CONF-890335--14

STUDIES OF FERRITE MATERIALS FOR THE AGS BOOSTER SYNCHROTRON

M.A. Goldman, P. Cameron, R.T. Sanders and J. Tuozzolo BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY Upton, New York 11973

### Abstract

The ENL Booster Synchrotron will inject heavy isn and proton bears of increased intensity into the Alternating Gradient Synchrotron. Its accelerating cavities are sweep-tuned by varying the permeability of ferrite core rings within the cavities. Core material selection criteria and evaluation are discussed Measurements of permeability, loss and permittivity are presented.

#### Introduction

The heavy ion cavities will operate at (1) 0 175-0.68 MHz and (II) 0.67-2.5 MHz; the proton cavities will operate at (III) 2.4-4.2 MHz, and are described in [1]. To attain voltages originally scheduled in [1] a 8 x f product (proportional to voltage per unit cross-section area of ferrite) of 115 Gauss x MHz is desired for ferrites in frequency bands I. II and 375 Gauss x MHz for band III. During acceleration, the core inductance should vary as  $1/f^2$  to resonate with cavity gap capacitors. This is done by programming a DC bias current to control the polarizing magnetic field,  $H_p$ , in the cores.

For band I a high permeability ferrite  $(\mu=1,400 \text{ at } 0.18 \text{ MHz})$  is needed, both to attain a high B x f product and to avoid an excessive volume of high voltage capacitors at the gap.

In contrast, a low- $\mu$  ferrite ( $\mu$ =110 at 2.5 MHz) is needed for III to achieve required gap voltage without excessive loss while allowing the presence of sufficient gap capacitance to avoid excitation of Robinson's instability [2] by the intense proton beam.

A study of candidate materials led to a choice of TDK type SY7, a 1:2 Ni-Zn ferrite for I, II and Philips type 4M2, a 6:4 Ni-Zn spinel, for III. High- $\mu$  Mn-Zn ferrites tested for possible use in I had excessive loss. In the sections below we outline measurement methods for ferrite evaluation and present some of our measured results.

#### Ferrice Evaluation

Candidate materials were screened by measuring mean permeability,  $\bar{\mu}_{\Delta}$  and power loss/volume,  $P_D/V$ , of small sample rings, in the test cavity of Fig. 1, using the circuit of Fig. 2.



Fig. 1 Small Sample Evaluation Test Cavity \* Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Dept. of Energy

BNL--42668

DE89 011903



Fig. 2 Measurement Circuit for the Small Sample Cavity

Two rings are series connected by figure-8 winding which is electrostatically shielded and magnetically decoupled from the Hp winding. The feed capacitor is several times larger than the tuning capacitor. The cavity is resonated by varying the precision tuning capacitor to give minimum voltage across the feed capacitor; the RF voltages across these capacitors will then be close to quadrature. The mean RF permeability  $\overline{\mu}_{\Delta}$ , peak RF area-averaged B-field,  $B_{\rm RF}$  peak, and loss are obtained from the resonance voltages across the RMS voltmeters V, and ٧, . Before RF measurements are made, the rings are initially polarized by cycling Hp between remanence and saturation. A thermally controlled oil bath allows constant temperature measurements to be made. Fig's. 3, 4 show typical behavior of permeability and loss for small SY7 sample rings.

> Fig. 3 Typical Variation of Permeability at Constant Frequency and Polarizing Field.



DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED



Fig. ( Expical Loss Dependence on RF Flux Density and Polarizing Magnetic Field Intensity

voltage divider, each terminated in an itte covoltmeter to allow power measurement to da measurements were made at fixed tuning capacitance frequency. Swept loss measurements are being made. is expected that these will give higher loss becau of domain wall motion processes associated and variation of Hp.

> Fig. 7 Temperas ture Dependence : SY7 RF Resistance





Fig 3 RF Resistivity of SY7 Ferrice



Full cled rings are studied in a 2-ring cavity contragated in that the rings lie in separate annular car.Ties. Destrically in parallel; bias current counterstock, has so as to cancel induction between the bias and FF purrent paths (Fig. S). The resonant RF current is is then by a Pearson model 110 current transformer must a present box in the coaxial RF feed line is HE willing is sampled by a capacitive



## Measurement Results

In both large and small rings of SY7 the loss varied as  $B_{rf}$  2.07±.05, measured at constant bias field intensity, for a wide range of  $B_{rf}$  and  $H_p$ ; loss increases monotonically and rapidly with  ${\rm H}_p$  when measured at constant  $B_{rf}$  and frequency. This behavior holds even up to the onset of magnetic instabilities (when the material's domain structure changes under the influence of large RF excitation). In the 4M2, loss varies also as  $B_{rf}^{2.07}$  at low and moderate  $B_{rf}$ but at large RF flux density shows a saturation behavior; loss increases rapidly as B<sub>rf</sub> increases towards a saturation value which depends upon Hp. (Fig. 9)

Fig. 9 Large Ring Static Loss Versus RF Induction Field, For Band III Bias Schedule P/ WATTS/CM3 1 1 1 1 1 1 4M2FERRITE RINGS 44cm 0.D. 30cm 1.D. 254 CM L. 0,1 È 9.80 T = 80° F = 33 =2.5 10 100 10 Б<sub>рг</sub> реак (GAUSS)

0Ю

00





Fig. 8 Two-Ring Test Cavity for Full Sized Ferrite

- Coaxial RF Feed Electrode 1. 2
  - Cruciform RF Feed Through Slotted Coaxial Electrode
    - Copper Midplane Place
  - 5 Resonator Cavity Pan

  - RF Feed Disc from Outer Coax Feed to Pan
  - Slotted Extension of Coaxial Outer Electrode
  - Ę Ferrite Rings
  - Water Cooling Plates

Fig 10 shows measured loss for a band (II) drive schedule. The SY7 rings achieved 115 Gauss x MHz operation in band II without onset of instability, but only achieved half this Bf product in band I when operating over essentially the same bias field ranze 16-1 8 and 0.1-1.7 Oe respectively). Onset of instability rather than excessive loss may limit the band I operating voltage; in this case the cavity could operate at lower voltage for longer acceleration The 4M2 material operated steady at 375 Gauss x time. MHz over the lower half of band III but came close to thermal loss limits (0.3 watt/cm<sup>3</sup> average) and instability over the upper half of the band; the accelerating cavity design has been modified to use ramped decreasing accelerating voltage over this half band with modified proton beam synchronous phase angle



Fig. 10 Large Ring Static Loss for Proposed Booster Band II Drive Schedule

- 10. Ceramic Tuning Capacitors
- 11. Bias Current Feed Tubes
- 12. Bias Current Feed Rod Array
- 13. Insulating Bushing
- 14. Bearing Pillow Blocks to Allow Cavity Inversion for Ferrite Installation
- 15. Cover Plates Carrying Bias Current
- 16. Lug Plates for Bias Current Feed
- 17. 50:1 Capacitive Voltage Divider

At moderate  $B_{RF}$  the ferrites have behaved as lossy inductors, but at large BRF they show complex behavior, whose nature depends upon the particular Hagnetic aftereffect, excitation conditions. 2-frequency quasiperiodic oscillation in cavity current with best period of several milliseconds and, at large drive, fully developed chaotic behavior have all been observed. These phenomena are being investigated in further studies.

# Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge extensive discussions with Martin Plotkin and Klaus Kaspar which contributed to the measurements. They thank John Bunicci, Nick Laloudakis and Alex Zaltsman for implementing the power amplifier electronics. Mikhael Pritsker and Steve Cutstein for contributions to the measurements and planning and thank A. McNerney and W T. Weng for management support and encouragement.

## References

- R. Sanders, et al., Proc. European Particle [1] Accelerator Conf. Rome, Italy June 7-11, 1988
- [2] K. W. Robinson, Cambridge Electron Accelerator Report CEAL-1010, 1964.

# DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.