J Rehabil Med 2011; 43: 102-112

### ORIGINAL REPORT

# VALIDATION OF THE COMPREHENSIVE ICF CORE SETS FOR PATIENTS IN POST-ACUTE REHABILITATION FACILITIES

Martin Müller, RGN, Dipl. Nurs. Mnqt. 1,2, Marita Stier-Jarmer, PhD1,2, Michael Quittan, MD3, Ralf Strobl, Dipl. Stat<sup>1,2</sup>, Gerold Stucki, MD, MS<sup>2,4,5</sup> and Eva Grill, DrPH, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Institute for Health and Rehabilitation Sciences (IHRS), Munich, Germany, <sup>2</sup>ICF Research Branch of WHO Collaborating Centre for the Family of International Classifications in German, Nottwil, Switzerland, 3Kaiser-Franz-Joseph-Spital, Vienna, Austria, 4Swiss Paraplegic Research, Nottwil and 5Seminar of Health Sciences and Health Policy, University of Lucerne, Switzerland

Objectives: To examine the relevance and completeness of the comprehensive International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) Core Sets for patients in post-acute rehabilitation facilities.

Design: Multi-centre cohort study.

Patients: A total of 165 patients (46% female; mean age 67.5 years) from post-acute rehabilitation facilities in 2 Austrian and 7 German hospitals.

Methods: Data on functioning were collected using the respective comprehensive post-acute ICF Core Sets. Data was extracted from patients' medical record sheets and interviews with health professionals and patients.

Results: Most of the categories of the comprehensive ICF Core Sets describing impairments, limitations or restrictions occurred in a considerable proportion of the study population. The most outstanding limitations and restrictions of the patients were problems with sleep and blood vessel functions, walking and moving and self-care. Twenty-six aspects of functioning not previously covered by the comprehensive ICF Core Sets were ranked as relevant.

Conclusion: Most categories of the comprehensive ICF Core Set for patients in post-acute rehabilitation facilities were confirmed. No significant gaps in the established set could be identified.

Key words: ICF; cohort study; rehabilitation; outcome assessment; classification.

J Rehabil Med 2011; 43: 102-112

Correspondence address: Eva Grill, Institute for Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, DE-81377 Munich, Germany. E-mail: eva.grill@ med uni-muenchen de

Submitted May 25, 2010; accepted November 18, 2010

# INTRODUCTION

Human functioning and its contrary notion, disability, are universal experiences, which must be understood in the context of an individual's personal resources, particular health conditions and expectations, and in interaction with the environment (1). Any acute injury or disease may have the consequence of bringing about transient or permanent disability. Thus, postacute rehabilitation has the goal of optimizing functioning of people experiencing, or at risk of experiencing, disability. In situations entailing post-acute and long-term rehabilitation, professionals specialized in rehabilitation management should share a common understanding of functioning, and should utilize clinical assessment instruments that are based on a standard model of functioning.

The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) (2), as a part of the World Health Organization's international family of classifications, is the contemporary framework to harmonize the assessment of functioning and disability at the individual and the societal level. The ICF covers all domains of human functioning and relating contextual factors. Since the ICF was developed as a multipurpose classification for various user groups it has to be comprehensive by its very nature. This comprehensiveness, which results in more than 1,400 categories, is the major challenge for implementing the ICF in daily practice. To foster the implementation of the ICF in clinical practice and research, the development of shorter practical tools is needed. The development of such tools for specific care situations or health conditions was the primary motivation behind the ICF Core Set project. The ICF Core Set project aimed to define so-called *comprehensive ICF* Core Sets which should define commonly acceptable standards for what aspects of functioning and disability should properly be measured and reported.

The development process of comprehensive ICF Core Sets involved evidence from different sources: the patients' perspective, the health professionals' perspective, the perspective of research and the actual prevalence in clinical practice. These perspectives were summarized and adopted in a formalized consensus process (3). Comprehensive ICF Core Sets for postacute rehabilitation facilities have already been developed for patients with neurological, cardiopulmonary and musculoskeletal conditions (4-6).

Comprehensive ICF Core Sets can be used for the assessment of problems and needs, as well as for the estimation of prognosis and rehabilitation potential. Similarly, they can be used to coordinate rehabilitation interventions and strategies and to define rehabilitation goals. Finally, the Sets are envisioned to serve as a list of candidate categories for creating

new specific measurement instruments customized for the needs of the respective user.

The validation of comprehensive ICF Core Sets tailored for the use in particular contexts, needs an adequate methodological framework. The ICF Core Set project adopted the concept used in the Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) project. OMERACT identified 3 different properties relevant to the applicability of measures, namely truth, discrimination and feasibility (4). The criteria truth and discrimination can be applied to test the validity of the comprehensive sets. Truth refers to the question of what should properly be measured. As noted above, the original process for generating the comprehensive ICF Core Set had assured that all the relevant aspects of functioning were included, but the empirical validation of the choice of categories remains to be completed. The criterion discrimination refers to the ability of a measure to discriminate between different states of functioning or medical conditions. A discriminating measure must distinguish between different patient groups in a cross-sectional manner, and assess change of relevant aspects over time.

The objective of this study was to examine the relevance and completeness of the comprehensive ICF Core Sets for post-acute rehabilitation facilities. Specifically, we wanted to examine which aspects of functioning included in the comprehensive post-acute ICF Core Sets were frequent at admission to, and at discharge from, inpatient rehabilitation, and which aspects changed during hospital stay. We also searched for novel aspects that might be relevant for inclusion in the revised Set.

### METHODS

### Study design

A full description of the methods used in this study has been reported elsewhere (5). In brief, the study design was a prospective multicentre cohort study conducted from May 2005 to August 2008. The study population was recruited from post-acute rehabilitation facilities in 2 Austrian and 7 German hospitals, with approximately 9% of the patients being recruited from the Austrian centres. Patients were eligible if they were at least 18 years of age and experienced a recent acute episode of musculoskeletal, neurological, or cardiopulmonary injury or disease. Patients had to receive coordinated rehabilitation interventions by a multidisciplinary team and required ongoing need for nursing and medical care. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients or from the patient's care-giver in cases where the patient was unable to make an informed decision. Approval was obtained from institutional ethics committees from all involved institutions prior to starting the study.

### Measures

For the assessment of functioning, we used the 3 comprehensive ICF Core Sets for patients in the post-acute rehabilitation situation, which were earlier developed to address the specific situations of patients with neurological, musculoskeletal, or cardiopulmonary conditions (4–6). For all patients, impairments in categories of the component Body Structures were graded as present or absent. Limitations or restrictions in categories of the components Body Functions and Activities and Participation were graded as "none", "slight/moderate/severe" or "complete" limitation or restriction. The categories of the component Environmental Factors were graded either as facilitator or barrier, or both. Change in the components Body Functions, Body Structures

and Activities and Participation was defined as any change between the 3 recorded measures (none, slight/moderate/severe or complete), irrespective of the direction of the change.

We elected to report only those impairments, limitations and restrictions directly associated with the conditions causing the need for rehabilitation. The interviewers judged which of the impairments, limitations or restrictions resulted from the referring condition or principal diagnosis, and which occurred as a result of a specific co-morbidity. In order to validate the completeness of the comprehensive ICF Core Sets, the interviewers were furthermore asked to identify any aspects of functioning relevant to the patient, but not currently covered by the comprehensive ICF Core Sets. Additionally, socio-demographic (sex, age, education, living and occupation situation) and condition-specific data (underlying diagnosis, time until rehabilitation, number of co-morbidities and length of stay) were recorded.

### Data collection procedures

Data were primarily collected from patients' medical record sheets, health professionals in charge of the patients, and from patients' interviews. Interviewers collecting data had been trained in the application and principles of the ICF, and provided with a manual. All interviewers were health professionals (physicians, medical students in clinical training, physical therapists, or nurses). During data collection interviewers obtained support and information from the ward staff in charge. Their ongoing supervision was ensured by periodic telephone calls.

Data collection took place within the first 24 h after admission to the hospital (baseline) and within the last 36 hours before discharge or, if length of stay was longer than 6 weeks, at 6 weeks after admission (end-point). ICF categories from the component Environmental Factors were assessed only at admission, since we did not expect any change in these categories during hospital stay.

### Statistical analysis

For the categories of the ICF components Body Functions, Body Structures and Activities and Participation we calculated the absolute and relative frequencies (prevalences) of impairment, limitation or restriction at baseline and end-point. For the categories of the ICF component Environmental factors, we calculated the absolute and relative frequencies (prevalences) of persons who regarded a specific category as constituting either a barrier or facilitator. Relative frequencies of persons for whom the ICF category changed during the study period were calculated, along with their 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Aspects of functioning not covered by the comprehensive ICF Core Sets, but identified as relevant, were extracted and translated into the best corresponding ICF category. Absolute and relative frequencies of occurrence of those ICF categories were reported; any such category with prevalence below 5% was considered as not relevant.

### RESULTS

# Sociodemographic data

In total, 165 patients were included. Mean age at admission was 67.5 years (median 69.2; standard deviation (SD) 14.8 years). Mean length of stay was 14.9 days (median 10; SD 13.7 days). Forty-six percent of the patients were female (95% CI: 39–54). Sixty-seven had a neurological, 37 a cardiopulmonary and 61 a musculoskeletal condition. No patients were lost to follow-up. The most frequent admission diagnoses classified according ICD-10 in patients with neurological conditions were "Cerebrovascular diseases" (n=27; 40.3%) and "Diseases of the nervous system", (most prominently inflammatory polyneuropathies) (n=22, 32.8%). The most frequent admission diagnoses in patients with cardiopulmonary conditions

were "Diseases of the circulatory system (n=27; 73.0%) and "Dyspnea" (n=7, 18.9%) from "Symptoms and signs involving the circulatory and respiratory systems". The most frequent admission diagnoses in patients with musculoskeletal conditions were "Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue" (mainly disc disorders) (n=14; 23.0%) and fractures of the upper or lower extremities, or hip (n=19, 31.1%). For further socio-demographic and condition-related variables see Table I.

# Functioning and disability

Tables II–IV give the prevalence of impairment or restriction, both at admission and discharge, as well as the corresponding 95% CI:s for the frequency of change in impairment or restriction, for each category of underlying condition.

Of the categories of the components Body Functions and Structures and the Activities and Participation from the comprehensive ICF Core Sets, 86% were impaired or restricted for patients with neurological conditions in at least one-third of the patients, vs 63% from the cardiopulmonary patient group, and 67% from the musculoskeletal patient group.

# Functioning and disability in patients with neurological conditions

The frequency of impairments or restrictions in patients with neurological conditions ranged from 5% to 99% (mean 56%) at admission and from 9% to 94% (mean 47%) at discharge. There was one category at admission with prevalence below or equal to 5%: *Structure of stomach* (s530).

The Body Functions and Body Structures most frequently impaired both at admission and at discharge were *Muscle endurance functions* (b740) (99% at admission/99% at discharge), *Muscle power functions* (b730) (97%/97%), *Gait pattern functions* (b770) (97%/93%), *Structure of cardiovascular system* (s410) (58%/60%), and *Structure of brain* (s110) (53%/51%).

The ICF categories from the component Activities and Participation (A&P) most frequently limited both at admission and at discharge were *Lifting and carrying objects* (d430) (99%/90%), *Moving around in different locations* (d460) (98%/94%), and *Walking* (d450) (97%/91%).

The percentage of patients reporting an improvement in functioning at discharge ranged from 0% to 48% for the different ICF categories. The most frequent improvements were observed in A&P categories *Toileting* (d530) (48%), *Moving around using equipment* (d465) (47%), and *Dressing* (d410) (45%). The Body Functions which improved most frequently were *Gait pattern functions* (b770) (27%), *Respiration functions* (b440) (24%), *Ingestion functions* (b510) (24%), and *Defecation functions* (b760) (24%). The most frequent improvement in Body Structures was found in the *Structure of areas of skin* (s810) (16%).

The percentage of patients who reported deterioration on the different ICF categories ranged from 0% to 10%. The most frequent decline was observed in *Vestibular functions* (b235).

# Functioning and disability in patients with cardiopulmonary conditions

In patients with cardiopulmonary conditions, information on the following categories were collected in only a minority of patients: *Voice functions* (b310), *Respiratory muscle functions* (b445), *Urinary excretory functions* (b610), *Muscle endurance functions* (b740), *Lifting and carrying objects* (d430), *Economic self-sufficiency* (d870), and *Community Life* (d910). For the sake of clarity we report the absolute frequencies of these categories in addition to the presented relative frequencies in the text.

The frequency of impairments or restrictions in patients with cardiopulmonary conditions ranged from 3% to 100% (mean 46%) at admission and from 0% to 100% (mean 33%) at discharge. There were two categories with prevalence below or equal 5% at admission: *Consciousness functions* (b110) with a prevalence of 5% *Family relationships* (d760) (3%). Categories

Table I. Characteristics of participants

Variable	All conditions	Neurological conditions	Cardiopulmonary conditions	Musculoskeletal conditions
Number of participants, <i>n</i>	165	67	37	61
Age, years, mean (SD)	67.5 (14.8)	63.9 (15.2)	78.3 (8.9)	64.8 (14.4)
Comorbidities, mean (SD)	3.1 (2.4)	2.5 (1.9)	4.9 (2.5)	2.8 (2.2)
Length of stay, days, mean (SD)	30.5 (18.1)	34.2 (19.9)	23.7 (14.5)	30.6 (17.1)
Time from event to rehabilitation onset, days, mean (median)	29.6 (17.0)	28.6 (14.5)	25.7 (13.0)	33.1 (22.5)
Female gender, %	46.1	35.8	54.1	52.5
Diagnosis, n (%)				
Diseases of the respiratory system (J00-J99)	1 (0.6)	1 (1.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Diseases of the circulatory system other than cerebrovascular diseases				
(I00-I52 and I70-I99)	34 (20.6)	2 (3.0)	27 (73.0)	5 (8.2)
Cerebrovascular diseases (I60-I69)	27 (16.4)	27 (40.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Diseases of the nervous system (G00-G99)	25 (15.2)	22 (32.8)	0 (0)	3 (4.9)
Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue (M00-M99)	25 (15.2)	10 (14.9)	1 (2.7)	14 (23.0)
Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes (S00-T98)	24 (14.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	24 (39.3)
Neoplasms (C00-D48)	6 (3.6)	2 (3.0)	1 (2.7)	3 (4.9)
Other diagnoses	23 (13.9)	3 (4.5)	8 (21.6)	12 (19.7)

SD: standard deviation.

Table II. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) categories of the component Body Functions – percentage of participants with impairment at admission/discharge and the extent of change over time

		Neuro $n=67$	Neurological conditions $n=67$	l condi	tions		Cardiopulmonary conditions $n=37$	ılmonı	ary cond	itions	Musc $n=61$	Musculoskeletal conditions $n = 61$	letal co	ondition	SI
		Adm	Admission	Discharge	narge	Change	Admission		Discharge	Change	Adm	Admission	Disc	Discharge	Change
ICF	ICF Code Description	$n^{\rm a}$	ф%	$n^{\rm a}$	9%	% (CI)°	$n^{\rm a}$ %		$n^a$ %	% (CI)¢	na	9%	$n^{\mathrm{a}}$	φ%	% (CI)°
b110	Consciousness functions	99	47	29	36	12 (5–22)	37	5 3	37 0	5 (1–18)					
b114 b126	Orientation functions Temperament and personality functions	61	56	/0		15 (8-20) 15 (7-27)									
b130	Energy and drive functions	63	9/	99			37		7 19	19 (8–35)	61	46	09	32	15 (7–27)
b134	Sleep functions	99	62	29	48			46 3				54	61	33	28 (17–41)
b140	Attention functions	99	26	29		24 (15–36)	37		37 11	1					
b144	Memory functions	63	54	99		$\frac{11}{2} \frac{(5-22)}{(5-22)}$				3(0-14)					
b147	Psychomotor functions	30	62	29							Ç	ţ	5	;	66 11
551d 5514	Emotional functions  Decreated functions	63	63	9 5	75	17 (0.28)	3/	16 3	36 8	11 (3–26)	28	4	19	31	21 (11–33)
b150	retecptual turicuons Thought functions	3 6	00	6 6		17(9-28)									
b164	Higher-level cognitive functions	62	56	39	53	8 (3–18)									
b167	Mental functions of language	99	39	29		12 (5–22)									
b176	Mental function of sequencing complex movements	64	59	29		14 (7–25)									
b180	Experience of self and time functions	9	54	29		12 (5–23)									
b210	Seeing functions	63	16	67	15	3 (0–11)									
b215	Function of structures adjoining the eye	63	Ξ	90		3 (0–11)									
b230	Hearing functions	30	ر د	3 6		3 (0–11)									
0233	Vestibular functions	63	47	6		19 (10–31)									
0240 b260	Sensations associated with nearing and vestibular function	79	97	00			36	77	27 11	(01 10)	61	19	17	53	75 (14 37)
5929 h265	Topinocepuve imputon Tonch function	9	5 4	6						0 (1-13)	10	6	10	75	(16-41) 67
b270	Sensory functions related to temperature and other stimuli	63	57	62		25 (15-38)					52	40	52	27	21 (11–35)
b280	Sensation of pain	67	64	67			37 4		34 35		09 (	75	19	59	32 (20–45)
b310	Voice functions	65	51	99	38			29	6 17	67 (22–96)					
b320	Articulation functions	65	43	29		17 (9–28)									
b340	Alternative vocalization functions	65	38	99 (					-	0.00	,				
b410	Heart Tunctions Dional months	09	35	/9			2/2			25 (12–42)	7.5	70	13	-	14 (6.36)
b420	Blood pressure functions	99	2 4	6								Ť.	10	Ŧ	14 (0-70)
b430	Haematological system functions	99	38	29						18 (7–35)					
b435	Immunological system functions	29	49	29	39		33					38	20	30	15 (6–28)
b440	Respiration functions	29	42	29	22	(17–39)		64 3	36 44		) 61	20	61	10	13 (6–24)
0440	Kespiratory muscle functions		ć	ŗ	7.										
0450 5455	Additional respiratory functions Evergise telerance functions	99	67	67	10	1 / (9–28) 8 (3–17)	27		57 CC	18 (7–53)	28	79	9	52	21 (11, 33)
b460	Sensations associated with cardiovascular and respiratory functions	0	-	ò	2				6 67			5	3	1	(66 11) 17
b510		99	47	29											
b515	Digestive functions	65	48	67											
b525	Defecation functions	99	61	29				14 3	36 8	11 (3–26)	61	18	19	13	13 (6–24)
b530	Weight maintenance functions	63	59	29			32			6(1-21)		28	52	13	26 (14–41)
b535	Sensations associated with the digestive system	59	29	63		20 (11–33)									
b540	General metabolic functions	99	36	67											
b545 b550	Water, mineral and electrolyte balance functions Tharmoreanlatory functions	99	59	67	49 13	20 (11–31)	34	26 3	34 12	24 (11–42)					
b610	Urinary excretory functions	S	1	ò		(57-5) 71	9	50	0 9	50 (12–88)	_				

Table II contd.													
	Neurolo	gical	Neurological conditions		Cardiopu	ılmona	Cardiopulmonary conditions	ons	Musc	uloskel	Musculoskeletal conditions	ndition	8
	L9=u				n = 3.7				n = 61				
	Admission	ion	Discharge	Change	Admission		Discharge	Change	Admission	ssion	Discharge	arge	Change
ICF ICF Code Description	$n^1$ 0	%2	$n^a$ % <sup>b</sup>	% (CI)°	$n^a$ %	b n <sup>a</sup>	4% 1	% (CI)°	$n^{\mathrm{a}}$	%p	$n^{\rm a}$	%p	% (CI)°
b620 Urination functions	67 5	2.2	67 46	27 (17–39)	37 1	19 3	7 5	16 (6–32)	09	20	09	13	10 (4–21)
b630 Sensations associated with urinary functions				25 (15–38)				,					· ·
b710 Mobility of joint functions		81	67 73	16 (8–27)	37 4	49 37	7 32	19 (8–35)	61	92	61	92	13 (6–24)
b715 Stability of joint functions	9	4		24 (14–36)					61	69	61	99	23 (13–35)
		7		6 (2–15)	37 (	68 37	7 51	22 (10–38)	61	95	19	92	8 (3–18)
b735 Muscle fone functions	8 29	88		18 (10–29)					61	99	61	57	16 (8–28)
b740 Muscle endurance functions		66	66 29	9 (3–18)	6 10	001	6 83	33 (4–78)	52	94	52	88	10 (3–21)
b755 Involuntary movement reaction functions	2 29	3		27 (17–39)					48	21	52	12	17 (7–30)
b760 Control of voluntary movement functions		4		21 (12–33)	37 1	19 36	8	14 (5–29)	52	40	52	23	25 (14–39)
	6 29	7	67 93	28 (18–41)				,	50	92	51	82	24 (13–38)
b780 Sensations related to muscles and movement functions					36 4	3	5 20	29 (15–46)	51	69	52	28	12 (4–24)
b810 Protective functions of the skin	99	52	67 34	24 (15–36)	37	41 3		14 (5–29)	52	77	52	48	31 (19–45)
b820 Repair functions of the skin					37 3	0 37	7 16	16 (6–32)					

<sup>1</sup>Number of valid answers. <sup>2</sup>Proportion of impairments ("slight/moderate/severe" or "complete") in the category. Proportion of patients experiencing change (improvement or worsening) in the category. Numbers in parentheses represent upper and lower 95% confidence interval limits (CI).

of the component Body Functions had the highest prevalence of impairment both at admission and at discharge. As expected, impairments in Functions of the cardiovascular system (b410-b429), Functions of the respiratory system (b440-b449) and Additional functions and sensations of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems (b450-b499) were highly frequent in this patient group. Most frequently impaired at admission were Muscle endurance functions (b740, n=6) (100%), Exercise tolerance functions (b455) (92%), Respiratory muscles functions (b445) (83%, n=5), Heart functions (b410) (81%). The most frequently impaired at discharge were Exercise tolerance functions (b455) (86%), Muscle endurance functions (b740) (83%, n=5), Heart functions (b410) (81%). The Body Structure most frequently impaired both at ad-

The Body Structure most frequently impaired both at admission and at discharge was *Structure of cardiovascular system* (s410) (95% at admission/92% at discharge). The ICF categories from the component A&P most frequently limited at admission were *Lifting and carrying objects* (d430) (100%, n=6), *Carrying out the daily routine* (d230) (76%), *Walking* (d450) (76%) and *Moving around in different locations* (d460) (76%), the most frequently limited at discharge were *Lifting and carrying objects* (d430) (100%, n=6), *Economic self-sufficiency* (d870) (100%, n=2), *Moving around in different locations* (d460) (53%), *Caring for body parts* (d520) (51%), and *Walking* (d450) (49%).

The percentage of patients reporting an improvement in functioning at discharge ranged from 0% to 100% for the different ICF categories. The most frequent improvements were observed in the categories *Economic self-sufficiency* (d870) (100%, n=2), *Voice functions* (b310) (67%, n=4), *Lifting and carrying objects* (d430, n=4) (67%), *Urinary excretory functions* (b610) (50%, n=3), *Muscle endurance functions* (b740) (33%, n=2), and *Respiratory muscle functions* (b445) (33%, n=2),

The percentage of patients reporting a deterioration in functioning at discharge ranged from 0% to 9% for the different ICF categories. The most frequent decline was observed in Sensation of pain (b280) (9%), Sleep functions (b134) (8%) and Heart functions (b410) (8%).

Functioning and disability in patients with musculoskeletal conditions

The frequency of impairments or restrictions in patients with musculoskeletal conditions ranged from 0% to 100% (mean 52%) at admission and from 0% to 92% (mean 40%) at discharge. There were 3 categories with prevalence below 5%: *Communicating with receiving spoken messages* (d310) with a prevalence of 2%, and *Religion and spirituality* (d930) (0%) and *Human rights* (d940) (0%).

The Body Functions most frequently impaired both at admission and at discharge were *Muscle power functions* (b730) (95% at admission/92% at discharge), *Muscle endurance functions* (b740) (94%/88%), *Mobility of joint functions* (b710) (92%/92%) and *Gait pattern functions* (s810) (92%/82%).

The Body Structures most frequently impaired were *Structure of lower extremity* (\$750) (74%/68%) and *Structure of area of the skin* (\$810) (69%/49%).

Table III. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) categories of the component Body Structures – percentage of participants with impairment at admission/discharge and the extent of change over time

		Neu $n=6$	rologic 7	al co	ndition	1S	Car $n=3$	diopuln 37	nonai	ry cond	litions	Mus $n=6$	sculosk 51	eletal	condi	tions
		Adn	nission	Disc	harge	Change	Adr	nission	Disc	charge	Change	Adn	nission	Disc	harge	Change
ICF	ICF Code Description	na	% <sup>b</sup>	na	% <sup>b</sup>	% (CI) <sup>c</sup>	$n^{\rm a}$	% <sup>b</sup>	na	% <sup>b</sup>	% (CI) <sup>c</sup>	na	% <sup>b</sup>	$n^{a}$	%b	% (CI) <sup>c</sup>
s110	Structure of brain	64	53	67	51	2 (0-8)										
s120	Spinal cord and related structures	66	29	67	22	6 (2–15)										
s130	Structures of meninges	65	11	67	9	6 (2–15)										
s410	Structure of cardiovascular system	65	58	67	60	11 (4–21)	37	95	37	92	8 (2-22)					
s430	Structure of respiratory system	65	28	67	24	12 (23)	37	41	36	31	11 (3–26)					
s530	Structure of stomach	65	5	67	12	5 (1–13)										
s710	Structure of head and neck region	67	22	67	16	6 (2–15)						61	11	61	8	3 (0-11)
s720	Structure of shoulder region	67	21	67	16	16 (8–27)						60	12	60	10	2 (0-9)
s730	Structure of upper extremity	67	31	67	28	9 (3–18)						61	21	61	18	3 (0-11)
s740	Structure of pelvic region											60	38	60	35	5 (1–14)
s750	Structure of lower extremity	67	42	67	37	7 (2-17)						61	74	60	68	8 (3–18)
s760	Structure of trunk						37	24	37	14	11 (3–25)	60	45	61	36	12 (5–23)
s810	Structure of areas of skin	67	52	67	37	18 (10–29)	37	38	37	30	8 (2–22)	61	69	61	46	23 (13–35)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Number of valid answers.

The ICF categories from the component A&P most frequently limited both at admission and at discharge were Lifting and carrying objects (d430) (100%/(0%), Walking (d450) (92%/84%), and Moving around in different locations (d460) (92%/87%).

The percentage of patients reporting an improvement in functioning at discharge ranged from 2% to 42% for the different ICF categories. The most frequent improvements were observed in A&P categories Toileting (d530) (42%), Dressing (d540) (41%), and Walking (d450) (36%). The Body Functions which improved most frequently were Protective functions of the skin (b810) (31%), Sensation of pain (b280) (27%), and Sleep functions (b134) (25%). The most frequent improvement in Body Structures was found in the Structure of areas of skin (s810) (23%).

The percentage of patients reporting a deterioration in functioning at discharge ranged from 0% to 8% for the different ICF categories. The most frequent decline was observed in Stability of joint functions (b715) (8%).

Common aspects of functioning and disability in the 3 patient groups

A comparison of the 3 condition groups showed that there were several categories with highly frequent (>50% of patients) impairment common to all patient groups at admission. These categories were Exercise tolerance (b455) (64–92%) and Muscle power functions (b730) (68–97%) and the A&P categories Changing basic body position (d410) (62–93%), Lifting and carrying objects (d430) (99–100%), Walking and Moving (d450-d469) (69–98%), and some of the Self-care categories (d510-d540) (65-96%).

Impairments in Gait pattern (b770) (92-97%) and Proprioceptive functions (b260) (67-90%) and limitations in Transferring oneself (d420) (74–90%) were highly prevalent in patients with neurological and musculoskeletal conditions at admission.

### Contextual factors

Table V gives an overview of the occurrence of Environmental Factors serving as facilitators or barriers separated by conditions.

Environmental factors in patients with neurological conditions

The frequency of facilitators in patients with neurological conditions ranged from 78% to 100% (mean 93%). The frequency of barriers in these patients ranged from 0% to 34% (mean 12%). There were no categories identified as facilitators with prevalence below 5%. Eight categories identified as barriers had prevalence below 5%, as listed in Table V.

The Environmental Factors most frequently serving as facilitators in the patients with neurological conditions were Immediate family (e310), Health professionals (e355), Individual attitudes of immediate family members (e410), Individual attitudes of friends (e420), and Health services, systems and policies (e580). All 5 categories were mentioned as being facilitators by all neurological patients questioned.

The Environmental Factors most frequently serving as barriers in these patients were Products and technology for personal indoor and outdoor mobility and transportation (e115) (34%), *Products and technology for personal use in daily living* (e115) (25%), Products and technology for communication (e125) (25%), and Products or substances for personal consumption (e110) (24%).

*Environmental factors in patients with cardiopulmonary* conditions

In patients with cardiopulmonary conditions, information on the following categories was collected in only a minority of patients: Design, construction and building products and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Proportion of impairments ("slight/moderate/severe" or "complete") in the category.

eProportion of patients experiencing change (improvement or worsening) in the category. Numbers in parentheses represent upper and lower 95% confidence interval (CI) limits.

Table IV. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) categories of the component Activities and Participation – percentage of participants with restrictions at admission/discharge and the extent of change over time

		Neu $n=6$	_	ical c	onditio	ons	Care $n=3$		mon	ary con	ditions	Mu		keleta	al conc	litions
		Adr	nissio	n Dis	charge	Change	Adr	nissior	n Dis	charge	Change	Adı	nissio	n Dis	charge	Change
ICF	ICF Code Description	$n^{\rm a}$	% <sup>b</sup>	$n^{\rm a}$	% <sup>b</sup>	% (CI) <sup>c</sup>	na	%% <sup>l</sup>	n <sup>a</sup>	%b	% (CI) <sup>c</sup>	$n^{\rm a}$	%b	$n^{\rm a}$	% <sup>b</sup>	% (CI) <sup>c</sup>
d110	Watching	66	39	67	33	8 (3-17)										
d115	Listening	66	32	67	22	11 (4–21)										
d120	Other purposeful sensing	64	52	66	36	18 (10–30)										
d130	Copying	64	48	67	39	14 (7–25)										
d135	Rehearsing	66	52	67	43	20 (11–31)										
d155	Acquiring skills	67	61	67	46	15 (7–26)	35	20	36	17	3 (0-15)	50	30	53	30	14 (6-27)
d160	Focusing attention	66	53	67	48	12 (5–22)										
d166	Reading	59	49	64	39	17 (8–29)										
d170	Writing	61	70	65	55	30 (19–43)										
d175	Solving problems	65	65	66	55	11 (5–21)										
d177	Making decisions	64	53	67	48	11 (5–21)	37	19	36	14	8 (2-22)	50	20	52	12	8 (2-19)
d230	Carrying out daily routine					, ,	37	76	36	47	42 (26–59)	50	64	52	42	34 (21–49
d240	Handling stress and other										, ,					
	psychological demands						35	46	36	33	24 (11–41)	56	54	61	43	18 (9–30)
d310	Communicating with – receiving										,					- ( )
	– spoken messages	66	38	67	31	12 (5–22)						52	2	52	2	4 (0-13)
d315						()							_		_	. (*)
4516	<ul><li>nonverbal messages</li></ul>	65	40	67	36	9 (3–19)										
d330	ē	66	50	67	37	21 (12–33)										
d335	Producing nonverbal messages	66	47	67	36	15 (8–26)										
d350	Conversation	66	50	67	37	15 (8–26)										
d360	Using communication devices	00	50	07	31	13 (6–20)										
<b>u</b> 500	and techniques	64	53	66	39	16 (8–27)										
d410	Changing basic body position	67	93	67	60	46 (34–59)	37	62	37	38	35 (20–53)	61	80	61	62	28 (17–41
d415	Maintaining a body position	67	85	67	66	31 (21–44)	37		37	11	22 (10–38)			61	36	26 (16–39
d420	Transferring oneself	67	90	67	61	40 (28–53)	37	43	37	19	30 (16–47)		74	61	43	34 (23–48
d430	Lifting and carrying objects	67	99	67	90	31 (21–44)	6	100	6	100	67 (22–96)	52	100	52	90	33 (20–47
d440	Fine hand use (picking up,	07	99	07	90	31 (21–44)	O	100	U	100	07 (22–90)	32	100	32	90	33 (20–47)
	grasping)	67	88	67	70	24 (14–36)	37	27	36	22	11 (3–26)	52	23	52	17	8 (2–19)
d445	Hand and arm use	67	90	67	75	19 (11–31)	37	32		22	19 (8–35)	61		61	25	10 (4–20)
d450	Walking	67	97	67	91	39 (27–51)	37		37	49	46 (29–63)			61	84	36 (24–49
d460	Moving around in different	07	71	07	71	37 (27 31)	31	70	51	77	40 (2) (3)	01	)2	01	0-1	30 (24 4)
aroo	locations	66	98	67	94	32 (21–44)	37	76	36	53	47 (30–65)	52	92	52	87	29 (17–43
d465	Moving around using equipment		96	66	76	48 (36–61)	35	69	35	29	49 (31–66)			51	61	35 (22–50
d510	Washing oneself	67	96	67	72	42 (30–54)	37		37	49	30 (16–47)			61	57	33 (22–47
d520	Caring for body parts	67	96	67	75	40 (28–53)	37	73	37	51	27 (14–44)	60	85	61	59	30 (19–43
d530	Toileting	67	90	67	64	48 (35–60)	37		37	27	43 (27–61)			61	38	43 (31–57
d540	Dressing	67	93	67	72	46 (34–59)	37	68	37	46	. ,		82		46	41 (28–56
			76	67	52			41			38 (22–55)			61		
d550	Eating	66 66	70		52 46	33 (22–46)	37		37	8 5	32 (18–50)	61 52	26 17		15 8	11 (5–22)
d560	Drinking Leaking after ana's health	00	/0	67	40	38 (26–51)	37				27 (14–44)			52		10 (3–21)
d570	Looking after one's health	20	11	10	25	20 (9, 27)	34		34	18	9 (2–25)	45	40		23	22 (11–37
d760	Family relationships	39	44	46	35	20 (8–37)	31	3	32	0	3 (0–18)	33	18	45	13	6 (1–21)
d870	Economic self-sufficiency						3	67	2		100 (16–100)					
d910	Community Life			10	=0	0.40.41	3	67	3	67	100 (16–100)		^			0.70.45
d930	Religion and spirituality	9	56	10	70	0 (0–41)						8	0	9	0	0 (0-41)
d940	Human rights											12	0	11	0	0 (0–31)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Number of valid answers.

technology of buildings for private use (e155), Air quality (e260), Associations and organizational services, systems and policies (e555), and General social support services, systems and policies (e575). For the sake of clarity we provide absolute frequencies of these categories in addition to the relative frequencies presented in the text.

The frequency of facilitators reported by patients with cardiopulmonary conditions ranged from 31% to 100% (mean 73%), whereas the frequency of barriers ranged from 0% to 38% (mean 9%). There were no categories experienced as facilitating in less than 5% of the patients. Twelve categories (48%) were a barrier for less than 5% of the cardiopulmonary patients.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Proportion of limitations/restrictions ("slight/moderate/severe" or "complete") in the category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Proportion of patients experiencing change (improvement or worsening) in the category. Numbers in parentheses represent upper and lower 95% confidence interval (CI) limits.

Table V. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) categories of the component Environmental Factors described as either facilitator or barrier at admission

			Neuro condi n=67		Cardi condi n=37		Musc condi n=61	itions
ICF	ICF Code Description	Specification	$\overline{n^{\mathrm{a}}}$	% <sup>b</sup>	$n^{a}$	% <sup>b</sup>	$n^{\rm a}$	%°
e110	Products or substances for personal consumption	Barrier	66	24	32	3	59	7
		Facilitator	66	98	32	91	59	95
e115	Products and technology for personal use in daily living	Barrier	65	25	35	6	56	11
		Facilitator	65	95	35	83	56	98
e120	Products and technology for personal indoor and outdoor	Barrier	65	34	33	9	57	12
105	mobility and transportation	Facilitator	65	94	33	100	57	96
e125	Products and technology for communication	Barrier	64	25	34	6	48	6
e150	Design construction and building products and technology of	Facilitator Barrier	64	83	34 30	82 17	48 54	94 26
6130	Design, construction and building products and technology of buildings for public use	Facilitator			30	73	54 54	83
e155	Design, construction and building products and technology of	Barrier			3	33	34	0.3
6133	buildings for private use	Facilitator			3	100		
e225	Climate	Barrier			3	100	33	12
6223	Cilliate	Facilitator					33	45
e245	Time-related changes	Barrier			29	34	33	43
0243	Time-related changes	Facilitator			29	31		
e250	Sound	Barrier			32	38		
0230	Sound	Facilitator			32	31		
e260	Air quality	Barrier			4	0		
0200	rii quanty	Facilitator			4	50		
e310	Immediate family	Barrier	47	4	32	3	34	9
<b>C</b> 510	minodiace faining	Facilitator	47	100	32	91	34	91
e315	Extended family	Barrier	17	12	25	4	51	71
0010	2.1.4.1.4.4 14.11.1.1	Facilitator	17	82	25	72		
e320	Friends	Barrier	18	11	24	4	21	0
		Facilitator	18	89	24	75	21	100
e340	Personal care providers and personal assistants	Barrier					29	0
		Facilitator					29	97
e355	Health professionals	Barrier	67	4	34	0	60	2
		Facilitator	67	100	34	91	60	100
e360	Health related professionals	Barrier	36	8	24	0		
		Facilitator	36	97	24	83		
e410	Individual attitudes of immediate family members	Barrier	27	0	30	7	21	10
		Facilitator	27	100	30	87	21	90
e415	Individual attitudes of extended family members	Barrier	11	0	25	4		
		Facilitator	11	91	25	68		
e420	Individual attitudes of friends	Barrier	9	0	23	0	14	7
		Facilitator	9	100	23	65	14	100
e430	Individual attitudes of people in positions of authority	Barrier					11	0
4.40		Facilitator					11	91
e440	Individual attitudes of personal care providers and personal	Barrier					20	0
450	assistants	Facilitator		4	22	0	20	95
e450	Individual attitudes of health professionals	Barrier	57 57	4 98	33	0	56	2 98
a155	Individual attitudes of other professionals	Facilitator Barrier	57	98	33 19	79	56	98
e455	individual attitudes of other professionals	Facilitator			19	0 68		
e465	Social norms, practices and ideologies	Barrier	18	11	24	8		
0403	Social norms, practices and ideologies	Facilitator	18	78	24	42		
e550	Legal services, systems and policies	Barrier	26	4	24	42		
2230	Legar services, systems and ponetes	Facilitator	26	88				
e555	Associations and organizational services, systems and policies	Barrier	20	00	4	0	21	10
2000	1.2000 and organizational services, systems and policies	Facilitator			4	50	21	90
e570	Social security, services, systems and policies	Barrier	44	5	29	3		70
2270	22222 Seesing, See (1995, Systems and policies	Facilitator	44	98	29	66		
	General social support services, systems and policies	Barrier	Н	70	5	0	31	10
e575	Cieneral social support services, systems and boncies				_	0		10
e575	General social support services, systems and policies				5	80	31	87
e575 e580	Health services, systems and policies	Facilitator Barrier	58	5	5 31	80 0	31 55	87 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Number of patients in which the interviewers found the respective category relevant to describe the patient comprehensively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Proportion of patients in relation to all in which the interviewers found the respective category relevant to describe the patient comprehensively.

The Environmental Factors most frequently serving as facilitators in the patients with cardiopulmonary conditions were *Products and technology for personal indoor and outdoor mobility and transportation* (e115) (100%), *Design, construction and building products and technology of buildings for private use* (e155) (100%, n=3), *Products or substances for personal consumption* (e110) (91%), *Immediate family* (e310) (91%), and *Health professionals* (e355) (91%).

There were 5 (out of 24) Environmental Factors serving as barriers in more than 10% of the patients. These were Sound e250 (38%), Time-related changes (e245) (34%), and Design, construction and building products and technology of buildings for private use (e155) (33%, n=1), Health services, systems and policies (e580) (31%), and Design, construction and building products and technology of buildings for public use (e150) (17%).

# Environmental factors in patients with musculoskeletal conditions

The frequency of facilitators among patients with musculoskeletal conditions ranged from 45% to 100% (mean 92%), whereas the frequency of barriers ranged from 0% to 26% (mean 7%). There were no categories as facilitators with prevalence below 5%. Seven categories as barriers had a prevalence below 5%.

The Environmental Factors most frequently serving as facilitators in the patients with musculoskeletal conditions were *Friends* (e320), *Health professionals* (e355), *Individual attitudes of friends* (e420), and *Health services, systems and* 

policies (e580), each of which was cited by all patients with musculoskeletal conditions. The Environmental Factors most frequently serving as barriers in musculoskeletal patients were Design, construction and building products and technology of buildings for public use (e150) (26%), Products and technology for personal indoor and outdoor mobility and transportation (e120) (12%), Climate (e225) (12%), and Products and technology for personal use in daily living (e115) (11%).

### Additional ICF categories

Twenty-six aspects of functioning not previously covered by the comprehensive post-acute ICF Core Sets were identified as relevant by the interviewers. Aspects which were mentioned by at least 1% of the participants are presented in Table VI. All of the newly identified aspects could be translated into corresponding ICF categories. Twelve aspects were translated into categories of the component Body Functions, 12 to categories and chapters of the component Body Structures, and 2 to A&P categories.

### DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to examine the relevance and completeness of the comprehensive ICF Core Sets for patients in post-acute rehabilitation facilities. The observed prevalence and change in functioning and disability and related contextual factors mainly confirms the first version of the comprehensive ICF Core Sets.

Neurological Cardionulmonary

Musculoskalatal

Table VI. Additional International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) categories from the interviews

		All conditions	conditions	conditions	conditions
		n = 165	n = 67	n = 37	n = 61
ICF	ICF Code Description	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Body F	unctions				
b610	Urinary excretory functions	6 (3.64)	0 (0)	_	6 (9.84)
b430	Haematological system functions	4 (2.42)	_	_	4 (6.56)
b540	General metabolic functions	3 (1.82)	_	0 (0)	3 (4.92)
b750	Motor reflex functions	3 (1.82)	3 (4.48)	0 (0)	0 (0)
b820	Repair functions of the skin	3 (1.82)	0 (0)	_	3 (4.92)
b210	Seeing functions	2 (1.21)	_	0 (0)	2 (3.28)
b310	Voice functions	2 (1.21)	_	2 (5.41)	0 (0)
b415	Blood vessel functions	2 (1.21)	_	_	2 (3.28)
b515	Digestive functions	2 (1.21)	_	0 (0)	2 (3.28)
Body St	ructures				
s540	Structure of intestine	19 (11.5)	17 (25.37)	0 (0)	2 (3.28)
s610	Structure of urinary system	7 (4.24)	0 (0)	2 (5.41)	5 (8.2)
s410	Structure of cardiovascular system	4 (2.42)	_	_	4(6.56)
s1	CHAPTER 1 STRUCTURES OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM	3 (1.82)	1 (1.49)	0 (0)	2 (3.28)
s570	Structure of gall bladder and ducts	3 (1.82)	1 (1.49)	2 (5.41)	0 (0)
s730	Structure of upper extremity	3 (1.82)	_	3 (8.11)	_
s760	Structure of trunk	3 (1.82)	3 (4.48)	_	_
s560	Structure of liver	2 (1.21)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (3.28)
s580	Structure of endocrine glands	2 (1.21)	0 (0)	2 (5.41)	0 (0)
s630	Structure of reproductive system	2 (1.21)	2 (2.99)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Activitie	es and Participation				
d650	Caring for household objects	2 (1.21)	0 (0)	2 (5.41)	(0)

<sup>-:</sup> not relevant, because the category has already been embodied in the corresponding comprehensive ICF Core Set.

### All conditions

Patients in post-acute rehabilitation facilities mostly have a long history of hospital and intensive care unit (ICU) stays. Accordingly, patients from all 3 indication groups experienced high rates of impaired *Exercise tolerance* (b455) and *Muscle power functions* (b730), which reflects both impairments due to the underlying conditions as well as effects of prolonged immobilization (7–8). These deficits explain the frequent occurrence of limitations in self-care issues. Limitations in mobility issues, such as walking and moving around, lying down, sitting, or standing (included in *Changing basic body position* (d410)) are also frequently-reported consequences of prolonged immobilization, which underscores the need for additional rehabilitation care (6, 9).

Environmental factors related to personal support and relationships, such as family, friends or healthcare workers, were considered most frequently as facilitators, irrespective of the health condition. Indeed, support by family or friends or community services have previously been identified as relevant in the discharge decision of patients with acute musculoskeletal conditions (7).

# Neurological conditions

As expected, impairments in cerebral structures, movement functions and mobility were frequent among patients with neurological conditions. It is notable that we observed significant improvement in self-care tasks during the follow-up interval, especially Toileting (d530) and Dressing (d540), and also improvement in functions related to mobility, both unassisted, and through use of assistive devices. This finding is in line with major rehabilitation goals in patients with neurological conditions such as stroke, namely the attainment of independence in self-care and mobility (8). Swallowing is a major issue in the rehabilitation of acquired brain injuries, and predicts functional outcome (10). The improvements we noted in categories related to respiration and ingestion may be attributed to successful swallowing therapy. We also found that improved mobility was associated with improved defecation functions and increased ability to toilet independently.

We identified some aspects as tending to deteriorate during rehabilitation of neurological patients, namely *Vestibular functions* (b235), which comprise the sensing of balance and position. Balance disorders and dizziness occurs frequently among patients with neurological disorders arising from cerebrovascular disease (11–12). Paradoxically, seeming deterioration in vestibular function might emerge along with improved mobility, which increases the burden on balance and coordination. It is highly possible that environmental factors, such as family and friends or health system's policy acting, may act as facilitators of or barriers to patients' functioning (13).

Seeing functions (b210) and Functions of structures adjoining to the eye (b215) showed low prevalence and hardly any change. Nevertheless, it should be discussed whether these categories should remain in the ICF Core Set because of their importance as basic sensory function.

### Cardiopulmonary conditions

In patients with cardiopulmonary conditions the highest prevalence of impairments were observed in categories related to cardiovascular structures and functions, such as *Heart functions* (b410), *Exercise tolerance functions* (d455), or *Respiration functions* (b440). These impairments were associated with difficulties with self-care and mobility. We observed significant improvements during the rehabilitation process in functions related to the kidney (*Urinary excretory functions* (b610), *Muscle endurance functions* (b740) and *Respiratory muscle functions* (b445)). Normalization of diuretic functions is among the first signs of re-compensation after heart failure. Furthermore, the improvements in *Respiratory muscle function* (b445) may be attributed to lesser dyspnoea resulting from improved heart function.

### Musculoskeletal conditions

The most frequently encountered musculoskeletal conditions entailing post-acute rehabilitation were fractures of the extremities, hip, or pelvis. Accordingly, the most frequent impairments were observed in categories related to movement, i.e. muscle and joint functions, and *Gait pattern functions* (b770). Most frequently, improvements were seen in *Walking* (d450) and Self-care, in agreement with an earlier report (14).

Approximately 25% of the patients in our study reported improvements in perceived pain, whereas 60% still experienced pain at the end of rehabilitation. In general, pain and sleep disturbance is common among patients after an acute injury, even after the acute phase (15–16).

We noted few additional topics not covered by the present version of the comprehensive ICF Core Sets, with the exception of *Structure of intestine* (s540), which occurred in 25% of the neurological patients. This association is in line with an earlier study, in which conditions such as peptic ulcer disease, gastrointestinal bleeding and *Clostridium difficile* proliferation were reported as relatively frequent medical complications following stroke (17). Gastrointestinal disorder should probably be considered as a topic for inclusion in the revised ICF Core Set.

Some limitations of our study may limit the generalizability of the results. The sample included only patients from German-speaking countries with comparable healthcare systems where post-acute rehabilitation facilities are wellestablished. The collection of data elsewhere in Europe, or on other continents, might well have yielded different results. Therefore, additional validation studies with patients from other countries and cultures should be carried out in the next phase of validation of the ICF. Impairments and limitations experienced by our patients may be a direct consequence of the underlying diagnoses encountered in the particular study. We are, however, confident that the current sample of older patients reflected the prototypical spectrum of diagnoses seen in Western Europe. However, this does not obviate the need to test the comprehensive ICF Core Sets as often as possible, and in many different settings. Another limitation pertains to

the fact that due to administrative problems not all categories could be applied to all patients. We are aware that this weakens evidence on those categories.

In conclusion, all categories of the comprehensive ICF Core Sets for the post-acute rehabilitation situation were confirmed due to their sensitivity to change. Categories that showed low prevalence or less change should be investigated particularly in further studies with respect to their significance for the patients. These future results should be put up for discussion among researchers and clinicians in the field of post-acute rehabilitation. All in all, we could not identify significant gaps in the established sets.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all the study participants for their patience and collaboration, and Dr Paul Cumming for manuscript revisions. We further thank all participating hospitals involved in data collection, Kaiser-Franz-Josef-Hospital, Institute of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Vienna (Austria), SMZ-Sophienspital, Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation in Geriatrics, Vienna (Austria), University Hospital Munich, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (Germany), General Hospital Munich Schwabing, Munich (Germany), Clinic and Institute for Physical and Rehabilitative Medicine, Nürnberg Süd Hospital (Germany), Institute for Physical and Rehabilitative Medicine, Ingolstadt Hospital (Germany), Malteser Krankenhaus, Department of Geriatrics, Bonn (Germany), Schön-Klinik Rosenheim (Germany) and Geriatric Rehabilitation Hospital Würzburg (Germany). The project was supported by the German Ministry of Health and Social Security (BMGS) grant no. 124-43164-1/501 and the LMUinnovativ project Münchner Zentrum für Gesundheitswissenschaften, (TP 1).

### REFERENCES

- Stucki G, Melvin J. The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health: a unifying model for the conceptual description of physical and rehabilitation medicine. J Rehabil Med 2007; 39: 286–292.
- World Health Organisation (WHO). International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health: ICF. Geneva: WHO; 2001.
- 3. Grill E, Ewert T, Chatterji S, Kostanjsek N, Stucki G. ICF Core Sets

- development for the acute hospital and early post-acute rehabilitation facilities. Disabil Rehabil 2005; 27: 361–366.
- Boers M, Brooks P, Strand CV, Tugwell P. The OMERACT filter for Outcome Measures in Rheumatology. J Rheumatol 1998; 25: 198–199.
- Grill E, Stucki G. Criteria for validating comprehensive ICF Core Sets and developing brief ICF Core Set versions. J Rehabil Med 2011; 43: 87–91.
- Deem S. Intensive-care-unit-acquired muscle weakness. Respir Care 2006; 51: 1042–1052; discussion 52–53.
- Wong J, Wong S. Criteria for determining optimal time of discharge after total hip replacement. Clin Perform Qual Health Care 1999; 7: 161–166.
- European Stroke Organisation (ESO) Executive Committee, ESO
  Writing Committee. Guidelines for management of ischaemic
  stroke and transient ischaemic attack 2008. Cerebrovasc Dis
  2008; 25: 457–507.
- Puthucheary Z, Hart N. Intensive care unit acquired muscle weakness: when should we consider rehabilitation? Crit Care 2009: 13: 167.
- Odderson IR, Keaton JC, McKenna BS. Swallow management in patients on an acute stroke pathway: quality is cost effective. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1995; 76: 1130–1133.
- 11. Hyndman D, Ashburn A, Stack E. Fall events among people with stroke living in the community: circumstances of falls and characteristics of fallers. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2002; 83: 165–170.
- Thach WT, Bastian AJ. Role of the cerebellum in the control and adaptation of gait in health and disease. Prog Brain Res 2004; 143: 353–366.
- Moreland JD, Depaul VG, Dehueck AL, Pagliuso SA, Yip DW, Pollock BJ, et al. Needs assessment of individuals with stroke after discharge from hospital stratified by acute Functional Independence Measure score. Disabil Rehabil 2009; 31: 2185–2195.
- Munin MC, Rudy TE, Glynn NW, Crossett LS, Rubash HE. Early inpatient rehabilitation after elective hip and knee arthroplasty. JAMA 1998; 279: 847–852.
- Baumann C, Rat A-C, Osnowycz G, Mainard D, Cuny C, Guillemin F. Satisfaction with care after total hip or knee replacement predicts self-perceived health status after surgery. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2009; 10: 150.
- 16. Orwelius L, Nordlund A, Nordlund P, Edell-Gustafsson U, Sjoberg F. Prevalence of sleep disturbances and long-term reduced health-related quality of life after critical care: a prospective multicenter cohort study. Critical Care 2008 Aug 1 [Epub ahead of print].
- Roth EJ, Lovell L, Harvey RL, Heinemann AW, Semik P, Diaz S. Incidence of and risk factors for medical complications during stroke rehabilitation. Stroke 2001; 32: 523–529.