T CORE

DRAFT VERSION NOVEMBER 23, 2016 Preprint typeset using LATEX style emulateapj v. 01/23/15

# DETECTION OF POLARIZED QUASI-PERIODIC MICROSTRUCTURE EMISSION IN MILLISECOND PULSARS

KISHALAY DE<sup>1\*</sup>, YASHWANT GUPTA<sup>2</sup> AND PRATEEK SHARMA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

<sup>2</sup>National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune University Campus, Post Bag 3, Pune 411007, India

Draft version November 23, 2016

## **ABSTRACT**

Microstructure emission, involving short time scale, often quasi-periodic, intensity fluctuations in subpulse emission, is well known in normal period pulsars. In this letter, we present the first detections of quasi-periodic microstructure emission from millisecond pulsars (MSPs), from Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT) observations of two MSPs at 325 and 610 MHz. Similar to the characteristics of microstructure observed in normal period pulsars, we find that these features are often highly polarized, and exhibit quasi-periodic behavior on top of broader subpulse emission, with periods of the order of a few  $\mu$ s. By measuring their widths and periodicities from single pulse intensity profiles and their autocorrelation functions, we extend the microstructure timescale - rotation period relationship by more than an order of magnitude down to rotation periods  $\sim$  5 ms, and find it to be consistent with the relationship derived earlier for normal pulsars. The similarity of behaviour is remarkable, given the significantly different physical properties of MSPs and normal period pulsars, and rules out several previous speculations about the possible different characteristics of microstructure in MSP radio emission. We discuss the possible reasons for the non-detection of these features in previous high time resolution MSP studies along with the physical implications of our results, both in terms of a geometric beam sweeping model and temporal modulation model for micropulse production.

Keywords: pulsars: general — pulsars: individual (J0437-4715, J2145-0750) — methods: observational — techniques: polarimetric

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Millisecond pulsars (MSPs), having rotation periods ranging from about one millisecond to few tens of milliseconds, have contributed significantly to our understanding of stellar evolution and the pulsar radio emission process. Believed to arise as the end products of mass accretion from a companion, the high rotation frequencies of these objects imply that the co-rotating magnetosphere (and hence the radio emission region) is significantly smaller in these sources compared to normal period pulsars. Nonetheless, previous studies have shown that although MSPs have drastically different physical parameters as compared to those of normal period pulsars, there are striking similarities in their radio emission properties as well as interesting differences (e.g. Kramer et al. 1998; Sallmen 1998; Kramer et al. 1999a).

Single pulses in normal period pulsars are known to exhibit a rich variety of short time-scale variations. In particular, microstructure emission has been long known to be a common feature of single pulse emission (first detected by Craft et al. 1968), and typically involves intensity variations at milli-period timescales, corresponding to timescales of a few hundred microseconds for normal period pulsars (e.g. Hankins 1972). Previous studies have shown that these features have typical widths for a given pulsar, and often appear as a train of quasi-periodic pulses, with their timescales showing a strong correlation with the pulsar period (e.g. Kramer et al. 2002; Mitra et al. 2015).

The characterization of microstructure emission in MSPs still remains as one of the elusive aspects of the field. The single pulse properties (excluding giant radio pulses) of only 4 MSPs have been explored till date — PSR J0437-4715 (Jenet et al. 1998), PSR B1534+12 (Sallmen 1998), PSR J1713+0747 (Liu et al. 2016) and PSR B1937+21 (Jenet et al. 2001). Jenet et al. 1998 did one of the highest time resolution single pulse studies ever done on MSPs, observing the MSP J0437-4715 at L band, and reported that there was no evidence of microstructure down to a time resolution of 80 ns. Similar reports were provided by Sallmen 1998 for B1937+21 and B1534+12, and by Liu et al. 2016 for J1713+0747, claiming the absence of microstructure down to sub-microsecond time resolutions. However, the very limited number of such studies, particularly with high time resolution and sensitivity at low frequencies, leave a significant parameter space of this field unexplored.

Observations of sub-structures in MSP single pulses is challenging for a good number of reasons. On one hand, they are known to be relatively less luminous compared to their slower counterparts, and on the other, they require significantly higher time resolutions to study. While observations at low frequencies are favorable owing to the steep spectra of these sources, the effects of the interstellar medium, unless removed, pose a challenge to very high time resolution studies. Hence, in order to do such studies, one requires a telescope with very high sensitivity to be capable of detecting single pulses with good SNRs, as well as an appropriate backend capable of mitigating the dispersive effects of the interstellar medium with coherent dedispersion over reasonably large bandwidths. The Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT) provides a unique platform to revisit some important unanswered questions on the single pulse properties of MSPs, capitalizing on its high sensitivity at metre wavelengths, and the recent development of a

<sup>\*</sup> Present address: California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd, Pasadena 91125, USA; kde@caltech.edu

2 K. DE ET AL.

real-time coherent dedispersion system (De & Gupta 2016). In this paper, we present results from a study of the full polarization properties of single pulses in two millisecond pulsars, concentrating on the characteristics of microstructure emission and its timescales.

### 2. OBSERVATIONS

The GMRT is a multi-element aperture synthesis telescope, consisting of 30 antennas, each with a diameter of 45 metres, separated by a maximum baseline of 25 km (Swarup et al. 1997). It can also be used for studies of compact objects like pulsars, by adding the signals from the antennas in an array mode (Gupta et al. 2000). We present observations of two MSPs (PSR J0437-4715 and PSR J2145-0750) at 325 MHz and 610 MHz, selected based on their catalogued fluxes at 400 MHz (S400 >= 100 mJy) and Dispersion Measures (DM <= 20 pc/cc). As we expect to see microstructure emission at time scales of 0.001 P, the criterion for the selection of frequency bands was such that the expected scattering time scale at each frequency band (see Table 1) was smaller than the expected microstructure timescales. Wherever possible, we divided our observing time into multiple epochs to capitalize on serendipitous increase in the pulsar flux density due to refractive scintillation.

The observations were carried out using the Phased Array (PA) voltage beam mode (typically combining 14 - 16 antennas) of the GMRT Software Backend (GSB; Roy et al. 2010), which records the full Nyquist time resolution dual polarization voltage spectra for a given bandwidth, from a single phased array beam of the telescope. The recorded voltages were subsequently coherently dedispersed using an offline version of the recently developed real-time coherent dedispersion pipeline (De & Gupta, 2016). The coherently dedispersed voltages (for two circular polarizations) were used to construct Stokes spectra with the desired time resolution (see Table 1). The time resolution used was optimized to detect sub-structures in single pulses with enough SNR, as well as to have similar phase resolutions for the two pulsars. Frequency dependent instrumental gains were corrected using the time averaged spectra for each polarization signal, while frequency dependent phases due to instrumental delays and Faraday rotation were corrected by fitting the linear polarisation angle of the average profile as a function of frequency across the band. The specific details of the observing parameters used for each epoch, along with the known parameters of the pulsars are given in Table 1.

## 3. DATA ANALYSIS

The baselines for the individual Stokes parameters I,Q,U and V were adjusted to zero mean for all the data sets. We use the symbol  $L=\sqrt{Q^2+U^2}$  to represent the total linear polarized intensity, and  $PA=\frac{1}{2}\arctan\frac{U}{Q}$  to represent the angle of the linear polarization. For the individual folded profiles, we plot PA only if L exceeds three times the baseline root mean square (RMS) noise. For PSR J2145-0750, we did not detect a significant number of single pulses with high SNR (peak>10 baseline rms) in our 325 MHz data, and hence we do not include this data in our subsequent analysis. The folded profiles from our observations at each frequency band are given in Figures 1 and 2, for the pulsars J0437-4715

(at 325 MHz and 610 MHz) and J2145-0750 (at 610 MHz) respectively, which are consistent with previously published polarization profiles at these frequencies (Navarro et al. 1997; Stairs et al. 1999).

## 3.1. Autocorrelation Function

The timescales of microstructure emission, i.e., their widths and periodicity (if present) are of fundamental importance in microstructure studies. The Autocorrelation Function (ACF) is a useful tool to measure these timescales in individual pulses, as well as to detect the presence of 'preferred' timescales (if any) in a large ensemble of pulses. We use the definition of the ACF given in Lange et al. 1998 for our analysis. In normal period pulsars, the ACFs of individual subpulses are primarily dominated by a smooth bell shaped curve centered at zero lag, with micropulses forming weak (or occasionally strong) modulations on the broader decline of the ACF. In cases where quasi-periodic microstructure is present, they form periodic maxima in the ACF, with the time lag of the first peak representing the characteristic separations of the micropulses (e.g. Cordes at al. 1990).

# 3.2. Searching for periodic microstructure

We searched for the presence of microstructure in our data set by browsing through hundreds of single pulses from each observation. While we did attempt to find the presence of microstructure numerically, as done previously using ACF slope-flattening / maxima searches (e.g. Lange et al. 1998), we found that the diverse morphology of single pulses, along with the lack of a large number of single pulses with very high SNR made such automated techniques difficult to implement accurately. Hence, for each pulsar (at each frequency band), we manually browsed through the brightest single pulses (with a peak SNR threshold above a certain value) closely examining their morphology and ACFs. When periodic micropulses were found by visual inspection of the single pulse time series, we cross-checked the ACF for the presence of periodic maxima consistent with the separations and widths of the time domain features, and if present, recorded the first maxima in the ACF as the periodicity of the micropulses. Since both pulsars in our sample have low DMs, we also examined the off-pulse region around pulses which show microstructure, along with the corresponding zero DM time series, to exclude the possibility of RFI features mimicking microstructure, and did not find evidence for such contamination. Unless mentioned otherwise, we refer only to timescales of Stokes I in subsequent discussion.

# 4. RESULTS

## 4.1. PSR J0437-4715

We found a large number of single pulses with high SNR (with threshold set at peak >15 baseline RMS) from this source — detecting >100 pulses at 325 MHz and >800 pulses at 610 MHz . The brightest single pulses are often highly polarized narrow spikes of emission, with widths  $<10~\mu s$  and no sub-structure apparent down to our time resolutions, consistent with previous reports by Jenet et al. 1998. Manually browsing these pulses, we found a significant number ( $\sim10~\%$ ) of pulses exhibiting microstructure at 610 MHz (which are not necessarily the brightest pulses in the

| Pulsar Name | Frequency Band | Bandwidth | Duration | NP     | Resolution    | MJD   | Period  | DM     | S400 | RM               | $\tau_{scatt}$ |
|-------------|----------------|-----------|----------|--------|---------------|-------|---------|--------|------|------------------|----------------|
|             | MHz            | MHz       | sec      |        | $\mu$ s (deg) |       | ms      | pc/cc  | mJy  | $\rm rad~m^{-2}$ | $\mu$ s        |
| J0437-4715  | 610            | 32        | 1500     | 260534 | 0.96 (0.06)   | 57367 | 5.7574  | 2.6448 | 550  | 0.0              | 0.004          |
| J2145-0750  | 610            | 32        | 1920     | 119608 | 3.84 (0.08)   | 57367 | 16.0524 | 8.9976 | 100  | -1.3             | 0.031          |
| J2145-0750  | 325            | 32        | 600      | 37377  | 3.84 (0.08)   | 57367 | 16.0524 | 8.9976 | 100  | -1.3             | 0.351          |
| J0437-4715  | 325            | 32        | 1200     | 208427 | 0.96 (0.06)   | 57380 | 5.7574  | 2.6448 | 550  | 0.0              | 0.047          |
| J2145-0750  | 325            | 32        | 1500     | 93444  | 3.84 (0.08)   | 57381 | 16.0524 | 8.9976 | 100  | -1.3             | 0.351          |
| J0437-4715  | 610            | 32        | 900      | 156320 | 0.96 (0.06)   | 57389 | 5.7574  | 2.6448 | 550  | 0.0              | 0.004          |

**Table 1:** Observing parameters for the pulsar observations carried out in this campaign, along with previously known parameters of the respective pulsars. Column 1 indicates the names of the observed sources. Column 2 indicates the frequency band(s) where the source was observed, while Column 3 lists the bandwidth(s) used. Columns 4 and 5 provide the duration of the observations, and the total number of pulses observed (NP), respectively. Column 6 indicates the time resolution used (in  $\mu$ s / degrees of phase)for the analysis, while Column 7 indicates the MJD of observation. Column 8, 9, 10 and 11 list the pulsar period, DM, Flux at 400 MHz (S400) and RM respectively (taken from the ATNF Pulsar Catalog available at http://www.atnf.csiro.au/people/pulsar/psrcat/; Manchester et al. 2005). Column 12 indicates the expected scattering time scale for the pulsar at the given frequency band (derived from Cordes & Lazio 2002).

data set). We further detected microstructure in a few bright pulses at 325 MHz, although the number of such pulses was lower due to the relatively lower SNR of the data. A few such sample pulses from this source, exhibiting polarized quasi-periodic microstructure are shown in Figure 1.

Micropulses, when present, are typically highly polarized, and appear either in the form of a train of 3 or more quasi-periodic modulations, or in the form of single pairs of micropulses. The modulations appear in Stokes I, L and V, and are typically weak compared to the underlying subpulse emission. As most micropulses appear in the form of close unresolved trains, it was not possible to measure their widths from the single pulse ACFs. Hence, we recorded only the micropulse periodicities from the ACFs, and show their distribution in Figure 3, along with the SNR distribution of all pulses and pulses which exhibit microstructure. We note, however, that when micropulses are resolved, their widths are typically  $\sim 2 \mu s$ , and hence unresolved with typical periodicities of  $\sim 4 \mu s$ . The smaller number of bright pulses exhibiting microstructure at 325 MHz did not allow us to construct meaningful distributions. Nonetheless, we report a median periodicity of 4.72  $\mu$ s found in quasi-periodic microstructure for this source at 325 MHz.

## 4.2. PSR J2145-0750

We did not detect a significant number of single pulses with high SNR (peak > 10 baseline RMS) in any of the two observing epochs for this source at 325 MHz. However, in the single observing epoch at 610 MHz, we found > 700 single pulses with peak > 15 baseline RMS. Browsing these single pulses, we found a good fraction ( $\sim 15$  %) of the pulses to exhibit polarized quasi-periodic microstructure. Similar to J0437-4715, the brightest pulses are devoid of microstructure and exhibit a broad emission component only, while the relatively fainter pulses exhibiting microstructure consist of a broad subpulse component with weak modulations. A few typical pulses exhibiting quasi-periodic microstructure are shown in Figure 2.

We find micropulses to be highly polarized in this source, occasionally showing very high levels of circular polarization (see for example, the lower panel in Figure 2 (a)). Resolved micropulses are virtually absent in this source, as they always appear in quasi-periodic trains of 4 or more micropulses. As a result, we recorded only the periodicities of the microstruc-

ture from the single pulse ACFs, and show their distribution in Figure 3, along with the distribution of SNR, as in the case of J0437-4715. Nonetheless, the microstructure periodicities suggest that the individual micropulse widths are  $\gtrsim 8 \ \mu s$ .

## 5. DISCUSSION

The origin of microstructure emission remains as one of the most perplexing unanswered questions in our understanding of pulsar radio emission even though it is well established that microstructure is indeed an integral component of the emission process. In this paper, we have reported on the first detections of microstructure emission in millisecond pulsars, which suggests that despite of the significant differences in the physical parameters of their magnetospheres, microstructure remains as one of the fundamental properties of radio emission in these sources. Indeed, the observation that the pulses exhibiting microstructure are typically *not* the brightest pulses in our data set suggests that there is likely an ensemble of weaker pulses below our detection threshold which exhibit microstructure, thereby indicating that it may be a fairly common occurrence in MSPs.

It is instructive to reflect upon the reasons for non-detection of these features in previous high time resolution studies of MSPs. Firstly, it is important to note that the majority of previous single pulse studies of MSPs have had very limited sensitivity towards detection of single pulses, and have concentrated on statistics of accumulated pulses over many periods (e.g. Jenet et al. 2001). Our study is one of the first reports of high sensitivity, high time resolution observations at relatively low frequencies (at and below 600 MHz), which forms an ideal combination for detection of micropulses from MSPs. Interestingly, Jenet et al. 1998 did a study on J0437-4715 with similar sensitivities at 1.4 GHz, and claimed that there was no evidence for microstructure emission. However, we note that their analysis concentrated on a search for microstructure features in the average ACF of single pulses, which often does not show the expected 'breaks' when microstructure is weak or does not have a preferred timescale (Cordes et al. 1990). More recently, Liu et al. 2016 reported on the non-detection of microstructure emission in PSR J1713+0747 at L band, by analyzing the properties of the averaged ACF as well as single pulse ACFs of the brightest pulses. We note that higher frequency non-detections may also be due to a steeper spectrum of micropulses compared to subpulse emission (as shown for B2016+28 by Cordes et al. 1990).

4 K. DE ET AL.




Figure 1.: (a) Left panels show Stokes I (black), L (red), V (blue) plots of two samples pulses from PSR J0437-4715 at 325 MHz exhibiting quasi-periodic microstructure, along with their respective Stokes I ACFs on the right. The ACFs have been computed for the subpulse region under the dashed line. The colored bars on the top right of the panels containing the sample pulses indicate the off-pulse RMS of the respective Stokes parameters. (b) Same as (a) for PSR J0437-4715 at 610 MHz. (c) Folded polarization profile of J0437-4715 from an observation at 325 MHz. (d) Folded polarization profile of J0437-4715 at 610 MHz. Note the prominent oscillations in the single pulse ACFs produced by quasi-periodic microstructure.




Figure 2.: (a) Same as Figure 1 (a) for PSR J2145-0750 at 610 MHz. (b) Folded polarization profile of J2145-0750 from an observation at 610 MHz. Note the prominent periodic oscillations in the single pulse ACFs produced by periodic microstructure.

We now use our results to examine the microstructure quasiperiodicity - pulsar rotation period relationship down to the millisecond rotation periods, using the median quasiperiodicity of the distributions (Figure 3) as the typical periodicity and their median absolute deviation (MAD) as the characteristic scatter. Since we find micropulses to be mostly unresolved from the underlying quasi-periodicity, it is difficult to estimate their intrinsic widths and hence, we do not discuss the micropulse width - rotation period relationship. We use previously reported microstructure periodicites in Kramer et al. 2002 (Table 2) and Mitra et al. 2015 (Table 3) along with our new results to extend the relationship. Note that

previous reports combine observations from multiple frequencies of observation, and in cases where multiple reports were present (at different frequencies, different analysis techniques or for different subpulse components), we only used the results with reported uncertainty estimates and averaged their timescales for our analysis. While there is some weak evidence for change in microstructure timescales across frequencies, the dependence is known to be very weak (e.g. Kramer et al. 2002; Hankins et al. 1976; Ferguson & Seiradakis 1978). Hence, we do not expect such averaging to change the properties of the relationship significantly. As shown in Figure 4, we derive a relationship of

$$P_{\mu} = (1.06 \pm 0.62) P_r^{(0.96 \pm 0.09)} \tag{1}$$




Figure 3.: Distributions of peak SNR for all pulses (blue) and pulses showing microstructure (green) are shown for J0437-4715 (a) and J2145-0750 (b). Note that although the two distributions appear to scale with each other closely at lower SNR, the brightest pulses do not show microstructure. Also shown are distributions of microstructure quasi-periodicities for J0437-4715 (c) and J2145-0750 (d) observed at 610 MHz. The median periodicity and median absoulte deviation (MAD) of the distributions is indicated in the figure. Note that these distributions have a prominent maximum indicating the presence of a preferred timescale, with a Kolmogorov Smirnov null hypothesis (of the distribution having no preferred timescale, i.e., being a uniform distribution) probability of  $\sim 10^{-7}$  in both cases.

where  $P_{\mu}$  is the typical microstructure periodicity in units of  $\mu s$  and  $P_r$  is the rotation period in units of ms. The uncertainties shown are at 95% confidence level. The relationship is consistent with the linear relationships derived by Cordes et al. 1979 and Mitra et al. 2015 from data for only normal period pulsars. This result indicates that there is a striking similarity of the properties of microstructure emission in normal and recycled pulsars.

Lastly, we note that while we have presented here an analysis of the timescales of Stokes I only, we were also able to measure the periodicities of Stokes V and Stokes L in cases where micropulses were highly polarized. While we did find that the periodicities in Stokes I, V and L were largely similar, the lower SNR of the Stokes V and L profiles prevented a statistically accurate conclusion about their consistency. Additionally, the low SNR of the Stokes L profiles did not allow a close examination of the behavior of the PA across individual micropulses. Indeed, recent reports by Mitra et al. 2015 have suggested that the periodicities in these parameters are consistent with each other, leading them to argue that microstructure *does not* arise from single particle curvature radiation in vacuum.

However, the stability of the micropulse timescale rotation period relationship down to millisecond periods does support a geometric origin of microstructure, wherein micropulses are formed by sweeping beams of radiation arranged longitudinally across the emission region (with constant angular widths  $\sim 0.18^{\circ}$ ). We note that the reported inconsistency of the polarization signatures only rule out single particle curvature radiation as the origin of micropulse emission, but do not necessarily rule out a geometric origin. Additionally, a radial / temporal origin of micropulse production is also a plausible alternative. In this model, micropulses arise from a radial modulation of the emission region, created either during the plasma production process or by modulation during propagation. It must be noted, however, that the modulation mechanism must be directly associated with the pulsar rotation period (for example, by means of rotation dependent electromagnetic fields; Cordes et al. 1990) to account for the strong dependence of periodicity with the rotation period. Clearly, future theoretical progress in this field coupled with observations of a larger number of pulsars are required to resolve these issues.

6 K. De et al.




Figure 4.: Microstructure periods of pulsars with known microstructure properties plotted against their rotation period. The red points are the ones added from this study, while the blue point corresponds to the reported microstructure periodicity for the Vela pulsar — the fastest rotating pulsar for which microstructure had been studied previously. The solid line corresponds to the best fit power law relationship between these quantities, while the dashed lines correspond to the 95% confidence interval on this relationship.

In this paper, we have presented the first report of detections and statistics of quasi-periodic microstructure emission in recycled millisecond pulsars. We find these micropulses to be often highly polarized, forming typically weak modulations on a broader subpulse envelope. By estimating microstructure periodicities from the single pulse ACFs, we report the first detections of 'preferred' microstructure periodicity in millisecond pulsars. This result allows us to extend the microstructure timescale - rotation period relationship by more than an order of magnitude, and thus put constraints on the geometric sweeping and temporal modulation models of micropulse emission. While we cannot draw statistically significant conclusions about the detailed polarization signatures of micropulses from our data, future high sensitivity observations at low frequencies are expected to resolve these aspects. In particular, the significantly larger bandwidths of the upgraded GMRT (uGMRT), which is currently being commissioned, is likely to allow an even larger scale study of microstructure emission in millisecond pulsars, encompassing not only their full polarization properties but also their spectral evolution characteristics, explored using simultaneous dual-frequency observations.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the staff of the GMRT who have made these observations possible. GMRT is run by the National Centre for Radio Astrophysics of the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research. We would like to thank R. T. Gangadhara for valuable discussions, as well as the anonymous referee for the comments that helped significantly improve the paper. KD acknowledges support from a fellowship provided by the Kishore Vaigyanik Protsahan Yojana (KVPY) scheme of the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India. Partial support was provided by JC Bose Fellowship of Prof. Arnab Rai Choudhuri. This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services.

## **REFERENCES**

Cordes, J. M. & Lazio, T. J. W., 2002, arXiv:astro-ph/0207156. Cordes, J. M., Weisberg, J. M. & Hankins, T. H., 1990, AJ, 100, 1882. Cordes J. M., 1979, Aust. J. Phys., 32, 9. Craft, H. D., Comella, J. M. & Drake, F. D., 1968, Nature, 218, 1122. De, K. & Gupta, Y., 2016, ExA, 41, 67. Ferguson D. C., Seiradakis J. H., 1978, A&A, 64, 27. Gupta, Y., Gothoskar, P., Joshi, B. C., et al., 2000, Pulsar Astronomy - 2000 and Beyond, ASP Conference Series, Vol. 202, p. 277. Hankins, T. H., 1972, ApJ, 177, L11. Hankins T. H., Cordes J. M., Rickett B. J., 1976, BAAS, 8, 10. Jenet, F. A., Anderson, S. B., Kaspi, V. M., et al., 1998, ApJ, 498, 365. Jenet, F. A., Anderson, S. B. & Prince, T. A., 2001, ApJ, 546, 394. Kramer, M., Xilouris, K. M., Lorimer, D. R., et al., 1998, ApJ, 501, 270. Kramer, M., Lange, C., Lorimer D. R., et al., 1999, ApJ, 526, 957. Kramer, M., Johnston, S. & van Straten, W., 2002, MNRAS, 334, 523. Lange, Ch., Kramer, M., Wielebinski, R., et al., 1998, A&A, 332, 111. Liu, K., Bassa, C. G., Janssen, G. H., et al., 2016, MNRAS, 463, 3239. Manchester, R. N., Hobbs, G. B., Teoh, A., et al., 2005, AJ, 129, 4, 1993. Mitra, D., Arjunwadkar, M. & Rankin, J. M., 2015, ApJ, 806, 236. Navarro, J., Manchester, R. N., Sandhu, J. S., et al., 1997, ApJ, 486, 1019. Roy, J., Gupta, Y., Pen, Ue-Li, et al., 2010, ExA, 28, 25. Sallmen, S. M., 1998, Thesis (PhD), University of California, Berkeley,

Stairs, I. H., Thorsett, S. E. & Camilo, F., 1999, ApJS, 123, 627.
Swarup, G., Ananthakrishnan, S., Subrahmanya, C. R., et al., 1997,
High-Sensitivity Radio Astronomy, Proceedings of a meeting held at Jodrell Bank, University of Manchester, January 22-26, 1996. p.217.