# CaMn<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> model of the biological Oxygen Evolving Complex: Synthesis by cluster expansion on low symmetry ligand

Heui Beom Lee, Emily Y. Tsui, and Theodor Agapie

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engeneering, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E California Blvd MC 127-72, Pasadena CA 91125, USA.

E-mail: agapie@caltech.edu

# **Supporting information**

Experimental procedures	
General considerations	2
Synthesis of L1	3
Synthesis of L2	4
Synthesis of L3	5
Synthesis of H <sub>3</sub> L	6
Synthesis of manganese(II) 2-phenylbenzoate	7
Synthesis of <b>1-Mn</b>	8
Synthesis of <b>1-Fe</b>	9
Synthesis of <b>1-Co</b>	10
Synthesis of <b>2</b>	11
Synthesis of <b>3</b>	12
NMR spectra	
<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of L1	13
<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of L2	15
<sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of L3	17
<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of H <sub>3</sub> L'	18
Crystallographic details	20
ESI-MS spectrometry	23
Mössbauer spectroscopy	
SQUID magnetometry	27
References	

#### **Experimental procedures**

#### **General considerations**

All reactions were performed at room temperature in an N<sub>2</sub>-filled glovebox or by using standard Schlenk techniques unless otherwise specified. Glassware was oven dried at 150°C for at least 2h prior to use, and allowed to cool under vacuum. All reagents were used as received unless otherwise stated. Iodosobenzene<sup>1</sup> and tris(2-bromophenyl)benzene<sup>2</sup> were synthesized according to published procedures. *Caution! Iodosobenzene is potentially explosive and should be used only in small quantities*. Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased from Aldrich in 18 L Pure-Pac<sup>TM</sup> containers. Anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, diethyl ether, benzene and THF were purified by sparging with nitrogen for 15 minutes and then passing under nitrogen pressure through a column of activated A2 alumina. H<sub>2</sub>O was purified using a Millipore MilliQ purifier. NMR solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, dried over calcium hydride, degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and vacuum-transferred prior to use. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 300 MHz instrument, with shifts reported relative to the residual solvent peak. Elemental analyses were performed at the California Institute of Technology.

#### Synthesis of L1



A 500-mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with tris(2-bromophenyl)benzene (34.5 g, 63 mmol, 1 equiv). Anhydrous THF (300 mL) was added via cannula, and the flask was cooled to -78 °C. A solution of *n*-butyllithium in hexane (2.5 M, 30 mL, 75 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added slowly via syringe and stirred for an additional hour at -78 °C. Anhydrous, degassed DMF (9.8 g, 134 mmol, 2.1 equiv) was added via syringe, and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic fraction was washed repeatedly with brine to remove excess DMF, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica using 5:1 hexanes/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (R<sub>f</sub> = 0.4), then dried under reduced pressure to yield L1 as a white foamy solid (21.4 g, 69%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  10.23 (s, 1H, C<u>H</u>O), 8.08 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H, *o*-CHO), 7.71 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.5, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (td, *J* = 7.8, 2.0 Hz, 2H)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz): 192.6, 145.5, 141.6, 141.2, 137.3, 134.0, 133.8, 133.4, 131.5, 131.1, 130.4, 130.3, 129.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.7, 122.8

HRMS (FAB+): calcd. For C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>17</sub>OBr<sup>18</sup>Br: 492.9626; found: 492.9645 [M+H]

#### Synthesis of L2



A 500-mL round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with a solution of L1 (21.4 g, 43.5 mmol) in benzene (200mL), ethylene glycol (25 mL), and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (0.5 g, 2.6 mmol, 0.06 equiv). A Dean-Stark apparatus was connected to the flask, and the mixture was refluxed for 15 h under N<sub>2</sub>. The mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The organic fraction was separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and dried under reduced pressure to yield L2 as a white foamy solid (22.1 g, 95%). The resulting material was used without further purification.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): δ 7.78–7.74 (m, 1H), 7.70 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.43 (m, 6H), 7.40–7.35 (overlapping ddd and s, 3H), 7.22 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.3, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 5.92 (s, 1H), 4.18 (m, 2H), 3.98 (m, 2H). ppm.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz): δ 142.20, 141.63, 140.61, 139.41, 134.79, 133.28, 131.60, 130.39, 130.01, 129.36, 129.28, 129.04, 127.98, 127.56, 127.02, 122.83, 101.48, 65.73 ppm.

HRMS (FAB+): calcd. For C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Br<sup>18</sup>Br: 535.9810; found: 535.9816 [M+H].

#### Synthesis of L3



A 500-mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with L2 (22.1 g, 41 mmol, 1 equiv) under N<sub>2</sub> counter-flow. Anhydrous THF (250 mL) was added via cannula, and the flask was cooled to -78 °C. A solution of *t*-butyllithium in pentane (1.7 M, 100 mL, 170 mmol, 4.1 equiv) was added slowly via cannula, and the resulting red solution was stirred at -78 °C for 1 hr. A solution of di(2-pyridyl)ketone (15.2 g, 82 mmol, 2 equiv) in anhydrous THF (100 mL) was added via cannula, and the resulting mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 15 hrs. The reaction mixture was quenched with 1M hydrochloric acid to pH 1 and stirred for 2 hrs. After neutralizing the acid with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove excess THF. The product was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and dried under reduced pressure. L3 is obtained as a white foamy solid and used without further purification.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz): δ 9.82 (s, 1H, C<u>H</u>O), 8.33 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 4 H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4 H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 6 H), 7.36 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.21 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.07 (s, 1 H), 6.97 (m, 6 H), 6.81 (s, 2 H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H) ppm.

HRMS (FAB+): calcd. For C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 703.2709; found: 703.2697 [M+H].

Synthesis of H<sub>3</sub>L



A 1 L round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with crude L3 (obtained from 22.1 g of L2) and THF (50 mL). DMSO (450 mL) was added as an HClO scavenger. A saturated aqueous solution of NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O (3.5g, 22.4 mmol, 5 equiv) was added via a dropping funnel. Subsequently, a saturated aqueous solution of NaClO<sub>2</sub> (1.2 g, 13.27 mmol, 3 equiv) was added via a dropping funnel and the resulting mixture was stirred for 18 hrs at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was eluted with EtOAc (500 mL) and washed repeatedly with brine to remove excess DMSO, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in minimum acetone and precipitated from Et<sub>2</sub>O to afford H<sub>3</sub>L as a white powder (20.9 g, 46% overall yield in 4 steps).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.32 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 4H), 7.65 (m, 5H), 7.47 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.27 (m, 2H), 7.14 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (m, 5H), 6.86 (s, 2H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H) ppm.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 162.88, 146.86, 144.07, 143.23, 142.00, 140.35, 137.36, 136.36, 133.00, 130.93, 130.81, 130.74, 129.96, 129.02, 128.65, 127.21, 127.16, 126.68, 126.46, 123.46, 122.04 ppm.

HRMS (ES+): calcd. For C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 719.2658; found: 719.2677 [M+H]

# Synthesis of Mn(OBz)<sub>2</sub>•xH<sub>2</sub>O

Under strictly anaerobic conditions, an aqueous suspension of 2-phenylbenzoic acid (0.951 g, 4.8 mmol, 2 equiv) was treated with NaOH (0.192 g, 4.8 mmol, 2 equiv). The mixture was gently warmed to 60°C, resulting in a slightly yellow solution. An aqueous solution of  $MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  (0.474 g, 2.4 mmol, 1 equiv) was added dropwise. The total volume of the reaction was 20 mL. The mixture was stirred at 60°C for 3 h, resulting in the formation of a white precipitate. After cooling to room temperature, the precipitate was collected and washed thoroughly with copious amounts of water and  $Et_2O$ , successively. The remaining white solid was dried under reduced pressure. Yield = 1.041 g. Crystallization from DME affords  $Mn_3(OBz)_6(DME)_2$ .



Figure S1. Preliminary, truncated crystal structure of Mn<sub>3</sub>(OBz)<sub>6</sub>(DME)<sub>2</sub>.

#### Synthesis of 1-Mn



A solution of  $H_3L$  (1.708 g, 2.38 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF was added to a stirring suspension of  $Mn(OBz)_2 \cdot xH_2O$  (4.956 g, 9.5 mmol, 4 equiv) in THF. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature, becoming homogeneous within 1 hour. After stirring for 18 hours, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was washed with copious amounts of Et<sub>2</sub>O, then dissolved in hot benzene and filtered through Celite. All volatiles were removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Clear, colorless crystals of the product were obtained by slow vapor diffusion of Et<sub>2</sub>O. Compound 1–Mn was isolated by filtration and dried under reduced pressure. Yield = 3.87 g, 94 %. Analysis calculated for LMn<sub>4</sub>(OH)(OBz)<sub>4</sub>•1/2(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) [C<sub>199</sub>H<sub>138</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Mn<sub>8</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>26</sub>]: C 66.99, H 3.90, N 3.14; found: C 67.03, H 4.08, N 3.02.

#### Synthesis of 1-Fe



A solution of  $H_3L$  (1.162 g, 1.62 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (10 mL) was added to a stirring suspension of Fe(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (1 g, 5.75 mmol, 3.5 equiv) in THF (5 mL). Water (2 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred until a homogeneous red solution was obtained. Triethylamine (1 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 12 hours at ambient temperature, resulting in the formation of an orange precipitate. This precipitate was collected and washed with copious amounts of THF. The solid residue was dissolved in pyridine and filtered through Celite. All volatiles were removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure. Compound **1-Fe** was isolated as air-sensitive red microcrystals. Yield = 1.67 g, 91 % (based on Fe). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography are obtained by slow vapor diffusion of Et<sub>2</sub>O into a concentrated solution of **1-Fe** in pyridine. Analysis calculated for LFe<sub>4</sub>(OH)(OAc)<sub>4</sub>(py)•py [C<sub>65</sub>H<sub>54</sub>Fe<sub>4</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>13</sub>]: C 57.81, H 4.03, N 6.22; found: C 57.91, H 4.29, N 6.49.

#### Synthesis of 1-Co



A solution of  $Co(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  (1.476 g, 5.93 mmol, 3.8 equiv) in MeOH (10 mL) was added to a solution of  $H_3L$  (1.120 g, 1.56 mmol, 1 equiv) in MeOH (5 mL). Pyridine (1 mL) was added, and the resulting violet solution was stirred for 12 hours at ambient temperature. All volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure. The residue was washed with copious amounts of Et<sub>2</sub>O, THF, and MeCN. The solid residue was dissolved in pyridine and filtered through Celite. All volatiles were removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure. Compound **1-Co** was isolated as air-stable violet microcrystals. Yield = 1.477 g, 79 %. Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography are obtained by slow vapor diffusion of Et<sub>2</sub>O into a concentrated solution of **1-Co** in pyridine. Analysis calculated for LCo<sub>4</sub>(OH)(OAc)<sub>4</sub>(py)•2py [C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>59</sub>Co<sub>4</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>13</sub>]: C 58.31, H 4.12, N 6.80; found: C 58.40, H 4.29, N 6.40.

# Synthesis of 2



A solid mixture of **1-Mn** (0.442 g, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv),  $Mn(OTf)_2$  (99 mg, 0.28 mmol, 1.1 equiv), and 2-phenylbenzoic acid (56 mg, 0.28 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was treated with CH<sub>3</sub>CN (15 mL) and stirred to a homogeneous yellow solution. KO<sub>2</sub> (55 mg, 0.77 mmol, 3 equiv) was added as a solid and stirred at r.t. for 18 h, resulting in the formation of a brown-red precipitate. The precipitate was collected and washed with copious amounts of CH<sub>3</sub>CN. The solid residue was dissolved in THF, filtered through Celite, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Yield = 0.435 g, 82 %. Red crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography are obtained from a concentrated THF solution by slow vapor diffusion of Et<sub>2</sub>O. Analysis calc'd for C<sub>116</sub>H<sub>84</sub>Mn<sub>5</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>17</sub>: C 66.96, H 4.07, N 2.69; found: C 66.15, H 4.35, N 2.98.

# Synthesis of 3



A solid mixture of 1-Mn (0.640 g, 0.367 mmol, 1 equiv), Ca(OTf)<sub>2</sub> (135 mg, 0.40 mmol, 1.1 equiv), and 2-phenylbenzoic acid (96 mg, 0.48 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was treated with CH<sub>3</sub>CN (15 mL) and stirred to a homogeneous yellow solution. KO<sub>2</sub> (65 mg, 0.091 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added as a solid and stirred at r.t. for 18 h, resulting in the formation of a red-orange precipitate. The precipitate was collected and washed with copious amounts of CH<sub>3</sub>CN. The solid residue was dissolved in THF, filtered through Celite, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Yield = 0.421 g, 55 %. Red crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography are obtained from a concentrated THF/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution by slow vapor diffusion of Et<sub>2</sub>O. Analysis calc'd for C<sub>116</sub>H<sub>84</sub>CaMn<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>17</sub>: С 67.45, Η 4.10, Ν 2.71; found: С 67.67, Η 4.46, Ν 2.46.



**Figure S2.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of L1 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S3. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of L1 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.





Figure S5. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of L2 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S6.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of L3 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S7. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of H<sub>3</sub>L in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



# Crystallographic details

Suitable crystals were mounted on a nylon loop using Paratone oil, then placed on a diffractometer under a nitrogen stream. X-ray intensity data were collected on a Bruker APEXII CCD area detector or a Bruker D8 VENTURE Kappa Duo PHOTON 100 CMOS detector employing Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at a temperature of 100 K. All diffractometer manipulations, including data collection, integration and scaling were carried out using the Bruker APEX3 software. Frames were integrated using SAINT. The intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption using SADABS. Space groups were determined on the basis of systematic absences and intensity statistics using XPREP. Using Olex2<sup>3</sup>, the structures were solved by direct methods using ShelXT and refined to convergence by full-matrix least squares minimization using ShelXL. All non-solvent non-hydrogen atoms were refined using anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined using a riding model. Graphical representation of structures with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids was generated using Diamond visualization software. No special refinement details.

Compound	1–Mn	1–Fe	1-Co
CCDC	1540537	1540538	1540539
Empirical formula	$C_{102.88}H_{75.05}Cl_{2.56}Mn_4N_4O_{13.65}$	$C_{64}H_{58}Fe_4N_5O_{14}$	$C_{64}H_{58}Co_4N_5O_{14}$
Formula weight	1896.13	1344.55	1356.87
Temperature/K	99.99	100.04	100.03
Crystal system	triclinic	triclinic	triclinic
Space group	P-1	P-1	P-1
a/Å	13.5028(18)	13.7237(14)	13.7817(7)
b/Å	16.598(2)	13.9138(13)	13.8132(7)
c/Å	21.517(3)	17.8609(17)	17.7104(9)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	77.057(3)	75.523(4)	75.742(2)
β/°	82.240(3)	75.229(3)	75.384(2)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	83.778(3)	65.876(3)	66.637(2)
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	4641.4(11)	2968.4(5)	2954.1(3)
Ζ	2	2	2
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.357	1.504	1.525
$\mu/\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	0.671	1.030	1.176
F(000)	1946.0	1386.0	1394.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.1\times0.05\times0.05$	$0.02 \times 0.02 \times 0.02$	$0.2\times0.1\times0.1$
Radiation	MoKa ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )	MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )	MoKa ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )
2⊖ range for data collection/°	3.802 to 61.494	4.782 to 56.528	4.82 to 61.016
Index ranges	-19 $\leq$ h $\leq$ 19, -23 $\leq$ k $\leq$ 23, - 30 $\leq$ l $\leq$ 30	$-18 \le h \le 18, -18 \le k$ $\le 18, -23 \le 1 \le 23$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{-19} \leq h \leq 19,  \text{-19} \leq k \\ \leq 19,  \text{-25} \leq l \leq 25 \end{array}$
Reflections collected	132477	105521	113132
Independent reflections	$28763 [R_{int} = 0.0752, R_{sigma} = 0.0738]$	14691 [ $R_{int} = 0.1863$ , $R_{sigma} = 0.1232$ ]	$\frac{18006 [R_{int} = 0.0489,}{R_{sigma} = 0.0335]}$
Data/restraints/parameters	28763/8/1108	14691/6/765	18006/0/765
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.061	1.045	1.054
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0904$ , $wR_2 = 0.2523$	$R_1 = 0.0744, WR_2 = 0.1510$	$R_1 = 0.0448, wR_2 = 0.1262$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1340, wR_2 = 0.2767$	$R_1 = 0.1521, wR_2 = 0.1771$	$R_1 = 0.0641, wR_2 = 0.1375$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	2.22/-1.47	1.67/-1.06	1.84/-1.46

Table S1. Crystal and refinement data for complexes 1–Mn, 1–Fe, and 1–Co.

Compound	2	3
CCDC	1540540	1540541
Empirical formula	$C_{128}H_{109}Mn_5N_4O_{20}$	$C_{120}H_{92}CaCl_4Mn_4N_4O_{17.5}$
Formula weight	2297.93	2271.61
Temperature/K	100.04	100.0
Crystal system	triclinic	triclinic
Space group	P-1	P-1
a/Å	14.7524(8)	14.8017(4)
b/Å	16.2906(8)	16.3782(5)
c/Å	22.1991(12)	21.9258(6)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	90.350(2)	88.2880(10)
β/°	98.124(2)	81.0900(10)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	92.207(2)	87.5960(10)
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	5277.2(5)	5245.1(3)
Z	2	2
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.446	1.438
$\mu/mm^{-1}$	0.658	0.693
F(000)	2380.0	2336.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.05\times0.05\times0.05$	$0.1\times0.05\times0.05$
Radiation	MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073)	MoKa ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )
2\overline range for data collection/°	4.312 to 61.016	4.408 to 61.046
Index ranges	$\begin{array}{c} -21 \leq h \leq 21,  -23 \leq k \leq \\ 23,  -31 \leq l \leq 31 \end{array}$	$-21 \le h \le 21, -23 \le k \le 23, -31 \le l \le 31$
Reflections collected	130593	161254
Independent reflections	$32156 [R_{int} = 0.0384, R_{sigma} = 0.0461]$	$31911 [R_{int} = 0.0567, R_{sigma} = 0.0615]$
Data/restraints/parameters	32156/10/1412	31911/26/1362
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.032	1.025
Final R indexes [I>= $2\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0528, wR_2 = 0.1279$	$R_1 = 0.0745, WR_2 = 0.1886$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0782, wR_2 = 0.1414$	$R_1 = 0.1157, WR_2 = 0.2124$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	1.69/-1.10	2.22/-1.84

 Table S2. Crystal and refinement data for complexes 2 and 3.

# **ESI-MS** spectrometry



Figure S9. ESI–MS of 1–Mn.



Figure S10. ESI–MS of 2.



Figure S11. ESI–MS of 3.

#### Mössbauer spectroscopy

Zero-field <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded at 80 K in the constant acceleration mode on a spectrometer from See Co (Edina, MN) equipped with an SVT-400 cryostat (Janis, Wilmington, WA). The quoted isomer shifts are relative to the centroid of the spectrum of a  $\alpha$ -Fe foil at room temperature. Samples were prepared by grinding polycrystalline material (40 mg) into a fine powder and pressed into a homogeneous pellet with boron nitride in a cup fitted with a screw cap. The data were fitted to Lorentzian lineshapes using the program WMOSS (www.wmoss.org).

The zero-field Mössbauer spectrum of **1-Fe** shows a broad quadrupole doublet with  $\delta = 1.18$  mm/s and  $|\Delta E_Q| = 2.95$  mm/s (Fig. 3). These values are consistent with known carboxylate bridged high-spin Fe(II) centers.<sup>4</sup> Mössbauer parameters for the diiron(II)  $\mu^2$ -hydroxo species supported by bridging acetate ligands ( $\delta = 1.16$  mm/s,  $|\Delta E_Q| = 2.83$  mm/s) are in excellent agreement with the values observed for **1-Fe**.<sup>5</sup>



Figure S12. Zero-field Mössbauer spectrum of 1–Fe at 80 K with simulated parameters:  $\delta = 1.18 \text{ mm/s}$ ,  $|\Delta E_Q| = 2.95 \text{ mm/s}$ .

# **SQUID** magnetometry

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried on a Quantum Design MPMS instrument running MPMS Multivu software. Crystalline samples were powdered and suspended in clear plastic straws in polycarbonate capsules. Data were recorded at 0.1 T from 2–270 K. Reduced magnetization data were recorded in the range 2–10 K and 0.1–4 T. Diamagnetic corrections were made using Pascal's constants as follows: –710, –648, and –642 ×  $10^{-6}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol for 1–Mn, 1–Fe, and 1–Co, respectively.



Figure S13. Molar susceptibility data for 1-Mn.



Figure S14. Reduced magnetization data for 1-Mn.



Figure S15. Molar susceptibility data for 1-Fe.



Figure S16. Reduced magnetization data for 1-Fe.



Figure S17. Molar susceptibility data for 1-Co.



Figure S18. Reduced magnetization data for 1-Co.

# References

- 1. Org. Synth. 1963, 43, 60
- 2. X. Feng, J. Wu, V. Enkelmann and K. Müllen, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 1145-1148.
- 3. Dolomanov, O.V.; Bourhis, L.J.; Gildea, R.J.; Howard, J.A.K.; Puschmann, H., *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2009, **42**, 339.
- (a) T. J. Mizoguchi and S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 11022-11023; (b) T. J. Mizoguchi, J. Kuzelka, B. Spingler, J. L. DuBois, R. M. Davydov, B. Hedman, K. O. Hodgson and S. J. Lippard, Inorg. Chem., 2001, 40, 4662-4673.
- (a) J. A. R. Hartman, R. L. Rardin, P. Chaudhuri, K. Pohl, K. Wieghardt, B. Nuber, J. Weiss, G. C. Papaefthymiou, R. B. Frankel and S. J. Lippard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, 109, 7387-7396; (b) P. Chaudhury, K. Wieghardt, B. Nuber and J. Weiss, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1985, 24, 778-779.