STAR FORMATION QUENCHING IN HIGH-REDSHIFT LARGE-SCALE STRUCTURE: POST-STARBURST GALAXIES IN THE Cl 1604 SUPERCLUSTER AT $z \sim 0.9$

Po-Feng Wu (吳柏鋒)[1], Roy R. Gal[1], Brian C. Lemaux[2], Dale D. Kocevski[3],
Lori M. Lubin[4], Nicholas Rumbaugh[4], and Gordon K. Squires[5]
[1] University of Hawaii, Institute for Astronomy, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, HI 96822, USA
[2] Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, LAM (Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille), UMR 7326, 13388, Marseille, France
[3] University of Kentucky, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Lexington, KY 40506, USA
[4] Department of Physics, University of California-Davis, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA
[5] California Institute of Technology, M/S 220-6, 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

ABSTRACT

The Cl 1604 supercluster at $z \sim 0.9$ is one of the most extensively studied high-redshift large-scale structures, with more than 500 spectroscopically confirmed members. It consists of eight clusters and groups, with members numbering from a dozen to nearly a hundred, providing a broad range of environments for investigating the large-scale environmental effects on galaxy evolution. Here we examine the properties of 48 post-starburst galaxies in Cl 1604, comparing them to other galaxy populations in the same supercluster. Incorporating photometry from ground-based optical and near-infrared imaging, along with *Spitzer* mid-infrared observations, we derive stellar masses for all Cl 1604 members. The colors and stellar masses of the K+A galaxies support the idea that they are progenitors of red sequence galaxies. Their morphologies, residual star formation rates, and spatial distributions suggest that galaxy mergers may be the principal mechanism producing post-starburst galaxies. Interaction between galaxies and the dense intracluster medium (ICM) is also effective, but only in the cores of dynamically evolved clusters. The prevalence of post-starburst galaxies in clusters correlates with the dynamical state of the host cluster, as both galaxy mergers and the dense ICM produce post-starburst galaxies. We also investigate the incompleteness and contamination of K+A samples selected by means of Hδ and [O ii] equivalent widths. K+A samples may be up to $\sim$50% incomplete due to the presence of LINERs/Seyferts, and up to $\sim$30% of K+A galaxies could have substantial star formation activity.

Key words: galaxies: clusters: general – galaxies: evolution

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, large galaxy surveys have greatly enhanced our understanding of galaxy properties. One of the most striking results is the strongly bimodal distribution of galaxy colors (Strateva et al. 2001; Baldry et al. 2004). There are two distinct populations: the so-called red sequence, dominated by galaxies with quiescent star formation and old stellar populations, and the "blue cloud," containing mainly star-forming, morphologically disky galaxies. This bimodal color distribution is found to exist not only locally but out to at least $z \sim 1.5$ as well (Bell et al. 2004; Willmer et al. 2006; Franzetti et al. 2007). This clear separation in color has thus existed for a significant fraction of the universe's age, but the number density in each population is seen to evolve differently. Since $z \sim 1$, the number density of blue galaxies has remained nearly unchanged, while the number density of red galaxies has increased by a factor of 2–4 (Faber et al. 2007). These simple but striking results have immediate important implications for galaxy evolution. First, at least some blue, star-forming galaxies must transform into passive red galaxies, so that the red sequence is built up over time. Second, the transition phase can last for only a short fraction of the life span of a galaxy, so that only a few galaxies are observed in their transition phase. In other words, the star formation rate (SFR) should decline rapidly, via some quenching process, during a galaxy's transition from the blue to the red population.

The natural question is, what causes this quenching? Recently, using the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and zCOSMOS survey, Peng et al. (2010) demonstrated that star formation quenching is controlled by two separable factors: the galaxy stellar mass and the environment, at least out to $z \sim 1$, where galaxies with higher stellar masses and residing in denser regions have a higher likelihood of being quenched. A number of specific quenching mechanisms have been proposed. To create the galaxy stellar mass dependence, one mechanism is shock heating of gas falling into a massive dark matter halo. In halos with masses above $\sim 10^{12}\,M_{\odot}$, infalling cold gas would be shock heated to the virial temperature, preventing the formation of new stars (Dekel & Birnboim 2003). Additionally, active galactic nuclei or supernovae could inject energy to heat up or blow out the gas, further suppressing star formation (Springel et al. 2005; Croton et al. 2006; Kaviraj et al. 2007). Environmentally-dependent mechanisms that can quench star formation also have been proposed. Galaxy mergers and interactions can induce a short period of elevated star formation (Mihos & Hernquist 1994; Barnes & Hernquist 1992), exhausting the available gas and resulting in a rapid decline of the SFR (Snyder et al. 2011). For example, in galaxy clusters, interstellar gas in galaxies may be removed by interaction with the dense intracluster medium (ICM), known as ram pressure stripping (Gunn & Gott 1972; Abadi et al. 1999; Treu et al. 2003).

Direct observations of galaxies that have recently undergone quenching may reveal the quenching mechanisms at work. However, one of the difficulties in studying this quenching, or, in general, galaxy evolution, is that we only see a snapshot of a galaxy in the time domain, and obtaining the true star formation history (SFH) of a galaxy is a nontrivial task. Luckily, post-starburst galaxies provide an opening for such studies,

since their properties indicate a relatively specific time frame when they experienced quenching. These galaxies are selected spectroscopically, exhibiting strong Balmer absorption lines, indicating the presence of A stars and a lack of ionized gas producing Hα or other emission lines, implying no ongoing star formation. This combination of spectral features implies an episode of intense star formation activity roughly a billion years ago that was subsequently quenched, so that the galaxy does not have OB stars that emit ionizing photons but contains a significant population of A stars. This type of spectral feature fades away quickly, within the lifetime of A stars, $\lesssim$1 Gyr. As a result, post-starburst galaxies provide a proxy to assess the physical conditions close to the time that quenching happened, and they have often been suggested to be progenitors of early-type galaxies (Tran et al. 2004; Quintero et al. 2004; Goto 2007a; Ma et al. 2008). Although their name implies that they experienced a starburst, or elevated SFR, before quenching, such a SFH is not necessary to produce strong Balmer absorption lines. As shown by Le Borgne et al. (2006), any rapidly declining SFH can produce "post-starburst"-type spectra. Because a burst is not necessary, Yang et al. (2004) proposed "post-quenching" galaxies as a more appropriate way to describe this spectral type. These galaxies are also called E+A or K+A galaxies, where E indicates a typical early-type galaxy spectrum and K represents a stellar population dominated by K-type stars (Dressler & Gunn 1983; Balogh et al. 1999; Dressler et al. 1999).

Finding post-starburst galaxies is not an easy task. Almost by definition, post-starburst galaxies compose only a small fraction of the whole galaxy population. At $z \sim 0.1$, only $\sim$0.1%–0.2% of galaxies are in their post-starburst phase (Blake et al. 2004; Goto 2005, 2007b; Yan et al. 2009). Even with such a low prevalence in the nearby universe, SDSS, with its enormous spectroscopic sample, has identified more than 1000 post-starburst galaxies (Quintero et al. 2004; Choi et al. 2009). But the situation becomes more difficult at higher redshifts because obtaining spectra of galaxies at ever greater distances incurs ever more observational resources. Nevertheless, surveys at intermediate redshifts have shown that the prevalence of post-starburst galaxies is generally higher ($\sim$1%, Tran et al. 2004; Yan et al. 2009). Even with these projects, relatively few post-starburst galaxies had been found.

Recently, large redshift surveys such as zCOSMOS (Lilly et al. 2007) and DEEP2 (Davis et al. 2003) have obtained thousands of galaxy spectra up to $z \sim 1$. A few dozen post-starburst galaxies were identified from the large number of spectra, and analysis of their mass and environmental dependence beyond the nearby universe becomes possible (Yan et al. 2009; Vergani et al. 2010). Nevertheless, galaxies in these field surveys largely reside in typical (field) environments. High-density regions only compose a small fraction of the total survey volume, so that post-starburst galaxies from these surveys are not indicative of the environmental effects at play in the high-density universe.

On the other hand, a few spectroscopic cluster surveys specifically targeting intermediate- to high-redshift clusters have obtained hundreds to a thousand spectra of cluster galaxies, e.g., ICBS at $0.31 < z < 0.54$ (Oemler et al. 2013), EDisCS at $0.4 < z < 0.8$ (Poggianti et al. 2006), and GCLASS at $0.85 < z < 1.2$ (Muzzin et al. 2012). They provide complementary data on post-starburst galaxies in dense regions of the universe. Generally, post-starburst galaxies are at least a few times more prevalent in clusters than in the field at similar redshifts. The prevalence in these intermediate- to high-redshift galaxy groups and clusters reaches 10% or even higher (Tran

et al. 2003; Poggianti et al. 2009; Muzzin et al. 2012; Dressler et al. 2013), with a trend that denser regions and more massive clusters have higher post-starburst fractions (Poggianti et al. 2009; Dressler et al. 2013), but large variations are seen among systems. Although the prevalence of post-starburst galaxies in high-density regions shows that environmental effects play an important role, it is still unclear what environmentally dependent mechanisms are responsible. Several investigations have been undertaken, but a consensus on which are dominant has not yet been achieved (Poggianti et al. 1999; Tran et al. 2004; Pracy et al. 2005; Ma et al. 2008; Oemler et al. 2009; Snyder et al. 2011; Dressler et al. 2013, to name a few).

In this work, we examine high-redshift large-scale structures, where quenching is actively under way. We focus on post-starburst galaxies in the Cl 1604 supercluster at $z \sim 0.9$ (Gal et al. 2008; Kocevski et al. 2009; Lemaux et al. 2010; Kocevski et al. 2011a; Lemaux et al. 2012; Rumbaugh et al. 2013), the most extensively studied large-scale structure in the Observation of Redshift Evolution in Large Scale Environments (ORELSE) survey (Lubin et al. 2009). This structure spans 13 Mpc $\times$ 100 Mpc and consists of at least eight individual clusters and groups. This field is covered by multiwavelength observations, including *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) imaging. More than 500 galaxies have been spectroscopically confirmed in the whole structure, which is one of the largest samples in any high-density region at similar redshift. For each system, the number of confirmed members ranges from a few to $\sim$100, with velocity dispersions $\sigma_v \simeq 300 \sim 800$ km s^{-1} (Lubin et al. 2000; Gal & Lubin 2004; Gal et al. 2005, 2008; Lemaux et al. 2012). Using post-starburst galaxies as proxies, the broad range of properties allow us to investigate how the large-scale structure affects galaxy evolution and what may be the physical mechanism(s) responsible for producing post-starburst galaxies.

In this paper we will use the terminology of "K+A galaxy" for most of our discussion, as our sample is selected based solely on spectral features. In Section 2 we describe the data used in the paper and the data reduction. In Section 3 we define our K+A sample, cluster, and group membership and derive stellar masses for the galaxies. Properties of K+A galaxies are presented in Section 4. Implications from the results are discussed in Section 5. Throughout this paper we assume a ΛCDM cosmology with $\Omega_M = 0.27$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}. Magnitudes are given in the AB system.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Optical Imaging

Our ground-based optical photometry consists of two pointings of the Large Format Camera (LFC; Simcoe et al. 2000) in Sloan r', i', and z' and two pointings of the Carnegie Observatories Spectroscopic Multislit and Imaging Camera (COSMIC; Kells et al. 1998) in Cousins R and Gunn i filters, both on the Palomar 5 m telescope. The layout of the observations can be found in Figure 4 of Gal et al. (2008). These data were reduced using the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF; Tody 1986) with a set of publicly available routines. The detailed data reduction process is described in Gal et al. (2005). The 5σ limiting magnitudes are 25.2, 24.8, and 23.3 in r', i', and z', respectively. However, we have improved the source detection process from the previous work, as detailed in Section 3.1.

2.2. Near-infrared Imaging

Near-infrared (NIR) *J*- and *K_s*-band images were obtained with the Wide-Field Camera (WFCAM; Casali et al. 2007) on the United Kingdom Infrared Telescope. This camera has four widely separated detectors, and four tiled pointings completely fill in a square with 0°.75 sides. Observations were taken between 2007 and 2010, in ~0″.9 seeing. Images were taken with individual exposure times of 20 s in *J* and 10 s in *K_s*, with microstepping among exposures to recover some of the resolution lost to WFCAM's large pixels. Total integration times per pixel were 1500 s and 1800 s in *J* and *K_s*, respectively. Data were processed by the WFCAM reduction pipeline at the Cambridge Astronomy Survey Unit. The "deepleavestacks" for each pointing were retrieved from the WFCAM science archive and combined using the software task *SWarp* (Bertin et al. 2002).

2.3. HST Imaging

Part of the Cl 1604 field is covered by a 17-pointing *HST* Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) mosaic in the *F*606*W* and *F*814*W* filters. Observations consist of two pointings from GO-9919 (PI: H. C. Ford) with effective exposure times of 4840 s and 15 pointings from GO-11003 (PI: L. M. Lubin) with effective exposure times of 1998 s. The integration time of 1998 s results in 5σ point-source limiting magnitudes of 27.2 and 26.8 mag in *F*606*W* and *F*814*W*, respectively. In terms of physical units, it corresponds to 94.0 $L_\odot$ pc^{-2} (Ascaso et al. 2014). Detailed descriptions of the observations, data reduction, and photometry are provided in Kocevski et al. (2011a). In our study, the *HST* data are used to obtain optical galaxy colors, examine blended sources in LFC images, and determine galaxy morphologies.

2.4. Spitzer IRAC and MIPS Data

The entire Cl 1604 field was imaged by the *Spitzer* Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) at 3.6 and 4.5 μm and by the Multiband Imaging Spectrometer (MIPS) at 24 μm. For the observational program, we refer the reader to Kocevski et al. (2011a). Data were reduced using the standard Spitzer Science Center (SSC) reduction pipeline. For IRAC images, the reduced basic calibrated data (BCD) images were further processed using a modified version of the SWIRE survey pipeline (Surace et al. 2005a) to remove instrumental artifacts. The processed images were co-added using the SSC MOsaicker and Point source EXtractor (MOPEX) version 18.4.9. We created the Fiducial Image Frame using both IRAC channels, resulting in a unified astrometric reference for both channels. The permanently damaged pixels of the detector and bad pixels in each BCD were masked out when co-adding. The pixel scale was set to 0″.6 pixel^{-1}.

The MIPS data reduction is described in Kocevski et al. (2011a). With a total exposure time of 1200 s pixel^{-1}, we achieved a 3σ flux limit of 40 μJy. Following the recipe and the synthetic spectra of Chary & Elbaz (2001) and Dale & Helou (2002), we calculated the total IR luminosity ($L_{\rm TIR}$; 8–1000 μm) of each 24 μm source. The SFR can be directly obtained from $L_{\rm TIR}$ by the $L_{\rm TIR}$–SFR relation from Kennicutt (1998). Our 40 μJy flux limit corresponds to an $L_{\rm TIR}$ of 3×10^{10} $L_\odot$ at $z = 0.9$ and an SFR of 5.2 $M_\odot$ yr^{-1} (Kocevski et al. 2011a).

2.5. Optical Spectroscopy

The spectroscopic data were obtained using the Low-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS; Oke et al. 1995) and DEep Imaging Multi-Object Spectrograph (DEIMOS; Faber et al. 2003) on the Keck 10 m telescopes.

The early LRIS campaign targeted galaxies in the vicinity of two of the constituent clusters, Cl 1604+4304 and Cl 1604+4321 (see Oke et al. 1995; Gal & Lubin 2004, for further details), down to $i' < 23$. Data were taken using the 400 line mm^{-1} grating blazed at 8500 Å, with a central wavelength of 7000 Å. This setup provides spectral coverage from 5500 to 9500 Å, with a resolution of ~7.8 Å. The LRIS data were processed using standard IRAF tasks and scripts.

The bulk of the redshifts used in this study come from observation of 18 slitmasks with DEIMOS taken between 2003 May and 2010 June. The first 12 slitmasks targeted mainly galaxies with $20.5 \leqslant i' \leqslant 24$, with higher priority given to red galaxies based on their $r'-i'$ and $i'-z'$ colors. The remaining six slitmasks were designed to obtain a magnitude-limited sample to a depth of $F814W \sim 23.5$ across a $16.7 \times 5'$ subsection of the field running roughly north to south covering clusters Cl 1604+4304 and Cl 1604+4321 (hereafter clusters B and D, adopting the naming convention of Gal et al. 2008).

All DEIMOS slitmasks were observed with the 1200 line mm^{-1} grating with an FWHM resolution of ~1.7 Å (68 km s^{-1}) and a typical wavelength coverage of 6385–9015 Å. The exposure frames for each DEIMOS slitmask were combined using a modified version of the DEEP2 *spec2d* package (Davis et al. 2003; Newman et al. 2013). This package combines the individual exposures for each mask and performs wavelength calibration, cosmic-ray removal, and sky subtraction on a slit-by-slit basis, generating a processed two-dimensional spectrum for each slit. The *spec2d* pipeline also generates a processed one-dimensional spectrum for each slit.

In this work, we use objects with high-quality redshifts ($Q \geqslant 3$), measured from at least one secure feature and one marginally detected feature. We adopted the redshift range of the Cl 1604 supercluster to be $0.84 < z < 0.96$. Our spectroscopic catalog contains 2445 objects, of which 531 are supercluster members with $Q \geqslant 3$.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Photometry

We first created a unified astrometric reference frame using *SWarp*, spanning the spatial extent of the ground-based and IRAC images. All of the ground-based images were then resampled onto this common astrometric system with a new pixel scale of 0″.174 pixel^{-1}, the smallest pixel scale of all the images. Regions with no data were filled with zeroes, and a flag map for each image was created to indicate regions of no data or bad pixels. We then co-added the resampled versions of the WFCAM *J* and *K*, LFC *r'*, *i'*, and *z'*, and COSMIC *R* and *i'* pointings to produce an ultradeep optical–NIR detection image. Photometry was performed by running SExtractor in dual-image mode, using the ultradeep image as the detection map, from which objects were detected and photometric apertures were determined. We then applied the same photometric apertures to each single-band resampled image. Using the deep stacked images as the detection map has three merits. First, the combined images provide significantly improved detection ability for faint objects compared to each single-band image. Second, this procedure ensures that photometry in each band is performed using apertures of the same size. Finally, the catalog produced in each band has, by construction, the same sources, so they are trivial to combine.

The LFC r', i', z' and COSMIC R and i' data were photometrically calibrated using SDSS. We refer the reader to Gal et al. (2008) for further details of the calibration process. For regions covered by overlapping pointings in the same filter, we use the measurement with lowest photometric error for our combined catalog. The WFCAM J and K data were calibrated using the same procedure but with photometry defined by the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006), and all magnitudes were converted to the *AB* system using $J_{AB} = J_{2MASS} + 0.89384$, $K_{AB} = K_{2MASS} + 1.84024$. The final catalog was corrected for Galactic reddening using the dust map from Schlegel et al. (1998).

Because the point-spread function of IRAC is significantly different from the ground-based images, we made a separate deep IRAC detection image by stacking the resampled IRAC ch1 and ch2 images and co-adding them. The photometry in the two IRAC bands was performed in a similar manner as for the ground-based images. The IRAC deep stacked image was used for object detection. We used a fixed aperture of $1\farcs9$ for measurement, which is recommended by the SWIRE survey, and applied aperture corrections to account for the finite aperture size (Surace et al. 2005b). MOPEX outputs IRAC images with flux densities in MJy sr^{-1}, which we convert to fluxes in μJy using

$$1\ \mathrm{MJy\ sr^{-1}} = 8.46152\ \mu\mathrm{Jy} \qquad (1)$$

and from μJy to AB magnitude with

$$M_{AB} = -2.5\log(\mu\mathrm{Jy}) + 23.9. \qquad (2)$$

Finally, we produced our master catalog by cross-matching the ground-based and IRAC catalog using simple nearest-neighbor matching. The offset limit was set to $1\farcs5$ between the two catalogs.

3.2. Galaxy Stellar Masses from SED Fitting

We derived galaxy stellar masses for the full Cl 1604 spectroscopic sample using the *Le Phare* code (Arnouts et al. 1999; Ilbert et al. 2006), based on χ^2 fitting analysis of the spectral energy distributions (SEDs). The SED templates were generated with the stellar population synthesis package developed by Bruzual & Charlot (2003). We adopted an exponentially decreasing SFH, SFH $\propto e^{-t/\tau}$, with nine different values of decaying timescale τ, ranging from $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr to $\tau = 30$ Gyr. Three different metallicities, $0.2\ Z_\odot$, $0.4\ Z_\odot$, and $Z_\odot$, were used. We used a Calzetti et al. (2000) extinction law with $E(B-V) = 0$, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5.

We included photometry from r', i', z', J, K_s, and *Spitzer* 3.6 μm and 4.5 μm, giving seven total bands for our SED fitting. We required an object to be detected in at least four bands for SED fitting. The *HST* ACS has significantly better angular resolution than ground-based telescopes and *Spitzer*, and the photometric apertures in $F606W$ and $F814W$ are much smaller than those in other bands. Therefore, these two bands were not used for our SED fitting. A total of 525 objects were included in our analysis.

The SED fitting was performed in two steps. First, all available ground-based and *Spitzer* channel 1 and 2 photometric measurements for each object were used for fitting. After the initial fit, we rejected a photometric data point if it contributed more than 25% of the total χ^2 for that particular fit and deviated more than 10σ from the model, and we repeated the fit a second time with the remaining bands. This method assures

Figure 1. Mass error as a function of galaxy stellar mass from SED fits. Galaxies detected in all seven bands are shown as filled circles, while those detected in less than seven bands but in at least four bands are shown in open circles. The dashed line indicates the median mass uncertainty at a given stellar mass.

that our SED fits are not affected by catastrophic mismeasured photometry with incorrectly measured small errors.

The uncertainty in the stellar mass of each galaxy is estimated by running 1000 Monte Carlo trials wherein the magnitude in each band is offset by a Gaussian random error, with the width of the Gaussian based on the measured photometric error of that object in that band. The standard deviation of stellar masses of 1000 trials is taken to be the stellar mass uncertainty. Figure 1 plots the mass uncertainty versus stellar mass. Filled and open circles are objects detected in all seven bands (r', i', z', J, K_s, 3.6 μm, 4.5 μm) and less than seven bands, respectively. First, we find that, for a given mass, whether or not an object is detected in all seven bands does not strongly affect the derived mass uncertainty. On the other hand, the mass uncertainty is mass dependent. For objects with stellar mass $<10^{10}\ M_\odot$, the error increases significantly. The median and average $\Delta\log(M/M_\odot)$ are 0.23 and 0.33, while for massive objects ($M > 10^{10}\ M_\odot$) they are 0.14 and 0.13, respectively. This is due to the less massive galaxies also being less luminous in the K_s, 3.6 μm, and 4.5 μm bands and thus having correspondingly larger photometric errors.

Due to the typically poorer seeing in our imaging data compared to the spectroscopy, some of the spectroscopically identified galaxies are blended in ground-based images. Normally, this blending prevents us from obtaining correct photometry for these galaxies, and thus we cannot measure their stellar masses. Such objects were excluded from our analysis unless we could ensure that the blending did not significantly affect the photometry. First, if the object is separable in our *HST* imaging, and our source of interest is brighter than the contaminating blended source by more than 2 mag in both $F606W$ and $F814W$, we include it in our catalog. Second, if an object in the redshift range of interest blends with a background high-redshift ($z > 4$) spectroscopically identified object, we considered the effect of blending to be insignificant (see Lemaux et al. 2009, for an estimate of limiting magnitudes of high-redshift galaxies in the Cl 1604 field). After rejecting blended objects, we obtain stellar masses for 489 galaxies with $0.84 < z < 0.96$.

3.3. K+A Sample Selection

Regardless of the physical trigger(s) that result(s) in a post-starburst galaxy, there are two basic components: (1) a significant star formation period in the recent past, followed by (2) no ongoing star formation. Practically, the [O II] $\lambda 3727$

doublet emission line is often used as an indicator of ongoing star formation, and the Hδ absorption feature as a proxy for the presence of A-type stars (e.g., Poggianti et al. 1999; Dressler et al. 1999; Oemler et al. 2009; Vergani et al. 2010). If one uses these spectroscopic diagnostics, a galaxy in its post-starburst phase should have no or little [O II] emission due to the lack of H II regions that are forming O- and B-type stars, but have strong Hδ absorption from the dominant A-type star population born in the recent past.

While [O II] and Hδ are usually used to select post-starburst samples, other diagnostics also have been adopted. For example, Blake et al. (2004) suggest using a combination of Hδ, Hγ, and Hβ equivalent widths (EWs). A sample selected in this way can reduce contamination from dust-obscured star-forming galaxies, in which the [O II] emission is highly attenuated. Instead of using Balmer line EWs, Quintero et al. (2004), Yan et al. (2006), and Yan et al. (2009) decomposed galaxy spectra into young and old components, representing A- and K-type stars, respectively, and then used their ratio to indicate the relative stellar populations.

We adopt the conventional criteria using limits on [O II] emission and Hδ absorption EWs to be consistent with the majority of the literature in selection methodology. In the literature, various EW cuts have been adopted, depending on both data quality and the desired contamination level. For example, Dressler et al. (1999) and Poggianti et al. (1999) considered EW([O II]) > -5 Å as "absent," Vergani et al. (2010) adopted EW([O II]) > -3 Å for the zCOSMOS survey, and Goto (2005) applied a more stringent cut of EW([O II]) > -2.5 Å for SDSS. This inconsistency among studies leads to difficulty in comparing results. In this paper we define two samples, both with EW(Hδ) > 3 Å, but with different EW([O II]) limits, to examine the effects of different selection criteria. The O5 sample consists of galaxies satisfying the EW(Hδ) limit and with EW([O II]) > -5 Å. The more stringent O3 sample contains galaxies meeting the EW(Hδ) limit and with EW([O II]) > -3 Å. For discussion purposes, we further define an intermediate O35 sample as galaxies belonging to the O5 sample but not the O3 sample, i.e., galaxies with EW(Hδ) > 3 Å and -5 Å $<$ EW([O II]) < -3 Å. Errors in the EW measurement of our K+A samples are typically 1–3 Å. We will discuss this effect on sample selection in Appendix B. Out of 489 galaxies with stellar masses, 48 galaxies belong to the O5 sample and 31 galaxies belong to the O3 sample. In this paper, if not further specified, the term "K+A galaxy" refers to the larger O5 sample.

We estimate the SFR corresponding to our adopted EW([O II]) limit using the conversion between [O II] luminosity, L([O II]), and SFR of Kewley et al. (2004). To convert EW([O II]) to L([O II]), we use the i'-band magnitude to estimate the continuum as it covers the wavelength of [O II] emission at $z \sim 0.9$. For a galaxy at $z = 0.9$ (the median redshift of the Cl 1604 supercluster), $i' = 22.2$ (the median i' magnitude of the O5 sample), and assuming $E(B - V) = 0.3$ (Lemaux et al. 2012), EW([O II]) $= -5$ Å and -3 Å correspond to SFR of $\sim 1.3\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ and $\sim 0.8\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, respectively.

3.4. Cluster and Group Membership

To examine the large-scale environmental effects on K+A galaxies, we attempt to assign each spectroscopically confirmed member to one of the eight member clusters and groups in the Cl 1604 supercluster, using both the galaxy's position and velocity offset relative to the central position and redshift of each cluster/group. We associated a galaxy with a specific cluster or group if (1) its velocity offset from the systemic velocity of the cluster

Figure 2. Spatial distribution of K+A galaxies in the Cl 1604 supercluster. Each dot represents a member of the Cl 1604 supercluster. Black dots are cluster or group members, while gray dots are superfield members. Squares (red), triangles (blue), and inverted triangles (magenta) indicate K+A galaxies in clusters, groups, and the superfield, respectively. Each dashed circle is centered on a cluster or group, with a radius of 2 $R_{\rm vir}$ of the corresponding system. Most K+A galaxies in clusters (A, B, and D) are located within 1 $R_{\rm vir}$ of their parent systems. As for group K+A galaxies, they are more evenly distributed.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

or group is $\delta_v < \pm 3\sigma_v$, where σ_v is the velocity dispersion of the system, and (2) its projected distance to the cluster or group center $r_{\rm proj} \leqslant 2\ R_{\rm vir}$, where $R_{\rm vir}$ is the virial radius.

We determined $R_{\rm vir}$ of each cluster or group using the relation $R_{\rm vir} = R_{200}/1.14$ (Biviano et al. 2006; Poggianti et al. 2009), where R_{200} is the radius at which the mean density of a cluster or group is equal to 200 times the critical density of the universe at the corresponding redshift. R_{200} is calculated by

$$R_{200} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\sigma_v}{10 H(z)}, \qquad (3)$$

where $H(z)$ is the Hubble parameter at redshift z.

The spatial distribution of galaxies in the Cl 1604 supercluster is shown in Figure 2. Each black dot represents a galaxy associated with a specific group or cluster, while gray dots are superfield members. Dashed circles indicate a projected distance of 2 $R_{\rm vir}$ from the center of each cluster/group. Squares, stars, and crosses represent cluster, group, and superfield K+A galaxies, respectively. Table 1 summarizes the properties of each cluster and group, including the R.A., decl., redshift, velocity dispersion, virial radius, total number of members, and number of members in the O5 and O3 K+A samples (Gal et al. 2008; Lemaux et al. 2012; Rumbaugh et al. 2013). In total, we have 489 galaxies with reliable stellar masses and [O II] and Hδ EWs. We associated 297 galaxies in the field with a specific cluster or group in the Cl 1604 complex. The remaining 192 galaxies fall within the redshift range of the supercluster but are found in filamentary structures near clusters or groups (Gal et al. 2008; Lemaux et al. 2012). We refer to these galaxies as the *superfield* sample hereafter.

Table 1
Properties of the Cl 1604 Galaxy Clusters and Groups

Name	α_{J2000}	δ_{J2000}	$\bar{z}$	σ_v (km s^{-1})	$R_{\rm vir}$ (h_{70}^{-1} Mpc)	N	N_{O5}	N_{O3}
Cluster A	241.09311	43.0821	0.8984	722.4 ± 134.5	0.98 ± 0.18	36	10	8
Cluster B	241.10796	43.2397	0.8648	818.4 ± 74.2	1.13 ± 0.01	82	11	8
Group C	241.03142	43.2679	0.9344	453.5 ± 39.6	0.60 ± 0.05	24	4	3
Cluster D	241.14094	43.3539	0.9227	688.2 ± 88.1	0.92 ± 0.12	91	2	2
Group F	241.20104	43.3684	0.9331	541.9 ± 110.0	0.72 ± 0.15	25	2	1
Group G	240.92745	43.4030	0.9019	539.3 ± 124.0	0.73 ± 0.17	23	4	2
Group H	240.89890	43.3669	0.8528	287.0 ± 68.3	0.40 ± 0.10	10	4	2
Group I	240.79746	43.3915	0.9024	333.0 ± 129.4	0.45 ± 0.13	6	1	0
Superfield						192	10	5
Total						489	48	31

3.5. Spectral Measurements

3.5.1. Equivalent Widths and $D_n(4000)$

EWs of the [O II] and Hδ features of each galaxy were measured using the bandpass method following Lemaux et al. (2010). The bandpass measurements were performed by defining two "continuum" bandpasses, slightly blueward and redward of the spectral feature, which are used to estimate the stellar continuum across the emission feature. An additional "feature" bandpass is defined to encompass the spectral line. Any pixels with large variance values were removed from the continuum bandpasses. We do not remove similar pixels in the feature bandpass. The EW is defined as

$$\text{EW(\AA)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{F_i - C_i}{C_i} \Delta\lambda_{r,i}, \qquad (4)$$

where F_i is the flux in the ith pixel in the feature bandpass, C_i is the continuum flux in the ith pixel over the same bandpass, and $\Delta\lambda_{r,i}$ is the rest-frame pixel scale of the spectrum (in Å pixel^{-1}). Uncertainties in the EW were derived using a combination of Poisson errors on the spectral feature and the covariance matrix of the linear continuum fit and are given by (Bohlin et al. 1983)

$$\sigma_{\rm EW}(\text{\AA}) = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\sigma_{F,i} \Delta\lambda_i}{C_i} \right)^2 + \left(\sigma_C \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{F_i \Delta\lambda_i}{C_i^2} \right)^2}. \qquad (5)$$

We adopt the bandpasses for [O II] and Hδ in Fisher et al. (1998), with modification by eye for each galaxy spectrum to avoid poorly subtracted airglow lines and to avoid contaminating features near the spectral lines of interest. A handful of galaxies were observed twice by DEIMOS in the survey campaign, with EW([O II]) measurable for six such galaxies while EW(Hδ) can be measured in three galaxies. For each galaxy, we measured the EWs and the uncertainties of each spectral line for both observations. We compare the difference of EW of the same spectral line measured from the two observations, ΔEW, to the EW uncertainty, $\sigma_{\rm EW}$. From the nine total measurements, we find that the median value of ΔEW/$\sigma_{\rm EW}$ is ~1, suggesting that the EW uncertainty in Equation (5) is a fairly good description of the actual EW uncertainty.

We also measure EW(Hδ) and the $D_n(4000)$ spectral indices of the composite spectra of our K+A samples to examine their average properties (see Section 3.5.2). The $D_n(4000)$ is measured using the ratio of the blue (3850–3950 Å) and red (4000-4100) continua as defined by Balogh et al. (1999).

Mean flux density values are calculated from the σ-clipped spectrum of each region, with the $D_n(4000)$ index defined as $D_n(4000) = \langle F_{\lambda,r} \rangle / \langle F_{\lambda,b} \rangle$. Errors on the $D_n(4000)$ index are calculated from the variance spectrum in each region, again using σ-clipping to avoid regions of poor night-sky subtraction or regions that fell within in the 10 Å CCD chip gap.

3.5.2. Composite Spectra

We generated composite spectra of K+A samples to examine their average properties. We generated composite spectra for 24 μm detected and undetected K+A galaxies separately because they may have distinct properties, as suggested by the SFRs implied by their IR luminosities.

We made the composite spectra following the method of Lemaux et al. (2009). Each spectrum was first normalized by the galaxy's total spectral flux prior to stacking and then co-added using variance weighting (see Lemaux et al. 2009). We measured EW(Hδ), $D_n(4000)$, and EW([O II]) in each composite spectrum, and these are provided in Table 2.

Because $D_n(4000)$ is not impervious to dust, the $D_n(4000)$ of 24 μm detected galaxies must be corrected for dust attenuation. For example, the $D_n(4000)$ of a galaxy with a 0.5 Gyr simple stellar population and $E(B-V) = 0.5$ would be ~0.1 larger than a galaxy with the same stellar population but no dust (MacArthur 2005). To account for this effect, we estimate the average $E(B-V)$ by comparing the SFR derived from [O II] and $L_{\rm TIR}$ (Lemaux et al. 2013) by

$$\langle E(B-V) \rangle = \frac{2.5 \log\left(\frac{\text{SFR}(\langle L_{\rm TIR} \rangle)}{\text{SFR}(\langle L_{\rm [O II]} \rangle)} \right)}{k'_{\rm [O II]}}, \qquad (6)$$

where $k'_{\rm [O II]}$ is the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening curve evaluated at the wavelength of [O II].

We list the estimated $\langle E(B-V) \rangle$ in Table 2. Given the $E(B-V)$ we derived, the dereddened $D_n(4000)$ of 24 μm detected galaxies would be up to ~0.15 and ~0.10 smaller than the measured values for the O5 and O3 samples, respectively. For 24 μm undetected galaxies, the $\langle E(B-V) \rangle$ cannot be derived in this way. We calculate an upper limit, using $\langle L_{\rm TIR} \rangle < 3 \times 10^{10} L_\odot$. On the other hand, if we take the median $E(B-V)$ from the result of SED fitting, $E(B-V) = 0.1$, the effect is ~0.02 (MacArthur 2005).

3.6. Completeness Correction

To determine the true fraction of K+A galaxies, we estimated the spectroscopic incompleteness as a function of color and magnitude in each system in the Cl 1604, for galaxies

Figure 3. Spectroscopic completeness as a function of magnitude and color, environment, and distance to the cluster center. (a) Completeness as a function of $F814W$ magnitude in each 0.5 mag $F606W - F814W$ color bin. (b) Completeness as a function of $F814W$ magnitude, separated by environment. (c) Completeness as a function of color, separated by environment. (d) Completeness at different virial radii in clusters and groups. The three clusters are plotted separately, as well as a cluster composite.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 2
Spectral Indices of Composite Spectra of K+A Galaxies

Sample	EW(Hδ) (Å)	$D_n(4000)$[a]	EW([O II]) (Å)	$\langle L_{TIR} \rangle$ ($10^{10} L_\odot$)	$\langle E(B - V) \rangle$
O5 non-IR	4.12 ± 0.17	1.594 ± 0.007	-0.61 ± 0.20	<3.0	<0.62
O5 IR	5.27 ± 0.19	1.406 ± 0.006	-3.41 ± 0.20	21.5	0.79
O3 non-IR	3.86 ± 0.18	1.610 ± 0.008	-0.41 ± 0.21	<3.0	<0.50
O3 IR	6.22 ± 0.33	1.454 ± 0.009	-1.48 ± 0.35	12.4	0.57

Note. [a] Not corrected for extinction. See Section 3.5.2 for details.

with $F814W \leqslant 24$, or $i' \leqslant 24$ in regions not covered by ACS. For each system, we first plotted the color–magnitude diagrams (CMDs) of ACS photometric sources and all spectroscopic samples with ACS imaging in projection on the sky. The CMD was then separated into bins of 0.5 mag in color ($F606W - F814W$) and 1 mag in magnitude ($F814W$) over the color range $0 \leqslant F606W - F814W \leqslant 2.5$ and the magnitude range $20 \leqslant F814W \leqslant 24$. The completeness was calculated for each color–magnitude bin for a given system by dividing the number of objects with high-quality redshifts by the number of photometric sources. Figure 3 shows the spectroscopic completeness dependence on magnitude, color, and host system. Also in Figure 3 we plot the radial dependence of the spectroscopic completeness in each cluster and the group composite. The completeness depends more strongly on a galaxy's location in color–magnitude space than on its distance to the host system center. Therefore, we compute the color–magnitude-dependent completeness in each system as a whole but do not further divide each system into smaller regions, resulting in more robust statistics for the dependence on color and magnitude.

Assuming that galaxies in the same color–magnitude bin have similar physical properties, that is, our spectroscopic samples are representative in each color–magnitude bin, the number of members in each color–magnitude bin was then corrected for incompleteness, and summing over all bins gave the estimate total number of members with ACS coverage in each system. However, the ACS imaging does not cover the full extent of the spectroscopically mapped areas of the supercluster. Therefore, to obtain a full estimate of the cluster membership, we must further correct for this incomplete ACS coverage. We apply a multiplicative factor to the ACS-based galaxy numbers, which is computed as the ratio of the total number of spectroscopic members to those with ACS coverage ($N_{spec}/N_{spec+ACS}$) within each structure. This correction factor is simply the inverse of the ACS sampling fraction in the region of each system. The K+A

population was corrected in a similar manner. We first calculated the fraction of K+A galaxies in each color–magnitude bin. The number of K+A galaxies missed by our incomplete spectroscopy is then the number of missed system members multiplied by the K+A fraction in each bin.

Table 3 lists the number of galaxies in each sample, as well as the completeness-corrected and uncorrected K+A fractions. The completeness-corrected K+A fraction in each system is plotted in Figure 4. We also show the number and fraction of only 24 μm undetected K+A galaxies in Table 3 and Figure 4. In this paper, all discussions of the K+A fractions will use the completeness-corrected values.

3.7. Defining the Red Sequence

We differentiated red and blue galaxies in the Cl 1604 supercluster by using the *HST* ACS CMDs. The $F606W$ and $F814W$ passbands are effectively rest-frame U and B bands at $z \sim 0.9$. The red sequence in each constituent system is determined by minimizing the χ^2 of colors and magnitudes of member galaxies within a certain range to a linear model of the form

$$F606W - F814W = y0 + m \times F814W. \qquad (7)$$

The detailed process is described in Lemaux et al. (2012). Because of the small number of galaxies in each group, we combined all five groups into a composite. Table 4 summarizes the parameters of the red sequence fits for each system. For clusters, the red sequence width is defined by $\pm 3\sigma$, while for the group composite and field samples, $\pm 2\sigma$ is adopted because heterogeneous subsamples are included across a variety of redshifts, resulting in a larger color dispersion.

3.8. Morphology

For galaxies covered by our ACS imaging, their morphologies were visually classified by one of the authors (L.M.L.). First,

Table 3
Prevalence of K+A Galaxies

System	N	$O5$	$O3$	$O5\text{-}IR$	$O3\text{-}IR$	f_{O5}^{uncorr}	f_{O3}^{uncorr}	f_{O5-IR}^{uncorr}	f_{O5-IR}^{uncorr}	f_{O5}^{corr}	f_{O3}^{corr}	f_{O5-IR}^{corr}	f_{O5-IR}^{corr}
Cluster A	34	10	8	8	6	0.29	0.24	0.24	0.18	0.19	0.13	0.11	0.09
Cluster B	73	11	8	7	6	0.15	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.06	0.05
Cluster D	80	2	2	1	1	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02
Groups	82	15	8	10	7	0.18	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.03
Superfield	164	10	7	7	5	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01
All Galaxies	437	48	33	33	25	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.02

Notes. Only galaxies with $F814W < 24$, or $i' < 24$ for those not covered by ACS, are included. The K+A fractions after completeness correction are derived as described in the text. Columns with "$-IR$" indicate K+A galaxies not detected at 24 μm.

Figure 4. Completeness-corrected K+A prevalence in the Cl 1604 systems. The upper panel shows all K+A galaxies, and the lower panel shows only 24 μm undetected K+A galaxies. Squares (red) and circles (blue) are the O5 and O3 samples, respectively. The K+A prevalence correlates with dynamical stage of the cluster. The more evolved Cluster A has the highest K+A fraction, while dynamically young Cluster D has a low K+A fraction, comparable to that of the superfield.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

each galaxy is classified as either early-type (E or S0) or late-type (Sa through Sd and irregular). Second, galaxies showing tidal or disturbed features or ongoing mergers are further labeled as interacting. To estimate the reliability of our visual classification, a random subset of 150 supercluster members were presented to two of the authors (L.M.L. and R.R.G.) for classification. The result was then compared to the original classification. This process tests the consistency of visual classification from single observer and variation among different classifiers. We estimate that roughly 5%–10% of our sample may be morphological misclassified (Lemaux et al. 2012).

We present thumbnail images of the O3 and O35 samples in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. Table 5 summarizes the statistics of the visual morphological classifications. Numbers in parentheses indicate how many galaxies in each sample are also detected at 24 μm. For both the more stringent O3 sample and the less restrictive O5 sample, K+A galaxies have heterogeneous

Table 4
Red Sequence Fitting Parameters

Name	Intercept	Slope	1σ Width
Cluster A	2.20	-0.020	0.046
Cluster B	3.24	-0.065	0.048
Cluster D	3.21	-0.062	0.045
Groups	2.95	-0.051	0.076
Superfields	3.18	-0.063	0.091

morphologies. They are predominantly early-type galaxies and not interacting or merging with another galaxy, but late-type or interacting systems also constitute a non-negligible portion. We will discuss the morphological heterogeneity in Section 5.2.

## 4. PROPERTIES OF K+A GALAXIES IN THE CL 1604

4.1. Mass and Color

Figure 7 shows the CMD and color–stellar mass diagram of each cluster, the group composite, and the superfield in the Cl 1604 supercluster. K+A galaxies are labeled with open symbols. In the CMDs, the red sequence is shown by the dashed lines. In Figure 8 we plot the completeness-corrected color and stellar mass distributions of red, blue, and K+A galaxies, respectively. Each galaxy is weighted by the inverse of the completeness calculated in Section 3.6. For the stellar mass distribution, we only consider galaxies with $\log(M/M_\odot) \geqslant 10$ for two reasons. First, the uncertainty in stellar mass from SED fitting increases significantly below $\log(M/M_\odot) = 10$ (Figure 1). Second, for a passive galaxy with a near-instantaneous burst ($\tau = 0.1$ Gyr) SFH, solar metallicity, and formation redshift $z_f = 3$, $F814W = 24$ (the magnitude limit down to which we correct for the completeness) roughly corresponds to $\log(M/M_\odot) = 10$. This result means that red galaxies with $\log(M/M_\odot) < 10$ are excluded by the magnitude cut. Therefore, when examining stellar mass distributions, we only discuss galaxies more massive than $\log(M/M_\odot) = 10$.

We see that the majority of K+A galaxies lie in the red sequence, with a minority in the blue cloud. Those K+A galaxies with bluest colors are in the O5 sample, possibly due to higher residual SFRs. The median $F606W - F814W$ color of all K+A galaxies is 1.74, similar to the red sequence (1.79) and distinct from that of blue cloud galaxies (1.27) for galaxies with $\log(M/M_\odot) \geqslant 10$. K+A galaxies occupy the massive end of the mass spectrum, comparable to red sequence galaxies. The massive nature of these K+A galaxies is consistent with those in the field at moderate to high redshifts (Vergani et al. 2010). While Vergani et al. (2010) argued that K+A galaxies with $0.48 < z < 1.2$ in the COSMOS field are on average more massive than red quiescent galaxies, we cannot distinguish the

Figure 5. Thumbnail images for the O3 sample. The color components are $F606W$ and $F814W$ for blue and red, respectively, while green is represented by a weighted average of the two. Each image is $3''$ across, equivalent to ~ 23 kpc at the redshift of Cl 1604. Galaxies detected at $24\,\mu$m are indicated in the images.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 6. Color thumbnail images for the O35 sample.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 7. (a) Color–magnitude diagrams for galaxies in each cluster, the group composite, and the superfield. The red sequence in each structure is indicated by the dashed lines. Each gray point represents a galaxy within the system. K+A galaxies are shown as squares (red), triangles (blue), and inverse triangles (magenta) for clusters, groups, and the superfield, respectively. The vertical dashed line at $F814W = 24$ represents the magnitude limit used in this paper. Only galaxies with $F814W < 24$ are included in discussion. (b) Color–stellar mass diagrams in each environment. Symbols are the same as in (a). The vertical dashed line at $\log(M/M_\odot) = 10$ labels our completeness limit on red sequence galaxies (see text).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 8. Distribution of stellar masses and colors of red, blue, and K+A galaxies. The upper panels show the histograms. Red and blue galaxies are represented by dash-dotted (red) and dash-dot-dotted (blue) lines. The solid lines (black) indicate the O5 sample, while the O3 sample is shown by the gray shaded regions. Arrows indicate the median stellar mass of each sample. The lower panels show the cumulative fraction of each sample, with gray dashed lines representing the O3 sample.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 5
Morphologies of K+A Galaxies

Sample	O3			O35			O5			All Galaxies with *HST*		
	Early	Late	All	Early	Late	All	Early	Late	All	Early	Late	All
Interaction	0 (0)	4 (3)	4 (3)	4 (4)	5 (2)	9 (6)	4 (4)	9 (5)	13 (9)	20 (9)	68 (32)	88 (41)
Non-inter	21 (2)	1 (1)	22 (3)	5 (2)	3 (1)	8 (3)	26 (4)	4 (2)	30 (6)	171 (28)	148 (53)	319 (81)
All	21 (2)	5 (4)	26 (6)	9 (6)	8 (3)	17 (9)	30 (8)	13 (7)	43 (15)	191 (37)	216 (85)	407 (122)

Notes. The numbers are different from those of Table 1 because the *HST* ACS imaging does not cover the whole spectroscopic sample. Numbers of galaxies detected at 24 μm are shown in parentheses.

Figure 9. Cumulative projected radial distributions of different types of galaxies as a function of virial radius. The projected distance is first normalized by the virial radius of each cluster or group and then combined. In clusters, K+A galaxies are centrally concentrated, even more than red sequence galaxies. Group K+A galaxies, on the other hand, are evenly distributed.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

masses of K+A galaxies from red sequence galaxies in Cl 1604. The median stellar masses of red sequence galaxies and K+A galaxies are $\log(M/M_\odot) = 10.54$ (10.49 for the O3 sample) and $\log(M/M_\odot) = 10.52$, respectively. Given the uncertainty of our stellar mass estimate, $\Delta \log(M/M_\odot) = 0.14$, the difference is insignificant. The similar colors and masses of K+A and red sequence galaxies suggest that, at least in high-density regimes, K+A galaxies are likely the progenitors of some red sequence galaxies, or vice versa.

On the other hand, blue-cloud galaxies are less massive than K+A galaxies, with a median stellar mass of $\log(M/M_\odot) = 10.35$ and the number counts increasing to lower mass. The difference between the median stellar masses of K+A galaxies and blue-cloud galaxies is $\sim 10^{10}\ M_\odot$, about 50% of the stellar mass of an "average" blue-cloud galaxy. In our sample, a K+A galaxy has an SFR less than $1\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. Assuming that the SFR of an "average" blue-cloud galaxy drops to that of a K+A galaxy immediately and retains SFR $\sim 1\ M_\odot \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ for 1 Gyr, the stellar mass only increases by $\sim 10^9\ M_\odot$ and thus cannot reach the mass of an "average" K+A galaxy passively. This result suggests that, for an average blue-cloud galaxy turning into a K+A galaxy, there should be an epoch of rapid mass assembly before the star formation shuts down. Further discussion will be presented in Section 5.2

4.2. The Environment

The Cl 1604 supercluster contains galaxies in a variety of clusters and groups, with velocity dispersions ranging from ~ 300 to $800\ \mathrm{km\ s}^{-1}$, as well as those not belonging to any bound structure, providing a variety of environments all at similar redshifts. The prevalence of K+A galaxies ranges from 4% in the superfield to 19% in Cluster A. Even the three clusters, which have similar velocity dispersions and thus possibly similar mass, do not have similar K+A fractions. This variation among individual clusters has been shown in several previous studies (Dressler et al. 1999; Tran et al. 2003; Poggianti et al. 2009). One source of this variation may be the cluster mass, as Poggianti et al. (2009) found from 20 intermediate-redshift clusters in the ESO Distant Cluster Survey (EDisCS). They found that the K+A fraction correlates with the velocity dispersion of the host cluster, after binning their sample into three velocity dispersion bins. However, in each bin, the variation in the K+A fraction is still large. This variation is also seen in Cl 1604, where the three clusters have quite similar velocity dispersions, but in Cluster A, K+A galaxies are almost four times more prevalent than in

Cluster D, whose K+A fraction is comparable to the superfield. Although the dependence on cluster mass may be present, it cannot be the only factor. The dynamical state of each cluster may also be important.

In addition to the prevalence of K+A galaxies, we also examined the spatial distributions of K+A galaxies in the clusters and groups. Figure 9 shows the cumulative projected radial distributions of K+A galaxies, along with those of red and blue galaxies, in clusters and groups as a function of virial radius. We combine clusters and groups by normalizing the projected distance of each galaxy by the virial radius of its parent system. In clusters, K+A galaxies are almost all located at $< 1 R_{\mathrm{vir}}$ from the center but not in the infalling region of $1 < R_{\mathrm{vir}} < 2$, while K+A galaxies in the lower-mass groups can be found at all radii. This distribution is unlikely an artifact of our spectroscopic sampling, as the average completeness in clusters and groups does not strongly depend on the projected distance to system centers (Figure 3). Thus, the distribution of K+A galaxies may be a clue to the preferred locations where quenching occurs in clusters and groups. Both the variation in K+A prevalence and radial distribution will be discussed in Section 5.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. K+A Galaxies and Host Cluster Dynamical State

The three clusters in the Cl 1604 supercluster are in different dynamical states, resulting in a range of physical properties (Gal et al. 2008; Kocevski et al. 2009; Lemaux et al. 2012). Among the three clusters, Cluster A is the most X-ray luminous and most massive and shows clear velocity segregation between red and blue galaxies (Gal et al. 2008; Kocevski et al. 2009). It likely formed at an earlier epoch so that its primordial galaxy population had more time to virialize and establish a different dispersion than the infalling galaxy population. Cluster A also falls on the X-ray–optical scaling relation, the σ_v–T relation (Kocevski et al. 2009; Rumbaugh et al. 2013). This relation arises if the ICM shares the same dynamics as the cluster galaxies, so that the ICM temperature scales with the cluster velocity dispersion as $\sigma_v \propto T^{1/2}$, further supporting Cluster A as a virialized structure. Cluster B has the second-highest X-ray luminosity but no velocity segregation. It also has been shown that the velocity dispersion of its member galaxies is higher than inferred from the temperature of the ICM (Kocevski et al. 2009; Rumbaugh et al. 2013). This excess in velocity dispersion is often interpreted as an unvirialized system, with high-velocity

infalling galaxies inflating the velocity dispersion. Gal et al. (2008) also noted that the redshift distribution of Cluster B shows evidence of a substructure or a triaxial system. These dynamics of its member galaxies indicate that Cluster B is not yet fully virialized and may still be undergoing collapse. Cluster D has the most spectroscopically confirmed members in the Cl 1604 supercluster but is not detected in X-rays, suggesting that it has not yet developed a dense ICM. In addition, its members have a filamentary distribution (Gal et al. 2008), also indicative of an unvirialized system.

The X-ray and optical properties of these three clusters suggest that they are at different dynamical states of evolution, with Cluster A the most evolved and Cluster D the most dynamically young. This difference among their dynamical states also leaves imprints on their galaxies' star formation properties. Kocevski et al. (2011a) found increased star formation activity in Cluster D compared to Clusters A and B and to the field, where the fraction of cluster members detected at $24\,\mu$m is higher in Cluster D than in the other systems. From composite spectra of the galaxies in each system, Cluster D members on average have the highest EW([O II]) and lowest $D_n(4000)$, suggesting a higher SFR and younger stellar age (Lemaux et al. 2012). The elevated rate of $24\,\mu$m sources is interpreted as enhanced star formation in clusters associated with actively infalling galaxies, with the likely trigger being interactions between galaxies (Kocevski et al. 2011a). This scenario is also supported by the radial distribution of $24\,\mu$m detected galaxies in clusters, which is less centrally concentrated than that of $24\,\mu$m undetected galaxies (Figure 8 of Kocevski et al. 2011a).

Contrary to $24\,\mu$m sources, the K+A fraction varies among clusters but with an inverse trend, with the most evolved Cluster A having a high K+A fraction and the dynamically youngest Cluster D having few K+A galaxies. Figure 4 plots the K+A fraction in each system for our various sample selection criteria. This variation is the same in all sample selections and could reflect a real difference among clusters.

As argued in Kocevski et al. (2011a), starbursts induced by interactions and mergers between infalling galaxies are occurring in Cluster D, which results in the elevated $24\,\mu$m source fraction seen in Cluster D. Meanwhile, we do not see the aftermath of these starbursts, the post-starburst galaxies, in Cluster D. The K+A fraction is comparable to that of the superfield, showing that in this early stage of cluster formation, where a cluster is actively accreting galaxies, starburst activity is triggered, but star formation quenching is not yet enhanced. The enhancement occurs at a later stage of cluster assembly, when the starbursts fade and move into their post-starburst phase. Cluster B is likely an example of such an environment, where the K+A fraction increases to 11%, about twice that of Cluster D and the superfield.

The high K+A fraction in Cluster A is intriguing. In the Cl 1604 groups, 11% of members are K+A galaxies, comparable to the fraction in Cluster B but much lower than the 19% seen in Cluster A. If mergers and interactions are the only routes producing K+A galaxies, the higher K+A fraction in Cluster A implies a higher frequency of merger-induced starbursts in Cluster A than in the Cl 1604 groups. The enhanced merger rate in cluster cores had been proposed by Struck (2006) and observed by Oemler et al. (2009) in a cluster at $z \sim 0.4$. For this enhancement to happen, galaxies fall into the cluster as bound pairs or small groups rather than individually. The gravitational perturbation from the cluster to the orbit of the bound groups may cause the orbit to shrink, leading to a higher merging probability. If a substantial fraction of member galaxies of Cluster A were recently accreted via this route, it would explain the high K+A fraction. However, the relaxed dynamical state of Cluster A suggests against this scenario. Cluster A does not exhibit substructure that indicates a recent infall of a group of galaxies (Gal et al. 2008).

Alternatively, Dressler et al. (2013) suggested that not every merger turns into a K+A galaxy, but passive galaxies merging specifically with a smaller star-forming galaxy can create K+A phases. The excess of K+A galaxies in Cluster A may arise from a distinct underlying galaxy population in Cluster A compared to the other systems. In Cluster A, red sequence galaxies compose ∼60% of members (after correcting for incompleteness), much higher than the ∼30% in Cluster B, Cluster D, and the groups and ∼20% in the field. The high fraction of red sequence galaxies appears to be in line with the scenario of Dressler et al. (2013), so that mergers in Cluster A are more likely to involve a passive galaxy as a major component, thus producing more K+A galaxies. For this assertion to hold, there should be more early-type K+A galaxies in Cluster A than in other systems in order to account for the excess of K+A galaxies. After examining morphologies of K+A galaxies in Cluster A, we found five early-type galaxies out of nine K+A galaxies with ACS imaging. The early-type fraction of K+A galaxies in Cluster A is not higher than that in the whole Cl 1604 supercluster (see Table 5). Thus, the presence of more passive galaxies in Cluster A does not lead to a higher K+A fraction, but the numbers are too small to draw a definitive conclusion.

These results lead us to consider another possibility: interaction between galaxies and the ICM. Violent processes such as ram pressure stripping could remove cold gas from a cluster galaxy in ∼10^7 yr (Abadi et al. 1999), producing a K+A spectrum. To remove all the gas of a Milky Way like galaxy, the ram pressure should meet the requirement (Gunn & Gott 1972; Treu et al. 2003)

$$\rho_{\rm gas} v_i^2 > 2.1 \times 10^{-12}\ {\rm N\ m^{-2}} \left(\frac{v_{\rm rot}}{220\ {\rm km\ s^{-1}}}\right)^2$$
$$\times \left(\frac{r_h}{10\ {\rm kpc}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\Sigma_{\rm H\,I}}{8 \times 10^{20} m_H\ {\rm cm^{-2}}}\right),$$

where $\rho_{\rm gas}$ is the gas density, v_i is the velocity of the galaxy, and the rotational velocity $v_{\rm rot}$, scale length r_h, and H$_I$ surface density of the galaxy in question are expressed in units for a Milky Way like galaxy. Making use of *Chandra* X-ray data, the gas density profile of Clusters A and B can be derived from the best-fit isothermal β-models from Rumbaugh et al. (2013). For a Milky Way like galaxy with $v_i = 800$ km s^{-1}, the stripping radii for both Clusters A and B are ∼0.3 $R_{\rm vir}$. That means that ram pressure stripping is effective only for galaxies passing very close to the cores of Clusters A and B. For Cluster B, still undergoing collapse, some of the members would be just accreting onto the cluster and not yet have passed close to the cluster core. Although the ICM in the core of Cluster B is dense enough to strip a galaxy's gas, not enough galaxies have traveled deep enough into the cluster core and experienced this effect. On the contrary, galaxies in the dynamically evolved Cluster A have had a higher chance to interact with the dense ICM because they had been in the cluster for a longer period of time. In this case, besides galaxy mergers, an extra mechanism kicks in, boosting the K+A fraction in Cluster A.

The three clusters in Cl 1604 may be demonstrating one of the evolutionary tracks for galaxies assembling into clusters. At the early stage, a starburst may be triggered by a galaxy merger while a galaxy is infalling into the cluster. On average, the cluster has an actively star-forming population, and quenching is not yet enhanced. At a later stage, those galaxies have past their starburst phase and turn into post-starburst galaxies, while new galaxies are still infalling into the cluster, with starbursts being triggered. As the cluster evolves, fewer galaxies assemble into the cluster and it becomes virialized, with a dense ICM in the center. Earlier starbursts fade out, and the ram pressure becomes strong in the center, producing more post-starburst galaxies. However, this broad picture is based on only three clusters in the Cl 1604 supercluster. Future analysis of the full ORELSE survey, which has similar-quality DEIMOS spectral coverage in 20 large-scale structures at $z \sim 1$, will be able to provide a more complete picture on the coevolution of galaxies and clusters.

5.2. More on Merger-induced K+A Galaxies

We have seen in Table 5 that about 30% (13/43) of K+A galaxies exhibit interaction features. This simple morphological observation suggests that at least some K+A galaxies are related to galaxy mergers or interactions. Numerical simulations have demonstrated that galaxy mergers can result in SFHs that produce K+A spectral features (Bekki et al. 2005; Snyder et al. 2011). A galaxy merger could trigger a short period of elevated star formation activity, followed by a rapid fall-off. A few hundred million years after the peak of the starburst, the merger appears as a K+A galaxy, even before star formation activity fully stops. The whole K+A phase would last for another few hundred million years to as long as 1 Gyr, depending on the physical properties of the merging galaxies (Snyder et al. 2011). On the other hand, disturbed morphological features fade away at a timescale comparable to that of the SFR decline (Lotz et al. 2008, 2010a, 2010b). The merger-induced tidal arms can be prominent for $\lesssim 500$ Myr, but the low surface brightness tidal tails around the spheroid remnant are difficult to observe locally and nearly impossible at $z \gtrsim 0.5$ (Mihos 1995; Yang et al. 2004). It can be expected that at higher redshifts, $z \sim 0.9$, the observability of tidal arms decreases. Therefore, some merger-induced K+A galaxies may not be found to have interaction features, which means that the true population of merger-induced K+A galaxies could be much higher than the observed value of 30% of the whole K+A population. Also with HST images, Vergani et al. (2010) reported a high incidence of asymmetry in K+A galaxies at $0.48 < z < 1.2$, supporting the hypothesis that K+A galaxies have experienced mergers or interactions in the recent past.

The spatial distribution of K+A galaxies in clusters and groups in the Cl 1604 supercluster is also consistent with a merger origin. In clusters, galaxy mergers are expected to happen more often at the outskirts than in the core, while in groups, the group centers are the preferred place for mergers. In the Cl 1604 supercluster, the starburst activity distribution gives a consistent picture, where starbursts in clusters are found in infall regions and within $R_{\rm vir}$ in groups (Kocevski et al. 2011a). So, for a starburst happening at the infall region of a cluster, the remnant likely ends up close to the cluster core after a few hundred million years while infalling into the cluster. For a starburst galaxy near the core of a group, it can end up

anywhere during the K+A phase, depending on whether it is moving toward or away from the core.

Some of our K+A galaxies are bright at $24\,\mu$m, implying that they still host substantial star formation. These galaxies do not meet the strict definition of post-starburst galaxies (no or little ongoing star formation). Sometimes they are suggested to be dusty-starburst galaxies and are therefore excluded from post-starburst galaxy samples (Oemler et al. 2009). Figure 10 presents the cumulative projected radial distributions of $24\,\mu$m undetected K+A galaxies, $24\,\mu$m detected K+A galaxies, and $24\,\mu$m detected non-K+A galaxies in clusters and groups. We found that the spatial distributions of K+A galaxies, whether they are detected at $24\,\mu$m or not, are similar in clusters. We performed K-S tests on the radial distributions of these different samples. In clusters, there is a strong suggestion (K-S significance = 0.981) that the $24\,\mu$m detected K+A galaxies and their $24\,\mu$m undetected K+A counterparts are drawn from the same distribution. On the contrary, the $24\,\mu$m detected K+A galaxies and $24\,\mu$m detected non-K+A galaxies do not have similar radial distributions, with a K-S likelihood of only 0.068 of being the same. The radial distributions in clusters suggest that $24\,\mu$m detected K+A galaxies are possibly associated with $24\,\mu$m undetected K+A galaxies but not with other $24\,\mu$m detected galaxies.

Among all $24\,\mu$m detected K+A galaxies, the average IR luminosity for those with and without interaction features is $3.1 \times 10^{11}\,L_\odot$ and $1.3 \times 10^{11}\,L_\odot$, respectively. For $24\,\mu$m detected non-K+A galaxies, the average IR luminosity is $2.2 \times 10^{11}\,L_\odot$. The average IR luminosity of $24\,\mu$m detected, interacting K+A galaxies suggests elevated star formation activity in the interacting K+A galaxies, and the SFR drops as the interaction feature fades away. These $24\,\mu$m detected K+A galaxies may be younger merger-induced K+A galaxies, whose SFR has not yet dropped to an undetectable level. This phase should last at most a few hundred million years. In Clusters A and B, it takes ~ 1 Gyr for a galaxy with a velocity of 800 km s^{-1} to traverse $\sim 1\,R_{\rm vir}$. Taking projection effects into account, the short-lived $24\,\mu$m detected K+A phase is hard to spatially distinguish from the parent K+A galaxy sample. The $D_n(4000)$ indices and EW(Hδ) of composite $24\,\mu$m detected and $24\,\mu$m undetected K+A galaxies (Table 2) are also suggestive that both populations have truncated star formation (Le Borgne et al. 2006; Lemaux et al. 2012), where the $24\,\mu$m detected population is younger, indicated by its stronger EW(Hδ) and smaller $D_n(4000)$.

Previous observations have also tested the idea that K+A galaxies are merger descendants. For example, Wild et al. (2009) compared K+A galaxies drawn from the VIMOS VLT DEEP Survey (Le Févre et al. 2005) with numerical simulations and showed that K+A galaxies are consistent with being merger remnants that underwent a strong starburst within the last few hundred million years. Studies on the kinematics and spatial distributions of stellar populations in early-type K+A galaxies with integral field unit spectroscopy also favor K+A galaxies as already coalesced gas-rich mergers (Pracy et al. 2009, 2012). These studies suggested that K+A galaxies are from gas-rich mergers, which induces likely strong starbursts. However, Dressler et al. (2013) claimed that passive galaxies are the principal progenitors of post-starburst galaxies, as they found that the ratio between passive and post-starburst galaxies stays nearly constant across a wide range of environments from isolated galaxies to cluster cores at $z \sim 0.5$, which indicates a tight correlation between these two populations. They suggested that the

Figure 10. Cumulative projected radial distributions of K+A and 24 μm detected galaxies in clusters and groups. 24 μm undetected K+A galaxies, 24 μm detected K+A galaxies, and 24 μm detected non-K+A galaxies are represented by solid lines (red), dashed lines (magenta), and dash-dotted (blue) lines, respectively. In clusters, K+A galaxies share a similar projected radial distribution, regardless of whether they are detected at 24 μm or not. On the other hand, the distribution of 24 μm detected K+A galaxies is distinct from other 24 μm detected galaxies. In groups, the three subsamples show moderate differences.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

dominant route of producing post-starburst galaxies is rejuvenated passive galaxies experiencing minor mergers or accretion.

With our data, we are not able to directly measure the strength of the past starburst in the K+A galaxies, but their morphologies can give us a clue about the progenitors. In the Cl 1604 supercluster, 13 of 43 K+A galaxies are late type, about one-third of the population. Among the late-type K+A galaxies, nine have interaction features. This simple observation shows that late-type galaxies constitute a non-negligible portion of the whole K+A population, but we cannot rule out the route from rejuvenated passive galaxies for at least some of the population for the remaining sample.

The stellar mass of each population can give some more insight. In Section 4.1 we found that, on average, the stellar mass of a typical K+A galaxy is similar to that of a red sequence galaxy and $\sim 50\%(\sim 10^{10}\,M_\odot)$ more than that of a blue-cloud galaxy. This result means that if an average blue-cloud galaxy turns into a K+A galaxy, its stellar mass should increase significantly in a short period of time. This increase can be achieved by a major merger but not by a minor merger. A major merger can produce a large amount of new stars rapidly, and the stellar mass of the merged galaxy is naturally nearly doubled by combining two progenitors with similar sizes. This scenario is only the case for blue-cloud K+A galaxies. Because the stellar masses of red sequence galaxies are similar to those of K+A galaxies, passive galaxies can experience a minor merger to create a K+A and do not have to merge with another massive galaxy.

### 5.3. Post-starburst Galaxy Selection

The [O II] $\lambda\lambda 3727,3729$ line is a popular star formation indicator because it is accessible by optical spectroscopy from the local universe out to $z \sim 1.5$, allowing direct comparisons between samples over a wide range of cosmic time. However, [O II] emission has been shown to be a flawed star formation indicator. [O II] emission from LINERs/Seyferts can be as strong as that in star-forming galaxies. Therefore, setting an upper limit on [O II] line strength tends to misidentify a LINER/Seyfert-harboring passive galaxy as a star-forming galaxy (Yan et al. 2006; Lemaux et al. 2010; Kocevski et al. 2011b). On the other hand, [O II] emission in a dusty star-forming galaxy would be highly attenuated, with the resulting EW([O II]) as low as that of a truly quiescent galaxy (Poggianti & Wu 2000). These potential problems are often not addressed because

there is a shortage of usable information to determine the sample incompleteness and contamination. For the Cl 1604 supercluster, we are able to address these issues thanks to the extensive ancillary data.

5.3.1. LINER/Seyfert Activity

Lacking LINER/Seyfert diagnostics for the whole spectroscopic sample, i.e., the ability to make a BPT or pseudo-BPT diagram (Baldwin et al. 1981; Stasińska et al. 2006; Juneau et al. 2011), we estimated the number of LINER/Seyfert-harboring K+A galaxies based on a study of 17 [O II]-emitting absorption-line-dominated galaxies in Cl 1604 presented in Lemaux et al. (2010). Their absorption-line-dominated spectra indicate that there is little ongoing star formation, so the [O II] emission may come from other ionizing sources. From near-IR spectroscopy, Lemaux et al. (2010) found that about half of these [O II]-emitting absorption-line-dominated galaxies exhibit EW([O II])/EW(Hα) ratios higher than the typical observed value for star-forming galaxies. Furthermore, a majority of these galaxies have [N II] $\lambda 6584$ to Hα emission-line ratios consistent with a LINER/Seyfert origin, so the emission in many of these galaxies may be contributed by a LINER/Seyfert. Thus, these galaxies would have been categorized as K+A galaxies if not for the presence of a LINER/Seyfert. A strong color dependence is also observed: red [O II]-emitting, absorption-line-dominated galaxies more likely harbor LINERs/Seyferts than blue ones.

We estimate the number of K+A galaxies that would be excluded due to [O II] emission from a LINER/Seyfert in the following manner. From our spectroscopic sample, we first selected galaxies with (1) [O II] emission; (2) absorption features such as Ca II H, Ca II K, and Balmer absorption lines; (3) no other emission features, such as Balmer lines; and (4) EW(Hδ) $\geqslant 3$ Å. These galaxies are candidate genuine post-starburst galaxies but were not classified as such because of [O II] emission produced by a LINER/Seyfert. From the 17 galaxies in Lemaux et al. (2010), we calculated a color-dependent probability of an [O II]-emitting, absorption-line-dominated galaxy being LINER/Seyfert-harbored for each 0.5 mag color bin. Applying this color-dependent probability, we derived the estimated number of LINER/Seyfert-harboring galaxies in our candidate sample. These galaxies with strong Hδ absorption and LINER/Seyfert activity are possibly missing from our K+A sample because LINERs/Seyferts contribute part of the [O II] emission.

Figure 11. Estimate of the fraction of K+A galaxies missed due to LINER/Seyfert activity. The triangles (magenta) represent fractions of LINER/Seyfert hosts with [O II] emission and Hδ absorption in each system. The O5 and O3 samples are plotted in squares (red) and circles (blue) for comparison. Error bars are Poisson errors. Lacking additional diagnostics for LINER/Seyfert activity, the [O II]-selected K+A sample can suffer up to ~50% incompleteness in most of the systems.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 11 plots the estimated numbers of these Hδ-strong, LINER/Seyfert-harboring galaxies along with the O5 and O3 samples in each system. Because we cannot quantify the amount of [O II] emission contributed from the LINER/Seyfert, this value should be taken as an upper limit on the number of missed K+A galaxies. In most of the systems, the number of LINER/Seyfert-harboring galaxies is comparable to that of the O3 sample and roughly half to two-thirds of the O5 sample. That is to say, K+A samples selected by means of EW([O II]) may suffer from incompleteness up to ~50%. Although it is derived from a limited sample size, this result at $z \sim 0.9$ is similar to what has been found for lower-redshift SDSS galaxies (Yan et al. 2006).

The exception is Cluster A, in which the number of LINER/Seyfert-harboring galaxies is only half of the O3 sample and one-third of the O5 sample. Because the estimate was made from a limited number of galaxies with LINER/Seyfert diagnostics, we cannot draw a firm conclusion whether or not this deviation is real. However, if Cluster A truly behaves differently from other systems, it suggests that the incompleteness of [O II]-selected K+A samples could depend on the properties of their parent systems. Thus, any comparison of the K+A prevalence among different samples should consider this possibility. For example, if we assume that these LINER/Seyfert-harboring galaxies are all post-starburst galaxies, the total post-starburst fraction in Cluster A will still be higher than in Cluster B, but the difference becomes smaller. Future multi-object IR spectroscopy will be needed for post-starburst galaxy studies at high redshift in order to verify the effect of LINER/Seyferts and their possible environmental dependence.

5.3.2. Dust Obscuration

If a galaxy has active ongoing star formation and is dusty, its [O II] emission would be highly attenuated while still exhibiting strong Hδ absorption, mimicking a post-starburst spectrum (Poggianti & Wu 2000). As a result, post-starburst galaxy samples selected by means of EW([O II]) and EW(Hδ) are potentially contaminated by these dusty star-forming galaxies. With the *HST* ACS and *Spitzer* MIPS imaging, both morphology

and mid-IR emission serve as extra star formation indicators to test the effects of dust in Cl 1604 members.

With the assumption that early-type galaxies are passive and late-type galaxies are star-forming, we can check how many late-type galaxies were categorized as post-starburst galaxies and thus estimate the contamination rate. In the O3 sample, 26 galaxies were imaged by ACS, with 21 early-type galaxies and 5 late-type galaxies. Nineteen percent of the O3 sample members are star-forming (late-type) galaxies. As for the O5 sample, 13 out of 43 members, or 30%, are late-type galaxies. On the other hand, the infrared radiation provides another estimate of the star formation activity in these galaxies. The $L_{\rm TIR}$ derived from the monochromatic 24 μm flux generally matches well the values derived from multiwavelength IR observations, with a scatter of ~0.15 dex rms, but large deviations in individual sources can occur (Elbaz et al. 2010). Assuming that the $L_{\rm TIR}$ is properly recovered from the monochromatic 24 μm flux, galaxies detected in our MIPS observation still have an SFR $> 5.2~M_\odot~{\rm yr}^{-1}$. Our O3 and O5 samples have 6 (19%) and 15 (31%) galaxies detected at 24 μm, respectively. Given that errors in both morphological classification and SFR derived from single-band IR can exist, the morphology and mid-IR radiation give consistent estimates. Adopting the EW([O II]) > -3 Å limit, ~20% of K+A galaxies are still forming stars, and ~30% if the EW([O II]) > -5 Å limit is used.

A closer look at the morphologies reveals that the majority of those star-forming (late-type or 24 μm bright) K+A galaxies have interaction features (see Table 5). As discussed in Section 5.2, these star-forming, interacting K+A galaxies likely have a decaying SFR and would turn into quiescent K+A galaxies in the near future. Considering their physical origin, including them in the sample is not necessarily contamination but does increase the heterogeneity of the sample. Adopting different EW([O II]) limits can be thought of as accepting different levels of residual star formation activity in a post-starburst galaxy. A stringent EW([O II]) limit selects mainly already coalesced mergers with little residual star formation, resulting in a more homogeneous sample, but at the cost of excluding objects from the same physical origin, i.e., pre-quenched merger-induced post-starburst galaxies, where the star formation is not completely halted. A loose EW([O II]) limit, on the other hand, gives a more complete, but also more complex, sample of merger-induced post-starburst galaxies with various morphologies and residual SFRs.

Those galaxies having ongoing star formation features and K+A spectral type but no interaction features compose roughly 10% of the whole K+A sample. These galaxies are likely normal star-forming galaxies and are not related to post-starburst galaxies.

6. SUMMARY

The Cl 1604 supercluster at $z \sim 0.9$ consists of eight clusters and groups, as well as galaxies in filamentary structures connecting the systems. This structure is the most extensively studied large-scale structure at such high redshift. We selected our K+A galaxy sample using EW([O II]) and EW(Hδ). From all galaxies with EW(Hδ) > 3 Å, we selected two K+A galaxy samples with different EW([O II]) limits. The O3 and O5 samples consist of galaxies with EW([O II]) > -3 Å and -5 Å, respectively. From 489 galaxies with measurements of both [O II] and Hδ EWs and stellar masses, the O3 and O5 K+A samples contain 31 and 48 galaxies, respectively.

Combining these observations with *HST* ACS and *Spitzer* MIPS 24 μm data, we find the following.

1. K+A galaxies in the Cl 1604 supercluster mainly reside in the red sequence, with a minority located in the blue cloud.
2. K+A galaxies occupy the massive end of the mass distribution; they are as massive as other red sequence galaxies.
3. We examined the projected clustocentric radii of K+A galaxies in clusters and groups. We find that K+A galaxies in clusters are centrally concentrated (even more than red sequence galaxies), whereas they are spread more widely in groups.
4. K+A galaxies compose ∼7% of Cl 1604 supercluster members, but the K+A fraction varies from 4% to 19% among the constituent clusters, groups, and the superfield.

From these results, combined with those from the literature, we conclude the following.

1. The K+A prevalence in clusters correlates with the dynamical state of the host cluster. At the early phase of cluster assembly, merger-induced starbursts occur more often in infalling galaxies. At this stage, we find more starburst galaxies but not K+A galaxies (Cluster D). When the merger-induced starburst fades away, the galaxy appears as a K+A galaxy, and the K+A fraction rises (Cluster B). As the cluster evolves, more galaxies have fallen deep into the cluster core, where star formation activity may be truncated due to interaction with the dense ICM, and the prevalence of K+A galaxies becomes even higher (Cluster A).
2. Interaction with a dense ICM can also produce K+A galaxies but may be efficient only in dynamically evolved clusters.
3. Major mergers of blue-cloud galaxies simultaneously explain the spatial distributions in clusters and groups, as well as the correlation between morphology and 24 μm flux of K+A galaxies. The alternative scenario proposed by Dressler et al. (2013), that K+A galaxies arise from minor mergers involving a passive major component, is also possible but cannot account for the whole sample.
4. Because LINERs or Seyferts can also contribute [O II] emission, setting an upper limit on EW([O II]) to select post-starburst galaxies will miss some true post-starburst galaxies that harbor a LINER/Seyfert. The incompleteness can be as high as ∼50% and may be environmentally dependent, but we cannot draw a firm conclusion from our current data.
5. Roughly 30% of K+A galaxies selected by the EW([O II]) method are still forming stars. Adopting a more stringent EW([O II]) limit (EW([O II]) < −3 Å) yields a more homogeneous sample; ∼20% of them have signs of star formation. The majority of these galaxies likely have a rapidly declining SFR and would become quiescent in the near future. About 10% of the K+A sample are likely normal star-forming galaxies and are not related to post-starburst galaxies.

In this work we studied the properties of post-starburst galaxies in the Cl 1604 supercluster at $z \sim 0.9$, the most extensively investigated structure in the ORELSE survey. By studying galaxies at similar redshifts, we minimized the effect of cosmic evolution. However, study of a single structure may suffer from cosmic variance. The whole ORELSE survey covers a broader range of environment and dynamical states of clusters and groups, which will provide a more comprehensive picture of the cessation of star formation in high-density regions at $z \sim 1$.

APPENDIX A

EFFECT OF LRIS SPECTROSCOPY ON K+A SAMPLE SELECTION

Because the spectral resolution of LRIS is relatively low (7.8 Å), the K+A classification using LRIS spectra is more uncertain. In the Cl 1604 field, some galaxies were observed by both LRIS and DEIMOS (Figure 12), and we use these galaxies to assess the effect of lower spectral resolution on identifying K+A galaxies.

We examine issues of both contamination and completeness in the LRIS sample. First, we check how many LRIS-identified K+A galaxies are not classified as K+A from their DEIMOS spectra, that is, the cleanness of our LRIS K+A sample. Second, we examine how many DEIMOS K+A galaxies are not identified as such using the LRIS spectra, that is, the incompleteness. Figure 12 compares EW measurements of [O II] and Hδ from DEIMOS and LRIS. For both [O II] and Hδ, some emission lines measured to be moderately strong (EW < −10 Å) from LRIS are seen as weak lines in DEIMOS. This result implies that some DEIMOS-identified K+A galaxies would be seen as emission-line galaxies if they had only LRIS spectra and would thus be excluded from the sample.

From 37 galaxies with both DEIMOS and LRIS spectra, we find 6 galaxies identified as K+A galaxies from their DEIMOS spectrum, and none identified as K+A galaxies by the LRIS data. Thus, the cleanness of the LRIS K+A sample is not an issue—we do not see any galaxies identified as K+A by LRIS but not by DEIMOS. In our full sample, the galaxies classified as K+A from LRIS constitute only a small fraction (∼10%) in our K+A samples: 6/48 in O5 and 3/31 in O3. Even if there is significant

Figure 12. (a) Color–magnitude diagram for the Cl 1604 spectroscopic sample, separated by instrument. Galaxies with only DEIMOS spectra are plotted as filled circles (gray). Galaxies with only LRIS spectra are represented by open squares (blue). Galaxies observed by both DEIMOS and LRIS (duplicates) are shown as open circles (red). (b) Comparison between EW([O II]) of the same galaxy measured from DEIMOS and LRIS. (c) Comparison between EW(Hδ).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 6
K+A Prevalence after Adding Missing LRIS K+A Galaxies

Name	O5				O3			
	No LRIS	16th	Median	84th	No LRIS	16th	Median	84th
Cluster A	0.19	0.20	0.23	0.26	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.20
Cluster B	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.13
Cluster D	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.09
Groups	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07
Superfields	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05

contamination by non-K+A galaxies in the LRIS-selected K+A sample, it will have little or no effect on our conclusions.

On the other hand, the incompleteness in the LRIS K+A sample could be significant. As noted above, six galaxies are identified as K+A galaxies by DEIMOS, but none of them would be picked out by LRIS. Furthermore, if we assume that these duplicates are representative of LRIS galaxies in the Cl 1604 spectroscopic sample, we would expect 13 K+A galaxies from the total of 82 LRIS galaxies if they had been observed by DEIMOS, whereas the LRIS spectra only pick out six and three in the O5 and O3 sample, respectively. We would like to point out that the duplicate lies mainly in the red sequence (Figure 12(a)), where the K+A fraction is higher than average. Therefore, they may not be fully representative of all LRIS galaxies in Cl 1604, and the expected total of 13 K+A galaxies is likely an overestimate. Nevertheless, this result suggests that we could be missing on the order of five K+A galaxies from our LRIS targets.

We perform Monte Carlo simulations to estimate the potential impact of missing K+A galaxies from the galaxies with LRIS spectra. In each of 1000 runs, we randomly select non-K+A LRIS galaxies and assign them as K+A galaxies, making the total number of LRIS K+A galaxies 13, and then check their impact on the K+A fractions and spatial distributions. Table 6 lists the median, 16th, and 84th percentile of completeness-corrected K+A fractions from these 1000 realizations. Compared to Table 3, the K+A fractions in groups are nearly unchanged because few group galaxies are LRIS galaxies. In clusters, the K+A fractions are mildly affected, but the relative K+A abundances in the three clusters do not change our interpretation of the results. Figure 13 shows the projected cumulative radial distributions of K+A galaxies in clusters and

groups, similar to Figure 9 but with the inclusion of the potentially missed K+A galaxies. The shaded region is the 16th and 84th percentile for the cumulative fraction at each radius. Again, the lower-resolution LRIS spectra have little to no effect on the results.

APPENDIX B

EFFECT OF EW UNCERTAINTY ON K+A SAMPLE SELECTION

In this paper we discuss the K+A fractions in different environments, which have inherited uncertainties from the uncertainties of EW measurements. We test the robustness of the K+A counts using another Monte Carlo simulation. We run 1000 Monte Carlo trials wherein both the EW([O II]) and EW(Hδ) of each galaxy are offset by a Gaussian random error, with the width of the Gaussian based on the measured uncertainty of that spectral feature in that galaxy. We then apply the same K+A selection criteria to each simulated data set, obtaining the total number of K+A galaxies in each set. We then compute the standard deviation of numbers of K+A galaxies in each environment from the 1000 trials. The resulting uncertainty in the number of K+A galaxies is about 1.6 for Cluster A, Cluster B, and the group composite, 0.8 for Cluster D, 2.1 for the superfield, and 3.5 for the whole supercluster. The corresponding uncertainty in K+A fraction in each system is at a level of ~1%–2%, except for the ~4% in Cluster A. Our conclusion is largely unaffected, except that the excess in Cluster A would be less significant.

APPENDIX C

THE EFFECT OF SKY LINES ON SPECTRAL MEASUREMENTS

Bright sky lines that are not properly subtracted can potentially affect spectral measurements. At the redshifts of the Cl 1604 galaxies, the telluric A band at 7595–7670 Å overlaps the continuum range used for measuring $D_n(4000)$ and the bandpasses used for EW(Hδ) at 4102 Å. We use the same "bandpass" method applied to the EW measurements to estimate the strength of residual telluric A-band contamination. The red and blue continua are defined to be 7510–7590 Å and 7670–7750 Å, respectively, while the "feature" band is 7590–7670 Å. The 80 Å

Figure 13. Cumulative distributions of K+A galaxies in Cl 1604 clusters and groups, for (a) the O5 sample and (b) the O3 sample, respectively. The dash-dotted and dotted lines show distributions without adding the potentially missing LRIS K+A galaxies, and shaded regions are the 16th and 84th percentiles of the distributions from 1000 Monte Carlo realizations that assign a certain number of LRIS non-K+A galaxies as K+A galaxies.

bandwidth is also roughly the bandwidth used for EW(Hδ) at $z \sim 0.9$. We measure the flux in these three passbands for 221 stars in our spectroscopic catalog and then compare the average flux of red and blue continua to the flux in the "feature" bandpass. We find that, on average, the flux of the "feature" bandpass is 5% (8%) lower than the continuum derived from two adjacent bands for DEIMOS (LRIS) spectra, and only 6% (14) of the spectra show a deficit of >15%.

The underestimated flux in the blue continuum of a galaxy with $z \gtrsim 0.92$ results in an overestimate of $D_n(4000)$. On the other hand, for a galaxy with $z \lesssim 0.91$, its $D_n(4000)$ would be underestimated. The worst-case scenario is that the entire telluric A band falls in either the red or blue continuum. At $z \sim 0.9$, the 100 Å bandwidth used for $D_n(4000)$ corresponds to 190 Å in the observed frame, which is >2 times broader than the width of the telluric A band. Therefore, we estimate that the flux in the affected bandpass is underestimated by $\sim 2\%$ (4%) for DEIMOS (LRIS) spectra, on average. As a result, the $D_n(4000)$ will be off by 2% (4%) in this scenario. For the $D_n(4000)$ measured in the composite spectra, we expect that the effect is even lower, because the composite spectra use the full redshift range of Cl 1604, $0.84 < z < 0.96$, so the effects on galaxies at higher and lower redshifts roughly cancel each other. Therefore, the effect from the uncorrected telluric A band is negligible.

In the case of Hδ, only galaxies with $z \lesssim 0.90$ can be affected by the telluric A band. Following the above reasoning, we expect that the effect on EW(Hδ) of composite spectra would be $\lesssim 5\%$ and thus not a concern. In addition to the composite spectra, we use the EW(Hδ) of individual galaxies to select our K+A sample. At the border of our selection criteria, EW(Hδ) > 3 Å, 5% corresponds to 0.15 Å, which is much smaller than our typical measurement error and is therefore negligible.

REFERENCES

Abadi, M. G., Moore, B., & Bower, R. G. 1999, MNRAS, 308, 947
Arnouts, S., Cristiani, S., Moscardini, L., et al. 1999, MNRAS, 310, 540
Ascaso, B., Lemaux, B. C., Lubin, L. M., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 442, 589
Baldry, I. K., Glazebrook, K., Brinkmann, J., et al. 2004, ApJ, 600, 681
Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, PASP, 93, 5
Balogh, M., Morris, S. L., Yee, H. K. C., Carlberg, R. G., & Ellingson, E. 1999, ApJ, 527, 54
Barnes, J. E., & Hernquist, L. 1992, ARA&A, 30, 705
Bekki, K., Couch, W. J., Shioya, Y., & Vazdekis, A. 2005, MNRAS, 359, 949
Bell, E. F., Wolf, C., Meisenheimer, K., et al. 2004, ApJ, 608, 752

Bertin, E., Mellier, Y., Radovich, M., et al. 2002, in ASP Conf. Ser. 281, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XI, ed. D. A. Bohlender, D. Durand, & T. H. Handley (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 228
Biviano, A., Murante, G., Borgani, S., et al. 2006, A&A, 456, 23
Blake, C., Pracy, M. B., Couch, W. J., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 355, 713
Bohlin, R. C., Jenkins, E. B., Spitzer, L., Jr., et al. 1983, ApJS, 51, 277
Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000
Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. c., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, 682
Casali, M., Adamson, A., Alves de Oliveira, C., et al. 2007, A&A, 467, 777
Chary, R., & Elbaz, D. 2001, ApJ, 556, 562
Choi, Y., Goto, T., & Yoon, S.-J. 2009, MNRAS, 395, 637
Croton, D. J., Springel, V., White, S. D. M., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 365, 11
Dale, D. A., & Helou, G. 2002, ApJ, 576, 159
Davis, M., Faber, S. M., Newman, J., et al. 2003, Proc. SPIE, 4843, 161
Dekel, A., & Birnboim, Y. 2003, MNRAS, 368, 2
Dressler, A., & Gunn, J. E. 1983, ApJ, 270, 7
Dressler, A., Oemler, A., Jr., Poggianti, B., et al. 2013, ApJ, 770, 62
Dressler, A., Smail, I., Poggianti, B. M., et al. 1999, ApJS, 122, 51
Elbaz, D., Hwang, H. S., Magnelli, B., et al. 2010, A&A, 518, L29
Faber, S. M., Phillips, A. C., Kibrick, R. I., et al. 2003, Proc. SPIE, 4841, 1657
Faber, S. M., Willmer, C. N. A., Wolf, C., et al. 2007, ApJ, 665, 265
Fisher, D., Fabricant, D., Franx, M., & van Dokkum, P. 1998, ApJ, 498, 195
Franzetti, P., Scodeggio, M., Garilli, B., et al. 2007, A&A, 465, 711
Gal, R. R., Lemaux, B. C., Lubin, L. M., Kocevski, D. D., & Squires, G. K. 2008, ApJ, 684, 933
Gal, R. R., & Lubin, L. M. 2004, ApJL, 607, L1
Gal, R. R., Lubin, L. M., & Squires, G. K. 2005, AJ, 129, 1827
Goto, T. 2005, MNRAS, 357, 937
Goto, T. 2007a, MNRAS, 377, 1222
Goto, T. 2007b, MNRAS, 381, 187
Gunn, J. E., & Gott, J. R. I. 1972, ApJ, 176, 1
Ilbert, O., Arnouts, S., McCracken, H. J., et al. 2006, A&A, 457, 841
Juneau, S., Dickinson, M., David, M., & Salim, S. 2011, ApJ, 736, 104
Kaviraj, S., Kirkby, L., Silk, J., & Sarzi, M. 2007, MNRAS, 382, 960
Kells, W., Dressler, A., Sivaramakrishnan, A., et al. 1998, PASP, 110, 1487
Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1998, ARA&A, 36, 189
Kewley, L. J., Geller, M. J., & Jansen, R. A. 2004, AJ, 127, 2002
Kocevski, D. D., Lemaux, B. C., Lubin, L. M., et al. 2011a, ApJ, 736, 38
Kocevski, D. D., Lemaux, B. C., Lubin, L. M., et al. 2011b, ApJ, 737, 38
Kocevski, D. D., Lubin, L. M., Gal, R. R., et al. 2009, ApJ, 690, 295
Le Borgne, D., Abraham, R., Daniel, K., et al. 2006, ApJ, 642, 48
Le Févre, O., Vettolani, G., Barilli, B., et al. 2005, A&A, 439, 845
Lemaux, B. C., Le Floc'h, E., Le Fèvre, O., et al. 2013, arXiv:1311.5228
Lemaux, B. C., Lubin, L. M., Kocevski, D., et al. 2012, ApJ, 745, 106
Lemaux, B. C., Lubin, L. M., Sawicki, M., et al. 2009, ApJ, 700, 20
Lemaux, B. C., Lubin, L. M., Shapley, A., et al. 2010, ApJ, 716, 970
Lilly, S. J., Le Févre, O., Renzini, A., et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 70
Lotz, J. M., Jonsson, P., Cox, T. J., & Primack, J. R. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 1137
Lotz, J. M., Jonsson, P., Cox, T., J., & Primack, J. R. 2010a, MNRAS, 404, 575
Lotz, J. M., Jonsson, P., Cox, T., J., & Primack, J. R. 2010b, MNRAS, 404, 590
Lubin, L. M., Brunner, R., Metzger, M. R., Postman, M., & Oke, J. B. 2000, ApJL, 531, L5

Lubin, L. M., Gal, R. R., Lemaux, B. C., Kocevski, D. D., & Squires, G. K. 2009, AJ, 137, 4867
Ma, C.-J., Ebeling, H., Donovan, D., & Barrett, E. 2008, ApJ, 684, 160
MacArthur, L. A. 2005, ApJ, 623, 795
Mihos, J. C. 1995, ApJ, 438, 75
Mihos, J. C., & Hernquist, L. 1994, ApJ, 431, 9
Muzzin, A., Wilson, G., Yee, H. K. C., et al. 2012, ApJ, 746, 188
Newman, J. A., Cooper, M. C., Davis, M., et al. 2013, ApJS, 208, 5
Oemler, A., Dressler, A., Gladders, M. G., et al. 2013, ApJ, 770, 61
Oemler, A., Dressler, A., Kelson, D., et al. 2009, ApJ, 693, 152
Oke, J. B., Cohen, J. G., Carr, M., et al. 1995, PASP, 107, 375
Peng, Y.-J., Lilly, S. J., Kovač, K., et al. 2010, ApJ, 721, 193
Poggianti, B. M., Aragón-Salamanca, A., Zaritsky, D., et al. 2009, ApJ, 693, 112
Poggianti, B. M., Smail, I., Dressler, A., et al. 1999, ApJ, 518, 576
Poggianti, B. M., von der Linden, A., de Lucia, G., et al. 2006, ApJ, 642, 188
Poggianti, B. M., & Wu, H. 2000, ApJ, 529, 157
Pracy, M. B., Couch, W. J., Blake, C., et al. 2005, MNRAS, 359, 1421
Pracy, M. B., Kuntschner, H., Couch, W. J., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 396, 1349
Pracy, M. B., Owers, M. S., Couch, W. J., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 420, 2232
Quintero, A. D., Hogg, D. W., Blanton, M. R., et al. 2004, ApJ, 602, 190
Rumbaugh, N., Kocevsk, D. D., Gal, R. R., et al. 2013, ApJ, 763, 124
Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
Simcoe, R. A., Metzger, M. R., Small, T. A., & Araya, G. 2000, BAAS, 32, 758
Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Snyder, G. F., Cox, T. J., Hayward, C. C., Hernquist, L., & Jonsson, P. 2011, ApJ, 741, 77
Springel, V., Di Matteo, T., & Hernquist, L. 2005, MNRAS, 361, 776
Stasińska, G., Fernandes, R. C., Mateus, A., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 371, 972
Strateva, I., Ivezić, Ž, Knapp, G. R., et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 1861
Struck, C. 2006, in Galaxy Collisions–Dawn of a New Era, ed. J. W. Mason (Heidelberg: Springer), 115
Surace, J. A., Shupe, D. L., Fang, F., et al. 2005a, BAAS, 37, 1246
Surace, J. A., Shupe, D. L., Fang, F., et al. 2005b, The SWIRE Data Release 2: Image Atlases and Source Catalogs for ELAIS-N1, ELAIS-N2, XMM-LSS, and the Lockman Hole (Pasadena, CA: SSC), http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/SWIRE/docs/delivery_doc_r2_v2.pdf
Tody, D. 1986, Proc. SPIE, 627, 733
Tran, K.-V. H., Franx, M., Illingworth, G. D., Kelson, D. D., & van Dokkum, P. 2003, ApJ, 599, 865
Tran, K.-V. H., Franx, M., Illingworth, G. D., et al. 2004, ApJ, 609, 683
Treu, T., Ellis, R. S., Knieb, J.-P., et al. 2003, ApJ, 591, 53
Vergani, D., Zamorani, G., Lilly, S., et al. 2010, A&A, 509, 42
Wild, V., Walcher, C. J., Johansson, P. H., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 395, 144
Willmer, C. N. A., Faber, S. M., Koo, D. C., et al. 2006, ApJ, 647, 853
Yan, R., Newman, J. A., Faber, S. M., et al. 2006, ApJ, 648, 281
Yan, R., Newman, J. A., Faber, S. M., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 398, 735
Yang, Y., Zabludoff, A. I., Zaritsky, D., Lauer, T. R., & Mihos, J. C. 2004, ApJ, 607, 258