NUREG/CR-5731

Piedmont Seismic Reflection Study: A Program Integrated with Tectonics to Probe the Cause of Eastern Seismicity

Prepared by
L. Glover III, C. Çoruh, J. K. Costain, G. A. Bollinger

Department of Geological Sciences
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Prepared for
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Availability of Reference Materials Cited in NRC Publications

Most documents cited in NRC publications will be available from one of the following sources:

1. The NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Lower Level, Washington, DC 20555

2. The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20013-7082

3. The National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161

Although the listing that follows represents the majority of documents cited in NRC publications, it is not intended to be exhaustive.

Referenced documents available for inspection and copying for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room include NRC correspondence and internal NRC memoranda; NRC bulletins, circulars, information notices, inspection and investigation notices; licensee event reports; vendor reports and correspondence; Commission papers; and applicant and licensee documents and correspondence.

The following documents in the NUREG series are available for purchase from the GPO Sales Program: formal NRC staff and contractor reports, NRC-sponsored conference proceedings, international agreement reports, grant publications, and NRC booklets and brochures. Also available are regulatory guides, NRC regulations in the *Code of Federal Regulations*, and *Nuclear Regulatory Commission Issuances*.

Documents available from the National Technical Information Service include NUREG-series reports and technical reports prepared by other Federal agencies and reports prepared by the Atomic Energy Commission, forerunner agency to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal articles, and transactions. *Federal Register* notices, Federal and State legislation, and congressional reports can usually be obtained from these libraries.

Documents such as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings are available for purchase from the organization sponsoring the publication cited.

Single copies of NRC draft reports are available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request to the Office of Administration, Distribution and Mail Services Section, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at the NRC Library, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, for use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, NY 10018.

DISCLAIMER

NUREG/CR--5731

TI92 012158

Piedmont Seismic Reflection Study: A Program Integrated with Tectonics to Probe the Cause of Eastern Seismicity

Manuscript Completed: February 1992
Date Published: March 1992

Prepared by
L. Glover III, C. Çoruh, J. K. Costain, G. A. Bollinger

Department of Geological Sciences
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
4044 Derring Hall
Blacksburg, VA 24061

Prepared for
Division of Engineering
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
NRC FIN D1552
Under Contract No. NRC-04-85-106

MASTER

For sale by the U.S. Government Printing Office
Superintendent of Documents, Mail Stop: SSOP, Washington, DC 20402-9328
ISBN 0-16-036179-6

Abstract

A new tectonic model of the Appalachian orogen indicates that one, not two or more, terrane boundaries is present in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge of the central and southern Appalachians. This terrane boundary is the Taconic suture, it has been transported in the allochthonous Blue Ridge/Piedmont crystalline thrust nappe, and it is repeated at the surface by faulting and folding associated with later Paleozoic orogenies. The suture passes through the lower crust and lithosphere somewhere east of Richmond. It is spatially associated with seismicity in the central Virginia seismic zone, but is not conformable with earthquake focal planes and appears to have little causal relation to their localization.

A velocity and Q study in central Virginia implies that the gross mineralogy at depth in the upper crust is free of hydrous phases.

Subsurface structure in the central Virginia seismic zone differs in several ways from that along strike in the aseismic Roanoke River traverse. The metamorphic Blue Ridge/Piedmont plate probably overlies carbonates and clastics in both areas, but the metamorphic plate is 9 km thick in the central Virginia seismic zone but only 3 km thick in the Roanoke River traverse. As estimated by the amount of rollover (westward slumping during the Mesozoic), the central Virginia seismic zone may be more pervasively broken by distributed high angle normal faults than is the Roanoke River area. This implies greater access to deep upper crustal crystalline rocks by groundwater. Deeper penetration by groundwater may reduce the yield point of rock under stress and shorten the period of seismicity. This implies that the central Virginia seismic zone is localized by groundwater access. A corollary may be that the aseismic areas have very long period (>500 to 5000 ? years) seismicity and earthquakes of greater magnitude.

Focal mechanism planes of Munsey and Bollinger (1985) have attitudes of, 1) NW to NNW strike and steep NE or SW dips, or 2) ENE to NE strike and steep NW or SE dips. These planes are all at rather high angles to Paleozoic structure and would seem unrelated to it. The NNW set is somewhat concordant with the strike of Mesozoic dikes in the area but not with their dip.

Focal plane solutions in the Appalachians commonly give both northwesterly and northeasterly striking p-axes. Because it is unlikely that the same rock volume could transmit two distinct p-axes, one or both of them may be wrong.

Single seismic event p-axes are dependent only on the orientations of the focal planes which may be strongly influenced by crustal anisotropies (McKenzie, 1969). The focal planes and slip axes are the more likely to be real. Preliminary attempts to fit a single regional p-axis to all of the planes of Munsey and Bollinger (1985) gives an apparently good fit for a N55°E trending p-axis. This is approximately parallel with the dominant NE regional p-axis west of the Appalachians.

The best fit focal planes are oriented generally ENE, dip NW and SE steeply and are not concordant with any geologic structure in the area.

Contents

List of Figures and Plates

Part A: A new tectonic model for the central and southern Appalachians.

By Lynn Glover, III

Preface

Current tectonic models lack agreement on the number and locations of continental sutures in the Appalachians. These first order structural features have been thought to exert some control on the seismicity of the region. Therefore it seems prudent to determine where these lithospheric-plate-bounding zones are when searching for the cause of localization of eastern seismicity.

The tectonic model for the Appalachians presented herein differs from existing models in four important respects; 1) there is a large uplift of 1Ga Grenville basement in the eastern Piedmont of VA. 2) Only one suture (Taconic) is recognized in the exposed Appalachians, and that separates the Carolina (Avalon) magmatic terrane from the Laurentian passive margin. 3) The Chopawamsic/ James Run volcanic belt is recognized as a part of Carolinia/Avalonia, and is not a different island arc. 4) The eastern margin of Laurentia (and its upper bounding surface, the Taconic suture) extends in the subsurface below the coastal plain at least 50 kilometers east of Richmond

Introduction

Bird and Dewey (1970) produced the first comprehensive modern tectonic model that included the central and southern Appalachians. It was essentially an extrapolation of northern Appalachian and Newfoundland data into the southeast. However, a model based primarily on northern Appalachian geology didn't seem to fit the central and southern Appalachians and, in 1972 Robert D. Hatcher, Jr., attempted the first comprehensive tectonic model for the southern Appalachians. His model proposed that the eastern Piedmont volcanics, (Charlotte, Carolina slate, Raleigh, and eastern slate belts, Figure 1) represented a late Precambrian to Early Ordovician island arc on the eastern edge of Laurentia. Westward subduction of oceanic crust was presumed to have generated an Andean-type orogeny during the Middle Ordovician-Silurian. Mid-Late Devonian to Permian collision with Africa resulted from continued westward subduction and produced the Acadian and Alleghanian orogenies.

Odom and Fullagar (1973) and Rankin (1975) suggested models in which the Brevard zone along the eastern Blue Ridge was a suture

Rodgers (1972) suggested that the Carolina slate belt rocks were part of Avalonia and probably developed as an island arc on oceanic crust far from Laurentia. During the Taconic they were thought to have collided with Laurentia.

Glover and Sinha (1973) noted that the Carolina slate belt had affinities with magmatic arcs on continental crust. However, they also noted that because volcanic detritus is absent in the early Paleozoic shelf rocks of Laurentia, it is unlikely that the partly coeval Carolina slate belt volcanics were deposited on or adjacent to the Laurentian continent. From this they concluded that the western edge of the Kings Mountain/ Char-



Figure 1. Geologic belts of the central and southern Appalachians. From Glover, 1989.

lotte/Carolina slate belt magmatic arc at its juncture with the Inner Piedmont was probably the locus of the suture which resulted from eastward subduction and collision (Figures 1, 2). This collision may have closed a back arc basin or a major ocean basin in the Middle and Late Ordovician.

Hatcher (1978) revised his earlier model, increasing the number of sutures from one to three. The basements of the Inner Piedmont and Charlotte/slate belts (Figure 1) were presumed to be continental fragments rifted from Laurentia between 800 and 700 Ma. Westward subduction draped the outer fragment with Charlotte/slate belt volcanics from about 700 to ca 450 Ma. Simultaneously the oceanic basins between the Laurentian continent/Inner Piedmont fragment and between the Inner Piedmont and Charlotte belt/slate belt fragments were closing, culminating in the Taconic orogeny during Middle/Late Ordovician. Continued westward subduction of oceanic crust beneath the Charlotte/slate belt closed the Iapetan Ocean until continental collision with Africa took place in the Acadian/Alleghanian orogenies during the Late Paleozoic.

Hatcher and Odom (1980) modified the 1978 model to include: Taconic collision between the Piedmont fragment and the North American craton; Acadian collision between Avalonia and the Piedmont-North American block; and Alleghanian collision between Avalonia and Africa.

The suspect terrane concept, formalized in the western North American Cordillera (Coney and others, 1980), sparked the beginning of a new tangent in the development of Appalachian tectonic models. In 1982 Williams and Hatcher published a paper on the accretionary history of the Appalachians. This paper essentially cast the Hatcher 1978 model, for the central and southern Appalachians, in the new terminology, but added several new terranes thought to possibly be bounded by suture zones. Currently, at least three papers (Rankin and others, 1989; Horton and others, 1989; Keppie and Dallmeyer, 1989) divide the central and southern Appalachians into 10's of terranes, each considered by their authors to be bounded by possible sutures!

Glover and others (1983), reporting on the ages of ductile deformation and metamorphism in the central and southern Appalachians, concluded that the only suture in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge of the central and southern Appalachians is the Taconic suture. This suture is found along the western boundary of the Kings Mountain belt in North Carolina and extends into Virginia along the western boundary of the Charlotte belt and Chopawamsic volcanics (Figures 1, 2). Other sutures, of Acadian and/or Alleghanian ages must lie under the Atlantic Coastal Plain or offshore in basement rocks (Figure 2).

Hatcher (1987) further revised the Hatcher and Odom (1980) model to include the Penobscottian orogeny (Early Cambrian to Early Ordovician) as an early stage in the collision of the "Piedmont arc" with the North American craton.

Largely because of the mafic-ultramafic association in the eastern Blue Ridge, there has been an overwhelming inclination to view at least part of the post Grenville sequence as collisional ophiolitic melange, thus creating a terrane boundary (suture) of Precambrian to Ordovician age (Hatcher and others, 1984; Abbott and Raymond, 1984; Conley, 1985; Hatcher, 1989; Rankin *in* Rankin and others, 1989; Horton and others, 1989, Stanley and Ratcliffe, 1985).

Glover (1989) presented a new model, from which this paper is extracted, showing that the Taconic suture is the only suture in the exposed central and southern Appala-



Figure 2. Tectonic map of the central and southern Appalachians. From Glover, 1989

chians.

Regional Tectonics

Blue Ridge Grenville Basement. Felsic and intermediate gneisses of the Grenville province comprise the oldest rocks found in the central and southern Appalachians. These billion-year-old rocks, the basement upon which the Laurentian part of the Appalachian orogenic system was assembled, crop out along the axis of the Blue Ridge in Virginia (Sinha and Bartholomew, 1984) and reappear in the eastern Piedmont where they are known as the Goochland "terrane" (Glover and others, 1978; Farrar, 1984). Although it is well established that the Grenville lies with profound unconformity (Nelson, 1932) below Late Precambrian conglomeratic sandstones (rift facies of Wehr and Glover, 1985) that comprise the oldest strata of the Appalachian system, these basement rocks remain the least understood of all geologic units exposed within the Appalachians. The recent state of Appalachian Grenville knowledge was summarized in a symposium volume (Bartholomew, editor, 1984).

In the central Virginia Blue Ridge the Rockfish Valley fault divides the Grenville basement into two massifs of contrasting lithology (Bartholomew, 1977; Bartholomew and others, 1981): the Pedlar massif west of the fault and the Lovingston massif east of the fault. The Pedlar contains granulite facies, massive pyroxene granofels and layered gneisses with a slight overprint of low grade metamorphic minerals. The Lovingston appears to represent a similar suite of rocks with a more intense greenschist metamorphic and deformational overprint of Paleozoic age. Bartholomew and others (1981), and Sinha and Bartholomew (1984) consider the Lovingston and Pedlar to represent massifs metamorphosed during the Precambrian at shallower, and deeper P-T conditions respectively, and to have been juxtaposed at their present structural levels during Paleozoic orogenesis. Evans (1984) made the interpretation that both massifs were originally at granulite facies during the Precambrian, and that the Lovingston massif was retrograded, largely to greenschist facies, during the Paleozoic.

Pettingill and others (1984) report Rb/Sr whole rock ages of orthogneisses ranging from about 1009 to 1021 Ma. Sinha and Bartholomew (1984) give zircon U/Pb ages for the Grenville orthogneisses in central Virginia ranging from 1130 to 1070 Ma. Detrital zircons suggest an older sediment source of 1870 Ma. The final metamorphism may have culminated at about 920 Ma.

Goochland Nappes of the Eastern Piedmont. These Grenville massifs (Figures 1, Plate 1), internal to the Appalachian orogen, comprise a sequence of units including from lower (older?) to higher (younger?) respectively the State Farm Gneiss, Sabot Amphibolite and Maidens Gneiss. The State Farm and possibly the Sabot were intruded by the Montpelier Anorthosite, which is similar to the Roseland Anorthosite that intruded the Blue Ridge Grenville basement just south of the latitude of this traverse (Plate 1).

The Goochland has been determined to be fault bounded along all of its contacts except where covered by early Mesozoic and younger sediments.

State Farm Gneiss (Brown, 1937; Goodwin, 1970, Poland, 1976; Reilly, 1980;

Farrar, 1984). The dominant rock type is a medium- to coarse-grained biotite-allanite monzogranite locally containing hornblende. At the type locality, a quarry on the State Farm, less deformed phases show relict plutonic textures and enclaves of more mafic rocks. Less deformed parts of the formation are massive, more deformed parts are layered. The monzogranite appears to locally grade into garnet-hornblende granodioritic to tonalitic gneiss. A relatively high titanium content in the State Farm is suggested by abundant clusters of titanite grains (Poland, 1976, Farrar, 1984). A.E. Gates, S.S. Farrar and J.G. Patterson (personal communication, 1985) report a mappable, tabular unit of pelitic garnet-biotite gneiss within the State Farm in the Hanover Academy and Montpelier quadrangles north of the James River. The State Farm appears to contain both metaigneous and metasedimentary protoliths.

Sabot Amphibolite; (Goodwin, 1970; Poland, 1976; Reilly, 1980; Farrar, 1984). The Sabot is dominantly a medium- to coarse-grained hornblende (locally with diopside cores) - plagioclase - quartz amphibolite with volumetrically minor, but abundant, thin interlayers of quartz - biotite - plagioclase and quartz - plagioclase. The amphibolite is a widely distributed tabular body that conspicuously outlines several domes in the Goochland massif along the eastern Piedmont in this part of Virginia (Plate 1).

A.E. Gates, S.S. Farrar and J.G. Patterson (personal communication, 1985) mapped a low-angle regional discordance between the base of the Sabot and the compositional layering in the underlying State Farm Gneiss. The origin of this discordance is unknown, however, it may be a fault or an angular unconformity. The upper contact of the Sabot seems to be everywhere conformable with overlying tabular units of gneiss and schist in the Maidens Gneiss. Most authors have suggested that its protolith may have been mafic volcanics of lava or pyroclastic origin.

Maidens Gneiss: (Poland, 1976; Farrar, 1984). This is an heterogeneous formation that structurally conformably overlies the Sabot (Plate 1). Its upper contact is unknown due to structural truncation or erosion. The dominant layered lithologies include garnet-biotite-quartz-plagioclase gneiss, biotite - quartz - plagioclase - K - feldspar augen gneiss, garnet - biotite - kyanite - K - feldspar - muscovite - plagioclase - quartz gneiss, biotite granitic gneiss, and lesser amounts of hornblende - diopside - plagioclase gneiss, scapolite - diopside - hornblende - K - feldspar - quartz - garnet gneiss and numerous thin calc - silicate layers. Poland (1976) concluded from a study of modal mineralogy, and the characteristics of zircon populations in the Maidens that it appeared to be a stratified volcanic and sedimentary formation. The Maidens is more feldspathic than either the Wissahickon or Lynchburg formations with which it has been compared (Poland, 1976; Reilly, 1980), and does not, compositionally or in facies succession, resemble other post-Grenville formations in the region (Glover and others, 1978). It does, however, bear some resemblance to the veined gneiss phase of the Grenville Baltimore gneiss.

Montpelier Anorthosite: (Clement and Bice, 1982; Bice and Clement, 1982). The Montpelier Anorthosite occurs along the northern edge of the State Farm dome about 16 km north of the James River where it intrudes the State Farm and may intrude the Sabot (Plate 1). The inner core of the anorthosite is coarse-grained rock composed of antiperthitic plagioclase, quartz, apatite, ilmenite, titanite, rutile and clinopyroxene partially altered to biotite and amphibole (A.E. Gates, S.S. Farrar and J.G. Patterson. personal communication, 1986). The outer zone is a foliated and lineated medium coarse-grained, recrystallized anorthosite consisting of plagioclase-microcline - quartz - ilmenite - titanite - rutile and clinopyroxene partially altered to biotite and amphibole (A.E. Gates, S.S. Farrar and J.G. Patterson, personal communication, 1986).

Metamorphism. The Goochland massif was metamorphosed to granulite facies (Farrar, 1984) at about 1 Ga (Glover and others, 1978) and then retrograded during Paleozoic metamorphic events to amphibolite facies. Relict core volumes of all formations in the massif still contain granulite assemblages in less than 10% of outcrops observed. Granulite facies assemblages include: 1) orthopyroxene + clinopyroxene + plagioclase, 2) orthopyroxene + garnet + plagioclase, 3) clinopyroxene + garnet + plagioclase. All include rutile and/or ilmenite, and all are ± quartz, K-feldspar, hornblende, and biotite. Pelitic assemblages may have K-feldspar + sillimanite + quartz ± garnet ± plagioclase. K-feldspar is perthitic orthoclase and may be the most common mineral.

According to Farrar (1984), the granulite assemblages in the Goochland are typical of assemblages forming in the range of 7.5 - 9 kb and 750° - 800° C.

During Paleozoic metamorphic events K-feldspar + sillimanite were hydrated to muscovite + quartz + kyanite or staurolite.

The granodiorite gneiss at the State Farm quarry is composed of quartz (33%) + plagioclase (36%) + mesoperthite/microcline (13%)+ biotite (13%) + garnet (4%) + hornblende (3%) + clinopyroxene (1%) + traces of chlorite, titanite, magnetite, and zircon. The pyroxene has been replaced almost completely by coronas of hornblende around clusters of hornblende + quartz ± biotite ± garnet (Farrar, 1984).

Progressive dehydration of clinopyroxene + garnet granulite formed the present hornblende + plagioclase (Sabot) amphibolite with minor relict clinopyroxene (Farrar, 1984).

The Maidens appears to have typically formed from dehydration of K-feldspar-bearing granulites to produce gneiss with relict K-feldspar augen in a groundmass of plagioclase + biotite + quartz ± garnet ± hornblende. A more complete list of mineral assemblages in the Goochland massif may be found in Farrar (1984).

An Alleghanian amphibolite facies metamorphic event is indicated by $^{40}Ar/^{39}Ar$ on hornblende and biotite in the State Farm Gneiss in which cooling ages of 280-260 m.y. were obtained (Durant, and others, 1980; Farrar, 1984). Glover and others (1983) noted from regional considerations of metamorphic ages that the entire Piedmont experienced Ordovician (Taconic) metamorphism and probably much of it underwent "Acadian", ca. 360 Ma , metamorphism also. Thus the Alleghanian age of metamorphism may be only the last of several metamorphisms that affected the Goochland massif.

The age of the State Farm Gneiss at the State Farm quarry is 1031 ± 94 Ma by Rb/Sr whole rock analysis (Glover and others 1978, 1982), and "about 1 Ga" by zircon U/Pb (A.K. Sinha, personal communication, 1988).

The Goochland is considered to be part of the 1 Ga pre-Laurentian Grenville sequence because:

1) the entire Goochland has a similar metamorphic history including an early granulite facies event not recognized in adjacent terranes (Farrar, 1984);

2) the Goochland rocks bear a close similarity to the Grenville of the Blue Ridge,

especially in the apparently high titanium content of the State Farm, in the presence of anorthosite in both massifs, and in the granulite facies of metamorphism;

3) the rocks of the Goochland do not bear a resemblance, in lithofacies or facies succession, to other sequences in the region such as the Lynchburg or Wissahickon formations with which they have been compared;

4) Ordovician, Taconic, granulite facies rocks of the Wilmington Complex of Delaware and Pennsylvania, about 200 km to the north, are metamorphosed Cambrian volcanics unlike the Goochland rocks (Farrar, 1984). Cambrian volcanics above and below the Goochland nappes (Plate 1) are at low metamorphic grade, and

5) surface geology and crustal structure along the I-64 vibroseis line through the Goochland terrane is consistent with the Goochland being a nappe complex of the Laurentian Grenville basement emplaced during a dextral transpressional event in the late Paleozoic Alleghanian orogeny.

Late Precambrian/Cambrian Rifting and the Cambrian Rift-to-Drift Transition

Crossnore Volcanic - Plutonic Suite. Following the peak of Grenville metamorphism, at about 920 Ma, uplift and erosion deeply dissected the orogen over a period of about 230 m.y. During this time the Grenville was denuded to a depth of about 25 km (Herz, 1984), exposing granulite facies rocks.

At about 690 ± 10 m.y. ago (Odom and Fullagar, 1984, Rb/Sr ages from samples in the Mount Rogers - Grandfather Mountain area of North Carolina and southern Virginia) continental rifting began coevally with emplacement of the fluorite and sodic amphibole - bearing, peralkaline Crossnore plutonic-volcanic suite (Rankin, 1976). Non-marine and marine volcanic rocks and arkosic sandstones accumulated in rift graben. The youngest age (Rb/Sr whole-rock) of Crossnore plutonism in that area is 646 ± 9 m.y. for the Crossnore Granite itself. Odom and Fullagar found that earlier zircon U/Pb ages (Rankin and others, 1969) gave falsely older ages (820 Ma) because of contamination from old Grenville gneisses which they assimilated.

Several members of the Crossnore suite occur in the Blue Ridge of central and northern Virginia (Plate 1):

1) One of these, the fluorite - bearing Mobley Mountain Granite near Roseland, about 40 km south of Charlottesville, gives a Rb/Sr whole-rock age of 652 ±22 m.y. (Herz and Force, 1984)

2) Another, the Rockfish River pluton, located about 30 km south of Charlottesville, has yielded ages of 646 ± 55 m.y.(Mose and Nagel, 1984), and 630 Ma, (Mose and Kline, 1986)

3) A third, questionably the Robertson River granite, gave an age of ca. 650 Ma on

zircon $^{207}Pb/^{206}Pb$ analysis by T. Stern (reported in, Rankin, 1976). According to Lukert and Banks (1984), Stern's analysis was done on a riebeckite granite that intrudes the main body of the Robertson River pluton, which lies about 100 km north of Charlottesville. Lukert and Banks determined an age of 732 ± 5 m.y from a zircon U/Pb concordia intercept for the main body of the Robertson River. The zircon samples of Lukert and Banks did not appear to contain inherited older cores. Mose and Nagel (1984) determined a Rb/Sr whole-rock age of 646 ± 55 m.y. from samples spread over most of the length of the Robertson River, excluding the area of the Stern riebeckite granite. Subsequently they reported a refined Rb/Sr whole-rock age of about 650 Ma (Mose and Kline, 1986). Therefore, U/Pb and Rb/Sr ages are in disagreement by about 80 m.y. Until a more detailed zircon analysis of the Robertson River suite is undertaken to look more specifically for older inherited components, the Rb/Sr data seems more attractive. It is also worth remembering that Rb/Sr ages of deeply emplaced and slowly cooled plutons are commonly as much as 25 m.y. younger than the emplacement age because of late closure of the isotopic system. Thus, the youngest Crossnore granitoid plutons in the region of our traverse are thought to be about 650 Ma.

Although the Catoctin and all post 650 Ma igneous rocks were originally included in the Crossnore volcanic-plutonic suite by Rankin (1976), it now seems that an older granite and rhyolite - bearing suite of rocks lies unconformably below the Lynchburg and its southern equivalent the Ashe Formation. This granite-bearing suite is about 690-650 Ma and it may be best to confine usage of the term Crossnore to these older rocks. A similar argument has also been made by Badger and Sinha (1988).

Erosion has removed volcanic rocks associated with the Crossnore volcanic-plutonic suite over much of the Virginia Blue Ridge, and now cobbles of the Robertson River may be found in the basal conglomerates of the overlying Mechums River and Fauquier (Lynchburg) Formations (Lukert and Banks, 1984). This provides an older age limit of about 650 Ma for the Lynchburg Group..

Lynchburg Group. The Lynchburg Formation was named by Jonas (1927) for exposures along the James River near Lynchburg, Virginia. This sequence of rift-related; clastic rocks, basaltic volcanic rocks and shallowly emplaced ultramafic dikes and sills, crops out along the east flank of the Blue Ridge anticlinorium in Virginia (Figures 2, Plate 1). It non conformably overlies Grenville basement or, locally, rocks of the Crossnore Volcanic - Plutonic suite. The Lynchburg has been recently subdivided into five formations by Wehr (1985) in the Culpeper-Charlottesville region (Figure 3). In the Culpeper area the Group comprises a terrestrial, alluvial outwash deposit (Bunker Hill Fm.) at its base. The overlying formations, Monumental Mills, Thorofare Mountain, Ball Mountain, and Charlottesville include a deep water retrogradational fan sequence (Wehr, 1983). Details of the Bunker Hill, Monumental Mills and Thorofare Mountain Formations are briefly characterized here.

Bunker Hill Formation This formation consists of 0-1000 m of poorly sorted, medium-grained to granule feldspathic arenite with minor siltstone and mudstone. It is absent in the Rockfish River area south of Charlottesville. Facies analysis indicates deposition as a braided outwash plain adjacent to glaciated highlands composed largely



Figure 6. Subdivisions of the lower Lynchburg Group between the Rappahannock and Robinson Rivers near Culpeper, Virginia. From Wehr (1985).

Figure 3. Subdivisions of the lower Lynchburg Group between the Rappahannock and Robinson Rivers near Culpeper, Virginia. From Wehr (1985)

of Grenville basement.

Monumental Mills Formation. Wehr (1985) divided this formation into a lower sandstone member of thin bedded fine- to medium-grained, well-sorted sandstone and siltstone, and an upper member of thin bedded to laminated siltstone and mudstone. The outcrop belt of the Monumental Mills is 0-1500 m wide and thins toward the south. The Monumental Mills is absent or represented only by the Rockfish Conglomerate in the Rockfish River area south of Charlottesville. Facies analysis suggests a slope environment.

Rockfish Conglomerate. This Formation is a pebbly, feldspathic sandstone with conglomerate lenses that makes up the basal unit of the Lynchburg Group in the Rockfish River area south of Charlottesville (Plate 1). The Formation is about 500 m thick and consists of cobble conglomerate in the lower part grading upward into coarse-grained pebbly sandstone. The upper 20 m is graded thin-bedded sandstone with local occurrences of outsized clasts interpreted to be ice-rafted dropstones. The lower contact is with a mylonitic zone separating basement from the Rockfish. The upper contact is gradational into the lower Thorofare Mountain Formation.

According to Wehr (1985) most of the larger clasts are very coarse-grained light colored basement gneiss. The Rockfish also contains clasts of granite, biotite gneiss, fine-grained aplite (?), and dark siltstone. In thin section Rockfish sandstones contain detrital quartz and feldspar in a schistose matrix of quartz, plagioclase, mica and magnetite. Facies analysis (Wehr, 1985) of outcrops along the Rockfish River has shown that the outsized clasts are ice-rafted dropstones and indicates that the conglomerate was deposited as subaqueous glacial outwash.

Thorofare Mountain Formation. The Thorofare Mountain Formation is recognized from the Culpeper area to the Rockfish River (Figure 3, Plate 1). This formation consists of medium-grained to pebbly, poorly sorted feldspathic sandstone with minor conglomerate, siltstone and graphitic mudstone. Sandstones are massive to faintly stratified in beds a few cm to more than 8 m thick. Interbeds of coarsely laminated siltstone and graphitic mudstone are common, and these lithologies also occur locally as rip-up clasts in intraformational conglomerate. Facies analysis indicates that this sequence was formed in a deep water submarine fan.

Ball Mountain Formation. This sequence extends throughout the area of study by Wehr (1985) and occupies a belt 1-4 km in width. It consists of coarse-grained to pebbly quartz wackes and quartzites interbedded with laminated siltstone and graphitic mudstone. The upper 100 m is locally a graphitic schist named the Johnson Mill Member (Nelson, 1962). Over much of the area between Culpeper and the Rockfish River the Ball Mountain truncates underlying units and is either in unconformable or fault contact with them. In some places it is in conformable, and gradational, contact with the Thorofare Mountain. Facies analysis of the Ball Mountain shows that it has sedimentary characteristics similar to the underlying Ball Mountain Formation and was deposited by sediment gravity flows (Wehr, 1983,1985). The Johnson Mill Member at the top of the formation is euxinic which suggests abrupt cessation of influx of clastic material and basin-wide starvation following Ball Mountain sandstone deposition (Wehr, 1985).

Charlottesville Formation. The Charlottesville formation extends throughout the Culpeper-Rockfish River area. According to Wehr (1985) it comprises schistose siltstone and mudstone with isolated outcrops of medium- to coarse-grained, commonly amalgamated sandstone beds. Sandstone beds range from a few mm to about a meter in thickness. They tend to be massive, although grading, horizontal stratification, and complete Bouma T(a-e) sequences occur. The lower 1000 m of the formation in the Rockfish area is characterized by coarsely laminated to very thin bedded, fine grained sandstone and siltstone with prominent biotite porphyroblasts. Similar rocks occur more locally near Culpeper. Primary textures and sedimentary structures indicate deposition by turbidity currents in deep water.

Swift Run Formation. This formation occurs throughout most of the Culpeper-Rockfish River area, ranging from 0 - 5 km in width of outcrop belt (Plate 1).

On the west side of the Blue Ridge anticlinorium (Stose and Stose, 1946; Bloomer, 1950; Werner, 1966; Brown 1970) the Swift Run occurs in lenses as much as 400 m thick unconformable upon basement and grading upward by interleaving with the overlying Catoctin Basalt (Plate 1). Here it consists of cross-bedded arkose, conglomerate, mudstone and intercalations of mafic tuffs and lavas and is interpreted as alluvial in depositional environment (Gathright, 1976).

In the Culpeper-Rockfish River area, on the east side of the Blue Ridge, the Swift Run is conformable with the underlying Charlottesville Formation and is gradational over a short distance by interleaving with the base of the Catoctin Formation. In this area it contains, at the base, coarse-grained feldspathic sandstone; in the middle, greenstone, rare felsic volcanic rock, fine-grained sandstone, and graphitic mudstone; and at the top, coarse-grained blue-quartz sandstone and arkose interbedded with pale green mudstone and a few thin greenstone beds. In the Culpeper area many Swift Run sandstones are calcareous, and along the Hazel River tabular marble clasts as much as 45 cm in length occur in a coarse-grained sandstone matrix (Wehr, 1985). To the north of the Culpeper area thin lenses of marble are present below the Catoctin Formation (Furcron, 1939; Parker 1968), and these may be correlative with the limestone conglomerate in the Culpeper area.

Turbidites suggest that the Swift Run on the east side of the Blue Ridge is probably a deep water sedimentary gravity-flow deposit, in contrast to its non-marine nature to the west.

Catoctin Formation This formation was named by Keith, 1894. Metabasalts and minor intercalated siliciclastic rocks of the Catoctin Formation are abundant across the northward plunging nose of the Blue Ridge anticlinorium in southern Pennsylvania (Figure 2). From there to the south the Catoctin forms two belts of outcrop along the east and west flanks of the anticlinorium into central (Plate 1) and southern Virginia where it occurs intermittently. Thus the Catoctin is a key unit in relating the stratigraphy of the Valley and Ridge Province with that of the Piedmont.

The Catoctin comprises a sequence of greenschist-facies tholeiitic basalt lavas and minor breccias and tuffs intercalated with quartzose feldspathic sandstone and mudstone. The Catoctin is gradational over a short interval by interleaving with both overlying and underlying formations. In Pennsylvania minor rhyolite is intercalated with the basalt lavas. The total thickness of the formation may reach 1000 m (Gathright and others, 1977). Along the west flank of the Blue Ridge the Catoctin overlies the Swift Run Formation and is overlain by the Lower Chilhowee Group Unicoi/Weverton Formation. Rocks of the Unicoi and Swift Run are similar, and it is probable that in southern Virginia where the Catoctin is absent the Unicoi and Swift Run have been mapped together as Unicoi.

On the west flank of the Blue Ridge the Catoctin (including the enveloping Swift Run and Unicoi formations) is non-marine (Reed, 1955), and on the east flank the Catoctin and overlying Candler and underlying Swift Run formations are marine (Wehr and Glover, 1985). The transition from non-marine to marine takes place north of Culpeper along the east side of the Blue Ridge anticlinorium.

The Catoctin is a member of the Albemarle-Nelson suite as defined below. Blackburn and Brown (1976), Bland (1978) have shown by trace element geochemistry and petrochemistry that the Catoctin is a tholeiite related to rifting during the formation of Iapetus. Badger and Sinha (1988) dated the Catoctin by Rb/Sr whole rock and mineral isochron methods at 570 ±36 Ma. This age is consistent with the Early Cambrian and Early Cambrian (?) age deduced by Werner (1966) in central Virginia and by Simpson and Eriksson (in press) in southern and south-central Virginia from studies of the sedimentology and fauna (Simpson and Sundberg, 1987) of the rift related, basalt-bearing Unicoi Formation of the basal Chilhowee Group.

Evington Group. Rocks of the Evington Group overlie the Catoctin Formation (Plate 1), or where that is absent, the Lynchburg Group. The Evington sequence comprises the youngest Laurentian sequence known in the Piedmont of Virginia. Some of the most important earlier work may be found in Espenshade (1954), Brown (1958, 1970), and Redden (1963). These authors were uncertain about the order of stratigraphic succession in this complexly deformed and metamorphosed group of rocks. Patterson (1987a, 1987b, in press) revised the stratigraphic ordering based on mapping and structural studies in the Lynchburg area (Plate 1). Three facies sequences, proximal, distal and an eastern allochthon, were recognized. Detailed relations among these sequences are shown in Figure 4. The Slippery Creek and Mount Athos Quartzite pinch out eastward toward the distal facies where Joshua Schist was deposited directly on Candler. The Slippery Creek Greenstone and Mount Athos Quartzite pinch out to the northeast. Along strike to the southwest , the Slippery Creek pinches out, and the Mount Athos quartzite is underlain by the "Moon Mountain Greenstone" (informal name by Patterson, 1987). Still farther east, in the eastern allochthon, only Candler lithologies with interbedded greenstone and quartzite are present (Brown, 1958; Patterson, 1987a, 1987b, in press)

Candler Formation. This unit may be as much as 1.7 km thick and is dominantly siliciclastic in composition. The basal contact of the Candler is gradational over a short distance by interleaving with the underlying Catoctin Formation, or, where that is absent, is gradational with the Lynchburg Group. In the proximal facies sequence, the upper contact is gradational with the Mount Athos Quartzite. In the distal facies sequence pelites of the Joshua Schist overlie the Candler. The top of the Candler Formation in the eastern allochthon is not known.

The western, proximal, facies is composed of:



Figure 4. Stratigraphic relations in the Lynchburg Group near Lynchburg, Virginia. From Patterson (1987)

1) Sandy laminated schist: These contain sand laminae 1-2 mm thick, composed of quartz and minor feldspar. Pelite laminae are as much as 5 mm thick..

Local quartz-rich beds vary from 2.5 to 10 cm in thickness and rarely reach 50 cm. Quartz wacke: These beds may contain coarse to lower very coarse, blue quartz grains in a pelitic matrix. At one location, graded beds were found with sandstones averaging 5 mm thick, grading into pelites 5-10 mm thick. Compositionally this rock type varies from 30-50% quartz, 0-15% plagioclase, 20-30% biotite/chlorite, with local calcite.

Green chloritic phyllites and schists: These vary with increasing quartz content to a green sandy laminated lithology, which may contain as much as 50% chlorite. Thin (5 cm) layers of white marble are rare.

2) Blue weathering phyllite: This rock is composed of 10-45% chlorite, 25-50% muscovite, 5-35% quartz, and as much as 5% albite. Very rare millimeter thick sand laminations occur, and 1-2 mm diameter nodes of quartz sand are found locally.

3) Quartz arenite and subarkosic quartz arenite: This lithology may occur as lenses (75 x 530 m to 150 x 3700 m) and pods (as much as 8 m thick). Laminated lower- to middle-fine-grained quartz arenite occurs with micaceous quartzite and quartz muscovite schist. Fine grained arkosic quartz arenite occurs in medium to thin beds. Massive arkosic and subarkosic quartz arenites and matrix supported wackes contain feldspars (generally potassic) as much as 3 mm and blue quartz clasts as much as 4 mm in diameter.

4) Impure marbles and calc-silicate schists occur in lenses (75 x 115 m to 190 x 650 m), commonly along fault zones. The marble is dark grey to bluish, has a phyllitic sheen, and contains nodes and laminae of white calcite. Mica-quartz-feldspar, calcite, and mixed mica-quartz-feldspar-calcite layers, 1.5 to 5 m. thick, are gradationally interlaminated.

Still farther east, in rocks equivalent to the eastern allochthon of Patterson (1987), Brown (1958) mapped dominantly Candler facies lying above a domal structure cored by Lynchburg Group. Greenstones, commonly associated with quartz arenite, are scattered throughout this sequence which is truncated at it's top by a fault.

Patterson suggested that the alternating compositional laminations in the sandy laminated schist resulted from variable flow conditions. Phyllites (mudstones) may have been deposited from dilute turbidity currents or fair weather suspension fallout.

Map scale lenses of massive quartzose sandstones may have been deposited from sediment gravity flow. Some smaller lenses could be channel fill cut into the underlying muds.

Mount Athos Quartzite. This quartz arenite is the most resistant formation in the Evington Group and forms ridges which flank the James River Valley (Plate 1). The formation decreases in thickness and grain size toward the east. The Mount Athos is about 0.3 km thick and occurs only in the proximal facies of the Evington Group. The lower contact of the Mount Athos is gradational over a short distance by interleaving with the Candler, the upper contact is also gradational over a short distance into greenstone. Two lithologies are predominant in the western most proximal facies fault block:

1) coarse granule conglomerate with clasts of blue quartz and subordinate potassium feldspar, and

2) clast to matrix supported quartz wacke.

Primary sedimentary structures occur throughout the length of the Evington belt in central and northern Virginia. Bedding is planar to irregular, very thin to very thick. Cross stratification occurs in ripples 3 to 5 cm high. Small-scale, tabular-tangential cross stratification occurs. Trough cross strata 3 cm thick and 12 cm wide are developed in pebbly quartz arenite, with stratification by mica-rich layers. Graded bedding occurs in thicknesses varying from less than 5 to 30 cm thick.

According to Patterson (1987a) the graded bedding points to turbidity flow deposition. Massive coarse granule sandstone is structureless and may have been deposited from high density sediment gravity flow. Parallel-laminated sandstones with internal discordances relative to bedding planes, and planar bedded to lenticular sand bodies, are structures which typify hummocky cross stratification. Such structures are formed above storm wave base and below fairweather wave base by combined or oscillatory and unidirectional flow, under storm wave conditions. Tabular, tangential, trough cross stratification is produced by migration of dunes or ripples. Flowing currents which generate cross stratification are not restricted to any environment. Therefore, the Mount Athos is inferred to have been deposited by sediment gravity flows, with possibly minor sediment transport and reworking by storm generated currents.

Slippery Creek Greenstone. This metabasalt, approximately 2 km thick, contains the upper greenschist, epidote-amphibolite faces, mineral assemblage albite (15-35%) + quartz (0-14%) + hornblende (8-45%) + clinozoisite and/or zoisite (1-20%) + epidote (1-20%) + minor; titanite, magnetite and biotite. Relict plagioclase phenocrysts and amygdales are locally present. Volcaniclastic layers and quartz muscovite schist occur locally interlayered with the metabasalts. The lava sequence is depositionally conformable with the underlying Mount Athos. The upper contact was not observed in Patterson's area.

Bland (1978) gave trace element abundance data to support a rift origin and extrusion through continental crust for the Slippery Creek. The formation is therefore interpreted as a submarine lava related to rifting.

Just south of Patterson's area, near Oxford Furnace, the writer has seen enclaves that appear to be xenoliths of coarse-grained granite in the Slippery Creek. These are probably fragments of Grenville basement, suggesting that this part of the Evington Group was deposited on the continent of Laurentia, and not on oceanic crust.

Joshua Schist. This formation contains several siliciclastic lithologies and shows many well developed sedimentary structures. The lower contact with the Slippery Creek was not seen in Patterson's area. In places where the Slippery Creek is absent, the Joshua overlies the Candler gradationally. The upper contact is gradational into the overlying Arch Marble. The formation may be as much as 0.7 km thick in the area. The following rock types occur:

1) Quartz mica schist and phyllite with graded bedding. This facies is commonly graphitic. Graded bedding occurs with quartz sandstone laminae 1 mm thick capped by mica schist 0.2-0.3 mm thick. The mineralogy is; quartz (30-65%), muscovite (30-60%), graphite (0-25%), biotite (1-25%) with trace amounts of pyrite, apatite, zircon, plagioclase, tourmaline and titanite.

Soft sediment slump structures are preserved in the coarser graded beds.

2) Dark phyllite is very schistose and shows no primary sedimentary structures aside from bedding. Mineralogy is similar to that of the quartz-mica schist except that there is a lower quartz content. In thin section quartz rich laminae can be seen in the phyllite.

3) Green schist was found at two locations in the Joshua. This lithology contains: hornblende (39%), epidote (15%), biotite (15%), quartz (10%), plagioclase (15%), and minor amounts of clinozoisite and magnetite.

4) Conglomerate occurs locally in the Joshua. Angular to rounded clasts range from 1 mm to 5 cm x 1.5 cm. The clasts consist of quartz, plagioclase and potassium feldspar, micaceous quartz wacke, arkosic wacke, phyllite and dolomite. The conglomerate bodies are matrix to clast supported, with a matrix of fine grained quartz and mica.

5) Quartz wacke, quartz arenite, and calcareous quartz wacke occur in areally restricted lenses throughout the formation. Quartz arenite is rare, and occurs as small isolated outcrops of very fine grained quartzite.

Arch Marble. The Arch is generally laminated to thin bedded and locally massive. Color banding is dark and light depending on the amount of siliciclastic material in the layer. Layering commonly ranges from 0.2 mm to 5 mm in thickness. Locally graded bedding is preserved. The formation is about 0.2 Km thick in Patterson's area. The Arch is considered a deep water carbonate facies deposited by turbidity currents from sources nearer the shore face (Patterson, 1987b; Read, 1989).

Albemarle - Nelson Suite: Ultramafic Intrusive Rocks, and Mafic Dikes, Sills, Lavas and Tuffs; Late Precambrian to Early Cambrian (Post 650 pre 570 Ma)

A suite of mafic and ultramafic sills and dikes, including mafic lavas with minor felsic derivatives occur in the Lynchburg, Swift Run, Catoctin, Unicoi (Cambrian of western Blue Ridge), and upper Evington Group (Slippery Creek Greenstone). Ultramafic rocks are confined to the sequence below the Catoctin, and a set of hornblende gabbros may also be confined to the pre-Catoctin sequence. Most of these rocks were originally included in the Crossnore Plutonic - Volcanic suite of Rankin and considered to be about 820 Ma (Rankin and others, 1969; Rankin and others, 1973). Since then additional isotopic dating indicates that the felsic peralkaline plutonic and volcanic rocks of the type Crossnore are older than about 650 Ma. and unconformably underlie the Lynchburg/Ashe formations (See section on Crossnore Plutonic Volcanic suite.). This suggests that the Crossnore is distinct from the younger ultramafic and basaltic rocks and can be considered a sub-suite of the Late Precambrian - Early Cambrian rift-related igneous rocks in the Blue Ridge and western Piedmont. In this report the younger mafic-ultramafic suite will be referred to the Albemarle-Nelson suite as herein modified from Burfoot (1930).

Within the region between Culpeper and Charlottesville (Figures 3, 5, 7) mapped by Wehr (1985) amphibolite dikes and sills are abundant in the basement and lower part of the Lynchburg and Swift Run sequence, but are found as well, though less commonly, in the upper part of the clastic sequence to a level just below the Catoctin greenstone. Mineralogy (Evans, 1984 of the dikes along the Rockfish River is:

plagioclase (An $_{25\text{-}35}$)+ epidote + hornblende + magnetite + quartz

All minerals are considered to be metamorphic. This would appear to be a medium grade amphibolite facies rock consistent with temperatures above 500°C. However, according to Evans (1984) the metamorphic facies of the surrounding Grenville biotite gneiss is greenschist, with garnet-biotite pairs implying a temperature of about 400° C. Evans noted that the grade of Paleozoic metamorphism decreased up section into the Lynchburg, Catoctin and Evington, none of which are reported to contain garnet.

Davis (1974), working near the same area, described a coarse-grained "hornblende metagabbro" with nearly equidimensional aggregates of metamorphic hornblende in a matrix of highly saussuritized plagioclase. Relict pyroxenes are altered partially or totally to hornblende, zoisite, magnetite and chlorite. Other metamorphic minerals are epidote, titanite, calcite, garnet, and rarely biotite. Davis did not discuss the conditions of regional metamorphism.

Reed and Morgan (1971) analyzed dikes of metabasalt in the Blue Ridge, northwest of the Rockfish area, and concluded that the compositions of the dikes were similar to those of the overlying Catoctin Formation. In Reed and Morgan's area as in the Rockfish area the Catoctin is a greenschist facies basalt derived from a dry pyroxene-bearing protolith with no evidence of hornblende in either mineral assemblage. Since Reed and Morgan's study all subsequent workers seem to have accepted that the amphibolite dikes on the southeast side of the Blue Ridge are also feeders to the Catoctin. Several geologic maps (Wehr, 1983; Brown, 1958) of segments of the belt over a distance of 120 km along strike, from Culpeper to Lynchburg, Virginia, consistently show amphibolite dikes and sills throughout the Lynchburg to within a few tens of meters of the Catoctin, yet the Catoctin is chlorite- and actinolite-bearing, and is without hornblende in these outcrops. Hornblende amphibolite occurs within 500 meters, stratigraphically below the Catoctin near Lynchburg, and the Catoctin is a biotite-bearing albite - actinolite schist of probable middle greenschist facies (Ping Wang, personal communication, 1988). If the amphibolite dikes are feeders of the Catoctin why don't they have a similar mineralogy where they are at levels of emplacement just below the Catoctin?

The Schuyler ultramafic body, in the Rockfish River area (Figure 6) is one of a number of thin, tabular ultramafic units emplaced dominantly in the upper part of the



Figure 5. Stratigraphic relations across the Blue Ridge in central Virginia. From Glover 1989.



Figure 6. Ultramafic sill contact at Schuyler, VA. Lynchburg formations: Lsr = Swift Run; Lch = Charlottesville; Lbm = Ball Mountain; Ltm = Thorofare Mountain; a = amphibolite; um = ultramafic complex. From Wehr, 1983.

Lynchburg and Swift Run formations along the east side of the Blue Ridge in Virginia. They comprise the Albemarle - Nelson soapstone belt (Burfoot, 1930). The ultramafic association includes, in decreasing order of abundance, amphibolite-chlorite schist, serpentinite, soapstone, and altered peridotite (Burfoot, 1930; Hess, 1933; Brown, 1958; Nelson, 1962; Misra and Keller, 1978). Hess (1933) concluded that the parent material was peridotite and feldspathic peridotite (picrite). An intrusive contact is visible between thin-bedded Charlottesville Formation and the Schuyler ultramafic sill at Schuyler. Hess (1933) found the following sequence of rock types in the Schuyler body: 1) at the base, ultramafic rock, talc-chlorite-actinolite-calcite; 2) in the middle, gabbroic rock with hornblende and actinolite assemblages; and 3) silicic rocks with quartz-albite-microcline-chlorite-hornblende assemblages. This suggested, to Hess, that the sill had differentiated in place. Brown (1958) thought that the ultramafics might be extrusive rocks, as this would explain the localization parallel to bedding, and association with the Catoctin lavas. So far we have not seen evidence of this.

In the Culpeper to Schuyler region (Figure 7) the ultramafic rocks are confined to the upper part of the Lynchburg Group and Swift Run Formation. None are found above the base of the overlying Catoctin Formation or within the still younger Evington Group. In the Lynchburg area, 100 km south of Charlottesville (Plate 1), ultramafic rocks occur throughout all but the lowest part of the Lynchburg (Brown, 1958) where the contact relations reveal them to be intrusive sills (Ping Wang, 1988, personal communication).

Differentiation relations between hornblende gabbro and ultramafic rocks suggested for the Schuyler sill (Hess, 1933) and confinement of hornblendite dikes and sills as well as the ultramafics to stratigraphic levels no higher than the Catoctin Formation, suggest that the hornblende - actinolite gabbro and ultramafics may be differentiates of a common subcrustal magma (see also Bloomer and Werner, 1955) of upper Lynchburg and/or Swift Run age, that is, Late Precambrian-Early Cambrian, 650 - 570 Ma.

Whatever the future may provide about the details of the ultramafic - mafic assemblages described above, they appear to be part of the late Iapetan rift sequence which extends upward and includes the Slippery Creek basalt of the Evington Group (see below) as well as basalts in the Unicoi Formation in the western Blue Ridge. They are not part of an ophiolitic melange as implied by Hatcher (1987), Hatcher and others(1989), Rankin and others (1989), Horton and others (1989), and Keppie and Dallmeyer(1989).

Correlations with the Valley and Ridge. The Catoctin and Swift Run formations form a common stratigraphic datum on both sides of the Blue Ridge in central and southern Virginia (Plate 1). This has long been recognized as an important starting point for correlation of the strata across the Blue Ridge (Bloomer and Werner, 1955, Brown, 1970, Patterson, 1987).

Glover and Costain (1984) and Wehr and Glover (1985) have shown that the Blue Ridge province is the thrust-decapitated crest of the early Paleozoic hinge zone of Laurentia. Late-Early Cambrian through Early Ordovician strata west of the Blue Ridge crest belong to the shallow water drift sequence, and correlative rocks east of the Blue Ridge are deep water distal shelf and slope deposits (Brown, 1970; Wehr and Glover, 1985; Patterson, 1987; Glover, 1989).



Figure 7. Virginia Blue Ridge, showing terrane boundary of Rankin (1990) and Horton and others (1989).

On the west side of the Blue Ridge the Catoctin is overlain by the Chilhowee Group and includes, from oldest to youngest, the Unicoi / Weverton, Hampton / Harpers and Erwin / Antietam formations. The second named in each couplet is the commonly used term for equivalent formations north of central Virginia.

In southern Virginia the Unicoi Formation, at the base of the Chilhowee, is a sequence of dominantly non-marine feldspathic sandstones, conglomerates and basalts formed during the later part of the rift stage that led to the development of Iapetus (Simpson, 1987; Simpson and Eriksson (in press). As noted previously, the Unicoi south of the Catoctin pinch out on the west side of the Blue Ridge, probably includes rocks equivalent to the Swift Run which occurs below the Catoctin north of that pinch out.

The Unicoi is overlain by the dominantly progradational (Simpson, 1987), and compositionally more mature, quartz arenitic Hampton and Erwin Formations of the middle and upper Chilhowee. Simpson (1987) and Simpson and Eriksson (in press) place the rift to drift transition at the top of the Unicoi. Overlying the Chilhowee is the Shady Dolomite which records continued progradation of the drift sequence culminating in development of a rimmed shelf (Read, in press).

This information can be used to provide an improved correlation with the deeper water facies of the Evington Group, which lies above the Catoctin on the east side of the Blue Ridge (Figures 5,Plate 1,). In the Patterson (1987) preferred model of this correlation the rift-to-drift transition is placed just above the Slippery Creek Greenstone, the youngest lava in the sequence. Thus, the Swift Run (?), Catoctin, Candler, Mount Athos, and Slippery Creek should all be approximately correlative with the Cambrian and Cambrian (?) Unicoi Formation. The Joshua Schist should be correlative with the Hampton, Erwin, and possibly part of the Slippery Creek, and the Arch Marble with the Shady Dolomite. The Chilhowee and Shady are Early Cambrian (excepting possibly the lower Unicoi), therefore the Evington Group should be entirely Early Cambrian also. The upper part of the Evington Group is truncated by faults and no younger Laurentian strata are known in the western Piedmont.

Cambrian through Early Ordovician Drift (Passive Margin) Stage, Laurentian Continent

Subsidence of the platform during drift resulted in the accumulation of about 3.5 km of clastics and carbonates now exposed in the Valley and Ridge and western Blue Ridge Provinces of Virginia. Retrogression, and Rome trough rifting in the continent, dominantly west of the Valley and Ridge, resulted in about 0.5 km of Rome Shale ponded west of the Shady Dolomite shelf rim (Read, 1989). This was followed by deposition of about 1.6 km of shallow water carbonates and shales (Elbrook Dolomite/Conasauga Shale Group, and overlying Knox Dolomite Group) capped in most places by a regional unconformity of early Middle Ordovician age.

Outer shelf and slope deposits younger than the Arch Marble, Early Cambrian Shady Dolomite equivalent, are unknown east of the Blue Ridge in Virginia.

Depositional model for Late Precambrian to Early Cambrian Laurentian Continental Margin

690-650 Ma - Late Precambrian Early Rift Stage: rifting of Grenville basement; emplacement of Crossnore Volcanic Plutonic suite; uplift and erosion, local grabens preserved Crossnore volcanic rocks and associated non-marine sediments, Crossnore plutons exposed in basement

650-570 Ma - Late Precambrian to Early Cambrian Late Rift Stage: glaciation at about 650 Ma; continued rifting; development of hinge zone west of Lynchburg near center of Blue Ridge basement; deposition of Lynchburg periglacial braided alluvial fan overlain by Lynchburg retrogradational slope and deep basinal marine fan sequence; sediment source dominantly from Grenville basement to the west; emplacement of: mafic dikes, sills, and lavas(?), and ultramafic dikes and sills (by injection of olivine-rich crystal mush derived from fractional crystallization in a previous chamber, or other dynamic crystal accumulation process?) in the Lynchburg and Swift Run; emplacement of mafic dikes and lavas in Catoctin; deposition of Unicoi non-marine sediments and basalt lavas west of hinge zone on west flank of Blue Ridge; deposition of marine slope and basinal Candler and Mount Athos Formations of Evington Group east of hinge zone (east flank of Blue Ridge); marine eruption and deposition of Slippery Creek basalt on Mount Athos quartz arenite; formation of oceanic crust east of Slippery Creek; end of rift stage

570 - ca. 550, Early Cambrian Drift Stage: deposition of Hampton/Harpers shale and quartz arenite west of hinge zone on west flank of present Blue Ridge; coeval deposition of Joshua turbidites east of hinge on east flank of present Blue Ridge; followed by buildup of Shady rimmed reef margin (Read, 1989) on hinge and coeval deposition of deep water limestone (Arch marble) east of hinge zone

ca. 550 - ca. 540, Late Early Cambrian Overlapping Rift of Laurentian Eastern Interior and Continued Laurentian Drift: rifting of Laurentia in eastern interior, regression of sea and deposition of Rome shale behind Shady reef rim

ca. 540 - 490, Late Early Cambrian - Early Ordovician Drift: buildup of largely carbonate bank west of hinge zone into Early Ordovician time

Alternate tectonic models that have been proposed

Hatcher (1987, Figures 2, 3; Hatcher and others, 1989) proposed an extension of the Hayesville - Fries fault, which he considers to be the Penobscot-Taconic suture, into the Virginia Blue Ridge and Piedmont. By this reconstruction the Lynchburg Group is shown as allochthonous upon the Grenville basement and Catoctin Formation (personal communication May, 1988), and the surface of thrusting is the Penobscot-Taconic suture. Hatcher's interpretation is contrary to the geologic relations described herein. The basal conglomerate of the Lynchburg Group in northern Virginia (Figure 5) contains cobbles of granite derived from immediately underlying Crossnore granites in the Grenville basement, therefore no large distance thrusting is indicated. The Catoctin overlies the Lynchburg and is continuous with the Valley and Ridge sequence on the west side of the Blue Ridge; here also no large scale thrust fault is indicated. As noted previously, the Lynchburg on the east side of the Blue Ridge is in depositional contact, not fault contact, with the overlying Catoctin.

An interpretation similar to that of Hatcher (1987; Hatcher and others, 1989) has also been made by Horton and others (1987) and by Horton and others (1989) who have named the Lynchburg-Ashe-Tallulah Falls-Wedowee strata along the east flank of the central and southern Appalachian Blue Ridge the Jefferson terrane. A similar interpretation is also shown by Keppie and Dallmeyer (1989). The same objections apply to all of these reconstructions.

Late Precambrian/Early Ordovician History of the Exotic Carolina Terrane

Chopawamsic Formation Type Area. Volcanic rocks known as the Chopawamsic Formation crop out in a NNE-striking belt in the central Piedmont of Virginia (Plate 1). The formation was named by Southwick and others (1971) for rocks cropping out in Stafford and Prince William counties in northern Virginia. The type section occurs along Chopawamsic Creek on the Quantico Marine Base in the Joplin, Virginia 7.5 minute Quadrangle. The formation in that area consists of, "... (1) metamorphosed medium- to thick-bedded mafic to intermediate volcanic rocks derived from andesitic to basaltic flows, coarse breccias, and finer tuffaceous clastic rocks; (2) metamorphosed medium- to thick-bedded felsic volcanic rocks derived from flows and associated volcaniclastic accumulations; and (3) metamorphosed thin- to medium-bedded volcaniclastic rocks of felsic to mafic composition, locally containing beds of non volcanic quartzose metagraywacke, green to gray phyllite, and felsic to mafic flows. Units 1 and 2 grade vertically and laterally into unit 3 and appear to be tongues or lenses within a complex volcanic-sedimentary pile" according to Southwick and others (1971). The thickness of the Chopawamsic in the type area is 2-3 km. The lower contact was not seen, but its position was inferred within a meter-wide covered interval and the contact was judged by them to be sharp in one area and interleaved in another. Relations between correlative rocks in Maryland (Crowley, 1976) suggests to me that if the diamictite at Chopawamsic is the Maryland diamictite, the contact may be a fault in northern Virginia, or, that metavolcanic rocks of northern Virginia were misidentified as "Wissahickon" diamictite. The upper contact was found by Southwick and others (1971) to be gradational by interleaving with the overlying Quantico Slate. In contrast, Pavlides (1976) working to the south, near Fredericksburg, found an unconformable relation between the Quantico (Arvonia equivalent) and the underlying Chopawamsic; this relation is in accord with the relations seen along our traverse (Brown, 1969).

Isotopic ages (zircon) indicate that the Chopawamsic is about 550 Ma, or Early Cambrian (Pavlides, 1981, p. A6).

Chopawamsic Formation along the James River in Virginia. Smith,and others (1964) dropped the earlier name "Peters Creek Quartzite" in favor of "metamorphosed volcanic and sedimentary rock unit" of the Evington Group. They subdivided the Chopawamsic into three map units:

1) a predominant phase, very fine - grained plagioclase - quartz gneiss and sericite phyllite, either may have local abundance of quartz and feldspar crystals; felsite porphyry, and local quartzite and phyllite.
2) a mafic phase, amphibole schist and gneiss (locally with amygdales), biotite-chlorite schist, and plagioclase-chlorite-epidote rock, and,
3) grossly interlayered felsic and mafic rocks.

Brown (1969), mapped this formation to the west of the Arvonia syncline (Plate 1) as "metavolcanic rocks" of the Evington Group. He found greenstones derived from mafic volcanics, and porphyritic rocks of dacitic composition interlayered with feldspathic metasedimentary rocks. Lying to the east of the Arvonia syncline is the Hatcher complex which Brown (1969) named for plutonic granite, granodiorite, and quartz diorite injected into amphibolite and mica gneiss. At the southern end of the Hatcher he recognized "rocks of uncertain age" some of which resembled the Arvonia and underlying metavolcanic rocks west of the Arvonia syncline. Subsequent authors (for example, Conley, 1978; Pavlides, 1981) have considered the amphibolite of the Hatcher complex and the "rocks of uncertain age" to be higher grade parts of the Chopawamsic Formation.

Tectonic interpretations. Pavlides (1981), working to the south of the type area, near Fredericksburg (Plate 1), concluded from trace element geochemistry that the Chopawamsic on the west side of the Quantico syncline was a tholeiitic island arc suite with associated calcalkaline rocks. The more mafic Ta River suite, on the east side of the Quantico syncline, has affinities (seven analyses) with oceanic basalt. The Ta and Chopawamsic were never seen in contact.

Pavlides (1981) suggested that the Ta River was a more oceanward facies of the Chopawamsic volcanics and that the subduction zone therefore dipped westward under the Chopawamsic arc. A marginal, back-arc, basin was thought to separate the Chopawamsic arc from the Laurentian continent. The small number of Ta River analyses, scatter outside of the defining trace element fields, and lack of contact relationships between the Chopawamsic and Ta River weaken the paleotectonic conclusions. Pavlides also recognized that he couldn't confirm that the Chopawamsic and Ta River were coeval, and would concede that the Ta River might be thrust over the Chopawamsic. Reconstruction of the development of the ancient Laurentian margin, as outlined in this paper, indicates that rifting ended and drift began about 570 Ma, Early Cambrian, probably before much of the ca. 550 Ma Chopawamsic volcanic rocks were erupted. If the Chopawamsic arc developed along the eastern edge of Laurentia, as proposed by Pavlides, much pyroclastic material would have fallen into the Laurentian Early Cambrian drift sequence, but it is not there. Rifting to form a backarc basin occurs in modern arcs along the axis of the arc so that the basin evolves with a dead arc behind it and an active arc adjacent to the subducting margin. Closure of the backarc basin would require a jump in subduction position and a reversal of polarity according to the Pavlides model. In this case the resulting structural sequence from west to east would be: 1) an early, pre rift, half arc of calcalkaline rocks that interfingered westward with Late Precambrian and Early Cambrian upper Lynchburg, Swift Run, Catoctin, Evington Group, Unicoi, Hampton, Erwin, and Shady strata. 2) an accretionary melange (Shores and Hardware) of the backarc basin sequence with calcalkaline pyroclastic and epiclastic rocks from the margins of the basin floor, and basin floor basalts. and 3) calcalkaline volcanic rocks of the outer proposed active arc (Chopawamsic) thrust over the accretionary melange. The foregoing is not in accord with the geologic framework developed in this paper. Furthermore if the Chopawamsic arc developed adjacent to the Laurentian continent in the Early Cambrian some evidence of carbonate reefs might be expected from the vicinity of volcanic islands in a subequatorial sea, but carbonate detritus does not seem to occur in these rocks. If on the other hand as proposed below, the Chopawamsic volcanics are part of Carolinia (Figure 2), they would have been at high latitudes during this time and carbonate would be rare or absent.

In 1976 W.R. Brown recognized the tectonic melange nature of the rocks lying between the Chopawamsic volcanics and "Evington Group" rocks to the west. Thus the Chopawamsic volcanics and the melange could no longer be considered part of the Evington Group and a collision zone or suture was implied. Bland and Blackburn (1980) characterized the Chopawamsic volcanics as part of the younger Carolina slate belt of Glover (1974), and determined on the basis of trace element studies that they were dominated by low-K tholeiites. In this sense they differed from the older Carolina slate belt (Glover, 1974), which they characterized as a calc-alkaline sequence of volcanics. Building on the models of Rodgers (1972) and Glover and Sinha (1973), Bland and Blackburn (1980) suggested two possible models, each identifying the melange as as an ocean-floor off-scraping, and the locus of eastward subduction of oceanic crust below the Chopawamsic volcanics, which bordered a marginal basin off of Laurentia. In one variant of the models the Chopawamsic is a separate island arc that subsequently collided, by eastward subduction, with the Carolina slate belt (older slate belt). A problem with this model is that the younger slate belt (including the Chopawamsic Formation) was deposited unconformably upon the older slate belt (Glover, 1974; Harris and Glover 1985, 1988; see also below) and not thrust upon it as this model requires. In the second variant of the model the Chopawamsic represents a later episode of subduction and volcanism superimposed on the older slate belt. This model is similar to the one developed in this paper.

Pre- and Post - Virgilina Deformation, Carolina Slate Belt Sequences. In 1973 Glover and Sinha discovered an orogenic event, the Virgilina deformation, in the Carolina slate belt sequence at Roxboro, northern North Carolina. At that location an older sequence of volcanics and epiclastic rocks was folded and faulted at about 600 Ma., and was subsequently intruded by the Roxboro Metagranite at 575 ± 20 Ma. Glover (1974) speculated that the deformation would have produced an unconformity and that the younger, gently folded sequence near Asheboro in central North Carolina had probably been deposited above this unconformity. The concept of pre- and post-Virgilina sequences in the slate belt was reinforced by Briggs and others (1978) with the determination that the Roxboro Metagranite was a very shallowly emplaced pluton that was probably an eruptive source for part of the younger volcanic sequence. This concept was challenged by Wright and Seiders (1980), in a study of the central North Carolina sequence, who proposed three possibilities: 1) The stratigraphic sequences of the two areas (Roxboro and Albemarle NC) are partly correlative. The Virgilina deformation was synchronous with deposition of the upper part of the central North Carolina sequence, but the deformation did not extend into the central North Carolina area. 2) The stratigraphic sequences of the two areas are correlative, and the Virgilina deformation was younger than the central North Carolina sequence but was weak or absent in that area. 3) The central North Carolina sequence is entirely younger than the Virgilina deformation, and the volcanic rocks may represent an extrusive phase of plutonism of the Roxboro-Durham area (the Glover speculation). Wright and Seiders favored possibility # 1 as the most likely relationship, this was largely based on their belief that the well bedded Tillery Formation of the Albemarle area was the same as the well bedded Aaron Formation of the Roxboro area. In 1988 Harris and Glover presented evidence for the Virgilina unconformity in the Albemarle area and showed that the Aaron was part of the older sequence unconformably below the younger Tillery-bearing sequence of the slate belt (Figure 8). They also suggested that the *intra* arc basin sequence (largely epiclastic deep water turbidites of the Aaron Formation), and the strongly bimodal nature of most of the younger volcanism, may be analogous to the proto-Gulf of California or to transcurrent pull-apart basins. Perhaps then the Virgilina deformation represents an oblique collisional deformation (transpressional and transtensional) that occurred on Carolinia prior to its collision with Laurentia.

Chopawamsic, James Run, Carolina Slate Belt, Kings Mountain, Charlotte Belt, Raleigh Belt, and Eastern Slate Belt: All Parts of the Carolina Terrane in the Southeastern U.S. Piedmont. In 1972 Higgins proposed the existence of a long belt of metavolcanic rocks, the "Atlantic seaboard volcanic province", that extended in the Piedmont from Georgia to New York during the Late Precambrian to Early Ordovician. Subsequent literature largely ignored this in favor of multiple arcs and complex collision scenarios. The crustal profile and field data presented here indicates that Higgins was essentially correct in relating all of the volcanics to a single terrane.

The James Run/Chopawamsic volcanic rocks occur in the eastern Piedmont of Delaware and Maryland and extend into the north central Piedmont of Virginia (Figures 2, Plate 1). They were overthrust from the east by the Laurentian Goochland basement nappe (Figures 2, Plate 1) during a late Paleozoic Alleghanian dextral transpression event (Glover and Gates, 1987). By reconnaissance and local detailed mapping they have been traced into southern Virginia where they comprise part of the Charlotte belt. Volcanic rocks of the Eastern slate belt and Raleigh belt are also clearly part of the Carolina slate belt sequence (Farrar, 1985). Detailed mapping across the Charlotte belt in southern Virginia (Figure 1, Plate 1) has not revealed any suture within the sequence, a sequence which has been recognized for more than a decade as higher grade volcanic rocks equiva-



Figure 8. Correlation of Carolina slate belt stratigraphy in North Carolina. From Harris and Glover, 1988. The Chopawamsic Formation in northern Virginia is correla tive with the post-Virgilina sequence of North carolina.

lent to the Carolina slate belt (Glover and Sinha, 1973). The Cambrian age and chemistry (Bland and Blackburn, 1980) of the James Run/Chopawamsic volcanic rocks is similar to the younger Carolina slate belt sequence (Cambrian-Latest Precambrian) shown in Figure 8, which unconformably overlies an older Late Precambrian volcanic slate belt sequence deformed during the ca. 600 Ma Virgilina deformation (Glover, 1974; Briggs, Gilbert and Glover, 1978; Bland and Blackburn, 1980; Harris and Glover, 1985, 1988).

Kings Mountain belt rocks of the Carolinas (Figures 1, 2) bear some similarities to the Chopawamsic and Arvonia sequences along strike in central Virginia. Both sequences contain volcanic rocks, ultramafic rocks, quartz sericite schist, quartzite, calc-silicates (limy mudstones), marble, and graphite schist (Gates, 1981; Horton and others, 1981; Horton, 1983). If the proposed correlation turns out to be correct, the Kings Mountain sequence would range into the Cambrian and possibly have some post-suture Ordovician Arvonia infolded/faulted into it. The Kings Mountain and Chopawamsic rocks might be part of the Cambrian sequence found in the slate belt in South Carolina, which also grades upward into a less volcanic and more epiclastic sequence containing quartz rich sandstones. Similar quartz sandstones also occur in the Eastern slate belt of North Carolina. The Kings Mountain and Chopawamsic both occur along the Taconic suture and were probably dropped downward along early Mesozoic reactivation of the suture as indicated by the occurrence of Triassic basins and late brittle fractures having zeolitic assemblages along it.

Therefore, all of the volcanic sequences in the preceding can be considered to comprise segments of a single terrane, *Carolinia* (use modified from Secor and others, 1983), that collided with Laurentia during the Taconic (Figure 2). The youngest stratified rocks known in Carolinia are the Asbill Pond and Richtex formations in South Carolina which are Middle Cambrian (about 530 Ma.) Secor and others (in press). Both of these formations contain pyroclastic rocks. Volcanism probably persisted into the Middle Ordovician because Ordovician plutons are known in the Piedmont and bentonites occur in the Taconic clastic wedge in the Valley and Ridge.

Events Leading up to Middle and Late Ordovician Collision (Taconic Orogeny) between Carolinia and Laurentia.

Shores Melange. Brown (1976; Brown and Pavlides, 1981) first recognized the significance of the structures in the outcrops along the James River at Shores, Virginia (Plate 1), which Brown named the Shores complex melange. Glover and others (1982) gave seismic reflection evidence for a fault boundary between the Chopawamsic Formation and the Shores melange. Evans (1984) gave evidence for a fault contact with the Hardware sequence on the west. Evans (1984) described the Shores as a polydeformed amalgam of quartzo-feldspathic epidote-chlorite gneiss, epidote-chlorite migmatitic gneiss, and hornblende-epidote-albite schist (greenstone). The rock types are heterogeneously mixed on a scale of meters to tens of meters. In many outcrops greenstone occurs in blocks as much as several meters across, enclosed in epidote-chlorite gneiss or migmatitic gneiss. According to Evans (1984), quartzo-feldspathic epidote-chlorite gneiss is characterized by metamorphic segregation layering defined by quartz-albite and epidote-chlorite-magnetite-titanite layers.

Migmatitic gneisses are gradational from non-migmatitic gneisses and contain quartz-plagioclase-muscovite lenses which appear to have crystallized from a melt.

Greenstones are infolded with the surrounding gneisses and are locally intruded by tonalite veins. Some greenstones were coarse-grained gabbros others were fine-grained and may have been extrusive rocks.

Both the quartzo-feldspathic gneisses and the migmatitic gneisses may have been largely of graywacke protolith.

Petrologic and igneous fabric analysis by Evans (1984) indicates that the Shores reached medium- to high-pressure epidote amphibolite conditions. Temperatures on the order of 630°C and pressures of at least 6-7 kb were inferred under which metamorphism of the non-migmatitic gneisses and incipient melting of the migmatitic gneisses occurred.

Lower greenschist overprinting (quartz + albite + epidote + chlorite + muscovite ± magnetite) involved hydrothermal alteration and oxidation of the earlier metamorphic assemblages.

Brown (1986) described the Shores complex at Shores in detail and gave its regional setting as a major zone of thrusting and obduction.

The metamorphic and deformational history of the melange is distinctly more complex in temperature, pressure and structural development than that of the Hardware terrane, to the west, upon which the Shores is thrust and this has importance in determining its early history.

Origin of the Shores Melange. Previous studies (Bland, 1978; Bland and Blackburn, 1980) have shown that the greenstone blocks in the Shores melange have the geochemical signature of ocean floor basalts. The work of Evans indicates that the Shores was metamorphosed under conditions different than the rocks upon which it was overthrust to the west. Higher grade metamorphism followed by lower grade metamorphism is a common sequence in melanges of accretionary prisms. Recent work by Cloos (1982, 1984) and Cloos and Shreve (1986) suggests how this sequence of metamorphism and deformation may come to be. Cloos and Shreve discuss five possible types of flow patterns in melanges. Their types D (composed of slope cover, offscraped sediment, offscraped melange, and underplated melange) and E (composed of slope cover, offscraped melange, and underplated melange) seem to fit the sequence in the Shores best, because these are the only ones in which once more deeply buried material may return toward the surface during accretion. In these models a metamorphic aureole in melange is formed at the base of the hot overriding plate. Return circulation may develop in the underlying cooler and fluid-rich subducting sediments which plucks blocks of the metamorphic aureole and carries them back toward the surface. While this is an attractive hypothesis it should be kept in mind that the second (and later?) overprints on the melange may have occurred during regional metamorphisms related to tectonic burial.

Hardware Metagraywacke. This unit was named by Evans (1984) for a graded metagraywacke sequence that lies between a fault bounding the Shores Melange and the Mountain Run fault (a name which has priority over the Buck Island fault zone of Evans) bounding the Evington Group (Plate 1). Within the Mountain Run fault zone Evans found very thin bedded, fine grained graywackes thought to be distal turbidites related to the Hardware Metagraywacke. These cover a narrow area and are not shown on Plate 1.

Hardware metagraywackes (Evans, 1984) are quartzose chlorite schists and phyllites with laminations 1 mm to 1 cm in thickness. Grain size is fine- to medium-sand with local pebbly lenses. Local allochthonous blocks of metamorphosed mafic igneous rocks occur in the Hardware. Detrital components of the metagraywacke include subequal amounts of quartz and plagioclase, tourmaline, epidote, magnetite, titanite, and rare clasts of metamorphic muscovite (after detrital K-feldspar?). Included lithic fragments are; dacitic tuff, gabbro, and granite (quartz with zircon and biotite inclusions and remnant perthitic feldspar). These detrital components suggested to Evans (1984) a source to the east in the Chopawamsic volcanics, Shores Melange and Goochland nappes. Subsequently Glover and others (1987) have shown that the Goochland was emplaced during the Late Paleozoic, thus it probably was not the source of the granitic fragments. Timing relations suggest that Carolinia is the probable source. However, the Chopawamsic, Shores and deep water Laurentian rift/drift sediments remain plausible sources for the Hardware.

Age and correlation of the Shores Melange and Hardware Metagraywacke. The Shores and Hardware rocks are undoubtedly part of the complex melange sequence described by Drake and Morgan (1981), Drake and Lyttle (1981), Drake (1985), and Drake, (1987) along strike in northern Virginia (Figure 2, Plate 1). This sequence consists of three tectonic motifs (Drake, 1987), each motif including an allochthon of overlying deep water turbidite sedimentary rocks underlain by a precursory melange. The higher two motifs have ultramafic and mafic blocks in melange; the lowest has only basaltic blocks. All three allochthons are overlain unconformably by a turbidite sequence (Popes Head Formation) containing some units that may be mafic and felsic ashfall tuffs. The three motifs show more deformations and an additional metamorphism not experienced by the Popes Head.

All motifs, as well as the Popes Head, are intruded by the synkinematic(?) Occoquan Granite. Seiders and others (1975) dated the Occoquan by the zircon U/Pb method at about 560 Ma. Mose and Nagel (1982) dated the Occoquan by the Rb/Sr whole rock method at 494 ± 14 Ma. Because the Occoquan was emplaced in hot rocks undergoing metamorphism, the Rb/Sr age could be younger than the emplacement age as a result of slow cooling delaying closure of the isotopic system. Abundant experience in the Appalachians suggests that about 25 m.y. should be added to the cooling age to approximate the emplacement age in such cases. Therefore the Occoquan was probably emplaced about 525 Ma, possibly at 560 Ma assuming no inheritance of older lead in the zircons that were dated.

Additional age constraint on the Hardware comes from the identification of probable Chopawamsic volcanic fragments erosionally introduced in to it (Evans, 1984). The Chopawamsic has been dated at about 550 Ma (Pavlides, 1981). Thus at least part of the Hardware must be younger than 550 Ma but probably older than 525 Ma. Because the Shores was also a source for the Hardware it must be somewhat older than the part of the Hardware for which it was a source. It seems probable then that much of the Shores/ Hardware is about 550-525 m.y. old (Middle to Early Cambrian) and that parts could be older.

Regional Tectonic Interpretation. In northern Virginia Drake (1987) considers the three motifs (motif = precursor melange overlain by deep water turbidites), previously mentioned, to constitute three terranes amalgamated by suturing into one and overlain by the Popes Head Formation. Subsequently, during the Taconic, he suggests that they collided with Laurentia. The pre-Popes Head, and the post-Popes Head/pre-Occoquan deformations Drake considered to be records of the Cadomian (western Europe) and Penobscot (New England Appalachians) orogenies respectively.

Studies along the James River suggest rather, that the three motifs of Drake are segments of an accretionary melange brought ashore during the Taconic orogeny. Where it has been possible to identify source in these deposits it seems to be from the east. The Popes Head may be a forearc basin deposit containing some pyroclastics from the magmatic arc. If this hypothesis is true, the deformations Drake correlated with widespread orogenies around the Atlantic may be incorrect. Rather, it is suggested that the pre- and post-Popes Head deformations represent different stages in the deformation of a complex accretionary wedge that developed over a long interval of time, beginning offshore from Laurentia.

Plutons in the Melange Units. Several gabbroic and granitic plutons occur in the melange (Plate 1), and some of the granitic plutons have ages of ca. 500 Ma. This dates them as possibly having been generated over oceanic crust before the Taconic collision with Laurentia . Much work needs to be done on petrogenesis of these intrusives. There are similar occurrences in Kodiak Island, Alaska, where granitoid and gabbroic plutons were apparently generated in the accretionary wedge above oceanic crust. Perhaps they can be explained as a result of the subduction of an active spreading ridge which could emplace basaltic magmas into the melange and also create secondary granitic melts from the melange itself.

Taconic Orogeny.

The Taconic orogeny was the earliest to affect the Laurentian margin, it must therefore be related to the first suture found outboard of Laurentia. The previous discussion supports the Shores Melange as marking the suture and the Chopawamsic volcanic rocks of the exotic Carolina terrane as remnants of the colliding continent. Collision between Carolinia and Laurentia occurred during Late Cambrian through Late Ordovician time. The initial collision decapitated a slice from the Laurentian hinge zone, and this slice was the ancestral Blue Ridge (Glover and others, 1983; Glover and Costain, 1984; Wehr and Glover, 1985). Erosion breached the ancestral Blue Ridge down to Grenville basement and fragments of the western platformal rift and drift stratigraphy down to the basement are preserved in the Late Ordovician Fincastle Conglomerate of the Taconic foreland basin near Roanoke Virginia (Karpa, 1974). Fragments of gneissic lower Chilhowee (Unicoi?) in the Fincastle indicate that Taconic metamorphism in the hinge and continental slope sequence was already well advanced by Late Ordovician, Caradocian, or about 450 Ma. Glover and others (1983) summarized the evidence for Taconic metamorphism which ceased by cooling during thrust-driven uplift over most of the Piedmont and Blue Ridge at about 480 Ma. or Early Ordovician. Further deformation and filling of the foreland basin continued until about 440 Ma or Late Ordovician time in the central and southern Appalachians.

Other Tectonic Interpretations

Hatcher (1987, Hatcher, 1989) includes the Lynchburg, Swift Run, Hardware Shores and Chopawamsic strata in his Piedmont terrane. In this paper however, it has been shown that: the Lynchburg, Swift Run and Catoctin are Laurentian rift stage strata deposited on continental crust; the Hardware and Shores are tectonic melange and deep water sediments of oceanic and continental derivation; and the Chopawamsic volcanic rocks are part of Carolinia, a terrane that collided with Laurentia during the Taconic. Therefore it seems unlikely that Hatcher's Piedmont terrane, as presently constituted, is a valid tectonic unit. Similar criticism applies to the models of Rankin and others, 1989, and to Horton and others, 1989.

The model presented herein is also strongly at variance with Hatcher's (1987) concept of the timing of regional metamorphism and collision in the central and southern Appalachians. Much of the problem, as shown in this paper, lies in our differing views on the number of terranes and their ages of collision.

Latest Ordovician, Silurian and Early Devonian Drift.

During and following erosional reduction of the Taconic Mountain system, Carolinia and Laurentia (Figure 2) apparently drifted together as a single continent for about 30 m.y. During this time subsidence and perhaps transform motion parallel to the collisional axis allowed successor basins to accumulate quartz arenite and carbonate on the platform (present Valley and Ridge Province). In the Piedmont, over the eroded roots of the Taconic Mountains, the Arvonia Formation and correlatives furnish a record of Paleozoic sedimentation following the Taconic orogeny.

Arvonia Formation (Watson and Powell, 1911). This formation (Plate 1) is a laminated to thin bedded quartz-muscovite-graphite schist or phyllite with lesser amounts of biotite, chlorite, magnetite, plagioclase, pyrite, carbonate minerals, and local grains of tourmaline and zircon; garnet occurs in the eastern exposures (Smith and others, 1964; Brown, 1969, 1970). The base of the formation is unconformable upon the Rb/Sr 454 ± 9 Ma. (Mose and Nagel, 1984) Columbia Granite and upon the U/Pb ca. 550 Ma. Chopawamsic volcanics. The top of the formation is the present erosion surface.

Quartz arenite occurs locally at the base, especially where it is in unconformable contact with the Columbia Granite (Taber, 1913).

Along the James River the Bremo quartz arenite and quartz pebble arenite occurs in the middle and lower part of the formation (Brown, 1969, 1970).

The Buffards Conglomerate Member, placed at the top of the formation by Brown (1969, 1970), now appears to be a localized unit near the base of the Arvonia according to new mapping by Evans and Marr (1988). Buffards crops out about 10 miles SSW of Arvonia contain massive conglomerate consisting of well rounded pebbles and cobbles of quartzite, mafic and felsic volcanic pebbles and cobbles in a quartzo-feldspathic sandstone matrix. The conglomerate is interleaved with graded graywacke and dark phyllite.

Age and correlation of the Arvonia. Tillman (1970) studied the trilobites that occur in the Arvonia and summarized the age as Middle or Late Ordovician. Earlier identifications of all of the Arvonia fossils available in the late 1940's yielded a probable Maysville stage of the Late Ordovician (Stose and Stose, 1948). As noted above, the formation rests unconformably upon the 454 ± 9 Ma. Columbia Granite (Mose and Nagel, 1984). Considering that the Columbia was intruded into the low grade Chopawamsic volcanic rocks during or late in their Taconic metamorphism it is unlikely that the granite cooled below the retention temperature for the Rb/Sr isotopic system immediately. Thus, the emplacement age is probably 10-20 m.y. older, or Middle Ordovician. These rough brackets on the age of the Arvonia and Columbia Granite imply that the unconformity itself is probably Middle but not Late Ordovician (Taconic) in age (see discussion of the age of the Quantico and Arvonia below).

The Arvonia has been correlated with the Quantico Formation, a similar black phyllite or schist, which crops out in a syncline to the east of Arvonia and extends from the James River to northern Virginia (Pavlides, 1980, and references therein). Pavlides and others (1980) clarified the age of the Quantico in northern Virginia, assigning a Late Ordovician or Silurian(?) age to it. Therefore, the age of the Quantico and Arvonia might be in part Middle Ordovician, *is* in part Late Ordovician or Silurian. The age of the basal unconformity then is probably Middle to Late Ordovician.

Tectonic Interpretation. Because Carolinia and Laurentia were sutured by the Taconic orogeny, the Arvonia and Quantico represent an overstepping sedimentary unit that was probably deposited across the suture. The unconformity at the base of the Quantico/ Arvonia represents the Taconic unconformity in the Piedmont.

The basal sandstone of the Arvonia must be in part, at least, of shallow water deposition. The rest of the Arvonia/Quantico sequence seems to be a quiet, probably deep water sequence into which pelagic and turbiditic sedimentation occurred. The Buffards Conglomerate is interbedded with graded quartzo-feldspathic sandstone. Both facies are interleaved with Arvonia black slate. We interpret these conglomerates and sandstones to be debris flows and turbidites, respectively. Seiders and others (1975) also report graded sandstones with flute casts (turbidites) in the correlative Quantico Formation in northern Virginia.

If the Arvonia/Quantico sequence is of Late Ordovician age, it cannot be part of the Martinsburg clastic wedge on the west side of the Blue Ridge, because the Martinsburg shoreline had already prograded across the ancestral Blue Ridge and into the foreland basin by that time. This problem requires further research. A scenario consistent with the present sparse data base might be as follows: The Arvonia/Quantico may be post-Taconic, Silurian, deposited on the eroded roots of the Taconic mountains. Rapid development of quiet, or deep water conditions implies rifting (transtensional? Had the dextral transform movements of the Acadian and Allaghanian already begun?) and subsidence into a marine trough. This interpretation may be supported by the presence of turbidites and debris flows in a basin accumulating euxinic facies and would be consistent with the margins of the basin being at considerable distances from the fine -grained sequence now preserved.

Early Devonian - Early Mississippian Acadian Orogeny: A Manifestation of Oblique Collision

Time constraints on Acadian deformation along the James River traverse are poor and most of our knowledge of Acadian events comes from outside the area (Glover and others, 1983). Post Late Ordovician - Silurian (?) Paleozoic sedimentation is unknown in the Piedmont of Virginia, North Carolina and South Carolina.

In northern Virginia Pavlides (1982) has shown that metamorphism and deformation in the Falls Run Granite Gneiss occurred after 410 Ma and before intrusion of the oldest Falmouth rocks at about 322 Ma. Glover and others (1983) reviewed the evidence for the Acadian orogeny throughout the central and southern Appalachians (Figure 9) and concluded, from stratigraphic ages of the clastic wedge in the Valley and Ridge of Virginia, that the orogeny extended from the Middle Devonian (385 Ma) to Early Mississippian (360 Ma). Numbers in parentheses result from the new time scale (Palmer, 1983) which became available after Glover and others (1983). Isotopic data in the Piedmont suggest that the orogeny culminated at about 360 Ma (Early Mississippian). Acadian metamorphism and ductile deformation are mostly confined to an overprint on the more widespread Taconic metamorphism (Figure, 9). Acadian activity is manifest in the west central part of the crystalline terrain in the southern Appalachians and along the central and eastern part of the exposed Piedmont in Virginia (Figure 9).

Rodgers (1967) noted the differences in age of Acadian clastic wedges between New England and the Central Appalachians. Glover and others (1983) questioned whether the difference in timing of orogeny from north to south was diachroneity in the same orogeny or two separate events. In New England Acadian clastic wedges are Early and Middle Devonian, in New York to northern Virginia they are Middle and Late Devonian and in southern Virginia and Tennessee the clastic wedges are Latest Devonian to Early Mississippian (Ettensohn, 1987, and references therein). Ettensohn (1987) proposed oblique dextral collision of Avalon terranes with promontories on the North American continent to explain the southwestward migration of clastic wedges (Figure 10). Ferrill and Thomas (1988) recently proposed, on the basis of evidence for an Early Devonian wrench basin in the Talladega belt of Alabama and Georgia, that oblique faulting also extended into the Alabama segment at that time. According to their modification of Ettensohn's model, wrench and transpressional stress varied along the orogenic system with time as shown in Figure 9.

The oblique collision model of Ettensohn (1987) and modifications by Ferrill and Thomas (1988) seems plausible. For the central and southern Appalachians, however, it could not have been the initial collision with Carolinia ("Avalonia") because, as previously shown, Carolinia collided with Laurentia during the Ordovician Taconic orogeny. A more likely hypothesis is that the amalgamated terranes of Laurentia and Carolinia collided with southern Europe and west Africa. Middle Devonian metamorphism and deformation are recorded in France and Morocco (Robinson and others, in press).

Along the James River traverse, during the Acadian, dextral transpression probably reactivated many of the Taconic faults and undoubtedly moved the ancestral Blue Ridge closer to its present position.



Figure 9. Ages of metamorphism and ductile deformation in the central and southern Appalachians. From Glover 1989.



(a) Early early Devonian



(b) Late early to early middle Devonian



(c) Late middle Devonian to early Mississippian

Figure 10. "Sequential maps showing Acadian oblique convergence along Appalachian margin of North America. Sites of synorogenic accumulation (arrows mark directions of sediment dispersal) and tectonic elements of the North American plate. Arrows on other plate show sense of motion between plates." From Ferrill and Thomas, 1988, p. 607.

Other Tectonic Models. Hatcher (1987) considers the suturing of Avalonia (Carolinia) to North America to be an Acadian event and to have occurred along the western boundary of the Kings Mountain belt in the southern Appalachians. In Virginia this boundary on his map (Hatcher, 1987, Figure 2) passes east of the Chopawamsic Formation and is overthrust by the Goochland basement nappes. The ultimate basis for Hatcher's ages of collision-boundaries seems to be the need to match a sequence of three orogenic events with a succession of terranes eastward (outward) from the North American craton. In this paper it has been shown that the western (Taconic) suture is mostly misplaced by Hatcher in Virginia, and that the collision with Carolinia occurred during the Taconic (see also Glover and others, 1983).

Early Mississippian/Permian Alleghanian (Hercynian) Orogeny

In the Valley and Ridge of Virginia and West Virginia the Greenbrier Limestone, Merrimacian/Visean in age, was deposited upon the Acadian clastic wedge in a brief interval about 340 m. y. ago. The Greenbrier is immediately overlain by the Alleghanian clastic wedge which ranges into the Permian, or to about 250 Ma. This brief pause in clastic deposition probably represents a change in style of deformation, perhaps the passage of a non-compressional transform junction with southern Europe and Africa. In any event it hardly seems reasonable to consider it more than a brief lull, or change in style, in an otherwise continuous orogeny.

Glover and others (1983) found that the metamorphic thermal peak in the eastern Virginia Piedmont was reached about 280 Ma (Early Permian), and that ductile deformation over most of the eastern Piedmont ceased about 250 Ma (Late Permian). Thus deformation in the Piedmont was synchronous with deposition of the clastic wedge in the foreland basin.

The Petersburg Granite of the eastern Piedmont, near Richmond (Plate 1), is Late Mississippian, 330 ± 8 Ma according to Wright and others (1975) and was deformed intensely along its western margin by the dextrally transpressive Hylas mylonite zone (Bobyarchick and Glover, 1979; Gates and Glover, 1989).

Gates and others (1986) have shown that late Paleozoic dextral transpressional (ductile) faulting, parallel to the orogenic axis, occurred in the crystalline terrain throughout the length of the Appalachians. They inferred from isotopic dates that this occurred from 324 Ma to 285 Ma (Early Mississippian to Early Permian). The 324 Ma older bound is a cooling age on hornblende (Glover and others, 1983) and the actual age of initial deformation is undoubtedly older. Similarly, the 285 Ma age is now superceded by more recent findings, mentioned above, that suggest dextral transpression continued until about 250 Ma.

The I-64 seismic profile (Plate 1) shows the structural relation of North American Grenville basement to the overthrust Carolinia rocks in the eastern Piedmont of Virginia. In the Goochland nappe, foliation, lithologic layering, and mylonite zones are all parallel as a result of the final deformation during the Alleghanian orogeny. Surface studies indicate that these three parallel features of the layering are recorded in the reflections on the seismic record as shown below the surface in the Goochland nappes on the profile.

Therefore the dextral transpression that formed these structures occurred along moderately eastward dipping zones that, as shown by the profile, reached into the middle and lower crust. The emplacement of the Goochland nappes and the doming of the Carolinia cover therefore is a consequence of Alleghanian dextral transpression. Alleghanian amphibolite facies metamorphism that retrograded the Grenville granulite mineral assemblages occurred at about 5-7 kbars (Farrar, 1984) equivalent to uplift of 15-20 km during the deformation. Subsequent erosion has breached the Carolinia cover in Virginia and parts of North Carolina (Figure 2). Now the Grenville basement rocks in the nappes plunges gently southward forming the Raleigh belt of North Carolina (Figures 1, 2). It seems likely that the entire southern Piedmont is underlain by North American Grenville basement.

Alleghanian regional metamorphism and ductile deformation is largely confined to the eastern Piedmont of the central and southern Appalachians (Figure 9). Localized zones of Alleghanian deformation are imposed on Alleghanian granites along the Brevard zone in Georgia (Glover and others, 1983, and references therein) and on the High Shoals Granite at the northern end of the Kings Mountain belt (Figures 1, 2) in North Carolina (Horton and others, 1987). These occurrences represent areas of ductile deformation along or near fault zones that were reactivated and locally intruded by granites during the Alleghanian; undoubtedly more will be found. Ductile deformation of Alleghanian age also occurs in central Virginia where reactivation of the Taconic suture in Alleghanian time produced mylonites of this age (Gates, 1981, Gates and others, 1986). Although Alleghanian ductile deformation is found along major reactivated faults in the central and western Piedmont (Figure 9) the eastern Piedmont contains the only large areas of regional ductile deformation and metamorphism known at this time. Therefore, the pattern of regional metamorphism and ductile deformation in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge (Figure 9) supports our conclusion (Glover and others, 1983) of a general southeastward migration of thermal metamorphic events with time. This seems in accord with the conclusion that collision zones also become younger eastward as a natural consequence of the succession in collision described herein.

The timing of the development of the principal areas of Acadian and Alleghanian metamorphism and ductile deformation in the central and southern Appalachian Piedmont was contemporaneous with the formation of their respective clastic wedges in the Valley and Ridge. During deposition of the Acadian clastic wedge parts of the Blue Ridge province (those parts not overprinted by Acadian ductile deformation, Figure 9) were transported westward as largely rigid blocks deforming the shelf and Taconic clastic wedge strata and edge of the Acadian foreland basin in front of them. During the deposition of the Alleghanian clastic wedge the Blue Ridge, central and western Piedmont blocks moved in a dominantly rigid state deforming the shelf, Taconic and Acadian foreland basin strata ahead of them. Foreland basin strata deformed by pre Alleghanian orogenies were overridden during the Alleghanian so that most of the deformation now seen in the Valley and Ridge is of Alleghanian age. Because of this sequence of events the Blue Ridge and associated faults that carry metamorphosed terrain over unmetamorphosed Valley and Ridge strata in the southern Appalachians is commonly thought to be Alleghanian. The sequence of events outlined above suggests that these faults had their inception much earlier during the Taconic collision.

Origin of Middle and Late Paleozoic Plutons

Middle- to late-Paleozoic granitic rocks of the Piedmont were largely generated during times of crustal thickening by Acadian and Alleghanian transform, transtensional and transpressional collision. Possibly some 410-385 Ma (Early Devonian) gabbroic, syenitic and granitic plutons in the central Carolinas correspond to a non-compressive interval of time and their compositions suggest that they may have had an transform or transtensional origin in accord with the timing and nature of the Acadian orogeny outlined above

The Acadian and Alleghanian granites of the Piedmont are mostly monzogranites, but range through thondhjemite to monzonite to syenogranite (Speer, Becker and Farrar, personal communication, 1984). Only about 10% of the coeval intrusives are gabbro. Thus the suite is strongly bimodal and lacks diorite. A magmatic arc origin is unlikely.

Crustal thickening during compressive and transpressive events provides a number of attributes that promote generation of melt. Frictional heat accumulates and lower crust and upper mantle are depressed into higher temperature zones. The transcurrent component of movement may more locally create higher and lower pressure zones within the lithosphere. Crustal shortening may result in delamination and sinking of lower lithosphere, and allow upwelling of hot asthenosphere to the base of the crust. Rapid upward transport during nappe stacking, and isostatic rebound during quiet times between compressive events may make decompression melting possible.

Early Mesozoic Rifting Precursor of Atlantic Ocean Basin Opening.

Numerous rift basins of Late Triassic and early Jurassic age exist in the Piedmont of the central and southern Appalachians. Dikes of Jurassic diabase (dolerite of European usage ?) record the beginning of the generation of the Atlantic Ocean and the current plate tectonic regime. They are beyond the scope of this report and are mentioned only to provide an end point for the evolution of the Appalachian orogenic system.

Conclusions

Rifting of Grenvillia began about 690 Ma and continued to about 570 Ma (Early Cambrian). At least two stages can be seen in this protracted extensional event: The first stage, 690-650 Ma, was characterized by rifting, eruption of the tholeiitic and peralkaline Crossnore plutonic-volcanic suite, and accumulation of non-marine clastic sediments and volcanic rocks in graben. Glaciation occurred at the beginning of the second stage (and perhaps at the end of the first stage), and widespread subsidence occurred along the east flank of the present Blue Ridge. Subsidence led to the development of a retrogradational braided submarine fan over attenuated continental crust as the proto margin of Laurentia evolved. The second stage of rifting was accompanied by intrusion of basaltic dikes and sills and eruption of lava. Mafic/ultramafic dikes and sills were injected during the early part of the interval. Rifting was complete at 570 Ma. and the new ocean basin Iapetus was initiated.

The drift stage began at 570 Ma and continued to about 490 Ma. (Early Ordovician). During this time the Laurentian continent drifted in tropical seas and accumulated nearly three kilometers of quartz arenite and carbonate on its shallow platformal edge.

Somewhere, at high latitudes in the Iapetan Ocean, the microcontinent Carolinia was being mantled by calcalkaline volcanic rocks as the ocean closed between it and Laurentia. The oldest known Carolinia volcanism is older than 700 Ma. A little before 600 Ma. the volcanism was interrupted by the Virgilina deformation which folded and faulted the older volcanic sequence. Deep submarine basins (transtensional(?) graben) filled with turbidites formed over the core region of the older arc. This deformation and sedimentation pattern suggests a change in direction of plate movement followed by transpressional-transtensional collision. When volcanism resumed after the Virgilina deformation it was more nearly bimodal in composition. The younger period of volcanism lasted from about 600 Ma until about 510 Ma. Collision with Laurentia occurred between 510 and 490 Ma., probably during the Early Ordovician.

Sedimentary and tectonic melanges accumulating in the trench and accretionary wedge were brought ashore during the Taconic collision. Ages of crosscutting intrusives and source materials suggest that much of this melange was deposited about 550-525 Ma. However, melanges undoubtedly began to form as early as 700 + (?) Ma. because the magmatic arc was already well developed by then.

During the Taconic collision the hinge zone of the Laurentian continent was sliced off and thrust cratonward as the proto Blue Ridge, while the foreland basin filled with clastic sediments culminating in deposition of the thick Martinsburg and Juniata formations.

After the Taconic collision the North American continent drifted at low latitudes for about 30 m.y., collecting compositionally mature shallow water clastics and carbonate sediments along its platformal margin. Minor rifting may have occurred in the Piedmont.

Collision with South America(?) Africa and southern Europe probably began during the Silurian or Early Devonian. This collision was initially strongly dextral-transpressional in New England but dominantly dextral-transform in the central and southern Appalachians. By the Middle and Late Devonian the region of intense dextral transpression had moved into the central Appalachians. During the early Mississippi (Greenbrier time) the central Appalachians were probably experiencing transform motion. Transpressional motion was dominant in the central and southern Appalachians during the Alleghanian (Hercynian) orogeny. The Acadian and Hercynian orogenies appear to be parts of a single protracted oblique collisional event (should we resurrect the term "Appalachian orogeny" with Acadian and Alleghanian/Hercynian phases?). Possibly the obliquity of the collision angle is the reason why subduction was not aborted and collision ceased much sooner.

Rifting began again during the Late Triassic and by Middle Jurassic the present Atlantic ocean had begun to form.

Acknowledgements

Data acquisition and preparation of this paper were supported primarily by contracts or grants from the NRC (At-[40-1]-4802; 04-75-237; 04-85-106), ERDA (E[40-1]-4920; E[40-1]-5103), DOE (ET-76-5-05-5103; ET 78-C-05-5648; DE AC05-78-ET27001; DE-AC05-81-ET27001), NSF-EAR (-76-22997; -8009549; -8412004)., USGS-14-08-001-G-685 and Virginia Tech during parts or all of the period 1976-1988. Abundant text references above testify to the fact that the writer did not operate in a vacuum, and he is grateful to the many graduate students and colleagues with whom he has had the privilege of working. Those who particularly impacted my thoughts in the present paper include, Andy Bobyarchick, Nicholas Evans, Alexander Gates, Charles Harris, Judith Patterson, Fred Poland, Thomas Pratt, and Fred Wehr. I have benefitted from many spirited discussions with the following colleagues: Robert Butler, John Costain, Cahit Coruh, David Dallmeyer, Avery Drake, Stewart Farrar, Robert Hatcher, Jr., Wright Horton, Douglas Mose, Louis Pavlides, Douglas Rankin, Fred Read, Edward Robinson, John Rodgers, Carol Simpson, Alexander Speer, and Donald Secor.

My thanks to W.R. Brown, N. H. Evans, J. Patterson, J.F. Read, and R. Tracy for reviews of this manuscript. Also thanks to Kathy Hawkins for editing and drafting illustrations and for advice on the mechanics of format. Finally, my deep appreciation to Marge Dellers who managed the office and helped to create the time necessary to get this on paper, and to my wife Ellen who was supportive and tolerant during its production.

References

Badger, R.L., and Sinha, A.K., 1988, Age and Sr isotopic signature of the Catoctin volcanic province: Implications for subcrustal mantle evolution: Geology, v. 16, n. 8, p. 692-695.

Bartholomew, M. J., Editor,1984, The Grenville Event in the Appalachians and related topics: Geological Society of America, Special Paper #194, 287 p.

Bartholomew, M.J. and Lewis, S.E., 1984, Evolution of Grenville massifs in the Blue Ridge Geologic Province, southern and central Appalachians: Geological Society of America Special Paper 194, pp.229-254.

Bartholomew, M.J., 1977, Geology of the Greenfield and Sherando Quadrangles, Virginia; Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Publication n 4, 43 p.

Bartholomew, M.J., Gathright, T.M., Henika, W.S., 1981, A tectonic model for the Blue Ridge in central Virginia; American Journal of Science, v. 281, n. 11, p. 1164-1183.

Bice, K.L. and Clement, S.C., 1982, A study of the feldspars of the Montpelier andesine anorthosite, Hanover County, Virginia: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 14, p. 5.

Bird, J.M. and J.F. Dewey, 1970, Lithosphere plate—continental margin tectonics and the evolution of the Appalachian orogen; Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 81, 1031-1060.

Blackburn, W.H., and Brown, W.R., 1976, Petrochemical evidence relating the Catoctin volcanic series to Late Precambrian continental separation: Geological Society of

America Abstracts with Programs, v. 8, p. 136-137.
Bland, A. E., 1978, Trace element geochemistry of volcanic sequences of Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina and its bearing on the tectonic evolution of the central Appalachians: Ph.D. dissertation, University of Kentucky, Lexington, 328 p.
Bland, Alan E., and Blackburn, W. H., 1980, Geochemical studies on the greenstones of the Atlantic seaboard volcanic province, south-central Appalachians: *in*, Wones, D. R. (ed.) Proceedings, Caledonides in the USA, I.G.C.P. Project 27, Caledonide Orogen, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University; Department of Geological Sciences, Memoir No. 2, p. 263-270.
Bloomer, R.O., 1950, Late pre-Cambrian or Lower Cambrian formations in central Virginia: American Journal of Science, v. 248, p. 753-783.
Bloomer, R.O. and Werner, H.J., 1955, Geology of the Blue Ridge region in central Virginia; Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 66, pp.579-606.
Bobyarchick, A.R., and Glover, L., III, 1979, Deformation and metamorphism in the Hylas zone and adjacent parts of the eastern Piedmont in Virginia: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 90, p. 739-752.
Bobyarchick, A.R., 1976, Tectogenesis of the Hylas zone and eastern Piedmont near Richmond, Virginia: M.S. thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg. 168 p.
Bourland, W.C., 1976,Tectogenesis and metamorphism of the Piedmont from Columbia to Westview, Virginia along the James River: M.S. thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg. 112 p.
Briggs, D.F., Gilbert, M.C., and Glover, L., III, 1978, Petrology and regional significance of the Roxboro metagranite, North Carolina: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v.89, p.511-521.
Brown, C.B., 1937, Outline of the geology and mineral resources of Goochland County, Virginia: Virginia Geological Survey Bulletin, v. 48, 68 p.
Brown, W.R., 1953, Structural framework and mineral resources of the Virginia Piedmont: Kentucky Geological Survey Series 9, Special Publication # 1, P.88-111; Virginia Division of Geology Reprint n. 16.
Brown, W.R., 1958, Geology and Mineral Resources of the Lynchburg quadrangle, Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources, Bulletin 74, 99 p.
Brown, W. R., 1969, Geology of the Dillwyn quadrangle, Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Report of Investigations 10, 77 p.
Brown, W.R., 1970, Investigations of the sedimentary record in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge of Virginia: in, Fischer, G.W., Pettijohn, F.J., Reed, J.C., and Weaver, K.N., (eds.), Studies of Appalachian Geology: Central and southern: New York, Wiley Interscience, p. 335-349.
Brown, W.R., 1976, Tectonic melange (?) in the Arvonia Slate district of Virginia: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 8, n. 2, p. 142.
Brown, W. R., 1979, Field guide to the Arvonia-Schuyler district, Virginia: *in* Guides to Field Trips 1-3 for Southeastern Section Meeting Geological Society of America, Blacksburg, Virginia, p. 24-37.
Brown, W. R., 1986, Shores Complex and Melange in the central Virginia Piedmont:

Geological Society of America Centennial Field Guide - Southeastern Section, p. 209-214.
Brown, W.R., and Pavlides, Louis, 1981, Melange terrane in the central Virginia Piedmont: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 13, n. 7, p 419.
Burfoot, J.D., 1930, The origin of the talc and soapstone deposits of Virginia: Economic Geology, v. 25, p. 805-826.
Calver, J.L., and others, 1963, Geologic map of Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources, Charlottesville. scale 1: 500,000
Clement, S.C. and Bice, K.L., 1982, Andesine anorthosite in the eastern Piedmont of Virginia: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 14, p. 10.
Cloos, M. 1982, Flow melanges: Numerical modelling and geologic constraints on their origin in the Franciscan subduction complex, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 93, p. 330-345.
Cloos, M., 1984, Flow melanges and the structural evolution of accretionary wedges; in Raymond, L.A., Editor, Melanges: Their nature, origin and significance: Geological Society of America Special Paper 198, p. 71-80.
Coney, P.J., Jones, D.L.and Monger, J.W.H., 1980. Cordilleran suspect terranes. Nature, v. 288, pp.329-333, 27 November.
Conley, J. F., 1978, Geology of the Piedmont of Virginia - Interpretations and problems: in Contributions to Virginia Geology - III, Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Publication 7, p. 115-149.
Crowley, W.P., 1976, The geology of the crystalline rocks near Baltimore and its bearing on the evolution of the eastern Maryland Piedmont: Maryland Geological Survey Report of Investigations n. 27, 40 p.
Dana, J.D., 1873, On some results from the Earth's contraction from cooling, including a discussion of the origin of mountains and the nature of the Earth's interior; American Journal of Science, ser. 3, n. 5, p. 423-443; n. 6, p. 6-14, 104-115, 161-172.
Darton, N. H., 1892, Fossils in the "Archean" rocks in central Piedmont, Virginia: American Journal of Science, Series 3, v. 44, p. 50-52.
Davis, P. A., Jr., 1977, Trace element model studies of Late Precambrian-Early Paleozoic greenstones of Virginia, Maryland and Pennsylvania: Ph.D. dissertation, University of Kentucky, Lexington, 135 p.
Davis, R.G., 1974, Pre-Grenville ages of basement rocks in central Virginia: a model for the interpretation of zircon ages; MS thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, 47p.
Drake, A.A., Jr., 1985, Tectonic implications of the Indian Run Formation - A newly recognized sedimentary melange in the northern Virginia Piedmont: U.S.Geological Survey Professional Paper 1324, 11 p.
Drake, A. A., Jr., 1985, Metamorphism in the Potomac composite terrane: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs v. 17, p. 566.
Drake, A.A., 1987, Pre-Taconian deformation in the Piedmont of the Potomac Valley, Penobscotian, Cadomian, or both? Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Publication, in, Contributions to Virginia Geology; v. 74, p. 1-18.
Drake, A.A., Jr., and Lyttle, P.T., 1981, The Accotink Schist, Lake Barcroft

Metasandstone, and Popes Head Formation - Keys to understanding the tectonic evolution of the northern Virginia Piedmont: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1205 15 p.
Drake, A.A. and Morgan, B.A., 1981, The Piney Branch Complex - a metamorphosed fragment of the central Appalachian ophiolite in northern Virginia; American Journal of Science, v. 281, p. 484-508.
Drake, A.A., and Morgan, B.A., 1981, The Piney Branch complex - A metamorphosed fragment of the central Appalachian ophiolite in northern Virginia: American Journal of Science, v. 281, p. 484-508.
Espenshade, G.H., 1954, Geology and mineral deposits of the James River- Roanoke River manganese district, Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1008, 155 p.
Ettensohn, F.R., 1987, Rates of relative plate motion during the Acadian orogeny based on the spatial distribution of black shales: Journal of Geology, v. 95, p. 572-582.
Evans, N. H., 1984, Latest Precambrian to Ordovician metamorphism and orogenesis in the Blue Ridge and western Piedmont, Virginia Appalachians Ph.D. dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, 313 p.
Evans, N.H., and Marr, J.D., 1988, Geology and the slate industry in the Arvonia district, Buckingham County, Virginia: Virginia Minerals, v. 34, n. 4.
Farrar, S.S., 1984, The Goochland granulite terrane: remobilized Grenville basement in the eastern Virginia Piedmont. *in* , Bartholomew, Editor, The Grenville Event in the Appalachians and related topics: Geological Society of America, Special Paper #194, p. 215-229.
Farrar, S.S., 1985. Tectonic evolution of the eastern most Piedmont, North Carolina: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 96, pp.362-380.
Ferrill, B.A., and Thomas, W.A., 1988, Acadian dextral transpression and synorogenic sedimentary successions in the Appalachians: Geology, v. 16, n. 7, p. 604-608.
Furcron, A.S., 1935, James River Iron and Marble Belt, Virginia: Virginia Geological Survey, Bulletin 39, 124 p.
Furcron, A.S., 1939, Geology and Mineral Resources of the Warrenton Quadrangle, Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Bulletin 54, 94 p.
Furcron, A.S., 1969, Late Precambrian and Early Paleozoic erosional and depositional sequences of northern and central Virginia: in, Precambrian-Paleozoic Appalachian problems: Georgia Geological Survey Bulletin 86, p. 57-88.
Gates, A.E., 1981, Geology of the western boundary of the Charlotte belt at Brookneal, Virginia: unpublished M.S thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, 86 p.
Gates, A.E., C. Simpson, L. Glover, III, 1986, Appalachian carboniferous dextral strike slip faults: An example from Virginia: Tectonics, v. 5, p.119-133.
Gates, A.E., Glover, L., III, 1989, Alleghanian tectono-thermal evolution of the dextral transcurrent Hylas zone, Virginia Piedmont, U.S.A.: J. of Structural Geology, v. 11, p. 407-419.
Gathright, T.M., II, 1976, Geology of the Shenandoah National Park, Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Bulletin, v. 86, 93 p.
Gathright, T.M., Henika, W.S., and Sullivan, J.L., 1977, Geology of the Waynesboro East and Waynesboro West Quadrangles, Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral

Resources Publication v. 3, 53 p.
Glover, L., III, 1974, Speculations on the relation between eastern and western Piedmont volcanism: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 6 p. 757.
Glover, L. III, and Sinha, A. K., 1973, The Virgilina deformation, a Late Precambrian to early Cambrian(?) orogenic event in the central Piedmont of Virginia and North Carolina: American Journal of Science, v. 273-A, 234-251.
Glover, L., III, Mose, D. G., Costain, J.K., Poland, F.B., Bobyarchick, A.R., 1978, Grenville basement in the eastern Piedmont of Virginia; implications for orogenic models; Geological Society of America, Abstracts with Programs, SE Section, p. 169.
Glover, l., III, Costain, J.K., and Coruh, C., 1982, Vibroseis seismic reflection structure along the Blue Ridge and Piedmont James River profile, north central Virginia: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 14, n. 7, p. 497.
Glover, L., III, Costain, J.K., Coruh, C., and Farrar, S.S., 1983, The latest Precambrian-Early Ordovician margin of North America and the Taconic suture in Virginia: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 15, p. 582.
Glover, L., III, Speer, J.A., Russell, G.S., and Farrar, S.S., 1983, Ages of metamorphism and ductile deformation in the central and southern Appalachians: *Lithos*, v. 16, p. 223-245.
Glover, L., III, and Costain, J.K., 1984, The Blue Ridge - A decapitated Eocambrian to Early Ordovician hinge zone of the North American Continent: Program and Abstracts, Appalachian Basin Industrial Associates, Dublin, Ohio, March 22,23, 1984, p. 23.
Glover, Lynn, III, Pratt, T.L., Costain, J.K., Coruh, C., Mose, D.G., Gates, A.E., and Evans, N.H., 1987, Tectonics and crustal structure in the central Appalachians of Virginia: Reinterpreted from U.S.G.S. I-64 reflection seismic profile: Geological Society of America, Abstracts with Programs, v. 19, n. 2, p.86.
Glover, L., III, and Gates, A.E., 1987, Alleghanian orogeny in the central and southern Appalachians: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 19, n. 2, p.86.
Glover, Lynn, III, 1989, Tectonics of the Virginia Blue Ridge and Piedmont; *in* Glover, L., III, Evans, N.H., Patterson, J.G.,and Brown, W.R.(eds), "Tectonics of the Virginia Blue Ridge and Piedmont: Field Trip T363, 28th International Geological Congress.
Goodwin, B.K., 1970, Geology of the Hylas and Midlothian quadrangles, Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Report of Investigations n. 23, 51 p.
Hall, J., 1859, Paleontology; Geological Survey of New York, v. 3, pt. 1, p. 66-96.
Harms, J.C., Pickles, E., Pollack, R.E., and Tacken-burg, P., 1981, The Brae Oilfield area; in, Illing, L.V., and Hobson, G.D., (eds.) Petroleum Geology of the Continental Shelf of North-West Europe; London, Heydon and Son, p. 352-357.
Harms, J.C., Southard, J.B., and Walker, R.G., 1982, Structures and sequences in clastic rocks: Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Short Course n. 9.
Harris, C.W., and Glover, L., III, 1985, The Virgilina deformation: Implications of stratigraphic correlation in the Carolina slate belt: Carolina Geological Society Field Trip Guidebook, 59 p.

Harris, C.W., and Glover, L., III, 1988, The regional extent of the ca. 600 Ma Virgilina deformation: Implications for stratigraphic correlation in the Carolina terrane: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 100, p. 200-217.
Harris, L.D., De Witt, W., Jr., and Bayer, K.C., 1982, Interpretive seismic profile along Interstate I-64 from Valley and Ridge to the Coastal Plain in central Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey Oil and Gas Investigations Chart OC-123.
Hatcher, R.D., Jr., 1972, Developmental model for the southern Appalachians: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 83, p. 2735-2760.
Hatcher, R.D., Jr., 1978, Tectonics of the western Piedmont and Blue Ridge, southern Appalachians: review and speculation; American Journal of Science, v. 278, 276-304.
Hatcher, R.D., Jr., 1987, Tectonics of the southern and central Appalachian Internides: Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Science, v. 15, p. 337-362.
Hatcher, R.D., and Odom, A.L., 1980, Timing of thrusting in the southern Appalachians, USA: model for orogeny? Journal of the Geological Society of London, v. 321-327.
Hatcher, R.D., Jr., 1989, Tectonic synthesis of the U.S. Appalachians, in Hatcher, R.D.,Jr., Thomas, W.A., and Viele, G.W., eds., The Appalachian-Ouachita Orogen in the United States: Boulder, Colorado, Geological Society of America, The Geology of North America, v. F-2.
Hendry, H.E., 1978, Cap des Rosiers Formation at Grosses Roches, Quebec — deposits of the mid-fan region of an Ordovician submarine fan: Canadian Journal of Earth Science, v. 15, p. 1472-1488.
Herz, N.H., 1984, Rock suites in Grenvillian terrane of the Roseland district, Part 2, Igneous and metamorphic petrology: *in*, Bartholomew, M.J., Editor, *The Grenville Event in the Appalachians and Related Topics:* Geological Society of America Special paper 184, p. 200-214.
Herz, N.H., and Force, E.R., 1984 Rock suites in Grenvillian terrane of the Roseland district, Part 1. Lithologic relations: *in*, Bartholomew, M.J., Editor, *The Grenville Event in the Appalachians and Related Topics:* Geological Society of America Special paper 184, p. 200-214.
1984, Hess, H.H., 1933, Hydrothermal metamorphism of an ultrabasic intrusive at Schuyler, Virginia: American Journal of Science, 5th ser., v. 26, p. 377-408.
Hess, H.H., 1962, History of ocean basins, *in* , Engle, A.E.J., James, H.L., and Leonard, B.F., (Editors), Petrologic Studies: A Volume to Honor A.F. Budington, Geological Society of America., p. 599-620.
Higgins, M.W., 1972, Age, origin, regional relations, and nomenclature of the Glenarm Series, central Appalachian Piedmont: A reinterpretation: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 83, p. 989-1026.
Horton, J.W., Jr., Butler, J.R., and Milton, D.M., editors, 1981, Geological Investigations of the Kings Mountain belt and adjacent areas in the Carolinas: South Carolina Geological Survey, 247 p.
Horton, J.W., 1983, Stratigraphic nomenclature in the Kings Mountain Belt, North Carolina and South Carolina; in, Stratigraphic Notes, U. S. Geological Survey

Bulletin 1537-A, p. A59-A67.

Horton, J.W., Drake, A.A., and Rankin, D.W., 1987, Terrane analysis of the central and southern Appalachian orogen, USA, in Tectonothermal Evolution of the West African Orogens and Circum-Atlantic Terrane Linkages, Abstracts and Program, Nouachott, Mauritania, West Africa, p. 105-108.

Horton, J.W., Drake, A.A., and Rankin, D.W., 1989, Tectonostratigraphic terranes and their Paleozoic Boundaries in the central and southern Appalachians: Geological Society of America Special Paper 230, p. 213 -245. Reprint on file.

Hsü, K. J. 1973, "The Odyssey of Geosyncline", in Ginsburg, R. N. (Editor), Evolving concepts in sedimentology: The Johns Hopkins University Studies in Geology, n. 21, p. 66-92.

Jonas, A.I., 1927, Geologic reconnaissance in the Piedmont of Virginia: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 37, p. 837-846.

Jopling, A.V., 1965, Hydraulic factors controlling the shape of laminae in laboratory deltas: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 35, p. 777-791.

Karpa, J.B., 1974, The Middle Ordovician Fincastle Conglomerate north of Roanoke, Virginia, and its implications for Blue Ridge tectonism: M.S. thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, 104 p.

Kay, M., 1951, North American Geosynclines; Geological Society of America Memoir 48,

Keith, A, 1894, Geology of the Catoctin belt: U.S.Geological Survey, Fourteenth Annual Report, pt. 2, p. 285-395.

Keppie, J.D., and Dallmeyer, R.D.,Compilers, 1989, Tectonic map of pre-Mesozoic terranes in circum Atlantic Phanerozoic orogens. International Geologic Correlation Programme: Project #233: Terranes in Circum- Atlantic Paleozoic Orogens.

Link, M.H., and Nilsen, T.H., 1980, The Rocks Sandstone, an Eocene sand-rich deep sea fan deposit, in northern Santa Lucia Range, California: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 50, p. 583-602.

Lukert, M.T. and Banks, P.O., 1984, Geology and age of the Robertson River pluton; *in*, Bartholomew, M.J., Editor, The Grenville Event in the Appalachians and Related Topics; Geological Society of America Special Paper 194, p. 161-166.

Misra, K.C., and Keller, F.B., 1978, Ultramafic bodies in the southern Appalachians: A review: American Journal of Science, v. 278, p. 389-418.

Mose, D.G., 1981, Cambrian age for the Catoctin and Chopawamsic Formations in Virginia: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs v. 13, p.31.

Mose, D.G., 1982, Development of high initial $^{87}/Sr/^{86}Sr$ ratios in Latest Precambrian rocks in the Blue Ridge, Reading Prong, and Manhattan Prong: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs v. 14, p.66.

Mose, D. G., and Nagel, M. S., 1982, Plutonic events in the Piedmont of Virginia: Southeastern Geology, v. 23, n. 1, p. 25-39.

Mose, D.G., and Nagel, S., 1984, Rb/Sr age for the Robertson River pluton in Virginia and its implication on the age of the Catoctin Formation,, in, Bartholomew, M.J., Editor, The Grenville Event in the Appalachians and Related Topics; Geological Society of America Special Paper 194, p. 167-173.

Mose, D.G. and Kline, S.W., 1986, Resetting of Rb/Sr isochrons by metamorphism and ductile deformation — Blue Ridge Province, Virginia: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 27, p. 257.

Nelson, W.A., 1932, Rockfish Conglomerate, Virginia: Washington Academy of Science, Proceedings, v. 22, p. 456-457

Nelson, W.A., 1962, Geology and mineral resources of Albemarle County: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Bulletin 77, 92 p.

Nilsen, T.H., 1980, Modern and ancient submarine fans: discussion of papers by R.G. Walker and W.R. Normark: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 64, p. 1094-1099. p. 57-88.

Odom, A.L. and Fullagar, P.D., 1973, Geochronologic and tectonic relationships between the Inner Piedmont, Brevard zone, and Blue Ridge belts, North Carolina: American Journal of Science, v. 273-A, p. 133-149

Odom, A.L., and Fullagar, P.D., 1984, Rb/Sr and inherited zircon ages of the plutonic suite of Crossnore complex, southern Appalachians, and their implications regarding the time of the opening of the Iapetus Ocean: Geological Society of America Special Paper, 194, p. 255-261.

Odum, A.L. and Fullagar, P.D., 1982, The time of opening of the Iapetus ocean: age of the Crossnore plutonic-volcanic Group, southern Appalachians: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs v. 14, p.69.

Palmer, A., 1983, The Decade of North American Geology 1983 Geologic Time Scale: Geology, v. 11, n. 9, p. 503-504.

Parker, P.E., 1968, Geologic investigations of the Lincoln and Bluemont Quadrangles, Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Report of Investigations 14, 23 p.

Patterson, J.G., 1987a. Evolution of the Late Proterozoic (?) to early Paleozoic distal passive margin to ancestral North America, in the central Appalachians: Geological Society of America, Abstracts with Programs, volume 19, p. 123.

Patterson, J.G., 1987b. Tectonic Evolution of distal portions of the continental margin to ancestral North America: Ph.D. dissertation, V.P.I.&S.U., Blacksburg, VA,191 p.

Patterson, J.G., (1989). Deformation in portions of the distal continental margin to ancestral North America: An example from the westernmost internal zone, central and southern Appalachian orogen, Virginia: Tectonics, v. 8, p. 535 - 554.

Pavlides, Louis, 1976, Piedmont geology of the Fredericksburg, Virginia, area and vicinity; Guidebook for Field Trips 1 and 4, Geological Society of America Northeast-Southeast Sections Joint Meeting, 44 p.

Pavlides, L., 1980, Revised nomenclature and stratigraphic relationships of the Fredericksburg Complex and Quantico Formation of the Virginia Piedmont: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1146, 29 p.

Pavlides, L., Pojeta, J., Gordon, M., Parsley, R.L., and Bobyarchick, A.R., 1980, New evidence for the age of the Quantico Formation in Virginia: Geology, v. 8, p. 286-290.

Pavlides, Louis, 1981, The central Virginia volcanic-plutonic belt: An island arc of Cambrian(?) age: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1231-A, 34 p.

Pavlides, L., 1982, Middle and upper Paleozoic granitic rocks in the Piedmont near

Fredericksburg, Virginia: Geochronology: U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey Professional Paper 1231-B, 9 p.
Pavlides, Louis, (1989) Early Paleozoic composite melange terrane and its origin, central Appalachian Piedmont, Virginia and Maryland: Geological Society of America Special Paper 228, p. 135 - 193.
Pavlides, Louis, Arth, J. G., Daniels, D. L., and Stern, T. W., 1982, Island-arc, back-arc, and melange terranes of northern Virginia: tectonic, temporal, and regional relationships: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 14, no. 7, p. 584.
Pettingill, H.S., Sinha, A.K., and Tatsumoto, M., 1984, Age and origin of anorthosites, charnockites, and granulites in the central Virginia Blue Ridge: Nd and Sr isotopic evidence. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 85, p. 297-291.
Poland, F.B., 1976, Geology of the rocks along the James River between Sabot and Cedar Point, Virginia: M.S. thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, 98 p.
Rankin, D.W., 1969, Late Precambrian glaciation in the Blue Ridge province of the southern Appalachians (abs): Geological Society of America Special Paper n. 21, p. 246.
Rankin, D.W., Stern, T.W., Reed, J.C., Jr., and Newell, M.F., 1969, Zircon ages of felsic volcanic rocks in the upper Precambrian of the Blue Ridge, Appalachian Mountains: Science, v. 166, p.741-744.
Rankin, D.W., Espenshade, G.H., Shaw, K.W., 1973, Stratigraphy and structure of the metamorphic belt in northwestern North Carolina and southwestern Virginia: A study from the Brevard fault zone to the Sauratown Mountains anticlinorium: American Journal of Science, Cooper Volume 273-A, p. 1-40.
Rankin, D.W., 1975, The continental margin of eastern North American in the southern Appalachians: the opening and closing of the proto-Atlantic ocean: American Journal of Sciences, v. 278-A, p.1-40.
Rankin, D.W., 1976, Appalachian salients and recesses: Late Precambrian continental breakup and the opening of the Iapetus Ocean: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 81, 5605-5619.
Rankin, D.W. and others, 1989, Pre-orogenic terranes, in, Hatcher, R.D. and others, The Geology of North America, Volume F-2, The Appalachian-Ouachita Orogen in the United States: Geological Society of America, p. 29-42
Read, J.F.,1989, Evolution of Cambro-Ordovician passive margins, U.S.Appalachians: *in* Rankin, D.W. and others, 1989, Pre-orogenic terranes, *in*, Hatcher, R.D. and others, The Geology of North America, Volume F-2, The Appalachian-Ouachita Orogen in the United States: Geological Society of America, p. 42-57
Redden, J.A., 1963, Stratigraphy and metamorphism of the Altavista area; *in* Geological Excursions in Southwestern Virginia: Virginia Polytechnic Institute Engineering Extension Series, Geological Society of America Southeastern Section, Guidebook II, p. 77-99.
Reed, J.C., Jr., 1955, Catoctin Formation near Luray, Virginia: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 66, p. 871-896.
Reed, J.C., Jr., and Morgan, B.A., 1971, Chemical alteration and spilitization of the

Catoctin greenstones, Shenandoah National Park, Virginia: Journal of Geology, v. 79, n. 5, p. 526-548.
Reilly, J.M., 1980, A geologic and potential field investigation of the central Virginia Piedmont: M.S. thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, 111 p.
Robinson, P., Tracy, R.J., Santallier, D.S., Andreasson, P.G., and Gil Ibarguchi, J.I., 1988, Scandian - Acadian - Caledonian (s.s.) metamorphism in the age range 430 to 360 M.Y.: in, Harris, A.L. and Fettes, D.J., The Caledonian Appalachian Orogen, Geological Society of America Pub. n. 38, p. 453 -468.
Rodgers, John, 1972, Latest Precambrian (post Grenville) rocks of the Appalachian region: American Journal of Science, v. 272, p. 507-520.
Rodgers, J., 1967, Chronology of tectonic movements in the Appalachian region of eastern North America: American Journal of Science, v. 265, p. 408-427.
Secor, D.T., Jr., Samson, S.L., Snoke, A.W., and Palmer, A.R., 1983, Confirmation of the Carolina slate belt as an exotic terrane: Science, v. 221, p. 649-651.
Secor, D.T., Murray, D.P., and Glover, L., III, 1989, Geology of the Avalonian rocks in the U.S. Geological Society of America, The Geology of N. America, v. F-2, p. 57-85.
Seiders, V.M., Mixon, R.B., Stern, T.W., Newell, M.F., and Thomas, C.B., Jr., 1975, Age of plutonism and tectonism and a new minimum age limit on the Glenarm Series in the northeast Virginia Piedmont near Occoquan: American Journal of Science, v. 275, p. 481-511.
Shreve, R.L., and Cloos, M., 1986, Dynamics of sediment subduction, melange formation, and prism accretion: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 91, p. 10,229-10,245.
Simpson, E.L., and Eriksson, K.A., (1989), Sedimentology of the Unicoi Formation in southern and central Virginia: Evidence for Late Proterozoic to Early Paleozoic rift-to-passive margin transition: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v 101, n. 1, p. 42-54.
Simpson, E.L., 1987, Sedimentology and tectonic implications of the Late Proterozoic to Early Cambrian Chilhowee Group in southern and central Virginia: Ph.D dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, 298 p.
Simpson, E.L., and Sundberg, F.A., 1987, Early Cambrian age from synrift deposits of the Chilhowee Group of southwestern Virginia: Geology, v. 12, p. 123-126.
Sinha, A.K. and Bartholomew, M. J., 1984, Evolution of the Grenville terrane in the central Virginia Appalachians; in, Bartholomew, M.J., editor, *The Grenville event in the Appalachians and related topics*; Geological Society of America Special Paper 194, p. 175-186.
Smith, J.W., Milici, R.C., and Greenberg, S S., 1964, Geology and mineral resources of Fluvanna County: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Bulletin 79, 62 p.
Southwick, D.L., Reed, J.C., Jr., and Mixon, R.B., 1971, The Chopawamsic Formation - a new stratigraphic unit in the Piedmont of northeastern Virginia: Contributions to Stratigraphy, U.S.Geological Survey Bulletin 1324-D, p. D1-D11.
Stose, A.J., and Stose, G.W., 1946, Geology of Carroll and Frederick Counties: Mary-

Counties Report, p. 11-131.
Stose, G. W., and Stose, Anna J., 1948, Stratigraphy of the Arvonia Slate, Virginia: American Journal of Science, v. 246, p. 393-412.
Taber, S., 1913, Geology of the gold belt in the James River basin, Virginia: Virginia Geological Survey Bulletin # 7, 271 p.
Thomas, M.D., 1983, Tectonic significance of paired gravity anomalies in the southern and central Appalachians: *in* Hatcher and others, Editors, "Contributions to the Tectonics and Geophysics of Mountain Chains": The Geological Society of America Memoir 158, p.113-124.
Tillman, C. G., 1970, Metamorphosed trilobites from Arvonia, Virginia: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 81, n. 4, p. 1189-1200.
Watson, T. L., and Powell, S. L., 1911, Fossil evidence of the age of the Virginia Piedmont slates: Journal of Science, 4th Series, v. 31, p. 33-44.
Wehr, F., 1983, Geology of the Lynchburg Group in the Culpeper and Rockfish River areas, Virginia, Ph.D. dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 245 p.
Wehr, F, 1985, Stratigraphy of the Lynchburg Group and Swift Run Formation, Late Proterozoic (730-570 Ma), central Virginia: Southeastern Geology, v. 25, n. 4, p.225-239.
Wehr, F., 1986, A proglacial origin for the upper Proterozoic Rockfish Conglomerate, central Virginia, U.S.A., Precambrian Research, v. 34, p. 157-174.
Wehr, F. and Glover, L., III, 1985, Stratigraphy and tectonics of the Virginia-North Carolina Blue Ridge: Evolution of a late Proterozoic-early Paleozoic hinge zone. Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 96, p. 285-295.
Werner, H.J., 1966, Geology of the Vesuvius Quadrangle, Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Report of Investigations 7, 53 p.
Williams, H. and Hatcher, R.D., Jr., 1982. Suspect terranes and accretionary history of the Appalachian orogen: Geology, v. 10, 10, 530-536.
Wilson, J.T., 1966, Did the Atlantic close and then reopen?; Nature, v. 211, p. 676-681.
Wollard, G.P., and Joesting, H.R., 1964, Bouguer gravity anomaly map of the United States: American Geophysical Union.
Wright, J.E. and Seiders, V.M, 1980, Age of zircon from volcanic rocks of the central North Carolina Piedmont and tectonic implications for the Carolina volcanic slate belt; Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 91, Pt. I,287-294.
Wright, J.E., Sinha, A.K., and Glover, L., III, 1975, Age of zircons from the Petersburg Granite, Virginia; with comments on belts of plutons in the Piedmont: American Journal of Science, v. 275, p. 848-856.

Part B: Velocity and Q studies in central Virginia

By John K. Costain

Purpose of study

In a general sense, different rock types have characteristic seismic velocities; the higher the velocity, the higher the value of the quality factor, Q (Waters, 1978). The objective of this study was to identify subsurface rock types in the structurally complex crystalline Piedmont of Virginia by measurements of velocity and Q from reflection seismic data acquired on lines NRC2A1-1, NRC2A1-2, NRC2A1-3, NRC2A1-4, and NRCRRT-1. If Q could be determined, then using published values for general relationships between Q and velocity, rock velocity could be inferred. Velocity determinations from stacking velocities can be inaccurate and ambiguous because of residual statics problems, choice of the reference datum for data processing, reflections from out of the plane of the section, etc.

Intrinsic damping (i.e., Q) is difficult to measure. A review of various laboratory and field techniques as well as results was given by Toksoz and Johnston (1981). If reflection seismic data are used, then many factors can affect the measurement of Q if ratios of the amplitudes of seismic wavelets are used, or if ratios of their spectral components are used. These factors have been summarized repeatedly in the literature (i.e., Toksoz and Johnston, 1981). What is needed is a method that is relatively independent of source/receiver coupling, is independent of spherical spreading, independent of reflection or transmission coefficients, and insensitive to residual statics shifts beneath either the source or receiver — in other words, a method that depends upon shape instead of amplitude. Ecevitoglu (1987) and Ecevitoglu and Costain (1988) published a unifying approach to the numerical modeling of intrinsic damping that facilitates observations of its effect on the shape of the propagating seismic wavelet, and allows for a direct determination of Q; this was the method used herein to analyze the reflection seismic data from the crystalline Piedmont of Virginia.

The quality factor, Q, is greatly affected by the presence of free water. For example, the Q of a low-porosity (1-2%) olivene basalt without hydrated mineral phases ranges from about 100 in normal laboratory air to over 2,000 when outgassed at moderate temperatures in a high vacuum. Birch and Bancroft (1938) showed that Q (at least in the kilohertz frequency range) of many igneous rocks increased from values of a few hundred to values of about 2000 at depths of about 10 km. Exposure to even laboratory air drastically reduces the value of Q from values greater than 2000 to around 100 (Tittman, 1981).

Theoretical background

Ecevitoglu (1987) and Ecevitoglu and Costain (1987) formulated an exact expression to describe the effects of absorption and body wave dispersion on the shape of a seismic wavelet for which the attenuation coefficient, a(n), is an arbitrary function of the frequency, n. They showed that absorption-dispersion pairs can be computed using the discrete numerical Hilbert transform, and that approximate analytical expressions requiring the selection of arbitrary constants and cutoff frequencies are no longer necessary. For constant Q, the dispersive body wave velocity, p(n), is

$$p(\nu) = \frac{p(\nu_N)}{1 + \frac{1}{2Q}\frac{H(-\nu)}{\nu}} \tag{1}$$

where H denotes numerical Hilbert transformation, p(n) is the phase velocity at the frequency n, and p(nN) is the phase velocity at Nyquist. From the above equation, it is possible to estimate Q in the time domain by measuring the amount of increase, DW, of the wavelet breadth after a traveltime, Dt, by

$$Q = \frac{2\Delta\tau}{\pi\Delta W} \tag{2}$$

Aki and Richards (1980, pp. 172-177) summarized difficulties with analytic expressions for phase velocity that involve frequency limits of integration that extend to infinity. In order to calculate the "real, physical" phase velocity at some specific frequency, then application of the Hilbert integral for frequency limits from zero to infinity will result in an unbounded phase function that implicitly includes a linear phase (due to traveltime), a dispersive phase, B(n), (due to body wave dispersion), and a "hidden" phase (due to approximations that must be made for frequency limits of infinity). A graphic summary of the phase definitions of Futterman (1962), Strick (1970), and Kjartansson (1979) are given in Ecevitoglu and Costain (1988). The purely dispersive phase spectrum, B(n), is shown in Ecevitoglu and Costain (1988), i.e., with the linear phase due to traveltime subtracted.

Aki and Richards (1980, Eq. 5.74) noted that

$$A(x) = A_0 e^{-\left[\frac{\omega x}{2cQ}\right]}$$

implies

$$\frac{\omega}{C_\infty} + H[\alpha(\omega)] = 2Q\ \alpha(\omega)$$

where H denotes numerical Hilbert transformation; there is no Hilbert transform pair for which this relation is satisfied with constant Q. Such problems can be overcome by using a discrete Hilbert transformation with a finite upper frequency limit instead of integration with an upper frequency limit of infinity, and by recognizing that the total phase spectrum can be split into a linear-with-frequency nondispersive phase defined by the traveltime of a reflected event, plus a purely dispersive phase spectrum that is associated with body wave dispersion brought about by causal absorption. Aperiodic dispersive phase terms are unbounded; therefore, they always implicitly subtract, as in Futterman (1962), or add, as in Strick (1967), or both add and subtract (i.e., "bend" a(n) versus n as in Kjartansson, 1979) some amount of pure, undesirable, time delay. The expressions for the absorption coefficients of Azimi et al. (1968) are convex upward because they have to satisfy the Paley-Wiener condition. This condition restricts the permissible choices of a(n) versus n to those that increase slower than the first power of the frequency as the frequency goes to infinity. This restriction is removed for the case of real data and the realities of a Nyquist frequency by invoking periodic theory in which Kolmogorov's condition is instead satisfied.

The Hilbert transform as given in Lee (1960, Equation 65) is used to formulate the relation between the amplitude and phase spectra of an absorptive filter:

$$B(\nu) = -4\int_0^\infty \sin(2\pi\nu t)\,dt\int_0^\infty \ln[A(\nu')]\cos(2\pi\nu' t)\,d\nu' \qquad (3)$$

where A(n) and B(n) are the amplitude and phase spectra, respectively, of the absorptive filter. According to the first assumption:

$$A(\nu) = e^{-\alpha(\nu)a} = c^{-b\nu}$$ and

$$\ln[A(u)] = \ln\left[e^{-bu}\right] = -bu \qquad (4)$$

Substituting (4) in (3) we obtain:

$$B(\nu) = 4\int_0^{\infty} \sin(2\pi\nu' t)\,dt \int_0^{\infty} b\nu' \cos(2\pi\nu' t)\,d\nu' \tag{5}$$

For an upper limit of integration of ∞ in (5), the rightmost integral becomes infinite. This is the difficulty encountered by earlier workers who used "aperiodic theory" (i.e., n '= ∞) when a(n)a = bng with g = 1. To overcome this difficulty, they chose g close to 1 (say, g = 0.9). This approach has been called (Strick, 1967) "power-law attenuation," and a(n) is chosen such that Q is almost constant over the frequency range of interest. Instead of relaxing Q and allowing it to become "slightly" frequency dependent, Ecevitoglu and Costain (1988) proceeded directly with the integration and selected some arbitrary Nyquist cutoff frequency.

Let q(n) be the total (dispersive phase spectrum plus the linear-with-frequency phase corresponding to nondispersive traveltime) phase spectrum:

$$\theta(\nu) = B(\nu) + 2\pi\nu\tau \tag{6}$$

where B(n) is defined here as the pure body wave "dispersive phase" and 2pnt is the phase that corresponds to some pure traveltime, t. Thus,

$$\theta(\nu) = 2\pi\nu\tau = 2\pi\nu \frac{a}{p(\nu)} \tag{7}$$

where t is now total time (the sum of the traveltime plus a frequency-dependent time delay due to body wave dispersion), a is the travel distance, and p(n) is the dispersive phase velocity. Let

$$\tau = \frac{a}{p(\nu_N)} \text{ and } b = \frac{\pi a}{Qp(\nu_N)} \tag{8}$$

where t is the traveltime, p(nN) is the phase velocity at the Nyquist frequency and is also the p-wave velocity of the medium without absorption, and a is the travel distance.

Although a linear-with-frequency attenuation is used here as an example, the numerical approach presented is appropriate for any behavior of a(n) versus n. This means that the inverse problem, that of determining a(n) versus n from Hilbert transformation of the phase spectrum as derived from real data, will reveal the nonlinear dependence of a(n) versus n if it is present in the data.

The phase spectrum, B(n), for linear-with-frequency absorption as obtained by the exact numerical procedure is:

$$B(v) = H[\ln A(v)] = H[\ln e^{-bv}] = bH(-v) \tag{9}$$

Here, H stands for numerical Hilbert transformation. From (6), (7), (8), and (9) the phase velocity is:

$$p(v) = \frac{p(v_N)}{1 + \frac{1}{2Q}\frac{H(-v)}{v}} \tag{10}$$

and thus every value of dispersive velocity can be computed from (10) from n = 0 to n = nN, inclusive. There are no arbitrary constants to choose. The effects of different absorption levels on the dispersive phase and impulse response are shown in Figure 11 for distances of 2, 4, 6, and 8 km from the vibrator source. (Note that the absorption coefficient is multiplied by the travel distance, a.) Observe the scaling and broadening effects on the causal absorption impulse responses (lower). As a(n)a versus n becomes steeper, then the dispersive phase B(n) becomes larger so that the peak of the pulse in the time domain is gradually delayed.

The percent, D, of body wave dispersion from n = 0 to n = nN is

$$D = \frac{p(v_N) - p(0)}{p(v_N)} \times 100 \tag{11}$$

and it is thus possible to determine a value for D over any frequency bandwidth.

From Equation (10), the phase velocity at n = 0 (see Ecevitoglu, 1987) is:

$$p(0) = \frac{p(v_N)}{1 + \frac{2}{\pi Q}}$$

and body wave dispersion, D, over the entire bandwidth from n = 0 and n = nN for a linear-with-frequency absorption coefficient is

$$D = \frac{p(v_N) - p(0)}{p(v_N)} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\pi}{2}Q} \tag{12}$$

From Equation (12), the value of D is independent of any frequency cutoff, and depends



Figure 11. Four synthetic vibroseis wavelets attenuated by intrinsic damping in a medium of Q = 100: The wavelets are recorded at distances of 2, 4, 6, and 8 km from the source. The Klauder wavelet at the source is approximately symmetrical about a central peak. Note the loss of symmetry of the Klauder wavelet due to causal attenuation. Such wavelets are used to determine Q by measuring the change in time between the two lowest peaks (this change is DW in Equation 13) as the reflected wavelet is recorded at successively greater distances from the vibrator source. For example, in Equation (13), DW is the amount of wavelet spreading between the second and first reflected wavelets, and t is the difference in traveltime between the second and first recorded wavelets.

only on Q.

We now compute the difference in dispersive time delay, DW, between frequencies n = 0 and n = nN. Both of these frequencies traveled the same distance a. Therefore,

$$a = p(v_N)\tau = p(0)\,(\tau + \Delta W)$$

where t is the pure traveltime. Then

$$\Delta W = \frac{p(v_N) - p(0)}{p(0)}\,\tau$$

From the expression for body wave dispersion, we have

$$\frac{p(v_N) - p(0)}{p(0)} = D\,\frac{p(v_N)}{p(0)} = \frac{1 + \frac{2}{\pi Q}}{1 + \frac{\pi Q}{2}} = \frac{2}{\pi Q}$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta W = \frac{2\Delta\tau}{\pi Q}$$

or

(for constant Q)

$$Q = \frac{2\Delta\tau}{\pi\Delta W} \qquad (13)$$

Equation (13) makes possible time-domain measurements of Q. Dt is the traveltime (or the difference in traveltime between an event arriving at different receiver locations) and DW is the amount of wavelet breadth increase during the time Dt. Small values of body-wave dispersion (D) for high-Q rocks require resampling the data in order to see the increase in wavelet breadth, DW. This is discussed further in the Procedures section.

Futterman's (1962) velocity dispersion expression superimposed in a (necessarily) piecewise manner upon the exact curve of Ecevitoglu and Costain (1988) was published by Ecevitoglu and Costain (1988) who generated a dispersive velocity curve for nN = 125 Hz and Q = 250 from 0 to 125 Hz and computed pieces of Futterman's phase velocity curve using the same value of Q. Excellent agreement with Futterman was obtained. It is not possible to superimpose Futterman's entire results with a single selection of his constants, c0 (km/sec) and n0 (Hz). In Equation (10), there is no arbitrary constant other than Q that governs the shape of the velocity dispersion curves computed from discrete

Hilbert transformation; p(nN) is just a scale factor.

Procedure

The input data for the velocity-Q studies are raw, unstacked traces from the field tapes that have not been pre-whitened or filtered. Application of Equation (13) requires

1. A reflected event with a good signal-to-noise ratio,

2. No interference between adjacent reflections. This constraint is not as serious as it might seem, because it is only the central part of the Klauder wavelet (the part with the two side lobes on each side of the central peak) that is used in the analysis (see Figure 1).

Correlated shot records used for subsequent conventional stacks are inspected for isolated reflections that can be followed across the record. The uncorrelated data is then recorrelated, without vibroseis whitening (Çoruh and Costain, 1983), from the original field tapes.

Three methods of analysis were used:

1. Follow a reflection on a common-source record,

2. Follow (the same) reflection in a CDP gather,

3. Measure DW between two different reflections on the same record, but at different times.

Although all methods were examined, the last was preferred because of the common source-coupling and relatively short offset (70 m) for high-speed rocks (6 km/sec). For high-Q rocks, DW in Equation (13) will be less than the original sampling interval (4 ms) when the data were acquired. The data, f(t), must therefore be resampled at a smaller sampling interval (say 0.25 ms) by application of the Fourier transform pair:

$$F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt$$

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega$$

where t is now assigned values 0, 0.25 ms, 0.5 ms, etc. If this is not done for crystalline rocks, it is not possible to measure the amount of wavelet spreading, DW, using Equation (13). In order to estimate the appropriate resampling interval, Equation (13) can be evaluated for different assumed values of Q and different traveltimes, t, and wavelet-spreading, DW. Results are shown in Figure 12. For high values of Q, it can be seen that spreading is a fraction of a millisecond; the new location of the peak (or trough) of the wavelet after spreading would therefore not be detected without resampling. It was concluded that resampling at 0.25 ms was adequate for the values of Q anticipated for the crystalline rocks in central Virginia. For example, values of t for traces at different offsets (70-meter spacing), x,
for an event reflected from a depth of 6 km would be

$$\tau_{(x=0)} = \sqrt{\frac{x^2}{v^2} + t_0^2} = 2 \text{ sec}$$

$$\tau_{(x=70)} = \sqrt{\frac{x^2}{v^2} + t_0^2} = \sqrt{\frac{70^2}{6000^2}} = 2.0000 \text{ sec}$$

$$\tau_{(x=1680)} = \sqrt{\frac{x^2}{v^2} + t_0^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1680^2}{6000^2} + 2^2} = 2.0195 \text{ sec}$$

$$\tau_{(x=1750)} = \sqrt{\frac{x^2}{v^2} + t_0^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1750^2}{6000^2} + 2^2} = 2.0212 \text{ sec}$$

where t0 is vertical traveltime (x = 0). Between adjacent receivers (at x = 1680 meters), Dt is 1.7 millisecond.

It should be noted from Figure 2 that a saprolite layer 50 m in thickness (Q = 50, v = 1 km/sec) will have the same effect on the shape of a vibroseis wavelet as 3000 meters of crystalline rock (Q = 500; v = 6 km/sec). For this reason, an attempt was made to determine refraction intercept times for head waves from the base of the saprolite in order to estimate relative saprolite thickness. The results were not definitive, however, because of the poor quality of the first breaks on the vibroseis data.

Line NRC2A1-4 provided reliable reflection continuity and offered the most opportunity for tracking clean waveforms across at least a portion of a common-shot



Figure 12. Effect of the quality factor, Q, on wavelet shape (spreading) as a function of traveltime, t, and wavelet spreading, DW, for a vibroseis Klauder wavelet: Traveltime is in seconds; wavelet spread is in milliseconds. A Klauder wavelet at the source is symmetrical about a central peak. The increase in time between the two side lobes of the Klauder wavelet is DW. For high values of Q (low intrinsic damping), changes in DW as traveltime increases are small, and will generally be less than one sample interval (4 ms for the NRC data) from trace to trace. To measure Q, therefore, might require a Fourier interpolation to a smaller sample interval.

record. Reflection continuity with a high S/N ratio across the entire record was rare. For high-Q rocks this means relatively little spreading associated with normal move-out; however, this is not a problem if a deeper reflection on the same record can be compared with a shallow one. Comparisons of wavelet spreading between a shallow and a deep reflection on a portion of the same shot record provided the most convincing results.

A representative interval (tape D0215, Shot 23) recorrelated without vibroseis whitening, is shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14 from 0-1.7 sec and from 2.2-3.1 sec, respectively. This illustrates an example of the desirable signal-to-noise ratio for this type of analysis. The idea is to examine enlarged portions (circled in the figures) of the data and track the waveform spreading, DW, from trace to trace. From this spreading, Q can be determined, and a rock type inferred.

A representative example of resampling a Klauder wavelet to a considerably smaller interval is shown in Figure 15. The idea is to find the maxima of the peaks of the Klauder wavelet so that DW can be measured.

Signal-to-noise ratios on field records of the other lines were judged to be too low for reliable determinations of Q, or else the values of Q turned out to be negative, probably due to interbed multiples. The geology is complex. The S/N ratio improves after stack; however, the basic waveform shape for application of Equation (13) is lost.

The data from Line NRC2A1-4 was judged to be satisfactory for the analysis. Over short distances, the data were at least as good as that in the only other location in crystalline terrain where this method has been successfully applied. Values of Q determined from Equation (13) side-lobe to side-lobe times at 1.5 sec were in the range 29-34 ms (average=32 ms); at 2.5 sec, this period was 32-34 ms (average=33 ms) From Equation (13), Q = 650.

Conclusions

The generally observed lack of spreading of Klauder source wavelets observed on the unprocessed reflection seismic data from Line NRC2A1-4 in Virginia is interpreted to be due to a high Q (very little intrinsic damping), implying a relatively dry crust (at least as sensed by seismic waves of wavelength 100-400 meters) in this part of central Virginia. Because exposure even to laboratory air drastically reduces the value of Q from



Figure 13. Sample shot record used in analysis: Line NRC2A1-4, shot 23, time 0.8-1.7 sec.



Figure 14. Sample shot record used in analysis: Line NRC2A1-4, shot 23, time 2.2-3.0 sec.



Figure15. Example of resampled portion of trace used in analysis: Resampled at 0.5 ms.

values greater than 2000 to around 100 (Tittman, 1981), the results obtained in central Virginia imply that the gross mineralogy at depth is free of hydrous phases. This interpretation does not preclude a dry fractured crust with water-filled fractures, however, because seismic wave lengths in the bandwidth 14-56 Hz do not see individual fractures. The results summarized above are not inconsistent with the hydroseismicity process. At this time, there is not enough data to prove that fluid-wall reactions associated with hydrolytic weakening of fault asperities eventually result in rock weakening, which leads to an earthquake, although that is the hydroseismicity hypothesis. If the crust is dry (high Q) to begin with, such a process might be more efficiently accommodated in a dry fractured crust, stressed close to failure, and in contact with meteoric water. This result of high Q estimated for the upper crust at the location of Line NRC2A1-4 in central Virginia might also offer some clue as to rock type at this location.

References

Aki, K., and P.G. Richards, 1980, Quantitative Seismology - Theory and Methods, W.H. Freeman and Company, New York, Volume 1, 557 pp.

Azimi, S.A., Kalinin, A.V., Kalinin, V.V., and Pivovarov, J., 1968, Impulse and transient characteristics of media with linear and quadratic absorption laws, Phys. Solid Earth, v. 1968, pp. 88-93.

Birch, F., and Bancroft, D., 1938, The effect of pressure on the rigidity of rocks: I, Journ. Geol., v. 46, pp. 59-87.

Çoruh, C. and J. K. Costain, 1983, Noise attenuation by VIBROSEIS whitening, Geophysics, v. 48, pp. 543-554.

Ecevitoglu, B.G., 1987, Velocity and Q from multifold seismic data using SVD, Ph.D. Dissertation in Geophysics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, 24061, 152 pp.

Ecevitoglu, B.G., and Costain, J.K., 1988, A new look at body wave dispersion, Society of Exploration Geophysicists Extended Abstracts, v. 2, pp. 1043-1045.

Futterman, W.I., 1962, Dispersive body waves, Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 67, pp. 5279-5291.

Kjartansson, E., 1979, Constant Q wave propagation and attenuation, Journal of Geophysical Research, 84, pp. 4737-4748.

Mitchell, B.J., 1973, Surface-wave attenuation and crustal anelasticity in central North

America, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Amer., v. 63, pp. 1057-1071.

Strick, E., 1967, The determination of Q, dynamic viscosity, and transient creep curves from wave propagation measurements, Geophys. J. Royal Astron. Soc., v. 13, pp. 197-218.

Tittmann, B.R., 1981, Internal friction measurements and their implications in seismic models of the crust, in The Earth's Crust, American Geophysical Union Monograph, v. 20, pp. 197-213.

Toksoz, M.N., and Johnston, D.H., 1981, Seismic Wave Attenuation, Geophysics Reprint Series, No. 2, Society of Exploration Geophysicists, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74101, 459 pp..

Waters, K.H., 1987, Reflection Seismology, John Wiley and Sons, 538 pp.

Part C. - Seismic reflection data acquisition and interpretation in the central Virginia Seismic zone (Task 2, Subtask 2A-1-1, -2, -3, -4.).

By Lynn Glover, III, Cahit Çoruh, Alexander E. Gates, Stewart S. Farrar, Judith Patterson, J.K. Costain, and G.A. Bollinger

Introduction

The following profiles have been processed by the Automatic Line Drawing (ALD) process developed by Cahit Çoruh (Çoruh and others, 1988). ALD's emphasize relative reflectivity in the reflection data and they take the place of conventional line drawings for use in interpreting subsurface structure.

Reflections and zones of coherent reflection in these profiles caused by variations in impedance contrast may have several geologic origins, one of which is not likely for this area:

1) gas or fluid layers in metamorphic rocks - unlikely in large quantities
2) impedance contrast between geologic bodies of different composition -likely
3) impedance contrast between strongly foliated and/or mylonitic zones and less foliated host rock - likely
4) combinations of 2 and 3 above where rock layers of different compositions have been transposed into mylonitic zones of intense ductile shear - likely

All of the last three geologic origins are well known from surface studies in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge of the Appalachians, and therefore contribute to the production of zones of coherent reflections shown in the profiles. Velocity and Q studies have the potential to discriminate between some of the origins enumerated above, but ideal conditions for using these means are not common in the deformed and metamorphosed rocks of the Piedmont and Blue Ridge. Therefore there remains an inherent ambiguity in the exact interpretation of the reflectors except where they can be tied into surface rocks.

Most of the lines drawn on the ALD's appear to represent planes of discordance where reflectors or packages of reflectors are truncated, ie the Hylas mylonite/fault zone on I-64 and NRC - 10. In some cases these have been traced into known mylonite or fault zones at the surface. In other cases mafic-rich gneissic layers at the surface correspond to strong reflectors or zones of reflectors at depth, ie. mafic gneisses on NRC 10.

Data Acquisition and Processing

Eastern Piedmont segment of U.S. I-64 profile (Figure 16)

This profile is introduced first because it is part of a long and continuous one in which the nature of many reflections are known from surface extrapolation. This is important in relation to interpreting the reflections at depth in the shorter profiles carried out for this program adjacent to I-64 (Plate 1). This part of the profile is located over the



Figure 16. Eastern Piedmont segment of U.S. I-64 profile

Goochland Group just west of Richmond. It crosses, in dip section, the southernmost of three *en echelon* domes that are each cored by the State Farm Gneiss.

Geological interpretation: Surface geology shows the 1 Ga Maidens Gneiss, Sabot amphibolite and State Farm Gneiss of the Goochland nappes thrust over the Cambrian Chopawamsic volcanics by dextral transpression (Spottsylvania zone) parallel to the orogenic axis. These nappes include the Petersburg Granite and its Goochland host in the eastern part of the profile. Structure of the Maidens in the western part of the profile is moderate to steeply dipping, and very discordant to the subhorizontal attitude of the Chopawamsic volcanics as shown in the profile. Thus the Spottsylvania is a fault of major vertical and horizontal displacement located at the contact of the Goochland and Chopawamsics. The fault is recognized in the subsurface by the discordances it created between other reflectors, and locally by reflecting surfaces parallel to it.

The Hylas mylonite zone is another of these ductile dextral transpression zones shown in the profile that can be extended well into mid-crustal levels. Together these subparallel ductile fault zones form a family of faults that dip moderately into the subsurface and merge somewhat discordantly into the "lower laminated crust" below about 6 seconds two-way time.

Dashed lines are used to emphasize antiformal intervals of reflectors that are truncated by eastward dipping transpressional faults. A dome cored by the State Farm has been mapped at the surface and exhibits structure similar to that shown by the antiformal reflector intervals. The geometry of the antiforms and their relation to the transpressional faults indicates that they were formed in the transpressional process that created the faults.

Between 6.5 and 7 seconds horizontal reflectors predominate in the western half of the profile. At the western end of the profile a very reflective interval at 12 - 13.5 seconds ascends eastward to less than 10.5 seconds. The base of this interval corresponds to Moho (Pratt and others, 1988). Between the mid crustal and lower crustal reflective zones less well developed subhorizontal and gently to moderately east dipping reflectors occur (first generation reflectors). The gently east dipping reflectors merge asymptotically with the mid crustal horizontal zone and probably also with the lower crustal reflective zone. The more moderately east and west dipping reflectors (second generation reflectors) are superimposed on the mid to lower crustal reflectors just described and are clearly younger.

The geometry of the lower crustal first generation reflectors has no parallel in stratigraphic geologic frameworks, nor does it resemble a simple sill/dike relationship. It does however have similarities to C (shear band) and S (schistosity) structures in ductily deformed massive rocks. In this analogy the mid and lower crustal reflectors are the shear bands and compositional layering, and the gently dipping reflectors in between are schistosity and compositional layering. The production of schistosity and shear bands also tends to transpose the compositional layering into parallelism with the schistosity or shear bands. These observations are true for the metamorphic rocks now exposed at the surface, and they present a logical framework for interpreting the deeper structure as well.

Earthquake foci: These foci have been projected as much as 30 km along the structural strike into the plane of the section. In a few instances the foci are directly under or adjacent to the profiles. The distance can be seen from Figure 17. The foci have vertical error bars in the range of ± 1 - 5 km which makes it impractical to try to relate them to a particular fault within the profile. It is a wonder of the data base that nearly all of the foci, when plotted at the mid point of their error range, fall on or very near faults in the section. Even more enigmatic is the observation that their first motion fault planes are dominantly high angle strike slip surfaces strongly discordant to the faults interpreted here.

NRC - 10 profile (Figure 18)

This profile is situated over the middle of three *en echelon* domes just west of Richmond (Plate 1) and just north of the I-64 profile. The seismic traverse (Plate 1 , Figure 17) is a somewhat U-shaped one with the arms of the U oriented NE along the strike of the geology. All of the data has been projected (binned?) so that the profile is a dip section oriented NW.

Geological interpretation: Surface geology shows a low amplitude dome in the Goochland Group cored by the State Farm Gneiss. The surface structure is concordant with the reflection data in the first second or so of two-way time. Surface layers are mafic and felsic gneisses including conformable relict layers of granulite gneiss. Thus the reflections come from original compositional layering and from some granulite lenses conformable to the original layering that would be of high velocity because of their dehydrated, unretrogressed mineralogy. On the east side of the profile this gently dipping Goochland structure is broken by moderately east dipping mylonites of the Hylas zone. East dipping mylonite zones are clearly seen transecting the gently dipping reflections below stations 300 and 346. At stations 200 and just west of station 300 these ductile faults are found at the surface.Other parallel shear zones occur at 1.4 seconds below station 200, at 2.6 seconds below station 260, and elsewhere. Some late (Mesozoic?) extensional dip slip movement is suggested by the apparent offset of reflective intervals at 1 - 1.5 seconds below stations 150 and 200. Surface studies of the Hylas zone (Bobyarchick and Glover, 1979, Gates and Glover, 1989, and Glover, 1989) show that the Hylas and many other compressional mylonite zones in Virginia have experienced Mesozoic extensional reactivation.

Earthquake foci: Neither faults or other structures are well defined by the data below about 3 seconds. Earhtquake foci fall in an interval between about 4 and 5 seconds that is particularly nondescript.

NRC 2A-1-2 profile (Figure 19)

This is another dip section located 7 km NE of NRC - 10 (Plate 1). It spans a down-fold between the northern two of three domes.



Figure 17 a. Seismicity in the central Virginia seismic zone

INSTRU\ TALLY LOCATED REGIONAL AND LOCAL EARTHQUAKES FOR VIRGINIA

Lab	-Reg	Year	Mo	Dy	Hr	Mn	Sec	Lat N	Long W	Depth	NSTA	P/S	GAP	DMIN	RMS	SQD	(ERH1,AZ1	ERH2	ERZ Q)	mb/Md/I	S e
		Origin Time (UCT)						Hypocenter Location			Location Parameters						Error Ellipse Proj			Magnitude	
4	-CV	974	06	18	15	03		38 04 76	77-45 73	1 3					0 1		(0 5 360	0 5	1 6)	/ 1 0/	2
6R	-CV	974	11	07	21	30	57 3	38 4 ^6	78-09 82	27 5	8	6/10	235	33	0 4	C|D	(4 1,+10	1 8	2 9 B)	2 4/ 2 7/5	
8R	-CV	975	04	12	13	30	34 1	37 4 26	77 41 98	7 3	12	12/11	304	14	0 1	B|D	(1 2 -14	0 8	4 1 B)	/ 1 2/	
11	CV	975	08	15	13	42		38 ^ 6	77-43 73	0 5					0 0		(0 1 360	0 1	1 0)	/ 1 5/	2
12	-CV	975	09	07	19	53		38 ^ 3	77-43 70	0 6					0 0		(0 1 360	0 1	0 9)	/ 2 1/	2
13	CV	975	09	18	18	56		38 0 97	77-43 77	0 7					0 1		(0 2 360	0 2	1 5)	/ 1 7/	2
15	CV	975	12	29	02	30		38- 22	77-52 24	16 1					0 1		(0 7 360	0 7	1 4)	/ 1 4/	2
20	-CV	976	07	19	13	58		38 ^ 97	77-44 18	1 9					0 0		(0 1 360	0 1	0 6)	/ 1 8/	2
22R	-CV	976	10	30	09	32	49 8	37 3 96	77-53 62	15 0	13	9/12	336	31	0 2	C|D	(3 0, 79	1 5	4 4 B)	/ 1 0/	
23R	CV	976	10	30	10	57	19 7	37-4 44	77 55 62	15 0	14	13/14	324	30	0 2	C|D	(2 2,-72	1 4	1 0 A)	/ 1 3/	
26	CV	976	12	02	18	25		38-09 7	77-25 72	9 2					0 1		(1 8,360	1 8	1 5)	/ 0 8/	2
28R	CV	977	02	27	20	05	35 5	37-	78-36 51	5 5	8	3/ 8	153	14	0 3	D|C	(6 8 -19	1 8	3 9 C)	2 5/ /4	1
29	CV	977	03	06	01	28		38 0^ 94	77 44 79	0 4					0 0		(0 2 360	0 2	1 0)	/ 0 7/	2
30	CV	977	04	10	03	19		38-0 3	77-44 41	1 2					0 1		(0 1,360	0 1	0 7)	/ 0 8/	2
31	-CV	977	04	24	02	31		38-00 42	77-45 57	0 7					0 1		(0 2,360	0 2	0 4)	/ 2 0/	2
41	-CV	978	10	29	12	22	42 9	38- 82	78- 5 00	10 4	3	3/ 3	242	24	0 0	B|D	(0 7,-45	0 1	1 4 B)	/ 0 6/	1
42	-CV	978	11	15	08	33	47 6	37-4^ 96	77-33 68	13 4	5	4/ 4	196	50	0 2	B|D	(0 9,-45	0 8	1 8 B)	/ 1 6/	1
43	-CV	979	11	06	03	04	51 3	37-2 63	78-13 19	6 4	10	9/ 7	103	28	0 2	B|C	(0 6,-81	0 3	1 2 A)	1 3/ 2 2/	
49	-CV	980	04	26	03	59	54 8	37-46 9	77-34 99	0 2	9	9/ 9	206	35	0 3	B|D	(0 9,-50	0 5	1 6 B)	/ 1 6/	
53	NA	980	08	04	10	13	32 7	38 4 22	77-46 01	5 5	8	8/ 7	114	7	0 1	A|B	(0 4,-38	0 3	0 8 A)	/ 0 9/	1
57	NA	980	09	26	01	31	57 8	38- 4 29	77-46 14	3 8	7	7/ 5	116	6	0 2	C|B	(0 7,-33	0 4	2 7 C)	/ 1 9/	1
57A	-NA	980	09	26	05	04	15 7	38- 5 48	77-44 26	6 2	3	3/ 3	191	4	0 2	D|D	(3 8, 85	1 8	4 5 C)	/ 0 2/	
59	-NA	980	10	11	22	40	28 5	38- 7 6	77-48 68	2 4	4	3/ 3	166	6	0 1	C|C	(3 4,-48	0 5	4 3 C)	/ 1 1/	1
63B	-CV	981	01	21	16	29	58 1	37-46 3	78-24 86	7 9	7	6/ 3	174	24	0 1	B|C	(0 8, 43	0 6	2 0 B)	/ 0 2/	1
64A	-CV	981	02	11	13	44	16 4	37 43 7	78-26 09	6 3	14	14/ 9	79	29	0 2	B|C	(0 5,-82	0 4	1 4 B)	3 4/ 2 4/4	1
64B	-CV	981	02	11	13	50	31 5	37-44 97	78-24 39	10 0	12	12/ 7	115	26	0 2	B|C	(0 7, 29	0 5	1 1 A)	3 2/ /4	
64C	-CV	981	02	11	13	51	38 7	37-43 46	78-26 85	8 5	9	8/ 6	128	29	0 1	B|C	(0 5, 26	0 4	1 2 A)	2 9/ 2 2/3	
66	-CV	981	04	09	07	12	54 4	37-28 8	77-49 27	0 7	11	8/10	129	43	0 3	B|C	(0 6, 88	0 4	1 5 B)	/ 2 3/	
69	-CV	981	04	16	13	49	20 5	37-36 6	78-13 30	15 5	3	3/ 3	191	23	0 1	D|D	(5 5,-65	0 3	2 4 D)	/ 0 2/	1
71	-CV	981	07	30	11	59	48 5	38-1 87	78- 5 36	4 1	10	8/10	179	30	0 3	C|C	(1 4,-17	0 6	3 8 C)	/ 1 1/3	1
77	-CV	982	01	13	13	16	25 0	37-44 90	78- 4 21	9 2	10	6/ 9	170	6	0 1	A|C	(0 4,-65	0 3	0 5 A)	/ 1 6/	1
78	-CV	982	01	18	06	11	41 1	37-53 96	77-49 55	5 8	6	6/ 5	179	10	0 1	B|C	(0 9,-36	0 4	2 2 B)	/ 0 3/	1
81A	CV	982	05	04	14	54	02 2	37-33 94	78-27 90	2 4	6	5/ 6	140	40	0 2	C|C	(0 8,-84	0 5	4 3 C)	/ 1 5/	
82	CV	982	05	06	07	18	10 9	37-5 23	77-34 71	10 0	10	10/ 8	153	17	0 2	B|C	(0 5,-73	0 4	1 2 A)	/ 2 1/1	1
84	CV	982	06	16	18	40	58 7	38 7 7	78-50 36	11 0	6	6/ 5	124	37	0 1	B|C	(0 5, 8	0 3	0 9 A)	/ 2 2/1	1
86	CV	982	06	25	23	03	47 0	37 49 93	77-30 10	13 1	9	9/ 9	166	23	0 1	A|C	(0 5,-22	0 4	0 9 A)	/ 1 9/	1
87	-CV	982	09	20	12	15	32 0	37 49 38	77-29 77	10 1	10	10/10	168	24	0 2	B|C	(0 5,-82	0 4	0 9 A)	/ 1 6/	
100	-CV	983	08	10	12	29	34 2	37-46 7	78-25 46	11 2	8	8/ 6	119	23	0 3	C|C	(2 0,+28	0 7	2 8 C)	/ 1 9/	
108	-CV	984	04	12	23	46	30 6	37-56 09	78-00 70	07 4	4	4/ 4	217	13	0 0	B|D	(0 8 321	0 1	0 7 A)	/-0 7/	
109	-CV	984	05	29	11	35	35 0	38-06 37	78-47 46	08 4	5	5/ 5	328	32	0 1	C|D	(1 0,-11	0 6	2 8 C)	/ 1 3/	
111	CV	984	08	17	18	05	46 8	37-52 30	78-19 53	08 6	13	13/ 3	105	17	0 2	B|B	(1 0,+15	0 6	1 7 B)	4 2/ 4 0/5	
113	CV	984	10	17	08	57	40 7	37 56 0	77-30 41	14 7	9	8/ 9	202	17	0 2	B|D	(0 9,-27	0 5	0 9 A)	/ 1 1/	1
115	CV	984	12	02	12	29	34 1	37-26	77-55 57	4 5	6	3/ 6	258	42	0 2	C|D	(0 9,+90	0 6	4 1 C)	/ 1 1/	
117	-CV	985	04	22	18	21	16 0	37-36	78-35 91	4 5	8	8/ 7	111	44	0 2	C|C	(1 1,+90	0 5	4 1 C)	/ 2 0/	
123A	-CV	985	09	02	04	34	03 9	37 4 97	78-07 97	8 2	3	2/ 3	353	4	0 1	D|D	(3 1 +01	1 0	2 0 C)	/ 0 6/	
123B	-CV	985	09	02	04	58	00 5	37 44	78-09 83	10 0	5	2/ 5	356	8	0 2	C|D	(1 4,+21	1 2	2 1 B)	/ 0 9/	
129A	-CV	986	07	20	19	24	04 2	38 05 8	78-31 49	12 6	3	3/ 3	292	13	0 1	B|D	(1 0 -20	0 9	1 5 B)	/ 1 1/	
138	-CV	987	04	11	03	30	20 9	37-39 84	77-54 16	8 7	8	8/ 8	136	23	0 2	B|C	(0 6,-84	0 4	1 4 B)	/ 1 7/	
139	-CV	987	04	14	03	09	00 9	37-5 7	77-32 57	11 7	6	5/ 6	256	24	0 2	C|D	(2 5,-44	1 3	4 2 C)	/ 0 6/	
148	-CV	988	08	27	16	52	29 5	37-43 0	77-46 50	14 2	7	6/ 7	159	31	0 3	B|C	(0 9 -62	0 7	1 4 C)	2 7/ 2 7/3	
152	-CV	989	06	04	09	49	28 2	37-13 46	78-17 59	8 8	8	8/ 8	137	6	0 2	B|C	(0 4,-26	0 8	1 2 A)	2 8/ 2 5/3	1
157	CV	1990	06	17	11	23	58 3	37-28	78 15 31	1 1	10	10/10	87	31	0 2	B|C	(0 6 -78	0 3	1 2 A)	/ 2 0/	
161	CV	990	11	09	08	00	51 3	37 34 07	77-36 86	12 5	6	6/ 6	186	50	0 2	B|D	(0 9,+79	0 4	1 6 B)	/ 1 1/	
162	-CV	990	12	14	18	32	13 0	37 5 94	77-41 17	13 6	6	6/ 6	268	17	0 3	C|D	(1 5,-54	1 4	2 2 B)	/ 1 5/	
164	-CV	991	01	11	21	01	59 0	37 30 6	78-11 41	9 6	8	8/ 8	132	32	0 2	B|C	(0 7,+98	0 4	2 0 B)	/ 2 0/	
166	-CV	991	03	15	06	54	08 3	37-44 78	77-54 53	15 5	7	7/ 6	116	18	0 2	B|B	(0 8,-64	0 5	2 5 B)	3 8/ 3 3/	
167	CV	991	03	15	07	05	56 3	37-44 29	77-54 55	10 4	6	5/ 6	196	19	0 3	C|D	(1 2,-65	0 8	4 5 C)	/ 0 4/	1
168	-CV	991	03	15	21	05	35 9	37-46 32	77-55 83	14 7	4	4/ 3	257	16	0 3	D|D	(4 8,-28	1 6	2 7 C)	/ 0 1/	

There a e 58 earthquakes in this s

Abbrev a ions For Regions
- CV Central Virginia Seismic Z e
- NA North Anna Virginia area

R Events that have been relo a e for special studies Calculated using either, a new techni e (JHD JED, HYPOELLIPS e c) or a different velocity model

Sources and/or References
1. VTSO records, NRC reports, S SSN Bulletins, or G A Bollinger personal files
2. Dames and Moore, 1977 A Se smic Monitoring Program At The North Anna Site In Central Virg ia January 24, 1974 o gh August 1, 1977 Submitted to VEPCO, 1977

Figure 17b. Instrumentally located earthquakes for central Virginia



Figure 17c. Earthquake locations relative to vibroseis profiles.



Figure 18. NRC line 10 profile



Figure 19. NRC 2A1-2 profile

Geological interpretation: At the surface nearly horizontal Maidens Gneiss of the Goochland Group occupies the western 2/3 of the profile. On the east the Maidens is truncated by the east dipping Hylas zone. Moderately dipping reflectors of the fault zone are well displayed along the subsurface projection of the Hylas. During Early Mesozoic extension the Hylas was reactivated as a normal fault (Bobyarchick and Glover, 1979) and gently dipping Triassic sandstones now occur east of the Hylas border fault. Several west dipping reflectors (stratigraphy) and east dipping faults can be seen in the profile. At about 7 seconds the mid crustal reflecting zone is quite prominent. Below it are some moderately east dipping trends that merge upward into the mid crustal zone and downward into the lower crustal zone and M discontinuity without disrupting them. The lower laminated crust shows structure between 11 and 13 sec. One interpretation is that the M discontinuity slopes westward between 11 and 12 seconds. However, additional laminated structure occurs between 11.5 and 13 sec in the east central part of the profile. An alternative interpretation would be that this is not the M discontinuity between 11 and 13 sec. but is a ductile extensional fault as shown on the profile.

Earthquake foci: Earthquake foci project into the profile between 3 and 3.3 sec.. There is little obvious structure in the profile at the focal positions but they would be very close to the fault in I-64 as shown projected into this profile. The west dipping reflector between 2 and 3 sec. is also probably the same reflector at that position in I-64.

NRC 2A-1-1 profile (Figure 20)

This is a dip section located about 4 km NE of NRC 2A-1-2 (Plate 1). NRC 2A-1-1 crosses the southern end of the northernmost dome on the map.

Geological interpretation: This profile is very similar to NRC 2A-1-2. The profile crosses the Maidens, Sabot and State Farm gneisses forming a dome which is truncated by the Hylas mylonite and fault zone on the east.. West-dipping reflectors that end at the east dipping Hylas zone in this profile may be Triassic sedimentary layers or basement gneiss. The "brightness" of these reflectors suggests that they are part of the metamorphic basement. Weakly expressed discordances near 4 to 5 sec. suggest east dipping faults offsetting a west dipping reflector. The west dipping reflector just below 2 sec is probably the same as that in I-64 and 2A-1-2 at a similar time/depth. The mid crustal, 6 to 8 sec. reflective zone is well developed. Between 8 and 10 sec. a few east dipping discordances appear in a field of otherwise faint horizontal reflectors(?). The lower crustal reflective zone dips west from 11 to 12.5 sec along a possible fault. This truncates slightly east dipping reflectors which extend down to over 13 seconds in the profile. Compare with comments on deep structure in NRC 2A-1-2 above.

Earthquake foci: Three foci projected into the profile at about 3 to 4 sec. plot in the zone of east dipping faults.



Figure 20. NRC 2A1-1 profile

NRC 2A-1-3 profile (Figure 21)

This is an oblique dip section located in the west central Piedmont along the James River (Plate 1).

Geological interpretation: Surface data shows that this profile crosses the Shores tectonic melange, Hardware olistrostrome (sedimentary melange), and ends on the west edge of the Arvonia Fm (Plate 1). An east dipping fault mapped between the Shores and Hardware has some expression in the seismic profile as a discordance at about 1 sec. East dipping mafic rocks mapped at the surface also are expressed as reflectors down dip in the profile. From about 1 to 4 sec a number of subhorizontal to gently arched reflectors are broken by east dipping discordant surfaces that appear to be faults. Probable formations/lithologies are shown on the profile by comparison with the I-64 profile (Glover, 1989).

In this profile reflectors continue down into the middle crust to about 7 or 8 sec. There is no sharp break where one would expect to pass from layered supracrustal rocks into Grenville basement circa 3 sec. as occurs just west of here under the Blue Ridge (and throughout its length in the central and southern Appalachians). Comparison with the I-64 profile of Pratt and others (1988) and Glover (1989) indicates that NRC 2A-1-3 is located in the zone of transition between more highly reflective middle and lower crust east of the Blue Ridge and poorly reflective crust under the Blue Ridge. In progressing westward from the eastern Piedmont, reflectivity of the crust diminishes downward and westward as shown in the I-64 profile. This probably represents diminishing effects of Paleozoic and Mesozoic deformation on reletively homogeneous Grenville crust.

Although the lower crust is poorly reflective, reflections at 15 to 16 sec. suggest the depth of the M discontinuity in this profile.

Earthquake foci: Three foci projected from near the profile plot between about 2 and 3.5 sec in association with the Catoctin metabasalts and within the zone of faulting.

NRC 2A-1-4 profile (Figure 22)

This profile has the north half crossing structure in dip section and the south half nearly north-south and oblique to the dip.

Geological interpretation: From north to south the profile crosses the Hardware, Shores and Diana Mills Gabbro. A fault is possibly indicated in the profile between the Hardware and Shores as shown. The exact position of this fault at the surface is poorly controlled, but on the basis of regional information it must be nearby. The Diana Mills appears to be a thin tabular body because reflections in the range of 0.2 to 1 sec. or more pass unbroken below its surface contacts. Correlations with the Evington Group and Catoctin are made with the I-64 profile as in NRC 2A-1-3 above.

As in 2A-1-3 above, the upper crust is reflective down to about 8 sec.. Below



Figure 21. NRC 2A1-3 profile



Figure 22. NRC 2A1-4 profile

that it is poorly reflective and the M discontinuity is not apparent in this profile.

Earthquake foci: The three foci projected into this profile plot within or adjacent to the Catoctin metabasalt.

References

Bobyarchick, A.R., and Glover, L., III, 1979, Deformation and metamorphism in the Hylas zone and adjacent parts of the eastern Piedmont in Virginia: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 90, p. 739-752.

Çoruh, Cahit, Bollinger, G.A., Costain, J.K., 1988, Seismogenic structures in the central Virginia seismic zone: Geology, v. 16, p. 748-751.Gates, A.E., Glover, L., III, 1989, Alleghanian tectono-thermal evolution of the dextral transcurrent Hylas zone, Virginia Piedmont, U.S.A.: J. of Structural Geology, v. 11, p. 407-419.

Glover, Lynn, III, 1989, Tectonics of the Virginia Blue Ridge and Piedmont; *in* Glover, L., III, Evans, N.H., Patterson, J.G.,and Brown, W.R.(eds), "Tectonics of the Virginia Blue Ridge and Piedmont: Field Trip T363, 28th International Geological Congress.

Pratt, T.L., Costain, J.K., Coruh, C., and Glover, Lynn, III, (1988), A geophysical study of the Earth's crust in central Virginia with implications for lower crustal reflections and Appalachian structure: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 93B, p. 6649-6674.

Part D. - Seismic reflection data acquisition and interpretation in the Roanoke River traverse in aseismic south-central Virginia (Task 2, Subtask 2A-3): Comparison with the seismically active James River geologic framework.

By Lynn Glover, III, Çahit Coruh, Alexander E. Gates, Wang, Ping, Judith Patterson, J.K. Costain and Gilbert A. Bollinger.

Introduction

The automatic line drawing process was used to process these profiles, and the introductory remarks to part C also apply to this part.

Data Acquisition and Processing

Roanoke River traverse 2A-3 (Figure 23a, b)

The Roanoke River traverse (Plate 1) is a dip section through the Blue Ridge and Piedmont in south central Virginia. The purpose of the traverse is to compare an aseismic corridor (the Roanoke River) with a seismically active corridor (the James River) to see whether any differences that exist could be related to the localization of seismicity. Only the central segment of the Roanoke River traverse is controlled by seismic reflection data (Plate 1, Figure 23a). Seventy percent of the geology of the Roanoke River Traverse shown in Figure 23a was mapped for this project. The seismic data was also acquired for this project.

The western boundary of the Evington Group (Plate 1; Figure 22a) separates the Blue Ridge Province on the west from the Piedmont Province on the east. The traverse thus crosses the Blue Ridge in its entirety and about 60% of the Piedmont (Plate 1). Figures 23a and 23b show the surface geology and rock type along the corridor.

Geological interpretation: In the northwestern part of the corridor (Figure 23a) metamorphosed Precambrian Grenville basement has been thrust over the unmetamorphosed Cambrian Rome and Shady formations of the Valley and Ridge. The latter formations are exposed in the Goose Creek window. It is obvious from the sinuous trace of the Blue Ridge thrust framing the window that the fault surface dips very gently toward the southeast.

Volcanics and sandstone of the Lynchburg Group (Wang, in progress) overlie the basement on the east and dip monoclinally steeply to the southeast (Figure 23a). The seismic profile (Figures 24 and 25) show subhorizontal to gently east-dipping reflections between stations 900* and about 650 at about 0.3 sec. two-way time below the Lynchburg. These are strongly discordant to the steeply dipping Lynchburg strata and imply a subhorizontal thrust fault separating the two units as shown in Figures 24, 25. The rocks from .5 to 2.5 sec. below the Blue Ridge show strong impedance contrasts and appear to be an imbricate stack of the carbonates and clastics that crop out in the Valley



Figure 23 a . Geology of the Roanoke River traverse



Figure 23b. - Explanation of geology of the Roanoke River traverse

and Ridge. A zone of continuous horizontal reflectors at about 2.3 to 2.5 sec is interpreted to be a basal thrust zone or, less likely, stratigraphic layering at the base of the Paleozoic sequence. In Grenville basement below the Blue Ridge rhomboid packages of reflectors appear between 3.5 and 7 sec. The gross geometry of these reflectors suggests that they are not stratigraphic. Rather, they resemble anastomosing deformational zones of a ductile nature similar to those that form in relatively massive granitoid rocks at all scales (Kligfield and Crespi, 1984). The M discontinuity is not obvious if present in the western part of the profile.

From station 650 to 1680 a metamorphic, domed and faulted sequence of Lynchburg, Catoctin basalt and Evington group clastic rocks crops out(Figures 24, 25). These are deep water rift-related rocks of late Precambrian and Cambrian age that formed near the rifted margin of the Laurentian continent. They traveled with the Blue Ridge as they were thrust westward over the Laurentian shallow platform carbonate/clastic sequence during Paleozoic orogenies. The seismic profile below stations 650 - 1680 down to about 3 sec. two-way time shows numerous concave upward packages of reflectors separated by SE-dipping discontinuities considered here to be faults (Figures 24, 25). Discontinuities that intersect the surface are coincident with faults mapped at the surface near stations 800, 970 and 1000. The fault at 650 has not been found at the surface. The fault at 1600 has been seen outside of the corridor but its position on the profile is a projection along strike. The basal thrust zone at about 3.3 sec. is well developed . Between 3.3 sec and approximately 1 sec two-way time ,fault-imbricated carbonate and clastic platform rocks probably occur. This is supported by relatively slower interval velocities computed from stacking velocities near station 900 (Li and others, 1990). The interval velocity determined is about 4.2 - 4.6 km/s for the interval between 1.2 and 3 s. This interval velocity is 1 to 2 s slower than velocities expected for crystalline rocks. Grenville basement below 3.3 sec may be obscurely layered in a subhorizontal orientation or it may be massive. Minor SE-dipping discordances occur. The M discontinuity appears at 12.5 sec below stations 1300-1400 and persists eastward to the end of the Profile.

From station 1680 to the end of the profile at station 2393, surface outcrops include the sandstones of the Danville Triassic basin and the Cambrian Melrose granite (Figure 24). The Triassic basin between stations 1680 and ca.1870 is not well imaged in the profile. The road network dictated that the line turn northward near station 2100 before going east again where it just crosses the Arvonia Formation and some Carolinian volcanics at the end of the traverse. In this segment of the profile there is little information above 2 sec. perhaps in part because it is mostly massive granite. Below 2 sec. it is clear that the carbonate/clastic rocks continue down to as far à 3.7 sec. In the Grenville basement, below 4 sec horizontal reflecting packages become common. The geometry of these reflections suggests that pure shear, in extending the crust during early Mesozoic rifting, has aligned inhomogeneities in the crust so that they are mostly parallel and subhorizontal. An alternative explanation for some of these horizontal reflectors is that they are gabbroic sills injected during Mesozoic extension.

East of the seismic profile, from point "D" to point "E" (Figure 24), the traverse was completed with surface data gathered, and mapping conducted, during this project (Baird, 1989). The rocks comprise part of the Piedmont Charlotte belt and are mostly



Figure 25 a . Roanoke River traverse vibroseis profile



Figure 24 . Geological interpretation of Roanoke River traverse Geologic symbols same as Figure 23.



Figure 25b. Roanoke River traverse vibroseis profile; faults and deformation zones

amphibolite facies felsic and mafic metavolcanics of magmatic arc affinity. Most of the sequence is late Precambrian, and a minor amount may be Cambrian. Structurally the sequence is folded into a large recumbent fold nappe that formed mostly at the time of collision with the Laurentian margin during the Late Cambrian (Part A above). Therefore the Taconic suture occurs between these magmatic arc rocks and the rift stage continental margin rocks of Laurentia to the west (Figures 23, 24). The Melrose granite intruded the suture during Late Cambrian time, but the suture was reactivated as a mylonite zone that cut the Melrose during the late Paleozoic (Gates and others, 1986).

Comparison of Roanoke River and James River traverses

James River: Several interpretations of the I-64 profile along the James River are now in existence (Figures 26, 27, 28, 29). All recognize an arch-like structure in the Piedmont culminating under the Goochland nappe, but give it differing interpretations. Glover and others (1987, 1988) favored Mesozoic crustal extension as an explanation for the arch-like geometry of the Piedmont crust and subjacent M discontinuity. Pratt and others (1988) considered the arch to be related to Alleghanian dextral transpression. This theme was elaborated on by Gates and others (1988). Coruh and others (1988) and Costain and others (in Press) describe the arch-like structure as an antiform with a roof (limbs B and E in Figure28) and a floor (C in Figure 28), and attribute it to a combination of compressional and extensional tectonics. Their compressional stage was envisioned as dextral transpression producing a strike slip megaduplex between the Brevard zone on the west and the eastern Piedmont fault zone on the east. The eastern fault boundary was thought to be vertical. Mesozoic extension then allowed the western flank to slump and dip westward. Mesozoic dike swarms were thought to have invaded these vertical fault zones.

The Glover (1989) interpretation of I-64 shows extension, relatively minor dip slip offset on the east dipping fault, below the Hardware melange (Figure 29). This version is based on a manually produced line drawing of the seismic profile and this line drawing is reproduced with the geologic interpretation in Figure 29. The Çoruh and others' (1988) automatic line drawing version of I-64 produces a more detailed and objective drawing of the reflectors and using this in conjunction with the surface geology a new interpretation is given in Figure 30.

The interpretation in Figure 30 shows backslipping on Paleozoic thrusts during Mesozoic extension. Many mylonites in the area are known to have been reactivated in extension (Glover and others, 1980; Gates and Glover, 1989), not only those bordering Mesozoic basins, but many others as well. Reflectors within the backslipped block below the Goochland nappe and Chopawamsic volcanics appear to be rotated counter-clockwise to lower dip angles than one finds on either side. This counterclockwise rotation is also expressed in the westward dip of the melange (suture) below the Chopawamsics. Similar structure is known off the NE coast of Scotland where SE dipping Paleozoic thrusts in metamorphic terrane were reactivated during the Devonian forming inversion structures, graben filled with sandstone (Coward, M.P. and others, 1989).

In Figure 30 the suture as well as the reflectors within the block appear to have



Figure 26. Pratt and others (1988) interpretation of U.S.G.S. Line I-64



Fgure 27. Gates and others (1988) interpretation of U.S.G.S. line I-64



Figure 28. Coruh and others (1988) U.S.G.S. line I-64 interpretation



Figure 29, Glover (1989) U.S.G.S. I-64 interpretation



Figure 30. Glover (this volume) alternate interpretation of I-64 profile based on automatic line drawing version of Çoruh and others, 1988). All faults shown in the profile moved as thrust and/or transpressional faults during the Paleozoic. Mesozoic extensional movement is shown by arrows in cases where old contractional faults were reactivated.

been rotated counterclockwise by 20 °- 30°. This kind of rotation (really slumping) under extension is commonly called "rollover" and requires internal deformation to accommodate the change in shape. This deformation would be expressed as dominantly west-dipping high angle normal faults. Such faults are not obvious in the profile but they may be small and widely distributed, and because of their high angle orientation would not produce reflectors anyway.

Profiles I-64, 2A-1-1 and 2A-1-2 give geometric evidence of extensional faulting at the Moho. This unusual feature is another confirmation of the role of Mesozoic extension faulting on the development of the crustal structures and thinning of the curst under the Piedmont.

If this new interpretation of the I-64 profile is correct it suggests that the carbonate clastic sequence is also present in the upper midcrustal region below the melange having been.offset downward from the Blue Ridge block during Mesozoic extension.

Comparison of Figures 30 and 23 shows clearly that extension has affected both profiles. It is also clear from the geometry of the profiles that the amount of extension is much greater in the central Virginia seismic zone where the rollover is greater than any other structure presently known in this part of the Piedmont.

References

Baird, R.A., 1989, Tectonic and geologic development of the Charlotte belt, south central Virginia Piedmont. Unpublished Ph. D dissertation, Va Tech, 163 p.

Coruh, C., Bollinger, G.A., and Costain, J.K., 1988, Seismogenic structures in the central Virginia seismic zone: Geology, v. 16, n.8, p. 748-752

*Costain, J,K., and Çoruh, C., in press during 1990, Tectonic setting of Triassic half grabens in the Appalachians: Seismic data acquisition, processing, and results. Memoir 46, American Association of Petroleum Geologists.

Coward, M.P., Enfield, M.A., and Fischer, M.W., 1989, Devonian basins of northern Scotland: Extension and inversion related to Late Caledonian - Variscan tectonics. in , Cooper, M.A. and Williams, G.D., (eds), Inversion Tectonics, Geological Society Special Publications No. 44, p. 275 - 305.

*Gates, A.E., C. Simpson, L. Glover, III, 1986, Appalachian carboniferous dextral strike slip faults: An example from Virginia: Tectonics, V. 5, p.119-133.

Gates, A.E., Speer, J.A., and Pratt, T.L., 1988, The Alleghanian southern Appalachian Piedmont: A transpressional model. Tectonic s, v. 7, n. 6, p. 1307 - 1324.

Gates, A.E., Glover, L., III, 1989, Alleghanian tectono-thermal evolution of the dextral transcurrent Hylas zone, Virginia Piedmont, U.S.A.: J. of Structural Geology, v. 11, p. 407-419.

Glover, Lynn, III, Poland, F.B., Tucker, R.D., and Bourland, W.C., 1980, Diachronous Paleozoic mylonites and structural heredity of Triassic-Jurassic basins in Virginia. Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 12, p.178.

Glover, Lynn, III, Pratt, T.L., Costain, J.K., Coruh, C., Mose, D.G., Gates, A.E., and Evans, N.H., 1987, Tectonics and crustal structure in the central Appalachians of Virginia: Reinterpreted from U.S.G.S. I-64 reflection seismic profile: Geologi-

cal Society of America, Abstracts with Programs, v. 19, n. 2, p.86.
Glover, Lynn, III, Costain, J.K.,and Çoruh, Cahit, 1988, Studies of the pattern and ages of post-metamorphic faults in the Piedmont of Virginia. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NUREG/CR-5123, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va. 22161, 60 p.
Glover, Lynn, III, 1989, Tectonics of the Virginia Blue Ridge and Piedmont; in Glover, L., III, Evans, N.H., Patterson, J.G.,and Brown, W.R.(eds), "Tectonics of the Virginia Blue Ridge and Piedmont: Field Trip T363, 28th International Geological Congress.
Kligfield, Roy and Crespi, Jean, 1984, Displacement and strain patterns of extensional orogens. Tectonics, v. 3, n. 5, p. 577-609.
Pratt, T.L., Costain, J.K., Coruh, C., and Glover, Lynn, III, (1988), A geophysical study of the Earth's crust in central Virginia with implications for lower crustal reflections and Appalachian structure: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 93B, p. 6649-6674.
Wang, Ping, in progress, Tectonics of the Lynchburg and Catoctin sequence in south central Virginia. Ph.D. dissertation, Va Tech, Blacksburg, VA.

Part E. - Cause and localization of seismicity

by Lynn Glover, III, with addenda by Cahit Çoruh, J.K. Costain, and G.A. Bollinger; and by John Costain and G.A. Bollinger.

Cause

The stress-inducing regional cause of seismicity in the eastern U.S. is broadly conceived to be in the plate tectonic mechanism of ridge push, perhaps influenced by topographic and geologic loading (summarized in: White and Long,1989. p. 112 - 129). There may also be a drag or push exerted at the base of the lithosphere by mantle convection (Zoback and Zoback, 1980). Average stress orientation in the eastern U.S. is northeasterly (White and Long, 1989) with some variation in the Appalachian orogenic system. Causal mechanisms at this level were not addressed in the work reported on here.

Localization of earthquakes in the central Virginia region: Previous work

Granted that the lithosphere is constantly in a state of stress, this project addressed the problem of identifying any elements of the geologic framework that might be responsible for localizing seismicity.

First order structural features: Wheeler and Bollinger (1984) proposed that seismicity of the southeastern U.S. might tentatively be attributed to "characteristics and differences between various suspect terranes and the Iapetan passive margin". Quoting the Williams and Hatcher (1982) terrane map which shows a marked narrowing of the Avalon terrane where it overlaps much of the central Virginia seismic zone, Wheeler and Bollinger suggested that this part of the terrane is "most likely to have been broken by faults and other fractures, and to have had fractures reactivated,. during the growth, transport, and accretion of the terrane. Thus, the narrow parts of the terrane might have remained comparatively weak, with their fractures unhealed, so that they could be preferred areas for seismic release of strain energy.".

Glover (1989, and Part A of this report) has shown that The Piedmont terrane does not exist, because there is no suture in the Blue Ridge and "Piedmont terrane" rocks are actually deformed Laurentian margin rocks. Similarly Sheridan and others (1991), suggest that the eastern boundary of the Avalon terrane may be far east of its position, as proposed by Williams and Hatcher (1982), in Virginia.. Similar arguments (Glover, Part A of this report) conflict with terrane boundaries as presented in more recent papers (Horton and others, 1989; Hatcher and others, 1989; Rankin and others, 1989).

Additionally, the idea presented by Wheeler and Bollinger (1984) that the narrow parts of the terrane might have remained comparatively weak, with their fractures unhealed, does not comport with a history of metamorphism that recrystallized ("healed") these rocks three times during the Paleozoic (Glover,1989). Open fractures in this part of the Piedmont must be younger than Paleozoic. The mechanical differences and anisotropy that might exist at the boundary between terranes even after metamorphism would make them candidates as loci of strain accumulation. However, as shown below, the Taconic suture in the central Virginia seismic zone is not oriented conformably with the slip and plane of any possible focal mechanism solution to date.

The tectonic model presented by Glover in Part A of this report differs from existing models in four important respects; 1) there is a large uplift of 1Ga Grenville basement in the eastern Piedmont of VA. 2) Only one suture (Taconic) is recognized in the exposed Appalachians, and that separates the Carolina (Avalon) magmatic terrane from the Laurentian passive margin. 3) The Chopawamsic/ James Run volcanic belt is recognized as a part of Carolinia/Avalonia, and is not a different island arc. 4) The eastern margin of Laurentia (and its upper bounding surface, the Taconic suture) extends in the subsurface below the coastal plain at least 50 kilometers east of Richmond. The impact of this model for seismicity is that seismicity is not related to terrane boundaries in any simple way because seismic zones exist within terranes as well as across terrane boundaries.

Hydroseismicity: This hypothesis, "suggests that in crustal volumes with fracture permeability, natural increases in hydraulic head caused by transient increases in the elevation of the water table in recharge areas of groundwater basins can be transmitted to depths of 10-20 km and thereby trigger earthquakes." Costain and others (1987, reproduced in Appendix). Costain and others' (1987) application of the hypothesis is as though one could transport the James River system anywhere on the Atlantic seaboard and where it crossed rifted crust a seismic zone would be induced. The absence of extensive seismicity in the Roanoke River groundwater basin (investigated by the Roanoke River traverse in this report) is attributed by them primarily to the lower elevations of the headwaters of the Roanoke River and consequently to a lower potential for pore-pressure fluctuations in the upper crust. Although this may be true of the Roanoke River, it is not true of the Potomac River where the seismicity under rifted areas crossed by the river is minimal at best (Costain and others, 1987).

The hypothesis is very attractive with regard to its implications for structural weakening of the rock volume, and the possibility of increasing pore pressure within a fault that is stressed to near failure. The presence of water in the upper brittle crust is a factor in the rate of release of seismic energy whether or not pore pressure fluctuations are important. It is not clear that the "hydroseismicity" hypothesis is the primary cause of the localization of seismicity. For example, if the relatively open fractures produced by Mesozoic extension were not there water would probably not penetrate deeply enough in the crust to impact the rate of seismic release.

Complex thrust and vertical shear reactivation: Another view of the causes of localization of seismicity in the central Virginia seismic zone is given by Çoruh and others (1988) The paper is reproduced in the Appendix and is updated by Çoruh here below.

An alternative interpretation; by Cahit Çoruh:

An alternative interpretation is given in Çoruh et al. (1988) using the automatic line drawing of I-64 reflection seismic data. This interpretation and interpretation of other reflection seismic data in the southeastern U.S. combined into the following alternative interpretation by Çoruh and Costain. Over much of its extent, especially between stations 1100 and 2700, the seismic reflection response in the ALD display of the I-64 data set in the central Virginia seismic zone exhibits excellent detail from the upper crust to the Moho discontinuity and suggests constraints for the geologic interpretation of the distribution of earthquake hypocenters (Figures 31, 32). On the basis of reflection data leading into the Blue Ridge from the northwest, and results of reflection profiling in other areas (Çoruh et al., 1987; Çoruh et al., 1988; Hubbard et al., 1991; and references therein), a zone of subhorizontal reflections (A) at about 3 s two-way traveltime near station 700 (Figure 32) on the western part of the line (west of Charlottesville) is interpreted to originate from parautochthonous lower Paleozoic shelf strata. Poorly reflective Grenville basement is below the deepest detachment(s) (DT in Figure 32) and shelf strata. The Blue Ridge master decollement at 1 s (BRT in Figure 32) lies at the base of the overlying allochthonous crystalline thrust sheet(s), as imaged beneath the Blue Ridge on other southern Appalachian seismic reflection data. The thickness of this metamorphic allochthon remains relatively constant over an on-strike distance of at least 400 km (Costain et al., 1987a). The Moho (M) reflections appear to be missing west of Charlottesville and east of Richmond, suggesting that the M discontinuity is more prominent in areas where the crust has been stretched.

In the middle part of the line in central Virginia, a distinctive difference in the reflectivity of the crust is apparent with respect to other parts of the line (Figure 32). The reflectors in this part are as follows (Figures 31, 32):

1. Lower crustal reflectors, including the west-dipping Moho discontinuity (M) at about 9-12 s.

2. Subhorizontal mid-crustal reflector zone (C) at 6-8 s, interpreted to represent early Proterozoic detachment zone. The east-dipping reflectors (E) above the reflectors (C) project to near surface and might be correlated with surface exposures of eastward-dipping mylonites (Gates et al., 1986). At depth, these reflectors asymptotically appear to join with C on the east, possibly because of increased shearing near the brittle-ductile transition (BDT in Figure 32). The number of east-dipping reflectors above the mid-crustal 6-8 s reflection zone C is considerably higher than below, suggesting that zone C is real and critical to any interpretation.

3.. A dominant reflection package B (TS in Figure 32) undulates between 0.5 and 7 s and truncates seismic signatures that can be followed from the surface. This package defines the east flank of a large antiform about 100 km wide between stations 1100 and 2600 (Figure 32). The mid-crustal reflections (C) are interpreted to define the floor of this antiform. The antiform has a maximum vertical relief of about 17 km. The depth to the roof of the antiform varies between 3 and 18 km, where the eastward- (E)



Figure 31. Earthquake epicenters along I-64 seismic reflection profile. Triassic basins: RTB, Richmond; STB, Scottsville; CTB, Culpeper. For correlation with Figure 32, hypocenters were projected into vertical plane of I-64. Shaded epicenters have a ± 5 km error ellipse. Note high density of epicenters between Scottsville and Richmond Triassic basins. Contours with dashed lines are distinct Bouguer gravity anomalies in the area. Matching aeromagnetic anomaly coincides with gravity anomaly of 20 mgal east of Richmond. Geologic boundaries from Williams, 1978; gravity anomalies from Haworth et al., 1980. From Çoruh and others, 1988.



Figure 32a. Central part of automatic line drawing of I-64 seismic reflection data.



Figure 32b,c. b: Simplified cross section. A represents parautochthonous lower Paleozoic shelf strata. Below shelf strata is poorly reflective Grenville basement. Distinctive difference in reflectivity of crust is apparent with respect to western and eastern parts of profile. Large antiform is defined by reflections B, F, and E at roof and C at floor. Ramp R is interpreted to east D is believed to be Mesozoic dike swarm; mafic material is interpreted below station 2800. Note that slope of Moho (M) reflectors at east and west of dike swarm D is different. BRT is Blue Ridge master decollement; DT is deeper detachment; TS is transported Taconic suture; BDT is brittle-ductile transition zone; and east dipping reflectors E are Alleghanian and earlier shear zones and thrusts. Circles and diagonal bars indicate projected hypocenters and orientation of P-axes, respectively. c: Orientation of P-axes from focal mechanisms for 11 events. From Çoruh and others 1988.

and westward-dipping (B) events correspond to the eastern and western flank of the antiform, respectively.

4. The antiform is bounded on the east by the east-dipping reflectors E. The change in gross reflectivity in the west is interpreted as a ramp (R) extending from the mid-crustal level to the upper crustal reflectors. West of Charlottesville (station 700), the crustal reflections disappear, except for those from lower Paleozoic shelf strata at about 2 to 3 s.

   It is suggested that imbrication by westward thrusting, crustal thinning, and a possible westward tilting (Mesozoic) are all responsible for the gross geometry of the antiform, a composite compressional-extensional feature. The imbricate structures, as well as thinning, are evident from the geometry of the reflectors of the upper crust and Moho, respectively. The westward-dipping west flank of the antiform may, in part, be related to the exposed Mesozoic basins in Virginia and might therefore be the result of westward tilting of a block of crust that slumped during Mesozoic extension along a reactivated decollement(s).

5. Between stations 2050 and 2250 the roof of the antiform is represented by a high-amplitude and narrow zone of reflections (F), below which a zone (D) shows considerably less reflectivity relative to the surrounding region. This change to less reflectivity is also apparent in the mid-crustal and Moho reflections and is interpreted to be the seismic signature of a dike swarm. Furthermore, most of the high amplitude reflections in the deep crust are attributed to injected sills (Hubbard et al., 1990). The dike swarm (D) can be correlated with the positive Bouguer gravity anomaly (Haworth et al., 1980) that extends about 80 km to the northeast (Figure 31). There is no distinct aeromagnetic anomaly (Zietz et al., 1980) related to this dike swarm.

Even with extreme processing parameters of the ALD it was not possible to decrease the difference in the apparent reflectivity of the interpreted dike swarm and other parts of the reflective crust in the central Virginia seismic zone. A similar pattern of a poorly reflective zone is interpreted below station 2800 on the east, where both Bouguer gravity and aeromagnetic anomalies are present. Those anomalies extend about 100 km to the northeast and about 50 km to the south. The fact that no distinct aeromagnetic anomaly occurs for the interpreted dike swarm below station 2100 may be attributed to its relatively great depth (6-8 km), defined by the F reflector; however, magnetic modeling suggests that the poorly reflective zones below station 2100 and 2800 do not represent the same mafic material. The lack of apparent earthquake activity related to the poorly reflective zone below station 2800 supports our interpretation that the origin and nature of these poorly reflective zones are different. Costain et al. (1987b) proposed a tectonic setting for the latest Alleghanian at which time a large strike-slip duplex was hypothesized to form in the southeast United States. Dominantly vertical structures were thus formed by a transpressional Alleghanian orogenic event, and these later became zones of weakness that were reactivated and opened during Mesozoic extension (Costain and Çoruh, 1990). We interpret the zone below station 2100 to be related to a dike swarm that was passively intruded in the weakened, reactivated crust during Mesozoic extension. The zone below station 2800 may be related to mafic material (slate belt volcanics) that was vertically aligned by transpression during formation of the Alleghanian strike-slip duplex. Late Proterozoic extensional features imaged in reflection seismic data from South Carolina by Hubbard et al. (1991) suggest that the extensional feature "D" might be an older feature to correlate with the similar features imaged in South Carolina.

Reflections that can be followed downward from exposed surface units between stations 900 and 1700 in Figure 32 are truncated by the reflections that outline the roof of the antiform on the west. The layered Catoctin metavolcanics are recognizable because of their high reflectivity (Pratt et al., 1988). The Evington and Chopawamsic are also highly reflective and appear to lie above the roof of the antiform and beneath their surface outcrops. The reflections that define the roof of the antiform on the west probably represent reactivated decollements along which the overlying rocks were transported (Pratt et al., 1988). The relatively thick zone of roof reflectors (B) and complex structures above may be due, in part, to reactivation. The geometry of the reflections from within the antiform suggests imbrication where the east-dipping events within the antiform between 3 and 7 s were interpreted by Pratt et al. (1987) to be deformation zones (mylonites), indicative either of nappe structures or major Alleghanian strike-slip deformation. To the west and east of central Virginia, the reflection data do not image the Moho on the I-64 profile. We interpret these changes in gross reflectivity to be real and due to lithologic-structural causes. Costain et al. (1987b) suggested that the no-reflection area east of station 3000 is due to the onset of a large strike-slip duplex that extends in a strike direction from central Virginia to Georgia and in a dip direction from the Brevard fault zone to the eastern Piedmont fault system. In this interpretation the most stretched crust in Virginia is between the onset (station 3000) and the offset (station 1100) of the hypothesized strike-slip duplex indicating that maximum stretching took place here because of the wider zone of crust weakened by transpression and the development of vertical shear zones.

Earthquake hypocenters and discussion

In spite of the relative sparseness of the epicenters, the ALD display of the reprocessed I-64 reflection data suggests a spatial correlation between seismic reflectors and hypocenters. To examine the correlation, only hypocenters (shaded in Figure 31) with a ±5 km vertical error were considered. A velocity of 6 km/s was used for the conversion of vertical reflection traveltime to depth. Focal mechanisms from 11 of these earthquakes exhibit northeast-trending P (maximum compressive stress) axes for shallow sources (<8 km) and northwest-trending axes for deeper foci (>8 km;

Figure 32), and a mixture of reverse and strike slip faulting on planes that exhibit an average dip of $62 \pm 16°$. There are, however, two deeper foci with northeast-trending P axes that are exceptions to the above grouping; however, the vertical errors can easily locate these hypocenters below the given depths. Nelson and Talwani (1985) concluded that all the central Virginia focal mechanisms exhibit a stress field oriented northeast by using only P-wave polarities and a graphical analytical procedure. The results from Bollinger et al. (1986) are favored herein because they used a quantitative computer algorithm search routine that evaluates P/S wave amplitude ratios as well as P-wave polarities to obtain the required focal mechanisms.

The correlation between the hypocenters, the reflectors, and the poorly reflective zone may indicate that different seismogenic structures are associated with two different groups of hypocenters. The hypocenters in group 1 are related to the structures at the roof (B) of the antiform and above. They have shallower depths (3-7 km) and northeast-trending P axes that coincide with the general tectonic strike in the area. The events in group 2 are related to the structures within the antiform. They are deeper (8-13 km) and have northwest-trending P axes. The patterns of reflection truncations by the dike swarm suggest that the dike swarm postdates what we interpret as an older thrust zone coincident with the brittle-ductile transition zone at level C. It is suggested, therefore, that the earthquake activity in the central Virginia seismic zone may be detachment-related only on the west flank of the roof of the antiform (TS in Figure 32, the transported Taconic suture zone, probably reactivated during the Alleghanian). The hypocenters do not penetrate below the mid-crustal reflectors (C) and show no direct relation to the lower crustal reflectivity bounded by the top of the lower crust (C) and the Moho zone (M). There is no earthquake activity east of the Fall line (Figure 32), although the imaged lower crustal reflectors continue eastward along with the east-dipping reflectors. Indirect correlations between the reflectivity and the distribution of the hypocenters also suggest that the earthquake activity is limited to the parts of intensely sheared and stretched crust.

4. This is supported by seismic interval velocities of 4.5 km/s determined from the stacking velocities beneath station 900 for the interval interpreted as Paleozoic shelf strata (Li et al., 1990). This relatively low interval velocity suggests unmetamorphosed rocks and constrains the thickness of the metamorphic plate.

Comments, by Lynn Glover, III, on the above Çoruh and others interpretation,

Çoruh and others note an arch-like "antiform" in the upper crust with a crest at about station 2000 (Figure 32). They further propose that the structure was formed by both compressional and extensional means. Although they offer little justification for this speculation, the suggested origin has merit and the rationale is here discussed more fully in a later section.

Çoruh and others also call upon the Costain and others (1987, 1990) strike slip duplex model for the development of the central and southern Appalachian Piedmont to explain the vertical panels of low reflectivity in a 12 km-wide panel below station 2100 and a much wider panel below station 2800. Reference to models by Woodcock and Fischer (1986) shows that the geometry of the Piedmont structure in the I-64 traverse and Plate 1 (Geologic map of the VA Piedmont and Blue Ridge) does not resemble a strike-slip duplex. This is because there are no vertical faults bounding vertical horses that are known in the region (Glover, Part A of this report). Late Paleozoic dextral transpression occurred along moderately eastward dipping ductile faults (Glover, Part A) such as those mapped at the surface in the Goochland nappes and traced into the lower crust on the I-64 profile between stations 2000 to 2400. Therefore there were no vertical faults to be injected by Mesozoic diabase dikes which Çoruh and others (1988) call upon to produce the vertical panels of low to no reflectance in the I-64 profile. Lateral extension of the crust to create a 12 km wide panel of low reflectivity implies a composite width of vertical dikes measured in kilometers. Yet if present, it seems likely that these dikes would reach the surface of this the most concentrated accumulation of Mesozoic dikes ever postulated in the Piedmont. If, as Çoruh and others believe, the dikes rose no higher than the bright reflector "F" (Çoruh and others, 1988, Figure 2) at about two seconds two-way time below station 2100, then the extensive lateral separation below that level would place the crust above it in tension so that rifts would occur there. However, the surface area in question has been well studied (Bobyarchick and Glover, 1979; Poland, 1976; Reilly, 1980; Glover, unpublished) and does not contain vertical strike slip faults of ductile or brittle nature. The Triassic graben located over the western side of the low reflectance panel under stations 2000 - 2250 formed as a result of brittle reactivation of the ductile Hylas fault zone which dips moderately eastward. Movement on this fault during the Mesozoic would obviously not relieve the extension below it during a postulated dike injection episode.

Çoruh and others suggest that the postulated Mesozoic dike swarm under station 2100 is, ..."correlated with the positive Bouguer gravity anomaly that extends about 80 km to the *northeast* (Figure 31)". This is not supported by the *N20°-30°W* trend of the field of narrow, elongate anomalies shown on the Aeromagnetic Map of Virginia (Zietz and others, 1977) which correlate well with the Mesozoic dikes shown on the Geologic Map of Virginia (Calver and others (1963), published before the magnetic data was available.

One can also see dipping reflectors passing through these low reflectance panels. Whatever the cause of the low reflectance panels, and assuming that they are real, they are superimposed on the Paleozoic structure of the I-64 profile without deforming it. They are also only seen in records using the unpublished Automatic Line Drawing display program of Cahit Çoruh.

Coruh and others suggest that, .".. the earthquake activity in the central Virginia seismic zone may be detachment-related only on the west flank of the roof of the antiform (TS in Figure 32), the transported Taconic suture zone, probably reactivated during the Alleghanian)." The spatial correlation is there but the focal mechanisms indicate reverse and strike slip faulting on planes oriented at high angles to the gentle west-dipping structure of Çoruh and others. The attitude of nearly all of the preferred focal mechanism planes is northwesterly and the average attitude of five of the six preferred planes below 9 km in the *eastern and western* parts of the central Virginia seismic zone (CVSZ) is N20 (-20°,+10°)W 50°(+19°-15°) NE.

Comparison of the Roanoke River (RRT) and James River (JRT) traverses with respect to seismicity: The Roanoke River profile shows somewhat less westward slumping of the structure during Mesozoic extension. Clearly, under station 800, backslipping has occured, but the amount of rollover is less as measured by the more gentle westward dip of the crystalline plate between stations 800 and 1600. The westward extensional fault along which the rollover took place is at the same stratigraphic and structural position in both profiles. The thickness of the crystalline plate is as much as 9 km thick in the I-64 profile, while it is only about 3 km thick under the Roanoke River traverse. In both cases the crystalline Piedmont is believed to be underlain by relatively unmetamorphosed Cambrian - Ordovician carbonates, sandstone and shale. Greater ductility of carbonates and clastics may allow aseismic deformation in these rock volumes in both profiles, as most of the seismicity of the I-64 profile plots within the upper plate crystallines. The magnetic map (Zietz, 1977) indicates that three or four large Mesozoic dikes cross the Roanoke River traverse but they trend more northerly and are not as abundant as along the James River.

It may be significant that the earthquake hypocenters of the central Virginia seismic zone cluster around and in the inversion structure (westward slump of the central Piedmont block during the Mesozoic, shown in Figure 30) in I-64. The epicenter map shows a very diffuse zone, a shape compatible with the volume expected to be affected by slump-generated normal faults. This would facilitate deep penetration of groundwater, which in turn could reduce the yield point of the rock volume under stress and increase the frequency of seismic events. In this case the central Virginia seismic zone is conspicuous because of the frequency of small events. A corollary might be that the aseismic regions have fewer but larger seismic events with periodicities longer than the historical record (ie. > 500 years), and probably longer than about 5000 years, the length of the record in the eastern United States examined by Amick and Gelinas (1991).

Correlations of hypocenters and focal plane orientations with structures on seismic reflection profiles in the central Virginia seismic zone: On profiles I-64, NRC-10, and 2A-1-1 through 4, hypocenters have been projected along NE-SW structural strike between 1 - 5 km. into the planes of section. This introduces some error into the position shown on the profiles, an error that is in addition to the 1-5 km vertical error in position of the hypocenters related to uncertainties in location. Therefore, the apparently very good correlation between hypocenters and postulated faults as shown on these profiles needs to be addressed with caution.

In Figure 33 it can be seen that the preferred focal planes generally have attitudes at high angles to the gently west-dipping suture between 1.5 and 3.5 sec. two-way time below stations 1200 to 1700. (Figure 29, 30). Above this west-dipping structure are moderately east dipping (30 - 40°) fault planes whose strike, from surface mapping, is about N20°E (thus an average attitude would be about N20°E 35°SE). This contrasts with the preferred focal plane attitudes of about N20-30°W, 42 - 79°E and N20-30° E 40-60°W. Choosing the alternate focal plane does not remedy the lack of concordance with known structure. It seems probable that the structures seen by seismic reflection have



Figure 33. Stereo plot of p-axes in the central Virginia seismic zone with map orientation of focal planes. Earthquake numbers are shown with depth to hypocenters. RL, R = right lateral with strong reverse component, etc. Data from Bollinger and others(1985) and Munsey and Bollinger (1985). Borehole p-axes from Rundle and others (1987).

little relation to the seismogenic structures implied by the focal plane orientations. The same conclusion applies to all of the seismic reflection profiles taken in the James River corridor. The only geologic structure known in the CVSZ with an orientation close to that of the focal plane orientation are dikes of Mesozoic age (Munsey and Bollinger 1985).

Mesozoic dike contacts emerge as a possible seismicity-localizing anisotropy. Although there is little data from field measurements, Mesozoic dikes are usually observed to dip near 90° whereas the NW striking focal planes dip 40° to 80°.

Seismic reflection and surface geologic mapping therefore provide evidence that simple models of fault reactivation from Paleozoic fault structures are inadequate to explain seismicity in central Virginia, but Mesozoic dikes may be associated with the seismicity.

Relationship of regional and local p-axes to the orientation and slip on focal planes: A stereo plot (Figure 33) of p-axes for the central Virginia seismic zone, borehole p-axes within and outside of the zone, and the dominant east central U.S. p- axis are shown with relation to the orientations of possible focal planes for 11 events. Single-event p-axes trend NE and NW, with no well defined partitioning between shallower and deeper crust. A 300 meter borehole p-axis measurement in the CVSZ of N74°W ± 13° (Rundle and others, 1987) is consistent with the group of NW-trending single-event p-axes. They also recorded a N74°E ± 10° p-axis approximately 40 miles SW of the first hole and outside of the central Virginia seismic zone. This p-axis from an aseismic region in the Atlantic Seaboard is conformable with the p-axes in the east central U.S. west of the Appalachians. Near the Ramapo, N.Y fault zone they measured p-axes near the seismic zone boundary and within it and both axes were N69°E and N72°E.

The borehole p-axis variation inside and outside of the CVSZ is repeated in the Moodus zone of New England where Rundle and others (1987) measured trends. nearly identical to those in Virginia. Therefore, it appears that the p-axes as measured in boreholes within some of these seismic zones are different from those outside of the zone.

As measured by focal plane mechanism studies within the CVSZ, both NE and NW trending p-axes can be inferred. This is puzzling because it seems physically impossible for two different stress vectors to exist simultaneously in the same volume of rock. It is well known that p-axes determined from focal mechanism solutions do not represent unique solutions because of the effect that anisotropies in the crust can have on the orientation of the plane of failure (McKenzie, 1969). Therefore, the focal planes and slip vectors can be considered much closer to real*ity than the stress vectors derived from them* (Gephart and Forsyth, 1985).

From the above, it would seem that if a single regional p-axis can be found that will satisfy the focal plane and slip vector data then that should be the real stress vector we are looking for. A N55°E p-axis generally concordant with the east central U.S. field west of the Appalachians appears to satisfy the data. In most cases the alternate focal plane of Munsey and Bollinger (1985) is the one that is concordant with the required orientation and slip, exceptions are events # 78 and 64a which are the preferred orientations of Munsey and Bollinger. The northeast set of focal planes strikes about 30° east of common Appalachian structural strike in the area and the dip is mostly NW, opposite to that of Appalachian structure.

Attempts to graphically find a NW trending regional p-axis that would be concordant with the data have failed. A computer oriented approach to testing various models will be initiated.

The problem of the NW borehole p-axis within the seismic zone might be explained as a refraction of the regional field as a result of local stress release (see Zoback, 1987). This idea will require future testing.

Conclusions:

1. A new tectonic model of the Appalachian orogen indicates that one, not two or more, terrane boundaries is present in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge of the central and southern Appalachians.
2. This terrane boundary is the Taconic suture, it has been transported in the allochthonous Blue Ridge/Piedmont crystalline thrust nappe, and it is repeated at the surface by faulting and folding associated with later Paleozoic orogenies.
3. The suture passes through the lower crust and lithosphere somewhere east of Richmond.
4. The suture is spatially associated with seismicity in the central Virginia seismic zone, but is not conformable with earthquake focal planes and appears to have little causal relation to their localization.
5. A velocity and Q study in central Virginia implies that the gross mineralogy at depth in the upper-crust is free of hydrous phases.
6. Subsurface structure in the central Virginia seismic zone differs in several ways from that along strike in the aseismic Roanoke River traverse. The metamorphic Blue Ridge/Piedmont plate probably overlies carbonates and clastics in both areas, but the metamorphic plate is 9 km thick in the central Virginia seismic zone but only 3 km thick in the Roanoke River traverse. As estimated by the amount of rollover (westward slumping during the Mesozoic), the central Virginia seismic zone may be more pervasively broken by distributed high angle normal faults than is the Roanoke River area. This implies greater access to deep upper crustal crystalline rocks by groundwater. Deeper penetration by groundwater may reduce the yield point of rock under stress and shorten the period of seismicity. This implies that the central Virginia seismic zone is localized by groundwater access. A corollary may be that the aseismic areas have very long period (>500 to 5000 ? years) seismicity and earthquakes of greater magnitude.
7. Focal mechanism planes of Munsey and Bollinger (1985) have attitudes of, 1) NW to NNW strike and steep NE or SW dips, or 2) ENE to NE strike and steep NW or SE dips. These planes are all at rather high angles to Paleozoic structure and would seem unrelated to it. The NNW set is somewhat concordant with the strike of Mesozoic dikes in the area but not with their dip.
8. Focal plane solutions in the Appalachians commonly give both northwesterly and northeasterly striking p-axes. Because it is unlikely that the same rock volume could transmit two distinct p-axes, one or both of them may be wrong.

9. Single seismic event p-axes are dependent only on the orientations of the focal planes which may be strongly influenced by crustal anisotropies (McKenzie, 1969). The focal planes and slip axes are the more likely to be real. Preliminary attempts to fit a single regional p-axis to all of the planes of Munsey and Bollinger (1985) gives an apparently good fit for a N55°E trending p-axis. This is approximately parallel with the dominant NE regional p-axis west of the Appalachians.
10. The best fit focal planes are oriented generally ENE, dip NW and SE steeply and are not concordant with any geologic structure in the area.

References

Amick, D. and Gelinas, R., 1991, The search for evidence of large prehistoric earthquakes along the Atlantic seaboard. Science, v. 251, p. 655-658.

Bobyarchick, A.R., and Glover, L., III, 1979, Deformation and metamorphism in the Hylas zone and adjacent parts of the eastern Piedmont in Virginia: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 90, p. 739-752.

Bollinger, G.A., Snoke, J.A., Sibol, M.S. and Chapman, M.C., 1986, Virginia Regional Seismic Network: Final report (1977-1985): Washington, D.C., U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG/CR-4502, 57 p.

Bollinger, G.A., Teague, A.G., Munsey, J.W., Johnston, A.C., 1985, Focal mechanism analyses for Virginia and eastern Tennessee earthquakes (1978 - 1984). U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NUREG/CR-4288. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161, 83 pp.

Calver, J. L., et al, (compilers), 1963, Geologic Map of Virginia: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources, Charlottesville; scale 1:500,000.

Costain, J.K., and Çoruh, C., 1990, Tectonic setting of Triassic half-grabens in the Appalachians: Acquisition, processing, and results. p. 155 -174 in Tankard, A.J. and Balkwill, H.R., eds., Extensional Tectonics and Stratigraphy of the North Atlantic Margins, Memoir 46, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, 641 pp.

Costain, J.K., Çoruh, C., and Hatcher, R.D., Jr., 1987a, Regional ramp in the Blue Ridge master decollement in crystalline rocks from Virginia to South Carolina from reflection seismic data: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 19, p. 80.

Costain, J.K., Çoruh, C., Hatcher, R.D., Jr., 1987b, Geophysical signature of an inclined strike-slip duplex in the southeastern United States: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 19, p. 628.

Çoruh, C., Costain, J.K., Hatcher, R.D. Jr., Pratt, T.L., Williams, R.T., and Phinney, R.A., 1987, Results from regional Vibroseis profiling: Appalachian ultra-Deep Core Hole site study: Royal Astronomical Society Geophysical Journal, v. 89, p. 146-155.

Çoruh, Cahit, Bollinger, G.A., Costain, J.K., 1988, Seismogenic structures in the central Virginia seismic zone: Geology, v. 16, p. 748-751.

Gates, A.E., Simpson, C., and Glover, L., III, 1986, Appalachian carboniferous dextral strike-slip faults: An example from Brookneal, Virginia: Tectonics, v. 5, p. 119-133.

Gephart, J.W. and Forsyth, D.D., 1985, On the state of stress in New England as determined from earthquake focal mechanisms. Geology, v. 13, p. 70-72.

Hatcher, R.D., Jr., 1989, Tectonic synthesis of the U.S. Appalachians, in Hatcher, R.D.,Jr., Thomas, W.A., and Viele, G.W., eds., The Appalachian-Ouachita Orogen in the United States: Boulder, Colorado, Geological Society of America, The Geology of North America, v. F-2.

Haworth, R.T., Daniels, D.L., Williams, Harold, and Zietz, Isidore, 1980, Bouguer gravity anomaly map of the Appalachian orogen: St. John's Memorial University of Newfoundland, Map No. 3a, scale 1:2,000,000.

Horton, J.W., Drake, A.A., and Rankin, D.W., 1989, Tectonostratigraphic terranes and their Paleozoic Boundaries in the central and southern Appalachians: Geological Society of America Special Paper 230, p. 213 -245. Reprint on file.

Hubbard, S.S., Çoruh, C., and Costain, J.K., 1991, Paleozoic and Grenvillian Structures in the Southern Appalachians: Extended Interpretation from Seismic Reflection Data, Tectonics, v. 10, p. 141-170.

Hubbard, Susan Sharpless, Çoruh, Cahit, Costain, John K., and Stephenson, Dale, 1990, On the origin of crustal seismic reflectivity: Evidence for crustal thinning, dike and sill injection beneath Atlantic Coastal Plain, EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 71, p. 563.

Jingping Li, Çoruh, Cahit, Costain, John K., and Hubbard, Susan Sharpless, 1990, Regional crustal features in the southeastern U.S.: Interpretations from reflection seismic data, EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 71, p. 563.

McKenzie, D.P., 1969, The relation between fault plane solutions for earthquakes and the directions of the principal stresses: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 59, p. 591-601.

Munsey, J.W., and Bollinger, G.A., 1985, Focal mechanism analyses for Virginia earthquakes (1978-1984). Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, v. 75, n. 6, pp. 1613-1636.

Nelson, K., and Talwani, P., 1985, Reanalysis of focal mechanism data for the central Virginia seismic zone: Earthquake Notes, v. 56, p. 76.

Poland, F.B., 1976, The geology of the rocks along the James River between Sabot and Cedar Point, Virginia: M. S. thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, 99 p.

Pratt, T.L., Çoruh, C., Costain, J.K., and Glover, L., III, 1988, A geophysical study of the Earth's crust in central Virginia: Implications for Appalachian crustal structure: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 93, p. 6649-6667.

Rankin, D.W. and others, 1989, Pre-orogenic terranes, in, Hatcher, R.D. and others, The Geology of North America, Volume F-2, The Appalachian-Ouachita Orogen in the United States: Geological Society of America, p. 29-42

Reilly, J.M., 1980, A geologic and potential field investigation of the central Virginia Piedmont, Unpublished Master of Science thesis, VA Tech, Blacksburg,111 pp.

Rundle, T.A., Singk, M.M., and Baker, C.H., 1987, In-situ stress measurements in the Earth's crust in the eastern United States. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NUREG/CR - 4623, EI-1126 National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va. 22161

Sheridan, R.E., Glover, L., III, Purdy, M., Holbrook, S., Smithson, S., Hawman, R., Ewing, J., and Talwani, M., 1991, Pursuing the elusive Taconic suture under the Mid Atlantic continental margin: EDGE Part II, Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs v. 23, n. 1, p. 127.

Wheeler, R.L., and Bollinger, G.A., 1984, Seismicity and suspect terranes in the south-eastern U.S., Geology, v. 12, p. 323 - 326.

White, R. M. and Long, L.T. 1989, Evaluation of hypotheses for the cause of the 1886 Charleston earthquake. NUREG/CR - 5269.

Williams, H. and Hatcher, R.D., Jr., 1982. Suspect terranes and accretionary history of the Appalachian orogen: Geology, v. 10, 10, 530-536.

Woodcock, N.G., and Fischer, Mike, 1986, Strike-slip duplexes, Journal of Structural Geology, v. 8, n. 7, p. 725 - 735.

Zietz, Isidore, Calver, J.L., Johnson, S.S., and Kirby, J.R., 1977, Aeromagnetic map of Virginia U.S. Geological Survey Geophysical Investigation Map GP-915.

Zietz, Isidore, Haworth, R.T., Williams, Harold, and Daniels, D.L., 1980, Magnetic anomaly map of the Appalachian orogen: St. John's Memorial University of Newfoundland, Map No. 2a, scale 1:2,000,000.

Zoback, M.D., 1987, In-situ stress, crustal strain and seismicity in eastern North America in Jacob, K.H., editor, Proceedings from the symposium on seismic hazards, ground motions, soil-liquifaction and engineering practice in eastern North America, National Center for Earthquake Research, State University of New York at Buffalo,p. 80 -98.

Zoback, M.L. and Zoback, M.D., 1980, State of stress in the coterminous United States, Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 85, p. 6113-6156.

reprints removed.
ds