



Supplement of

A steady-state continuous flow chamber for the study of daytime and nighttime chemistry under atmospherically relevant NO levels

Xuan Zhang et al.

Correspondence to: Xuan Zhang (xuanz@ucar.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure S1. (A) Spectral actinic flux (photons cm⁻² s⁻¹ nm⁻¹) versus wavelength (nm) for UV lights in the NCAR chamber facility. (B) Temporal profiles of temperature and relative humidity during a 20 h continuous flow experiment under maximum irradiation conditions.





Figure S2. Simulated vs. measured temporal profiles of O_3 and NO_x in six continuous-flow photochemical blank experiments. It takes in general 16 hours to reach steady state, although the duration of most experiments was in the range of 8 to 10 hours for the preservation of injection sources. One experiment lasted for 20 hours to ensure the establishment of predicted steady state NO_x concentrations. Inflow H_2O_2 and NO concentrations used for these experiments are (A) 658 ppb and 5 ppb, (B) 1316 ppb and 10 ppb, (C) 3290 ppb and 25 ppb, (D) 3290 ppb and 50 ppb, (E) 6580 ppb and 100 ppb, and (F) 6580 ppb and 50 ppb, respectively.



Figure S3. Simulated temporal profiles of ethylperoxy radicals ($C_2H_5O_2$) generated from OH oxidation of ethane in the presence (red) and absence (green) of the $C_2H_5O_2+NO_2+M\leftrightarrow C_2H_5O_2NO_2+M$ reaction under ~1–80 ppb steady state NO₂ levels in the chamber.





Figure S4. Simulated vs. measured temporal profiles of O_3 and NO_x in five continuous-flow dark blank experiments. Inflow O_3 and NO concentrations used for these experiments are (A) 22 ppb and 10 ppb, (B) 57 ppb and 10 ppb, (C) 110 ppb and 10 ppb, (D) 225 ppb and 20 ppb, and (E) 85 ppb and 10 ppb, respectively. Rises in the O_3 concentrations in panel (C) and (E) result from the higher O_3 concentrations in the continuous injection flow compared with the initial O_3 concentrations in the chamber.

No.	T (K)	RH (%)	UV lights	H ₂ O ₂ (ppb)	NO (ppb)	O ₃ (ppb)	HCHO (ppb)	C5H8 (ppb)
1	305-306	4-5	\checkmark	658	5	0	0	0
2	305-306	4-5	\checkmark	1316	10	0	0	0
3	305-306	4-5	\checkmark	3290	25	0	0	0
4	305-306	4-5	\checkmark	3290	50	0	0	0
5	305-306	4-5	\checkmark	6580	100	0	0	0
6	305-306	4-5	\checkmark	6580	50	0	0	0
7	294-295	8-9	×	0	0	22	0	0
8	294-295	8-9	×	0	0	57	0	0
9	294-295	8-9	×	0	0	100	0	0
10	294-295	8-9	×	0	0	85	0	0
11	294-295	8-9	×	0	0	225	0	0
12	305-306	4-5	\checkmark	600	19	0	0	19.9
13	294-295	8-9	×	0	59	0	0	10.2

Table S1. Initial conditions used for modeling and experiments comparison.

Row 1	Column A	Column B				
Row 2	Constants					
Row 3	P (Pa)	8.60E+04				
Row 4	T (K)	298.15				
Row 5	R (J K ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹)	8.31				
Row 6	Avogadro constant (molecules mol ⁻¹)	6.02E23				
Row 7	Density (g cm ⁻³)	1.11*B9+1.00*(1-B9)				
Row 8	Molecular weight (g mol ⁻¹)	34.01*B9+18.02*(1-B9)				
Row 9	H ₂ O ₂ percent in aqueous solution	0.01				
Row 10	Chamber parameters					
Row 11	Volume of chamber (m ³)	10.00				
Row 12	Desired steady state H ₂ O ₂ concentration in chamber (ppm)	1.31				
Row 13	In/Out flow rate (L/min)	40.00				
Row 14	Calculation intermediates					
Row 15	Residence time (s)	B11*1000*60/B13				
Row 16	Incoming number concentration of H2O2 in chamber (molecules cm ⁻³)	B6*B3*B12*1E-12/B5/B4				
Row 17	Incoming molar concentration of H2O2 to chamber (mol L-1)	1000*B16/B6				
Row 18	Target					
Row 19	Injection rate (uL/hr)	60*B17*B13*B8/B7/B9/0.001				

Table S2. A spreadsheet for calculating the H_2O_2 mixing ratio in the injection flow from the infused concentration of H_2O_2 aqueous solution.