MASTER

UCRL- 86383 PREPRINT

UCRL--86383

DE82 005985

CONF-810785--1

Even-Parity Quartet Autodetaching States of He"

A. U. Hazi

___ DISCLAIMER -----

The boy and serviced an access to and service a rest of an accession, all the memory services and services and an accession accession of a service and services and accession and accession accession for the accession accession accession accession accession accession accession for the accession acc

Proceedings of Conference on "Electron Scattering from Atoms and Atomic Ions" Greenbelt, MD



EVEN PARITY QUARTET AUTODETACHING STATES OF He-

A. U. Hazi

Theoretical Atomic and Molecular Physics Group Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory University of California Livermore, California 94550

Recently two groups have measured^{1,2} the total photodetachment cross section of the metastable, (1s2s2p) $^{4}p^{0}$ state of He⁻ at several wavelengths between 10µ and 308 nm. Hazi and Reed³ have obtained theoretical cross sections for the processes:

from threshold (0.076 eV) to 3.0 eV photon energy. As part of these calculations, we have also studied the even parity, quartet, autodetaching states of He⁻ which are optically connected to the metastable ${}^{4}P^{0}$ state and which are associated with the n = 2 and n = 3 states of He.

In both the photodetachment and electron scattering calculations, we used extensive configuration interaction (CI) wavefunctions to describe the He target states, the He⁻ resonance states and the photodetachment continua. The Stieltjes moment-theory technique⁴ was used to extract the partial photodetachment cross sections from the discrete representations of the electron scattering continua. The use of the Stieltjes technique allowed us to include both channel-channel coupling and fully correlated He ³S and ³p⁰ wavefunctions in the calculations.

The many-electron wave functions were built from orthonormal atomic orbitals which were linear combinations of Slater-type orbitals(STO). To construct the basis, we started with the (4s, 4p, 2d) "critical" basis of Bunge and Bunge,⁵ which was adequate to describe $He(2^{3}S)$, $He(2^{3}P^{0})$ and $He^{-}({}^{4}P^{0})$, and we augmented it with 9s, 7p and 9d diffuse STO's to approximate the scattering electron. The exponents of the augmenting functions were chosen in decreasing geometric sequences, i.e., 2s: $0.244x2^{-n/2}$ n = 0, ...8; 2p: $0.106x2^{-n/2}$ n = 0 ...6; and 3d: $0.2687 \times 2^{-n/2}$ n = 0, ...8. Complete CI calculations with the (4s, 4p, 2d) core basis gave -2.1746 and -2.1325 hartree for the energies of $He(2^{3}S)$ and $He(2^{3}P^{0})$, respectively. The calculated ${}^{3}S - {}^{3}P^{0}$ separation is 1.147 eV. in good accord with the exact value of 1.145 eV. To describe $He^{-(^4P^0)}$, we used all the configurations which could be constructed from the (4s, 4p, 2d) basis plus 20 additional configurations which contained one diffuse s and three diffuse p STO's. Our 120 term wave function gave -2.1774 hartree for the energy of $He^{-}({}^{4}P^{0})$, compared to the accurate value of -2.17807 hartree.⁵ Our calculated electron affinity of $He(2^{3}S)$ is 0.077 meV, which is identical to that obtained previously.⁵

Figure 1 shows the ${}^{4}P$ partial cross section representing the detachment of the 2s electron from He⁽⁴P⁰⁾ into the p-wave continuum. This channel exhibits an extremely large (~24x10⁻¹⁶ cm²) and quite narrow peak about 10 meV above the 2³P⁰ threshold. To identify the physical mechanism underlying this prominent feature of the ${}^{4}P^{0} \rightarrow {}^{4}P$ spectrum, we calculated independently the ${}^{4}P$ scattering phase shift using the closecoupling code IMPACT.⁶ We used the same orbitals and the same 24-term He(2³P⁰) wave function as in the photodetachment calculations. Two (1snd) ³D pseudostates were included as closed channels. Figure 2 shows that, starting from the $2^{3}p^{0}$ threshold, the calculated phase shift rises rapidly over a narrow energy region to 2.5 radians, a behavior which indicates a resonance. Inspection of the corresponding wave function shows that this enhancement should be assigned to a $(1s2p^{2})$ ⁴P shape-resonance. A Breit-Wigner analysis placed the resonance at 10.6 meV above He($2^{3}p^{0}$) and gave 7.0 meV for the width. These values are consistent with the shape of the detachment cross section shown in Fig. 1.

Previously, Holgéin and Geltman⁷ calculated the $(1s2p^2)$ ⁴P state of He⁻ to be 0.2 eV below He (2^3P^0) , contrary to the present results. With extensive CI wave functions containing 466 terms, we were not able to obtain a ⁴P eigenvalue below He (2^3P^0) , and the wave function associated with the lowest eigenvalue always represented a very low energy, 0.001 eV, scattering solution (see Fig. 2). Unpublished calculations by Bunge and Bunge also place the $(1s2p^2)$ ⁴P state in the electron scattering continuum of He (2^3p^0) .

In the energy region near the n = 3 states of He, we found only one even parity, quartet, Feshbach resonance: $(1s3p^2)$ ⁴P, which lies 0.18 eV below He $(3 \ ^3p^0)$. For this state, our calculated binding energy of the resonant 3p electron is almost the same as that found by Oberoi and Nesbet⁸ for the (1s3s3p) ⁴P⁰ Feshbach resonance. We did not find the (1s3s3d) ⁴D resonance which appeared in Oberoi and Nesbet's calculations⁸ at 0.16 eV below He (3^3S) . A possible reason for this discrepancy is the lack of f-type orbitals in our basis set. Additional calculations, using accurate wavefunctions for the n = 3 states of He, will be required to clarify this energy region.

*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-ENG-48.

-3-

. 1

- 1. R. N. Compton, G. D. Alton, and D. J. Pegg, J. Phys. B 13, L651 (1980).
- R. V. Hodges, M. J. Coggiola and J. R. Peterson, Phys. Rev. A <u>23</u>, 59 (1981).
- 3. A. U. Hazi and K. Reed, Phys. Rev. A (to be published).
- 4. P. W. Langhoff in <u>Electron-Molecule and Photon-Molecule Collisions</u>, edited by T. Rescigno, V. McKoy and B. Schneider (Plenum, New York 1979) p. 183.
- 5. A. V. Bunge and C. F. Bunge, Phys. Rev. A 19, 452 (1979).
- M. A. Crees, M. J. Seaton, and P. M. H. Wilson, Comp. Phys. Comm. <u>15</u>, 23 (1978).
- 7. E. Holpien and S. Geltman, Phys. Rev. A 53, 81 (1967).
- 8. R. S. Oberoi and R. K. Nesbet, Phys. Rev. A 8, 2969 (1973).

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work spontored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government and the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implicit, or assumes any legal linkibity or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infinge privately named rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not accessarily constitute of imply its endowerment, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of autions expressed herein do not accessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, means and shall not be used for advertising or product endocuments.



Fig. 1 ⁴P partial cross section for $hv + He^{-(^4P^0) \rightarrow He(2^3P^0)} + e(kp)$. Energy relative to $He^{-(^4P^0)}$.



Fig. 2 ⁴P phaseshift for the $He(2^{3}P^{0}) + e(kp)$ channel. The arrows indicate the energies of the discrete wave functions approximating the ⁴P continuum in the Stieltjes calculations.