Trinity University Digital Commons @ Trinity

Education Faculty Research

Education Department

7-2-2008

This We Believe, This We Do: Performance-Based Assessment in Middle Level Teacher Education

Laura Van Zandt Allen Trinity University, lallen@trinity.edu

K. K. Ruebel

M. W. Greene

J. E. McDaniel

V. Spencer

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/educ faculty



Part of the Education Commons

Repository Citation

Van Zandt Allen, Laura; Ruebel, K. K.; Greene, M. W.; McDaniel, J. E.; and Spencer, V., "This We Believe, This We Do: Performance-Based Assessment in Middle Level Teacher Education" (2008). Education Faculty Research. 1. https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/educ_faculty/1

This Instructional Material is brought to you for free and open access by the Education Department at Digital Commons @ Trinity. It has been accepted for inclusion in Education Faculty Research by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Trinity. For more information, please contact jcostanz@trinity.edu.

This we believe, this we do: Performance-based assessment in middle level teacher education

Laura Van Zandt Allen

Associate Professor
Director of Middle Grades Education
Department of Education
Trinity University
One Trinity Place
San Antonio, TX 78212
210-999-7598 (office)
210-494-9812 (home)
210-326-4123 (cell)
210-999-7592 (fax)
lallen@trinity.edu

Kim K. Ruebel

Program Director for Middle Grades Certification
University of Texas at Arlington
College of Education
Department of Curriculum and Instruction
PO Box 19227
Arlington, TX 76019
817-272-7447
kruebel@uta.edu

Melanie W. Greene

Professor
Department of Curriculum and Instruction
Reich College of Education
Appalachian State University
322A Edwin Duncan Hall
Boone, NC 28608
828-262-2276
greenemw@appstate.edu

Janet E. McDaniel

Professor of Middle Level Education College of Education California State University San Marcos San Marcos, CA 92096-0001 760-750-4318 mcdaniel@csusm.edu

Vikki Spencer

Professor of Teacher Education Missouri Southern State University 234 Taylor Hall Joplin, MO 64801-1595 417-625-9622 Ofc 417-659-4387 fax Spenser-V@MSSU.EDU

Table 1: Assessments Aligned with NMSA Performance-Based Standards

NMSA Standards	Assessments
Standard 1:	Adolescent Life History Paper*
Young Adolescent Development	Shadow a Student Case Study*
Middle level teacher candidates understand the major	Case Study
concepts, principles, theories, and research related to	Young adult short story
young adolescent development, and they provide	Parent Handbook/Web Site
opportunities that support student development and	Adolescent Characteristics Checklist
learning.	Team & Individual Web Site Development
	Practicum Reflection Journal
	NMSA Standards Pre/Post Self-Evaluation
	Team Data Report
	Young Adolescent Advocacy Project
Standard 2:	Design of the Ideal Middle School*
ML Philosophy & School Organization	Beginning of the Year Case Study*
Middle level teacher candidates understand the major	Advisory Simulation
concepts, principles, theories, and research underlying the	Flexible/Block Scheduling Simulation
philosophical foundations of developmentally responsive	Observation Modules
middle level programs and schools, and they work	Interdisciplinary Field Trip Plan
successfully within these organizational components.	Interdisciplinary Community Service Plan
	Interdisciplinary Advisory Plan
	Middle School Floor Plan Design
	Practicum Reflection Journal
	NMSA Standards Pre/Post Self-Evaluation
	Team Data Report
	Team Building
	Middle School Advisory Project
Standard 3:	Interdisciplinary Thematic Unit*
ML Curriculum & Assessment	Position Paper*
Middle level teacher candidates understand the major	Curriculum Unit (development & implementation)*
concepts, principles, theories, standards, and research	National/State Standards Report
related to middle level curriculum and assessment, and	AEIS Report
they use this knowledge in their practice.	Interdisciplinary Curriculum Unit (development)
	Mid-Term & Final Student Teaching Evaluation
	NMSA Standards Pre/Post Self-Evaluation
	Team Data Report
	Understanding by Design Unit (development)
	Integrated, Interdisciplinary, Thematic Unit
	(development)
	Teacher Work Sample
Standard 4:	Interdisciplinary, Internship Field Experience
ML Teaching Fields	Evaluation*+
Middle level teacher candidates understand and use the	State and National Website Evaluation*
central concepts, tools of inquiry, standards, and	Book Review*
structures of content in their chosen teaching fields, and	Content Analysis
they create meaningful learning experiences that develop	Website Evaluation
all young adolescents' competence in subject matter and	Lesson Plans from Methods and Content courses
skills.	Website Evaluation
	Lesson Plans (development & implementation)
	Mid-Term & Final Student Teaching Evaluation

	NMSA Standards Pre/Post Self-Evaluation
Standard 5:	Curriculum Unit (development & implementation)*+
ML Instruction & Assessment	Lesson Videotape and Reflection*
Middle level teacher candidates understand and use the	Website Evaluation
major concepts, principles, theories, and research related	Case Study
to effective instruction and assessment, and they employ a	Lesson Plan (development & implementation)
variety of strategies for a developmentally appropriate	Interdisciplinary Advisory Plan
climate to meet the varying abilities and learning styles of	Lesson Plans (development & implementation)
all young adolescents.	Mid-Term & Final Student Teaching Evaluation
	NMSA Standards Pre/Post Self-Evaluation
	Team Data Report
	Understanding by Design Unit (implementation)
	Instruction and Assessment Log
Standard 6:	Team & Individual Web Site Development*+
Family & Community Involvement	Interactive Homework*
Middle level teacher candidates understand the major	Design of the Ideal Middle School*
concepts, principles, theories, and research related to	Parent Involvement Project
working collaboratively with family and community	Parent Handbook/Web Site
members, and they use that knowledge to maximize the	Service Learning Projects
learning of all young adolescents.	Interdisciplinary Field Trip Plan
	Interdisciplinary Community Service Plan
	NMSA Standards Pre/Post Self-Evaluation
	Team Data Report
	Parent Support Program
	Service Learning Projects
Standard 7:	Book Review*
ML Professional Roles	Conference Presentation*
Middle level teacher candidates understand the	Position Paper*
complexity of teaching young adolescents, and they	Standards for Professional Practice
engage in practices and behaviors that develop their	Journal Entries
competence as professionals.	CMLA participation
	Log of professional experiences
	Electronic Portfolio
	Mid-Term & Final Student Teaching Evaluation
	Practicum Reflection Journal
	State & National Website Evaluation
	CMLA membership
	MMSA membership
	NMSA Standards Pre/Post Self-Evaluation
	Team Data Report

^{*}Assessments described in text

⁺Rubric included

CI-4400 – Evaluation Middle Grades Internship				
Teacher Candidate	Semester			
Master Teacher	School			
Concentration Area(s):				
	nis evaluation form and discuss it with the teacher candidate during the last week of the fact the indicator. The form should be forwarded to the university supervisor.			

		Standard 1: Young Adolescent Developm	nent		
Does Not Meet Standard		Meets Standard		Goal	
The middle grades teacher candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of young adolescent development.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate demonstrates an understanding of young adolescent development.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate demonstrates a thorough understanding of young adolescent development.	2
The middle grades teacher candidate does not establish mutually respectful relationships with young adolescents that support their intellectual, ethical, and social growth.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate establishes respectful relationships with young adolescents.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate establishes mutually respectful relationships with young adolescents that support their intellectual, ethical, and social growth.	2
The middle grades teacher candidate does not maintain developmentally appropriate learning environments.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate maintains developmentally appropriate learning environments.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate maintains positive, productive learning environments where developmental differences are respected and supported.	2
The middle grades teacher candidate does not model the acceptance and appreciation of diversity in and among young adolescents.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate models the acceptance and appreciation of diversity in and among young adolescents.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate models the acceptance and appreciation of diversity in and among young adolescents, and encourages young adolescents to accept, respect, and appreciate diversity in people who are different from them.	2

Standard 2: Middle Grades Philosophy and Organization					
Does Not Meet Standard		Meets Standard		Goal	
The middle grades teacher candidate	0	The middle grades teacher candidate	1	The middle grades teacher candidate	2
does not demonstrate an understanding		demonstrates an understanding of the		demonstrates a comprehensive understanding	
of the characteristic components and		rationale and characteristic components of		of the rationale and characteristic components	
rationale of developmentally responsive		developmentally responsive middle level		of developmentally responsive middle level	
middle level schools.		schools.		schools.	
The middle grades teacher candidate	0	The middle grades teacher candidate	1	The middle grades teacher candidate	2
does not demonstrate support and		demonstrates support and commitment to		demonstrates support and commitment to	
commitment to developmentally		developmentally responsive teaching,		developmentally responsive teaching,	
responsive teaching and learning.		learning, and schooling		learning, and schooling.	
Comments:		-		-	

Standard 3: Middle Grades Curriculum						
Does Not Meet Standard		Meets Standard		Goal		
The middle grades teacher candidate does not demonstrate an understanding that curriculum should be inviting, challenging, integrative, and exploratory.	0	The middle grades teacher demonstrates an understanding that curriculum should be inviting, challenging, integrative, and exploratory.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate understands that curriculum should be inviting, challenging, integrative, and exploratory and seeks opportunities to implement this type of curriculum	2	
The middle grades teacher candidate does not apply adequate knowledge of state and national middle level curriculum standards.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate exhibits some knowledge of state and national middle level curriculum standards.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate exhibits extensive knowledge of state and national middle level curriculum standards.	2	
The middle grades teacher candidate does not connect curriculum to the needs, interests, and experiences of young adolescents.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate plans and uses curriculum, instruction, resources, and assessments in ways that provide for the needs of young adolescents.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate successfully plans and uses curriculum, instruction, resources, and assessments in ways that provide for the needs of all young adolescents.	2	
Comments:						

Standard 4: Content Knowledge						
Does Not Meet Standard		Meets Standard		Goal		
The middle grades teacher candidate	0	The middle grades teacher candidate	1	The middle grades teacher candidate	2	
does not demonstrate an appropriate		demonstrates an appropriate level of		demonstrates extensive content knowledge.		
level of content knowledge.		content knowledge.				
The middle grades teacher candidate	0	The middle grades teacher candidate uses	1	The middle grades teacher candidate	2	
does not use content knowledge in ways		content knowledge in ways that increase		integrates multi-disciplinary content		
that increase young adolescents'		young adolescents' learning.		knowledge in ways that increase young		
learning.		-		adolescents' learning.		
Comments:						

		Standard 5: Middle Grades Instructio	n		
Does Not Meet Standard		Meets Standard		Goal	
The middle grades teacher candidate does not plan collaboratively with master teacher and other school personnel.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate plans collaboratively with master teacher and other school personnel.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate plans collaboratively with master teacher and other school personnel and assists with the implementation of those plans.	2
The middle grades teacher candidate does not participate in establishing positive learning environments.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate participates in establishing positive learning environments.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate initiates an active role in establishing positive learning environments.	2
The middle grades teacher does not use a variety of effective teaching strategies.	0	The middle grades teacher uses a variety of effective teaching strategies.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate uses a variety of effective teaching strategies, analyzes their effectiveness, and modifies instruction based on this analysis.	2
The middle grades teacher candidate does not employ appropriate classroom management techniques.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate understands the established classroom management techniques and assists in maintaining these expectations.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate understands the established classroom management techniques and confidently implements these techniques during instruction.	2
Comments:	I	I.	l	instruction.	

Standard 6: Middle Grades Professional Roles						
Does Not Meet Standard		Meets Standard		Goal		
The middle grades teacher candidate does not establish successful professional relationships with members of the school community.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate seeks to establish professional relationships with members of the school community.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate establishes and maintains successful professional relationships with members of the school community.	2	
Comments:						

		Standard 7: Family and Community Involv	emer	nt	
Does Not Meet Standard		Meets Standard		Goal	
The middle grades teacher candidate does not demonstrate an understanding that family background and home environment affect student learning and performance.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate demonstrates an understanding that family background and home environment affect student learning and performance.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate demonstrates a thorough understanding that family background and home environment affect student learning and performance.	2
The middle grades teacher candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of the information that can and should be shared with other teachers, family members, and community agencies and what should remain private and confidential.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate demonstrates some understanding of the information that can and should be shared with other teachers, family members, and community agencies and what should remain private and confidential.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate demonstrates an understanding of the information that can and should be shared with other teachers, family members, and community agencies and what should remain private and confidential and applies that knowledge during the internship.	2
The middle grades teacher candidate does not demonstrate respect for young adolescents and their families and is not committed to assisting them. Comments:	0	The middle grades teacher candidate demonstrates respect for young adolescents and their families.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate demonstrates respect for young adolescents and their families and is committed to assisting them.	2

		Standard 8: Assessment			
Does Not Meet Standard		Meets Standard		Goal	
The middle grades teacher candidate	0	The middle grades teacher candidate	1	The middle grades teacher candidate	2

does not demonstrate an understanding		demonstrates an understanding of the		demonstrates a thorough understanding of the	
of the essential role of assessment in the			essential role of assessment in the		
instructional process.		instructional process.		instructional process.	
The middle grades teacher candidate	0	The middle grades teacher candidate	1	The middle grades teacher candidate uses a	2
does not participate in assessing student	pes not participate in assessing student participates in assessing student			variety of effective strategies to assess student	
performance.		performance.		performance.	
Comments:					

	Standard 9: Literacy					
Does Not Meet Standard		Meets Standard		Goal		
The middle grades teacher candidate does not value literacy as a dimension of all content areas.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate values literacy as a dimension of all content areas.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate values literacy as a dimension of all content areas and provides opportunities for students to respond in multiple ways (e.g., writing, multimedia presentations).	2	
The middle grades teacher candidate does not incorporate literacy as a dimension in planning and instruction.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate incorporates literacy as a dimension in planning and instruction.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate successfully incorporates literacy as a dimension in planning and instruction.	2	
The middle grades teacher candidate fails to use a variety of electronic and multimedia resources (e.g., tradebooks, primary sources) in content area instruction.	0	The middle grades teacher candidate uses a variety of electronic and multimedia resources (e.g., tradebooks, primary sources) in content area instruction.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate uses and facilitates students' use of a variety of electronic and multimedia resources (e.g., tradebooks, primary sources) in content area instruction.	2	
Comments:	-					

Standard 10: Diversity						
Does Not Meet Standard Meets Standard				Goal		
The middle grades teacher candidate 0 The middle grades teacher candidate		1	The middle grades teacher candidate	2		
does not demonstrate an understanding demonstrates an understanding of the ways				demonstrates an understanding of the ways		
of the ways that diversity shapes the that diversity shapes the emotional, social, that diversity shapes the emotional, social,		that diversity shapes the emotional, social,				
emotional, social, intellectual, and moral		intellectual, and moral development of		intellectual, and moral development of young		

development of young adolescents.		young adolescents.		adolescents and incorporates this knowledge into his or her teaching.	
The middle grades teacher candidate does not maintain supportive, nurturing, and safe environments for young adolescents to express the dimensions of their diversity. Comments:	0	The middle grades teacher candidate demonstrates an awareness of the need for a safe environment for young adolescents to express the dimensions of their diversity.	1	The middle grades teacher candidate maintains supportive, nurturing, and safe environments for young adolescents to express the dimensions of their diversity.	2

Professional Behavior					
0	The teacher candidate is sometimes absent	1	The middle grades teacher candidate is rarely	2	
	or late.		absent or late.		
0	The teacher candidate makes few errors in	1	The middle grades teacher candidate is	2	
	oral and/or written expression.		articulate with oral expression and organized		
			in written expression.		
0	The middle grades candidate is usually	1	The middle grades teacher candidate is reliable	2	
	reliable and responsible.		and responsible.		
0	The middle grades candidate usually	1	The middle grades teacher candidate behaves	2	
	behaves in a professional manner.		in a professional manner.		
	•				
0	The middle grades teacher candidate's	1	The middle grades teacher candidate's attire is	2	
	attire is usually professional and reflects		professional and reflects the norm of the		
	the norm of the school setting and dress		school setting and dress code.		
	code.		_		
	0	or late. The teacher candidate makes few errors in oral and/or written expression. The middle grades candidate is usually reliable and responsible. The middle grades candidate usually behaves in a professional manner. The middle grades teacher candidate's attire is usually professional and reflects the norm of the school setting and dress	or late. O The teacher candidate makes few errors in oral and/or written expression. O The middle grades candidate is usually reliable and responsible. O The middle grades candidate usually behaves in a professional manner. O The middle grades teacher candidate's attire is usually professional and reflects the norm of the school setting and dress	or late. O The teacher candidate makes few errors in oral and/or written expression. O The middle grades candidate is usually reliable and responsible. O The middle grades candidate usually behaves in a professional manner. O The middle grades teacher candidate is reliable and responsible. O The middle grades candidate usually behaves in a professional manner. O The middle grades teacher candidate's attire is usually professional and reflects the norm of the school setting and dress O The middle grades teacher candidate's attire is professional and reflects the norm of the school setting and dress	

Comments:

Scoring Summary

Standard	Acceptable Point Range	Score	Comments
Standard 1: Young Adolescent Development	4-8		
Standard 2: Middle Grades Philosophy and Organization	2-4		
Standard 3: Middle Grades Curriculum	3-6		
Standard 4: Content Knowledge	2-4		
Standard 5: Middle Grades Instruction	4-8		
Standard 6: Middle Grades Professional Roles	1-2		
Standard 7: Family and Community Involvement	3-6		
Standard 8: Assessment	2-4		
Standard 9: Literacy	3-6		
Standard 10: Diversity	2-4		
Professional Behavior	5-10		
Total Score		/62	
Comments:	,		

Date
Date
Date

Table 2: Program Descriptions

Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina

Institution: Public, Enrollment – 15,850

NCATE Accreditation: Yes

Programs: Initial – Undergraduate, Grades 6-9; Masters – Graduate, Grades 6-9

Graduates Per Year: Initial – 25; Masters - 19

Web Address: www.ced.appstate.edu/departments/ci/degrees.aspx#UG

Author Contact: Melanie Greene

Undergraduate Program

The undergraduate program has a three semester cohort plan that requires 128 hours of coursework and field experiences. Two teaching fields are required, and all content areas total 24 semester hours which meets state licensure and No Child Left Behind requirements. A total of 33 hours of professional courses and internships are required. Twenty of these hours are specialized middle level courses and field experiences. A highlight of the program includes three sequential field experiences in partnership sites known as Professional Development Schools (PDS).

Graduate Program

The graduate program requires 39 hours of coursework. Applicants must hold a valid teaching license and meet Graduate School and program criteria for acceptance into the middle grades program. Nine hours of course work are devoted to young adolescent development, middle school philosophy and concepts, and developmentally responsive curriculum. Twelve hours of the program require specialization in a content area including math, science, language arts, or social studies. The remaining program hours include a focus on teacher leadership, research, and technology, culminating with a graduation portfolio.

California State University San Marcos, San Marcos, California

Institution: Public, Enrollment –8,500

NCATE Accreditation: Yes

Programs: Initial – Post-Baccalaureate, Grades 5-9

Graduates Per Year: 25

Web Address:

lynx.csusm.edu/coe/academics/Teacher.Ed.asp#Middle%20Level%20Credential%20Program

Author Contact: Janet McDaniel

Post-Baccalaureate Program

The middle level program is a post-baccalaureate, two-semester full-time program of study. Candidates enter the program having demonstrated their subject matter knowledge in one or more fields through coursework (typically, an academic major) or standardized exams. Since California has no middle grades licensure, the program is built on the elementary education licensure with a university-granted Certificate in Advanced Study in Middle Level Education. During their year in the program, candidates take courses on teaching and learning in middle schools, content area methods, and multilingual/multicultural education. Coursework is taught by a team of instructors, including an exemplary middle school teacher who is a Distinguished Teacher in Residence "on loan" to Cal State San Marcos for two years. All classes are taught in a university-owned modular classroom on the campus of a middle school in San Marcos, providing opportunities for interaction between candidates and the middle school students and staff. Four to six middle schools host candidates for student teaching, with supervision being a

shared responsibility of a site teacher and a university teaching team member.

Missouri Southern State University, Joplin, Missouri

Institution: Public, Enrollment – 6,000

NCATE Accreditation: Yes

Programs: Initial – Undergraduate, Grades 5-9

Graduates Per Year: Initial – 12

Web Address: www.mssu.edu/edu/pdf/BSEmiddleschool.pdf

Author Contact: Vikki Spencer

Undergraduate Program

The undergraduate program requires a total of 130+ hours of coursework and field experiences. Candidates have dual certification, choosing two of seven offered teaching fields, with 23-29 semester hours each. A total of 56 hours of professional coursework and field experiences are included, with 33 of these hours in middle level courses and field experiences. As part of the MSSU International Mission, many candidates have the opportunity to participate in the International Comparative Education Program for travel and observation in middle level classrooms with partner teachers in Australia, Austria, England, France, Germany, Italy, New Zealand, Scotland, or Switzerland. In addition, some complete five weeks of their student teaching in the Southampton, England partner school. Through the Domestic Comparative Education Program, candidates also have the opportunity to observe and teach in a partnership program with a Washington, D. C. magnet middle school.

Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas

Institution: Private, Enrollment – 2,500

NCATE Accreditation: Yes

Programs: Initial – MAT, Grades 4-8

Graduates Per Year: Initial - 8

Web Address: www.trinity.edu/departments/Education/Degree Programs/mat.htm

Author Contact: Laura Allen

MAT Program

The Master of Arts in Teaching program is a one year, post-baccalaureate, cohort program requiring 30 hours of coursework and internships. Candidates enter the program with a B.S. or B.A. in one or more content fields as well as 10-14 hours of undergraduate education work. After a six-hour summer session, candidates complete a full-year internship in a middle grades Professional Development School (PDS), while taking concurrent coursework. University faculty and PDS mentors collaborate to write and revise program curriculum, which includes writing interdisciplinary curriculum, developing two Understanding by Design units, presenting at the state middle level conference, serving as a member of an academic team, co-sponsoring an extracurricular activity, and working closely with parents in a variety of ways.

University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas Institution: Public, Enrollment - 25,500

NCATE Accreditation: Yes

Programs: Initial - Undergraduate, Grades 4-8; Graduate - Grades 4-8

(Certification-Only)

Graduates Per Year: Initial - 42; Graduate – 30

Web Address: www.uta.edu/coed/undergrad/degrees/

Author Contact: Kim Ruebel

Undergraduate Program

The undergraduate program has a four semester cohort plan that requires 120 hours of coursework and field experiences. Candidates choose either dual teaching fields (Math/Science or ELAR/Social Studies) or a Generalist certification. All three degree plans (M/S, ELAR/SS, and Generalist) meet the 24/12 hour rule for state certification and No Child Left Behind requirements. In other words, candidates complete 24 hours in the content area with a minimum of 12 of those hours in upper level coursework. A total of 27 hours of professional coursework is included with 21 of these hours being specialized middle level courses, an internship, and residency. The final year of the program includes field work in partnership schools and culminates with an entire semester in the field on a full time basis, following the school district calendar for 18-19 weeks of residency.

Graduate Program

The graduate program is a certification-only program completed prior to the masters degree. Candidates complete 15 hours of coursework including a final residency semester. Nine of the required 15 hours are specialized middle level courses. This program feeds into a Curriculum and Instruction masters degree with various options for advanced certification.

MID-LEVEL CURRICULUM UNIT EVALUATION RUBRIC

The curriculum unit must be created and shared for review in LiveText

Develop a thematic unit which includes

- Overall theme/topic
- Web search on the Internet as well as a library search to find resources and other information about the theme. Document evidence supporting both searches in the final product (i.e. search engines used, information and resources found, and APA citations and web addresses for that information). Be sure to include teacher as well as student resources (with an emphasis on literature, community ties, and so on).
- Plan a five to ten day (see undergraduate/graduate length requirements) unit, including:
 - o an overview of the calendar (using a calendar format)
 - o a list of objectives/skills students will be expected to learn
 - o lesson plans documenting activities, questions for discussion, stimulating anticipatory and culminating events, supplementary materials, etc.

COMPONENT	UNACCEPTABLE - 0	ACCEPTABLE – 3 pts	TARGET – 5 pts
Length	Lesson plans made for less than four days of instruction (nine for graduate students)	Lesson plans made for four days of instruction (nine for graduate students)	Lesson plans made for five days of instruction (ten for graduate students)
COMPONENT	UNACCEPTABLE – 0 pts	ACCEPTABLE – 4 pts	TARGET – 5 pts
Unit/Lesson Objectives, Description of the Learners, & Calendar	Unit/Lesson objectives are lacking, not covering all levels of understanding (Bloom's), and TEK standards are inaccurately referenced; a description of the classroom learners is insufficient; overview of the calendar for each day of the unit (use calendar format) may not be present	Unit/Lesson objectives are provided, adequately cover levels of understanding (Bloom's), and TEK standards are accurately referenced in most instances; a description of the classroom learners is provided; overview of the calendar for each day of the unit (use calendar format) is present	Unit/Lesson objectives are provided, cover all levels of understanding (Bloom's), and TEK standards are accurately referenced; a complete description of the classroom learners is provided; overview of the calendar for each day of the unit (use calendar format) is present
COMPONENT	UNACCEPTABLE – 0 pts	ACCEPTABLE – 1 pts	TARGET – 2 pts
Mechanics, Spelling and Punctuation	Many errors; not clear, lengthy or wordy and not well-written	Errors are present, but still readable; somewhat clear, and well-written	Relatively no or little errors; reflective of college level writing; clear, articulate, concise
COMPONENT	UNACCEPTABLE – 3 pts	ACCEPTABLE – 8 pts	TARGET – 10 pts

Content	Candidate rarely	Candidate demonstrates	Candidate demonstrates
	demonstrates knowledge	knowledge of	knowledge of a wide
Knowledge	of a variety of	teaching/learning	variety of
	teaching/learning	strategies that often	teaching/learning
	strategies that respond to	respond to developmental	strategies that respond to
	developmental	characteristics of young	developmental
	characteristics of young	adolescents (NMSA #1);	characteristics of young
	adolescents (NMSA #1);	candidate consistently	adolescents (NMSA #1);
	candidate consistently	designs adequate lessons	candidate consistently
	designs inadequate	and often selects materials	designs exceptional
	lessons, failing to select	that are challenging,	lessons and selects
	materials that are	integrative and student-	materials that are
	challenging, integrative and student-centered	centered (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates a	challenging, integrative and student-centered
	(NMSA #3); candidate	depth and breadth of	(NMSA #3); candidate
	consistently fails to	knowledge of relevant	consistently
	demonstrate a	content and makes	demonstrates a
	comprehensive depth and	connection among	comprehensive depth
	breadth of knowledge of	disciplines (NMSA #4);	and breadth of
	relevant content and rarely	candidate often selects	knowledge of relevant
	makes connection among	instructional strategies that	content and makes
	disciplines (NMSA #4);	are challenging, sensitive,	connection among
	candidate consistently	and developmentally	disciplines (NMSA #4);
	does not select	responsive (NMSA #5)	candidate consistently
	instructional strategies that		selects instructional
	are challenging, sensitive,		strategies that are
	and developmentally		challenging, sensitive,
	responsive (NMSA #5)		and developmentally
1			responsive (NMSA #5)
COMPONENT	IINACCEPTABLE – 2	ACCEPTABLE – 6 nts	responsive (NMSA #5) TARGET – 8 nts
COMPONENT	UNACCEPTABLE – 2 pts	ACCEPTABLE – 6 pts	responsive (NMSA #5) TARGET – 8 pts
		ACCEPTABLE – 6 pts Candidate demonstrates	
Professional and	pts	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess	TARGET – 8 pts Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess
Professional and Pedagogical	pts Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is	TARGET – 8 pts Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge,	pts Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate	TARGET – 8 pts Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	pts Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for	TARGET – 8 pts Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge,	pts Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA	TARGET – 8 pts Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	pts Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to	TARGET – 8 pts Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and	TARGET – 8 pts Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	pts Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	pts Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4);	TARGET – 8 pts Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	pts Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses assessment to inform	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate uses assessment	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate consistently uses
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	pts Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate uses assessment to inform instruction	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate consistently uses assessment to inform
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and Dispositions	Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5)	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5)	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate consistently uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5)
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and	Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5)	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate uses assessment to inform instruction	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate consistently uses assessment to inform
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and Dispositions	Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5)	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5)	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate consistently uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) TARGET – 10 pts
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and Dispositions COMPONENT Effect on Student	Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) UNACCEPTABLE – 4 pts	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) ACCEPTABLE – 8 pts	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate consistently uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5)
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and Dispositions	Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) UNACCEPTABLE – 4 pts Candidate does not seem to understand, utilize and/or articulate the	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) ACCEPTABLE – 8 pts Candidate understands, utilizes and articulates the middle level school	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate consistently uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) TARGET – 10 pts Candidate consistently understands, utilizes and articulates the middle
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and Dispositions COMPONENT Effect on Student	Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) UNACCEPTABLE – 4 pts Candidate does not seem to understand, utilize and/or articulate the middle level school	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) ACCEPTABLE – 8 pts Candidate understands, utilizes and articulates the middle level school curriculum; candidate	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate consistently uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) TARGET – 10 pts Candidate consistently understands, utilizes and articulates the middle level school curriculum;
Professional and Pedagogical Knowledge, Skills and Dispositions COMPONENT Effect on Student	Candidate fails to demonstrate the ability to assess student achievement and is rarely able to articulate criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate rarely demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate rarely/never uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) UNACCEPTABLE – 4 pts Candidate does not seem to understand, utilize and/or articulate the	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate satisfactory criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) ACCEPTABLE – 8 pts Candidate understands, utilizes and articulates the middle level school	Candidate demonstrates the ability to assess student achievement and is able to articulate clear and concise criteria for strategy selection (NMSA #3); candidate often demonstrates the ability to use content instruction and assessment strategies that are enriched by technology and literacy (NMSA #4); candidate consistently uses assessment to inform instruction (NMSA #5) TARGET – 10 pts Candidate consistently understands, utilizes and articulates the middle

	appropriate TEK standards (NMSA #3); candidate does not accurately incorporate content knowledge in a student-centered curriculum (NMSA #4); candidate understands TEKS objectives and active learning experiences for students, but may not accurate incorporate them into daily lessons; candidate fails to adequately describe performance expectations and assessment results for students, and does not include a complete description of how assessment will effect current and future teaching	instances (NMSA #3); candidate incorporates content knowledge in a student-centered curriculum (NMSA #4); candidate understands TEKS objectives and active learning experiences for students; candidate adequately describes performance expectations and assessment results for students, including a description of how assessment will effect current and future teaching	TEK standards (NMSA #3); candidate consistently incorporates content knowledge in a student-centered curriculum (NMSA #4); candidate understands and articulates TEKS objectives and active learning experiences for students; candidate clearly describes performance expectations and assessment results for students, including a detailed description of how assessment will effect current and future teaching
TOTAL (40 points possible)	Unacceptable = below 30 pts	Acceptable = 30-37 pts	Target = 38-40 pts

Table 5: Rubric – Team and Individual Website Development

TEAM AND INDIVIDUAL WEBSITE RUBRIC

Scoring Guide for Team Webpage(s) (20 pts.) & Individual Webpage(s) (40 pts.)

Use Bravehost.com or Tripod.com to build your web pages. Your User Name becomes your url, so it should be educ413- then your team name.

Names

TEAM PAGE(s) http://ww	TEAM PAGE(s) http://www.educ413-						
ATTACH WITH PAPER COPY OF WEBPAGE(S)							
DESIGN/LAYOUT (5 pts)	Does Not Meet Expectations	Emerging	Meets Expectations				
Standards: 1, 2, 3, 6							
St. 1: Did you design the page with developmental interests in mind? St. 2: Did you organize easy access to common team elements? St. 3: Did you incorporate components for both students and family related to curriculum and assessment information? St. 6: Did you include an information/resource section for parents?	 The given, expected learner outcome, and evaluation are unclear or missing Social studies & interdisciplinary Standards are weak or omitted. Pts (0-3 pts): 	 Two of the three, (the given, expected learner outcome, and evaluation) are present and apparent. Some Standards are included for social studies Some Interdisciplinary Standards are included. Pts (5-9 pts): 	 The given, expected learner outcome, and evaluation are clearly and concisely written. Standards are included for social studies Interdisciplinary Standards are included. Pts (10-12 pts): 				
GENERAL INFORMATION	Does Not Meet Expectations	Emerging	Meets Expectations				
(10 pts)							
Standards: 1, 2, 3							
St. 1: Were you positive and	•	•	•				
enthusiastic about adolescents?							
St. 2: Did you incorporate common Team							
policies?							
St. 3: Did you include information							
related							
to Advisory topics?							

HELPFUL LINKS (2 pts)	Does Not Meet Expectations	Emerging	Meets Expectations
Standards: 1, 2, 3, 6, 7			
St. 1: Did you create connections to interpersonal, community, and societal information sites? St. 2: Are there links to study resources? St. 3: Are there links to Advisory topics? St. 6: Did you provide parent resources for understanding & helping preadolescents? St. 7: Are there links to community resource & support groups?			
SPECIAL FEATURES (3 pts)	Does Not Meet Expectations	Emerging	Meets Expectations
Identify Applicable Standards	Does Not Meet Expectations	Emerging	Wicets Expectations
#'s			
<u> </u>	•	•	•
INDIVIDUAL TEACHER V	WEBPAGE(s) http://www.	educ413-	
	ATTACH WITH PAPER O	COPY OF WEBPAGE(S)	
DESIGN/LAYOUT (5 pts)	Does Not Meet Expectations	Emerging	Meets Expectations
Standards: 1, 2, 3, 6			
St. 1: St. 2: St. 3: St. 6:	 The given, expected learner outcome, and evaluation are unclear or missing Social studies & interdisciplinary Standards are weak or omitted. 	 Two of the three, (the given, expected learner outcome, and evaluation) are present and apparent. Some Standards are included for social studies Some Interdisciplinary Standards are included. 	 The given, expected learner outcome, and evaluation are clearly and concisely written. Standards are included for social studies Interdisciplinary Standards are included. Pts (10-12 pts):
	• Pts (0-3 pts):	• Pts (5-9 pts):	

GENERAL INFORMATION	Does Not Meet Expectations	Emerging	Meets Expectations
(25 pts)	_		_
Standards: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5			
St. 1:	•	•	•
St. 2:			
St. 3:			
St. 4:			
St. 5:			
HELPFUL LINKS (5 pts)	Does Not Meet Expectations	Emerging	Meets Expectations
Standards: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7			
St. 1:	•	•	•
St. 3:			
St. 4:			
St. 5:			
St. 7:			
SPECIAL FEATURES (5 pts)	Does Not Meet Expectations	Emerging	Meets Expectations
Identify Applicable Standards			
#'s .			
	•	•	•