Supporting Information



Fig S1. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves ROC curves show the false positive rate on the x-axis and the true positive rate on the y-axis. The optimal point is the upper-left corner. Plots were generated using cross-validated predictions on entire dataset. Models that use preoperative features consistently outperform models that only use Charlson score or ASA status. Logistic Regression (a) and ElasticNet (b) (linear models) outperform Random Forest (c) and XGBoost (d) (non-linear models) when using a single input feature. However, non-linear models (c, d) outperform linear models (a, b) when using multiple features.



Fig S2. Precision-recall (PR) curves PR curves show the recall on the x-axis and precision on the y-axis. The optimal point is in the upper-right corner. Figs (a) and (b) show that the linear models have very similar PR curves. The gradient boosted trees model (d) has better precision-recall compared to the random forest (c).



Fig S3. Change in preoperative and postoperative mortality prediction rank Points on the left of the plot are preoperative predictions of mortality, and points on the right are postoperative predictions of mortality. The preoperative probability predictions were ranked from highest to lowest, and for each patient a line from the preoperative prediction is then connected to the rank in list of sorted postoperative predictions. If a point does not change its rank in the list of risk scores, then the line will be straight across. Otherwise if the rank increased, it will have positive slope, or negative slope if it decreased. Blue lines are patients that survived surgery, and red lines are in-hospital mortalities. The median survivor points are displayed by the yellow line. Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient and p-value are shown above each plot.



Fig S4. Comparison of preoperative and postoperative mortality prediction using risk scores Violin plots showing the distributions of mortality risk, stratified by ASA status. Preoperative risk (2 left violins of each plot) and postoperative risk (right 2 violins of each plot) are shown in each plot. Red violins are patients that died during surgery, and blue violins are survivors.



Fig S5. Null distribution of increasing risk for matched individuals based on similar pre-operative scores For each individual who died, we found a matched individual who falls within one percentile of the pre-operative risk percentile of the individual that died. We then calculated the number of individuals in this matched set who had increased chance of death for their post-operative score. We repeated this process 10,000 times. This histogram represents the percent of matched individuals that have a higher post-operative score than pre-operative score. We restrict our analysis to individuals who fall in less than the ninety-fifth percentile.

Table S1. Feature List

	Fraction of
Feature	Values
	Missing
HCUP CODE	0.043
AGE	0.0
HRS ADMSN TO	0.000
SURGERY	0.009
BICARBONATE	0.939
CHLORIDE	0.136
CREATININE	0.259
GLUCOSE	0.228
HEMOGLOBIN	0.123
INR	0.282
PLATELET COUNT	0.255
PROTHROMBIN	0.203
TIME	0.233
POTASSIUM	0.132
SODIUM	0.134
UREA NITROGEN	0.148
WHITE BLOOD CELL	0.259
COUNT	0.200
ALBUMIN	0.361
ALKALINE	0.362
PHOSPHATASE	0.004
ALT	0.334
AST	0.329
BNP	0.923
BILIRUBIN TOTAL	0.373
SYS BLOOD PRESSURE	0.005
DIAS BLOOD PRESSURE	0.005
SYS PAP	0.986
DIAS PAP	0.986
PULSE	0.007
SPO2	0.012
ECHO EF	0.793
HEIGHT IN	0.015
WEIGHT KG	0.009
BMI	0.014
ASA STATUS	0.0
GENDER	0.0
PAT CLASS	0.0
PRE SURG	0.004
LOCATION	0.004

Preoperative features used in the model. All features are readily available via the EHR system prior to surgery. HCUP codes are included as features, but not shown in this table. Refer to Table S2 for a full list of HCUP codes.

1anc 04. Companson of 11001 cours between overall subscripts and subscripts with upd	Table	S2.	Comparison	of HCUP	codes	between	overall	surgeries	and	surgeries	with	deaf
--	-------	-----	------------	---------	-------	---------	---------	-----------	-----	-----------	------	------

HCUP	Count	Percent	P(D HCUP)	Rank	Rank	\mathbf{Rank}
INCISION AND EXCISION OF CNS	1600 (43)	3.2(14.3)	0.027	2	1	18
OTHER OR PROCEDURES ON VESSELS OTHER THAN HEAD	739 (16)	1.5 (5.3)	0.022	24	2	22
AND NECK COLOBECTAL RESECTION	1053 (14)	2.1 (4.7)	0.013	15	3	33
SMALL BOWEL RESECTION	335 (12)	0.7 (4)	0.036	46	4	14
TREATMENT, FRACTURE OR DISLOCATION OF HIP AND FEMUR	1081 (10)	2.2 (3.3)	0.009	13	5	46
DIAGNOSTIC BRONCHOSCOPY AND BIOPSY OF BRONCHUS	242 (10)	0.5 (3.3)	0.041	57	6	9
INSERTION, REPLACEMENT, OR REMOVAL OF EXTRACRANIAL VENTRICULAR SHUNT	464 (9)	0.9 (3)	0.019	37	7	26
HEART VALVE PROCEDURES	890 (8)	1.8 (2.7)	0.009	20	8	46
UPPER GASTROINTESTINAL ENDOSCOPY, BIOPSY	418 (8)	0.8 (2.7)	0.019	40	9	26
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	956 (8)	1.9 (2.7)	0.008	19	10	48
AMPUTATION OF LOWER EXTREMITY	243 (7)	0.5 (2.3)	0.029	56	11	16
OTHER OR GASTROINTESTINAL THERAPEUTIC	315 (7)	0.6 (2.3)	0.022	47	12	22
PROCEDURES	844 (7)	1.7 (2.3)	0.008	23	13	48
DIAGNOSTIC ULTRASOUND OF HEART	96 (7)	0.2 (2.3)	0.073	83	14	5
(ECHOCARDIOGRAM)	146 (6)	0.3 (2)	0.041	67	15	9
ILEOSTOMY AND OTHER ENTEROSTOMY	1297(6) 463(5)	2.6(2) 0.9(1.7)	0.005	6 38	16	53
OTHER DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURES (INTERVIEW,	138 (4)	0.3 (1.3)	0.029	70	18	16
EVALUATION, CONSULTATION) DEBRIDEMENT OF WOUND, INFECTION OB BUBN	382 (4)	0.8 (1.3)	0.01	44	19	42
OTHER OR UPPER GI THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES	284 (4)	0.6 (1.3)	0.014	51	20	31
INCISION AND DRAINAGE, SKIN AND SUBCUTANEOUS	172 (4)	0.3 (1.3)	0.023	63	21	20
CORONARY ARTERY BYPASS GRAFT (CABG)	401 (4)	0.8 (1.3)	0.01	42	22	42
OTHER OR HEART PROCEDURES	310 (4)	0.6 (1.3)	0.013	49	23	33
OTHER HERNIA REPAIR	1053 (4)	0.4(1.3) 2.1(1.3)	0.018	58 14	24	28 57
ENDOSCOPIC RETROGRADE CANNULATION OF PANCREAS	254 (4)	0.5 (1.3)	0.016	54	26	29
EXTRACORPOREAL CIRCULATION AUXILIARY TO OPEN	F (0)	2 (1)		1.10		
HEART PROCEDURES	5 (3)	0 (1)	0.6	149	27	2
OTHER OR LOWER GI THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES OTHER THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES, HEMIC AND	702 (3)	1.4 (1)	0.004	25	28	57
LYMPHATIC SYSTEM	1612 (3)	3.3 (1)	0.002	1	29	64
INCISION OF PLEURA, THORACENTESIS, CHEST DRAINAGE	21 (3)	0 (1)	0.143	122	30	3
GASTROSTOMY, TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT	38 (2)	0.1(0.7)	0.053	110	31	7
OTHER OR THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES OF URINARY TRACT	411 (2)	0.8(0.7)	0.005	41	32	53
COLONOSCOPY AND BIOPSY	51 (2)	0.1 (0.7)	0.039	101	33	12
OTHER VASCULAR BYPASS AND SHUNT, NOT HEART	49(2) 1040(2)	0.1 (0.7) 2.1 (0.7)	0.041	103	34	9
TRACHEOSCOPY AND LARYNGOSCOPY WITH BIOPSY	495 (2)	1 (0.7)	0.002	35	36	57
CHOLECYSTECTOMY AND COMMON DUCT EXPLORATION	1189 (2)	2.4 (0.7)	0.002	8	37	64
PROCEDURES ON SPLEEN	51 (2)	0.2(0.7) 0.1(0.7)	0.027	100	38	18
CREATION, REVISION AND REMOVAL OF ARTERIOVENOUS	200 (2)	0.4 (0.7)	0.01	60	40	42
PROCTOSCOPY AND ANORECTAL BIOPSY	87 (2)	0.2 (0.7)	0.023	87	41	20
HYSTERECTOMY, ABDOMINAL AND VAGINAL	1102 (2)	2.2 (0.7)	0.002	12	42	64
GASTRECTOMY OR PNEUMONECTOMY GASTRECTOMY, PARTIAL AND TOTAL	571 (2) 47 (1)	1.2(0.7) 0.1(0.3)	0.004	30	43	57
TRACHEOSTOMY, TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT	105 (1)	0.2 (0.3)	0.01	79	45	42
PARTIAL EXCISION BONE	509 (1)	1(0.3)	0.002	33	46	64
BIOPSY OF LIVER	1287 (1) 16 (1)	0 (0.3)	0.062	128	47	6
SUTURE OF SKIN AND SUBCUTANEOUS TISSUE	367 (1)	0.7 (0.3)	0.003	45	49	60
DIAGNOSTIC DILATATION AND CURETTAGE (D and C)	37 (1) 13 (1)	0.1(0.3) 0(0.3)	0.027	111 134	50	18
DECOMPRESSION PERIPHERAL NERVE	102 (1)	0.2 (0.3)	0.01	80	52	42
OTHER DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURES ON LUNG AND BRONCHUS	56 (1)	0.1 (0.3)	0.018	96	53	28
LAPAROSCOPY	439 (1)	0.9 (0.3)	0.002	39	54	64
ENDOSCOPY AND ENDOSCOPIC BIOPSY OF THE URINARY TRACT	77 (1)	0.2 (0.3)	0.013	89	55	33
INGUINAL AND FEMORAL HERNIA REPAIR	252 (1)	0.5(0.3)	0.004	55	56	57
PLASTIC PROCEDURES ON NOSE	166 (1)	0.3(0.3)	0.006	64	57	51
MASTOIDECTOMY	87 (1)	0.2 (0.3)	0.001	86	59	38
PERIPHERAL VASCULAR BYPASS	65 (1)	0.1 (0.3)	0.015	94	60	30
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	84 (1)	0.2(0.3)	0.012	88	61	35
OTHER OR THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES ON NOSE,	886 (1)	1.8 (0.3)	0.001	21	62	70
EMBOLECTOMY AND ENDARTERECTOMY OF LOWER	05 (1)		0.011	e ·	60	
LIMBS	95 (1)	0.2 (0.3)	0.011	84	63	38
OTHER VASCULAR CATHETERIZATION, NOT HEART OTHER THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES ON MUSCLES AND	89 (1)	0.2 (0.3)	0.011	85	64	38
TENDONS	576 (1)	1.2 (0.3)	0.002	29	65	64
OTHER OR THERAPEUTIC NERVOUS SYSTEM PROCEDURES	1140 (1)	2.3 (0.3)	0.001	11	66	70
REPAIR OF CYSTOCELE AND RECTOCELE, OBLITERATION	141 (1)	0.3 (0.3)	0.007	69	67	50
OF VAGINAL VAULT	(1)	0.0 (0.0)	0.007			
CONJUNCTIVA, CORNEA	113 (1)	0.2 (0.3)	0.009	75	68	46
OTHER OR PROCEDURES ON VESSELS OF HEAD AND	189 (1)	0.4 (0.3)	0.005	61	69	53
OTHER THERAPEUTIC ENDOCRINE PROCEDURES	1436 (1)	2.9 (0.3)	0.001	4	70	70
MYRINGOTOMY	1(1)	0(0.3)	1	171	71	1

 ARTHROSCOFT
 20 (1)
 0.1 (0.3)
 0.038
 119
 12
 13

 The numbers in parentheses () in the Count and Percent columns represent the count and percentage of individuals who died in-hospital undergoing surgery who were classified under the given HCUP description.
 10
 11
 13

Model	Logistic Regression	ElasticNet Classifier	Random Forest	XGBoost Classifier
Accuracy	0.967 (0.964-0.970)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.962 \\ (0.959 \text{-} 0.965) \end{array}$	0.994 (0.993-0.994)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.993 \\ (0.993 \text{-} 0.994) \end{array}$
F1 Score	$\begin{array}{c} 0.158 \\ (0.140 \text{-} 0.175) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.154 \\ (0.139 \text{-} 0.169) \end{array}$	0.053 (0.007-0.099)	0.086 (0.023-0.149)
Precision	0.094 (0.083-0.106)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.090 \\ (0.081 \text{-} 0.098) \end{array}$	0.292 (0.035-0.548)	0.361 (0.119-0.604)
Recall	0.489 (0.431-0.546)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.550 \\ (0.491 \text{-} 0.609) \end{array}$	0.029 (0.004-0.054)	0.052 (0.011-0.093)
Specificity	$\begin{array}{c} 0.970 \\ (0.967 \text{-} 0.973) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.965 \\ (0.962 \text{-} 0.968) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.000 \\ (1.000 \text{-} 1.000) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.999 \\ (0.999 \text{-} 1.000) \end{array}$

Table S3. Predicting Mortality using Preoperative Features

Model performance metrics for predicting mortality using preoperative features and 10-fold

The positives: TP, False positives: FP, True negatives: TN, False negatives: FN. Accuracy = (TP+TN)/(TP+TN+FP+FN). Precision = TP/(TP+FP). Recall = TP/(TP+FN). Specificity = TN/(TN+FP). F1 Score = 2/((1/Recall)+(1/Precision)).

Table S4. Predicting Mortality using Preoperative Features + **ASA Status**

Model	Logistic Regression	ElasticNet Classifier	Random Forest	XGBoost Classifier
Accuracy	0.971 (0.969 - 0.972)	0.966 (0.964 - 0.967)	0.994 (0.994 - 0.994)	0.994 (0.993 - 0.994)
F1 Score	0.167 (0.147 - 0.188)	0.172 (0.157 - 0.187)	0.053 (0.007 - 0.098)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.178 \ (0.122 \ - \\ 0.234) \end{array}$
Precision	0.101 (0.089 - 0.114)	0.101 (0.092 - 0.110)	0.255 (0.051 - 0.459)	0.436 (0.316 - 0.557)
Recall	0.485 (0.425 - 0.545)	0.585 (0.526 - 0.644)	0.030 (0.004 - 0.056)	0.113 (0.076 - 0.150)
Specificity	0.974 (0.972 - 0.975)	0.968 (0.967 - 0.970)	1.000 (1.000 - 1.000)	0.999 (0.999 - 0.999)

Model performance metrics for predicting mortality using both preoperative features and ASA status as input features, and 10-fold cross-validation. For an explanation of the metrics see the description of Table S3

Model	Logistic Regression	ElasticNet Classifier	Random Forest	XGBoost Classifier
Accuracy	0.948 (0.936 - 0.959)	0.948 (0.936 - 0.959)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.865 \ (0.737 - \ 0.994) \end{array}$	0.885 (0.749 - 1.022)
F1 Score	0.132 (0.093 - 0.171)	0.132 (0.093 - 0.171)	0.111 (0.056 - 0.165)	0.059 (-0.005 - 0.123)
Precision	0.104 (0.013 - 0.194)	0.104 (0.013 - 0.0194)	0.092 (-0.003 - 0.187)	0.063 (-0.038 - 0.165)
Recall	0.574 ((0.468 - 0.681)	0.574 (0.468 - 0.681)	0.548 (0.425 - 0.670)	0.280 (0.085 - 0.475)
Specificity	0.950 (0.937 - 0.962)	0.950 (0.937 - 0.962)	0.867 (0.738 - 0.996)	0.889 (0.751 - 1.027)

Table S5. Predicting Mortality using ASA Status

Model performance metrics for predicting mortality using only the ASA status as an input feature, and 10-fold cross-validation. For an explanation of the metrics see the description of Table S3

Model	Logistic Regression	ElasticNet Classifier	Random Forest	XGBoost Classifier
Accuracy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.871 \\ (0.813 - 0.929) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.871 \\ (0.813 - 0.929) \end{array}$	0.915 (0.847-0.983)	0.929 (0.861-0.997)
F1 Score	$\begin{array}{r} 0.039 \\ (0.030 \text{-} 0.048) \end{array}$	0.039 (0.030-0.048)	0.046 (0.017-0.074)	0.042 (0.012-0.072)
Precision	$\begin{array}{c} 0.021 \\ (0.016 \text{-} 0.026) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.021 \\ (0.016 \text{-} 0.026) \end{array}$	0.029 (0.007-0.052)	0.029 (0.007-0.052)
Recall	$\begin{array}{r} 0.412 \\ (0.265 - 0.560) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.412 \\ (0.265 - 0.560) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.180 \\ (0.081 \text{-} 0.279) \end{array}$	0.136 (0.053-0.220)
Specificity	$\begin{array}{c} 0.874 \\ (0.815 - 0.933) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.874 \\ (0.815 - 0.933) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.920 \\ (0.851 \text{-} 0.989) \end{array}$	0.934 (0.865-1.000)

Table S6. Predicting Mortality using Charlson Comorbidity

Model performance metrics for predicting mortality using only the Charlson comorbidity as an input feature, and 10-fold cross-validation. For an explanation of the metrics see the description of Table S3 $\,$

Table S7.	Predicting	Mortality	using	Preoperative	Features	+
imputed-A	ASA Score					

Model	Logistic Regression	ElasticNet Classifier	Random Forest	XGBoost Classifier
Accuracy	0.968	0.963	0.994	0.994
F1 Score	0.148 (0.132-0.165)	0.144 (0.129-0.160)	0.052	0.093 (0.048-0.138)
Precision	$\begin{array}{c} 0.089 \\ (0.079 - 0.099) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.084 \\ (0.075 - 0.093) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.223 \\ (0.051 \text{-} 0.396) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.361 \\ (0.161 - 0.561) \end{array}$
Recall	$\begin{array}{c} 0.459 \\ (0.400 \text{-} 0.517) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.521 \\ (0.451 \text{-} 0.591) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.030 \\ (0.004 \text{-} 0.056) \end{array}$	0.056 (0.027-0.085)
Specificity	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9671 \\ (0.969 \text{-} 0.973) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.965 \\ (0.963 \text{-} 0.968) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.000 \\ (0.999 \text{-} 1.000) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.999 \\ (0.999 1.000) \end{array}$

Model performance metrics for predicting mortality using both preoperative features and the imputed-ASA score as input features, and 10-fold cross-validation. For an explanation of the metrics see the description of Table S3

Model	Logistic	ElasticNet	Random	XGBoost
	Regression	Classifier	Forest	Classifier
Accuracy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.977 \\ (0.975 - 0.978) \end{array}$	0.972 (0.970-0.974)	0.994 (0.994-0.994)	0.994 (0.993-0.994)
F1 Score	$\begin{array}{c} 0.205 \\ (0.183-0.227) \end{array}$	0.198 (0.178-0.219)	0.048 (0.015-0.080)	0.175 (0.127-0.224)
Precision	$\begin{array}{r} 0.130 \\ (0.116 - 0.143) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120 \\ (0.108 - 0.133) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.307 \\ (0.075 - 0.538) \end{array}$	0.474 (0.328-0.620)
Recall	0.492	0.568	0.026	0.109
	(0.428-0.556)	(0.501-0.636)	(0.008-0.045)	(0.078-0.141)
Specificity	0.980	0.975	1.000	0.999
	(0.978-0.981)	(0.973-0.976)	(1.000-1.000)	(0.999-1.000)

Table S8. Predicting Mortality using Preoperative Features +ASA status, Without Lab Times

Model performance metrics for predicting mortality using both preoperative features and the ASA score but without lab times as input features, and 10-fold cross-validation. For an explanation of the metrics see the description of Table S3

Model	Logistic Regression	ElasticNet Classifier	Random Forest	XGBoost Classifier
Accuracy	0.974 (0.972-0.975)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.969 \\ (0.967 \text{-} 0.970) \end{array}$	0.994 (0.994-0.994)	0.994 (0.993-0.994)
F1 Score	$\begin{array}{c} 0.169 \\ (0.146 \text{-} 0.191) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.162 \\ (0.146 \text{-} 0.177) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.035 \\ (0.000 \text{-} 0.070) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.083 \\ (0.038 \text{-} 0.129) \end{array}$
Precision	0.104 (0.091-0.118)	0.097 (0.088-0.106)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.183 \\ (0.011 \text{-} 0.356) \end{array}$	0.305 (0.104-0.507)
Recall	$\begin{array}{c} 0.442 \\ (0.373 \text{-} 0.511) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.502 \\ (0.445 \text{-} 0.559) \end{array}$	0.020 (0.000-0.040)	0.050 (0.022-0.077)
Specificity	$\begin{array}{c} 0.977 \\ (0.975 \text{-} 0.978) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.971 \\ (0.970 \text{-} 0.973) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.000 \\ (1.000-1.000) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.999 \\ (0.999 \text{-} 1.000) \end{array}$

Table S9. Predicting Mortality using Preoperative Features + imputed-ASA status, Without Lab Times

Model performance metrics for predicting mortality using both preoperative features and the imputed-ASA score but without lab times as input features, and 10-fold cross-validation. For an explanation of the metrics see the description of Table S3

Feature	Random Forest	Linear Regression	Elastic Net	XGBoost
ALBUMIN	0.04*	-0.79	-1.08*	0.03*
ASA STATUS	0.08*	2.62*	2.17*	0.03*
INR	0.04*	0.76	0.3	0.01
PAT CLASS	0.05*	0.01	0.00	0.02
INPATIENT	0.05*	-0.01	-0.09	0.02
PAT CLASS SAME	0.04*	-1 80*	0.47	0
DAY ADMIT	0.04	-1.05	-0.47	0
PRE SURG				
LOCATION	0.05*	1.26	-0.29	0
PRE-ADMISSION				
AGE LT 89	0.01	1.24	0.93*	0.04*
BILIRUBIN.TOTAL	0.02	0.09	0.85^{*}	0.03*
PRE SURG	0.02	1.36	0.84*	0.01
LOCATION RR ED	<u>an</u> 0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00*
ALKALINE.PHOSPHATA	ISE 0.01	0.08	0.26	0.03*
ALT	0.01	-0.06	-0.25	0.03*
AST	0.02	0.12	0.06	0.03*
BICARBONATE	0.03	0.77	-0.25	0.03*
BMI	0.01	0.11	0.21	0.03*
BNP	0.03	0.11	0.79	0.03*
CREATININE	0.02	-0.03	0	0.03*
DIAS.BLOOD.PRESSURI	E 0.03	-0.93	-0.53	0.03*
ECHO.EF	0.01	-0.3	0	0.03*
GLUCOSE	0.03	0.55	0.76	0.03*
HEIGHT IN	0.01	-1.25	-0.43	0.03*
HEMOGLOBIN	0.03	-0.26	-0.36	0.03*
HRS ADMSN TO SURGERY	0.02	-0.13	0.26	0.04*
PLATELET.COUNT	0.03	0.5	-0.39	0.04*
PULSE	0.01	0.74	0.18	0.03*
SPO2	0.02	-0.97	-0.56	0.03*
SYS.BLOOD.PRESSURE	0.02	0.33	-0.73	0.04*
UREA.NITROGEN	0.02	0.28	0.34	0.03*
WEIGHT KG	0.01	0.48	0	0.03*
WHITE.BLOOD.CELL.C	OUNT 0.02	0.48	0.62	0.03*
PAP.HRS 2 SURGERY	NA	-2.17*	NA	NA
PULSE.HRS 2 SURGERY	NA	-1.93*	NA	NA
SODIUM	0.01	-2.28*	0	0.02

Table S10.Model Feature Importance: With ASA andWithout Lab Time Features

The bold values with the * indicates that the value was one of the top five strongest predictors for the given model. In the Logistic Regression and ElasticNet columns the model weights are shown, where negative values indicate the feature lowers the probability of mortality. The non-linear model features are ranked according to the mean decrease in Gini impurity.

Feature	Random Forest	Linear Regression	Elastic Net	XGBoost
CREATININE.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.03*	0.42	0	0.01
INR	0.03*	1.47	1.03*	0.02
PAT CLASS INPATIENT	0.04*	-0.83	-0.35	0.01
PAT CLASS SAME DAY ADMIT	0.03*	-1.78*	-0.77	0
PLATELET.COUNT.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.03*	-1.5	-0.22	0.01
PRE SURG LOCATION PRE-ADMISSION	0.04*	0.2	-0.51	0
UREA.NITROGEN.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.03*	-0.27	-0.03	0.01
HEIGHT IN	0.01	-1.39	-1.04*	0.03*
PRE SURG LOCATION RR 6ICU	0.01	1.1	0.89*	0.01
SPO2	0.01	-1.1	-0.79*	0.02
SYS.BLOOD.PRESSURE	0.01	-1.11	-0.84*	0.02
AGE LT 89	0.01	1.03	0.64	0.03*
BMI	0	0.22	0.1	0.03*
GENDER M	0.01	0.03	0	0.03*
HRS ADMSN TO SURGERY	0.02	0.02	0	0.03*
PLATELET.COUNT	0.01	0.16	0	0.03*
UREA.NITROGEN	0.01	0.19	0.29	0.03*
WHITE.BLOOD.CELL.C	OUNT 0.01	0.48	0.47	0.03*
CASE SRV NAME Otolaryngology	0	-2.14*	-0.46	0
CASE SRV NAME Surgery, Surgery	0.01	-1.68*	-0.24	0
HCUP CODE 105.0	0	-1.66*	-0.67	0
PAT CLASS OVERNIGHT RECOVERY	0	-2.18*	-0.63	0

Table S11. Model Feature Importance: Without ASA and WithLab Time Features

The bold values with the * indicates that the value was one of the top five strongest predictors for the given model. In the Logistic Regression and ElasticNet columns the model weights are shown, where negative values indicate the feature lowers the probability of mortality. The non-linear model features are ranked according to the mean decrease in Gini impurity.

Feature	Random Forest	Linear Regression	Elastic Net	XGBoost	
ASA STATUS	0.07*	2.62*	2.31*	0.04*	
CHLORIDE.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.03*	-0.68	-0.46	0.01	
CREATININE.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.03*	-1.27	-0.38	0.01	
HEMOGLOBIN.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.03*	0.39	-0.09	0.01	
PAT CLASS INPATIENT	0.04*	-0.61	0	0.01	
PLATELET.COUNT.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.03*	-0.68	-0.06	0.01	
POTASSIUM.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.03*	-0.27	-0.43	0.01	
PRE SURG LOCATION PRE-ADMISSION	0.04*	1.26	-0.98*	0	
UREA.NITROGEN.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.03*	-0.08	-0.41	0.01	
AGE LT 89	0.01	1.24	0.89*	0.03*	
CASE SRV NAME Neurological Surgery	0	1.72	0.91*	0.01	
HCUP CODE 114.0	0	1.27	1.06*	0	
BMI	0.01	0.11	0.09	0.03*	
GLUCOSE	0.01	0.55	0.42	0.03*	
HEIGHT IN	0.01	-1.25	-0.69	0.03*	
PLATELET.COUNT	0.01	0.5	0.34	0.03*	
PULSE	0.01	0.74	0.39	0.03*	
SYS.BLOOD.PRESSURE	0.01	0.33	0	0.03*	
UREA.NITROGEN	0.01	0.28	0.17	0.03*	
PAP.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.01	-2.17*	-0.34	0.01	
PAT CLASS SAME DAY ADMIT	0.02	-1.89*	-0.8	0	
PULSE.HRS 2 SURGERY	0.02	-1.93*	0	0.01	
SODIUM	0.01	-2.28*	-0.39	0.02	

 Table S12. Model Feature Importance: With ASA and Time

 Features

The bold values with the * indicates that the value was one of the top five strongest predictors for the given model. In the Logistic Regression and ElasticNet columns the model weights are shown, where negative values indicate the feature lowers the probability of mortality. The non-linear model features are ranked according to the mean decrease in Gini impurity.

	Post 1	Post 2	Post 3	Post 4	Post 5	Sum
Pre 1	1376	2104	0	0	0	3480
Pre 2	704	10581	8149	155	4	19593
Pre 3	1354	6742	13856	1558	30	23540
Pre 4	41	153	1487	1017	75	2773
Pre 5	5	13	48	43	18	127
Sum	3480	19593	23540	2773	127	49513

Table S13. Change in Pre- to Post-operative Risk using ASA-like risk bins

This tables shows how risk changes for groups defined by the number of patients with each ASA score, from 1 to 5. Patient risk scores were sorted from highest-risk to lowest risk, and then patients were binned into 5 categories, where the number of patients in each category corresponds to the number of patients in each ASA status group. For example, 127 patients had an ASA status of 5, therefore, bins Pre 5 and Post 5 each have 127 patients.

ASA-like risk bins for In-hospital Mortalities Post 1 Post 2 Post 3 Post 4 Post 5 Sum Pre 1 Pre 2 Pre 3 Pre 4

Table S14. Change in Pre- to Post-operative Risk using

Pre 5

Sum

This tables shows how risk changes for groups defined by the number of patients with each ASA score, from 1 to 5. Patient risk scores were sorted from highest-risk to lowest risk, and then patients were binned into 5 categories, where the number of patients in each category corresponds to the number of patients in each ASA status group. In this table we only show in-hospital mortalities. The number of patients in group 5 increases between the preoperative and postoperative periods, showing that the postoperative model is capturing the increased risk derived from intraoperative data.