

CONF-830609--74

CRITICALITY SAFETY TRAINING AT THE
HOT FUEL EXAMINATION FACILITY

A. S. Garcia
J. C. Courtney*
V. N. Thelen

CONF-830609--74

DE85 005018

Argonne National Laboratory
P. O. Box 2528
Idaho Falls, ID 83401

The Hot Fuel Examination Facility (HFEF) Complex comprises four hot cells and out-of-cell support facilities operated by Argonne National Laboratory at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory near Idaho Falls, Idaho. Its basic missions are to support the U.S. Breeder Reactor Program and to provide state-of-the-art facilities for development of remote handling equipment and technology. Because significant quantities of solid fissile materials, both fresh and irradiated, are handled in a variety of configurations, the HFEF criticality safety programs represent a major effort.¹

The cornerstone of the program is training in the basic theory of criticality and in specific criticality hazard control rules that apply to HFEF. Our philosophy is that rules and regulations are better accepted by Operations personnel after there is a thorough understanding of the reasons why the rules exist. In our training more emphasis is placed on the "Why" of a rule than the rule itself. All professional staff and supervisory personnel, as well as fissile material handlers, must satisfactorily complete this training annually. To provide the training, a multimedia program using videotapes, workbooks, and live instruction has been developed and implemented.² Topical coverage of the basic theory of criticality is presented as outlined in Table 1. Documentation of this effort is part of the HFEF management system, and training records are subject to audit by the Argonne Criticality Hazards Control Committee and the Department of Energy.³

We believe that formal training is vital to combat complacency concerning criticality hazards. Unlike accidents such as falls or fires, criticality has not been in the realm of experience for most workers. Specific rules can thus be perceived as arbitrary and as hindrances to accomplishing assigned tasks. To help overcome such attitudes, we make criticality prevention a high-profile program. Videotaped presentations on basic criticality theory alternate with live lectures presented by the person responsible for criticality safety in HFEF. Even though it

*Now at Nuclear Science Center, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 72803.

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U. S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U. S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U. S. Government purposes.

ASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

EBO

requires a significant commitment of time, we believe all personnel involved in the design of equipment, writing of procedures, and supervision need to be trained. A recent study of 421 criticality safety violations indicated that 85% were associated with faulty practices; the rest were due to mechanical failures.⁴ It is our experience that mandatory training and testing actually help to reduce pressure on the individual since everyone must participate, regardless of their background. Feedback and discussion are encouraged in each training session, so the program is enriched by shared experience. This tends to reduce personal prejudices and build a common base of knowledge. In turn, communications between individuals and groups with diverse responsibilities are facilitated.

The Manager of the HFEF Complex has authorized a single professional staff-member to oversee implementation of the criticality prevention program. This individual works closely with the training coordinator and the operations manager to assure that all personnel are qualified, and that all procedures involving nuclear fuel are reviewed and approved prior to implementation. A major effort in his area of responsibility is to maintain a high degree of visibility and rapport with the fissile material handlers. This is considered essential in the implementation of our criticality training program. Feedback from the operators is important to assure the constant review of equipment and methods. To be effective, the person responsible for criticality safety must be one of the first to know of any problems on the operating floor. He must have the confidence of management, experimenters, and designers so his guidance concerning operational decisions and facility modifications will be credible. The HFEF management plan calls for a review of new or modified procedures and equipment by this person. The earlier his involvement, the smoother the process. By maintaining communication between Operating personnel and persons responsible for Safety, the probability of accidental criticality is minimized.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

REFERENCES

1. A. S. Garcia, et al., "Administrative Practices for Criticality Safety at The Hot Fuel Examination Facility," Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. 38, 365 (1981).
2. J. C. Courtney and V. N. Thelen, "Criticality Safety Training at a Fuel Examination Facility," Health Physics, Vol 40, 729 (1981).
3. F. L. DiLorenzo, et al., "Hot Fuel Examination Facility Operating Philosophy and Experience," Proc. 29th Conf. Remote Syst. Technol., 3 (1981).
4. R. C. Lloyd, et al., "Assessment of Criticality Safety in DOE Facilities," Pacific Northwest Laboratory Report PNL-3790 (May 1981).

TABLE 1

Basic Theory of Criticality

Objective and Introduction

Basic Nuclear Physics

Atomic and Nuclear Structure

Radioactivity

Neutron Reactions

Nuclear Fission

Nuclear Fuels

Breeding

Fission Products

Neutron Production

Energy Release

Consequences of Accidental Criticality

Prompt Radiation-Shielding

Contamination-Containment

Facility Damage-Recovery Costs

Termination of the Chain Reaction

Factors that Influence Criticality

Critical Mass

Facility Zones

Shape of Fuel Masses

Neutron Interactions of Adjacent Units

Isotopic Enrichment

Moderation of Neutrons

Poison Materials

Neutron Reflection

Criticality Control at The HFEF

Facility Design

Radiation Instrumentation

Equipment and Process Design

Fuel Handling Rules

Summary