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## S. 1 Experimental Section

## S.1.1 Additional Experimental Details

## S.1.1.1 ${ }^{57} \mathbf{F e}$ Mössbauer Spectroscopy

Magnetically-perturbed spectra collected on samples in $S=1 / 2$ ground states were simulated using the following spin Hamiltonian, ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{S H}=\beta_{e} \hat{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \vec{B}+\hat{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \hat{S}-g_{N} \beta_{N} \hat{I} \cdot \vec{B}+\hat{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \hat{I} \tag{S.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{e}$ is the Bohr magneton, $\hat{S}$ is the electronic spin operator, $\boldsymbol{g}$ is the electronic $g$-tensor, $\vec{B}$ is the applied magnetic field, $\hat{I}$ is the nuclear spin operator, $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the hyperfine coupling tensor, $g_{N}$ and $\beta_{N}$ are the nuclear $g$-factor and magneton, and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is the electric field gradient tensor. In the presence of even a weak external field, the electronic Zeeman interaction dominates this Hamiltonian, and the nuclear terms can be solved in a basis of the eigenstates of the electronic Zeeman operator. ${ }^{1,2}$ In this case, the nuclear terms are separable for every such eigenstate, $|i\rangle$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_{\mathrm{nuc}, i} & =\hat{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \cdot\langle\hat{S}\rangle_{i}-g_{N} \beta_{N} \hat{I} \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}+\hat{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \hat{I}  \tag{S.2}\\
& =-g_{N} \beta_{N} \hat{I} \cdot\left(\frac{-\boldsymbol{A}\langle\hat{S}\rangle_{i}}{g_{N} \beta_{N}}+\vec{B}\right)+\frac{e Q V_{z z}}{4 I(2 I-1)}\left[3 \hat{I}_{z}^{2}-I(I+1)+\frac{\eta}{2}\left(\hat{I}_{+}^{2}+\hat{I}_{-}^{2}\right)\right] \tag{S.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e Q V_{z z}$ is the nuclear quadrupole coupling constant, $\eta$ is the asymmetry parameter, and $\hat{S}$ has been replaced by its expectation value for state $|i\rangle$. Conventionally, $\eta=\frac{V_{y y}-V_{x x}}{V_{z z}}$, where $V_{k k}$ are the principle components of $Q$ with $V_{z z} \geq V_{y y} \geq V_{x x}$. In the limit of slow electronic relaxation, separate Mössbauer spectra are computed for each state $|i\rangle$, and the experimental spectrum is reproduced as their Boltzmann-weighted sum. During simulation, the $g$-tensor was fixed as determined from EPR studies. All other parameters, including the relative areas of the (sub)spectra, were allowed to refine freely. Note that noise in the data and imperfections in the simulation may cause the total summed area to exceed or fail to reach unity. Also note that $\boldsymbol{A}$ in the model above is expressed in units of the "internal" field about the ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe}$ nucleus. This can be converted into the HFC tensor in units of MHz for the nuclear ground state of ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe}$ by application of, ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}(\mathrm{MHZ})=1.38152 \times \boldsymbol{A}(\mathrm{T}) \tag{S.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## S.1.1.2 EPR Spectroscopy

Pulse X- and Q-band electron spin-echo detected EPR (ESE-EPR) field-swept spectra were acquired using the 2-pulse "Hahn-echo" sequence ( $\pi / 2-\tau-\pi-\tau$-echo) where $\tau$ was held constant. Subsequently, each field swept echo-detected EPR absorption spectrum was modified using a pseudo-modulation function to approximate the effect of field modulation and produce the CWlike $1^{\text {st }}$ derivative spectrum. ${ }^{3}$ Spin-spin relaxation times $\left(T_{2}\right)$ were measured at Q-band using the same "Hahn-echo" pulse sequence ( $\pi / 2-\tau-\pi-\tau$-echo) where $\tau$ was incremented to produce a time-domain spectrum of the decay of the spin-echo intensity as a function of time.

Pulse Q-band HYSCORE spectra were acquired using the 4-pulse sequence ( $\pi / 2-\tau-\pi / 2-$ $T_{1}-\pi-T_{2}-\pi / 2-\tau$-echo), where $\tau$ is a fixed delay, and $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are variable delays independently incremented by $\Delta T_{1}$ and $\Delta T_{2}$, respectively. The microwave power of the $\pi / 2$ pulses were reduced such that the lengths of these pulses were equal to the $\pi$ pulse to ensure that each pulse provided the same excitation bandwidth. Sixteen step phase cycling was utilized. The time domain spectra were baseline-corrected (third-order polynomial), apodized with a Hamming window function, zerofilled to eight-fold points, and fast Fourier-transformed to yield the frequency domain. Acquisition parameters for all spectra included the following: $\mathrm{T}=15 \mathrm{~K}$; microwave frequency $=34.096$ $\mathrm{GHz}\left(\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}\right), 34.04 \mathrm{GHz}\left(\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}\right) ; \pi / 2=\pi=24 \mathrm{~ns} ; \tau=100 \mathrm{~ns} ;$ $T_{1}=T_{2}=100 \mathrm{~ns} ; \Delta T_{1}=\Delta T_{2}=16 \mathrm{~ns} ; \mathrm{srt}=1 \mathrm{~ms}$.

Pulse X- and Q-band ENDOR spectra were acquired using the Davies pulse sequence ( $\pi-T_{\mathrm{RF}}$ -$\pi_{\mathrm{RF}}-T_{\mathrm{RF}}-\pi / 2-\tau-\pi-$ echo), where $T_{\mathrm{RF}}$ is the delay between MW pulses and RF pulses, $\pi_{\mathrm{RF}}$ is the length of the RF pulse. The RF frequency was randomly sampled during each pulse sequence.

In general, the ENDOR spectrum for a given nucleus with spin $I=1 / 2\left(\right.$ e.g., $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ coupled to the $S=1 / 2$ electron spin exhibits a doublet at frequencies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{ \pm}=\left|\frac{A}{2} \pm v_{N}\right| \tag{S.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{N}$ is the nuclear Larmor frequency and $A$ is the hyperfine coupling. For nuclei with $I \geq 1$ (e.g., ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ ), an additional splitting of the $v_{ \pm}$manifolds is produced by the nuclear quadrupole
interaction $(P)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{ \pm, m_{I}}=\left|v_{N} \pm \frac{3 P\left(2 m_{I}-1\right)}{2}\right| \tag{S.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In HYSCORE spectra, these signals manifest as cross-peaks or ridges in the 2-D frequency spectrum which are generally symmetric about the diagonal of a given quadrant. This technique allows hyperfine levels corresponding to the same electron-nuclear submanifold to be differentiated, as well as separating features from hyperfine couplings in the weak-coupling regime $\left(|A|<2\left|v_{I}\right|\right)$ in the $(+,+)$ quadrant from those in the strong coupling regime $\left(|A|>2\left|v_{I}\right|\right)$ in the $(-,+)$ quadrant. The $(-,-)$ and $(+,-)$ quadrants of these frequency spectra are symmetric to the $(+,+)$ and $(-,+)$ quadrants, thus typically only two of the quadrants are typically displayed in literature. For systems with appreciable hyperfine anisotropy in frozen solutions or solids, HYSCORE spectra typically do not exhibit sharp cross peaks, but show ridges that represent the sum of cross peaks from selected orientations at the magnetic field position at which the spectrum is collected. The length and curvature of these correlation ridges allow for the separation and estimation of the magnitude of the isotropic and dipolar components of the hyperfine tensor.

For systems exhibiting significant rhombic symmetry in the hyperfine tensor, as is the case for the $N_{\alpha}$ for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+/-}$, such simple analysis of these correlation ridges is less facile, and even cursory analysis requires spectral simulations. For systems coupled to nuclei with $I=1$, such as ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$, the double-quantum peaks are often the most intense feature. These cross-peaks are defined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{\alpha}= \pm 2 \sqrt{\left(v_{I}+A / 2\right)^{2}+K^{2}\left(3+\eta^{2}\right)}  \tag{S.7}\\
& v_{\beta}= \pm 2 \sqrt{\left(v_{I}-A / 2\right)^{2}+K^{2}\left(3+\eta^{2}\right)} \tag{S.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K=e^{2} q Q / 4 \hbar$. For weakly coupled nuclei $\left(|A|<2\left|v_{I}\right|\right), v_{\alpha}$ and $v_{\beta}$ are both positive, appearing in the $(+,+)$ quadrant, while for strongly coupled nuclei they will show up in the $(-,+)$ quadrant. In the intermediate coupling regime where $|A| \approx\left|2 v_{I}\right|$, peaks will often appear in both the $(+,+)$ and $(-,+)$ quadrants of the HYSCORE spectrum.

The HFC tensor, $\boldsymbol{A}$, can be decomposed into its isotropic, $a_{\mathrm{iso}}$, and anisotropic, $\boldsymbol{T}$, parts, ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=a_{\mathrm{iso}} 1+T \tag{S.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the anisotropic HFC tensor, $\boldsymbol{T}$, is the traceless matrix formed by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{A}-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{A} \tag{S.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $a_{\text {iso }}=\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{A} . \quad \boldsymbol{T}$ can be further decomposed into scalar components: $t$ (in units of energy), describing the magnitude of the anisotropic HFC coupling, and a dimensionless term $\delta_{\mathrm{HFC}}\left(0 \leq \delta_{\mathrm{HFC}} \leq 1\right)$, describing the rhombicity of the tensor,

$$
\boldsymbol{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 t & &  \tag{S.11}\\
& -t\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{HFC}}\right) & \\
& & -t\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{HFC}}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

For an isotropic HFC tensor, $t=0$. For an anisotropic, axially-symmetric tensor, $t \neq 0$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{HFC}}=0$, while a rhombic tensor has $t \neq 0$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{HFC}}=1$.

## S.1.2 Additional Synthetic Details

## S.1.2.1 Synthesis of $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathbf{N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$

The synthesis of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$ followed the reported procedure, ${ }^{5}$ but replacing the atmosphere of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ gas with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ gas.

## S.1.2.2 Synthesis of $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathbf{N N}\left({ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$

The synthesis of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NN}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$ followed the reported procedure, ${ }^{5}$ but replacing MeOTf with $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ OTf.

## S.1.2.3 Synthesis of $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{B}}\right)^{\mathbf{5 7}} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathbf{N N M e}_{2}\right)$

The synthesis of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{57} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ followed the reported procedure, ${ }^{5}$ but replacing $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Br})$ as the iron precursor with $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{57} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Cl})$.

## S.1.2.4 Synthesis of $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{57} \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$

The synthesis of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right){ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$ followed the reported procedure, ${ }^{5}$ but replacing $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Br})$ as the iron precursor with $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{57} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Cl})$, and replacing the atmosphere of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ gas with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ gas.

## S.1.2.5 Synthesis of $\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathbf{N N M e}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathbf{F}}{ }_{4}\right]$ Isotopologues

The synthesis of isotopologues of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]$ including ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ were prepared as described above, but using the corresponding isotopologue of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ as the starting material.

## S.1.2.6 Crystallization of $\left[K(\text { benzo-15-c-5 })_{2}\right]\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]$

To obtain a crystal of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$suitable for X-ray diffraction, a 5 mM 2 -MeTHF solution of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ was reduced to $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$as described in the main text, and subsequently filtered into a vial containing 2.1 equiv of solid benzo-15-c-5. The resultant solution was layered with $n$-pentane chilled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $2: 1 n$-pentane:2-MeTHF), and this mixture was
placed in a freezer at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After ca. 24 hours, a crop of dark crystals formed on the bottom of the vial; this sample was transferred to the X-ray diffractometer on dry ice, where the crystals were quickly removed from their mother liquor and suspended in Paratone N oil. A suitable crystal was selected and mounted on the diffractometer, which was pre-chilled to 100 K under a stream of dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Under a microscope, the crystals begin to visually decompose as soon as they are removed from their chilled mother liquor, requiring this procedure to be performed in a matter of minutes; multiple attempts were required before a strongly diffracting crystal was obtained. To confirm that the coordination of benzo-15-c-5 to the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$counterion of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$does not affect its spectroscopic properties, we repeated this experiment, but transferred the 2-MeTHF solution containing $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$to a pre-chilled quartz X-band sample tube, which was subsequently frozen and analyzed by CW EPR spectroscopy. The spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$ prepared in this fashion with or without added benzo-15-c-5 are identical (Figure S.6).

## S.1.2.7 Synthesis of $\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathbf{N N M e}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$Isotopologues

The synthesis of isotopologues of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$including ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ were prepared as described above, but using the corresponding isotopologue of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ as the starting material.

## S.1.3 Computational Methods

Most calculations were carried out using version 4.0.1.2 of the ORCA package. ${ }^{6}$ Based on previous work, ${ }^{5,7}$ DFT calculations utilized the TPSS family of meta-GGA exchange-correlation functionals, incorporating either $0 \%$ (TPSS), ${ }^{8} 10 \%$ (TPSSh), ${ }^{9}$ or $25 \%$ (TPSS0) Hartree-Fock exchange, ${ }^{10,11}$ in combination with the zeroth order regular approximation (ZORA) to account for relativistic effects. ${ }^{12}$ Gas-phase geometry optimizations were carried out using the TPSS functional in combination with the scalar relativistically recontracted versions of the def2-SVP (ZORA-def2SVP) basis sets on C and H , and the scalar relativistically recontracted versions of the def2-TZVP (ZORA-def2-TZVP) basis sets on $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}$, and N atoms and the C and H atoms of the " $\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ "
ligand. ${ }^{13}$ For all atoms, the general-purpose segmented all-electron relativistically contracted auxiliary Coulomb-fitting basis (SARC/J) was employed, which is a decontraction of the def2/J basis developed by Weigend. ${ }^{14}$ Optimizations were followed by a frequency calculation to ensure a true minimum. For the computation of valence electronic structures, single point calculations were carried out on these optimized geometries using the TPSSh or TPSSO functional in combination with an enlarged basis set, ZORA-def2-TZVPP, on $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}$ and the " $\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ " ligand. ${ }^{13}$ These calculations employed a fine integration grid (ORCA Grid5) during geometry optimization, as well as during the final single-point calculation (Grid6). Calculations employing the hybrid meta-GGA functionals TPSSh/TPSS0 were accelerated using the RIJCOSX approximation with a fine auxiliary integration grid (ORCA GridX5). ${ }^{15}$

Broken-symmetry (BS) DFT calculations employed the FlipSpin method implemented in ORCA. In this approach, a high-spin solution is converged self-consistently, the spins on a selected group of atoms are "flipped" by exchanging the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ spin density matrix elements on these centers, and then a solution of the desired multiplicity is converged. The BS character of the resultant solution can be determined following the corresponding orbital transformation of the converged Kohn-Sham orbitals, ${ }^{16}$ where pairs of spin-coupled orbitals have spatial overlap less than 1. The degree of orbital overlap can be interpreted as a measure of the strength of the magnetic coupling. ${ }^{17}$ In all cases, however, the BS solutions obtained explicitly via this method could also be arrived at by simply performing spin-unrestricted DFT calculations on the state of interest.

For DFT calculations of ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe}$ hyperfine interactions, the TPSSh functional was used in combination with the def2-TZVP basis set on all C and H atoms not involving the $\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ ligand, ${ }^{18}$ the $\mathrm{CP}(\mathrm{PPP})$ basis for $\mathrm{Fe},{ }^{19}$ and the IGLO-III basis for the $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}$, and " $\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ " atoms. ${ }^{20}$ Given the lack of recontracted versions of these latter bases, scalar relativistic effects were ignored. The general purpose def2/J Coulomb fitting basis was employed on atoms using the def2-TZVP basis, ${ }^{14}$ while the AutoAux feature of ORCA was used to generate auxiliary bases for the other atoms on-the-fly; ${ }^{21}$ all auxiliary bases were fully decontracted. To capture core polarization effects, the radial integration accuracy was increased around the $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}$, and " $\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ " atoms (IntAcc 7).

The calculation of hyperfine interactions employed the eprnmr module of ORCA. Calculation of the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) contribution to the Fe HFC constant used the SOMF (1X) meanfield SOC operator, ${ }^{22}$ which is employed in a coupled-perturbed Kohn-Sham framework. ${ }^{23,24}$ A previously-reported calibration was used to convert the computed Fe core electron density to the isomer shift ( $\delta$ ) in units of $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$; estimates of the uncertainty in the computed values of $\delta$ and $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ were obtained from this calibration. ${ }^{5}$

DFT calculation of the EPR properties of free $N, N$-dimethylhydrazyl radicals used the same methods for geometry optimization described above. To calculate EPR properties, single point calculations were performed on these optimized geometries using the TPSSh functional in combination with the IGLO-III basis set, using the same methods described above for the calculation of ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe}$ hyperfine interactions. As shown in Table S.12, this method was found to almost quantitatively reproduce the experimental spectra of the known radicals $\left[\mathrm{HNNMe}_{2}\right]^{\bullet}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right]^{\bullet+} .^{25-27}$ When calculating the EPR properties of $\left[\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right]^{\bullet-}$, we considered both the ground-state geometry as well as a theoretical planar geometry more closely matching that of the " $\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ " ligands of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+/ 0 /-}$ observed crystallographically. The planar structure was obtained using a constrained geometry optimization under approximate $C_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry.

Multireference calculations employed the scalar relativistically recontracted versions of Dunning's correlation-consistent basis sets tailored for use with the Douglas-Kroll-Hess (DKH) Hamiltonian to account for relativistic effects. The basis sets were of double- $\zeta$ quality on the C and H atoms (cc-pVDZ-DK), ${ }^{28}$ and triple- $\zeta$ quality on the $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}$, and " $\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ " atoms, the latter being augmented with additional diffuse functions for greater flexibility (aug-cc-pVTZ-DK). ${ }^{29}$ In all calculations, the second order DKH Hamiltonian (DKH2) was used. ${ }^{30}$ To accelerate these calculations, the RIJK approximation was used in combination with the aug-cc-pVTZ/JK auxiliary Coulomb/exchange fitting basis for the $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}$, and N atoms; ${ }^{31}$ the AutoAux feature was used to generate an auxiliary basis set for $\mathrm{Fe},{ }^{21}$ and all auxiliary bases were decontracted. Input orbitals were taken from the quasi-restricted orbitals ${ }^{32}$ of a DFT calculation employing the BP86 exchange-correlation functional. ${ }^{33,34}$ The active space was chosen following the "standard" rules
for transition metal compounds: ${ }^{35}$ for each complex, 10 orbitals were included, corresponding to the 5 Fe 3 d orbitals, the $\pi_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\pi^{*} \mathrm{NN}$ orbitals of the " $\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ " fragment, the $\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbital, and 2 second-shell $3 d^{\prime}$ orbitals to provide greater flexibility for the strongly-occupied $3 d_{x y} / 3 d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbitals. Using the standard notation CAS(\# electrons, \# orbitals), this leads to a CAS $(9,10)$ active space for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$, a $\mathrm{CAS}(10,10)$ active space for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$, and a $\mathrm{CAS}(11,10)$ active space for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$.

State-averaged CASSCF calculations employed equal weights for all roots. After convergence of the CASSCF reference was achieved, a second-order N -electron perturbation theory (NEVPT2) calculation was performed to account for dynamic correlation effects. ${ }^{36}$ For efficiency, NEVPT2 calculations employed the strongly-contracted variant of NEVPT2 parameterized in ORCA (SCNEVPT2). ${ }^{37}$ The calculation of $g$-tensors and the anisotropic parts of the HFC tensors was performed using the multireference CI (mrci) module. CAS-CI calculations were performed using a converged SA-CASSCF reference. The MRCI module computes $g$-tensors and HFC tensors using a sum-over-states formalism, as described elsewhere. ${ }^{38}$ In all cases, the first 10 roots of the same multiplicity as the ground state were considered in these calculations. Localization of the active space orbitals used the algorithm of Foster and Boys. ${ }^{39}$ For simplicity, hereafter we will use the notation ${ }^{2 S+1} \Gamma_{n, m}$ to refer to electronic states of the $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+/ 0 /-}$ redox series, where $n$ refers to the overall charge, and $m$ refers to the energetic ordering of the state, with $m=0$ corresponding to the ground state of the spin manifold $S$.

Since our QCC-NCA analysis software is written specifically for Gaussian $09,{ }^{40}$ a reoptimization of the ground-state geometries of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+/ 0 /-}$ was necessary for simulation of NRVS data. Using the optimized structures from ORCA, reoptimization of these molecules was carried out using the TPSS functional with a combination of def2-TZVP (on all non $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$ atoms and the complete " $\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ " units) and def2-SVP (on the remaining $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$ atoms) basis sets. The structures obtained in this way are essentially identical to those calculated with ORCA. The subsequent frequency calculations show no imaginary frequencies, indicating that true energy minima were obtained. The force constants were transformed to internal coordinates and extracted using a
modified version of the program Redong, ${ }^{41}$ and modified NCA programs ${ }^{42}$ based on QCPE $5766^{43}$ were used for the subsequent fitting of the experimental data. The data were fitted by adjusting a minimal set of force constants (in the spirit of the QCC-NCA approach) to reproduce the vibrations of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}$ units in the $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+/ 0 /-}$ complexes (see main text).

## S. 2 XRD Refinement Details

## S.2.1 Refinement details for $\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathbf{F}}{ }_{4}\right]$

The crystal structure of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$ suffers from positional disorder of one $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituent of the $\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]^{-}$counterion due to rotation, resulting in prolate thermal ellipsoid for one F atom. Attempts to model this positional disorder did not significantly improve the refinement.

## S.2.2 Refinement details for [K(benzo-15-c-5][ $\left.\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathbf{F e}\left(\mathbf{N N M e}_{2}\right)\right]$

Over prolonged data collection, the crystal of [K(benzo-15-c-5][( $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ appeared to suffer some decomposition, as $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ began to diverge for later runs. This resulted in a lack of complete high-angle data (dataset $91 \%$ complete to $\theta=61.165^{\circ}$ ), and a number of disagreeable reflections. Omitting the disagreeable reflections did not significantly affect refinement, and they were thus included in the final model. There was no indication of twinning, merohedral or otherwise (e.g., using the TwinRotMat subroutine of PLATON ${ }^{44}$ ).

During refinement, these issues appear to be manifest only in the presence of four disordered co-crystallized solvent molecules (2-MeTHF). Two of these solvent molecules suffer from twopart positional disorder; each part was located in the difference map and refined anisotropically. The remaining two solvent molecules are also disordered (as judged by the size of their thermal ellipsoids), but a clear multi-part positional disorder could not be identified. During refinement, the bond distances/angles of these disordered solvent molecules were constrained to be similar using SAME/SADI restraints, and a rigid-bond restraint (DELU) was applied individually to each. Despite these disordered solvent molecules, both $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$and its counterion refined without issue. The presence of the solvent molecules lowers the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond precision to $0.02 \AA$, which can be alleviated by using the SQUEEZE procedure implemented in PLATON to
remove the electron density associated with these molecules; however, as this does not significantly alter the ESDs of the atoms of interest, we report the complete dataset, solvent molecules included.

## S. 3 Estimation of $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}\right)$ for triplet $\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathbf{N N M e}_{2}\right)$ from VT NMR Data

For an orbitally-nondegenerate electronic state where the isotropic paramagnetic NMR shift is dominated by the Fermi contact term, the Bloembergen-McConnell formula gives, ${ }^{45-47}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{ppm})=10^{6} \cdot \frac{g_{\mathrm{iso}} \beta_{e} S(S+1)}{3 g_{N} \beta_{N} k_{B} T} \cdot a_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{~J}) \tag{S.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{\text {iso }}$ is the isotropic electronic $g$-factor, $k_{B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $a_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{J})$ is the isotropic hyperfine coupling constant, in J. Noting that $a_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{J})=10^{6} \cdot h a_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{MHz})$, we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{ppm}) & =\frac{C}{T}  \tag{S.13}\\
C & =10^{12} \cdot \frac{h g_{\mathrm{iso}} \beta_{e} S(S+1)}{3 g_{N} \beta_{N} k_{B} T} \cdot a_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{MHz}) \tag{S.14}
\end{align*}
$$

In other words, the isotropic hyperfine coupling constant (in MHz) can be estimated from the apparent Curie factor ( $C$ ) using,

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{MHz}) & =10^{-12} \cdot \frac{3 C g_{N} \beta_{N} k_{B}}{h g_{\mathrm{iso}} \beta_{e} S(S+1)}  \tag{S.15}\\
& \approx 10^{-12} \cdot \frac{3 C g_{N} \beta_{N} k_{B}}{h g_{e} \beta_{e} S(S+1)} \tag{S.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the approximation $g_{\text {iso }} \approx g_{e}$ is justified given the initial assumption that the Fermi contact term dominates the paramagnetic shift. Using the previously-published value for the Curie factor for the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\left(-1.27 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right),{ }^{5}$ one would thus estimate $a_{\text {iso }} \approx-120$ MHz. However, we note that the previous simulation of the VT NMR data of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ produced a Curie constant for these protons with relatively high uncertainty. An examination of the data revealed that this is due to the small absolute entropic contribution to the energy difference between the ground and excited states. Performing a global, simultaneous, least-squares fit of each ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nucleus to the magnetization function described in our previous work, ${ }^{5}$ but approximating $\Delta G \approx \Delta H \approx \Delta E$, produces fits with much smaller relative errors (See Figure S. 35 and Table S.3). Using the above definition of the Curie factor, we estimate $a_{\text {iso }} \approx-48 \pm 1 \mathrm{MHz}$. We note that this
value is much larger than that measured for known $N, N$-dimethylhydrazyl radicals (Table S.12), likely reflecting the fact that ignoring the pseudocontact contribution to the isotropic shift is a poor approximation. This is consistent with the significant ligand-centered spin density calculated for the triplet excited state of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$.

## S. 4 Excited State Energetics of $\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathbf{F e}\left(\mathbf{N N M e}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$from VT Magnetic Susceptibility

In order to determine if $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$, like its reduced congener $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$, possesses a low-lying excited state, we recorded its solution magnetic moment as a function of temperature (Figure S.2). Note that before calculating magnetic moments, the raw susceptibility data were corrected for the density changes of the solvent ( $d_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$ ); the correction used the known temperature dependence of density reported for THF, ${ }^{48}$ and assumed a constant shift of $0.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ to account for the density difference of THF versus $d_{8}$-THF. Increasing the temperature from 183 to 323 K , we observed a concomitant increase in the observed magnetic moment of ca. $1 \beta_{e}$, consistent with thermal population of a state with $S>1 / 2$. To model this behavior, we assume that each pure paramagnetic state obeys a Curie law, so that $\chi_{M}=C / T$, and hence $\mu_{\text {eff }}=2.828 \cdot\left(\chi_{M} T\right)^{1 / 2}$ is temperature-independent. Then we can write, ${ }^{49}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{\mathrm{obs}} & =\mu_{1} \cdot \gamma_{1}+\mu_{2} \cdot \gamma_{2}  \tag{S.17}\\
& =\mu_{1} \cdot\left(1-\gamma_{2}\right)+\mu_{2} \cdot \gamma_{2}  \tag{S.18}\\
& =\mu_{1}+\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right) \cdot \gamma_{2}  \tag{S.19}\\
& =\mu_{1}+\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right) \cdot \frac{g_{2}}{g_{2}+g_{1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{R T}(\Delta H-T \Delta S)\right)} \tag{S.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{i}$ is the magnetic moment of state $i$ and $g_{i}$ is the electronic degeneracy of state $i$. We have used this equation to fit the variable temperature magnetic data of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$assuming $g_{2}=4$ and $\mu_{2}=3.87$ (i.e., a quartet excited state) and $g_{2}=6$ and $\mu_{2}=5.92$ (i.e., a sextet excited state). The final fits are of equal quality, and have final fitting parameters that are identical, within error, except for $\Delta S$ (Figure S. 36 and Table S.4). Given the limited thermal stability over which magnetization data could be reliably collected, an unambiguous distinction between these
two models cannot be made experimentally. However, DFT calculations indicate that the sextet state state of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$is significantly higher in energy than the quartet state (Tables S. 10 and S.11), and on this basis we assign the excited state multiplicity as $S=3 / 2$, and estimate the adiabatic doublet-quartet gap to be $\Delta H \approx 4.8 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

## S. 5 Fitting of UV-vis Data

Using an idealized Gaussian line shape, an absorption band can be written, ${ }^{50}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(\bar{v})=\epsilon_{0} \exp \left(-\frac{4 \log 2\left(\bar{v}-\bar{v}_{0}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{1 / 2}^{2}}\right) \tag{S.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}$ is the molar extinction coefficient at the absorption maximum, $\bar{\nu}_{0}$ is the energy at the absorption maximum, and $\sigma_{1 / 2}$ is the peak FWHM. The oscillator strength is given by, ${ }^{50}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=4.33 \cdot 10^{-9} \int \epsilon(\bar{v}) d \bar{v}=4.33 \cdot 10^{-9} \cdot \epsilon_{0} \sigma_{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\pi}{4 \log 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{S.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus, we can express $\epsilon_{0}$ in terms of $f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(\bar{v})=\frac{f}{4.33 \cdot 10^{-9}} \frac{2}{\sigma_{1 / 2}}\left(\frac{\log 2}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{4 \log 2\left(\bar{v}-\bar{v}_{0}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{1 / 2}^{2}}\right) \tag{S.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both experimental and ab initio electronic spectra were simulated using this expression. A minimum number of Gaussians to capture both the resolved features and the rising UV absorption edge were included in the experimental fits. Before fitting, low-temperature data were first corrected for the change in solvent density. ${ }^{51}$

## S. 6 Mössbauer simulation details

All magnetically-unperturbed components were fit assuming symmetric quadrupole doublets with Lorentzian line shapes. This is the correct model for homogeneous frozen solution spectra in the limit of fast electronic relaxation, which is typical at 80 K . However, spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}}{ }_{4}\right]$ displayed a broad, asymmetric signal at 80 K , which sharpened considerably upon application of a 50 mT external magnetic field, indicating that this species is in the limit of slow electronic relaxation at these temperatures. The effects of this are also manifest in the observation of an EPR signature at room temperature (Figure S.4). This is apparently a result of unusually
slow spin-spin relaxation $\left(T_{2}\right)$, as a Mössbauer spectrum collected on a polycrystalline sample of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$ features an asymmetrically-broadened quadrupole doublet, indicating an intermediate relaxation regime. That these relaxation effects are dominated by a long $T_{2}$ was confirmed by direct measurement of the spin-spin relaxation times of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NAd})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$, demonstrating that the former has a significantly longer $T_{2}$ (Figure S. 47 and Table S.8). While both of these complexes possess $S=1 / 2$ ground states, the latter displays a symmetric quadrupole doublet in its solid state Mössbauer spectrum at $80 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{52}$

To simulate the spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$, we employed the spin Hamiltonian described above to simultaneously fit dilute frozen solution spectra of ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe}$-enriched $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$ with the external field oriented parallel and perpendicular to the applied $\gamma$-radiation. The $g$-tensor components were fixed from EPR spectroscopy, while $\delta$ and $\left|\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right|$ were fixed initially based on the apparent values from the polycrystalline sample (note that the sign of $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ cannot be determined from these low-field simulations). Assuming that the EFG and HFC tensors were coincident with the electronic $g$-tensor, two equally-good solutions could be obtained that differed in the value of $\eta$ and the relative orientation of the minor components of the HFC tensor (reduced $\chi^{2}=1.44$ and 1.32). These solutions could be rotated into one another by application of a ca. $90^{\circ}$ Euler angle $\gamma$ about $g_{\text {max }}\left(=g_{x}\right.$, all rotations in the $z-y-z$ convention) in either the EFG or HFC frame, indicating that the EFG/HFC tensors are not coincident with the $g$-tensor. Introduction of a single Euler angle $\beta$ in the HFC frame produced the final solution to the data; we caution that without data collected at multiple field strengths, the final values of $\eta$ and the orientation of the HFC frame are under-determined.

The magnetic field-dependence of the spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$indicate that this species is also in the limit of slow electronic relaxation in dilute frozen solution at 80 K . This behavior was expected based on the isoelectronic complexes $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNH}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right) .{ }^{53}$ Indeed, the Mössbauer spectra reported for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNR}_{2}\right)$ are nearly identical with that of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$. To simulate the latter, we again employed the spin-Hamiltonian described above to simultaneously fit dilute frozen solution spectra with the external field oriented parallel and
perpendicular to the applied $\gamma$-radiation. Using the $g$-tensor components measured independently from EPR spectroscopy, and the reported parameters of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{Si}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ as an initial guess, ${ }^{53}$ we obtained a unique spectral simulation (Figure S. 32 and Table S.7). It was found that two additional quadrupole doublet impurities (totaling ca. $30 \%$ of the spectral area) were required to obtain a satisfactory simulation (reduced $\chi^{2}=0.77$ ), which is consistent with the typical purity reported for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{Si}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNH}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{Si}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right) .{ }^{53}$

## S. 7 NMR Spectra



Figure S.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}}{ }_{4}\right]\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{8}-\mathrm{THF}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ Denotes co-crystallized toluene. *Denotes signals from the lock solvent. ${ }^{+}$Denotes signals from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ added as a reference for the Evans method.


Figure S.2: Variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{8}\right.$ THF). \#Denotes co-crystallized toluene. *Denotes signals from the lock solvent. ${ }^{+}$Denotes signals from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ added as a reference for the Evans method.


Figure S.3: Variable temperature ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR spectra of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{8}-\right.$ toluene). Temperatures $(\mathrm{K})$ are shown to the left of each spectrum. The raw data are plotted in black, with least-squares fits to a Lorentzian peak shape are shown in red.

## S. 8 EPR Spectra



Figure S.4: Room-temperature X-band EPR spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right](2 \mathrm{mM}$, 2MeTHF).


Figure S.5: The decay of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$, monitored by X-band EPR spectroscopy. The left panel shows a spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}(10 \mathrm{mM}, 2-\mathrm{MeTHF}, 77 \mathrm{~K})$ in blue. This sample was thawed to room temperature for five minutes, flash-frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and its spectrum was recollected. Repeating this process several times lead to the monotonic decay of the signals attributed to $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$(gray spectra), producing a the final spectrum shown in red, which is also reproduced in the upper right panel. The approximate decay kinetics of the signal of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$is shown in the lower right panel (closed circles), along with a monoexponential fit (solid line).


Figure S.6: Overlaid X-band EPR spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$with (dashed blue) and without (red) the addition of 2.1 equiv of benzo-15-c-5.


Figure S.7: ESE-detected Q- and X-band field-swept absorption spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}(2-$ MeTHF, 15 K ). Field positions where ENDOR and/or HYSCORE data were collected are indicated by vertical arrows.


Figure S.8: Overlaid Q-band ENDOR spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$; the field at which each spectrum was collected is indicated above each spectrum (*denotes a harmonic arising from weaklycoupled protons (ligand and/or solvent). (Left) Spectra collected on a natural abundance isotope (N.A.I.) sample. Raw data are shown in black, along with simulations in red. A deconvolution of the simulation in terms of the contributions from ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ (blue) and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\gamma}$ (green) is also shown for the spectrum collected at 1180 mT . See the main text for the full simulation parameters for these nuclei. (Right) Difference spectra between a sample of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NN}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$and the N.A.I. sample, showing contributions from the $\mathrm{N}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei only. Raw data are shown in black, with simulations in red. See the main text for the full simulation parameters. Acquisition parameters: $\mathrm{T}=15 \mathrm{~K}$; MW frequency $=34.104 \mathrm{GHz} ; \tau=600 \mathrm{~ns} ;$ MW pulse length $=(\pi / 2, \pi)=(80,160) \mathrm{ns} ;$ RF pulse length $=60 \mu \mathrm{~s}$; shot repetition time $(\mathrm{srt})=5 \mathrm{~ms}$.


Figure S.9: Overlaid X-band ENDOR spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$, showing resonances due to two strongly-coupled ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei. The fields at which each spectrum was collected is indicated above each spectrum. Raw data are shown in black, with simulations shown in red. See the main text for the full simulation parameters for these nuclei. Acquisition parameters: $\mathrm{T}=15 \mathrm{~K}$; MW frequency $=9.734 \mathrm{GHz} ; \tau=240 \mathrm{~ns} ;$ MW pulse length $=(\pi / 2, \pi)=40,80 \mathrm{~ns} ;$ RF pulse length $=40$ $\mu \mathrm{s}$; shot repetition time $(\mathrm{srt})=3 \mathrm{~ms}$.


Figure S.10: (Top) CW X-band spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NAd})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$ ( $5 \mathrm{mM}, 2-\mathrm{MeTHF}, 77 \mathrm{~K}$ ). The experimental spectrum is shown in black, with a simulation in red. (Bottom) ESE-detected Q-band field-swept absorption spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NAd})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}}{ }_{4}\right]$ ( $5 \mathrm{mM}, 2-\mathrm{MeTHF}, 10 \mathrm{~K}$ ). The experimental spectrum is shown in black, with a simulation in red. Simulation parameters: $g_{\min }=1.970, g_{\text {mid }}=2.058, g_{\max }=2.419$. To account for unresolved HFC, the CW spectrum was inhomogeneously-broadened using HStrain = [lllll 187190400$]$.


Figure S.11: ESE-detected Q-band field-swept absorption spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}(2-$ MeTHF, 12 K ). Field positions where ENDOR and/or HYSCORE data were collected are indicated by vertical arrows.


Figure S.12: Overlaid Q-band ENDOR spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$; the field at which each spectrum was collected is indicated above each spectrum. (Left) Low frequency region of spectra collected on a natural abundance isotope (N.A.I.) sample. Raw data are shown in black, along with simulation of a single ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ nucleus in red. (Middle) Wide frequency scans showing the contributions from three ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei. Raw data are shown in black, with simulations in red. The features centered around 50 MHz are due to weakly-coupled ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclei (ligand and solvent). (Right) Difference spectra between a sample of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NN}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$and the N.A.I. sample, showing contributions from the $\mathrm{N}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei only. Raw data are shown in black, with simulations in red. See the main text for the full simulation parameters. Acquisition parameters: $\mathrm{T}=15 \mathrm{~K}$; MW frequency $=34.044 \mathrm{GHz} ; \tau=600 \mathrm{~ns}$; MW pulse length $=(\pi / 2, \pi)=80,160 \mathrm{~ns}$; RF pulse length $=$ $60 \mu \mathrm{~s}$; shot repetition time $(\mathrm{srt})=5 \mathrm{~ms}$.


Figure S.13: Sample HYSCORE powder patterns for an $S=1 / 2, I=1 / 2$ spin system with an axial hyperfine tensor which contains isotropic ( $a_{\mathrm{iso}}$ ) and dipolar $(\boldsymbol{T})$ contributions. Blue correlation ridges represent the strong coupling case; red correlation ridges represent the weak coupling case.


Figure S.14: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}^{14} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$collected at a field of 1180 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.15: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$collected at a field of 1180 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.16: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}^{14} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$collected at a field of 1196 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.17: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$collected at a field of 1196 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.18: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}^{14} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$collected at a field of 1214 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.19: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$collected at a field of 1214 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.20: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}^{14} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$collected at a field of 1119 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.21: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$collected at a field of 1119 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences (cf. Figure S.22). See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.22: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$collected at a field of 1119 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays a simulation (red) in which $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ were treated simultaneously to show the effect of multinuclear coherences (cf. Figure S.21). See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.23: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}^{14} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$collected at a field of 1167 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.24: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$collected at a field of 1167 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. Such coherences can be observed in this spectrum in the $(+,+)$ quadrant in the region spanned by $(5,20)$ to $(20,5) \mathrm{MHz}$ (cf. Figure S.25). See Table S .23 for simulation details.


Figure S.25: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$collected at a field of 1167 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays a simulation (red) in which $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ were treated simultaneously to show the effect of multinuclear coherences (cf. Figure S.24). See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.26: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}^{14} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$collected at a field of 1211 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.


Figure S.27: HYSCORE spectrum of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$collected at a field of 1211 mT . The raw data are presented in the top panel, with intensities encoded by the color map. The bottom panel reproduces the experimental spectrum in grey, and overlays simulations due to $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}$ (red) and $\mathrm{N}_{\beta}$ (blue). Note that the simulations were calculated for each nucleus separately, and thus do not show effects due to multinuclear coherences. See Table S. 23 for simulation details.

## S. 9 IR Spectra



Figure S.28: IR spectra of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ isotopologues. (A) Overlaid spectra of a natural abundance isotope (N.A.I.) sample (red), and that of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$ (blue). (B) Experimental N.A.I. $-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ difference spectrum. (C) DFT-calculated N.A.I. $-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ difference spectrum. (D) Experimental N.A.I- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ difference spectrum (the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ sample is that of $\left.\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NN}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right)$. (E) DFT-calculated N.A.I. $-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ difference spectrum. Note that the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration at 1337 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is mixed with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ stretching modes.


Figure S.29: IR spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]$ isotopologues. (A) Overlaid spectra of a natural abundance isotope (N.A.I.) sample (red), and that of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{15} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$(blue). (B) Experimental N.A.I. $-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ difference spectrum. (C) DFT-calculated N.A.I. $-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ difference spectrum. (D) Experimental N.A.I- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ difference spectrum (the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ sample is that of $\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NN}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+}\right)$. (E) DFT-calculated N.A.I.- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ difference spectrum. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration appears at 1495 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Note that at lower frequencies, the IR spectra are dominated by resonances from the $\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]^{-}$ counterion, and reliable difference spectra could not be collected.

## S. 10 UV-vis Spectra



Figure S.30: UV-vis spectrum of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)(2-\mathrm{MeTHF}, 153 \mathrm{~K})$. The experimental data are shown as open circles, with a Gaussian spectra deconvolution shown in red. Individual subcomponents are shown as dashed red and solid black lines. See Table S. 5 for the fitting parameters.

## S. 11 Mössbauer Spectra



Figure S.31: 80 K Mössbauer spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$. Raw data are shown as open circles, with simulations shown as solid red lines; individual sub-components are plotted in blue and black. (A) Polycrystalline sample (natural abundance) collected in a parallel 50 mT field. (B) Frozen solution sample of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$ ( 40 mM , natural abundance, 2-MeTHF), collected in a parallel 50 mT field. (C) Frozen solution sample of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right](40$ mM , natural abundance, 2-MeTHF), collected in a perpendicular 50 mT field. (D) Difference spectrum (B)-(C). See Table S. 6 for fit parameters.


Figure S.32: 80 K Mössbauer spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$. Raw data are shown as open circles, with simulations shown as solid red lines; individual sub-components are plotted in red, blue, and black. (A) Frozen solution sample of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{57} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}(4 \mathrm{mM}, 2-\mathrm{MeTHF})$, collected in zero applied field. (B) Frozen solution sample of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{57} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}(4 \mathrm{mM}, 2-\mathrm{MeTHF})$, collected in a parallel 50 mT field. (C) Frozen solution sample of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{57} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}(4 \mathrm{mM}, 2-\mathrm{MeTHF})$, collected in a perpendicular 50 mT field. (D) Difference spectrum (B)-(C). See Table S. 7 for fit parameters.


Figure S.33: 80 K Mössbauer spectrum of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NN}\left[\mathrm{Si}_{2}\right)(30 \mathrm{mM}\right.$, 2-MeTHF, natural abundance) collected in the presence of a parallel 50 mT field. Raw data are shown as open circles, with a simulation shown as a solid red line. Simulation parameters: $\delta=0.19 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ;\left|\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right|=1.85$ $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{s}^{-1} ; \mathrm{FWHM}=0.54 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

## S. 12 Cyclic voltammograms



Figure S.34: Cyclic voltammograms of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ (THF, 293 K ). Each voltammogram was scanned cathodically through the $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{0 /-}$ couple at ca. -2.6 V . The scan rate was increased in increments of $50 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ from $50 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (blue) to $200 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (magenta). The complete CV of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ can be found in ref. [5].

## S. 13 Additional Supplementary Figures



Figure S.35: Simulation of previously-reported variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{8}\right.$-toluene). ${ }^{5}$ Circles show the experimental data, while the solid lines show the least-squares fit to the model described in Table S.3. The red curve represents the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons.


Figure S.36: Simulations of the variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility data of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$. The raw data are plotted as black circles, with simulations assuming the excited state multiplicity is $S=3 / 2$ shown in blue, and assuming $S=5 / 2$ shown in red. Simulation parameters are shown in Table S.4.


Figure S.37: Unrestricted corresponding orbitals for the $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}} / \pi^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{NN}}$ interaction from DFT calculations using either $10 \%$ (TPSSh) or $25 \%$ (TPSS0) exact exchange in the exchange-correlation functional. Orbitals from both the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ manifold are plotted (isovalue $=0.075$ a.u.), with overlap integrals indicated beneath.

## S. 14 Active Space Orbitals Used in Multireference Calculations



Figure S.38: CAS $(10,10)$ active space natural orbitals from a state-specific calculation of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ in the ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,0}$ state. Orbital labels are given bold, with the corresponding occupation number in normal weight. All surfaces are rendered with an isovalue of 0.075 a.u..


Figure S.39: $\mathrm{CAS}(10,10)$ active space orbitals from a state-specific calculation of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ in the ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,0}$ state obtained after Foster-Boys localization. Orbital labels are given bold, with the corresponding occupation number in normal weight. All surfaces are rendered with an isovalue of 0.075 au..


Figure S.40: $\operatorname{CAS}(10,10)$ active space natural orbitals from a state-averaged calculation of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ over the 10 lowest-energy singlet states. Orbital labels are given bold, with the corresponding occupation number in normal weight. All surfaces are rendered with an isovalue of 0.075 a.u..


Figure S.41: $\operatorname{CAS}(10,10)$ active space natural orbitals from a state-specific calculation of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ in the ${ }^{3} \Gamma_{0,0}$ state, in the triplet geometry. Orbital labels are given bold, with the corresponding occupation number in normal weight. All surfaces are rendered with an isovalue of 0.075 a.u..


Figure S.42: $\operatorname{CAS}(11,10)$ active space natural orbitals from a state-specific calculation of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$in the ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 0}$ state. Orbital labels are given bold, with the corresponding occupation number in normal weight. All surfaces are rendered with an isovalue of 0.075 a.u..


Figure S.43: $\mathrm{CAS}(11,10)$ active space natural orbitals from a state-averaged calculation of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$over the 10 lowest-energy doublet states. Orbital labels are given bold, with the corresponding occupation number in normal weight. All surfaces are rendered with an isovalue of 0.075 a.u..

191: 0.07

189: 0.10
190: 0.09



186: 1.91


Figure S.44: $\operatorname{CAS}(9,10)$ active space natural orbitals from a state-specific calculation of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$in the ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 0}$ state. Orbital labels are given bold, with the corresponding occupation number in normal weight. All surfaces are rendered with an isovalue of 0.075 a.u.. Note that under the reduced symmetry of this redox state, $\pi_{\mathrm{N}}$ mixes significantly with a P-based group orbital, and we will refer to this orbital as $\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$. In addition, the Fe 3 d orbitals of $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}$ and $3 d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ parentage mix significantly, and we will instead label these $3 d_{\sigma, 1}$ and $3 d_{\sigma, 2}$.


Figure S.45: $\operatorname{CAS}(9,10)$ active space natural orbitals from a state-averaged calculation of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$over the 10 lowest-energy doublet states. Orbital labels are given bold, with the corresponding occupation number in normal weight. All surfaces are rendered with an isovalue of 0.075 a.u..


Figure S.46: $\operatorname{CAS}(9,10)$ active space natural orbitals from a state-specific calculation of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$in the ${ }^{4} \Gamma_{+, 0}$ state, in the quartet geometry. Orbital labels are given bold, with the corresponding occupation number in normal weight. All surfaces are rendered with an isovalue of 0.075 a.u..


Figure S.47: Determination of the spin-spin relaxation time ( $T_{2}$ ) from Hahn spin-echo decay measurements. Raw data are shown as open circles, with monoexponential fits ( $A=A_{0} \exp \left(-t / T_{2}\right)$ ) as solid lines. Data for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right](2 \mathrm{mM}, 2-\mathrm{MeTHF}, 12 \mathrm{~K})$ are shown in blue, while data for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NAd})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right](2 \mathrm{mM}, 2-\mathrm{MeTHF}, 12 \mathrm{~K})$ are shown in red.

## S. 15 Supplementary Tables

Table S.1: Crystal data and structure refinement for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right] \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{24} \mathrm{FeN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 1641.79 |
| Temperature (K) | 100(2) |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{Pca} 2{ }_{1}$ |
| a (Å) | 21.094(3) |
| b (Å) | 19.460(3) |
| c (Å) | 18.649(3) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 7655.4(19) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.424 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.363 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 3380 |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ |
| $2 \theta$ range for data collection ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 2.196 to 30.574 |
| Index ranges | $-30 \leq h \leq 30,-27 \leq k \leq 27,-26 \leq l \leq 26$ |
| Reflections collected | 259650 |
| Independent reflections | $23383\left(\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0707\right)$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 23383 / 1 / 980 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.034 |
| Final R indexes ( $\mathrm{I} \geq 2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0451, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1114$ |
| Final R indexes (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0620, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1209$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole (e $\AA^{-3}$ ) | 1.827 and -0.462 |

Table S.2: Crystal data and structure refinement for [K(benzo-15-c$\left.5)_{2}\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right] \cdot 4$ (2-MeTHF)

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{86} \mathrm{H}_{140} \mathrm{BFeKN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 1624.66 |
| Temperature (K) | 100(2) |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| a (A) | 13.2390(5) |
| b (A) | 18.3254(7) |
| c ( $\AA$ ) | 19.9275(8) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 87.899(3) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 75.367(2) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 69.520(2) |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 4375.0(3) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.233 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 2.812 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1754 |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54178 \AA)$ |
| $2 \theta$ range for data collection ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 2.578 to 61.165 |
| Index ranges | $-15 \leq h \leq 13,-20 \leq k \leq 20,-22 \leq l \leq 21$ |
| Reflections collected | 53935 |
| Independent reflections | $12204\left(\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0915\right)$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 12204 / 577 / 1097 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.101 |
| Final R indexes ( $\mathrm{I} \geq 2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0884, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2176$ |
| Final R indexes (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1344, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2390$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole (e $\AA^{-3}$ ) | 0.693 and -0.524 |

Table S.3: Best fit parameters for the simulation of the VT NMR data of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ shown in Figure S.35. The following model was used,
$\delta_{\text {obs }}=\delta_{d}+10^{12} \cdot a_{\text {iso }} \cdot \frac{2 h g_{e} \beta_{e}}{g_{N} \beta_{N} k_{B} T} \frac{1}{3+\exp \left(\frac{\Delta E}{R T}\right)}$
For a derivation, see the supplementary discussion above. The $95 \%$ confidence interval is given in brackets below each parameter.

| Resonance | $\delta_{d}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $a_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | $\Delta E\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 2.15 | -48.4 |  |
|  | [2.06, 2.25] | [-50.5, -46.3] |  |
| ${ }_{\text {i }} \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.00 | -2.3 |  |
|  | [0.96, 1.06] | [-2.5, -2.1] |  |
| $i \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.69 | 0.47 |  |
|  | [0.64, 0.74] | [0.32, 0.64] |  |
| $i \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.30 | -1.6 |  |
|  | [1.26, 1.35] | [-1.8, -1.4] |  |
| $i \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.29 | -0.45 |  |
|  | [1.24, 1.33] | [-0.6, -0.3] |  |
| $i \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{CH}$ | 1.64 | 5.6 |  |
|  | [1.60, 1.69] | [5.3, 5.9] |  |
| $i \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{CH}$ | 2.52 | 6.4 |  |
|  | [2.47, 2.57] | [6.1, 6.7] |  |
| Ar-CH | 7.16 | -4.3 |  |
|  | [7.12, 7.21] | [-4.5, -4.0] |  |
| Ar-CH | 6.99 | 2.7 |  |
|  | [6.94, 7.04] | [2.5, 2.9] |  |
| Ar-CH | 7.33 | -1.0 |  |
|  | [7.29, 7.39] | [-1.1, -0.8] |  |
| Ar-CH | 7.27 | 5.5 |  |
|  | [7.23, 7.32] | [5.3, 5.8] |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.62 \\ {[3.59,3.65]} \end{gathered}$ |

Table S.4: Best fit parameters for the simulation of the VT magnetic susceptibility data of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$shown in Figure S.36. The $95 \%$ confidence interval is given in brackets below each parameter.

| $g_{2}\left(\mu_{2}\left[\beta_{e}\right]\right)$ | $\mu_{1}$ | $\Delta H\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta S\left(\mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$ | RMSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4(3.87)$ | 2.26 | 4.79 | 13.6 | 0.02 |
|  | $[2.22,2.30]$ | $[4.03,5.55]$ | $[11.1,16.1]$ |  |
| $6(5.92)$ | 2.24 | 3.65 | 6.8 | 0.02 |
|  | $[2.21,2.27]$ | $[3.22,4.09]$ | $[5.5,8.2]$ |  |

Table S.5: Fit parameters for the Gaussian spectral deconvolution of the UV-vis spectrum of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$, shown in Figure S.30. The $95 \%$ confidence interval is given below each parameter in brackets.

| Component | $f$ | $\bar{v}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\sigma_{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0016 | 12260 | 2193 |
|  | $[0.0009,0.0023]$ | $[12140,12380]$ | $[1988,2398]$ |
| 2 | 0.0034 | 14580 | 3449 |
|  | $[0.0022,0.0045]$ | $[14420,14740]$ | $[2457,4441]$ |
| 3 | 0.0084 | 17830 | 2864 |
|  | $[0.0079,0.0090]$ | $[17770,17890]$ | $[2786,2942]$ |
| 4 (baseline) | 0.21 | 28170 | 9159 |
|  | $[0.20,0.22]$ | $[28030,28310]$ | $[9027,9292]$ |

Table S.6: Mössbauer simulation parameters for the spectra shown in Figure S. 31

| Component | $\mathbf{1}\left(\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{3} \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathbf{F e}\left(\mathbf{N N M e}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $g_{3}\left(=g_{\text {max }}\right)$ | 2.192 |
| $g_{2}\left(=g_{\text {mid }}\right)$ | 2.089 |
| $g_{1}\left(=g_{\min }\right)$ | 2.005 |
| $\delta\left(\mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.30 |
| $\left\|\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right\|\left(\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.13 |
| $\eta$ | 0 |
| $A_{3}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 0 |
| $A_{2}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 10.7 |
| $A_{1}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 24.8 |
| $\beta(\mathrm{HFC}$ frame $)$ | $23.5^{\circ}$ |
| FWHM (mm s$)$ | 0.38 |
| Relative area | 1.02 |

Table S.7: Mössbauer simulation parameters for the spectra shown in Figure S. 32

| Component | $\mathbf{1}\left(\left[\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \mathbf{F e}\left(\mathbf{N N M e} \mathbf{N M}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\right]^{-}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g_{3}\left(=g_{\text {max }}\right)$ | 2.068 | - | - |
| $g_{2}\left(=g_{\text {mid }}\right)$ | 2.041 | - | - |
| $g_{1}\left(=g_{\min }\right)$ | 2.006 | - | - |
| $\delta\left(\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.90 |
| $\left\|\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right\|\left(\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.20 | 1.13 | 2.01 |
| $\eta$ | 0.8 | - | - |
| $A_{3}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 53.9 | - | - |
| $A_{2}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 15.2 | - | - |
| $A_{1}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 18.1 | - | - |
| FWHM $\left(\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{s}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | 0.44 | 1.03 | 0.41 |
| Relative area | 0.74 | 0.35 | 0.04 |

Table S.8: Fit parameters for $T_{2}$ measurments presented in Figure S.47. The 95\% confidence interval is given below each parameter.

| Compound | $T_{2}(\mathrm{~ns})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]$ | 777 |
| $[742,816]$ |  |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NAd})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\mathrm{F}} 4\right]$ | 284 |
|  | $[276,292]$ |

Table S.9: Comparison of experimental (XRD) and gas-phase optimized core structures of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+/ 0 /-}$.

|  | $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ |  | $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ |  | $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | XRD | TPSS | XRD | TPSS | XRD | TPSS |
| $d\left(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}\right)(\AA)$ | $1.738(3)$ | 1.727 | $1.680(2)$ | 1.667 | $1.771(7)$ | 1.756 |
| $d(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{B})(\AA)$ | $2.315(3)$ | 2.313 | $2.534(3)$ | 2.498 | $2.472(9)$ | 2.447 |
| $d(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P} 1)(\AA)(\AA)$ | $2.2816(9)$ | 2.294 | $2.2390(8)$ | 2.238 | $2.280(2)$ | 2.300 |
| $d(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P} 2)(\AA)$ | $2.3168(9)$ | 2.356 | $2.2670(8)$ | 2.298 | $2.243(2)$ | 2.236 |
| $d(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P} 3)(\AA)$ | $2.2626(9)$ | 2.290 | $2.2469(9)$ | 2.220 | $2.233(2)$ | 2.234 |
| $d\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{N}_{\beta}\right)(\AA)$ | $1.252(4)$ | 1.268 | $1.293(3)$ | 1.303 | $1.27(1)$ | 1.303 |
| $\angle(\mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{FeP3} 3)\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $154.93(4)$ | 154.33 | $125.03(3)$ | 128.75 | $118.13(9)$ | 118.60 |
| $\angle(\mathrm{P} 1 \mathrm{FeP} 3)\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $96.10(3)$ | 96.06 | $109.88(4)$ | 108.52 | $112.22(9)$ | 110.97 |
| $\angle(\mathrm{P} 1 \mathrm{FeP} 2)\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $100.31(3)$ | 100.08 | $104.28(3)$ | 103.29 | $115.00(9)$ | 115.88 |
| $\angle\left(\mathrm{FeN}_{\alpha} \mathrm{N}_{\beta}\right)\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $159.7(3)$ | 159.68 | $176.1(1)$ | 174.34 | $161.6(6)$ | 156.11 |
| $\angle\left(\mathrm{BFeN}_{\alpha}\right)\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $157.36(1)$ | 157.94 | $168.91(1)$ | 166.08 | $177.9(3)$ | 175.95 |
| Mean Error $(\%)^{a}$ | - | 0.589 | - | 1.22 | - | 1.13 |

${ }^{a}$ The mean error is calculated as the mean value of $\left|p_{\exp }-p_{\text {calc }}\right| / p_{\exp }$ for each parameter $p$ in the table, multiplied by 100 .

Table S.10: Spin state energetics for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$from geometry optimizations using the TPSS functional

| $S$ | $\Delta H\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta S\left(\mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 |
| $3 / 2$ | 14.5 | 10.5 |
| $5 / 2$ | 40.7 | 11.8 |

Table S.11: Adiabatic doublet-quartet gap of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$as a function of \% HF exchange

```
% HF (Functional) \DeltaE(4}\mp@subsup{\Gamma}{+,0}{}-\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\mp@subsup{\Gamma}{+,0}{})(\mp@subsup{\textrm{kcal mol}}{}{-1}
        0(TPSS) 15.4
    10 (TPSSh) 8.7
    25 (TPSS0) -1.8
```

Table S.12: Comparison of experimental and DFT-predicted EPR properties of free $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazyl radicals.

|  | $\left.\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ |  | $\mathrm{HNNMe}_{2}$ |  | $\left[\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right]^{-}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expt. $^{25,27}$ | DFT | Expt. $^{26,27}$ | DFT | DFT (ground state) | DFT (planar) |
| $g_{\text {iso }}$ | 2.0035 | 2.0034 | 2.0038 | 2.0037 | 2.0043 | 2.0042 |
| $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 26.8 | 26.6 | 26.9 | 25.7 | 22.0 | 23.1 |
| $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 44.4 | 40.1 | 32.2 | 32.4 | 26.2 | 6.9 |
| $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\alpha}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})^{a}$ | 19.4 | -6.6 | 38.4 | -29.8 | - | - |
| $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\gamma}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})^{b}$ | 39.8 | 43.3 | 19.4 | 18.2 | 6.3 | 10.7 |
| $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | n.d. | -16.6 | n.d. | $-3.6 / 5.9$ | 37.1 | -21.6 |

${ }^{a}$ The discrepancy in the experimental and DFT-calculated values of $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ is likely due to the omission of environmental factors such as hydrogen bonding and other non-covalent interactions.
${ }^{b}$ In the DFT-predicted values, $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{\gamma}\right)$ was averaged over all protons of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group.

Table S.13: DFT-predicted HFC constants of free
[ $\left.\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right]^{-}$.

|  | $\left[\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right]^{-}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DFT (ground state) | DFT (planar) |
| $A_{1}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | -8.2 | -8.3 |
| $A_{2}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | -11.7 | -12.2 |
| $A_{3}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 86.0 | 89.7 |
| $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 22.0 | 23.1 |
| $t\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 32.0 | 33.3 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{HFC}}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}\right)$ | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| $A_{1}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 18.2 | -3.1 |
| $A_{2}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 19.5 | -5.1 |
| $A_{3}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 40.9 | 28.9 |
| $a_{\text {iso }}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 26.2 | 6.9 |
| $t\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 7.3 | 11.0 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{HFF}}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}\right)$ | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| $a_{\mathrm{iso}}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 37.1 | -21.6 |

Table S.14: Wavefunction composition (in terms of CI coefficients) from a state-specific CASSCF $(10,10)$ calculation on the ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,0}$ state of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$, in terms of the natural orbitals shown in Figure S .38 .

|  | Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 184 | 185 | 186 | 187 | 188 | 189 | 190 | 191 | 192 | 193 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}+\pi_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}+\pi^{*} \mathrm{NN}^{2}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}-\pi^{*} \mathrm{NN}^{2}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}-\pi_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ |
| 0.79807 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.04513 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.02251 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.01930 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00851 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00633 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00468 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00454 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0.00438 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.00376 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.00371 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0.00308 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.00270 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00254 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Table S.15: Wavefunction compositions (in terms of CI coefficients) from a $\operatorname{SA-CASSCF}(10,10)$ calculation on the 10 lowest-energy singlet states of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$, in terms of the natural orbitals shown in Figure S.40. Only the first 5 states are listed, with only the largest 4 configurations given.

| State | Weight | Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 184 | 185 | 186 | 187 | 188 | 189 | 190 | 191 | 192 | 193 |
|  |  | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}+\pi_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}+\pi{ }^{*} \mathrm{NN}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | $3 d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}-\pi_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}-\pi^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{NN}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{1} 1$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,0}$ | 0.73869 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.03858 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.02466 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.02102 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,1}$ | 0.69366 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.04856 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.04618 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.02955 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,2}$ | 0.61429 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.06375 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.06016 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.04594 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,3}$ | 0.27517 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.16222 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.10756 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.08747 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,4}$ | 0.24561 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.23534 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.11832 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.11374 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table S.16: NEVPT2 energies from a $\operatorname{SA-CASSCF}(10,10)$ calculation on the 10 lowest-energy singlet states of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$. Only the first 5 states are listed.

|  | Energy $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Expt. | NEVPT2 |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,1}$ | 12260 | 13595.8 |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,2}$ | 14580 | 14915.2 |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,3}$ | 17830 | 17382.2 |
| ${ }^{1} \Gamma_{0,4}$ |  | 19278.3 |

Table S.17: Wavefunction composition (in terms of CI coefficients) from a state-specific $\operatorname{CASSCF}(10,10)$ calculation on the ${ }^{3} \Gamma_{0,0}$ state of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$, in terms of the natural orbitals shown in Figure S.41.

| Weight | Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 184 | 185 | 186 | 187 | 188 | 189 | 190 | 191 | 192 | 193 |
|  | $\pi_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}+\pi^{*} \mathrm{NN}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}-\pi^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{NN}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{1}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ |
| 0.69631 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.06830 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.06280 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.04748 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.03549 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.01548 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00750 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.00732 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00603 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.00527 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00446 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00445 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00418 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

Table S.18: Wavefunction composition (in terms of CI coefficients) from a state-specific $\operatorname{CASSCF}(11,10)$ calculation on the ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 0}$ state of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$, in terms of the natural orbitals shown in Figure S. 42.

|  | Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 184 | 185 | 186 | 187 | 188 | 189 | 190 | 191 | 192 | 193 |
|  | $\pi_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}+\pi^{*} \mathrm{NN}^{2}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}-\pi^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{NN}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}-2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ |
| 0.71930 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.06432 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.03124 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.02818 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.01185 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.01183 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.01154 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0.00979 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00966 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00885 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 0.00842 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.00829 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0.00778 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0.00451 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00409 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.00347 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00329 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Table S.19: Wavefunction composition (in terms of CI coefficients) from a state-specific CASSCF $(9,10)$ calculation on the ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 0}$ state of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$, in terms of the natural orbitals shown in Figure S. 44 .

|  | Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 184 | 185 | 186 | 187 | 188 | 189 | 190 | 191 | 192 | 193 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\sigma, 1}+\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}+\pi^{*} \mathrm{NN}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\sigma, 2}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}-\pi^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{NN}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\sigma, 1}-\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ |
| 0.84932 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.02116 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.01430 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.01321 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.01147 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.01072 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00722 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.00656 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.00566 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.00541 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00468 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00403 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00397 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.00320 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 0.00287 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Table S.20: Wavefunction composition (in terms of CI coefficients) from a state-specific $\operatorname{CASSCF}(9,10)$ calculation on the ${ }^{4} \Gamma_{+, 0}$ state of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$, in terms of the natural orbitals shown in Figure S. 46.

|  | Ceight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 184 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 185 | 186 | 187 | 188 | 189 | 190 | 191 | 192 | 193 |  |  |
|  | $\pi_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}+\pi^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{NN}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}+2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xz}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}-2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{yz}}-\pi^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{NN}}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ | $3 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ |  |
| 0.77474 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0.05316 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.04719 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.03563 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.02456 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.01859 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.00827 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.00266 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.00261 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |

Table S.21: NEVPT2 energies of the doublet excited states of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$, and their contributions to the g-shifts from CASCI. ${ }^{a}$

| State | NEVPT2 Energy $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta g_{1}$ | $\Delta g_{2}$ | $\Delta g_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 1}$ | 10654.9 | -0.0129697 | -0.0012106 | -0.0045002 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 2}$ | 11227.1 | 0.0024437 | 0.0006445 | 0.0598511 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 3}$ | 11299.4 | 0.0003412 | 0.0329346 | 0.0002667 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 4}$ | 16337.2 | 0.0219329 | 0.0003345 | 0.0073102 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 5}$ | 18057.5 | 0.0005674 | 0.0110975 | 0.0000371 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 6}$ | 21860.3 | -0.0004066 | 0.0006536 | -0.0003774 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 7}$ | 22084.9 | -0.0050565 | -0.0078387 | -0.0074183 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 8}$ | 22123.6 | -0.0068105 | -0.0000398 | -0.0000452 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{-, 9}$ | 24520.3 | -0.0004940 | -0.0001497 | -0.0000481 |

${ }^{a}$ Using a $\operatorname{SA}-\operatorname{CASSCF}(11,10)$ reference averaging over the first 10 doublet states.

Table S.22: NEVPT2 energies of the doublet excited states of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$, and their contributions to the g-shifts from CASCI. ${ }^{a}$

| State | NEVPT2 Energy $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta g_{1}$ | $\Delta g_{2}$ | $\Delta g_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 1}$ | 7082.5 | -0.0001191 | 0.0002972 | 0.2501078 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 2}$ | 11972.5 | 0.0000317 | 0.1221519 | 0.0008200 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 3}$ | 18195.9 | 0.0006481 | 0.0002731 | 0.0018648 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 4}$ | 20516.0 | -0.0004503 | -0.0013382 | -0.0036448 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 5}$ | 20751.1 | 0.0048607 | -0.0053158 | 0.0001804 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 6}$ | 23678.4 | 0.0028159 | -0.0008443 | -0.0019128 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 7}$ | 25174.6 | -0.0003754 | -0.0018492 | -0.0006176 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 8}$ | 27428.8 | -0.0045815 | -0.0000001 | -0.0001709 |
| ${ }^{2} \Gamma_{+, 9}$ | 28140.1 | -0.0001686 | 0.0005518 | 0.0000103 |

${ }^{a}$ Using a SA-CASSCF$(9,10)$ reference averaging over the first 10 doublet states.

Table S.23: Simulation parameters for HYSCORE spectra of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+/-}$

| Charge | Nucleus | $e^{2} q Q / h(\mathrm{MHz})$ | $\eta$ | $A_{1}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | $A_{2}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | $A_{3}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | $\alpha_{\mathrm{HFC}}\left({ }^{( }\right)$ | $\beta_{\mathrm{HFC}}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{HFC}}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\alpha_{\mathrm{NOI}}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\beta_{\mathrm{NOI}}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{NOI}}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}$ | -5.2 | 0.4 | -3.9 | -29.2 | 11.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}$ | - | - | 5.4 | 41.0 | -16.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| - | ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}$ | -4.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | -5.7 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 30 |
| - | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}$ | - | - | -2.2 | 8.0 | -2.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| + | ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}$ | -3.2 | 0.4 | -10.7 | -5.7 | -0.1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| + | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\alpha}$ | - | - | 15.0 | 8.0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | - | - | - |
| + | ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}$ | -2.8 | 0.4 | -1.5 | -7.3 | -1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| + | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}_{\beta}$ | - | - | 2.1 | 10.2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |

Table S.24: Experimental vibrational data of $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+/ 0 /-}$ versus QCC-NCA simulation results. All data are in units of $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

|  | Experimental |  | QCC-NCA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NNMe} 2)$ | n.a.i. | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ | n.a.i. | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ |
| $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N})$ | 545 | 540 | 545 | 541 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ |  |  | 572,605 | 568,600 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{OOP}}(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ | 448 | 442 | $448 / 455,470,504$ | $444 / 453,468,501$ |
| $v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me})$ | 874 | 864 | 868 | 859 |
| $v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ | 1337 | 1309 | 1354 | 1328 |
| $v_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me})$ | 1146 | 1132 | 1216 | 1195 |


|  | Experimental |  | QCC-NCA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NNMe} 2)\right]^{-}$ | n.a.i. | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ | n.a.i. | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ |
| $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N})$ | 346,541 | 346,530 | 341,541 | 341,533 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ | 541 | 530 | 541 | 533 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{OOP}}(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ | 428 | 422 | 430,468 | 424,466 |
| $v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me})$ |  |  | 970 | 963 |
| $v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ |  |  | $1095,1108,1307$ | $1081,1099,1284$ |
| $v_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me})$ |  |  | 1188 | 1170 |


|  | Experimental |  | QCC-NCA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NNMe} 2)\right]^{+}$ | n.a.i. | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ | n.a.i. | ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ |  |
| $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N})$ | 348,495 | 348,486 | $344, \mathbf{4 9 3}, 588$ | $344, \mathbf{4 8 9}, 577$ |  |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ | 588 | 579 | $493, \mathbf{5 8 8}$ | $489, \mathbf{5 7 7}$ |  |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{OOP}}(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ | 495 | 486 | $446,482,488,498$ | $445,475,484,497$ |  |
| $v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me})$ |  |  | 828 | 818 |  |
| $v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ | $\sim 1371,1495$ | $\sim 1357,1472$ | $1155,1388,1519$ | $1145,1369,1506$ |  |
| $v_{\text {as }}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me})$ |  |  | 973,1194 | 965,1176 |  |

Table S.25: Summary of key force constants from QCC-NCA. Stretching force constants are in units of mdyn $\AA^{-1}$, and bending force constants are in units of mdyn Å

| Force constant | $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ | $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)$ | $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ | 3.59 | 4.83 | 2.97 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{B}$ | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.44 |
| $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N}$ | 8.55 | 6.83 | 6.78 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P} 1 / \mathrm{P} 2 / \mathrm{P} 3$ | $1.27 / 1.05 / 1.18$ | $1.46 / 1.22 / 1.89$ | $1.03 / 1.49 / 1.31$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{IP}}$ | 0.59 | 1.98 | 0.68 |

## S. 16 Coordinates of DFT-optimized Structures

Table S.26: Optimized coordinates for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)(S=0)$

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z\left(\right.$ ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe | 0.010810998 | 0.002966934 | -0.001302714 |
| N | -0.390510321 | 0.01898391 | 1.616401712 |
| N | -0.827415331 | 0.030745215 | 2.843693045 |
| C | -2.217758519 | -0.274867715 | 3.194977816 |
| H | -2.796318257 | -0.344237735 | 2.276363525 |
| H | -2.277763132 | -1.227498025 | 3.737426271 |
| H | -2.625876726 | 0.520787096 | 3.829905123 |
| C | 0.125689465 | 0.107068636 | 3.954449794 |
| H | 1.009317938 | 0.646884551 | 3.617606441 |
| H | -0.340536458 | 0.644085961 | 4.787209705 |
| H | 0.419145748 | -0.895186612 | 4.298737703 |
| P | -0.698775353 | 2.086658112 | -0.662662846 |
| P | 2.183726055 | 0.005201533 | -0.53718875 |
| P | -0.839837025 | -1.981975676 | -0.514319181 |
| B | 0.011910862 | 0.006228248 | -2.498968646 |
| C | 1.355124973 | 0.808658815 | -2.955421833 |
| C | 2.496134924 | 0.87872916 | -2.114774737 |
| C | 2.608200731 | 2.105458591 | -4.634959336 |
| H | 2.63764868 | 2.595859695 | -5.610621927 |
| C | 3.731937145 | 2.145149235 | -3.79560697 |
| H | 4.640892487 | 2.661477176 | -4.110343221 |
| C | -3.026528744 | 1.441475452 | -4.611453717 |
| H | -3.565292252 | 1.222216761 | -5.536230437 |
| C | 1.443238002 | 1.444927836 | -4.217360219 |
| H | 0.57186115 | 1.440904271 | -4.876839362 |
| C | -3.380196473 | 2.563095141 | -3.84506715 |
| H | -4.189858533 | 3.220951746 | -4.166854058 |
| C | 3.677079762 | 1.525522836 | -2.538273827 |
| H | 4.552095142 | 1.571415559 | -1.891800966 |
| C | -1.984991961 | 0.60001894 | -4.190290509 |
| H | -1.724658617 | -0.269146707 | -4.799174172 |
| C | -0.0069553 | -1.522218982 | -3.032150923 |
| C | -1.664815664 | 1.964298402 | -2.221530293 |
| C | 0.521404793 | 3.502250432 | -1.078255824 |


| Atom | $x(\AA) y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 1.304418609 | 2.954672982 | -1.618728776 |
| C | -2.691956871 | 2.827622126 | -2.650981748 |
| H | -2.973662659 | 3.701651146 | -2.060171146 |
| C | 0.369473573 | -1.866191676 | -4.352223211 |
| H | 0.747502689 | -1.0892206 | -5.020946587 |
| C | 0.305913819 | -3.188257521 | -4.81705624 |
| H | 0.618025174 | -3.422703868 | -5.837311806 |
| C | -1.950136691 | 2.972820151 | 0.462019048 |
| H | -2.128517115 | 3.940911542 | -0.037547463 |
| C | -1.28174303 | 0.840845908 | -2.987761436 |
| C | -0.454099498 | -2.572607722 | -2.196140624 |
| C | -3.289801381 | 2.215308177 | 0.528651453 |
| H | -3.989442669 | 2.751898567 | 1.189327955 |
| H | -3.762867343 | 2.109029519 | -0.454207519 |
| H | -3.142321211 | 1.20759741 | 0.937677818 |
| C | -0.523289211 | -3.904299286 | -2.656406285 |
| H | -0.849039301 | -4.709010782 | -1.9943716 |
| C | -0.141398661 | -4.214360784 | -3.96929229 |
| H | -0.180008659 | -5.246656585 | -4.322209934 |
| C | -0.02714879 | 4.577590603 | -2.033945067 |
| H | 0.7659002 | 5.314552233 | -2.239278922 |
| H | -0.3483447 | 4.153379695 | -2.991986982 |
| H | -0.875548882 | 5.126478682 | -1.595844536 |
| C | -1.456243606 | 3.26228948 | 1.893391391 |
| H | -1.223542164 | 2.331429326 | 2.422429689 |
| H | -0.566741569 | 3.897775801 | 1.923113071 |
| H | -2.25215694 | 3.780570842 | 2.452424581 |
| C | -2.741351387 | -2.082094356 | -0.411941997 |
| H | -2.955981137 | -1.74082789 | 0.614004777 |
| C | -3.410231778 | -1.105619579 | -1.388732151 |
| H | -4.499049792 | -1.097249294 | -1.221189285 |
| H | -3.029674204 | -0.086703206 | -1.269828936 |
| H | -3.23067521 | -1.406745059 | -2.429827807 |
| C | -3.345615767 | -3.488081485 | -0.599595203 |
| H | -3.093901454 | -3.895268907 | -1.58945581 |
| H | -3.02658587 | -4.209142929 | 0.164440444 |
| H | -4.443757449 | -3.418425752 | -0.54567529 |
| C | 1.183069536 | 4.182693628 | 0.136161206 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.522464742 | 4.941002012 | 0.580300085 |
| H | 1.469827881 | 3.474019591 | 0.923871163 |
| H | 2.094901945 | 4.704839076 | -0.192722379 |
| C | -0.434850634 | -3.493401791 | 0.564263027 |
| H | -0.953139092 | -4.328918779 | 0.068424728 |
| C | -0.980524849 | -3.382561233 | 1.997575013 |
| H | -0.465608508 | -2.58020409 | 2.542558686 |
| H | -2.060461698 | -3.186517266 | 2.030267219 |
| H | -0.79820139 | -4.323985897 | 2.539620196 |
| C | 1.060204525 | -3.833014068 | 0.605233763 |
| H | 1.216714438 | -4.76662638 | 1.16889238 |
| H | 1.479899926 | -3.971155839 | -0.398277836 |
| H | 1.622338505 | -3.036756795 | 1.11244379 |
| C | 2.946297917 | 0.938915657 | 0.944685194 |
| H | 2.063668974 | 1.510224431 | 1.267283347 |
| C | 4.082927436 | 1.953525635 | 0.720433282 |
| H | 5.026817999 | 1.477914292 | 0.42237746 |
| H | 3.820827456 | 2.700025576 | -0.038706943 |
| H | 4.272775887 | 2.491514568 | 1.663658997 |
| C | 3.27787099 | -0.041704333 | 2.088530631 |
| H | 3.427148005 | 0.516106776 | 3.027086344 |
| H | 2.462479936 | -0.761049439 | 2.245953101 |
| H | 4.20089376 | -0.605863958 | 1.899523893 |
| C | 3.371925712 | -1.477324083 | -0.725048179 |
| H | 3.177491653 | -2.092810554 | 0.165059165 |
| C | 3.067234367 | -2.312963001 | -1.979754469 |
| H | 3.73729491 | -3.187041849 | -2.007879145 |
| H | 2.034808598 | -2.670673676 | -2.013477795 |
| H | 3.243316636 | -1.724429239 | -2.891186734 |
| C | 4.873921076 | -1.123223938 | -0.744501163 |
| H | 5.134867179 | -0.555337442 | -1.647718217 |
| H | 5.207088388 | -0.555200472 | 0.13135129 |
| H | 5.456369246 | -2.058286869 | -0.77068719 |
|  |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |

Table S.27: Optimized coordinates for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)(S=1)$

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe | -0.12851598 | 0.062280363 | -0.102780068 |
| N | -0.234364418 | 0.169677327 | 1.655626079 |
| N | -0.649450298 | 0.17454816 | 2.863959827 |
| C | -2.023939068 | -0.199651204 | 3.258588289 |
| H | -2.522119616 | -0.616452181 | 2.385732061 |
| H | -1.991288604 | -0.945423387 | 4.061912974 |
| H | -2.569684518 | 0.681815297 | 3.61797156 |
| C | 0.217533277 | 0.591543768 | 3.983704175 |
| H | 1.1370962 | 0.993854975 | 3.564516919 |
| H | -0.289225683 | 1.358804897 | 4.582435344 |
| H | 0.444818703 | -0.264462999 | 4.632506783 |
| P | -0.807626839 | 2.225927219 | -0.749632016 |
| P | 2.283809981 | -0.09921249 | -0.457036762 |
| P | -0.966868102 | -2.052136895 | -0.541967282 |
| B | -0.018189098 | 0.015019443 | -2.457683187 |
| C | 1.348898587 | 0.830494928 | -2.838543717 |
| C | 2.497470084 | 0.871878518 | -1.995188085 |
| C | 2.5951055 | 2.2204177 | -4.459766538 |
| H | 2.618967008 | 2.748582858 | -5.415800806 |
| C | 3.71518295 | 2.242735879 | -3.615395786 |
| H | 4.617877241 | 2.785354117 | -3.902908648 |
| C | -3.072036159 | 1.299953194 | -4.669639984 |
| H | -3.589933436 | 1.02362838 | -5.591102932 |
| C | 1.440602774 | 1.524498279 | -4.072364252 |
| H | 0.572323957 | 1.535411435 | -4.735846031 |
| C | -3.485850021 | 2.430708979 | -3.948271072 |
| H | -4.325299395 | 3.035298083 | -4.296798084 |
| C | 3.664892106 | 1.560812364 | -2.390868693 |
| H | 4.540077441 | 1.582167683 | -1.742912908 |
| C | -2.002424039 | 0.517914379 | -4.20712089 |
| H | -1.705866039 | -0.363975673 | -4.779731744 |
| C | -0.006326032 | -1.515954165 | -3.009773017 |
| C | -1.74986475 | 1.975329975 | -2.299481565 |
| C | 0.365271435 | 3.641060904 | -1.212259702 |
| H | 0.875204425 | 3.203087736 | -2.082402898 |
| C | -2.817976557 | 2.769455319 | -2.761595338 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -3.154925208 | 3.639363142 | -2.193247155 |
| C | 0.438593062 | -1.836314143 | -4.315633495 |
| H | 0.842136391 | -1.043218323 | -4.950062921 |
| C | 0.411059985 | -3.149267622 | -4.808333862 |
| H | 0.776939664 | -3.361370156 | -5.81564963 |
| C | -2.081465535 | 3.053483849 | 0.368088359 |
| H | -2.43733516 | 3.937737959 | -0.185293202 |
| C | -1.311262914 | 0.82919963 | -3.012027475 |
| C | -0.49254477 | -2.589099217 | -2.220301463 |
| C | -3.283267093 | 2.119153065 | 0.592870252 |
| H | -4.008200197 | 2.590988569 | 1.275123021 |
| H | -3.800420675 | 1.89036015 | -0.347477335 |
| H | -2.958882461 | 1.169390495 | 1.038867111 |
| C | -0.524618129 | -3.912569473 | -2.709296988 |
| H | -0.87983365 | -4.731049859 | -2.079488433 |
| C | -0.070216795 | -4.195852881 | -4.004667733 |
| H | -0.080956608 | -5.221510975 | -4.378436205 |
| C | -0.336178181 | 4.929589672 | -1.675176414 |
| H | 0.416824039 | 5.630895532 | -2.068128479 |
| H | -1.060500321 | 4.739677687 | -2.477643915 |
| H | -0.853401872 | 5.438033287 | -0.847250524 |
| C | -1.490204531 | 3.537739145 | 1.70489452 |
| H | -0.965458043 | 2.725649617 | 2.224915177 |
| H | -0.781983896 | 4.363811147 | 1.567298571 |
| H | -2.297446011 | 3.900904476 | 2.36070741 |
| C | -2.861491663 | -2.201188727 | -0.494841955 |
| H | -3.116199364 | -1.878191998 | 0.529022442 |
| C | -3.514659009 | -1.217794688 | -1.477542358 |
| H | -4.608308669 | -1.227281901 | -1.346703228 |
| H | -3.157198611 | -0.19232524 | -1.331137551 |
| H | -3.294841457 | -1.496649364 | -2.516928787 |
| C | -3.427892108 | -3.616485699 | -0.715371993 |
| H | -3.154637176 | -3.99830873 | -1.709565051 |
| H | -3.092161748 | -4.340801686 | 0.038929788 |
| H | -4.528068099 | -3.581107337 | -0.668902614 |
| C | 1.43873714 | 3.939043187 | -0.152880352 |
| H | 1.03927259 | 4.510678756 | 0.696114811 |
| H | 1.879813328 | 3.015624669 | 0.23575477 |


| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.244665221 | 4.537596003 | -0.604008469 |
| C | -0.517300153 | -3.529974195 | 0.549710062 |
| H | -0.993505368 | -4.399701664 | 0.069643257 |
| C | -1.075914972 | -3.400974639 | 1.977172108 |
| H | -0.618231787 | -2.545346965 | 2.491693931 |
| H | -2.166873943 | -3.274727623 | 1.998327136 |
| H | -0.836680711 | -4.307747522 | 2.554810615 |
| C | 0.994713873 | -3.786898109 | 0.595590479 |
| H | 1.20453828 | -4.690043792 | 1.190481719 |
| H | 1.414403025 | -3.936666786 | -0.406535306 |
| H | 1.513944604 | -2.941401339 | 1.067031807 |
| C | 3.05916538 | 0.757476873 | 1.053412226 |
| H | 2.197676352 | 1.356402265 | 1.389130893 |
| C | 4.261260956 | 1.711380625 | 0.919331765 |
| H | 5.180513096 | 1.199214296 | 0.607124097 |
| H | 4.064848222 | 2.522930874 | 0.208409214 |
| H | 4.46283948 | 2.174033469 | 1.899815629 |
| C | 3.29845033 | -0.299347038 | 2.152933222 |
| H | 3.49363018 | 0.19895618 | 3.116129751 |
| H | 2.419273926 | -0.947098592 | 2.278495446 |
| H | 4.167802413 | -0.935020581 | 1.935284898 |
| C | 3.478389675 | -1.560058991 | -0.754897155 |
| H | 3.295841497 | -2.22533142 | 0.102622021 |
| C | 3.123134694 | -2.322988028 | -2.041840503 |
| H | 3.774320318 | -3.206675388 | -2.135730642 |
| H | 2.082386554 | -2.660205242 | -2.059629438 |
| H | 3.281439526 | -1.692058265 | -2.927721121 |
| C | 4.982232671 | -1.221758812 | -0.781126625 |
| H | 5.225848449 | -0.545048987 | -1.61169451 |
| H | 5.342682389 | -0.773011698 | 0.151981899 |
| H | 5.554597266 | -2.150258135 | -0.940892526 |
|  |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |

Table S.28: Optimized coordinates for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe | -0.005092376 | -0.005895153 | 0.025536424 |
| N | 0.083855081 | -0.114140551 | 1.775774862 |
| N | -0.373142023 | -0.089207148 | 2.995472732 |
| C | -1.713276662 | 0.384299472 | 3.377686584 |
| H | -2.215514035 | 0.718371382 | 2.472723548 |
| H | -1.6446341 | 1.219588947 | 4.089735916 |
| H | -2.291928839 | -0.42412762 | 3.848039026 |
| C | 0.4833868 | -0.456600265 | 4.127720757 |
| H | 1.300878283 | -1.073051297 | 3.755843202 |
| H | -0.099461882 | -1.024473683 | 4.86673922 |
| H | 0.898721038 | 0.433418276 | 4.62925606 |
| P | -0.964724703 | 1.961255376 | -0.421813696 |
| P | -1.108089586 | -1.878977464 | -0.499888163 |
| P | 2.23044693 | 0.027460233 | -0.51381437 |
| B | -0.016239277 | 0.014116215 | -2.421812863 |
| C | 1.33891221 | -0.733673884 | -2.953037645 |
| C | 1.431303198 | -1.352273392 | -4.223876236 |
| H | 0.559788464 | -1.342325183 | -4.88430435 |
| C | 2.58789431 | -2.028955796 | -4.645727261 |
| H | 2.608949136 | -2.515272438 | -5.625313431 |
| C | 3.706208413 | -2.104347336 | -3.801725459 |
| H | 4.600545115 | -2.651590123 | -4.110675234 |
| C | 3.657862795 | -1.478584326 | -2.545025398 |
| H | 4.522225225 | -1.553732653 | -1.880723138 |
| C | 2.49327555 | -0.803352831 | -2.126742808 |
| C | 3.34153525 | -0.922716921 | 0.702759318 |
| H | 4.32081521 | -1.060392656 | 0.216144749 |
| C | 3.540823546 | -0.097115466 | 1.988228263 |
| H | 2.563036562 | 0.184443274 | 2.401155092 |
| H | 4.112770187 | 0.824102496 | 1.810006966 |
| H | 4.085176957 | -0.686602777 | 2.74638828 |
| C | 2.775845776 | -2.312056739 | 1.028855523 |
| H | 3.427528091 | -2.829156209 | 1.755237606 |
| H | 2.708858916 | -2.937314474 | 0.129001126 |
| H | 1.768325357 | -2.218015293 | 1.456948527 |
| C | 3.418547425 | 1.540488826 | -0.756264207 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 3.247568568 | 2.151930974 | 0.143471527 |
| C | 3.02638388 | 2.372311727 | -1.986767911 |
| H | 3.643638765 | 3.285854906 | -2.036174202 |
| H | 1.973594994 | 2.66828402 | -1.973933857 |
| H | 3.193983576 | 1.80119524 | -2.911499808 |
| C | 4.92844236 | 1.237080971 | -0.849793198 |
| H | 5.151941428 | 0.617720456 | -1.730683234 |
| H | 5.33621221 | 0.734989981 | 0.03790327 |
| H | 5.481235928 | 2.185031533 | -0.971972631 |
| C | -1.330207307 | -0.79011196 | -2.963441651 |
| C | -1.923639208 | -0.572632607 | -4.230968123 |
| H | -1.52028292 | 0.207651919 | -4.881744487 |
| C | -3.042794728 | -1.300861056 | -4.666162973 |
| H | -3.48435675 | -1.090618756 | -5.644626021 |
| C | -3.605579 | -2.286068942 | -3.840417552 |
| H | -4.48651728 | -2.84652843 | -4.16386517 |
| C | -3.036468128 | -2.52919843 | -2.579877636 |
| H | -3.493010197 | -3.279104345 | -1.929388682 |
| C | -1.919426037 | -1.786448235 | -2.144456362 |
| C | -0.285795656 | -3.634058862 | -0.570706099 |
| H | 0.268951565 | -3.687694531 | 0.380215445 |
| C | -1.228672014 | -4.853700963 | -0.655567761 |
| H | -1.841872546 | -4.814742422 | -1.568182796 |
| H | -1.898923957 | -4.962323573 | 0.207421147 |
| H | -0.622021863 | -5.77408688 | -0.71411777 |
| C | 0.721878157 | -3.745118547 | -1.723168406 |
| H | 1.241419956 | -4.71762039 | -1.675086056 |
| H | 1.473385982 | -2.952152114 | -1.697482627 |
| H | 0.211657583 | -3.683499942 | -2.695259377 |
| C | -2.570171279 | -2.410926379 | 0.60797145 |
| H | -3.033332822 | -3.275226123 | 0.106751886 |
| C | -3.648452338 | -1.324867313 | 0.711363956 |
| H | -4.439137734 | -1.630035713 | 1.41863355 |
| H | -4.117249023 | -1.139416771 | -0.263646905 |
| H | -3.220309231 | -0.376474159 | 1.05895624 |
| C | -2.08645233 | -2.875875172 | 1.993838007 |
| H | -1.499931874 | -2.093233987 | 2.488417896 |
| H | -1.450150613 | -3.769442073 | 1.928250876 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -2.946850906 | -3.12697123 | 2.638100238 |
| C | -0.066920651 | 1.557424952 | -2.948375854 |
| C | 0.338406406 | 1.936898818 | -4.252199385 |
| H | 0.750723617 | 1.177582079 | -4.922437726 |
| C | 0.274579074 | 3.266645125 | -4.697170022 |
| H | 0.616613943 | 3.523752354 | -5.703843617 |
| C | -0.209079535 | 4.270216344 | -3.843218148 |
| H | -0.246073248 | 5.311459223 | -4.173756654 |
| C | -0.626723491 | 3.924277894 | -2.548267445 |
| H | -0.979076291 | 4.71311643 | -1.879343533 |
| C | -0.553295507 | 2.587272303 | -2.103771526 |
| C | -2.879227261 | 2.21805345 | -0.400118427 |
| H | -3.189285471 | 1.731896609 | 0.540835341 |
| C | -3.423213863 | 3.660511774 | -0.394851021 |
| H | -3.112757354 | 4.202258993 | -1.30066347 |
| H | -3.110246153 | 4.244883097 | 0.481230721 |
| H | -4.527090212 | 3.636466984 | -0.395252447 |
| C | -3.519472363 | 1.450405281 | -1.566793274 |
| H | -4.61756898 | 1.43536347 | -1.460596831 |
| H | -3.160121606 | 0.417754591 | -1.620835558 |
| H | -3.277236453 | 1.932413327 | -2.525200183 |
| C | -0.508680999 | 3.485404188 | 0.640793275 |
| H | -1.01289121 | 4.342167381 | 0.166476436 |
| C | -1.007361204 | 3.376251462 | 2.089353201 |
| H | -0.459355021 | 2.582221897 | 2.613108694 |
| H | -2.081598034 | 3.154514362 | 2.158040616 |
| H | -0.825816278 | 4.322258576 | 2.627942532 |
| C | 0.998070469 | 3.768404548 | 0.646805598 |
| H | 1.215569638 | 4.666983934 | 1.250229653 |
| H | 1.386168655 | 3.936966179 | -0.36506098 |
| H | 1.539011983 | 2.919279207 | 1.087455804 |

Table S.29: Optimized coordinates for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}(S=1 / 2)$

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe | -0.006894038 | 0.013201438 | 0.019524574 |
| N | -0.669030577 | 0.062572307 | 1.613636964 |
| N | -1.531716342 | 0.095744476 | 2.542623167 |
| C | -1.11311518 | 0.192737019 | 3.958530905 |
| H | -0.025806948 | 0.228030086 | 3.989410912 |
| H | -1.480678524 | -0.679835104 | 4.508144558 |
| H | -1.5367311 | 1.09865183 | 4.404901503 |
| C | -2.992384449 | 0.029399015 | 2.310066187 |
| H | -3.162056077 | -0.165839669 | 1.253070575 |
| H | -3.456263641 | 0.977492895 | 2.602240382 |
| H | -3.41768142 | -0.772525078 | 2.922484229 |
| P | 2.190409463 | 0.008566605 | -0.638703931 |
| P | -0.526443769 | -2.266914039 | -0.262805771 |
| P | -0.343508745 | 2.259254249 | -0.277150008 |
| B | 0.016338175 | 0.022800392 | -2.293775753 |
| C | 2.330496753 | -1.0851379 | -2.088245118 |
| C | 1.146361345 | -0.970382319 | -2.856007962 |
| C | 1.080959514 | -1.677838346 | -4.076133369 |
| H | 0.179140094 | -1.622234287 | -4.690306542 |
| C | 2.152918017 | -2.482067704 | -4.498927812 |
| H | 2.080410635 | -3.036800679 | -5.436068918 |
| C | 3.317754744 | -2.582171773 | -3.719620008 |
| H | 4.147227357 | -3.207766674 | -4.052225548 |
| C | 3.417100859 | -1.869898996 | -2.513398976 |
| H | 4.334063964 | -1.934910025 | -1.924612594 |
| C | 3.305872752 | -0.642863684 | 0.737115964 |
| H | 4.326406587 | -0.381096231 | 0.416561652 |
| C | 3.108714135 | 1.591513607 | -1.251326588 |
| H | 2.297900053 | 2.326911415 | -1.344261234 |
| C | 3.007916448 | 0.048099007 | 2.082741702 |
| H | 1.987681023 | -0.194566021 | 2.412294848 |
| H | 3.094997975 | 1.140021488 | 2.037861767 |
| H | 3.714711212 | -0.310165477 | 2.845681179 |
| C | 3.229885923 | -2.165688326 | 0.920816855 |
| H | 3.955420305 | -2.475706684 | 1.687889657 |
| H | 3.45410004 | -2.716855548 | 0.001008772 |
|  | -105 |  |  |


| Atom | $x(\AA(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.232144197 | -2.461320653 | 1.269005869 |
| C | 4.185231341 | 2.170341823 | -0.309944125 |
| H | 5.048706063 | 1.498242651 | -0.209752733 |
| H | 3.819124853 | 2.405648432 | 0.696565491 |
| H | 4.558458483 | 3.108318031 | -0.746665776 |
| C | 3.718963955 | 1.425715025 | -2.658451168 |
| H | 4.124129442 | 2.398259702 | -2.976141288 |
| H | 2.980167949 | 1.109005581 | -3.402062907 |
| H | 4.545231697 | 0.70145845 | -2.662185502 |
| C | -1.491336339 | -0.503986352 | -2.144416865 |
| C | -1.885592095 | -1.559593511 | -1.257980452 |
| C | -3.242375374 | -1.945152354 | -1.159305106 |
| H | -3.54714723 | -2.719932966 | -0.457587984 |
| C | -4.21334731 | -1.361517652 | -1.981136644 |
| H | -5.251592421 | -1.690146471 | -1.914151683 |
| C | -3.838306715 | -0.36952461 | -2.903672775 |
| H | -4.584291457 | 0.069100729 | -3.568486444 |
| C | -2.512468445 | 0.069189912 | -2.952716477 |
| H | -2.243915272 | 0.867799938 | -3.645739828 |
| C | -1.329504782 | -3.058840506 | 1.246462597 |
| H | -2.066699725 | -2.28980248 | 1.521073149 |
| C | 0.215339955 | -3.675888977 | -1.29477314 |
| H | 0.935808436 | -3.151861985 | -1.931494359 |
| C | 1.006256026 | -4.728330418 | -0.491324841 |
| H | 0.356241592 | -5.390368214 | 0.093390961 |
| H | 1.743215948 | -4.282319561 | 0.184774298 |
| H | 1.556374522 | -5.361092012 | -1.203230338 |
| C | -0.820514653 | -4.339034968 | -2.22484654 |
| H | -0.30220217 | -5.074699337 | -2.858260582 |
| H | -1.302867077 | -3.608347341 | -2.885559576 |
| H | -1.603193525 | -4.873141233 | -1.670190822 |
| C | -0.32543544 | -3.182838254 | 2.413175617 |
| H | -0.860548258 | -3.45298779 | 3.336373721 |
| H | 0.214997423 | -2.243572552 | 2.587191976 |
| H | 0.413356381 | -3.973016479 | 2.225057008 |
| C | -2.095197587 | -4.389552754 | 1.06778123 |
| H | -1.415095735 | -5.234597929 | 0.910198986 |
| H | -2.810122502 | -4.378559314 | 0.237536885 |


| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -2.659623303 | -4.597231415 | 1.989657396 |
| C | 0.105696211 | 1.525732731 | -2.871215516 |
| C | -0.130313379 | 2.657753827 | -2.044934689 |
| C | -0.178955048 | 3.960101256 | -2.591760255 |
| H | -0.355243895 | 4.825912392 | -1.955602065 |
| C | 0.02082878 | 4.157777774 | -3.964242007 |
| H | -0.014195359 | 5.165686965 | -4.379888853 |
| C | 0.282641144 | 3.055239651 | -4.792130282 |
| H | 0.452322539 | 3.20305375 | -5.860049815 |
| C | 0.328881196 | 1.763927924 | -4.247566854 |
| H | 0.537338375 | 0.919082439 | -4.90749431 |
| C | 0.883854618 | 3.253694821 | 0.769794479 |
| H | 1.75214632 | 2.58146586 | 0.764376586 |
| C | -2.028315545 | 2.914300723 | 0.246960942 |
| H | -2.075913888 | 2.618739515 | 1.30761449 |
| C | 0.425289795 | 3.349144606 | 2.238660165 |
| H | 1.25660509 | 3.72597968 | 2.852566546 |
| H | 0.139053848 | 2.366264025 | 2.633174181 |
| H | -0.417378059 | 4.04097829 | 2.369602002 |
| C | 1.363273853 | 4.615775087 | 0.23307483 |
| H | 0.567811749 | 5.372301368 | 0.231681432 |
| H | 1.766456915 | 4.541242433 | -0.784611769 |
| H | 2.167594029 | 4.989362722 | 0.884868319 |
| C | -3.164465147 | 2.211488102 | -0.512646706 |
| H | -4.134377476 | 2.491900127 | -0.074768641 |
| H | -3.073333307 | 1.119326903 | -0.48797935 |
| H | -3.172844924 | 2.518160696 | -1.567038353 |
| C | -2.223101004 | 4.442523961 | 0.170226298 |
| H | -2.24597719 | 4.788629471 | -0.871212216 |
| H | -1.453903352 | 5.006945673 | 0.709774221 |
| H | -3.195362537 | 4.699120025 | 0.617724647 |
|  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |

Table S.30: Optimized coordinates for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}(S=3 / 2)$

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe | 0.114729567 | -0.048266611 | 0.097517887 |
| N | 0.000694085 | -0.072180277 | 1.88515728 |
| N | -0.471935433 | 0.006753745 | 3.048818202 |
| C | 0.351565176 | -0.266512096 | 4.252986706 |
| H | 1.34071064 | -0.574455418 | 3.921696725 |
| H | -0.11873068 | -1.059582676 | 4.843360763 |
| H | 0.417875391 | 0.640288596 | 4.863506592 |
| C | -1.896105804 | 0.319771437 | 3.328442484 |
| H | -2.385041734 | 0.529542117 | 2.379455267 |
| H | -1.961152167 | 1.183854626 | 3.997709105 |
| H | -2.364981867 | -0.540467597 | 3.81778223 |
| P | 2.495497634 | 0.110013869 | -0.568719549 |
| P | -0.949538334 | -2.206104715 | -0.37295833 |
| P | -0.676410487 | 2.205675051 | -0.320040002 |
| B | 0.015729349 | 0.093060295 | -2.295365406 |
| C | 2.405947465 | -0.994800215 | -2.022031679 |
| C | 1.174637861 | -0.9238431 | -2.725712688 |
| C | 1.03463273 | -1.7248628 | -3.885820405 |
| H | 0.096638197 | -1.693580081 | -4.444595007 |
| C | 2.070395755 | -2.560860139 | -4.327799732 |
| H | 1.937854369 | -3.165311084 | -5.226781447 |
| C | 3.276228494 | -2.627184219 | -3.609813533 |
| H | 4.081772184 | -3.281954333 | -3.945040109 |
| C | 3.444525633 | -1.841729007 | -2.460664602 |
| H | 4.390004121 | -1.889940028 | -1.917586922 |
| C | 3.721674332 | -0.679138749 | 0.618324826 |
| H | 4.708284767 | -0.662649388 | 0.125573818 |
| C | 3.351230512 | 1.654355841 | -1.272887466 |
| H | 2.503347879 | 2.195102606 | -1.720666838 |
| C | 3.809537325 | 0.093071888 | 1.952406933 |
| H | 2.807133094 | 0.212589388 | 2.387498547 |
| H | 4.260252144 | 1.084541328 | 1.850836173 |
| H | 4.4246252 | -0.478835205 | 2.663194754 |
| C | 3.322351653 | -2.138335658 | 0.910048453 |
| H | 4.061140197 | -2.594252177 | 1.58593616 |
| H | 3.265137613 | -2.753511433 | 0.004743408 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |


| Atom | $x(\AA(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.343061856 | -2.168225225 | 1.410037055 |
| C | 4.017752726 | 2.572853514 | -0.229828863 |
| H | 4.960686713 | 2.143483007 | 0.135811855 |
| H | 3.379257509 | 2.787824895 | 0.636473818 |
| H | 4.262464814 | 3.532734785 | -0.707643439 |
| C | 4.353082702 | 1.337236967 | -2.400227406 |
| H | 4.782052705 | 2.280605964 | -2.770703617 |
| H | 3.879177437 | 0.827416275 | -3.246565907 |
| H | 5.187370491 | 0.715212488 | -2.042932386 |
| C | -1.505070979 | -0.433974683 | -2.413530919 |
| C | -2.059467683 | -1.512169601 | -1.654615735 |
| C | -3.382397323 | -1.941831268 | -1.883008966 |
| H | -3.810609121 | -2.749745811 | -1.295375098 |
| C | -4.17707404 | -1.342179071 | -2.869605081 |
| H | -5.197554128 | -1.691632454 | -3.032203343 |
| C | -3.646876572 | -0.299091747 | -3.640876638 |
| H | -4.249560019 | 0.169584383 | -4.420695641 |
| C | -2.342072956 | 0.150625167 | -3.400007202 |
| H | -1.951988052 | 0.973905411 | -3.999775853 |
| C | -2.002878641 | -2.905350233 | 1.028212984 |
| H | -2.666623452 | -2.05180043 | 1.246271904 |
| C | -0.048534243 | -3.655303366 | -1.18449138 |
| H | 0.753083078 | -3.147218419 | -1.735490044 |
| C | 0.616618493 | -4.615826952 | -0.179444233 |
| H | -0.116288918 | -5.259072429 | 0.324961748 |
| H | 1.201090871 | -4.089729183 | 0.584815704 |
| H | 1.304711262 | -5.275661626 | -0.727880634 |
| C | -0.909880045 | -4.414977952 | -2.211264582 |
| H | -0.284148502 | -5.173398249 | -2.705575363 |
| H | -1.304084742 | -3.747295443 | -2.987013874 |
| H | -1.755507084 | -4.936248232 | -1.743013054 |
| C | -1.131715405 | -3.17776053 | 2.27986635 |
| H | -1.760877192 | -3.146634625 | 3.182034779 |
| H | -0.318120252 | -2.452535862 | 2.391125519 |
| H | -0.684540929 | -4.178235273 | 2.235072095 |
| C | -2.880541178 | -4.151641459 | 0.771847046 |
| H | -2.266964171 | -5.035668573 | 0.557384601 |
| H | -3.60129914 | -4.034752214 | -0.04313331 |


| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -3.454775857 | -4.368807299 | 1.685407083 |
| C | 0.171628378 | 1.599037002 | -2.81793406 |
| C | -0.343687821 | 2.678001028 | -2.052742201 |
| C | -0.454559277 | 3.970616408 | -2.608846557 |
| H | -0.855548906 | 4.79871239 | -2.026931928 |
| C | -0.014962337 | 4.21271088 | -3.918492985 |
| H | -0.095672516 | 5.214902954 | -4.341614756 |
| C | 0.552808664 | 3.170022677 | -4.667240208 |
| H | 0.9165645 | 3.361248439 | -5.67834312 |
| C | 0.641740902 | 1.880521934 | -4.121571393 |
| H | 1.061063343 | 1.071677733 | -4.723984445 |
| C | 0.454552274 | 3.287531122 | 0.759881659 |
| H | 1.335834197 | 2.634206231 | 0.853050822 |
| C | -2.423299931 | 2.698824686 | 0.165368514 |
| H | -2.389623844 | 2.617508833 | 1.263491028 |
| C | -0.110540801 | 3.494250702 | 2.178746722 |
| H | 0.675474563 | 3.916832528 | 2.822009497 |
| H | -0.443917125 | 2.555057491 | 2.634679637 |
| H | -0.951403356 | 4.201641306 | 2.183325964 |
| C | 0.931637851 | 4.628712543 | 0.173170161 |
| H | 0.11113981 | 5.353157566 | 0.078346886 |
| H | 1.403425835 | 4.513080285 | -0.809274026 |
| H | 1.675835505 | 5.067379687 | 0.855380671 |
| C | -3.463181353 | 1.68891217 | -0.351610007 |
| H | -4.451346707 | 1.93144608 | 0.067281935 |
| H | -3.212042068 | 0.657224702 | -0.070127547 |
| H | -3.543664921 | 1.721383583 | -1.445613814 |
| C | -2.835711388 | 4.139650598 | -0.19266897 |
| H | -2.947149046 | 4.260313836 | -1.278325993 |
| H | -2.121870264 | 4.890507873 | 0.170846478 |
| H | -3.811779296 | 4.361056792 | 0.264970372 |

Table S.31: Optimized coordinates for $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}(S=5 / 2)$

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe | 0.267596611 | 0.060055928 | 0.106699207 |
| N | 0.409586857 | -0.241222443 | 1.918569354 |
| N | 0.2800223 | 0.380567154 | 3.090200181 |
| C | 1.486095262 | 0.55320657 | 3.913597815 |
| H | 2.249607896 | 1.061357353 | 3.323007175 |
| H | 1.877281395 | -0.414199831 | 4.260350832 |
| H | 1.232425754 | 1.168765304 | 4.780397525 |
| C | -0.952628588 | 0.130803938 | 3.857810382 |
| H | -1.79537242 | 0.110028173 | 3.165357428 |
| H | -1.088985985 | 0.935397548 | 4.586015028 |
| H | -0.903563697 | -0.827743534 | 4.392796151 |
| P | 2.633757263 | -0.085262116 | -0.665495207 |
| P | -1.045322408 | -2.070617368 | -0.448118657 |
| P | -0.9394094 | 2.192134685 | -0.16838588 |
| B | 0.014174732 | 0.054915433 | -2.340244367 |
| C | 2.417945015 | -1.082268306 | -2.186101971 |
| C | 1.152443891 | -0.95751715 | -2.827642467 |
| C | 0.931999366 | -1.741585317 | -3.987142245 |
| H | -0.031645018 | -1.673955722 | -4.49573225 |
| C | 1.916673014 | -2.597497013 | -4.501615666 |
| H | 1.715524161 | -3.181747246 | -5.401043831 |
| C | 3.154473279 | -2.710254779 | -3.851841084 |
| H | 3.92232931 | -3.383062201 | -4.2360101 |
| C | 3.400087255 | -1.956383195 | -2.694521037 |
| H | 4.361470249 | -2.067712651 | -2.19107367 |
| C | 3.885180183 | -1.04673712 | 0.343501353 |
| H | 4.711247899 | -1.260409943 | -0.35364899 |
| C | 3.522593106 | 1.455219451 | -1.284109669 |
| H | 2.870135827 | 1.752534166 | -2.118669623 |
| C | 4.467541804 | -0.259316067 | 1.530001704 |
| H | 3.677545976 | 0.070898271 | 2.214989303 |
| H | 5.043402885 | 0.617425271 | 1.210929248 |
| H | 5.148087421 | -0.912240004 | 2.096080139 |
| C | 3.274023988 | -2.379976394 | 0.808625245 |
| H | 4.031203066 | -2.967509506 | 1.348735497 |
| H | 2.921229895 | -2.983179546 | -0.03740398 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.428607103 | -2.198979118 | 1.487484534 |
| C | 3.533592018 | 2.614785432 | -0.271240454 |
| H | 4.204612327 | 2.425097198 | 0.576179071 |
| H | 2.53057234 | 2.812123938 | 0.125584561 |
| H | 3.883331299 | 3.530511032 | -0.770059792 |
| C | 4.924013301 | 1.167273889 | -1.853434327 |
| H | 5.31110536 | 2.076451124 | -2.337349225 |
| H | 4.904686713 | 0.372972277 | -2.611240285 |
| H | 5.639311231 | 0.885574061 | -1.067891032 |
| C | -1.479721184 | -0.439671719 | -2.600695804 |
| C | -2.047434042 | -1.531598067 | -1.889174405 |
| C | -3.273001356 | -2.088543412 | -2.309347195 |
| H | -3.693051294 | -2.954575664 | -1.804195249 |
| C | -3.970437361 | -1.546022192 | -3.398968476 |
| H | -4.920615484 | -1.984876986 | -3.706386099 |
| C | -3.441710094 | -0.44338201 | -4.085082672 |
| H | -3.981814986 | -0.012239938 | -4.929708642 |
| C | -2.208944919 | 0.095816869 | -3.690057466 |
| H | -1.794416993 | 0.939884915 | -4.244843724 |
| C | -2.222430717 | -2.491786706 | 0.970223978 |
| H | -2.668886949 | -1.501200417 | 1.161397922 |
| C | -0.165740756 | -3.644073156 | -1.034690601 |
| H | 0.711477424 | -3.220268364 | -1.546520503 |
| C | 0.341451343 | -4.554731552 | 0.100406458 |
| H | -0.478918201 | -5.12774313 | 0.552664703 |
| H | 0.858317662 | -4.003833668 | 0.894509898 |
| H | 1.051585325 | -5.281515394 | -0.321095429 |
| C | -0.951242781 | -4.465164724 | -2.07567659 |
| H | -0.316770588 | -5.29581942 | -2.420387269 |
| H | -1.227150335 | -3.867776394 | -2.952361434 |
| H | -1.865916316 | -4.902698862 | -1.651894586 |
| C | -1.44591408 | -2.903536137 | 2.243055125 |
| H | -2.086567344 | -2.732300953 | 3.120520618 |
| H | -0.522167206 | -2.324747148 | 2.36867863 |
| H | -1.196774038 | -3.970773223 | 2.229640328 |
| C | -3.372766187 | -3.49377359 | 0.732347362 |
| H | -3.00815784 | -4.459398834 | 0.356802252 |
| H | -4.136796696 | -3.112406066 | 0.047377193 |


| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -3.871143459 | -3.686938953 | 1.694634089 |
| C | 0.241284345 | 1.621162781 | -2.592055936 |
| C | -0.38059699 | 2.664270806 | -1.842559883 |
| C | -0.440765922 | 3.976923469 | -2.35850867 |
| H | -0.935425275 | 4.768599196 | -1.799170825 |
| C | 0.149224319 | 4.288998471 | -3.59134605 |
| H | 0.092482203 | 5.306942027 | -3.9788548 |
| C | 0.830430424 | 3.29233402 | -4.306137097 |
| H | 1.311625647 | 3.531376532 | -5.255978199 |
| C | 0.877132441 | 1.98264564 | -3.808200737 |
| H | 1.38024182 | 1.208500463 | -4.391081552 |
| C | -0.110463211 | 3.355353651 | 1.092227662 |
| H | 0.786871415 | 2.775409013 | 1.36069866 |
| C | -2.780690611 | 2.497362523 | 0.041185327 |
| H | -2.90226873 | 2.433541445 | 1.133561131 |
| C | -0.953780008 | 3.497430773 | 2.375410458 |
| H | -0.333249843 | 3.953862327 | 3.160383699 |
| H | -1.308771183 | 2.532169417 | 2.753775615 |
| H | -1.820554773 | 4.154451085 | 2.219675153 |
| C | 0.360535687 | 4.738735926 | 0.606733214 |
| H | -0.483007792 | 5.403010025 | 0.37390791 |
| H | 1.009749555 | 4.680827073 | -0.274517904 |
| H | 0.935077941 | 5.21445133 | 1.415975204 |
| C | -3.644343385 | 1.391923363 | -0.588474433 |
| H | -4.699141165 | 1.57062126 | -0.33227461 |
| H | -3.368341767 | 0.396915597 | -0.218834758 |
| H | -3.558457521 | 1.380014353 | -1.682157503 |
| C | -3.245293198 | 3.892297817 | -0.420523304 |
| H | -3.18218878 | 3.98842964 | -1.512778388 |
| H | -2.66833847 | 4.707193363 | 0.036415064 |
| H | -4.29895097 | 4.032328363 | -0.1363712 |
|  |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |

Table S.32: Optimized coordinates for $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right]^{\bullet+}$

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 0.002140723 | -0.227830199 | 0.068113865 |
| N | 0.001255257 | 0.139868521 | 1.353763862 |
| C | -1.274259026 | 0.083415953 | 2.075053543 |
| H | -1.462422508 | -0.942081808 | 2.416163825 |
| H | -2.078770527 | 0.411157557 | 1.413273764 |
| H | -1.20370385 | 0.752161243 | 2.933219314 |
| C | 1.277456616 | 0.091216667 | 2.074411659 |
| H | 1.201901194 | 0.756597595 | 2.934738459 |
| H | 2.079061665 | 0.427546152 | 1.413382178 |
| H | 1.473928561 | -0.933843025 | 2.412133791 |
| H | -0.868056817 | -0.092218029 | -0.441172901 |
| H | 0.871308711 | -0.086850626 | -0.441481358 |

Table S.33: Optimized coordinates for $\left[\mathrm{HNNMe}_{2}\right]^{\bullet}$

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 0.068782452 | -0.289434885 | 0.032028036 |
| N | -0.006298886 | 0.18902767 | 1.294845964 |
| C | -1.246197817 | 0.093800222 | 2.061525663 |
| H | -1.433319396 | -0.93615032 | 2.407575967 |
| H | -2.078731832 | 0.411416876 | 1.427068612 |
| H | -1.192026334 | 0.753937479 | 2.932706126 |
| C | 1.234747225 | 0.072717512 | 2.049685149 |
| H | 1.239508164 | 0.806420848 | 2.861514276 |
| H | 2.061388675 | 0.267721864 | 1.365762434 |
| H | 1.355180254 | -0.935580547 | 2.477229908 |
| H | -0.901957291 | -0.303642103 | -0.312817025 |

Table S.34: Optimized coordinates for $\left[\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right]^{\bullet-}$ (equilibrium geometry)

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 0.002653537 | 0.563092209 | 0.072917181 |
| N | 0.000902085 | -0.138168564 | 1.207758404 |
| C | -1.217959239 | -0.07307122 | 2.051595921 |
| H | -1.214157112 | -0.825703569 | 2.864950203 |
| H | -2.068880836 | -0.24401924 | 1.387367116 |
| H | -1.344574277 | 0.934766521 | 2.515460065 |
| C | 1.217729397 | -0.07423346 | 2.054642084 |
| H | 1.34413564 | 0.933484521 | 2.518817054 |
| H | 2.070123188 | -0.245977259 | 1.392510179 |
| H | 1.211192449 | -0.826868451 | 2.8679725 |

Table S.35: Optimized coordinates for $\left[\mathrm{NNMe}_{2}\right]^{\bullet-}\left(C_{2 \mathrm{v}}\right.$ geometry $)$

| Atom | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 0.081217201 | -0.524737396 | -0.045950265 |
| N | 0.01699979 | -0.181008715 | 1.254269798 |
| C | -1.234497399 | -0.062367517 | 1.990770474 |
| H | -1.301349884 | -0.75221979 | 2.868247591 |
| H | -2.029924566 | -0.304376221 | 1.283294227 |
| H | -1.411757706 | 0.964826107 | 2.398404961 |
| C | 1.189871946 | 0.137082484 | 2.05796805 |
| H | 1.169114353 | 1.173116053 | 2.480754686 |
| H | 2.051039081 | 0.041156944 | 1.393852181 |
| H | 1.326397185 | -0.544781949 | 2.933718297 |
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