys. Tech. USSR
 Dr. L.M. Kovrizhnykh, Institute Gen. Physics, USSR
 Prof. T.J.M. Boyd, Univ College N Wales, WALES
 Nuclear Res. Establishment, Julich Ltd., W. GERMANY
 Bibliothek, Inst. Fur Plasmeforschung, W. GERMANY
 Dr. K. Schindler, Ruhr Universitat, W. GERMANY
 ADOEX Reading Rm, IPP/Max-Planck-Institut fur
 Plasmaphysik, W. GERMANY
 Librarian, Max-Planck Institut, W. GERMANY
 Prof. R.K. Janov, Inst Phys, YUGOSLAVIA