CONF- \$50206-25-Summ.

Sensitivity and Uncertainty Studies of the CRAC2 Code

for Selected Meteorological Models and Parameters"

R. C. Ward<sup>1</sup> and D. C. Kocher<sup>2</sup> Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box X Oak Ridge, TN 37831

 B. B. Hicks, R. P. Hosker, Jr., J.-Y. Ku,<sup>3</sup> and K. S. Rao Atmospheric Turbulence and Diffusion Laboratory National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration P.O. Box E Oak Ridge, TN 37831

CONF-850206--25-Summ.

TI85 007573

Summary of paper for presentation at International ANS/ENS Topical Meeting on Probabilistic Safety Methods and Applications San Francisco, California February 24-28, 1985



# DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

- \* Research sponsored by the Division of Systems Integration, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission under Interagency Agreement DOE 40-550-75 with the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-840R21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.
- <sup>1</sup> Computer Services
- <sup>2</sup> Health and Safety Research Division
- Permanent address: Department of Atmospheric Sciences, State University of New York at Albany, Albany, NY 12222

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED



By acceptance of this article, the publisher or recipient acknowledges the U.S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering the article. Sensitivity and Uncertainty Studies of the CKAC2 Code for Selected Meteorological Models and Parameters

> R. C. Ward and D. C. Kocher Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge, TN 37831

B. B. Hicks, R. P. Hosker, Jr., J.-Y. Ku, and K. S. Rao Atmospheric Turbulence and Diffusion Laboratory National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Oak Ridge, TN 37831

#### AB STRACT

We have studied the sensitivity of results from the CRAC2 computer code, which predicts health impacts from a reactor-accident scenario, to uncertainties in selected meteorological models and parameters. The sources of uncertainty examined include the models for plume rise and wet deposition and the meteorological bin-sampling procedure. An alternative plume-rise model usually had little effect on predicted health impacts. In an alternative wet-deposition model, the scavenging rate depends only on storm type, rather than on rainfall rate and atmospheric stability class as in the CRAC2 model. Use of the alternative wet-deposition model in meteorological bin-sampling runs decreased predicted mean early injuries by as much as a factor of 2-3 and, for large release heights and sensible heat rates, decreased mean early fatalities by nearly an order of magnitude. The bin-sampling procedure in CRAC2 was expanded by dividing each rain bin into four bins that depend on rainfall rate. Use of the modified bin structure in conjunction with the CRAC2 wet-deposition model changed all predicted health impacts by less than a factor of 2.

INTRODUCTION

The CRAC2 computer code<sup>1,2</sup> estimates the health impacts and economic costs that could result from a hypothetical nuclear reactor accident. CRAC2 is a revised version of the tRAC code that vas used in the Reactor Safety Study.<sup>3</sup> The code utilizes hourly meteorological data (i.e., wind speed and direction, atmospheric stability class, and precipitation rate) in a straight-line, Gaussian-plume model to describe radionuclide transport in the atmosphere, and includes models for plume depletion via wet and dry deposition. Results can be obtained either for single weather sequences, which we refer to as single trials, or for importance sampling<sup>1</sup> from one year's weather data, which we refer to as meteorological bin-sampling. Bin-sampling runs produce statistical distributions of output, from which mean values averaged over the results for each sampled weather sequence can be obtained.

This paper presents a study of the sensitivity of health impacts predicted by the CRAC2 code to uncertainties in selected meteorological models and parameters. The sources of uncertainty studied include the use of alternative models for plume rise and wet deposition and an alternative procedure for meteorological bin-sampling. These models can affect predicted early fatalities, early injuries, and latent cancer fatalities.<sup>1</sup>

The sensitivity studies were performed with a prescribed release of radionuclides, a non-uniform population distribution, a single evacuation model, and one year's meteorological data from a reactor site. Three release heights (RH = 0, 25, and 50 m) and two sensible heat rates (F =  $10^4$  and  $10^6$  cal/s) were used in the calculations.

## ANALYSIS OF PLUME-RISE MODELS

The plume-rise model contained in CRAC2<sup>4</sup> and the alternative model investigated in this study<sup>5</sup> were both developed by Briggs. The two models are identical for stable atmospheric conditions. For unstable and neutral conditions, the alternative model generally gives greater plume heights than the model in CRAC2, with the largest increases occurring for zero release height. However, in both single-trial and bin-sampling runs, predicted health impacts usually showed little sensitivity to the plume-rise model; i.e., the results changed by 40% or less. Exceptions occurred for RH = 0 and F =  $10^6$  cal/s, where the alternative model decreased early fatalities from 48 to 0 in a singletrial run with no rain (the plume height increased from 16 to 120 m in this case) and by a factor of 3 in a bin-sampling run.

## ANALYSIS OF WET-DEPOSITION MODELS

The wet-deposition model in CRAC2 uses a scavenging rate,  $\Lambda$ , of the form  $\Lambda = CR$ , where R is the precipitation rate in mm/hr and the washont coefficient, C, is  $10^{-3}$  (mm-s/hr)<sup>-1</sup> for unstable and neutral conditions and  $10^{-4}$  (mm-s/hr)<sup>-1</sup> for stable conditions.<sup>1</sup> This model does not properly account for certain cases such as a strong convective storm at night, where unstable conditions might prevail at ground level, or a stratiform system in daytime, e.g., a winter rainstorm. Thus, we felt that a model with constant scavenging rates that depend only on storm type rather than ground-level stability and rainfall rate would be a reasonable alternative. On the basis of measurements of precipitation scavenging for sub-cloud "washout" conditions,<sup>6,7</sup> which are expected in

most continuous or frontal rain storms, and for in-cloud "rainout" conditions,  $^{6,8,9}$  which are likely with convective storms, we chose scavenging rates of  $\Lambda = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for continuous rain and  $\Lambda = 10^{-3}$ s<sup>-1</sup> for convective rain. The scavenging rate in the alternative model is usually less than in the CRAC2 model, particularly for continuous rain during unstable or neutral conditions. In our analysis, the assignment of storm type to precipitation events was based on actual meteorological observations.

We studied the sensitivity of CRAC2 results to the wet-deposition model in single-trial runs with rain and in bin-sampling runs. The weather sequences for the single-trial runs were chosen to give different combinations of storm types, atmospheric stability classes, wind speeds, and rainfall rates, durations, and locations. In the single-trial runs, the predicted health impacts often were very sensitive to the wet-deposition model, and the effects of the alternative model on the results often were quite different for the different weather sequences. These results are discussed below.

Three weather sequences involving continuous rain were investigated in single-trial runs. The first was studied only for RH = 50 m and F =  $10^6$  cal/s. This sequence involved unstable and neutral conditions and continuous rain which began at the distance from the release point to which the evacuated population was assumed to be relocated. Use of the alternative model decreased predicted early fatalities from 3200 to nearly 0, increased early injuries from 0 to more than 10,000, and increased latent cancer fatalities by a factor of 40. In this and other cases where large changes in early fatalities or injuries were obtained, the changes are also due in part to the presence of thresholds in the

dose-response models.<sup>1</sup> For the second weather sequence, which involved unstable and neutral conditions and continuous rain throughout the sequence, early fatalities were nearly zero for all values of RH and F, but early injuries and latent cancer fatalities increased with the alternative model by a factor of 10-20. The third weather sequence involved mostly stable conditions and continuous rain beginning at ? distance of about 30 km from the release point. In this case, early fatalities changed by less than 15% with the alternative model, early injuries by 10-50%, and latent cancer fatalities by 25-50%.

Two weather sequences involving convective storms and unstable or neutral conditions were studied in single-trial runs. The sequences differed mainly in the distance from the release point at which the storm began. For a sequence where the storm began at about 30 km, use of the alternative model increased predicted early fatalities by more than an order of magnitude for all values of RH and F. Early injuries and latent cancer fatalities also increased, but only by about 40%. For a weather sequence where the storm began at about 5 km, the changes in all predicted health impacts were insignificant.

In bin-sampling runs, use of the alternative wet-deposition model increased predicted mean early fatalities for most values of RH and F, but only by 40% or less. However, for RH = 25 or 50 m and F =  $10^6$ cal/s, mean early fatalities <u>decreased</u> by a factor of 5-7 when the <u>same</u> set of weather sequences was sampled in comparing the two wet-deposition models, but <u>increased</u> by a factor of 2 when a <u>different</u> set of weather sequences was sampled with the alternative model. The important feature of these runs was that mean early fatalities using either wet-deposition model were small, i.e., less than 20, and most of the weather sequences

sampled gave a null result. Thus, we are observing the sensitivity of mean early fatalities in bin-sampling runs to whether or not the sampling scheme selects those rare single trials which give unusually large early fatalities. For all values of RH and F, mean early injuries decreased between 10% and a factor of 3 with the alternative model, and mean latent cancer fatalities increased by less than 30%.

## ANALYSIS OF MODIFICATIONS IN METEOROLOGICAL BIN-SAMPLING

In the meteorological bin-sampling procedure in CRAC2, each of the 8760 hours of weather data in one year is sorted into one of 29 bins, 7 of which represent weather sequences with rain occurring at specified distances from the release site.<sup>1</sup> In our alternative procedure, we divided each of the seven rain bins into four bins that depend on rainfall rate, thus increasing the total number of bins to 50. Sorting of weather sequences on the basis of the amount of rainfall is a potentially important consideration if the CRAC2 wet-deposition model is used, since the scavenging rate depends on rainfall intensity.

We investigated the sensitivity of predicted health impacts to the choice of rain-bin structure using only the CRAC2 wet-deposition model. Four weather sequences were sampled from each of the 29 original bins and two weather sequences from each of the 50 modified bins, so that approximately the same number of trials were selected in each case. For the different values of RH and F, the alternative procedure changed mean early fatalities by 0-90%, mean early injuries by 3-60%, and mean latent cancer fatalities by less than 15%. However, the changes in early fatalities and injuries may not be significant, because they are similar to variations that result only from selecting different weather

sequences with the same bin structure. Nonetheless, we feel that the modified bin structure should be incorporated into the code if the CRAC2 wet-deposition model is used.

### CONCLUSIONS

The most important results of this study involved the sensitivity of health impacts calculated by the CRAC2 code to changes in the wetdeposition model. In the CRAC2 model, the scavenging rate depends on rainfall rate and atmospheric stability class, whereas the alternative model used a scavenging rate that depends only on storm type, i.e., continuous (frontal) or convective. In single-trial runs involving rain, the alternative model often produced large changes in all health impacts and the effects depended greatly on the characteristics of the weather sequence. The large changes in early fatalities and injuries were also due in part to thresholds in the dose-response models. In bin-sampling runs, changes of nearly an order of magnitude in predicted mean early fatalities were observed and the changes in mean early injuries were often a factor of 2-3, but changes in latent cancer fatalities were small. The large changes in early fatalities occurred when most of the weather sequences sampled gave a null result. and the effects of the wet-deposition model on mean early fatalities also depended greatly on whether or not the same weather sequences were sampled in the model comparison. Use of an alternative plume-rise model usually had little effect on health impacts. Modification of the meteorological bin structure in CRAC2 to include rain bins that depend on rainfall rate changed mean health impacts by less than a factor of 2.

#### REFERENCES

- L. T. Ritchie, D. J. Alpert, R. P. Burke, J. C. Johnson, R. M. Ostmeyer, D. C. Aldrich, and R. M. Blond, <u>CRAC2 Model Description</u>, NUREG/CR-2552, SAND82-0342, Sandia National Laboratories (1984).
- L. R. Ritchie, J. D. Johnson, and R. M. Blond, <u>Calculations of Reactor Accident Consequences</u>, <u>Version 2</u>, <u>CRAC2</u>: <u>Computer Code</u> <u>User's Guide</u>, NUREG/CR-2326, SAND81-1994, Sandia National Laboratories (1983).
- <u>Reactor Safety Study</u>, <u>Appendix VI</u>: <u>Calculation of Reactor Accident</u> <u>Consequences</u>, WASH-1400 (NUREG 75/014), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (1975).
- 4. G. A. Briggs, <u>Plume Rise</u>, TID-25075, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (1969).
- G. A. Briggs, "Plume Rise Predictions," p. 59 in <u>Lectures on Air</u> <u>Pollution and Environmental Impac. Analyses</u>, American Meteorological Society, Boston, Mass. (1975).
- 6. K. P. Makhon'ko, S. G. Malakov, and M. P. Nekhorosheuva, "Washout of Fission Products from the Atmosphere," p. 167 in <u>Radioactive</u> <u>Isotopes in the Atmosphere and Their Use in Meteorology</u>, AEC-TR-6711, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (1967).
- A. S. Avramenko and K. P. Makhon'ko, "Atmospheric Washout of Radioactive Contaminant in the Mountains of Central Caucasus," p. 154 in <u>Nuclear Meteorology</u>, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia (1969).
- N. Bhandari and Rama, "Study of Atmospheric Washout Processes by Means of Radon Decay Products," <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> 68, 3823 (1963).
- B. I. Styra, E. J. Verbra, and K. K. Benninger, "Geochemistry of Atmospheric Radon and Radon Products," <u>Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.</u> 5, 227 (1977).