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#### Abstract

This paper involves a data release of the observational campaign: Cosmicflows with Spitzer (CFS). Surface photometry of the 1270 galaxies constituting the survey is presented. An additional $\sim 400$ galaxies from various other Spitzer surveys are also analysed. CFS complements the Spitzer Survey of Stellar Structure in Galaxies, that provides photometry for an additional 2352 galaxies, by extending observations to low galactic latitudes $\left(|b|<30^{\circ}\right)$. Among these galaxies are calibrators, selected in the $K$ band, of the Tully-Fisher relation. The addition of new calibrators demonstrates the robustness of the previously released calibration. Our estimate of the Hubble constant using supernova host galaxies is unchanged, $H_{0}=75.2 \pm 3.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$. Distance-derived radial peculiar velocities, for the 1935 galaxies with all the available parameters, will be incorporated into a new data release of the Cosmicflows project. The size of the previous catalogue will be increased by 20 per cent, including spatial regions close to the Zone of Avoidance.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Cosmicflows (Courtois \& Tully 2012a,b; Tully \& Courtois 2012; Tully et al. 2013) is a project to map radial peculiar velocities of galaxies within 200 Mpc with the ultimate goal of reconstructing and simulating the motions of the large-scale structures and explaining the deviation of our Galaxy from the Hubble expansion of $630 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Fixsen et al. 1996). Radial peculiar velocities, $v_{\text {pec }}$, are obtained from the redshift and an independent luminosity distance measurement, $v_{\text {pec }}=v_{\text {mod }}-H_{0}$ d, where $H_{0}$ is the Hubble constant and $v_{\text {mod }}$ is the velocity with respect to the cosmic microwave background with a minor correction for cosmological effects (Tully et al. 2013). Distances in the project Cosmicflows are mainly obtained with the luminosity-linewidth rotation rate correlation or Tully-Fisher relation (TFR; Tully \& Fisher 1977), a distance estimator which provides coverage up to 200 Mpc . The TFR necessitates two very accurate observations of a galaxy to compute its distance - an H profile and a photometric measurement. Observations in the radio domain to obtain rotation rates of galaxies have made great advances in the past few years and more
than 10000 adequate linewidths of galaxies are available (Courtois et al. 2011a) in the Extragalactic Distance Database ${ }^{1}$ (EDD; Courtois et al. 2009; Tully et al. 2009). The Cosmicflows with Spitzer (CFS) programme, combined with an additional sample of galaxies from various Spitzer programmes, uses the space-based Spitzer telescope (Werner et al. 2004) to address the photometric requirement of the project Cosmicflows.

In this paper, we present the reduction of wide-field images of 1270 galaxies observed with the $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ channel of the InfraRed Array Camera (IRAC; Fazio et al. 2004) on board the Spitzer Space Telescope during its post-cryogenic period, cycle 8 . This survey complements four other large Spitzer surveys, the Spitzer Infrared Nearby Galaxy Survey (SINGS; Muñoz-Mateos et al. 2009), the Local Volume Legacy Survey (LVL; Dale et al. 2009) led during the cryogenic phase of the Spitzer mission, the Carnegie Hubble Program (CHP; Freedman et al. 2011) and the Spitzer Survey of Stellar Structure in Galaxies ( $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$; Sheth et al. 2010) obtained in the post-cryogenic period. From these surveys and several other small programmes, approximately 1000 additional galaxies are of interest to the Cosmicflows project. Approximately 35 per cent of

[^0][^1]these galaxies are reduced using the Spitzer-adapted version of archangel while $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$-pipeline (Munõz-Mateos et al., in preparation) supplies the rest of it. With the availability of such a large number of photometric measurements, the robustness of both the TFR calibration method and the TFR at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ can be confirmed.
In the subsequent section, we describe the complete photometric sample, then we present the observation-reduction process applied to CFS and approximately 400 supplementary galaxies and the results. In the third section, the mid-IR TFR (Sorce et al. 2013, although at that time considered preliminary) is shown to be robust. The associated Hubble constant estimate is confirmed in the fourth section. In the last section, we derive accurate distance estimates for 1935 galaxies with acceptable inclinations and available linewidths that either we have reduced or that come from the $S^{4} G$ analysis.

## 2 OBSERVATIONAL SAMPLES

In Fig. 1, the 1270 galaxies of the CFS survey are distinguished by their occurrence in five subsamples: (1) the TF calibrators (Calib), (2) the hosts of SNIa sample (SNIa-H), (3) the V3k, $3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ sample (V3k), (4) the IRAS point source redshift sample ( PSCz ) and (5) the flat galaxy sample (FG). These subsamples are completed with galaxies from various surveys. If a galaxy lies within multiple samples, in the following the galaxy is assigned to the sample that includes it that is discussed first. Galaxies of interest to the project but which do not fall into one of the previous categories constitute the sixth subsample. All these supplementary galaxies are mostly from $S^{4} G$ ( 65 per cent). Among the $\sim 400$ galaxies left, most galaxies have been observed by SINGS ( 2 per cent), LVL ( 3 per cent) and CHP ( 16 per cent) programmes.
(i) The first two of these subsamples have already been described (Tully \& Pierce 2000; Tully \& Courtois 2012; Courtois \& Tully 2012b) and partly used at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to calibrate the TFR in the mid-infrared (Sorce et al. 2013) and to define an absolute zero-point to the SNIa scale (Sorce, Tully \& Courtois 2012b), respectively. Approximately one-third of the first subsample is constituted of galaxies observed for CFS. Others have been observed by previous Spitzer programmes, mostly CHP and $\mathrm{S}^{4}$ G. Half of the


Figure 1. Histogram of the number of galaxies per subsamples in CFS and diverse programmes, mostly $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$ ( 65 per cent). Calib is constituted of TFR calibrators, SNIa-H contains hosts of SNIa, V3k is built of galaxies with $v_{\text {hel }}<3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, PSCz is derived from the IRAS point source redshift survey and FG is a catalogue of flat galaxies. 'Others' stands for galaxies of interests which do not fall into one of the previously cited categories. The gradient of colours shows the proportion of each morphological type from the HyperLeda Database in each sample.

SNIa subsample is made of CFS observations while the other half contains mostly CHP observations.
(ii) The third subsample is a catalogue developed over the years called V3k (Tully et al. 2008). It extends up to the velocity limit, $3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, imposed by the capabilities of early-generation radio telescopes to obtain usable H p profiles and gives coverage of the traditional Local Supercluster (de Vaucouleurs 1953). Fig. 1 and the top panel of Fig. 2 show that the majority of these galaxies are of types later than Sa. Types come from the HyperLeda data base (Paturel et al. 2003). Fig. 2 bottom confirms that the heliocentric velocities of these galaxies are $V_{\mathrm{h}}<3300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with heliocentric velocities coming from EDD. Among the 683 galaxies available for this third subsample about a quarter comes from the CFS survey. This sample provides a high density of the Local Supercluster centred on Virgo.
(iii) The next subsample is based on the redshift survey PSCz (Saunders et al. 2000) of sources drawn from a flux-limited sample at $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ obtained with the InfraRed Astronomical Satellite (IRAS). The sample is dominated by normal spirals distributed around the Sc type as Figs 1 and 2 show. The heliocentric velocity limit is $6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to obtain reasonable $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines with current radio telescopes. This subsample includes the Norma-Hydra-Centaurus and the Perseus-Pisces superclusters in the opposite directions and many low-latitude galaxies - offering good coverage above $|b|=5^{\circ}$. The bifurcation between our flow direction and a motion towards Perseus-Pisces highlighted by Erdoğdu et al. (2006) will be located thanks to this subsample. The PSCz sample will also strongly constrain the CMB dipole component within $6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. CFS contains the majority (445) of these galaxies.


Figure 2. Histograms of the morphological type (top) from HyperLeda and of the heliocentric velocity (bottom) from EDD for the whole compilation of galaxies. The gradient of colours gives in which proportion each subsample contribute to a given type (top) and range of heliocentric velocities (bottom).
(iv) The last subsample is constituted of flat galaxies from the catalogue of Karachentsev et al. (1999). These edge-on systems have a major to minor axis ratio greater than 7 implying minimal de-projection of their HI linewidths. The flat galaxies are principally of type Scd, as shown in Figs 1 and 2 (top panel). They constitute a homogeneous class of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$-rich systems but they have a low space density partly because of the strong inclination constraint. Extinction problems existing at optical bands and for ground-based telescoped are practically removed with IRAC $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The entire flat galaxy subsample comes from CFS observations.

Fig. 3 illustrates the combined coverage of CFS and other relevant surveys with Spitzer Space Telescope. CFS gives special attention to galaxies at low galactic latitudes for two reasons. First, CFS complements the important $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$ survey that has a $|b|=30^{\circ}$ lower limit
and that supplies most of the other galaxies. Secondly, we recognize that photometry from WISE, the Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer (Wright et al. 2010), will be useful but be at a competitive disadvantage to Spitzer in the crowded star fields at lower galactic latitudes because of resolution issues. As a result, future catalogues of the Cosmicflows project will contain more data close to the Zone of Avoidance than the second catalogue (CF2) of the project superimposed on the same figure.

In Section 3, a comparison between 241 mag from $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$-pipeline and from the Spitzer-adapted version of archangel used in this paper reveals the very good agreement between both magnitudes. As a result, $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$-magnitudes are directly used to derive distances for the relevant galaxies in the last section. In the next section, we focus mostly on the CFS sample although the additional Spitzer archival galaxies minus $S^{4} G^{\prime}$ s are processed equally.


Figure 3. In the XY supergalactic plane, galaxies of the CFS survey (red dots) are superimposed on the 2MASS redshift catalogue (tiny black dots). Blue dots stand for galaxies of interests to the Cosmicflows project but observed by different programmes, mostly $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$. A few superclusters are identified by violet arrows. CFS completes previous surveys with galaxies at low galactic latitudes. Green dots represents the second catalogue of the Cosmicflows project. Future catalogues of the Cosmicflows project will have a better coverage near the Zone of Avoidance, reconstructions of the Local Universe will be more accurate in that region.

## 3 REDUCTIONS, ANALYSES AND COMPARISONS

### 3.1 Reductions

The post-basic calibrated data of the 1270 observed galaxies for the CFS programme are available at the Spitzer Heritage Archive. Every galaxy has been observed with the first channel of the IRAC instrument where a point spread function with a FWHM 1.66 arcsec is sampled with 1.2 arcsec pixels. The field of view is $5.2 \times$ $5.2 \operatorname{arcmin}^{2}$ which is adequate to include most galaxies beyond twice their diameter at the 25 th isophote ( $\mathrm{mag} \operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ ) in the $B$ band. Consequently, except for a few cases, galaxies ( 1219 out of 1270) have been mapped within a single field exposed during four minutes (the total duration of one observation is 8.6 min ), 45 have been mapped with four fields, five with nine fields and one, PGC62836 (NGC 6744), with 16 fields. Every resulting composite field extends to 8.5 exponential scalelengths ensuring that 99 per cent of the light of the galaxy is captured. The galaxies have inclination $i>45^{\circ}$ and are not perturbed by - or confused with - a second object in the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ beam ensuring that the TFR can be applied to them later on with minimized uncertainties.

The photometry is carried out with a Spitzer-adapted version of archangel (Schombert 2007; Schombert \& Smith 2012) described in detail in Sorce, Courtois \& Tully (2012a). Briefly, archangel performs the masking of stars and flaws and it replaces masked regions by mean isophote values. It fits ellipses to isophotes with increasing radii. It compresses the 2D information into unidimensional surface brightness and magnitude growth curves. Finally, parameters such as extrapolated magnitudes are derived. We run archangel twice on each galaxy. The first run supplies the second run with parameters to improve the results. In the second run, we force the ellipse fitting up to at least $1.5 \times a_{26.5}-$ radius of the $26.5 \mathrm{mag}_{\mathrm{arcsec}}{ }^{-2}$ isophote at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ - to ensure that 99 per cent of a galaxy light is captured. Position angles and ellipticities are frozen only at large radii - basically $a_{24}$, radius of the $24 \mathrm{mag}^{\operatorname{arcsec}}{ }^{-2}$ isophote at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ - where the noise dominates, except when a simple visualisation shows that a smaller freezing radius is required. Very flat galaxies are mostly among the exceptions where the masking fails without a smaller freezing radius: the edges are inevitably masked if ellipses are not frozen at small radii. In any case, position angles and ellipticities at medium radii overall do not affect magnitudes, the most important parameter for the TFR. Sorce et al. (2012a) showed that the major contribution to the magnitude uncertainties is the sky setting. Every source of uncertainty included, the total magnitude uncertainty is still held below 0.04 mag ( 0.05 with extinction, aperture and $k$-corrections) for normal spiral galaxies.

For each galaxy, we derive the major axis radius in arcsec of the isophote at $26.5 \mathrm{mag} \mathrm{arcsec}^{-2}$ in the [3.6] band, $a_{26.5}$, and of the annuli enclosing respectively 80,50 and 20 per cent of the total light, $a_{80}, a_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $a_{20}$ (the subscript 'e' stands for 'effective' - a common terminology). We compute also the corresponding surface brightnesses $\mu_{80}, \mu_{\mathrm{e}}$ and the average $\left\langle\mu_{\mathrm{e}}\right\rangle$ of the surface brightnesses between 0 and 50 per cent of the light, $\mu_{20}$ and the average $\left\langle\mu_{20}\right\rangle$ between 0 and 20 per cent of the light, in mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$. The central disc surface brightness in mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}, \mu_{0}$, the exponential disc scalelength in arcsec, $\alpha$, the mean $b / a$ ratio and its variance, the position angle and the concentration index $a_{80} / a_{20}$ are also given. Three magnitudes are calculated: the magnitude at the 26.5 th isophote, [3.6] $]_{26.5}$, the total magnitude obtained from the extrapolation of the growth curve, $[3.6]_{\text {tot }}$ (the uncertainty on the rational function fit used to derive [3.6] tot is also given) and the extrapolated magnitude
assuming a continuous exponential disc, [3.6] $]_{\text {ext }}$. All the magnitudes are given in the AB system. We recommend to use $[3.6]_{\text {ext }}$ even if the three magnitudes are very similar.

Isophotes, surface brightness profiles and growth curves are available for the 1270 galaxies on line along with a table of the derived parameters at the EDD website. These plots are also available in EDD for the additional $\sim 400$ galaxies from other programmes drawn from the Spitzer archive.

### 3.2 Analyses

In this subsection, we present the different parameters derived with the software archangel for each one of the CFS galaxies. We claim at the beginning of Section 3 that we choose to observe each galaxy to within at least twice $d_{25}$ to capture most of galaxy lights and to minimize magnitude measurement uncertainties. Then, we force ellipse fitting up to $1.5 \times \mathrm{a}_{26.5}$. Fig. 4 confirms that $d_{25}$ from RC3 used to set observations and $\mathrm{a}_{26.5}$ obtained after reduction are comparable representatives of size. The scatter is only 41 arcsec around a 1:1 linear relation. The observational sensitivity is sufficient for our ultimate goal since at $26.5 \mathrm{mag} \mathrm{arcsec}^{-2}$ the isophotal magnitudes are already very close to extrapolated ones as shown by Sorce et al. (2012a) and Fig. 5.
In the adapted version of ARCHANGEL the computation of the minor to major axis, $b / a$, ratio, is specifically defined as the mean of the b/a ratios between 50 and 80 per cent of the light. Measuring $b / a$ ratios is not an easy task and a comparison with the ratios used in the Cosmicflows programme on Fig. 6 top shows that at least one $b / a$ source cannot be trusted. Each value needs to be checked before any usage. Retained $b / a$ values are from the $I$-band programme of Cosmicflows (Tully et al. 2013) and from HyperLeda if it comes from Paturel et al. (2003). Position angles on the other hand are in good agreements at the bottom of the same figure.

Histograms of the other parameters are given in Fig. 7 in mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ for surface brightnesses and in arcsec for corresponding


Figure 4. Comparison between the radius in arcsec of the isophote at $26.5 \mathrm{mag} \mathrm{arcsec}^{-2}$ in the [3.6] band obtained after reduction with ARCHANGEL and the radius at $25 \mathrm{mag} \operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ at the $B$ band used beforehand to set observational parameters. These parameters are proportional to each other. In the case of an optimal 1:1 linear relation, the scatter is only 41 arcsec.


Figure 5. Histograms of the three magnitudes derived with archangel. The magnitude at the $26.5 \mathrm{mag}_{\mathrm{arcsec}}{ }^{-2}$ isophote at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m},[3.6]_{26.5}$ (black straight line), the magnitude obtained by the extrapolation of the growth curve, $[3.6]_{\text {tot }}$ (blue dashed line) and the magnitude assuming a continuous exponential disc, [3.6] ext (red dot-dashed line).


Figure 6. archangel-derived $b / a$ ratios (top) and position angles (bottom) versus Cosmicflows' minus archangel's and Hyperleda's minus archangel's. The black dot-dashed lines show the perfect cases $y=0$, the red straight lines are linear fits to the data [with a $3 \sigma$ clipping ( 20 galaxies) in the bottom panel], the blue dashed lines are the $1 \sigma$ uncertainties.
radii. For all these parameters there is no outliers. It is worth noting that [3.6] $\mu \mathrm{m}$ surface brightnesses are overall below 24 mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ which is better than most optical surveys (about 26-28 mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ in the $B$ band for example). IRAC is an exquisite imager for flatness and depth.

### 3.3 Comparisons

This last subsection demonstrates the agreement between magnitudes obtained with the Spitzer-adapted version of archangel used in this paper and with alternative pipelines. Fig. 9 of Sorce et al. (2012a) had already revealed that ARCHANGEL and the software developed for the GALEX Large Galaxy Atlas (Seibert et al., in preparation) by the CHP team give relatively close magnitudes. Fig. 8 proves that this adapted version of ARCHANGEL computes magnitudes equally similar to the pipeline of the $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$ team. There is a slight tendency for $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$ values to be brighter for the largest galaxies. A cause can be the difference in masking. Another cause can be the sky setting that with S4G is done quite differently. Instead of using sky boxes, $S^{4} \mathrm{G}$ pipeline derives sky values out of annuli located just at the extremity of what they estimate to contain the totality of the galaxy light. This different sky setting might also explain the slight increase in the root mean square scatter (four galaxies rejected) which reaches $\pm 0.1$ instead of a scatter of 0.05 in the comparison between CHP and ARCHANGEL magnitude values. Attributed equally, the 0.1 scatter gives an uncertainty about $\pm 0.07 \mathrm{mag}$ for each source. Regardless, it is reassuring that our magnitudes are in agreements with these two alternative computations.

As a result, these three magnitudes can be used nearly interchangeably. For a better precision, they are averaged when more than one of them is available in the next sections.

## 4 ROBUSTNESS OF THE CALIBRATION OF THE MID-IR TFR

In this section, the robustness of both the calibration method and the mid-IR TFR (Sorce et al. 2013, hereafter S13) is shown. The 2013 calibration which was presented as preliminary, especially because of the lack of completeness of the calibrator sample, is confirmed. Magnitudes used in this section come from archangel combined with an $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$-pipeline or a CHP-pipeline magnitude or both when they are available. These raw magnitudes [3.6] are then corrected [3.6] ${ }^{b, i, k, a}$ for (1) extinctions (both galactic and internal) [3.6] ${ }^{b, i}$, (2) shift in fluxes due to Doppler effect [3.6] ${ }^{k}$, and (3) extended emission from the point spread function outer wings and from scattered diffuse emission across the IRAC focal plane [3.6] ${ }^{a}$. These corrections are described separately in (1) Cardelli, Clayton \& Mathis (1989), Schlegel, Finkbeiner \& Davis (1998), Giovanelli et al. (1995, 1997) and Tully et al. (1998), (2) Oke \& Sandage (1968), Huang et al. (2007) and (3) Reach et al. (2005) and specifically for Spitzer IRAC $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ data in Sorce et al. (2012a). The resulting magnitudes are called [3.6] ${ }^{b, i, k, a}$ in the rest of the paper where each superscript stands for a correction.

### 4.1 An updated list of galaxies

S13 derived a template TFR using 213 galaxies in 13 clusters. The zero-point calibration was given by 26 additional galaxies. The inverse fit was used to calculate the slope of the relation and a very small correction was computed to remove a bias. In this paper, the same analysis is done using an updated sample of template and zero-point calibrators. This sample is improved in two aspects. The number of calibrators is increased from $213+26$ to $287+32$. Also galaxies are now selected in the $K$-band which decreases the selection bias. The selection of calibrators is extended to be complete to $K=11.75 \mathrm{mag}$, the limit of the 2MRS 11.75 survey (Huchra et al. 2012). This new set of calibrators follows the same rules as in S13: (1) candidates are chosen out of a projection-velocity window,


Figure 7. Histograms of some of the parameters computed with the ARCHANGEL software. Left, from top to bottom, histograms in solid lines of the central disc surface brightness $\mu_{0}$ and of the surface brightnesses at 50,20 and 80 per cent of the total light $\mu_{\mathrm{e}}, \mu_{20}$ and $\mu_{80}\left(\mathrm{mag}_{\mathrm{arcsec}}{ }^{-2}\right)$. Histograms of the average of the surface brightnesses between 0,50 and 20 per cent of the light, $\left\langle\mu_{\mathrm{e}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mu_{20}\right\rangle$ respectively, are overplotted in dashed lines. Right, from top to bottom, disc scalelength $\alpha$ and annuli encompassing 50,20 and 80 per cent of the light $a_{\mathrm{e}}, a_{20}$ and $a_{80}$, in arcsec. The histogram of the concentration index, $C_{82}=a_{80} / a_{20}$ is overplotted in a small panel on the right-hand side of the $a_{20}$ and $a_{80}$ histograms.
(2) morphological types earlier than Sa are excluded, (3) $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ profiles are not confused, (4) the candidates do not appear pathological, for example, exhibiting tidal disruption and (5) inclinations must be greater than $45^{\circ}$. The zero-point calibrators also need to have a very well known distance from Cepheid or Tip of the red giant branch measurements. There is no evidence that rejected galaxies preferentially lie in any particular part of the Tully-Fisher (TF) diagram (Tully \& Courtois 2012).
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ linewidths are provided by the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ subproject of Cosmicflows (EDD website; ${ }^{2}$ Courtois et al. 2009, 2011a), Table 1 (complete table online) gives the measurements for the calibrators. We proceed exactly as in S13:
(1) An inverse TFR is fitted to each one of the clusters separately. Fig. 9 top shows the example of the Virgo Cluster. Parameters for every cluster are given in Table 2. The inverse fit assumes errors only in linewidth to obtain results close to free of Malmquist magnitude selection bias. Yet, there will be a tiny bias residual because of the bright end cutoff of the luminosity Schechter function although it should be somewhat smaller than with the S13 calibration where,

[^2]in addition, the selection was made in the $B$ band. We investigate this bias relic at the end of this section.
(2) Because slopes are quite similar between clusters in Table 2, individual fits are consistent with the postulate of a universal TFR. Thus, the 13 clusters are combined into one template cluster. Virgo is taken as the reference cluster and each one of the 12 other clusters is shifted to be on the same scale. Three by three, clusters are inserted into the template and offsets between them and Virgo are found by an iterative process which relies on least-squares fit of the inverse TFR. Convergence is quick. We obtain a slope of $-9.77 \pm 0.19$, insignificantly different from the previous slope -9.74 confirming the robustness of the S13 calibration and of the method. The universal slope and the offsets with respect to Virgo are shown on Fig. 9 bottom.
(3) The zero-point scale of the Cepheid calibrators is set by the distance modulus of the Large Magellanic Cloud, $18.48 \pm[0.04-$ 0.07 ] (Riess et al. 2011; Monson et al. 2012). Then, the 32 zero-point calibrators give the zero-point of the universal TFR assuming the slope of the cluster template. Their correlation is visible in the top panel of Fig. 10 where now absolute magnitudes replace apparent magnitudes. The zero-point of the TFR is the difference between the zero-point given by zero-point calibrators on Fig. 10 top and by


Figure 8. Comparisons between 241 [3.6] extrapolated ARChangel and [3.6] $\mathrm{S}^{4} \mathrm{G}$ magnitudes. The fit at $3 \sigma$ clipping (four galaxies rejected) has a slope of $-0.02 \pm 0.004$ and a zero-point of $0.17 \pm 0.04$. The red dashed thick line stands for the offset at -0.02 mag and the blue dashed lines represent the scatter at 0.1 mag . Deviant cases except for two are low surface brightness galaxies, and we find no reason to reject archangel values.

Virgo in Fig. 9 top: $-20.31 \pm 0.09$. The zero-point is once again insignificantly larger than that of the S13 calibration of -20.34 .

The universal relation at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ is visible on Fig. 10 and is given by a slightly updated version of the S13 calibration:
$M_{[3.6]}^{b, i, k, a}=-(20.31 \pm 0.09)-(9.77 \pm 0.19)\left(\log W_{m x}^{i}-2.5\right)$
with a scatter of 0.54 for the 13 clusters and 0.45 for the 32 zeropoint calibrators. S13 already discussed the causes of such a scatter. Among these reasons, they evoke a colour term due to the fact that faster rotators tend to be redder and rise more quickly than bluer galaxies in the TF diagram (e.g. S13, their fig. 6). Following the earlier work, we apply a colour correction in the next subsection to confirm the colour-corrected TFR derived in S13.

### 4.2 The colour correction

Because of the increased number of data, we double check the colour term deriving a new estimate. The straight line fit given in Fig. 11 top is a least-squares minimization with respect to the difference in magnitude of a galaxy from the derived TFR. In the [3.6] band, a galaxy is offset from the TFR by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta M_{[3.6]}^{\text {color }} & =M^{b, i, k, a}+20.31+9.77\left(\log W_{m x}^{i}-2.5\right) \\
& =-(0.52 \pm 0.10)\left[\left(I^{b, i, k}-[3.6]^{b, i, k, a}\right)+0.73\right] . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $I$-band magnitudes have been converted from the Vega to the AB system by making a 0.342 mag shift. Slope and zero-point are slightly smaller than those given in S13 ( -0.47 and -0.36 ) but within the uncertainty. Still, for completeness, we use this new estimate. Colour adjusted parameters, $C_{[3.6]}=[3.6]^{b, i, k, a}-\Delta[3.6]^{\text {color }}$, are derived accordingly and then, considered as pseudo-magnitudes to produce the colour-corrected calibration, proof of the robustness of the S13 calibration. The procedure described in the previous sub-


Figure 9. Top: inverse TFR at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for the Virgo Cluster in dotted red line. The solid black line stands for the inverse TF of the template cluster. Bottom: Universal inverse TFR at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ obtained with 287 galaxies in 13 clusters. Numbers of galaxies selected for the calibration per clusters are given in front of clusters' names while distance modulus differences between each cluster and Virgo are visible after clusters' names.
section is reiterated with a number of galaxies slightly decreased due to a lack of $I$-band measurements $(273+31)$.

The colour-corrected calibration is visible on Fig. 11 bottom and given by
$M_{C_{[3.6]}}=-(20.31 \pm 0.07)-(9.10 \pm 0.21)\left(\log W_{m x}^{i}-2.5\right)$
with 0.45 and 0.37 as new scatters. A summary of the derived parameters for the TFR in this paper are given in Table 2 as well as in Table 3 along those of S13 and those of Tully \& Courtois (2012) for the $I$ band. Although a direct comparison has some imprecision because of the different galaxy samples, the agreement is excellent.

Table 1. Calibrator parameters for the TFR (complete table online): (1) PGC number, (2) common name, (3) I-band-corrected magnitude, mag, (4) [3.6]-averaged corrected magnitude, mag, (5) pseudo-[3.6] magnitude, mag, (6) axial ratio, (7) inclination, degrees, (8) linewidth not corrected for inclination, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (9) linewidth corrected for inclination, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (10) logarithm of the inclination-corrected linewidth, (11) sample (ZP zero-point calibrators).

| PGC | Name | $I_{\mathrm{ext}}^{b, i, k}$ | $[3.6]_{\text {ave }}^{\text {b,i,k,a }}$ | $C_{[3.6]_{\text {ave }}}^{b, i, k, a}$ | $b / a$ | Inc | $W_{m x}$ | $W_{m x}^{i}$ | $W_{m x l}^{i}$ | Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2758 | NGC 0247 | 7.79 | 9.10 | 8.98 | 0.31 | 76. | 190 | 196 | 2.292 | ZP |
| 3238 | NGC 0300 | 7.28 | 8.40 | 8.38 | 0.71 | 46. | 140 | 195 | 2.290 | ZP |
| 9332 | NGC 0925 | 8.96 | 10.25 | 10.14 | 0.57 | 57. | 194 | 231 | 2.364 | ZP |
| 13179 | NGC 1365 | 8.09 | 8.77 | 8.97 | 0.61 | 54. | 371 | 459 | 2.662 | ZP |
| 13602 | NGC 1425 | 9.50 | 10.72 | 10.64 | 0.46 | 65. | 354 | 391 | 2.592 | ZP |
| 17819 | NGC 2090 | 9.33 | 10.38 | 10.39 | 0.43 | 67. | 277 | 301 | 2.478 | ZP |
| 21396 | NGC 2403 | 7.11 | 8.46 | 8.32 | 0.53 | 60. | 226 | 261 | 2.417 | ZP |
| 23110 | NGC 2541 | 10.76 | 12.06 | 11.94 | 0.49 | 63. | 188 | 211 | 2.325 | ZP |
| 26512 | NGC 2841 | 7.53 | 8.65 | 8.63 | 0.45 | 66. | 592 | 650 | 2.813 | ZP |
| 28120 | NGC 2976 | 8.98 | 9.89 | 9.97 | 0.53 | 60. | 129 | 149 | 2.173 | ZP |
| 28630 | NGC 3031 | 5.20 | 6.29 | 6.28 | 0.54 | 59. | 416 | 485 | 2.686 | ZP |
| 30197 | NGC 3198 | 9.17 | 10.33 | 10.28 | 0.39 | 70. | 296 | 315 | 2.498 | ZP |
| 30819 | IC2574 | 10.12 | 11.12 | 11.16 | 0.40 | 69. | 106 | 113 | 2.054 | ZP |
| 31671 | NGC 3319 | 10.55 | 11.82 | 11.72 | 0.54 | 59. | 195 | 227 | 2.356 | ZP |
| 32007 | NGC 3351 | 8.33 | 9.20 | 9.31 | 0.70 | 47. | 262 | 312 | 2.556 | ZP |
| 32192 | NGC 3368 | 7.88 | 8.80 | 8.88 | 0.64 | 52. | 329 | 418 | 2.621 | ZP |
| 34554 | NGC 3621 | 8.01 | 9.01 | 9.05 | 0.45 | 66. | 266 | 292 | 2.465 | ZP |
| 34695 | NGC 3627 | 7.39 | 8.28 | 8.38 | 0.53 | 60. | 333 | 385 | 2.585 | ZP |
| 39422 | NGC 4244 | 8.92 | 10.25 | 10.12 | 0.20 | 90. | 192 | 192 | 2.283 | ZP |
| 39600 | NGC 4258 | 6.84 | 7.98 | 7.95 | 0.40 | 69. | 414 | 444 | 2.647 | ZP |
| 40596 | NGC 4395 | 9.08 | 11.21 | 10.66 | 0.73 | 44. | 112 | 161 | 2.206 | ZP |
| 40692 | NGC 4414 | 8.73 | 9.38 | 9.60 | 0.60 | 55. | 378 | 463 | 2.666 | ZP |
| 41812 | NGC 4535 | 8.95 | 9.75 | 9.89 | 0.72 | 45. | 265 | 374 | 2.573 | ZP |
| 41823 | NGC 4536 | 9.03 | 9.85 | 9.98 | 0.38 | 71. | 322 | 341 | 2.533 | ZP |
| 42408 | NGC 4605 | 9.19 | 10.17 | 10.22 | 0.41 | 69. | 154 | 165 | 2.219 | ZP |
| 42510 | NGC 4603 | 9.76 | 10.67 | 10.75 | 0.64 | 52. | 353 | 450 | 2.653 | ZP |
| 42741 | NGC 4639 | 10.18 | 11.27 | 11.26 | 0.60 | 55. | 274 | 336 | 2.526 | ZP |
| 43451 | NGC 4725 | 7.84 | 8.87 | 8.89 | 0.56 | 58. | 397 | 470 | 2.672 | ZP |
| 47368 | NGC 5204 | 1 | 11.93 | / | 0.50 | 62. | 186 | 267 | 2.095 | ZP |
| 60921 | NGC 6503 | 8.67 | 9.78 | 9.76 | 0.32 | 75. | 223 | 231 | 2.363 | ZP |
| 69327 | NGC 7331 | 7.52 | 8.39 | 8.50 | 0.44 | 66. | 501 | 547 | 2.738 | ZP |
| 73049 | NGC 7793 | 8.25 | 9.27 | 9.30 | 0.62 | 53. | 162 | 202 | 2.306 | ZP |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Properties of the cluster fits: (1) cluster name, (2) mean velocity of the cluster with respect to the CMB corrected for cosmological effects, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (3) error on the velocity, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (4) number of studied galaxy per cluster for the original TFR and for the colour-corrected TFR, (5) slope of the inverse fit, (6) zero-point relative to Virgo's zero-point, no colour adjustment, mag, (7) scatter, no colour adjustment, (8) zero-point relative to Virgo's zero-point after colour adjustment, mag, (9) scatter after colour adjustment, mag, (10) bias, mag, (11) bias-corrected distance modulus, mag, (12) cluster distance, Mpc, (13) Hubble parameter, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$.

| Cluster | $V_{\text {mod }}$ | $e V$ | $N$ | Slope | ZP | rms | ZP $_{\text {color }}$ | rms | bias | DM | Dist |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V Virgo | 1495 | 37 | $30-30$ | $-9.88 \pm 0.73$ | $10.50 \pm 0.12$ | 0.64 | $10.63 \pm 0.10$ | 0.55 | 0.00 | $30.94 \pm 0.12$ | $15.4 \pm 0.9$ | $97.0 \pm 5.9$ |
| F Fornax | 1358 | 45 | $15-15$ | $-9.56 \pm 0.63$ | $10.77 \pm 0.12$ | 0.46 | $10.85 \pm 0.11$ | 0.42 | 0.00 | $31.16 \pm 0.13$ | $17.1 \pm 1.0$ | $79.6 \pm 5.4$ |
| U U Ma | 1079 | 14 | $35-34$ | $-9.32 \pm 0.52$ | $10.74 \pm 0.11$ | 0.64 | $10.80 \pm 0.10$ | 0.57 | 0.00 | $31.11 \pm 0.12$ | $16.7 \pm 0.9$ | $64.7 \pm 3.7$ |
| An Antlia | 3198 | 74 | $18-13$ | $-10.07 \pm 1.33$ | $12.37 \pm 0.12$ | 0.52 | $12.48 \pm 0.07$ | 0.27 | 0.05 | $32.84 \pm 0.10$ | $37.0 \pm 1.7$ | $86.5 \pm 4.5$ |
| Ce Cen30 | 3823 | 82 | $14-12$ | $-12.92 \pm 1.74$ | $12.51 \pm 0.16$ | 0.60 | $12.58 \pm 0.16$ | 0.55 | 0.00 | $32.89 \pm 0.17$ | $37.8 \pm 3.0$ | $101.0 \pm 8.2$ |
| Pe Pegasus | 3062 | 78 | $16-16$ | $-9.84 \pm 1.03$ | $12.91 \pm 0.14$ | 0.55 | $12.94 \pm 0.11$ | 0.44 | 0.01 | $33.26 \pm 0.13$ | $44.9 \pm 2.7$ | $68.2 \pm 4.4$ |
| H Hydra | 4088 | 72 | $25-19$ | $-9.12 \pm 0.94$ | $13.38 \pm 0.14$ | 0.71 | $13.52 \pm 0.13$ | 0.55 | 0.01 | $33.84 \pm 0.14$ | $58.6 \pm 3.8$ | $69.7 \pm 4.7$ |
| Pi Pisces | 4759 | 39 | $52-52$ | $-11.02 \pm 0.75$ | $13.77 \pm 0.07$ | 0.50 | $13.76 \pm 0.06$ | 0.45 | 0.03 | $34.10 \pm 0.09$ | $66.1 \pm 2.7$ | $72.0 \pm 3.0$ |
| Ca Cancer | 5059 | 82 | $12-12$ | $-11.65 \pm 1.02$ | $13.74 \pm 0.11$ | 0.39 | $13.75 \pm 0.10$ | 0.31 | 0.02 | $34.08 \pm 0.11$ | $65.5 \pm 3.3$ | $77.3 \pm 4.1$ |
| Co Coma | 7370 | 76 | $23-23$ | $-7.97 \pm 0.67$ | $14.42 \pm 0.10$ | 0.49 | $14.40 \pm 0.09$ | 0.42 | 0.07 | $34.78 \pm 0.11$ | $90.4 \pm 4.6$ | $81.6 \pm 4.2$ |
| A4 A400 | 7228 | 97 | $7-7$ | $-8.00 \pm 1.38$ | $14.47 \pm 0.11$ | 0.48 | $14.46 \pm 0.09$ | 0.42 | 0.15 | $34.92 \pm 0.12$ | $96.4 \pm 5.3$ | $75.0 \pm 4.3$ |
| A1 A1367 | 6969 | 93 | $20-20$ | $-9.32 \pm 0.92$ | $14.53 \pm 0.08$ | 0.21 | $14.53 \pm 0.07$ | 0.19 | 0.10 | $34.94 \pm 0.10$ | $97.3 \pm 4.5$ | $71.6 \pm 3.4$ |
| A2 A2634/66 | 8938 | 164 | $20-20$ | $-9.55 \pm 0.97$ | $14.82 \pm 0.11$ | 0.50 | $14.88 \pm 0.10$ | 0.43 | 0.09 | $35.28 \pm 0.12$ | $113.8 \pm 6.3$ | $78.6 \pm 4.6$ |



Figure 10. Top: inverse TFR for the 32 zero-point calibrators with distances obtained with Cepheids (circles) or Tip of the red giant branch (squares). The slope of the solid line is given by the luminosity-linewidth correlation of the template cluster while the zero-point is obtained with the least-squares fit to the 32 galaxies. The zero-point is set at $\log W_{m x}^{i}=2.5$. Bottom: inverse TFR at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ with the slope built out of 287 galaxies in 13 clusters and the zero-point set by 32 galaxies with very accurate distances.

The robustness of the procedure and of the derived TFR is confirmed. Namely, no major bias affects the relation as it is almost independent of the calibrator sample in terms of completeness and band selection.

### 4.3 Bias and distances

Although all TFRs (individual and universal) derived in this paper are inverse fits (errors solely in linewidths), a small Malmquist selection bias residual remains. This bias was investigated with the S13 TFR calibration at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. In this paper, the situation is


Figure 11. Top: deviation from the universal inverse TFR as a function of $I^{b, i, k}-[3.6]^{b, i, k, a}$ colour. The solid line stand for the best fit while the dotted lines represents the 95 per cent probability limits. Redder galaxies tend to lie above the relation while bluer galaxies are preferentially below the relation. Bottom: relation for pseudo-absolute magnitudes with the zeropoint set by galaxies with independent very accurate distance estimates (open circles).
improved because galaxies are selected in $K$ (instead of $B$ ) band. This change in wavelength selection reduces the interval between sample selection and photometry bands. However, because of the morphology of the luminosity function, galaxies are not scattered up and down exactly similarly. The amplitude of the bias increases with distance as the selection limit approaches the exponential cutoff of the luminosity function.

As a result, the same bias analysis as in S 13 is conducted but without consideration of a faint end cutoff colour dependence. Virgo, Fornax and Ursa Major are modelled with a Schechter (1976) function with a faint end slope of -1.0 and a bright end cutoff at -22 . Then, a random population is built out of this Schechter function to match the TFR at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in terms of slope, zero-point and scatter. The bias is estimated as the average deviation of sampled distances from the input TFR for successive brighter cutoffs with the

Table 3. TFR parameters in Courtois \& Tully (2012b) for the $I$ band obtained with the $B$-band-selected calibrator sample, in S13 for the 2013 [3.6] calibration derived with part of the $B$-band-selected calibrator sample and in this paper for the calibration computed with the $K$-band-selected calibrator sample.

| Sample | $N_{\text {gal }}$ | Slope | rms | Zero-point |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ template | 267 | $-8.81 \pm 0.16$ | 0.41 | - |
| $I$ zero-point | 36 | - | 0.36 | $-21.39 \pm 0.07(\mathrm{Veg})$ |
| 2013 [3.6] template | 213 | $-9.74 \pm 0.22$ | 0.49 | - |
| 2013 [3.6] zero-point | 26 | - | 0.44 | $-20.34 \pm 0.10(\mathrm{AB})$ |
| $2013 M_{C}$ template | 213 | $-9.13 \pm 0.22$ | 0.44 | - |
| $2013 M_{C}$ zero-point | 26 | - | 0.37 | $-20.34 \pm 0.08(\mathrm{AB})$ |
| This paper [3.6] template | 287 | $-9.77 \pm 0.19$ | 0.54 | - |
| This paper [3.6] zero-point | 32 | - | 0.45 | $-20.31 \pm 0.09(\mathrm{AB})$ |
| This paper $M_{C}$ template | 273 | $-9.10 \pm 0.21$ | 0.45 | - |
| This paper $M_{C}$ zero-point | 31 | - | 0.37 | $-20.31 \pm 0.07(\mathrm{AB})$ |



Figure 12. Bias measured as a function of absolute magnitude cutoff. The dotted and solid black curves are fits to the blue triangles and red filled circles which are bias estimates at successive cutoffs for the [3.6] TF calibration and for the colour adjusted TFR. The formula for the curves are $0.006(\mu-31)^{2.3}$ and $0.004(\mu-31)^{2.3}$. Letters at the bottom stand for the 13 clusters given in Table 2. They are positioned at the magnitude limits of clusters and their vertical projections on to the curve give the corresponding biases. The bias for an individual galaxy with a measured modulus is given by projection on to the curves from the top axis.
convention, bias $=$ input TFR - measured TFR for the different cutoff samples. The corresponding curve normalized to zero at a distance modulus of 31 is shown in Fig. 12 and can be written as
bias $=0.004(\mu-31)^{2.3}$,
where $\mu$ is the distance modulus. The coefficient 0.004 is smaller than in S13 ( 0.0065 ) because of the previous colour dependence. However, the 2.3 exponent is larger than before because of a larger assumed scatter. The scatter dominates the bias relic. At the bottom of Fig. 12, letters standing for the 13 clusters are positioned at their cutoffs while the corresponding biases are given by projection on to the curve. Bias corrections for each cluster are given in Table 2 alongside the letters to match them with the names of clus-
ters. Corrections are already included in moduli and distances given in this same table. As for an individual galaxy, the bias-corrected distance modulus $\mu$ is obtained by adding $0.004(\mu-31)^{2.3}$. For completeness, the bias correction for the non-colour-adjusted relation, obtained similarly, is given by bias $=0.006(\mu-31)^{2.3}$.

Distances obtained for the 13 clusters are compared with previous estimates (S13 and $I$ band) in Table 4. Overall distances are in good agreement with each other and within uncertainties. Combining these distances with velocities with respect to the CMB corrected with a cosmological model assuming $\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}=0.27$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda}=0.73$ (Tully et al. 2013), it is possible to derive a 'Hubble parameter' for each cluster. These values are given in Table 2 and plotted in Fig. 13. A straight line fit to the logarithms of these parameters for clusters at a distance greater than 50 Mpc gives a Hubble value of $75 \pm 4(\mathrm{ran}) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ where (ran) stands for twice the $1 \sigma$ random error.

## 5 CONFIRMING HUBBLE CONSTANT ESTIMATE WITH SUPERNOVAE

At the time of Sorce et al. (2012b) only 39 hosts of SNIa had been observed with Spitzer. Now, 45 host galaxies have all the required parameters to be compared with SNIa measurements. The new information extends the previous work by only six galaxies and we do not expect much change with regard to the offsets between SNIa and TF distance moduli estimates, nor [3.6] band measurements especially because the calibration at $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ has been shown to be very robust. Still, for the sake of completeness, raw magnitudes of these galaxies are corrected as before and the corresponding pseudo-magnitudes are derived. The colour-corrected TFR is applied to this set of supernova hosts to derive distance moduli estimates. These distance moduli are then bias corrected and compared with distance moduli obtained from supernova measurements to determine the supernova zero-point scale. All the parameters are gathered in Table 5. Fig. 14 shows the results when the six additional galaxies are included in the sample and the TFR is used to derive moduli. 8 of the 13 calibration clusters with observed SNIa are also added. The straight line is a fit, assuming slope unity, to the 45 individual galaxies each with weight 1 and six clusters each with weight 9 (Centaurus and Abell 1367 have been rejected in Sorce et al. (2012b) and distance moduli for Virgo and Fornax include contributions from Cepheid and Surface Brightness Fluctuation methods for consistency with the previous work). The offset is identical to that found in S13. Our Hubble constant estimate is unchanged $H_{0}=75.2 \pm 3.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$.

Table 4. Comparison with S13 and Courtois \& Tully (2012b): (1) cluster name, (2) this paper distance, Mpc, (3) S13 distance, Mpc (4) Courtois \& Tully (2012b) distance, Mpc.

| Cluster | This paper | 2013 paper | TC12 | Cluster | This paper | 2013 paper | TC12 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V Virgo | $15.4 \pm 0.9$ | $14.7 \pm 0.9$ | $15.9 \pm 0.8$ | Pi Pisces | $66 \pm 3$ | $65 \pm 3$ | $64 \pm 2$ |
| F Fornax | $17.1 \pm 1.0$ | $17.4 \pm 1.2$ | $17.3 \pm 1.0$ | Ca Cancer | $66 \pm 3$ | $67 \pm 4$ | $65 \pm 3$ |
| U U Ma | $16.7 \pm 0.9$ | $18.0 \pm 0.9$ | $17.4 \pm 0.9$ | Co Coma | $90 \pm 5$ | $95 \pm 6$ | $90 \pm 4$ |
| An Antlia | $37 \pm 2$ | $37 \pm 2$ | $37 \pm 2$ | A4 A400 | $96 \pm 5$ | $97 \pm 5$ | $94 \pm 5$ |
| Ce Cen30 | $38 \pm 3$ | $39 \pm 4$ | $38 \pm 3$ | A1 A1367 | $97 \pm 5$ | $96 \pm 6$ | $94 \pm 5$ |
| Pe Pegasus | $45 \pm 3$ | $45 \pm 3$ | $43 \pm 3$ | A2 A2634/66 | $114 \pm 6$ | $112 \pm 7$ | $/$ |
| H Hydra | $59 \pm 4$ | $56 \pm 4$ | $59 \pm 4$ | A2634 |  | $/$ | $121 \pm 7$ |



Figure 13. Hubble parameter as a function of distance. The solid red line at $75.0 \pm 3.9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ is a fit to the logarithms of cluster 'Hubble parameters' at distances greater than 50 Mpc . The dotted line represents the average $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ deviation from the expansion due to peculiar motions.


Figure 14. Top: comparison between moduli derived with SNIa and with 'other' methods (TFR, with Cepheid and surface brightness fluctuation supplements). The solid line has for slope the weighted fit to the 45 galaxies (filled points) with TFR distances and six of the eight clusters (open squares) and a null zero-point.

## 6 A CATALOGUE OF ACCURATE DISTANCE ESTIMATES

This paper has been the occasion to release the observational campaign CFS, a photometric component of the Cosmicflows project. The primarily goal of this observational survey is to increase the number of distance estimates close to the Zone of Avoidance using the TFR. The first channel $(3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ of the IRAC on board the Spitzer Space Telescope is indeed the instrument of choice to obtain the required excellent photometry. At this wavelength the Zone of Avoidance and uncertainties on measurements are considerably reduced. Surface photometry of 1270 galaxies constituting the CFS sample observed in cycle 8 with IRAC channel 1 and over 400 additional galaxies observed in various other surveys have been presented in Sections 2 and 3. The $S^{4} G$ supplies many more galaxies of interests to the Cosmicflows project.

The final set is constituted of 1935 galaxies with required parameters (in particular $W_{m x}, b / a,[3.6]$ and if available $I$ magnitudes), to derive an estimate of their distance with the mid-infrared (colour adjusted) TFR derived in Section 4, all available. Axial ratios come either from previous estimates of the Cosmicflows programme or from HyperLeda if they are from Paturel et al. (2003). I-band magnitudes come from a multitude of surveys set on the same scale. The compilation of $I$-band magnitudes is described in Tully et al. (2013). It gathers magnitudes used in Tully \& Pierce (2000) and Tully et al. (2008), themselves borrowing from Giovanelli et al. (1997), Mathewson, Ford \& Buchhorn (1992), Pierce \& Tully (1988), Tully et al. (1996), but also recent derivations from Courtois, Tully \& Héraudeau (2011b), Springob et al. (2007) and Hall et al. (2012). Tully et al. (2013) showed that these $I$-band magnitudes are on a consistent scale after small adjustments with the exception of those of Hall et al. (2012) because they use a significantly different filter. Accordingly, these later are corrected with the formulas prescribed by Smith et al. (2002) and Tully et al. (2013). These corrections involve a translation from Sloan $g, r, i$ band (Gunn i band) to Cousins $I$ band:
$I_{\text {sdss }}^{c}=i-0.14(g-r)-0.35$,
where cases with $r-i \geq 0.95$ are excluded, and account for a slight tilt between $I_{\text {sdss }}^{c}$ and $I_{c}$, from the Cosmicflows project, magnitudes,
$I_{c}=1.017 I_{\text {sdss }}^{c}-0.221$.
$I$-band magnitudes are extinction and $k$-corrected with the formulas given in Chilingarian, Melchior \& Zolotukhin (2010), Tully \& Pierce (2000). Then, $I$-band magnitudes are converted to the AB system. [3.6] magnitudes are also corrected and pseudo-magnitudes are derived. Combined with the (colour-corrected) TFR applied to linewidths, these latter enable the derivation of distance moduli. Distance moduli are corrected for the selection bias before deriving

Table 5. Properties of individual SNIa galaxies (latest results): (1) common name, (2) PGC name, (3) mean velocity of host galaxy with respect to the $\mathrm{CMB}, \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (4) mean velocity of host galaxy with respect to the CMB corrected for the cosmological model, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (5) corrected rotation rate parameter corresponding to twice the maximum velocity, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (6) corrected $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ magnitude in the AB system, mag, (7) colour-adjusted magnitude, mag, (8) absolute colour-adjusted magnitude, mag, (9) TFR distance modulus corrected for bias, mag, (10) SNIa distance modulus, mag. Supplementary galaxies with respect to the 2012 work are in red.

| Name | PGC | $v_{\text {CMB }}$ | $v_{\text {mod }}$ | $W_{m x}^{i}$ | $[3.6]^{b, i, k, a}$ | $C_{[3.6]}$ | $M_{C_{[3.6]}}$ | $\mu_{\text {TF }}$ | $\mu_{\text {SN }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UGC00139 | 963 | 3975 | 3626 | 311 | 13.43 | 13.51 | -20.25 | 33.80 | 33.33 |
| UGC00646 | 3773 | 5348 | 4898 | 389 | 12.85 | 12.84 | -21.13 | 34.02 | 33.82 |
| PGC005341 | 5341 | 1964 | 1601 | 236 | 12.84 | 12.72 | - 19.15 | 31.88 | 32.82 |
| NGC 0673 | 6624 | 5241 | 5051 | 444 | 11.96 | 12.15 | -21.66 | 33.85 | 33.81 |
| NGC 0958 | 9560 | 5732 | 5623 | 592 | 11.09 | 11.23 | - 22.79 | 34.08 | 34.40 |
| UGC01993 | 9618 | 8005 | 7967 | 485 | 12.97 | 12.94 | -22.00 | 35.04 | 35.19 |
| IC1844 | 10448 | 6846 | 6693 | 309 | 13.55 | 13.23 | - 20.22 | 33.48 | 34.52 |
| ESO300-009 | 11606 | 6045 | 6017 | 321 | 14.67 | 14.64 | - 20.37 | 35.12 | 34.46 |
| PGC011767 | 11767 | 8701 | 8671 | 422 | 13.16 | 13.35 | -21.46 | 34.89 | 35.39 |
| NGC 1448 | 13727 | 1194 | 1062 | 388 | 9.97 | 9.99 | - 21.12 | 31.11 | 31.19 |
| UGC03329 | 17509 | 5253 | 5668 | 524 | 11.74 | 11.65 | -22.31 | 34.01 | 34.13 |
| UGC03375 | 18089 | 5783 | 5879 | 534 | 11.63 | 11.67 | - 22.38 | 34.10 | 34.06 |
| PGC018373 | 18373 | 2168 | 2281 | 239 | 12.45 | 12.54 | - 19.22 | 31.76 | 32.43 |
| UGC03432 | 18747 | 4996 | 5080 | 289 | 13.93 | 13.96 | -19.96 | 33.96 | 33.93 |
| UGC03576 | 19788 | 5966 | 6009 | 392 | 12.94 | 13.01 | -21.17 | 34.23 | 34.65 |
| UGC03770 | 20513 | 6378 | 6646 | 371 | 13.48 | 13.55 | -20.95 | 34.57 | 34.79 |
| UGC03845 | 21020 | 3034 | 3166 | 257 | 13.33 | 13.36 | - 19.50 | 32.88 | 33.21 |
| NGC 2841 | 26512 | 637 | 810 | 650 | 8.63 | 8.61 | -23.16 | 31.77 | 30.80 |
| NGC 3021 | 28357 | 1515 | 1781 | 302 | 11.64 | 11.82 | -20.14 | 31.96 | 32.26 |
| NGC 3294 | 31428 | 1567 | 1838 | 431 | 10.76 | 10.82 | -21.54 | 32.37 | 32.23 |
| NGC 3368 | 32192 | 906 | 1332 | 427 | 8.77 | 8.86 | -21.50 | 30.37 | 29.93 |
| NGC 3370 | 32207 | 1367 | 1622 | 311 | 11.69 | 11.81 | - 20.26 | 32.07 | 32.09 |
| NGC 3627 | 34695 | 723 | 1454 | 384 | 8.26 | 8.36 | -21.08 | 29.44 | 29.69 |
| NGC 3663 | 35006 | 5040 | 5389 | 443 | 12.42 | 12.37 | -21.65 | 34.07 | 34.24 |
| NGC 3672 | 35088 | 1860 | 2210 | 399 | 10.57 | 10.66 | -21.23 | 31.89 | 32.20 |
| NGC 4501 | 41517 | 2268 | 1740 | 570 | 8.75 | 8.85 | -22.64 | 31.49 | 30.93 |
| NGC 4527 | 41789 | 1736 | 2090 | 361 | 9.32 | 9.56 | - 20.84 | 30.39 | 30.42 |
| NGC 4536 | 41823 | 1808 | 2162 | 341 | 9.81 | 9.95 | -20.61 | 30.56 | 30.75 |
| NGC 4639 | 42741 | 1003 | 1740 | 348 | 11.25 | 11.26 | -20.69 | 31.96 | 31.80 |
| NGC 4680 | 43118 | 2491 | 2811 | 237 | 12.10 | 12.24 | - 19.17 | 31.41 | 32.54 |
| NGC 4679 | 43170 | 4665 | 3824 | 426 | 11.72 | 11.84 | -21.49 | 33.36 | 33.89 |
| NGC 5005 | 45749 | 1011 | 1177 | 601 | 9.01 | 9.08 | -22.85 | 31.93 | 31.17 |
| ESO576-040 | 46574 | 2095 | 2407 | 169 | 13.72 | 13.61 | - 17.85 | 31.47 | 31.89 |
| PGC047514 | 47514 | 4217 | 4577 | 284 | 13.96 | 13.82 | - 19.89 | 33.75 | 34.34 |
| NGC 5584 | 51344 | 1655 | 191 | 266 | 11.74 | 11.72 | - 19.64 | 31.35 | 31.92 |
| IC4423 | 51549 | 9115 | 9691 | 470 | 13.73 | 13.92 | -21.88 | 35.95 | 35.67 |
| IC1151 | 56537 | 2176 | 2287 | 241 | 12.83 | 12.83 | - 19.25 | 32.08 | 33.16 |
| NGC 6063 | 57205 | 2841 | 2958 | 308 | 12.98 | 12.95 | -20.21 | 33.18 | 32.99 |
| UGC10738 | 59769 | 6716 | 6850 | 584 | 12.37 | 12.53 | -22.74 | 35.38 | 34.85 |
| UGC10743 | 59782 | 2744 | 2581 | 218 | 12.59 | 12.76 | - 18.85 | 31.61 | 32.68 |
| NGC 6962 | 65375 | 4200 | 3695 | 639 | 11.05 | 11.15 | -23.09 | 34.31 | 33.69 |
| IC5179 | 68455 | 3400 | 3108 | 444 | 10.80 | 11.14 | -21.66 | 32.81 | 33.18 |
| UGC12133 | 69428 | 7391 | 7213 | 442 | 13.17 | 13.32 | -21.64 | 35.05 | 34.99 |
| NGC 7329 | 69453 | 3245 | 3150 | 461 | 11.19 | 11.34 | -21.80 | 33.16 | 33.19 |
| NGC 7448 | 70213 | 2170 | 1752 | 309 | 11.32 | 11.40 | $-20.23$ | 31.63 | 32.72 |

distance estimates. Table 6 (complete table online) gives the first few derived distance estimates. Eventually these distance estimates will be incorporated into a new data release of the Cosmicflows project, increasing the size of the previous catalog by 20 per cent, including spatial regions close to the Zone of Avoidance.

## 7 CONCLUSION

With the new generation of sensitive telescopes/detectors both in the radio band and in the photometric domain, cosmic flow stud-
ies have received an impetus. The space-based Spitzer telescope is an example of such a telescope with enhanced capacities. With a Spitzer-adapted version of the software archangel, we have obtained surface brightness photometry and distances for 1270 galaxies that are part of the CFS programme, itself included in the larger Cosmicflows project. An increase in the number of TF calibrators since the 2013 calibration and a superior selection criteria using the $K$-band instead of $B$ band led us to recalibrate the $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ TFR. The derived relation confirms the robustness of the 2013 calibration and is given by $M_{C_{[3.6]}}=-(20.31 \pm 0.07)-(9.10 \pm$

 7) supergalactic latitude, degrees, (8) heliocentric velocities, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (9) velocity with respect to the cosmic microwave background modified for the cosmology, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (10) numerical morphological type, (11) axial ratio, (12) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ linewidth, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (13) logarithm of the de-projected $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ linewidth, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, (14) $I$-band magnitude, mag (Vega system), (15) I-band magnitude corrected for extinctions and $k$-corrected, mag (AB system), (16) [3.6]-band magnitude, mag (AB system), (17) extinctions, aperture and $k$-corrected [3.6]-band magnitude, mag (AB system), (18) pseudo apparent magnitude at [3.6], mag, (19) psed absolute magnitude at [3.6], mag, (20) apparent magnitude at [3.6], mag, (21) absolute magnitude at [3.6], mag, (22) distance modulus corrected for the selection bias obtained with the colour-adjusted TFR, distance estimates obtained with the TFR, Mpc.

[^3]












| 218 | NGC 07814 | J000314.9+160844 | 106.4089 | -45.1745 | 309.0614 | 16.4026 | 1051 | 5 | 2. | 0.20 | 455 | 2.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 255 | UGC00017 | J000343.2+151305 | 106.2149 | -46.0989 | 308.1422 | 16.0958 | 878 | 521 | 9.1 | 0.63 | 8 | 2 |
| 279 | NGC 007817 | J000358.9+204508 | 108.2283 | -40.7605 | 313.8141 | 17.1420 | 2311 | 1970 | 4.1 | 0.26 | 411 | . 620 |
| 77 | UGC00005 | J000653.8+414426 | 114.0896 | -20.3652 | 335.8192 | 19.2667 | 4249 | 3993 | 6.0 |  | 192 | 2.283 |
| 627 | AGC020097 | J000820.9-295455 | 13.9928 | -80.1378 | 264.5900 | 1.9316 | 1497 | 1214 | 4.8 | 0.21 | 158 | 2.200 |
| 6 | NGC 00023 | J000953.4+255526 | 11 | -3 | 319.3855 | 16.7229 | 4568 | 4275 | 1.2 | 0. | 367 | 2 |
| 701 | AGC020114 | J00 | 43.6860 | - | 269.3872 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 5.1 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| 767 | NGC 00021 | J001046.9+332110 | 113.252 | -28.7536 | 327.1050 | 17.6437 | 4770 | 4500 | 4.0 | 0.47 | 382 | 2.627 |
| 924 | UGC00132 | J001400.7+125750 | 108.9883 | -48.8920 | 306.4453 | 13.1609 | 1696 | 1345 | 7.9 | 0.32 | 140 | 2.161 |
| 963 | UG | J00 | 10 | -6 | 292.9641 | 9.5361 | 3971 | 3652 | 5.1 | 0.43 | 287 | 2. |
| 13 | UGC00196 | J002034.2+472603 | 117.5333 | $-15.1136$ | 341.9918 | 17.27 | 5150 | 4942 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 256 | 2.519 |
| 15 | UGC00231 | J002 | 11 | $-45.8820$ | 310.5250 | 6 | 843 | 5 | 5.9 | 0.20 | 4 | 10 |
| 1651 | AGC020302 | J002646.4-334037 | 340.4905 | -81.5678 | 262.2390 | -2.9613 | 1831 | 1572 | 3.9 | 0.35 | 207 | 2.335 |
| 1658 | UGC000256 | J00 | 118.90 | -12.649 | 344.7872 | 16 | 5173 | 4978 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 331 | 2.520 |
| 16 | UGC00 | J00 | 11 | -50 | 305.8528 | 9.7 | 2134 | 1792 | 5.8 | 0. | 254 | 2. |
| 17 | UGC00272 | J002749.7-011200 | 109.629 | -63.4603 | 293.4407 | 6.2 | 3917 | 36 | 6. | 0.40 | 236 | 2.402 |
| 1851 | NGC 000134 | J003021.9-331438 | 338.3093 | -82.3778 | 262.8701 | -3.5542 | 1583 | 1322 | 4.0 | 0.28 | 455 | 2.666 |
| 1921 | UGC00312 | J003123.9+082800 | 114.4661 | -54.0753 | 303.0705 | 7.9214 | 4371 | 4065 | 8.0 | 0.42 | 285 | 2.488 |
| 19 | UGC00320 | J003230.8+023427 | 113.46 | -59.949 | 297.4139 | 6.1096 | 2394 | 2058 | 6 | 0.20 | 149 | 2.173 |
| 1977 | IC0001553 | J003240.1-253627 | 51.763 | -85.5297 | 270.3423 | -1.8628 | 2929 | 2655 | 7.0 | 0. | 256 | 2.410 |
| 205 | AGC020385 | J003415.5-274813 | 21.8940 | -86.1300 | 268.3344 | -2.8241 | 1580 | 1301 | 3. | 0.47 | 317 | 2.548 |
| 207 | IC0001555 | J003432.7-300104 | 354.0143 | -85.2990 | 266.2225 | -3.5025 | 1530 | 1258 | 7.0 | 0.57 | 115 | 2.137 |
| 2142 | IC0001558 | J003546.6-252227 | 58.6007 | -86.0752 | 270.7645 | -2.4699 | 1551 | 1264 | 9.0 | 0.52 | 110 | 2.103 |
| 2437 | AGC400333 | J004035.0-135222 | 111.5732 | -76.5046 | 282.1291 | -0.3496 | 1638 | 1322 | 3.1 | 0.61 | 273 | 2.528 |
| 24 | ES079-005 | J004044.2-632627 | 304.9496 | -53.6455 | 233.6427 | - 12.4376 | 1712 | 1607 | 7.0 | 0.41 | 148 | 2.202 |
| 247 | UGC00438 | J004127.9+252959 | 120.1021 | $-37.3172$ | 320.3882 | 9.6661 | 4555 | 4276 | 5.0 | 0.70 | 346 | 2.675 |
| 2492 | PG0002492 | J004145.5-165142 | 110.1297 | -79.4921 | 279.3344 | $-1.4478$ | 1552 | 1243 | 2.0 | 0.68 | 134 | 2.253 |
| 2526 | AGC020471 | J004214.7-180942 | 109.1648 | -80.7868 | 278.1159 | - 1.9168 | 1554 | 1249 | 6.0 | 0.20 | 187 | 2.272 |
| 269 | UGC00477 | J004613.1+192924 | 121.2400 | -43.3647 | 314.7419 | 7.1900 | 2650 | 2331 | 7.9 | 0.20 | 223 | 2.348 |
| 2747 | UGC00485 | J004708.3+302028 | 121.8318 | -32.5215 | 325.4576 | 9.5158 | 5248 | 4999 | 6.1 | 0.20 | 344 | 2.537 |

$0.21)\left(\log W_{m x}^{i}-2.5\right)$ with a scatter of $0.43 \mathrm{mag}(\sim 22$ per cent in distance). $M_{C_{[3.6]}}$ is the pseudo-magnitude obtained after correction of [3.6] magnitudes by $I-[3.6]$ colours, $M_{C_{[3.6]}}=M_{[3.6]}^{b, i, k, a}+(0.52 \pm$ $0.10)\left[\left(I^{b, i, k}-[3.6]^{b, i, k, a}\right)+0.73\right]$, where $I$-band magnitude have been shifted to the AB system. Resulting distance moduli $\mu$ are then corrected for a tiny bias effect with the addition of the term $0.004(\mu-31)^{2.3}$. Applying this calibration to a set of supernova hosts to obtain a scale for the supernovae, we confirm our Hubble constant estimate $75.2 \pm 3.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$.

Drawing from the Spitzer archive, consistent magnitudes are available for 1935 galaxies that also have suitable Hı linewidth measurements and appropriate morphologies and inclinations for the determination of TFR distances. This new material substantially augments the compilation of distances and derivative peculiar velocities in the Cosmicflows programme. The all-sky uniformity of the satellite photometry mitigates concerns that spatially correlated errors might induce artificial flows and the observations in the mid-infrared negate concerns with reddening even at low galactic latitudes. A parallel programme using mid-infrared data from the WISE complements the present study (Neill et al. 2014). Together, the new distances will make a major contribution to what will become Cosmicflows-3 and further enable reconstructions of local structure (Tully et al. 2014) and constrained simulations of the development of that structure (Sorce et al. 2014).
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## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table 1. Calibrator parameters for the TFR.

Table 6. Distance measurements for 1935 galaxies observed with Spitzer for which we have all the parameters requested (in particular $W_{m x}, b / a$, [3.6] and, for 1511 galaxies, $I$ ) to compute an estimate (http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/mnras/ stu1450/-/DC1).
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