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## ABSTRACT

After a general (non-relativistic) treatment of transmutation resulting in two particles, the formulas obtained are specialized to give the laboratory energy-angle dependence for the inelastic ( $n, n^{\prime}$ )-reaction and the elastic ( $n, n$ )-collision, in a form adapted to computation. These results are required for Monte Carlo neutron codes when the differential cross sections for such reactions are given in the laboratory frame, and in any case, for the "point detector" routine.

## I. PARAMETERS OF A SYSTEM

Let $S$ be a system of particles 1 , with velocities $v_{i}$. rest masses $m_{1}>0$, rest energies $e_{i}=m_{i} c^{2}$, momenta $P_{i}=m_{i} V_{i}$, and kinetic energies $k_{i}=\frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{i}^{2}$; and with the totals
$m_{S}=\sum m_{i} \quad e_{S}=\sum e_{i} \quad P_{S}=\sum p_{i} \quad k_{S}=\sum k_{i}(1)$

The velocity of its center of mass (CM) is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{S}=\sum \frac{m_{1}}{m_{S}} v_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{V}_{S}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{S}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocities relative to the CM being

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}^{\prime}=v_{i}-v_{S} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that
$P_{S}^{\prime} \equiv \sum m_{i} v_{i}^{\prime}=0 \quad k_{S}^{\prime} \equiv \sum \frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{i}^{-2}=k_{S}-\frac{1}{2} m_{S} v_{S}^{2}$

From Fig. 1, one verifies the LAB/CM transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{i}^{2}=v_{s}^{2}+v_{i}^{-2}+2\left|v_{s}\right|\left|v_{i}\right| a_{i}^{\prime} ; a_{i}^{\prime} \equiv \cos \psi_{i}^{\prime} \\
& a_{i}=\left\{\left|v_{s}\right|+\left|v_{i}^{\prime}\right| a_{i}^{\prime}\right\} /\left|v_{i}\right| ; a_{i} \equiv \cos \psi_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

which may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}^{2} / v_{S}^{\prime}=\left(1+v_{i}^{-2} / v_{S}^{2}\right)+2 a_{i}^{\prime}\left(\left|v_{i}^{\prime}\right| /\left|v_{S}\right|\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=\left(1+a_{i}\left|v_{i}\right| /\left|v_{s}\right|\right) /\left(\left|v_{i}\right| /\left|v_{s}\right|\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the obvious identifications, these are of the form $X^{2}=\left(1+B^{2}\right)+2 a_{1} B, a_{1} X=1+a_{1}^{\prime} B$, from which $a_{i}^{\prime}$ may be eliminated, with the resuit $X=a_{i}$ $\pm\left\{a_{i}^{2}-\left(1-B^{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}$, or explicitly
$\left|v_{i}\right| /\left|v_{S}\right|=a_{i} \pm\left(a_{i}^{2}-K\right)^{1 / 2} ; K=1-v_{i}^{-2} / v_{S}^{2}<1$

Note that, if $\left|V_{i}^{-}\right|$and $\left|V_{S}\right|$ ar, prescribed (as they may be wher $S$ results from a transmutation), there are the three possible cases of Fig. 2.
Cases I, II, III are distinguished by the inequalities

$$
\left|v_{1}^{-}\right| \equiv\left|v_{S}\right| \text { or } 0 \leqq k
$$



Fig. 1.

In Case $I$, it is apparent from the figure that the limiting LAB angle $\bar{\psi}_{i}$ has $\sin \bar{\psi}_{i}=\left|v_{i}^{\prime}\right| /\left|v_{s}\right|$, or equivalently, $\bar{a}_{i} \equiv \cos \bar{\psi}_{i}=\sqrt{K}$. For a given LAB cosine $a_{i}=\cos \psi_{i}$, there are two possible LAB speeds $\left|V_{i}\right|$; and therefore it is clear that both signs ( $\pm$ ) are required in (8).

In Case II, equations (6-8) take the simple form $v_{i}^{2} / v_{S}^{2}=2\left(1+a_{i}^{-}\right), a_{i}=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(1+a_{i}^{-}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}$, $\left|v_{i}\right| /\left|v_{S}\right|=2 a_{i}$. Note that $\cos \psi_{i}=\cos \left(\psi_{i}^{\prime} / 2\right)$, and $\psi_{i}=\psi_{i}^{\prime} / 2$, a relation obvious in Fig. 2. The limiting LAB angle is $\pi / 2$.

In Case IJI, $\left|V_{i}\right|$ is again uniquely determined by $a_{i}$, which now ranges over the entire interval $[-1,1]$, and the ( + ) sign is mandatory in (8), since $\mathrm{K}<0$.

In terms of energy, equations (6-8) and their properties are summarized in the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{i} / k_{i}^{*}=1+\left(k_{i}^{-} / k_{i}^{*}\right)+2 a_{i}^{-}\left(k_{i}^{-} / k_{i}^{*}\right)^{1 / 2} ; \\
k_{i}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{S}^{2} \\
a_{i}=\left\{1+a_{i}^{*}\left(k_{i}^{*} / k_{i}^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} /\left(k_{i} / k_{i}^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{10}\\
k_{i} / k_{i}^{*}=\left\{a_{i} \pm\left(a_{i}^{2}-K\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}^{2} ; K=1-k_{i}^{*} / k_{i}^{*} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case I. $k_{i}^{\prime}<k_{i}^{*}$; (土) in (11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}_{i}=\sqrt{K} \leqslant a_{i} \leqslant 1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case II. $k_{i}^{*}=k_{i}^{*}, k_{i} / k_{i}^{*}=2\left(1+a_{3}^{\prime}\right)$
$a_{1}=\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(1+a_{1}^{0}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}, k_{1} / k_{1}^{*}=4 a_{1}^{2}, 0<a_{1} \leqslant 1$.


Fig. 2.

Case III. $k_{i}^{-}>k_{i}^{*} ;(+)$ in (11), $-1 \leqslant a_{i} \leqslant 1$.

If $S$ consists of just two particles $i=3,4$, with specified $m_{3}, m_{4}, k_{S}^{\prime}$, then $k_{3}^{\prime}, k_{4}^{\prime}$ are aniquely determined in the (CM) frame by the equations $k_{3}^{\prime}+k_{4}^{-}$ $=k_{S^{\prime}}^{\prime} P_{3}^{\prime}+P_{4}^{\prime}=0$. For we then have

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{3} \nabla_{3}^{-2}+m_{4} v_{4}^{-2}=2 k_{s}^{-}  \tag{15}\\
& m_{3}^{2} v_{3}^{-2}-m_{4}^{2} v_{4}^{-2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

and consequently $m_{S} m_{3} \nabla_{3}^{-2}=2 m_{4} k_{S}^{\prime}, m_{S} m_{4} v_{4}^{-2}=2 m_{3} k_{S}^{\prime}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3}^{-}=\frac{m_{4}}{m_{S}} k_{S}^{\prime} \quad k_{4}^{-}=\frac{m_{3}}{m_{S}} k_{S}^{-} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## II. TRANSMUTATION

A transmutation

$$
A \rightarrow S
$$

of such a general system $A$ of particles $h$, into a second system $S$ of particles i, is governed (in nonrelativistic approximation) by the three conservation
laws

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{A}=m_{S}(\equiv m) \quad P_{A}=P_{S} \quad k_{A}+e_{A}=k_{S}+e_{S} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first ignores changes in total rest mass, and the third does not. The conservation of energy may be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{A}+Q=k_{S} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \equiv e_{A}-e_{S} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the "Q-value" of the reaction.
These laws, together with the relations (3), (5), for both $A$ and $S$, imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{A}=v_{S}(=V) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the CM velocity is unchanged, and hence the reaction in the common $C M$ frame is governed by the laws

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{A}^{-}+Q=k_{S}^{\prime} \quad P_{A}^{-}=0=P_{S}^{-} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first equation implies the necessary (and sufficient) condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{A}^{-} \geqslant(-Q) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the transmutation to be mechanically possible.
If $S$ is a two-particle system, its CM kinetic energies are necessarily those in (16), where $k_{S}^{*}=k_{A}^{*}+Q$ is determined by the system $A$ and the $e_{i}$ of $s$.
ili. two-particle reactions with "target at rest" We consider here only the case of transmutations $A \rightarrow S$, where $A$ consists of two particles $h=1,2$, with the target 2 at rest $\left(V_{2}=0\right)$, and $S$ consists also of just two particles $i=3,4$.

For the system $A$, we find from (2), (4) (since $v_{2}=0$ )
$v \equiv v_{A}=\frac{m_{1}}{m} v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{m_{2}}{m} v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}=-\frac{m_{1}}{m} v_{1} ;$
and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{m_{2}^{2}}{m^{2}} k_{1} \quad k_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{m^{2}} k_{1} \quad k_{A}^{\prime}=\frac{m_{2}}{m} k_{1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (22) now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} \geqslant \frac{m}{m_{2}}(-Q) \equiv k_{T} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{T} \leqq 0$ is the (k.e.) threshold for the reaction. Since $k_{1}>0$, this is restrictive only when $Q<0$, i.e., $e_{A}<e_{S}$. (We use $k_{T}$ in general as a convenient parameter.)

Supposing the reaction possible, we find from $(16,21,24)$ that the energy $k_{3}^{\prime}$ is uniquely determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3}^{-}=\frac{m_{2} m_{4}}{m^{2}} k_{1}\left(1-k_{T} / k_{1}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the relation $V=\frac{m_{1}}{m} v_{1}$ of (23) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{2} m_{3} v^{2}=\frac{m_{1} m_{3}}{m^{2}} k_{1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of (26), (27) in (9-14) then yields, for $i=3$, the following summary for the present reaction:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m^{2}}{m_{1} m_{3}}\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right)=\left(1+\frac{m_{2} m_{4}}{m_{1} m_{3}}\right)-\frac{m_{2} m_{4}}{m_{1} m_{3}}\left(k_{T} / k_{1}\right)  \tag{28}\\
& \quad+2 a_{3}^{\prime}\left\{\frac{m_{2} m_{4}}{m_{1} m_{3}}\left(1-k_{T} / k_{1}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} ; k_{T}=\frac{m}{m_{2}}(-Q) \leqq 0
\end{align*}
$$

$a_{3}=\left\{1+a_{3}^{-}\left[\frac{m_{2} m_{4}}{m_{1} m_{3}}\left(1-k_{T} / k_{1}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}$
$\int\left|\frac{m^{2}}{m_{1} m_{3}}\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}$
$m \equiv m_{A}$
$\frac{m^{2}}{m_{1} m_{3}}\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right)=\left\{a_{3} \pm\left(a_{3}^{2}-k\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}^{2} ;$
$K=1-\frac{m_{2} m_{4}}{m_{1} m_{3}}\left(1-k_{I} / k_{1}\right)=\frac{m_{4}}{m_{I} I_{3}}\left(\frac{0}{k_{1}}\right)-\frac{m\left(m_{4}-m_{1}\right)}{m_{1} m_{3}}$

Case I. $k_{1}\left(m_{4}-m_{1}\right)<m_{4}(-Q) ;( \pm)$ in (30),

$$
\bar{a}_{3}=\sqrt{k} \leqslant a_{3} \leqslant 1
$$

Case II. $k_{1}\left(m_{4}-m_{1}\right)=m_{4}(-Q) ; a_{3}=\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(1+a_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leqslant a_{3} \leqslant 1,2\left(1+a_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{m^{2}}{m_{1}^{m} 3}\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right)  \tag{32}\\
& =4 a_{3}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Case III. $k_{1}\left(m_{4}-m_{1}\right)>m_{4}(-Q) ;(+)$ in (30),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1 \leqslant a_{3} \leqslant 1 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In obtaining (30-33) we have used the definition $k_{T}=\frac{m}{m_{2}}(-Q)$, and the identity

$$
m_{2} m_{4}-m_{1} m_{3}=m\left(m_{4}-m_{1}\right)
$$

We note here that whenever $m_{4}>m_{1}$, the inequalities distinguishing the three cases may be written as

$$
k_{1} \ll \frac{m_{4}}{m_{4}-m_{1}}(-Q)
$$

and if moreover $Q<0$, then all three cases are possible, Case I obtaining in the incident energy interval

$$
k_{T}=\frac{m}{m_{2}}(-Q)<k_{1}<\frac{m_{4}}{m_{4}-m_{1}}(-Q)
$$

which is non-vacuous since $m\left(m_{4}-m_{1}\right)=m_{2} m_{4}$
$-m_{1} m_{3}<m_{2} m_{4}$.

Again, if $m_{4}>m_{1}$, and $Q \geqslant 0$, then necessarily $k_{1}>0 \geqslant \frac{m_{4}}{m_{4}-m_{1}}(-Q)$, and Case III prevails.
IV. THE ( $n, n^{n}$ ) REACTION

We apply the foregoing results to an inelastic ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) reaction, of form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n+\binom{A}{Z} \rightarrow n^{-}+\binom{A}{Z}^{*} \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

In which an incident neutron scatters from a nucleus at rest, leaving the latter in an excited state. In such a case, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=m_{3}<m_{2}=m_{4} \quad Q=e_{2}-e_{4}<0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

-Q being the energy level excited. In terms of the usual parameter $A=m_{2} / m_{1}$, relations (28-33) reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A+1)^{2}\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right)=1+A^{2}-A^{2}\left(k_{T} / k_{1}\right) \\
& \quad+2 a 3^{A}\left(1-k_{T} / k_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} ; k_{T}=\frac{A+1}{A}(-Q)>0, \\
& A=m_{2} / m_{1}>1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A+1)^{2}\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right)=\left\{a_{3} \pm\left(a_{3}^{2}-k\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
K=A(A+1)\left(-Q / k_{1}\right)-\left(A^{2}-1\right)
$$

Case I. $k_{T}=\frac{A+1}{A}(-Q)<k_{1}<\frac{A}{A-1}(-Q)$;
( $\pm$ ) in (37), $\bar{a}_{3}=\sqrt{K} \leqslant a_{3} \leqslant 1$

Case II. $k_{1}=\frac{A}{A-1}(-Q), a_{3}=\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(1+a_{3}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<a_{3} \leqslant 1,2\left(1+a_{3}^{\prime}\right)=(A+1)^{2}\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=4 a_{3}^{2}
$$

Case III. $k_{1}>\frac{A}{A-1}(-Q) ;(+)$ in (37),

$$
-1 \leqslant a_{3} \leqslant 1
$$

All three cases are possible, depending on the incident energy.

## V. ELASTIC COLLISION

In an elastic collision

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}+\left(\begin{array}{l}
A \\
Z,
\end{array}+n+\binom{A}{Z}\right. \\
& 1
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=m_{3} \leqslant m_{2}=m_{4} \quad, \quad Q=0=k_{T} \tag{il}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sees from (31-33) that Cases I, II, III are now distinguished by the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}(A-1) \doteq 0 ; A \equiv m_{2} / m_{1} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for all nuclei but $H$, we have $A>1$ and Case III prevails, formulas (28-30) being
$(A+1)^{2}\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right)=1+A^{2}+2 A a_{3}^{\prime} ; A=m_{2} / m_{1}>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\left\{1+A a_{3}^{-}\right\} /(A+1)\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} ;-1 \leqslant a_{3} \leqslant 1 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(A+1)^{2}\left(k_{3} / k_{1}\right)=\left\{a_{3}+\left(a_{3}^{2}-k\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}^{2} ;$
$K=1-A^{2}<0$.

