

UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION CONTRACT W-7405-ENG, 36

State of the state of states

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

In the interest of prompt distribution, this LAMS report was not edited by the Technical Information staff.

Printed in the United States of America. Available from National Technical Information Service U. S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22151 Price: Printed Copy \$3.00; Microfiche \$0.95



LA-5196-MS Informal Report UC-32 & 34

ISSUED: February 1973

Scattering Formulas for the Two-Particle Reaction

by

C. J. Everett E. D. Cashwell

NOTICE This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government, Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights,

SCATTERING FORMULAS FOR THE TWO-PARTICLE REACTION

by

C. J. Everett and E. D. Cashwell

ABSTRACT

After a general (non-relativistic) treatment of transmutation resulting in two particles, the formulas obtained are specialized to give the laboratory energy-angle dependence for the inelastic (n,n^{-}) -reaction and the elastic (n,n)-collision, in a form adapted to computation. These results are required for Monte Carlo neutron codes when the differential cross sections for such reactions are given in the laboratory frame, and in any case, for the "point detector" routine.

(3)

I. PARAMETERS OF A SYSTEM

Let S be a system of particles i, with velocities V₁, rest masses $m_1 > 0$, rest energies $e_1 = m_1 c^2$, momenta $P_1 = m_1 V_1$, and kinetic energies $k_1 = \frac{1}{2} m_1 v_1^2$; and with the totals

$$\mathbf{m}_{S} = \sum \mathbf{m}_{i}$$
 $\mathbf{e}_{S} = \sum \mathbf{e}_{i}$ $\mathbf{P}_{S} = \sum \mathbf{P}_{i}$ $\mathbf{k}_{S} = \sum \mathbf{k}_{i}$ (1)

The velocity of its center of mass (CM) is then

$$v_{s} = \sum_{m_{s}}^{m_{i}} v_{i}$$
 (2)

whence

^ms^vs ⁼ ^Ps

The velocities relative to the CM being

$$\mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{v}_{i} - \mathbf{v}_{s} \tag{4}$$

it follows that

$$\mathbf{P}_{S} = \sum_{n} \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1} = 0 \quad \mathbf{k}_{S} = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{2} = \mathbf{k}_{S} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}_{S} \mathbf{v}_{S}^{2} \quad (5)$$

From Fig. 1, one verifies the LAB/CM transformation

$$v_{1}^{2} = v_{S}^{2} + v_{1}^{2} + 2|v_{S}||v_{1}|a_{1}; a_{1} \equiv \cos \psi_{1}$$
$$a_{1} = \{|v_{S}| + |v_{1}|a_{1}\}/|v_{1}| ; a_{1} \equiv \cos \psi_{1}$$

which may be written as

$$v_{1}^{2}/v_{S}^{2} = (1+v_{1}^{2}/v_{S}^{2}) + 2a_{1}(|v_{1}|/|v_{S}|)$$
 (6)

$$a_{i} = (1 + a_{i} | v_{i} | / | v_{s} |) / (| v_{i} | / | v_{s} |)$$
(7)

With the obvious identifications, these are of the form $X^2 = (1+B^2) + 2a_1^{'}B$, $a_1X = 1 + a_1^{'}B$, from which $a_1^{'}$ may be eliminated, with the result $X = a_1^{'} \pm \{a_1^2 - (1-B^2)\}^{1/2}$, or explicitly

$$|v_{1}|/|v_{S}| = a_{1} \pm (a_{1}^{2}-K)^{1/2}; K = 1-v_{1}^{2}/v_{S}^{2} < 1$$
 (8)

Note that, if $|V_1'|$ and $|V_S|$ are prescribed (as they may be when S results from a transmutation), there are the three possible cases of Fig. 2. Cases I, II, III are distinguished by the inequalities

$$|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}| \stackrel{\leq}{>} |\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{S}}|$$
 or $0 \stackrel{\leq}{>} K$



Fig. 1.

In Case I, it is apparent from the figure that the limiting LAB angle $\bar{\psi}_1$ has $\sin \bar{\psi}_1 = |V_1|/|V_S|$, or equivalently, $\bar{a}_1 \equiv \cos \bar{\psi}_1 = \sqrt{K}$. For a given LAB cosine $a_1 = \cos \psi_1$, there are two possible LAB speeds $|V_1|$; and therefore it is clear that both signs (±) are required in (8).

In Case II, equations (6-8) take the simple form $v_1^2/v_s^2 = 2(1 + a_1)$, $a_1 = \left|\frac{1}{2}(1 + a_1)\right|^{1/2}$, $|v_1|/|v_s| = 2a_1$. Note that $\cos \psi_1 = \cos (\psi_1'/2)$, and $\psi_1 = \psi_1'/2$, a relation obvious in Fig. 2. The limiting LAB angle is $\pi/2$.

In Case III, $|V_1|$ is again uniquely determined by a_1 , which now ranges over the entire interval [-1,1], and the (+) sign is mandatory in (8), since K < 0.

In terms of energy, equations (6-8) and their properties are summarized in the following:

$$k_{i}^{*}/k_{i}^{*} = 1 + (k_{i}^{*}/k_{i}^{*}) + 2a_{i}^{*}(k_{i}^{*}/k_{i}^{*})^{1/2} ;$$

$$k_{i}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{s}^{2}$$
(9)

$$a_{i} = \left| 1 + a_{i} (k_{i}^{\prime}/k_{i}^{*})^{1/2} \right| / (k_{i}^{\prime}/k_{i}^{*})^{1/2}$$
(10)

$$k_{i}/k_{i}^{\star} = \left|a_{i} \pm (a_{i}^{2} - \kappa)^{1/2}\right|^{2}; \kappa = 1 - k_{i}/k_{i}^{\star}$$
 (11)

Case I. $k'_{1} < k'_{1}$; (±) in (11), $\bar{a}_{1} = \sqrt{K} < a_{1} < 1$ (12)

Case II.
$$k_{1} = k_{1}^{*}, k_{1}/k_{1}^{*} = 2(1 + a_{3}^{*})$$

 $a_{1} = \left\{\frac{1}{2}(1 + a_{1}^{*})\right\}^{1/2}, k_{1}/k_{1}^{*} = 4a_{1}^{2}, 0 \le a_{1} \le 1$.
(13)



Case III. $k'_{i} > k'_{i}$; (+) in (11), $-1 \le a_{i} \le 1$. (14)

If S consists of just two particles i = 3, 4, with <u>specified</u> m_3 , m_4 , k_5 , then k_3 , k_4 are uniquely determined in the (CM) frame by the equations $k_3 + k_4$ = k_5 , $P_3 + P_4 = 0$. For we then have

$$m_{3}v_{3}^{2} + m_{4}v_{4}^{2} = 2k_{5}^{2}$$
(15)
$$m_{2}^{2}v_{2}^{2} - m_{4}^{2}v_{4}^{2} = 0$$

and consequently $m_{S}m_{3}v_{3}^{2} = 2m_{4}k_{S}^{2}$, $m_{S}m_{4}v_{4}^{2} = 2m_{3}k_{S}^{2}$, that is,

$$k_{3} \approx \frac{m_{4}}{m_{S}} k_{S}$$
 $k_{4} \approx \frac{m_{3}}{m_{S}} k_{S}$ (16)

II. TRANSMUTATION

A transmutation

of such a general system A of particles h, into a second system S of particles i, is governed (in nonrelativistic approximation) by the three conservation laws

$$m_A = m_S (= m)$$
 $P_A = P_S$ $k_A + e_A = k_S + e_S$ (17)

where the first ignores changes in total rest mass, and the third does not. The conservation of energy may be expressed in the form

$$k_{A} + Q = k_{S}$$
(18)

where

$$Q \equiv e_A - e_S$$
 (19)

is the "Q-value" of the reaction.

These laws, together with the relations (3), (5), for both A and S, imply that

$$v_{A} = v_{S}(\exists v)$$
(20)

i.e., the CM velocity is unchanged, and hence the reaction in the common CM frame is governed by the laws

$$k'_{A} + Q = k'_{S}$$
 $P'_{A} = 0 = P'_{S}$ (21)

The first equation implies the necessary (and sufficient) condition

$$k_{A}^{2} \ge (-Q)$$
 (22)

for the transmutation to be mechanically possible.

If S is a two-particle system, its CM kinetic energies are necessarily those in (16), where $k'_{S} = k'_{A} + Q$ is determined by the system A and the e, of S.

III. TWO-PARTICLE REACTIONS WITH "TARGET AT REST"

We consider here only the case of transmutations A \rightarrow S, where A consists of two particles h = 1,2, with the target 2 at rest ($V_2 = 0$), and S consists also of just two particles i = 3,4.

For the system A, we find from (2), (4) (since $V_2 = 0$)

$$v \equiv v_{A} = \frac{m_{1}}{m} v_{1}$$
, $v_{1} = \frac{m_{2}}{m} v_{1}$, $v_{2} = -\frac{m_{1}}{m} v_{1}$;
(23)

and therefore

$$k_{1}^{\prime} = \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{m^{2}} k_{1}$$
 $k_{2}^{\prime} = \frac{m_{1}m_{2}}{m^{2}} k_{1}$ $k_{A}^{\prime} = \frac{m_{2}}{m} k_{1}$ (24)

The condition (22) now reads

$$k_1 \ge \frac{m}{m_2}$$
 (-Q) $\equiv k_T$ (25)

Supposing the reaction possible, we find from (16,21,24) that the energy k_3 is uniquely determined as

$$k_{3} = \frac{m_{2}m_{4}}{m^{2}} k_{1}(1 - k_{T}/k_{1})$$
 (26)

Moreover, the relation $V = \frac{m_1}{m} V_1$ of (23) implies

$$k_{3}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} m_{3} v^{2} = \frac{m_{1} m_{3}}{m^{2}} k_{1}$$
 (27)

Substitution of (26), (27) in (9-14) then yields, for i * 3, the following summary for the present reaction:

$$\frac{m^{2}}{m_{1}m_{3}} (\mathbf{k}_{3}/\mathbf{k}_{1}) = \left(1 + \frac{m_{2}m_{4}}{m_{1}m_{3}}\right) - \frac{m_{2}m_{4}}{m_{1}m_{3}} (\mathbf{k}_{T}/\mathbf{k}_{1}) + 2\mathbf{a}_{3}\left[\frac{m_{2}m_{4}}{m_{1}m_{3}} (1 - \mathbf{k}_{T}/\mathbf{k}_{1})\right]^{1/2} ; \mathbf{k}_{T} = \frac{m}{m_{2}} (-Q) \leq 0$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{3} = \left(1 + \mathbf{a}_{3}\left[\frac{m_{2}m_{4}}{m_{1}m_{3}} (1 - \mathbf{k}_{T}/\mathbf{k}_{1})\right]^{1/2}\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{m^{2}}{m_{1}m_{3}} (\mathbf{k}_{3}/\mathbf{k}_{1})\right]^{1/2}$$
(28)
$$(28)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{3} = \left(1 + \mathbf{a}_{3}\left[\frac{m_{2}m_{4}}{m_{1}m_{3}} (1 - \mathbf{k}_{T}/\mathbf{k}_{1})\right]^{1/2}\right)$$
(29)

$$\frac{m^2}{m_1m_3} (k_3/k_1) = \left\{ a_3 \pm (a_3^2 - K)^{1/2} \right\}^2 ; \qquad (30)$$

$$K = 1 - \frac{m_2 m_4}{m_1 m_3} (1 - k_T / k_1) = \frac{m_4}{m_1 m_3} \left(\frac{-Q}{k_1}\right) - \frac{m(m_4 - m_1)}{m_1 m_3}$$

Case I. $k_1(m_4 - m_1) < m_4(-Q)$; (±) in (30), $\bar{a}_3 = \sqrt{K} \le a_3 \le 1$ (31)

Case II.
$$k_1(m_4 - m_1) = m_4(-Q); a_3 = \left|\frac{1}{2}(1 + a_3)\right|^{1/2},$$

 $0 \le a_3 \le 1, 2(1 + a_3) = \frac{m^2}{m_1m_3}(k_3/k_1)$ (32)
 $= 4a_3^2$

Case III. $k_1(m_4 - m_1) > m_4(-Q)$; (+) in (30), -1 < $a_3 < 1$.

(33)

tion $k_{T} = \frac{m}{m_{2}}$ (-Q), and the identity

$$m_2m_4 - m_1m_3 \neq m(m_4 - m_1).$$

We note here that whenever $m_4 > m_1$, the inequalities distinguishing the three cases may be written as

$$k_1 \stackrel{\leq}{>} \frac{m_4}{m_4 - m_1}$$
 (-Q)

and if moreover Q < 0, then all three cases are possible, Case I obtaining in the incident energy interval

$$k_{T} = \frac{m}{m_{2}} (-Q) < k_{1} < \frac{m_{4}}{m_{4} - m_{1}} (-Q)$$

which is non-vacuous since $m(m_4 - m_1) = m_2m_4$ - $m_1m_3 < m_2m_4$. Again, if $m_4 > m_1$, and $Q \ge 0$, then necessarily $k_1 > 0 \ge \frac{m_4}{m_4 - m_1}$ (-Q), and Case III prevails.

IV. THE (n,n[^]) REACTION

We apply the foregoing results to an inelastic (n,n^{2}) reaction, of form

$$n + {\binom{A}{Z}} \neq n^{-} + {\binom{A}{Z}}^{*}$$

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4$$

in which an incident neutron scatters from a nucleus at rest, leaving the latter in an excited state. In such a case, we assume

$$m_1 = m_3 < m_2 = m_4$$
 $Q = e_2 - e_4 < 0$ (34)

-Q being the energy level excited. In terms of the usual parameter $A = m_2/m_1$, relations (28-33) reduce to

$$(A + 1)^{2} (k_{3}/k_{1}) = 1 + A^{2} - A^{2} (k_{T}/k_{1})$$

+ $2a_{3}A(1 - k_{T}/k_{1})^{1/2}; k_{T} = \frac{A + 1}{A}(-Q) > 0 , \quad (35)$
$$A = m_{2}/m_{1} > 1$$

$$a_3 = \left| 1 + a_3^A (1 - k_T^{/k_1})^{1/2} \right| / (A + 1) (k_3^{/k_1})^{1/2}$$
 (36)

$$(A + 1)^{2}(k_{3}/k_{1}) = \left|a_{3} \pm (a_{3}^{2} - K)^{1/2}\right|^{2};$$

$$K = A(A + 1)(-Q/k_{1}) - (A^{2} - 1)$$
(37)

Case I.
$$k_{T} = \frac{A+1}{A}(-Q) < k_{1} < \frac{A}{A-1}(-Q)$$
;
(38)
(±) in (37), $\bar{a}_{2} = \sqrt{K} < a_{2} < 1$

Case II.
$$k_1 = \frac{A}{A-1}(-Q)$$
, $a_3 = \left|\frac{1}{2}(1+a_3)\right|^{1/2}$,
 $0 \le a_3 \le 1$, $2(1+a_3) = (A+1)^2(k_3/k_1)^{(39)}$
 $= 4a_3^2$

Case III.
$$k_1 > \frac{A}{A-1}(-Q)$$
; (+) in (37),
-1 $\leq a_3 \leq 1$. (40)

All three cases are possible, depending on the incident energy.

V. ELASTIC COLLISION

In an elastic collision

$$n + \begin{pmatrix} A \\ Z \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow n + \begin{pmatrix} A \\ Z \end{pmatrix}$$
$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4$$

one has

$$m_1 = m_3 \le m_2 = m_4$$
, $Q = 0 = k_T$ (41)

and sees from (31-33) that Cases I, II, III are now distinguished by the inequalities

$$k_1(A - 1) \stackrel{<}{>} 0 ; A \equiv m_2/m_1$$
 (42)

Hence, for all nuclei but H, we have A > 1and Case III prevails, formulas (28-30) being

$$(A + 1)^{2}(k_{3}/k_{1}) = 1 + A^{2} + 2A a_{3}; A = m_{2}/m_{1} > 1$$
 (43)

$$a_3 = \{1 + A \ a_3'\}/(A + 1)(k_3/k_1)^{1/2}; -1 \le a_3 \le 1$$
 (44)

$$(A + 1)^{2}(k_{3}/k_{1}) = |a_{3} + (a_{3}^{2} - K)^{1/2}|^{2};$$

 $K = 1 - A^{2} < 0.$
(45)

Finally, for hydrogen (H) we assume A = 1, and see from (42) that Case II obtains for all incident energies k_{1} . The corresponding relations (32) then have the simple form

$$k_3/k_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + a_3); -1 \le a_3 \le 1$$
 (A = 1) (46)

$$a_3 = \left|\frac{1}{2}(1 + a_3)\right|^{1/2}$$
; $0 \le a_3 \le 1$ (47)

$$k_3/k_1 = a_3^2$$
 (48)

As always in Case II, $\psi_3 = \psi_3^2/2$ with $\frac{\pi}{2} > \psi_3 > 0$.

REFERENCES

- E. D. Cashwell, C. J. Everett, <u>A Practical</u> <u>Manual on the Monte Carlo Method</u> (Pergamon Press, New York, 1959), Ch. V.
- R. D. Evans, <u>The Atomic Nucleus</u> (Mc-Graw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1955), pp. 410-416.