# LEGIBILITY NOTICE

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

ORNL/M-389



# OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

MARTIN MARIETTA

# Environmental Surveillance Data Report for the Third Quarter of 1987

K. L. Daniels P. Y. Goldberg B. M. Horwedel I. L. McCollough A. E. Osborne-Lee R. K. Ovienby J. B. Watson M. M. Wilson

10.7

OPERATED BY MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC FOR THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

1177.4

Printed in the United States of America. Available from National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161 NTIS price codes—Printed Copy: A06; Microfiche A01

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, dries not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ORNL/M---389

# DE88 004269

#### ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEILLANCE DATA REPORT FOR THE THIRD QUARTER OF 1987

K. L. Daniels
P. Y. Goldberg
B. M. Horwedel
I. L. McCollough
A. E. Osborne-Lee
R. K. Owenby
J. B. Watson
M. M. Wilson

Date Published: December 1987

Prepared by the Environmental Monitoring and Compliance Department Environmental Compliance and Health Protection Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831 operated by MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC. for the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY under Contract No. DE-AC05-840R21400

HSTRICT

# CONTENTS

•

.

.

•

3

LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	xi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xiii
INTRODUCTION	۱
AIR	3
EXTERNAL GAMMA RADIATION	25
WATER	29
Surface Water National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Requirements	31 49
METEOROLOGICAL PROCESSES	71
BIOLOGICAL MONITORING	11
Milk Fish	77 81

# List of Figures

Figu	ire	Page
1	Location map of the ORNL perimeter and Oak Ridge Reservation air monitoring stations	4
2	Location map of the remote air monitoring stations	4
3	Location map of TLDs along the Clinch River	26
4	Location map of ORNL streams and sampling stations	30
5	Location map of NPDES monitoring points	32
6	Location map of Gallaher and Kingston sampling points	33
7	Locations of meteorological towers at ORNL	72
8	Wind rose at 10-m level of meteorological tower A, July-September 1987	73
9	Wind rose at 30-m level of meteorological tower A, July-September 1987	73
10	Wind rose at 10-m level of meteorological tower B, July-September 1987	74
11	Wind rose at 30-m level of meteorological tower B, July-September 1987	74
12	Wind rose at 10-m level of meteorological tower C, July-September 1987	75
13	Wind rose at 30-m level of meteorological tower C, July-September 1987	75
14	Wind rose at 100-m level of meteorological tower C, July-September 1987	75
15	Locations of milk sampling stations near the Oak Ridge facilities	78
16	Locations of milk sampling stations remote from the Oak Ridge facilities	78
17	Location of fish sampling points	82

#### List of Tables

•

1	Long-lived gross alpha activity in air, July 1987	6
2	Long-lived gross alpha activity in air, August 1987	8
3	Long-lived gross alpha activity in air, September 1987	10
4	Long-lived gross beta activity in air, July 1987	12
5	Long-lived gross beta activity in air, August 1987	14
6	Long-lived gross beta activity in air, September 1987	16
7	Iodine <sup>131</sup> concentrations in air, July 1987	18
8	Iodine <sup>131</sup> concentrations in air, August 1987	19
9	Iodine <sup>131</sup> concentrations in air, September 1987	20
10	Tritium activity in air, July-September 1987	21
11	Long-lived radioactivity in composited air filters from air monitoring networks, April-June 1987	23
12	Long-lived radioactivity in composited air filters from individual stations, April-June 1987	24
13	External gamma radiation measurements at ORNL and reservation perimeter air monitoring stations, July-September 1987	27
14	External gamma radiation measurements along the Clinch River, April-June 1987	28
15	Summary of collection and analysis frequencies of surface and tap water samples, July-September 1987	34
16	Quarterly concentrations of radionuclides in surface streams and tap water, April-June 1987	36
17	Quarterly concentrations of radionuclides in surface streams and tap water, July-Septemer 1987	37
18	Radionuclide concentrations in water, July-September 1987	38
19	Radionuclide concentrations in water at 7500 Bridge, July-September 1987	42

L

# List of Tables (cont.)

•

.

•

.

Tab	le	Page
20	Flows for Clinch River and White Oak Creek, July-September 1987	44
21	Discharges of radionuclides in water, July 1987	45
22	Discharges of radionuclides in water, August 1987	46
23	Discharges of radionuclides in water, September 1987	47
24	NPDES Discharge Point X01, July-September 1987	50
25	NPDES Discharge Point XO2, July-September 1987	51
26	NPDES Discharge Point XO3, July-September 1987	52
27	NPDES Discharge Point XO4, July-September 1987	53
28	NPDES Discharge Point X06, July-September 1987	54
29	NPDES Discharge Point X07, July-September 1987	55
30	NPDES Discharge Point X08, July-September 1987	56
31	NPDES Discharge Point X09, July-September 1987	57
32	NPDES Discharge Point X11, July-September 1987	58
33	NPDES Discharge Point X13, July-September 1987	59
34	NPDES Discharge Point X14, July-September 1987	61
35	NPDES Discharge Point X15, July-September 1987	63
36	NPDES miscellaneous source PF7007, July-September 1987	65
37	NPDES miscellaneous source VC7002, July-September 1987	66
38	NPDES cooling towers, July-September 1987	67
39	NPDES miscellaneous outfalls, July-September 1987	68
40	Parameters whose values exceed NPDES compliance limits, July-September 1987	69

# List of Tables (cont.)

Tab	le	Page
41	Concentrations of <sup>131</sup> I in milk, July-September 1987	79
42	Concentrations of total radioactive Sr in milk, July-September 1987	80
43	Mercury concentrations in Clinch River bluegill, July-September 1987	83
44	PCB concentrations in Clinch River bluegill, July-September 1987	84
45	Radionuclide concentrations in Clinch River bluegill, July-September 1987	85

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors of this report would like to thank several people who have been very instrumental in the publishing of this report. These individuals include J. D. Taylor, C. K. Valentine, and C. E. Nix.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During the third quarter of 1987, over 1600 samples which represent more than 5,000 analyses and measurements were collected by the Environmental Monitoring and Compliance (EMC) Department. Ten real-time monitoring stations, which telemeter 10-minute averaged readings on radiation levels, total rainfall, flows, and water quality parameters around ORNL also reported data. In addition, three meteorological towers sent climatological data to a host computer every 15 minutes.

Uranium concentrations measured on air filters near the Y-12 plant (stations 40, 45 and 46) were higher than normal due to a release from that facility in May.

Nore than 60% of the noncompliances with the NPDES permit for the third quarter occurred in July. These were primarily in total suspended solids at the Sewage Treatment Plant. The cause of these high concentrations has been explored with the plant operating staff but is currently unresolved.

Because of past noncompliances in fecal coliform and chlorine concentrations at the Sewage Treatment Plant, an engineering review has been initiated to examine the present chlorination system. This review is intended to provide a permanent solution to these types of problems.

Maximum concentrations of total radioactive strontium (89Sr + 90Sr) in bluegill were lower than those measured during the second quarter. There were not significant differences in the total radioactive strontium in bluegill at any of the Clinch River locations.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Environmental Monitoring and Compliance (EMC) Department within the Environmental Compliance and Health Protection Division (EC&HP) at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) is responsible for environmental surveillance to: (1) assure compliance with all Federal, State, and DOE requirements for the prevention, control, and abatement of environmental pollution, (2) monitor the adequacy of containment and effluent controls, and (3) assess impacts of releases from ORNL facilities on the environment.

To meet these objectives, the EMC Department has implemented a surveillance program that consists of both monitoring and sampling of environmental constituents. Monitoring provides continuous data for rapid screening of parameters. Sampling followed by laboratory analyses is usually recommended for routine surveillance rather than continuous monitoring. In general, monitoring systems are less sensitive and as a result have much higher detection levels than laboratory analysis. Laboratory analysis provides a quantitative estimate of concentrations or activities at environmental levels.

The surveillance program for 1987 includes sampling and monitoring of air, water from surface streams and point sources, groundwater, fish, and milk for radioactive and nonradioactive materials. The groundwater sites are currently being sampled semi-annually; therefore, no data will be included in this quarterly report. Surveillance points are located on-site to quantify discharges from ORNL facilities, and off-site to determine public exposures and to establish background reference levels.

The purpose of this report is to provide Laboratory and Central Management personnel with the most recent information on environmental conditions. It is intended strictly as a data report. Each quarter a report that summarizes all environmental monitoring data from the various media will be prepared.

Summaries of data will be presented for each month and quarter where there are multiple observations. The summary tables give the number of samples collected at each station or location and the maximum, minimum, and average values of parameters for which analyses were done. The 95% confidence coefficients (CCs) were calculated and where possible, average values were compared with applicable guidelines, criteria, or standards as a means of evaluating the impact of effluent releases on environmental concentrations. Some averages have been rounded and reported to only two significant digits.

Results which may be negative (values less than instrument background) are reported. Using this system, apparent decreases may be attributed to the reporting of negative values and the subsequent inclusion of these data into the averaging. For radionuclides measured by gamma spectroscopy, such as  $^{60}$ Co and  $^{137}$ Cs, the program software is not designed for the calculation of negative values and thus "less than" values are being reported for these radionuclides. Modification of the program software to allow for the calculation of negative values for radionuclides determined by gamma spectroscopy is currently underway. These modifications should be completed during the fourth quarter of 1987.

Nonradionuclide results that are below the analytical detection limit are expressed as "less than" (<). In computing average values, less than results are assigned the detection limit. The average value is expressed as less than the computed value when all samples for the period are less than the detection limit. Most gaseous wastes from ORNL are released to the atmosphere through stacks. Radioactivity may be present in gaseous waste streams as a solid (particulates), as an absorbable gas (iodine), or as a nonabsorbable species (noble gas). Gaseous wastes that may contain radioactivity are processed to reduce the radioactivity to acceptable levels before they are discharged. In addition to monitoring stack effluents, atmospheric concentrations of materials occurring in the general environment around ORNL, the Oak Ridge Reservation, and the vicinity are monitored continuously by an air monitoring network of 24 stations. Relative locations of these stations are shown in Figures 1 and 2. These air monitoring stations are categorized into three groups according to their geographical locations:

- (1) The ORNL perimeter air monitoring network (ORNL PAMs) consists of stations 3, 7, 9, 21, and 22. These stations are located at or near the ORNL boundary (shown in Figure 1). Previously, stations 21 and 22 were used only for external gamma radiation measurements; there was no sampling equipment. However, sampling equipment has now been installed at station 22 and this station began operating in March. Station 21 is currently being upgraded to provide sampling capability.
- (2) The DOE Oak Ridge reservation network (Reservation PAMs) consists of stations 8, 23, 31, 33, 34, 36, and 40-46 (Figure 1). Stations 31 through 45 have the capability to perform both sampling and continuous monitoring. Station 46 is currently being redeveloped to collect real-time data.
- (3) The remote air monitoring network (RAMs) consists of stations J1-53 and 55-57. These stations are located within a 120 km radius of ORNL outside the DOE Oak Ridge Reservation (Figure 2).

At each real-time monitoring station, there are monitors for five radiation parameters (gross alpha, gross beta, iodine, gross gamma, and noble gas), a rain gauge, and three process sensors that are used to calculate the volume of the sample collected. A central processor collects 10-minute average readings and transmits the data to a VAX computer for further analysis and reporting. The central processor checks the values against alarm limits. All alarms are reported to a printer as they occur. The primary purpose of the monitoring system is to determine if radiation levels on the Reservation are above background levels. If radiation levels appear to be higher than normal, additional sampling can be initiated to provide quantitative measures of concentrations in the atmosphere. In addition, sampling is done at each station to quantify levels of iodine, gross alpha, and gross beta. The real-time monitoring system is the only measure of noble gases in the area.



Fig. 1 Location map of the ORNL perimeter and Oak Ridge Reservation air monitoring stations.



Fig. 2 Location map of the remote air monitoring stations

4

Airborne radioactive particulates are collected weekly by pumping a continuous flow of air through a paper filter and then through a charcoal cartridge. The filter papers are collected and analyzed weekly for gross alpha and gross beta activities. To minimize artifacts from short-lived radionuclides, the filter papers are analyzed 3-4 days after collection. The airborne <sup>131</sup>I is collected weekly using a cartridge that is packed with activated charcoal. The charcoal cartridges are analyzed within 24 hours after collection. The initial and final dates, time on and off, and flowrates are recorded when a sampler is mounted or removed. The total volume of air which flowed through the sampler at each station is calculated using this information. The flowrates at stations 3-45 are set between 1.5 and 3.0 CFM to minimize artifacts from extremely high or low flowrates. The concentration of radionuclides in air is calculated by dividing the total activity per sample by the total volume of air.

Monthly (July-September) concentrations of gross alpha, gross beta, and atmospheric 131 are summarized in Tables 1-9. Instrument background concentrations of 131 , gross alpha, and gross beta have been subtracted from the measured concentrations in Tables 1-9. Negative values represent concentrations below the instrument background level. Beginning with the third quarter of 1986, a new counter has been used for analyzing weekly gross alpha and gross beta activities on filter papers. This new instrument gives a higher efficiency and is more sensitive. This improvement in sensitivity has significantly lowered the maximum and minimum values for gross alpha and minimum values for gross beta (Tables 1-6).

There appears to be little or no alpha activity at any of the stations during the quarter. There was no significant difference in the beta activity among the three networks during July. However, during August and September, the Reservation PAMs and the RAMs were significantly higher than the ORNL PAMs.

During August and September, the RAM average was higher due to increased beta activity at Douglas Dam. The average beta activity at the Reservation PAMs was higher for these two months due to higher averages among several of the stations. Although beta activity was higher during August and September than in July, it was within the normal background levels for East Tennessee.

The charcoal samples collected weekly at the air monitoring stations showed no significant differences in iodine concentrations from the first quarter of 1987 (Tables 7-9). There were no significant differences in iodine concentrations at either of the two monitoring networks from July to September 1987.

Monthly samples for atmospheric tritium are routinely collected from ORNL PAM stations 3, 7, and Reservation PAM station 8. Samples were not collected at ORNL PAM station 7 this period because the station is currently being upgraded and was therefore not operational during the third quarter. Atmospheric tritium in the form of water vaper is removed from the air by silica gel. The silica gel is heated in a distillation flask to remove the moisture and the distillate is counted in a liquid scintillation counter. The concentration of tritium in the air is calculated by dividing total activity accumulated per month by total volume of air sampled. A quarterly

# Table 1. Long-lived gross alpha activity in air

Location			on (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bg/	} Bq/L)	
	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>a</sup>
		ORNL PAM Sta	tions <sup>b</sup>		
3	5	12	-10	0.69	10
7	3	Ō	-10	-3.5	6.9
9	5	12	-10	2.8	11
22	3	0	-10	-3.5	6.9
Network					
summa ry	16	12	-10	-0.22	4.7
	Res	servation PAM	Stations <sup>b</sup>		
8	5	0	-10	-6.2	5.1
23	5	0	-12	-6.9	5.7
31	5	0	-12	-4.4	5.4
33	4	12	-10	6.0	11
34	5	13	-10	0.29	9.4
36	5	U	-15	-11	5.1
40	5	0	-12	-9.0	4.0
41 A2	5	10	-10	-2.1	4,1
43	5	13	-13	-2.6	97
44	Š	16	-12	-1.7	10
45	5	0	-12	-9.0	4.6
46	5	-10	-12	-11	0.85
Network					
summa ry	64	16	-15	-4.8	2.1
		RAM Statio	ons <sup>c</sup>		
51	4	19	-12	3.9	13
52	5	13	-12	0.16	10
53	4	19	0	10	9.4
55	5	10	0	3.8	3.8
56	5	16	0	5.2	5.9

July 1987

# Table 1. (continued)

# July 1987

Location		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/L)			
	No. of Samples	Hax	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>a</sup>
57	5	22	0	8.3	10
Network summary	28	22	-12	5.3	3.5
Overall summary	108	22	-15	-1.6	1.9

a 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

<sup>b</sup> See Figure 1.

<sup>c</sup> See Figure 2.

# Table 2. Long-lived gross alpha activity in air

# August 1987

		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bg/L)			
Location	No. of Samples	Max	Min	۸v	95% cc <sup>a</sup>
		ORNL PAM Stat	tions <sup>b</sup>		
3	4	0	-10	-7.8	5.2
7	3	Ō	-10	-6.9	6.9
9	4	0	-10	-2.6	5.2
22	4	0	-10	-5.2	6.0
Network					
summary	15	0	-10	-5.5	2.8
	<u>ƙe</u>	servation PAM	Stations <sup>b</sup>		
8	4	-10	-10	-10	0
23	4	0	-10	-5.2	6.0
31	4	-10	-10	-10	0
33	4	0	-10	-7.8	5.2
34	4	10	-10	0	8.5
36	4	19	-13	-1.6	15
40	4	0	-10	-7.8	5.2
41	4	0	-10	-5.2	6.0
42	4	10	-10	-2.6	9.9
43	4	0	-13	-9.7	6.5
44	4	21	-10	U E D	15
45	4	C	-10	-5.2	6.0
Network					
summary	52	21	-13	-5.5	2.2
		RAM Static	ons <sup>c</sup>		
51	4	0	-10	-4.5	5.3
52	2	5.1	-10	-2.6	15
53	4	0	-10	-5.2	6.0
55	4	19	-9.3	6.9	14
56	4	16	-19	0.73	14

# Table 2. (continued)

# August 1987

Location		Concentration (10 <sup><math>-8</math></sup> Bq/L)				
	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	7L) 95% cc <sup>a</sup> 19 5.4	
57	4	39	0	9.7	19	
Network summary	22	39	-19	1.2	5.4	
Overall summary	89	39	-19	-3.8	2.0	

a 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

<sup>b</sup> See Figure 1.

<sup>C</sup> See Figure 2.

# Table 3. Long-lived gross alpha activity in air

		C	n (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/	1/L)	
Location	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>a</sup>
		ORNL PAM Stat	ions <sup>b</sup>		
3	4	0	-6.0	-4.1	2.8
7	3	-5.2	-6.0	-5.5	0.58
9	4	0	-6.0	-2.8	3.3
22	3	0	=9.1	-3.0	6.0
Network					
summary	14	0	-9.1	-3.8	1.7
	Res	ervation PAM S	itations <sup>b</sup>		
8	4	0	-9.1	-3.6	4.4
23	4	Õ	-9,1	-4.9	3.7
31	4	Õ	-9.1	-4.5	3.8
33	4	0.52	~9.1	-2.1	4.6
34	4	0	0	0	0
36	4	-3.6	-11	-6.6	3.3
40	4	0	-9.1	-4.7	3.7
41	4	0	-9.1	-3.6	4.4
42	4	0	-9.1	-2.9	4.3
43	4	0	-11	-5.3	4.8
44	4	0	5.2	-2.1	2.5
45	4	0	-9.1	-3.0	4.3
46	4	9,1	-5.2	0.065	6.4
Network					
summary	52	9.1	-11	-3.3	1.1
		RAM Station	ISC		
51	4	0	-5.2	-3.5	2.4
52	4	0	-5.2	-2.6	3.0
53	2	-4.5	-5.2	-4.9	0.65
55	3	0	-3.5	-1.8	2.0
56	4	0	-6.0	-3.2	2.7

# September 1987

#### Table 3. (continued)

#### September 1987

Location		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/L)			
	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>a</sup> 1.8 0.98
57	4	0	-3.7	-1.3	1.8
Network summary	20	0	-6.0	-2.7	0.98
Overall summary	86	9.1	-11	-3.3	0.77

a 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

<sup>b</sup> See Figure 1.

<sup>c</sup> See Figure 2.

# Table 4. Long-lived gross beta activity in air

# **July 1987**

		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bo			 1/L)	
Location	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>a</sup>	
		ORNL PAM Stat	ions <sup>b</sup>			
3	5	88	18	40	26	
ž	3	30	26	29	2.9	
9	5	110	18	44	34	
22	3	100	52	85	33	
Network						
summary	16	110	18	47	16	
	Re	servation PAM S	Stations <sup>b</sup>			
8	5	78	12	46	28	
23	5	150	48	80	36	
31	5	76	31	56	18	
33	4	88	42	65	26	
34	5	110	30	65	35	
36	5	78	13	55	22	
40	5	150	36	83	53	
41	5	73	47	67	10	
42	5	83	30	55	24	
43	5	52	23	34	11	
44	5	100	12	58	38	
45	5	100	41	60	22	
46	5	99	12	42	32	
Network						
summa ry	64	150	12	59	8.2	
		RAM Statio	ns <sup>c</sup>			
51	4	130	3.2	35	62	
52	5	91	0	23	45	
53	4	130	-6.8	30	65	
55	5	9.3	0	2.8	3.7	
56	5	55	0	20	21	

# Table 4. (continued)

# July 1987

Location		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/L)					
	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>a</sup>		
57	5	43	0	17	16		
Network summary	28	130	-6.8	20	14		
Overall summary	108	150	-6.8	47	7.2		

a 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

<sup>b</sup> See Figure 1.

<sup>c</sup> See Figure 2.

# Table 5. Long-lived gross beta activity in air

		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/L)				
Location	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>a</sup>	
		ORNL PAM Stat	ions <sup>b</sup>			
3	4	36	16	30	9.8	
7	3	31	5.2	17	15	
9	4	83	36	60	20	
22	4	110	36	58	34	
Network						
summary	15	110	5.2	43	14	
	Res	servation PAM	Stations <sup>b</sup>			
દ	4	110	47	78	25	
23	4	110	47	83	28	
31	4	88	16	47	31	
33	4	160	47	82	54	
34	4	170	43	110	53	
36	4	190	45	110	68	
40	4	78	16	51	30	
41	4	67	52	61	6.5	
42	4	88	36	62	21	
43	4	58	32	45	11	
44	4	160	83	120	39	
46	4	83	36	70 58	20	
Network						
summary	52	190	16	75	11	
		RAM Statio	ns <sup>c</sup>			
51	4	110	67	88	20	
52	2	25	10	18	15	
53	4	150	130	140	7.8	
55	4	140	0	47	66	
56	4	99	0	52	43	

# August 1987

# Table 5. (continued)

# August 1987

Location 57 Network summary		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/L)				
	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Âv	95% cc <sup>a</sup>	
57	4	180	0	84	74	
Network summary	22	180	0	76	24	
Overall summary	89	190	0	70	9.3	

a 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

<sup>b</sup> See Figure 1.

<sup>c</sup> See Figure 2.

# Table 6. Long-lived gross beta activity in air

			q/L)		
Location 3 7 9 22 Network summary 8 23 31 33 34 36 40 41 42	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Âv	95% cc <sup>a</sup>
		ORNL PAM Stat	ions <sup>b</sup>		
3	4	47	32	20	6.6
3	1	36	24	33	7 0
ġ	4	73	64	69	4 5
22	3	86	42	69	27
Network					
summa ry	14	86	24	52	11
	Res	ervation PAM	Stations <sup>b</sup>		
8	4	99	47	75	21
23	4	97	59	82	16
31	4	79	4.5	45	31
33	4	140	91	120	24
34	4	130	73	110	28
36	4	110	79	97	15
40	4	62	47	54	1.7
41	4	91	45	68	19
42	4	120	85	100	15
43	4	110	68	87	20
44	4	100	73	100	13
45	4	78	54	66	9.8
Network					
summary	52	140	4.5	84	7.6
		RAM Statio	ns <sup>c</sup>		
51	4	150	99	120	24
52	3	140	73	100	42
53	2	100	77	90	27
55	3	59	26	43	19
56	4	150	76	110	30

# September 1987

#### Table 6. (continued)

#### September 1987

Location 57 Network summary Overall summary		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/L)				
	No. of Samples	Max	Min	ÂV	95% cc <sup>a</sup>	
57	4	130	99	110	13	
Network summary	20	150	26	99	15	
Overall summary	86	150	4.5	82	6.7	

<sup>a</sup> 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

b See Figure 1.

<sup>c</sup> See Figure 2.

# Table 7. <sup>131</sup>Iodine concentrations in air

		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/L)				
Location	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>a</sup>	
		ORNL PAM Stat	ions <sup>b</sup>			
3	5	4.9	0	1.9	1.8	
7	3	2.5	-5.7	-1.9	4.8	
9	5	2.5	-7.7	-2.4	3.5	
22	3	6.3	0	2.8	3.7	
Network						
summary	16	6.3	-7.7	0.027	1.9	
	Res	ervation PAM S	tations <sup>b</sup>			
8	5	7.4	-6.3	2.0	4.6	
23	5	5.7	-2.5	1.5	3.0	
31	5	11	-8.6	1.2	6.4	
33	4	19	-4.9	3.1	11	
34	5	16	-4.1	2.7	6.9	
36	5	14	-2.5	6.9	6.0	
40	5	2.5	-2.5	0	1.6	
41	5	4.9	-2.2	1.7	2.6	
42	5	3.8	-6.9	-1.9	4.4	
43	5	0	-6.1	-2.7	2.5	
44	5	4.9	-2.5	1.3	2.4	
45	5	6.3	-4.9	2.9	4.1	
46	5	9.1	-5.7	2.9	5.9	
Network						
summary	64	19	-8.6	1.6	1.4	
Overall						
summary	80	19	-8.6	1.3	1.2	

# July 1987

a 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

b See Figure 1.

# Table 8. <sup>131</sup>Iodine concentrations in air

		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/L)				
Location	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>a</sup>	
		ORNL PAM Stat	ions <sup>b</sup>			
3	4	8.3	-4.2	2.1	5.4	
7	3	12	0	6.3	7.2	
9	4	6.3	-2.1	2.6	3.6	
22	4	4.2	2.1	3.2	1.2	
Network						
summary	15	12	-4.2	3.3	2.1	
	Res	ervation PAM S	itations <sup>b</sup>			
8	4	6.3	0	3.7	2.6	
23	4	4.2	-4.2	-1.1	4.0	
31	4	4.2	0	1.6	2.0	
33	4	10	-6.3	2.6	6.9	
34	4	8.3	-1.8	3.6	4.5	
36	4	7.9	2.6	4.6	2.5	
40	4	10	0	3.6	4.6	
41	4	0	-4.2	-1.6	2.0	
42	4	-2.1	-6.3	-4.7	2.0	
43	4	5.3	-5.3	-0.66	5.4	
44	4	6.3	-4.2	1.6	4.3	
45	4	4.2	0	2.1	1.7	
46	4	4.2	-4.2	-0.53	4.3	
Network						
summary	52	10	-6.3	1,1	1.2	
Overall	167	10	<u> </u>			

#### August 1987

<sup>a</sup> 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

<sup>b</sup> See Figure 1.

# Table 9. <sup>131</sup>Iodine concentrations in air

.

.

		Concentration (10 <sup>-8</sup> Bq/L)			
Location	No. of Samples	Max	Min	۸v	95% cca
		ORNL PAM Stat	ions <sup>b</sup>		
3	4	8.3	-5.5	2.1	7.2
7	3	2.1	-4.2	-0.70	3.7
9	4	4.2	-4.2	-0.46	3.6
22	3	15	0	7.6	8.4
Network					
summary	14	15	-5.5	2.0	3.2
	Res	ervation PAM S	itations <sup>b</sup>		
8	4	19	0	7.4	8.5
23	4	4.9	-5.5	0.90	4.8
31	4	6.3	-2.5	1.9	3.9
33	4	5.5	-4.2	0.94	4.1
34	4	6.3	0	2.6	2.7
36	4	17	2.3	8.0	6.3
40	4	4.9	-3.7	1,4	4.0
41	4	1.8	-2.5	-1.2	2.0
42	4	9.1	-6.3	2.0	7.4
43	4	9.0	-3.1	2.2	6.0
44	4	4.9	-2.1	1.8	3.4
45	4	8.3	-4.9	1.7	6.2
46	4	8.3	0	3.0	3.9
Network					
summary	52	19	-6.3	2.5	1.4
Overall					
summary	66	19	-6.3	2.4	1.3

# September 1987

<sup>a</sup> 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

**b** See Figure 1.

#### Table 10. Tritium activity in air

July - September 1987

		Concentration (10 <sup>-4</sup> Bq/L)				
Location <sup>a</sup>	No. of Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>b</sup>	
3 8	3 3	8.4 5.5	7.8 2.7	8.2 4.4	0.41 1.7	
Overall summary	6	8.4	2.7	6.3	1.9	

a See Figure 1.

b 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples. summary of the atmospheric tritium concentrations is presented in Table 10. Tritium concentration in air showed no significant differences from the past three years' values.

Air filters are composited quarterly from ORNL PAMs (stations 3, 7, 9, and 22), Reservation PAMs (excluding stations 34, 36, 40, 41, 45, and 46), RAMs (stations 51-53 and 55-57), and from individual stations (34, 36, 40, 41, 45 and 46) and are analyzed for specific radionuclides. Results of these analyses for the third quarter were not available at the time of publication of this report. Second quarter results are provided in this report (Tables 11 and 12). No 60Co or 137Cs were detected on any of the quarterly air filters. Although the total radioactive Sr concentration at station 34 appears to be high (Table 12), this concentration is not justified due to the large instrument background (see value of -180 at station 45). Uranium concentrations at stations near Y-12 (40, 45 and 46) were elevated due to a release from Y-12 during May.

# Table 11. Long-lived radioactivity in composited air filters from air monitoring networks

April - June 1987

	Concentration (10 <sup>-10</sup> Bg/L)					
Radionuclide         60Cs         137Cs         238Pu         239Pu         239Pu         228Th         230Th         232Th         Total Sr <sup>b</sup> 234U		Location <sup>a</sup>				
	ORNL PAMs	Reservation PAMs	RAMs _			
60 <sub>C s</sub>	< 20	< 10	< 10			
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	< 24	< 14	< 39			
238 <sub>Pu</sub>	-0.28	1.8	-0.14			
239 <sub>Pu</sub>	-0.85	-1.6	-0.14			
228 <sub>Th</sub>	0.28	0.36	0.28			
230 <sub>Th</sub>	0.57	0.18	0.14			
232 <sub>Th</sub>	0.28	0.18	0.14			
Total Sr <sup>b</sup>	-23	9.1	2.8			
234 <sub>U</sub>	65	140	14			
235 <sub>U</sub>	19	39	0.70			
238 <sub>U</sub>	31	39	14			

<sup>a</sup> See Figure 1 and 2.

<sup>b</sup> Total radioactive Sr (89Sr + 90Sr).

# Table 12. Long-lived radioactivity in composited air filters from individual stations

April - June 1987

		Conce	ntration	(10 <sup>-10</sup>	Bq/L)		
		Location <sup>a</sup>					
Radionuclide	34	36	40	41	45	46	
60 <sub>C0</sub>	< 92	< 84	< 84	< 76	< 100	< 93	
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	< 52	< 68	< 56	< 50	< 85	< 66	
238 <sub>Pu</sub>	-4.3	-1.5	-1.2	1.2	-1.3	1.4	
239 <sub>Pu</sub>	-4.3	-1.5	1.2	-2.3	-10	-6.8	
228 <sub>Th</sub>	-1.1	1.5	-1.2	1.2	-2.6	5.5	
230 <sub>Th</sub>	-1.1	3.0	-2.4	1.2	-1.3	4.1	
232Th	1.1	-1.5	1.2	1.2	1.3	-1.4	
Total Sr <sup>b</sup>	54	44	47	-13	44	-82	
234 <sub>U</sub>	53	25	640	62	450	720	
235 <sub>U</sub>	4.6	-0.83	35	5.7	33	130	
238 <sub>U</sub>	48	7.5	13	9.4	170	110	

a See Figure 1.

<sup>b</sup> Total radioactive Sr (<sup>89</sup>Sr + 90Sr).

#### EXTERNAL GAMMA RADIATION

External gamma radiation measurements are made to confirm that routine radioactive effluents from ORNL are not increasing external radiation levels significantly above normal background.

Currently, external gamma radiation measurements are made monthly at the ORNL PAM stations (Figure 1) and at Reservation PAM stations 8 and 23 (Figure 1), quarterly at sites along the bank of the Clinch River (Figure 3), and semiannually at the RAM stations (Figure 2). Third quarter Clinch River TLD's were placed in the field on July 31, 1987, and retrieved for exposure reading on October 2, 1987. This represented a two-month period instead of the normal 3-month, quarterly, exposure period. Measurements along the bank of the Clinch River, from the mouth of White Oak Creek for several hundred yards downstream, are made to evaluate gamma radiation levels resulting from ORNL effluent releases and "sky shine" (air scattered gamma radiation) from an experimental radioactive cesium plot located near the river bank. Measurements at these sites are made using thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs). Three dosimeters are placed in each container and the containers are suspended one meter above the ground. Measurements from each dosimeter are averaged for the month, guarter, or semiannual period. No real-time data was collected at many of the Reservation PAM stations due to the installation of new monitoring hardware and software. Summaries of external gamma radiation measurements are provided in Tables 13 and 14. Results for this guarter and future guarters will be expressed in SI units (nGy/h) instead of  $\mu$ R/h. To convert  $\mu$ R/h to nGy/h, multiply  $\mu$ R/h by 10.

There were no significant differences in the external gamma radiation among the ORNL PAM network and the Reservation PAM network.






.

•

1

•

## Table 13.External gamma radiation measurements at ORNL and<br/>reservation perimeter air monitoring stations

	No. of	Concentration (nGy/h)			1)
Location	samplesa	Max	Min	Av	95%ccb
	0	RNL PAM Stati	ions <sup>C</sup>		
3	3	57	30	39	18
7	3	40	13	27	15
9	3	67	20	38	29
21	3	40	23	33	10
22	3	60	43	49	11
Network summary	15	67	13	37	7.9
	Rese	rvation PAM S	Stations		
8	3	40	6.7	22	19
23	3	30	13	21	9.7
Network					
summary	6	40	6.7	22	9.7

July - September 1987

<sup>a</sup> Individual dosimeters are averaged for each location. The number of samples indicates the number of months of data.

b 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>C</sup> See Figure 1.

## Table 14. External gamma radiation measurements along the Clinch River

July - September 1987

Location <sup>a</sup>	No. of samples <sup>b</sup>	Concentration (nGy/h)
41	]	120
42	1	93
60	1	77
61	1	120
64	1	180
65	Ì	200
66	1	220
67	Ì	130
68	1	80
69	1	73
Quarterly average	10	130

<sup>a</sup> See Figure 3.

<sup>b</sup> Individual dosimeters are averaged for each station. The number of samples indicates the number of quarters of data. The majority of the drainage or liquid effluent from ORNL flows into the Clinch River by way of White Oak Creek (WOC). The Clinch River flows southwest from Virginia to its mouth near Kingston, Tennessee, where it ioins with the Tennessee River.

Runoff from the majority of the sites at ORNL, including that from the burial grounds, reaches WOC either directly or via one of its tributaries, such as Melton Branch (MB). Concentrations of contaminants in WOC are affected by White Oak Dam (WOD) which controls the stream's flow. Flow in WOC may also be augmented by discharges from the ORNL cooling towers and Sewage Treatment Plant. Below WOD, WOC is affected by water levels in the Clinch River which are controlled by Melton Hill Dam, shown in Figure 4.

Surveillance of the water environment consists of the collection of surface water samples and effluent samples required under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. Samples are analyzed for radionuclides and nonradioactive chemicals.

#### WATER

ORNE DWG 86-12026R





• •

#### Surface Water

White Oak Creek drains an area of 17  $km^2$  in Bethel and Melton Valleys and is the largest stream flowing through ORNL. Run-off from sites at ORNL reaches WOC either directly or via one of its tributaries. After entering Melton Valley, WOC is joined by its major tributary, MB, at WOC kilometer 2.49. White Oak Dam, located one kilometer above the mouth of WOC, forms White Oak Lake and serves as a point for monitoring flow and discharges of contaminants from the ORNL site. Major discharges to WOC include: (1) treated domestic (sanitary) waste from the Sewage Treatment Plant (STP): (2) cooling tower blowdown; (3) cooling water from various sources; (4) surface drainage from the main Laboratory area, including drainage from Solid Waste Storage Areas 3, 4, and 6; (5) discharges from the low-level radioactive waste collection (190 ponds) and process waste treatment plant (3544): and (6) discharges from process building areas. Major discharges to HB include discharges from Solid Waste Storage Area 5, blowdown from the recirculating cooling water system at the High Flux Isotope Reactor, and discharges from the 7900 waste pond system.

To determine discharges of radionuclides from ORNL processes, flow and concentration data from ORNL streams are recorded. Water samples are collected regularly from the following stations: 1500 Area, 190 Ponds, First Creek, 2000 Area, Acid Neutralization Facility (3518), Process Waste Treatment Plant (3544), Fifth Creek, 7500 Bridge, Melton Branch 1 (MB1), Melton Branch 2 (MB2), Melton Hill Dam, Northwest Tributary (NWT), ORR Resin Regeneration Facility (ORR), Raccoon Creek, STP, TRU Ponds, WOC, White Oak Creek Headwaters, and WOD (Figures 4 and 5). Radiological monitoring at stations in the 1500 Area, 190 Ponds, 3518, 3544, and TRU Ponds was initiated in February to comply with the requirements of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Radiological Monitoring Plan.

In addition, process water samples are collected from the sanitary waste treatment plants at the Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant (ORGDP - Gallaher) and Kingston (Figure 6). ORNL tap water is also sampled. Samples collected from Melton Hill Dam, WOC Headwaters, and ORNL tap are considered as back-ground or reference samples. Table 15 summarizes the sampling and analysis frequencies, the parameters analyzed, and the type of sample collected at each of these stations. Summaries of radionuclide concentrations are presented in Tables 16-19. All determinations for "total Sr" are for total radioactive strontium which is the sum of 89Sr and 90Sr. The 95% confidence coefficients about the averages have not been presented for stations with less than three samples. Results of these determinations for the second and third quarters have been included in this report (Tables 16-17).

Cobalt-60 and <sup>3</sup>H maximum concentrations at MB2 were high relative to the first quarter results (Table 18). This was due to concentrations measured during the month of August. These values are the highest since January 1987. The sources of 60Co and <sup>3</sup>H are under investigation but have not yet been identified. The maximum cesium-137 concentration in the 190 ponds was also high during this period due to the concentration measured during September. The Operations Division is investigating possible sources of the  $13^7$ Cs.

ORNL-DWG 87-7161

.

٠



Figure 5 Location map of NPDES monitoring points

32



. --

•

•

•

٠

Fig. 6 Location map of Gallaher and Kingston sampling points

.

•

		Collection		Analysis
<u>Station</u>	Parameter	frequency	Туре	frequency
190 Ponds	Gamma scan, gross alpha, gross beta	Weekly	Flow Proportional	Monthly
1500 Area, 3518	Gross alpha, gross beta	Weekly	Flow Proportional	Monthly
2000 Area, STP	Gamma scan, gross beta, Total Sr <sup>a</sup>	Weekly	Flow Proportional	Monthly
3544	Gross alpha, gross beta, gamma scan, Total Sr <sup>a</sup>	Weekly	Flow Proportional	Monthly
7500 Bridge	<b>Gamma</b> scan, Total Sr <sup>a</sup>	Daily	Time Proportional	Daily
7500 Bridge, MB1, WOC, MB2	Gamma scan, Total Sr <sup>a</sup> , 3 <sub>H</sub>	Weekly	Flow Proportional	Monthly
First Creek, Fifth Creek, NWT, Raccoon Creek	Gamma scan, Total Sr <sup>a</sup>	Weekly	Grab	Monthly
Gallaher, Kingston	3 <sub>H,</sub> 60 <sub>CO,</sub> 137 <sub>CS</sub> , gamma scan, gross alpha, gross beta, Pu, Total Sr <sup>a</sup> , U	Week ly	Grab	Quarterly
HFIR Ponds	Gamma scan, gross alpha, gross beta	After Discharge	Flow Proportional	Monthly
Melton Hill Dam	241 <sub>Am,</sub> 244 <sub>Cm,</sub> 60 <sub>Co,</sub> 137Cs, gross alpha, Pu, Th, U, Total Sr <sup>a</sup> , 3 <sub>H,</sub>	Weekly	Flow Proportional	Monthly
NWT	Gamma scan, Total Sr <sup>a</sup>	Weekly	Flow Proportional	Monthly
ORNL Tap	60 <sub>Co,</sub> 137 <sub>Cs,</sub> gross alpha, gross beta, Pu, Total Sr <sup>a</sup> , L	Daily	Grab	Quarterly
ORR	60 <sub>Co,</sub> 137 <sub>Cs,</sub> gross alpha, gross beta	After Discharge	Flow Proportional	Monthly
WOC Headwaters	241 <sub>Am,</sub> 244 <sub>Cm,</sub> 60 <sub>Co,</sub> 137 <sub>Cs,</sub> gross alpha, Total Sr <sup>a</sup> , 3 <sub>H, '</sub> Pu, Th, U	Weekly	Grab	Monthly

## Table 15. Summary of collection and analysis frequencies of surface and tap water samples

## Table 15. (continued)

Station	Parameter	Collection frequency	Туре	Analysis frequency
WOD	241 <sub>Am,</sub> 244 <sub>Cm,</sub> 60 <sub>Co,</sub> 137 <sub>Cs,</sub> gross beta, Pu, Totał Sr <sup>a</sup> , 3 <sub>H</sub>	Weekly	Flow Proportional	Weekly
TRU Ponds	Gross beta	After Discharge	Flow Proportional	Monthly

<sup>a</sup> Total radioactive Sr (<sup>89</sup>Sr + <sup>90</sup>Sr).

	Concentration
Kad tonuc i tde	( <b>B</b> Q/L)
6a11	aher <sup>a</sup>
60 <sub>Co</sub>	< 0.01
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	< 0.01
Gross alpha	0.11
Gross beta	0.26
SH	91
	< 0.0001
10tal Sr4 234	0.0026
2350	0.0030 A AAAA
2360	0.000013
238 <mark>0</mark>	0.0021
King	istona
6000	< 0.01
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	< 0.01
Gross alpha	0.036
Gross beta	0.14
3 <sub>H</sub>	6.6
PuD	< 0.0001
Total Sr <sup>C</sup>	0.019
234U 235	0.0038
236u	
238 <sub>U</sub>	0.0022
ORNL T	ap Water
60 <sub>C0</sub>	< 0.01
137Cs	< 0.01
Gross alpha	0.044
Gross beta	0.14
PuD	< 0.0001
Total Sr <sup>C</sup>	0.024
2350 2350	0.00058
236u	
2381	<ul> <li>0.000007</li> <li>0.000007</li> </ul>

## Table 16. Quarterly concentrations of radionuclides in surface streams and tap water

•

.

b Total Pu (239Pu + 240Pu).

<sup>c</sup> Total radioactive Sr ( $^{89}$ Sr +  $^{90}$ Sr).

### 36

	Concentration
Radionuclide	<u>(Bq/L)</u>
Gallaher <sup>a</sup>	
60 <sub>C0</sub>	< 0.08
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	< 0.05
Gross alpha	0.11
Gross beta	0.17
<sup>3</sup> н_	30
PuD	< 0.0001
Total Sr <sup>C</sup>	0.15
234U 235u	0.0024
2360	0.00010
2380	0.000012
	0.0010
Kingston <sup>a</sup>	
60 <u>C</u> o	< 0.06
13/Cs	< 0.05
Gross alpha	0.050
bross deta Bu	0.080
он Рир	
Total SrC	0.16
234U	0.0023
235Ŭ	0.000068
236U	0.0000073
2380	0.0013
ORNL Tap Water	•
60co	< 0.06
1376	< 0.06
Gross alpha	0.050
Gross beta	0.11
Pub	< 0.0001
Total Sr <sup>c</sup>	0.19
234U	0.0032
2350	0.000093
230U 238u	0.0000015
2.500	U.0019

## Table 17. Quarterly concentrations of radionuclides insurface streams and tap water

July - September 1987

a See Figure 6.

37

## Table 18. Radionuclide concentrations in water

•

	No. of		Concent	ration (Bo/	1)
Radionuclides	Samples	Max	Min	ÂV	95% cca
		1500 Area	b		
Gross alpha Gross beta	3 3	1.2 3.2	- 0.20 1.2	0.66 2.5	0.87 1.3
		190 Ponds	b		
60 <sub>CO</sub> 137 <sub>CS</sub> Gross alpha Gross beta	3 3 3 3	3.6 64 1.7 5.2	< 0.2 0.98 0.30 4.1	< 1.3 22 1.0 4.6	2.2 41 0.81 0.63
		2000 Area	b		
60 <sub>CO</sub> 137 <sub>CS</sub> Gross beta Total Sr <sup>d</sup>	3 3 3 3	< 0.2 < 0.2 2.7 0.56	< 0.1 < 0.1 - 0.60 0.090	< 0.2 < 0.2 0.96 0.33	0.066 0.066 1.9 0.27
		3518 <sup>b</sup>			
Gross alpha Gross beta	3 3	1.2 2.1	- 0.80 0.40	0.23 1.4	1.1 1.0
		3544 <sup>b</sup>			
60 <sub>CO</sub> 134 <sub>CS</sub> 137 <sub>CS</sub>	3 1 3	5.3 86	< 0.2 0.96	< 2.7 0.37 48	2.9 50
152Eu 154Eu Gross alpha Gross beta	1 1 3 3	8.6 82	4.5 35	2.2 1.1 5.9 63	2.6 28
106 <sub>Ru</sub> Total Sr <sup>d</sup>	1 3	1.5	1.2	5.1 1.3	0.17

### July - September 1987

## Table 18. (continued)

July - September 1987

	No. of		Concen	tration (Bo	Bq/L)	
Radionuclides	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cca	
		First Cre	ek <sup>C</sup>			
60 <sub>Co</sub>	3	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.2	0.066	
Total Srd	3 3	< 0.2 30	< 0.1 25	< 0.1 27	0.066 2.9	
		Fifth Cre	ek <sup>c</sup>			
60 <sub>C0</sub>	3	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.2	0.066	
Total Srd	3 3	< 0.3 1.7	< 0.01 0.73	< 0.2 1.2	0.11 0.56	
		7500 Bri	dge			
60 <sub>C0</sub>	3	0.21	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.0066	
Total Srd	3	2.8 2.8	1.4	2.0 2.6	0.81 0.30	
3 <sub>H</sub>	3	260	200	230	34	
		HF I R				
60 <sub>C0</sub>	1			590		
Gross alpha	i J			22		
Gross beta	١			4 50		
		Melton Bran	ch 1 <sup>c</sup>			
60 <sub>C0</sub>	3	2.9	0.94	2.1	1.1	
Total Srd	3	< 0.4	< 0.2	< 0.3 6 0	0.11	
3 <sub>H</sub>	3	48000	38000	44000	5900	
	No	orthwest Tri	butary <sup>c</sup>			
60 <sub>C0</sub>	3	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	0	
IS/CS Total Sed	3	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.2	0.066	
IULGI ST.	3	Ų,41	U.26	U.35	0.036	

## Table 18. (continued)

## July - September 1987

	No. of		Concent	tration (Bq/	′L)
Radionuclides	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cca
		Melton Branch	2 <b>c</b>		
60 <sub>Со</sub>	3	38	0.47	13	24
137Cs	3	< 0.3	< 0.1	< 0.2	0.11
152Eu	1			6.7	
154Eu	1			11	
155Eu	1			6.2	
Total Sr	3	1.3	0.08	0.55	0.8
ЭН	3	28000	790	9900	18000
		Melton Hill D	am <sup>c</sup>		
241 <sub>Am</sub>	3	0.0045	0.0010	0.0027	0.0020
244 Cm	3	< 0.002	- 0.0030	0 ~ 0.0012	0.0034
60 <sub>C0</sub>	3	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.2	0.066
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	3	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.2	0.066
Gross alpha	3	1.0	0.20	0.60	0.46
238pu	3	< 0.002	- 0.000	60 0.00036	6 0 <b>.0</b> 018
239pu	3	< 0.004	- 0.001	0.00066	5 0 <i>.</i> 0028
Total Srd	3	1.3	0.10	0.56	0.74
34	3	26	- 5.0	14	19
		Raccoon Cree	k <sup>c</sup>		
60 <sub>Co</sub>	3	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	0
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	3	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	0
Total Srd	3	7.4	4.2	5.6	1.8
	Sew	age Treatment	Plant <sup>C</sup>		
60 <sub>C0</sub>	3	< 0.3	< 0.1	< 0.2	0.13
137 <sub>CS</sub>	3	< 0.2	0.2	< 0.27	0.085
Gross beta	3	9.8	8.1	9.1	ì.0
Total Srd	3	5.0	4.2	4.6	0.46
		TRU Ponds <sup>b</sup>			
Gross beta	۱			2.1	

b

## Table 18. (continued)

July - September 1987

	No. of		Concent	ration (Bq/L	)
Radionuclides	Samples	Max	Min	Âv	95% cca
	•	white Oak Cree	ek <sup>c</sup>		
60 <sub>Co</sub>	3	< 0.3	< 0.2	< 0.3	0.066
13/Cs	3	7.1	2.0	3.7	3.3
Total Sr <sup>a</sup>	3	3.3	2.5	2.8	0.50
3H	3	410	280	350	75
	White	Oak Creek Hea	dwaters <sup>c</sup>		
241 Am	3	0.011	0.0024	0.0058	0.0053
244Cm	3	0.0020	- 0.0011	0.00050	0.0018
60Co	3	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.2	0.066
13/Cs	3	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.2	0.066
Gross alpha	3	2.4	1.0	1.6	0.85
238PU	3	0.0016	- 0.0013	0	0.0017
C2Ab <sup>ff</sup>	3	0.00040	- 0.0042	- 0.0014	0.0028
Total Sru	3	0.59	0.33	0.42	0.17
эН	3	21	8.0	14	7.7
		White Oak Da	mC		
241 <sub>Am</sub>	13	0.012	- 0.021	< 0.003	0.0043
244Cm	13	0.016	- 0.0030	0.0045	0.0071
60 <u>C</u> o	13	0.67	< 0.2	< 0.3	0.077
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	13	5.6	0.56	1.6	0.80
Gross beta	13	16	6.8	10	1.7
238pu	13	0.010	-0.0000	96 0.0019	0.0014
239pu	13	0.0068	-0.0070	0.00095	0.0017
Total Sr <sup>0</sup>	13	15	0.28	4.5	1.8
2H	13	5800	1700	3400	810

b See Figure 5.

<sup>C</sup> See Figure 4.

<sup>d</sup> Total radioactive Sr  $(^{89}Sr + ^{90}Sr)$ .

# Table 19. Radionuclide concentrations in water at 7500 Bridge<sup>a</sup>

	No. of	Concentration (Bg/L)			
Radionuclide	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb
		Ju	ly		
60 <sub>Co</sub>	21	0.6	< 0.2	< 0.3	0.045
137 <sub>CS</sub>	21	28	1.2	9.6	3.0
Total Sr <sup>c</sup>	21	4.9	2.3	3.0	0.26
		Aug	ust		
60 <sub>Co</sub>	21	0.50	< 0.2	< 0.3	0.034
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	21	20	1.8	5.5	1.7
Total Sr <sup>c</sup>	21	10	2.2	3.4	0.84
		Sept	ember		
60 <sub>Co</sub>	21	0.99	< 0.2	< 0.4	0.079
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	21	61	0.64	12	6.8
Total Sr <sup>c</sup>	21	4.4	1.6	2.6	0.27

July - September 1987

a See Figure 4.

b 95% confidence coefficient about the average of more than two samples.

<sup>c</sup> Total radioactive Sr (<sup>89</sup>Sr + <sup>90</sup>Sr).

Flows in the Clinch River (as measured at Melton Hill Dam) and in WOC (as measured at WOD) and the ratios of these flows, are presented in Table 20. The average ratios presented in the table were calculated weekly and averaged for the month. The average ratios for July and August are higher than the ratios observed during the first and second quarters. The flow values for Clinch River were approximately three orders of magnitude higher than those calculated for White Oak Creek.

The total hourly flows at WOC, MB, and WOD were calculated by multiplying the average 10-minute flowrate (gallons per minute) transmitted via the realtime monitoring system by the number of minutes per hour. Low and high readings are recorded at WOC and MB while low, medium, and high flow readings are recorded at WOD.

Total flows per day at the STP are calculated by subtracting consecutive daily flow recorder readings and multiplying by a factor for conversion to million liters. The weekly total flows are determined by averaging the total flows for the week and multiplying by the number of days in the week.

The discharges of radionuclides at WOD, MB1, and the STP are calculated by multiplying the concentration by the flow (Tables 21-23). At WOC, MB1, and the STP, a single flow proportional sample is analyzed monthly to estimate radionuclide concentrations. At WOD, weekly flow proportional samples are analyzed. At WOD, weekly radionuclide discharges are calculated by multiplying the weekly composite sample concentration by the total weekly flow. Monthly discharges of radionuclides at WOD are then calculated by averaging the weekly discharges and multiplying by the number of weeks per month (Tables 21-23). A flow weighted concentration at WOD for the month is calculated by dividing the total radionuclide discharge for the month by the total monthly flow (Tables 21-23).

Tritium and total radioactive Sr are the radionuclides of greatest concern in terms of radiation dose to the public from drinking water. The discharge of total radioactive Sr at MB1 during this quarter are lower than those measured during the first and and second guarters and are lower than the average calculated for 1987. The average value of total radioactive Sr at WOD is slightly lower than those measured during first and second quarters. The total radioactive Sr discharged over WOD during this quarter was lower than the first and second quarters of 1987. The decreases in the discharge of total radioactive Sr at MBI were caused by decreases in the flow and concentration. The decreases at WOD were caused by decreases in the flow at WOD. The  $^{3}$ H discharged over WOD during August and September could be accounted for by the discharge of  $^{3}$ H over the MB1 weir (Tables 21-23). The  $^{3}$ H values measured at MB1 are thought to be due primarily to releases from SWSA 5. Tritium values measured at MB1 weir, which is below the areas where SWSA 5 discharges to Melton Branch, are generally more than an order of magnitude higher than values measured at the MB2 weir above the SWSA 5 area (Table 18). The  $^{3}$ H discharged at MB1 in July was higher than at WOD. A review of previously reported data indicates that during several months of 1986, the first quarter of 1987, and June 1987, the calculated discharge of  $^{3}$ H over MB1 weir was higher than at WOD. We believe that this is due to a problem in compositing the samples from the low and high flow weirs.

## Table 20. Flow for Clinch River and White Oak Creek

July - August 1987

	Flow (	Average	
Honth	Clinch River <sup>a</sup>	White Oak Creek <sup>a</sup>	Ratiob
July	520	0.56	1000
August	420	0.41	1100
September	230	0.43	550

<sup>a</sup> See Figure 4.

<sup>b</sup> Ratio of Clinch River to White Oak Creek flow is calculated weekly and averaged for the month.

## Table 21. Discharges of radionuclides in water

July	1987
------	------

Radionuclide	Flow (10 <sup>6</sup> Liters)	Concentration (Bq/L)	Discharge (10 <sup>4</sup> mega Bq)		
	M	leiton Branch 1 <sup>a</sup>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
60 <sub>Co</sub>	89	0.94	0.0084		
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	89	< 0.2	< 0.002		
Total Sr <sup>C</sup>	89	7.5	0.067		
3 <sub>H</sub>	89	45000	400		
	Sewa	ge Treatment Plant <sup>a</sup>			
60Co	20	< 0.1	< 0.0002		
137Cs	20	0.33	0.00070		
Gross beta	20	9.6	0.020		
Total Sr <sup>c</sup>	20	4.2	0.0086		
	•	hite Oak Creek <sup>a</sup>			
60 <sub>Co</sub>	470	< 0.2	< 0.01		
137 <sub>CS</sub>	470	2.0	0.095		
<u>I</u> otal Sr <sup>C</sup>	470	2.5	0.12		
3 <sub>H</sub>	470	350	17		
	W	hite Oak Dam a,b			
241 <sub>Am</sub>	560	0.0079	0.00040		
244 Cm	560	0.011	0.00060		
60Co	560	0.33	0.018		
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	560	2.0	0.11		
Gross beta	560	12	0.70		
238Pu	560	< 0.0027	< 0.0002		
239 Pu	560	0.00086	0.00048		
Total Sr <sup>c</sup>	560	6.2	0,35		
3H	560	4500	250		

a See Figure 4.

<sup>b</sup> Concentration is a flow weighted average of the weekly samples. Discharge is the total for the month.

<sup>c</sup> Total radioactive Sr  $(^{89}Sr + ^{90}Sr)$ .

### Table 22. Discharges of radionuclides in water

August	1	9	8	7
--------	---	---	---	---

Radionuclide	Flow (10 <sup>6</sup> Liters)	Concentration (Bq/L)	Discharge (10 <sup>4</sup> mega Bq)
	ŀ	leiton Branch 1ª	
60 <sub>Co</sub>	19	2.9	0.0056
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	19	< 0.4	< 0.0008
Total Src	19	4.8	0.0093
ЪН	19	38000	73
	Sewa	ge Treatment Plant <sup>a</sup>	
60 <sub>Co</sub>	22	< 0.1	< 0.0002
137 <sub>CS</sub>	22	0.19	0.00042
Gross beta	22	9.8	0.022
Total Sr <sup>c</sup>	22	5.0	0.011
	ŀ	hite Oak Creek <sup>a</sup>	
60 <sub>Co</sub>	370	< 0.3	< 0.01
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	370	2.1	0.078
Total Sr <sup>C</sup>	370	3.3	0.12
3H	370	410	15
	•	/hite Oak Dam <sup>a,b</sup>	
241 <sub>Am</sub>	410	- 1.0	< 0.0001
244Cm	410	0.0023	0.00010
6UC0	410	< 0.4	< 0.02
13/65	410	1.8	0.072
Gross beta	410	9.9	0.41
230pu	41U 410	< 0.001	< 0.0001
Total SeC	410 410	0.0027	0.00010
3w	410	3000	120

a See Figure 4.

<sup>b</sup> Concentration is a flow weighted average of the weekly samples. Discharge is the total for the month.

<sup>c</sup> Total radioactive Sr (<sup>89</sup>Sr + <sup>90</sup>Sr).

## Table 23. Discharges of radionuclides in water

Flow Radionuclide (10 <sup>6</sup> Liters)		Concentration (Bq/L)	Discharge (10 <sup>4</sup> mega Bq)
<u> </u>	P	leiton Branch 1 <sup>a</sup>	
60 <sub>C0</sub>	24	2.5	0.0059
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	24	< 0.3	< 0.0007
Total Sr <sup>C</sup>	24	5.9	0.014
3 <sub>H</sub>	24	48000	110
	Sewa	ge Treatment Plant <sup>a</sup>	
60 <sub>Co</sub>	30	< 0.3	< 0.0009
137 <sub>Cs</sub>	30	< 0.3	< 0.0009
Gross beta	30	8.1	0.025
Total Sr <sup>C</sup>	30	4.7	0.014
	•	hite Oak Creek <sup>a</sup>	
60 <sub>Со</sub>	400	< 0.3	< 0.01
137 <sub>CS</sub>	400	7.1	0.29
Total Sr <sup>C</sup>	400	2.6	0.10
3 <sub>H</sub>	400	280	11
	ŀ	Hhite Oak Dam <sup>a,b</sup>	
241 <sub>Am</sub>	430	0.0032	0.00014
244Cm	430	0.0018	0.00010
60 <sub>Co</sub>	430	< 0.3	< 0.01
137 <sub>CS</sub>	430	1.2	0.053
Gross beta	430	10	0.44
238 <sub>PU</sub>	430	0.0012	0.000051
239Pu	430	- 0.00070	- 0.000030
lotal Sr <sup>c</sup>	430	2.7	0.12
эН	430	2500	110

#### September 1987

a See Figure 4.

<sup>b</sup> Concentration is a flow weighted average of the weekly samples. Discharge is the total for the month.

<sup>c</sup> Total radioactive Sr (<sup>89</sup>Sr + <sup>90</sup>Sr).

Strontium discharges from ORNL, unlike <sup>3</sup>H which comes primarily from SWSA 5, are much more diffuse. They are primarily the result of discharges from the plant area, burial grounds, and floodplains with lesser amounts also being contributed by process discharges. Most of the strontium discharged from ORNL can be attributed to discharges into WOC occurring above the WOC monitoring stations.

Characterization of SWSA 5, particularly the <sup>3</sup>H releases in SWSA 5, will be one of the highest priorities of the Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study (RI/FS) subcontract. This characterization, which began in August 1987, is necessary in order to comply with Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) requirements and to determine the measures which will most effectively reduce the flow of <sup>3</sup>H and/or other contaminants from SWSA 5.

#### National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Requirements

ORNL's current NPDES permit requires that 10 point source outfalls be sampled prior to their discharge into receiving waters, or before mixing with any other wastewater stream. One of these points, the Nonradiological Wastewater Treatment Plant, will not be in operation until March of 1990. In addition, there are three sampling locations that are located in the streams as reference points or for additional information and one (URR Resin Regeneration Facility) that was taken out of operation in December 1986. These fourteen sampling locations are shown in Figure 5. There are about 170 additional locations that include storm drains, parking lot and roof drains, cooling tower drains, storage area drains, condensate drains, untreated process drains, and miscellaneous facilities that are sampled less frequently than the point source outfalls or surface streams.

Quarterly summary statistics for the third quarter of 1987 are given for each sampling location in Tables 24 through 39. Monitoring of the ORR Resin Regeneration Facility is no longer required because the permitted operation has been discontinued.

Data collected for the NPDES permit are also summarized monthly for reporting to DOE and the State of Tennessee. These summaries are submitted to DOE in the monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports and are available upon request. Noncompliances, parameters whose values exceed the specified permit limits, are provided in Table 40. A brief summary of the noncompliances follows.

During the third quarter, the Paint Facility (7007) had two noncompliances of total suspended solids. The effluent from this facility is discharged to a parking lot drain that routes runoff to a storm drain discharge pipe. This pipe carries the combined runoff from much of the 7000 area to White Oak Creek some 400 meters away. It is believed that this discharge is due not only to the Paint Facility, but also to the other area sources discharging to the same drain. This will be addressed in future sampling as the samples will be taken at the point of discharge and only when there is a discharge.

There are no known causes for the total suspended solids or fecal coliform violations that occurred in July at the Sewage Treatment Plant (STP). The fec\_l coliform violation that occurred in September at the STP was attributed to inadequate sensitivity in the existing chlorination system at that facility. An engineering review of the STP chlorination system is expected to result in permanent solution to chlorine/fecal coliform problems at that facility.

There is no explanation for the pH violation at the steam plant (SP2519) in August. The effluent from this facility is currently monitored quarterly. A more detailed monitoring plan is being developed in order to relate the effluent pH to the operations being conducted at that facility at a given time.

#### Table 24. NPDES Discharge Point XOla

#### July - September 1987

	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)			
Parameter	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb
Ag	3	< 0.030	< 0.030	< 0.030	0
BODC	39	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	0
Bromodichloromethane	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0
Chloroform	3	0.0080	< 0.0050	< 0.0060	0.0020
C1	39	0.45	0.12	0.28	0.032
CN	3	0.014	< 0.0020	< 0.0060	0.0080
Cu	3	0.015	< 0.012	< 0.013	0.0018
DOQ	62	8.4	3.2	6.9	0.24
Downstream pHe	13	8.5	7.1	NAF	NAF
Fecal coliformg.h	39	> 600	< 1.0	< 3.0	1.9
Flow	62	0.43	0.074	0.21	0.021
Ha	3	< 0.00020	< 0.00020	< 0.00020	0
NHA-N	39	0.47	0.030	0.11	0.030
Oil and grease	39	10	< 2.0	< 2.6	0.47
DHe	13	8.0	7.0	NAF	NAF
Phenols	3	0.0030	< 0.0010	< 0.0017	0.0013
Trichloroethylene	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0
TSSJ	39	710	< 2.0	< 23	36
Zn	3	0.067	0.053	0.060	0.0081

<sup>a</sup> Sewage Treatment Plant, ORNL.

b 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

- <sup>c</sup> Biological oxygen demand.
- d Dissolved oxygen.
- e Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.
- f NA = not applicable.
- 9 Expressed in colonies per 100 mL.
- h Geometric mean.
- <sup>1</sup> Measured in millions of gallons per day.
- J Total suspended solids.

#### Table 25. NPDES Discharge Point XO2<sup>a</sup>

July - September 1987

	No. of		Concentr	ation (mg/L)	
Para <b>me</b> ter	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb
Ag	10	< 0.030	< 0.030	< 0.030	0
As	10	0.068	< 0.060	< 0.061	0.0016
Cđ	10	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	0
Cr	10	< 0.024	< 0.024	< 0.024	0
Cu	10	< 0.012	< 0.012	< 0.012	0
Downstream pH <sup>C</sup>	62	8.9	7.0	DAN	NAd
Fe	10	0.44	< 0.018	< 0.11	0.081
Flow <sup>e</sup>	62	0.0016	0	0.000070	0.000064
Mn	10	0.070	0.0035	0.025	0.014
Ni	10	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	0
Oil and grease	10	6.0	< 2.0	< 2.7	0.79
Pb	10	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	0
DHC	62	9.0	6.3	NAd	NAd
Se	10	0.17	< 0.12	< 0.13	0.012
SO4	2	2200	1800	2000	400
Temperaturef	13	24	15	23	1.5
TSSI	10	13	< 5.0	< 6.6	1.7
Zn	10	0.031	< 0.012	< 0.015	0.0040

a Coal Yard Runoff Facility, ORNL.

b 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

C Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

d NA = not applicable.

Measured in millions of gallons per day.
 f Measured in degrees centigrade.

#### Table 26. NPDES Discharge Point X03<sup>a</sup>

#### July - September 1987

	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)			
Parameter	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb
As	6	< 0.060	< 0.060	< 0.060	0
Cd	6	0.0044	< 0.0030	< 0.0032	0.00047
Cr	6	< 0.024	< 0.024	< 0.024	0
Cu	6	0.018	< 0.012	< 0.013	0.0020
Downstream pH <sup>C</sup>	13	7.9	6.9	NAd	NAd
Fe	6	0.14	0.039	0.070	0.030
Flowe	4	0.040	0.017	0.025	0.014
NI	6	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	0
011 and grease	6	4.0	< 2.0	< 2.5	0.68
Ρ	6	0.90	0.30	0.44	0.19
РЬ	6	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	0
pHC	13	7.7	7.0	NAd	NAd
Temperature <sup>f</sup>	6	25	12	20	5.0
TOCI	6	5.6	1.7	3.3	1.3
TSSh	6	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	0
Zn	6	0.11	0.056	0.089	0.016

a 1500 area, ORNL. b 95% confidence coefficient about the average. <sup>c</sup> Expressed in standard units; average not applicable. d NA = not applicable. e Measured in millions of gallons per day. f Measured in degrees centigrade. 9 Total organic carbon.

### Table 27. NPDES Discharge Point XO4<sup>a</sup>

July - September 1987

	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)			
Parameter	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb
Ag	6	< 0.030	< 0.030	< 0.030	0
As	6	< 0.060	< 0.060	< 0.060	0
Cd	6	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	0
Cr	6	< 0.024	< 0.024	< 0.024	0
Cu	6	0.069	< 0.012	< 0.022	0.019
Downstream pH <sup>C</sup>	13	7.8	7.0	NAd	NAd
Flowe	4	0.023	0.010	0.015	0.0083
Ni	6	0.13	< 0.036	< 0.052	0.031
Oil and grease	6	4.0	< 2.0	< 2.5	0.68
P	6	0.40	0.30	0.32	0.033
Pb	6	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	0
DHC	13	7.8	7.0	NAd	NAd
Temperature <sup>f</sup>	6	25	11	19	4.8
TOCS	6	1.9	1.3	1.6	0.17
TSSh	6	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	0
Zn	6	0.44	0.074	0.16	0.11

a 2000 area, ORNL.
b 95% confidence coefficient about the average.
c Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.
d NA = not applicable.
e Measured in millions of gallons per day.
f Measured in degrees centigrade.
g Total organic carbon.

#### Table 28. NPDES Discharge Point X06<sup>a</sup>

#### July - September 1987

	No. of		Concentration (mg/L)			
Parameter	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>b</sup>	
As	6	< 0.060	< 0.060	< 0.060	0	
Cđ	6	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	0	
Cr	6	0.12	< 0.024	< 0.040	0.032	
Cu	6	0.18	0.049	0.11	0.035	
Downstream pH <sup>C</sup>	13	7.5	7.0	NAd	PAN	
Flowe	3	0.14	0.012	0.098	0.085	
Ni	6	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	0	
0il and grease	6	24	< 2.0	< 11	8.5	
РЪ	6	0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	0	
DHC	13	7.6	7.0	NAđ	NAd	
Se	6	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	0	
SOA	6	28	21	23	2.3	
Temperature <sup>f</sup>	6	25	11	18	5.6	
TOCS	6	8.7	2.5	4.6	1.9	
TSSh	6	10	< 5.0	< 6.8	1.8	
Zn	6	0.14	0.051	0.086	0.025	

a 3539/3540 ponds, ORNL.
b 95% confidence coefficient about the average.
c Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.
d NA = not applicable.
e Measured in millions of gallons per day.
f Measured in degrees centigrade.
9 Total organic carbon.

#### Table 29. NPDES Discharge Point X07ª

July - September 1987

Parameter	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)			
	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>D</sup>
Ag	6	< 0.030	< 0.030	< 0.030	0
As	6	< 0.060	< 0.060	< 0.060	0
Cđ	6	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	0
Cr	6	< 0.024	< 0.024	< 0.024	0
Cu	6	0.056	0.021	0.033	0.011
Downstream pH <sup>C</sup>	13	7.6	7.0	NAd	NAd
Flowe	62	0.14	0.0025	0.015	0.0080
NI	6	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	0
NO2	6	17	< 5.0	< 8.9	4.8
Oil and grease	6	8.0	< 2.0	< 4.5	2.3
Pb	6	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	0
DHC	13	1.1	6.9	NAd	DAN
SOA	6	300	110	240	57
Temperaturef	6	25	16	21	2.9
TOC9	6	2.8	1.5	2.1	0.37
TSSh	6	10	< 5.0	< 6.0	1.6
TTO <sup>1</sup>	6	0.044	0	0.0092	0.014
Zn	6	0.012	< 0.012	< 0.012	0

<sup>a</sup> Process Waste Treatment Plant (3544), ORNL.

b 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>C</sup> Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

<sup>d</sup> NA = not applicable.

<sup>e</sup> Measured in millions of gallons per day.

f Measured in degrees centigrade.

9 Total organic carbon.

h Total suspended solids.

<sup>1</sup> Total toxic organics.

#### Table 30. NPDES Discharge Point XO8<sup>a</sup>

#### July - September 1987

	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)			
Parameter	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb
 As	 ו	< 0.060	< 0.060	< 0.060	
Cđ	1	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	
Cr	1	< 0.024	< 0.024	< 0.024	
Cu	1	0.016	0.016	0.016	
Downstream pH <sup>C</sup>	1	7.8	7.8	NAd	NAd
Flowe	1	0.00097	0.00097	0.00097	
Ni	1	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	
NO3	1	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	
Oil and grease	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Pb	1	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	
DHC	1	7.5	7.5	PAN	PAN
SO₄	1	56	56	56	
Temperature <sup>f</sup>	1	24	24	24	
TOCA	1	5.1	5.1	5.1	
tssh	1	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Zn	1	0.039	0.039	0.039	

a TRU waste basins, ORNL.

b 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>c</sup> Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

d NA = not applicable.

e Measured in millions of gallons per day.

f Measured in degrees centigrade.

9 Total organic carbon.

### Table 31. NPDES Discharge Point X09<sup>a</sup>

#### July - September 1987

Parameter	No. of Samples	Concentration (mg/L)				
		Max	Min	Av	95% ccb	
As	2	< 0.060	< 0.060	< 0.060	0	
Cd	2	0.0033	< 0.0030	< 0.0032	0.00030	
Cr	2	0.046	< 0.024	< 0.035	0.022	
Cu	2	0.11	0.083	0.096	0.027	
Downstream pH <sup>C</sup>	2	7.8	6.9	NAd	hAd	
Flowe	2	0.0042	0.00009	6 0.0021	0.0041	
NÍ	2	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	0	
NOa	2	23	< 5.0	< 14	18	
011 and grease	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	0	
Pb	2	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	0	
рн <sup>с</sup>	2	7.8	7.1	NAd	NAG	
S04	2	420	110	260	310	
Temperaturef	2	25	4.9	15	20	
TOCO	2	6.6	4.5	5.6	2.1	
TSSh	2	22	9.0	16	13	
Zn	2	0.26	0.14	0.20	0.12	

a HFIR waste basins, ORNL.

b 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>c</sup> Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

d NA = not applicable.

e Measured in millions of gallons per day.

f Measured in degrees centigrade.

9 Total organic carbon.

#### Table 32. NPDES Discharge Point Xlla

#### July - September 1987

Parameter	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)				
	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb	
As	6	0.070	< 0.060	< 0.062	0.0033	
Cd	6	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	0	
Cr	6	0.048	< 0.024	< 0.033	0.0081	
Cu	6	0.43	< 0.012	< 0.14	0.13	
Downstream pH <sup>C</sup>	13	7.5	7.0	NAd	NAd	
Flowe	3	0.13	0.010	0.051	0.079	
Ni	6	0.054	< 0.036	< 0.039	0.0060	
NO3	13	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	0	
Oil and grease	6	5.0	< 2.0	< 2.7	0.99	
P	6	2.1	0.60	0.98	0.45	
Pb	6	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	0	
DHC	13	7.6	7.0	NAd	NAd	
SO <sub>4</sub>	13	4000	1300	2300	390	
Temperature <sup>f</sup>	6	25	11	18	5.3	
TOCI	13	6.7	1.7	3.3	0.75	
TSSh	6	160	< 5.0	< 34	49	
2 <b>n</b>	6	1.2	0.39	0.79	0.30	

a 3518 Acid Neutralization Facility, ORNL.

b 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>c</sup> Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

d NA = not applicable.

e Measured in millions of gallons per day.

f Measured in degrees centigrade.

9 Total organic carbon.

## Table 33. NPDES Discharge Point X13<sup>a</sup>

July - September 1987

	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)			
Parameter	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb
Aq	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0
ĨA	3	0.57	0.33	0.47	0.14
As	3	< 0.060	< 0.060	< 0.060	0
BODC	3	7.0	< 5.0	< 5.7	1.3
Bromodichloromethane	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0
Cđ	3	< 0.0020	< 0.0020	< 0.0020	0
Chloroform	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0
C1	13	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0
Conductivityd	3	390	330	360	35
Cr	3	< 0.024	< 0.024	< 0.024	0
Cu	3	0.017	< 0.012	< 0.015	0.0029
DOe	13	8.1	4.0	6.7	0.67
F	3	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.067
Fe	3	0.64	0.36	0.50	0.16
Flowf	62	0.91	0.14	0.29	0.040
Hq	3	< 0.000050	< 0.00050	< 0.000050	0
Mn	3	0.20	0.15	0.17	0.033
NHA-N	3	0.10	0.082	0,091	0.010
ท่า	3	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	0
NOa	3	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	Ō
Oil and grease	13	15	< 2.0	< 3.2	2.0
Ρ	3	1.7	0.90	1.3	0.47
РЪ	3	< 0.0040	< 0.0040	< 0.0040	0
PCB	3	< 0.00050	< 0.00050	< 0.00050	0
DHG	3	7.8	7.4	NAh	NAh
Phenols	3	0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	0
SOA	3	28	26	27	1.3
TDSI	3	2100	230	850 1	200
Temperature <sup>j</sup>	3	24	21	22	1.7
TOCK	3	3.0	2.4	2.6	0.37
Trichloroethylene	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0
TSSI	3	13	7.0	9.7	3.5
Turbidity <sup>m</sup>	3	30	20	23	6.7

#### Table 33. (Continued)

July - September 1987

Parameter	No. of Samples	Concentration (mg/L)				
		Max	Min	Av	95% ccb	
Zn	3	0.099	0.034	0.062	0.039	

<sup>a</sup> Melton Branch, ORNL.

**b** 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

C Biological oxygen demand.

d Express in umhos/cm.

e Dissolved oxygen.

f Measured in millions of gallons per day.

9 Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

h NA = not applicable.

<sup>1</sup> Total dissolved solids.

J Measured in degrees centigrade.

k Total organic carbon.

1 Total suspended solids.

Measured in Jackson turbidity units.

## Table 34. NPDES Discharge Point X14<sup>a</sup>

## July - September 1987

	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)				
Parameter	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb	
 Ag	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0	
ĀĪ	3	0.92	< 0.12	< 0.40	0.52	
As	3	< 0.060	< 0.060	< 0.060	0	
BODC	3	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	0	
Bromodichloromethane	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0	
Cd	3	< 0.0020	< 0.0020	< 0.0020	0	
Chloroform	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0	
C1	13	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0	
Conductivityd	3	350	310	330	24	
Cr	3	< 0.024	< 0.024	< 0.024	0	
Cu	3	0.014	< 0.012	< 0.013	0.0013	
DOe	13	8.1	5.9	7.2	0.38	
F	3	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.067	
fe	3	0.87	0.089	0.35	0.52	
Flowf	62	6.8	3.0	4.0	0.19	
Hg	3	0.00010	< 0.00005	< 0.000076	0.000029	
Mn	3	0.12	0.028	0.059	0.061	
NHA-N	3	0.10	0.070	0.087	0.018	
NT	3	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	0	
NOa	3	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	Õ	
Oil and grease	13	5.0	< 2.0	< 2.4	0.53	
P	13	0.42	0.20	0.34	0.14	
Pb	3	0.012	< 0.0040	< 0.0067	0.0053	
PCB	3	< 0.00050	< 0.00050	< 0.00050	0	
phg	3	7.8	7.5	NAh	NAh	
Phenols	3	0.0030	< 0.0010	< 0.0017	0.0013	
SO4	3	48	36	44	1.1	
TDSI	3	540	300	390	160	
TemperatureĴ	3	29	21	25	4.7	
TOCK	3	2.0	1.7	1.8	0.18	
Trichloroethylene	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0	
TSSI	3	7.0	< 5.0	< 5.7	1.3	
Turbidity <sup>m</sup>	3	120	50	87	41	
#### Table 34. (continued)

#### July - September 1987

Para <b>me</b> ter	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)					
	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb		
Zn	3	0.072	0.022	0.044	0.029		

<sup>a</sup> White Oak Creek, ORNL.

**b** 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>C</sup> Biological oxygen demand.

d Express in umhos/cm.

e Dissolved oxygen.

f Measured in millions of gallons per day.

9 Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

h NA = not applicable.

<sup>1</sup> Total dissolved solids.

j Measured in degrees centigrade.

k Total organic carbon.

1 Total suspended solids.

<sup>m</sup> Measured in Jackson turbidity units.

# Table 35. NPDES Discharge Point X15<sup>a</sup>

July - September 1987

	No. of		Concentration (mg/L)					
Parameter	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% ccb			
Ag	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0020	< 0.0040	0.0020			
AĪ	3	4.7	0.35	2.1	2.7			
As	3	< 0.060	< 0.060	< 0.060	0			
CODC	3	9.0	5.0	7.0	2.3			
Bromodichloromethane	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0			
Cd	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0020	< 0.0033	0.0018			
Chloroform	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0			
C1	13	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0			
Conductivityd	3	400	300	340	59			
Cr	3	0.093	< 0.024	< 0.050	0.044			
Cu	3	0.030	< 0.012	< 0.018	0.012			
DOe	13	9.0	1.1	5.4	1.3			
F	3	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.067			
Fe	3	4.3	0.34	2.0	2.4			
Flow <sup>F</sup>	62	9.1	3.1	4.4	0.28			
Hg	3	0.00010	< 0.000050	< 0.000066	0.000033			
Mn	3	0.26	0.051	0.15	0.12			
NHA -N	3	0.30	0.090	0.17	0.13			
Ni	3	< 0.036	0.036	< 0.036	0			
NO3	3	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	Ō			
011 and grease	13	15	< 2.0	< 4.5	2.1			
P	3	0.43	0.20	0.31	0.13			
Pb	3	0.019	< 0.0040	< 0.0090	0.010			
PCB	3	< 0.00050	< 0.00050	< 0.00050	0			
pHg	3	8.5	7.1	NAh	NAh			
Phenols	1	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.0010				
SO4	3	45	36	41	5.3			
TDS1	3	370	260	330	65			
Temperaturej	3	28	24	26	2.5			
TOCK	3	3.3	3.2	3.2	0.067			
Trichloroethylene	3	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0			
TSSI	3	94	16	53	45			
Turbidity <sup>m</sup>	3	160	68	100	53			

### Table 35. (continued)

July - September 1987

Parameter	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)					
	Samples	Max	Min	Âv	95% ccb		
2n	3	0.10	0.015	0.049	0.052		

<sup>a</sup> White Oak Dam, ORNL.

**b** 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>C</sup> Biological oxygen demand.

d Express in umhos/cm.

e Dissolved oxygen.

f Measured in millions of gallons per day.

9 Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

h NA = not applicable.

<sup>1</sup> Total dissolved solids.

J Measured in degrees centigrade.

k Total organic carbon.

<sup>1</sup> Total suspended solids.

<sup>m</sup> Measured in Jackson turbidity units.

## Table 36. NPDES miscellaneous source PF7007a

July - September 1987

Parameter	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)					
	Samples	Max	Min	Av	95% cc <sup>b</sup>		
Downstream pH <sup>C</sup>	2	8.4	8.2	NAd	ban		
Oil and grease	2	6.0	< 2.0	< 4.0	4.0		
pHC	2	8.8	8.5	NAd	NAd		
Phenols	3	0.012	< 0.0010	< 0.0053	0.0068		
TSSe	2	69	46	58	23		

<sup>a</sup> Painting and Corrosion Control Facility, Building 7007.

**b** 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>C</sup> Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

d NA = not applicable.

e Total suspended solids.

### Table 37. NPDES miscellaneous source VC7002ª

#### July - September 1987

Parameter	No. of	Concentration (mg/L)					
	Samples	Max	Min	Âv	95% ccb		
BODC	2	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	0		
Downstream pHd	3	8.4	0	NAe	NAe		
Fecal coliformf	2	10	< 2.0	6.0	8.0		
Oil and grease	2	3.0	2.0	2.5	1.0		
DHq	3	8.7	7.7	NAC	NAC		
Phenols	2	0.0030	< 0.0010	< 0.0020	0.0020		
TSSg	2	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	0		

<sup>a</sup> Vehicle and Equipment Cleaning Facility, Building 7002.

**b** 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>C</sup> Biological oxygen demand.

d Expressed in standard units; average not applicable.

e NA = not applicable.

f Expressed in colonies per 100 mL.

9 Total suspended solids.

# Table 38. NPDES cooling towers

July - September 1987

	Concentra	tion (mg/L)			
	Cooling Towers				
Parameter	4509	7902			
 Cr	< 0.024	< 0.024			
Cu	0.042	0.086			
Temperaturea	29	23			
Zn	0.077	0.46			

<sup>a</sup> Measured in degrees centigrade.

### Table 39. NPDES miscellaneous outfalls

July - September 1987

		Concentration (mg/L)
		Location
Parameter	EF7002ª	SP2519D
Cr	7.0	10
Oil and grease Temperature <sup>c</sup>	< 2.0	24

<sup>a</sup> Vehicle and Equipment Maintenance Facility, Building 7002.

<sup>b</sup> Central Steam Plant, Building 2519.

<sup>C</sup> Measured in degrees centigrade.

# Table 40. Parameters whose values exceed NPDES compliance limits

#### July - September 1987

		Concentration (mg/L)	Permit
Station	Parameter	Daily maximum	Limit (mg/L)
	J	uly 1987	
Paint Facility (PF7007)	Total Suspended Solids	69	40
Sewage Treatment Plant	Total Suspended Solids	711	45
Sewage Treatment Plant	Total Suspended Solids	333 <b>d</b>	39d
Sewage Treatment Plant	Total Suspended Solids	59 <b>D</b>	30 <sup>b</sup>
Sewage Treatment Plant	Total Suspended Solids	58 <b>p</b> ,q	26°,d
Sewage Treatment Plant	Fecal Coliform	600 <b>a</b>	400 <sup>a</sup>
	Au	gust 1987	
Paint Facility (PF7007)	Total Suspended Solids	46	40
Misceilaneous (SP2519)	рH	10.10	9.00
	Sept	ember 1987	
Sewage Treatment Plant	Fecal Coliform	600 <sup>a</sup>	400 <sup>a</sup>

a Colonies per 100 mL. b Monthly average. c Standard units. d Kilograms per day.

69/70

#### METEOROLOGICAL PROCESSES

The ORNL meteorological system consists of three towers (A, B, and C) with sensors mounted at two levels (10 and 30 meters) for Towers A and B and three levels (10, 30, and 100 meters) for Tower C. Locations of meteorological towers at ORNL are shown in Figure 7. Data from the sensors are acquired, stored, edited, and formatted by a data collection system consisting of a central processor and remote data logger. One-minute vector averages of wind velocity are calculated in the conventional way and retained for twenty-four hours. These velocities are processed into fifteen minute averages using a procedure that avoids the unrealistically low windspeed values obtained when appreciable winds of nearly opposite direction are vector averaged in the conventional way. This alternative averaging procedure involves calculating the mean (scalar) windspeed and multiplying it by a unit vector having the same direction as the conventionally calculated vector sum of the individual velocities. A similar calculation is used to convert the fifteen-minute averages into hourly averages. The fifteen-minute averages are retained for one day and the hourly averages, from which the wind roses in Figures 8-14 are obtained, are stored for at least one year and eventually archived.

Examination of quarterly wind roses reveals that the prevailing winds are almost equally split into two directions that are 180° apart: one prevailing direction is from the SW to WSW sector and the other prevailing direction is from the NE to ENE sector. The winds are strongly aligned along these directions because of the channeling effect induced by the ridge and valley structure of the area. Another feature observed from the wind roses is that the wind speeds increase with height (tower level) at each of the towers. On the average, the wind speeds can be expected to increase steadily from ground level to 100 meters.





PRAF-DRI STATISTA



ORNE-DRE STATIST



75/76

ABAL OF AT 1975



### <u>Milk</u>

Raw milk from five stations and one dairy within a radius of 80 km of Oak Ridge is monitored for 131I and total radioactive Sr. Samples are collected every two weeks from the stations located near the Oak Ridge area (Fig. 15). Three other stations are more remote with respect to the Oak Ridge facilities and are usually sampled semiannually (Fig. 16). None of the remote stations were sampled during this period. At station 6, the cow was sold which resulted in no samples being collected. At station 7, the cow had a calf, so no milk samples were collected. Samples were analyzed for 131Iby gamma spectroscopy and for total radioactive Sr by chemical separation and low-level beta counting. The results (Tables 41 and 42) are compared with intake guidelines specified by the Federal Radiation Council (FRC).

Concentrations of 131 are shown in Table 41. The average concentration of 1311 for all stations in the immediate Oak Ridge area was less than 0.08 Bq/L, which is within Range I of the FRC guidelines. This quarter was the third in which all 131 analyses were by gamma spectroscopy as opposed to the previous method of ion exchange and low-level beta counting. This change has resulted in a greater than two-fold increase in the lower limit of detection for 131 and is responsible for the increase in the average value for this quarter over 1986 values.

Concentrations of total radioactive Sr are shown in Table 42. The average concentration of total radioactive Sr at all stations in the immediate Oak Ridge area was 0.22 Bq/L. This concentration is not significantly different than the average for the second quarter of 1987 (0.26 Bq/L). All total radioactive Sr results are within Range I of the FRC guidelines.



# Table 41. Concentrations of <sup>131</sup>I in milk<sup>a</sup>

### July - September 1987

mples	Max				Comparison with	
	md X	Min	Av	95%ccb	standard <sup>C</sup>	
	Imme	diate Env	vironsd		<u></u>	
7	< 0.08	< 0.08	< 0.08	0	Range I	
4	< 0.08	< 0.08	< 0.08	0	Range I	
7	< 0.08	< 0.08	< 0.08	0	Range I	
2	< 0.08	< 0.08	< 0.08	0	Range I	
<b>:0</b>	< 0.08	< 0.08	< 0.08	0	Range I	
	7 4 7 2	Imme   7 < 0.08	Immediate Env       7     < 0.08	Immediate Environs <sup>d</sup> 7     < 0.08	Immediate Environs <sup>d</sup> 7   < 0.08	

<sup>a</sup> Raw milk samples; Station 2 is a dairy.

 $^{\rm b}$  95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>c</sup> Applicable FRC standard assuming 1 L/d intake: Range I, 0 - 0.37 Bq/L, adequate surveillance required to confirm calculated intakes; Range II, 0.37 - 3.7 Bq/L, active surveillance required; and Range III, > 3.7 Bq/L, positive control required.

d See Figure 15.

### Table 42. Concentrations of total radioactive Sr in milk<sup>a</sup>

	No. of		Comparison with			
Station	samples	Max	Min	Av	95%ccb	standard <sup>c</sup>
		Immed	iate Env	ironsd		
2	7	0.24	0.12	0.17	0.038	Range I
3	4	0.37	0.11	0.21	0.12	Range I
4	7	0.30	0.06	0.21	0.067	Range I
8	2	0.49	0.33	0.41	0.16	Range I
Network						
summary	20	0.49	0.06	0.22	0.046	Range I

### July - September 1987

<sup>a</sup> Raw milk samples, Station 2 is a dairy.

**b** 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>C</sup> Applicable FRC standard assuming 1 L/d intake: Range I, 0 - 0.74 Bq/L, adequate surveillance required to confirm calculated intakes; Range II, 0.74 - 7.4 Bq/L, active surveillance required; and Range III, > 7.4 Bq/L, positive control required.

d See Figure 15.

#### <u>Fish</u>

Bluegill from three Clinch River locations were collected during this quarter for tissue analyses of radionuclides, mercury, and PCBs (Fig. 17). (Note: Sampling is done semiannually). Sampling locations include the following river kilometers (CRK): (1) 40.0 which is above Melton Hill Dam and serves as a background location. It is above all of the Oak Ridge DOE facilities' outfalls; (2) 33.3, which is ORNL's discharge point from White Oak Creek to the Clinch River; and (3) 8.0, which is downstream from both ORNL and ORGDP.

The primary radionuclides of concern at ORNL due to fish consumption are total radioactive Sr and 137Cs. These two result in the highest dose to man from ingestion of fish. Radionuclide concentrations were determined on at least one composite of 6-10 fish per sampling period. Mercury and PCB concentrations were measured in six individual fish from each sampling location. Scales, head, and entrails are removed from each fish before samples are obtained. Composite samples were ashed and analyzed by gamma spectroscopy and radiochemical techniques for the radionuclides that contribute most of the potential radionuclide dose to humans.

Average mercury concentrations in fish from each of the three locations were not significantly different from the second quarter of 1987 (Table 43). The average concentration of mercury in fish from each location was less than 20% of the FDA's action level of 1.0  $\mu$ g/g wet weight.

The concentrations of PCBs in fish during the third quarter of 1987 were not significantly different from those measured during the second quarter of 1987 (Table 44). All concentrations of PCBs (individual types and the sum) were less than 5% of the FDA's tolerance level of  $2\mu g/g$  wet weight for fish.

Summary statistics of concentrations of radionuclides found in bluegill during the quarter are given in Table 45. For the background location (CRK 40.0), and the location downstream from ORNL and ORGDP (CRK 8.0), the average concentrations of all radionuclides, except total radioactive Sr are similar to past years. Total radioactive Sr in fish collected at CRK 33.3 (ORNL's discharge point) was significantly lower this quarter than the second quarter. No 60Co was detected in any of the fish samples. Cesium-137 concentrations in fish at each of the locations were similar to those measured during the second quarter of 1987. There were no significant differences in total radioactive strontium measured at any of the locations sampled.



# Tible 43. Mercury concentrations in Clinch River bluegill

	No. of Fish	Co	Concentration (ug/g wet wt)				
Location <sup>a</sup>	Sampled	Max	Min	Av	95%ccb	Action Level <sup>C</sup>	
CRK 8.0	6	0.14	0.050	0.085	0.028	8.5	
CRK 33.3	6	0.22	0.030	0.092	0.065	9.2	
CRK 40.0	6	0.040	0.030	0.035	0.0045	3.5	

## July - September 1987

a See Figure 17.

- $^{\rm b}$  95% confidence coefficient about the average.
- <sup>C</sup> Percentage of Food and Drug Administration action level of mercury in fish (1.0  $\mu$ g/g) for the average concentration.

# Table 44. PCB concentrations in Clinch River bluegill

### July - September 1987

	PCB	No. of Fish	Co	Concentration (ug/g wet wt)				
Location <sup>a</sup>	Туре	Sampled	Max	Min	Av	95%ccD	Tolerance <sup>c</sup>	
CRK B.O	1254	6	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.004	0.5	
	1260	6	0.09	< 0.01	< 0.02	0.026	1.0	
CRK 33.3	1254	6	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.004	0.5	
	1260	D	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.004	0.5	
CRK 40.0	1254	6	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.008	1.0	
	1200	0	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.003	0.5	

<sup>a</sup> See Figure 17.

 $^{\rm b}$  95% confidence coefficient about the average.

<sup>C</sup> Percentage of Food and Drug Administration tolerance for PCBs in fish (2  $\mu g/g$  wet wt) for the average.

# Table 45. Radionuclide concentrations in Clinch River bluegill

July - September 1987

85

	No. of	Concontration //
<u></u>		

	Radionuclide	No. of Samplesb	Concentration (Bg/kg wet wt)			
Locationa			Max	Min	Av	95%cc <sup>c</sup>
CRK 8.0	60 <sub>C0</sub>	3	< 0.13	< 0.11	< 0.12	0.015
	137 <sub>Cs</sub>	3	2.02	1.5	1.7	0.29
	Total Srd	3	0.55	0.064	0.26	0.29
CRK 33.3	60 <sub>Co</sub>	3	< 0.16	< 0.035	< 0.11	0.077
	137 <sub>Cs</sub>	3	10.8	3.7	6.4	4.4
	Total Sr <sup>d</sup>	3	0.58	0.17	0.34	0.24
CRK 40.0	60 <sub>C0</sub>	3	< 0.12	< 0.11	< 0.12	0.0069
	137 <sub>Cs</sub>	3	0.30	0.063	0.16	0.15
	Total Sr <sup>d</sup>	3	0.12	0.023	0.073	0.058

a See Figure 17.

<sup>b</sup> A sample is a composite of 6-10 fish.

<sup>c</sup> 95% confidence coefficient about the average.

d Total radioactive Sr (89Sr and 90Sr).

### INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1.	J. B. Berry
2.	T. J. Blasing
3.	R. B. Clapp
4.	D. R. Cunningham
5-8.	K. L. Daniels
9.	I. V. Darling
10.	B. M. Horwedel
11.	D. D. Huff
12.	C. G. Jones
13.	R. G. Jordan
14.	D. C. Kocher
15.	F. C. Kornegay
16.	L. C. Lasher
17.	J. M. Loar
18.	L. W. Long
19.	I. L. McCollough
20.	L. E. McNeese
21.	H. E. Hitchell
22.	J. B. Murphy
23.	T. E. Myrick
24.	C. E. Nix
25.	F. R. O'Donnell
26.	W. F. Ohnesorge
27.	A. E. Osborne-Lee
28.	R. K. Owenby
29.	D. C. Parzyck
30.	J. G. Rogers
31.	P. S. Rohwer
32.	T. H. Row
33.	M. J. Sale
34.	T. F. Scanlan
35.	C. B. Scott
36.	W. K. Simon
37.	J. R. Stokely
38.	J. D. Taylor
39.	J. R. Trabalka
40.	C. K. Valentine
41.	L. D. Voorhees
42.	D. M. Walls
43.	J. B. Watson
44	M. M. Wilson
45.	R. S. Wiltshire
46.	Lab Records - RC
47.	Central Research Library
48.	Document Reference Section
49,	ORNL Patent Office

æ

# EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

50. B. J. Davis, Environmental Protection Division, Environment, Safety, and Health, Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations

#### EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

- 51. H. W. Hibbitts, Environmental Protection Division, Environment, Safety, and Health, Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations
- 52. Office of Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development, DOE-ORD
- 53. R. R. Hinton, Environmental Protection Division, Oak Ridge Operations
- 54-55. Technical Information Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

=ND :3/16/88