PRL 106, 057401 (2011)

Nonlinear Optical Probe of Tunable Surface Electrons on a Topological Insulator

D. Hsieh,¹ J. W. McIver,^{1,2} D. H. Torchinsky,¹ D. R. Gardner,¹ Y. S. Lee,¹ and N. Gedik^{1,*}

¹Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

²Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

(Received 4 December 2010; published 1 February 2011)

We use ultrafast laser pulses to experimentally demonstrate that the second-order optical response of bulk single crystals of the topological insulator Bi_2Se_3 is sensitive to its surface electrons. By performing surface doping dependence measurements as a function of photon polarization and sample orientation we show that second harmonic generation can simultaneously probe both the surface crystalline structure and the surface charge of Bi_2Se_3 . Furthermore, we find that second harmonic generation using circularly polarized photons reveals the time-reversal symmetry properties of the system and is surprisingly robust against surface charging, which makes it a promising tool for spectroscopic studies of topological surfaces and buried interfaces.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.057401

PACS numbers: 78.68.+m, 03.65.Vf, 71.70.Ej, 78.47.J-

Electrons on the surface of a three-dimensional (3D) topological insulator [1-3] are predicted to exhibit exotic electrical properties including protection against localization from nonmagnetic impurities [1,2] and photo-induced spin-polarized currents [4,5]. When interfaced with ordinary materials, these surface states are predicted to evolve into new broken symmetry electronic phases with unconventional responses such as an anomalous half-integer quantum Hall effect [2,6] or topological superconductivity [2]. The recent discovery of 3D topological insulators in $Bi_{1-x}Sb_x$ [7,8], Bi_2Se_3 , and related materials [9–11] has generated great interest to measure the symmetry and electrical properties of a single surface or buried interface. Transport techniques, however, have difficulty separating the response from different surfaces and the bulk [12,13] and require deposition of contacts and gates that may perturb the electronic structure. Optical techniques have been proposed as a contact-free alternative that can be focused onto a single surface [4,6,14,15] or interface. However, experiments so far have been limited to the linear optical regime, which is again dominated by the bulk carrier response [16–18].

A contact-free probe that is potentially surface or interface sensitive is second-order nonlinear optical spectroscopy. In general, the electrical polarization of a material $P_i(\omega)$ has a dominant component linear in the driving field $E_j(\omega)$ as well as weaker components proportional to higher powers of $E_j(\omega)$, where ω is the optical frequency and the indices run through three spatial coordinates. Components that contain two powers of $E_j(\omega)$ are responsible for second harmonic generation (SHG). For electric dipole processes, the polarization $P_i = \chi_{ijk}^{(2)} E_j E_k$ is obtained from a third rank susceptibility tensor $\chi_{ijk}^{(2)}$ that vanishes under inversion symmetry. Thus dipole-induced SHG is forbidden in bulk crystals with inversion symmetry and is only allowed at surfaces or interfaces where inversion symmetry is necessarily broken [19]. However, higher multipole bulk contributions to SHG are allowed even in inversion symmetric systems, which can obscure the surface dipole contribution. It is therefore unclear if SHG is a surface- or interface-sensitive probe for a particular material. In this Letter, we generate SHG from $Bi_2Se_3(111)$ using the high peak photon flux of ultrafast lasers. By performing surface doping dependence measurements as a function of photon polarization and sample orientation [Fig. 1(a)] we show that SHG is surface sensitive and is a simultaneous probe of both the surface crystalline structure and the surface Fermi level of Bi₂Se₃. Furthermore, we find that circular dichroism in SHG probes the timereversal symmetry properties of the system and is robust against surface charging, making it a promising tool for spectroscopic studies of topological surfaces and buried interfaces.

Reflection SHG from the (111) surface of lightly arsenic doped metallic Bi₂Se₃ bulk single crystals, which are bulk inversion symmetric (space group D_{3d}^5 [11]), was measured using 80 fs laser pulses with a center wavelength of 795 nm (1.56 eV) that derived from a Ti:sapphire oscillator at a repetition rate of 1.6 MHz. The beam was focused to a 20 μ m spot on the sample at an incident angle of 45° and a power density of 0.63 kW/cm², which is below the damage threshold. Specularly reflected photons at the second harmonic energy (3.12 eV) were selectively isolated through spectral filtering and collected by calibrated photomultiplier tubes sensitive to 3.12 eV light.

We first demonstrate that our measurements are consistent with SHG from the (111) surface where the crystal symmetry is reduced from D_{3d}^5 to C_{3v} , which consists of an axis (\hat{z}) of threefold rotational symmetry and three planes of mirror symmetry [Fig. 1(b)]. In general, the reflected surface SHG intensity $I(2\omega)$ is given by [20]

$$I(2\omega) = A |\hat{e}_i(2\omega)\chi_{iik}^{(2)}\hat{e}_i(\omega)\hat{e}_k(\omega)|^2 I(\omega)^2$$
(1)

0031-9007/11/106(5)/057401(4)



FIG. 1 (color). (a) Schematic of the SHG experimental geometry. Surface and bulk regions are colored white and gray, respectively. (b) Crystal structure of the Bi₂Se₃(111) surface showing the topmost Se layer and underlying Bi layer. (c)-(j) Normalized SHG intensity $I(2\omega)$ from the Bi₂Se₃(111) surface measured as a function of azimuthal angle ϕ between the bisectrix (11 $\overline{2}$) and the scattering plane. Measurements taken immediately after cleavage in (c) P_{in} - P_{out} , (d) S_{in} - P_{out} , and (f) S_{in} - S_{out} incident and outgoing photon polarization geometries. Panels (g)–(j) show analogous scans measured 200 minutes after cleavage. All data sets are normalized to the maximum intensity measured at t = 200 mins in the P_{in} - P_{out} geometry. The fewer data points in (g)–(j) is due to the need for faster data collection. Solid red lines are theoretical fits to Eq. (2) [21].

where *A* is a constant determined by the experimental geometry, \hat{e} is the polarization of the incoming or outgoing photons, $I(\omega)$ is the intensity of the incident beam, and $\chi_{ijk}^{(2)}$ is a 27 component tensor. Application of $C_{3\nu}$ symmetry reduces $\chi_{ijk}^{(2)}$ to four nonzero independent components $\chi_{xxx} = -\chi_{xyy} = -\chi_{yxy}$, $\chi_{zxx} = \chi_{zyy}$, $\chi_{xxz} = \chi_{yyz}$, and χ_{zzz} . By controlling the relative orientation between the beam polarizations and crystal axes as shown in Fig. 1(a), components describing the in-plane and out-of-plane electrical responses can be isolated via

$$I_{PP}(2\omega) = A \times |a^{(3)} - 0.025a^{(1)}\cos(3\phi)|^2$$

$$I_{SP}(2\omega) = A \times |a^{(2)} + 0.016a^{(1)}\cos(3\phi)|^2$$

$$I_{PS}(2\omega) = A \times |0.020a^{(1)}\sin(3\phi)|^2$$

$$I_{SS}(2\omega) = A \times |0.013a^{(1)}\sin(3\phi)|^2$$
(2)

where the first and second subindices of $I(2\omega)$ denote the input and output polarizations, respectively, ϕ is the angle between the scattering plane and the mirror (xz) plane of the crystal surface, $a^{(1)} \equiv \chi_{xxx}$ is an in-plane response and $a^{(2)} \equiv 0.061\chi_{zxx}$ and $a^{(3)} \equiv -0.007\chi_{xxz} + 0.096\chi_{zxx} + 0.002\chi_{zzz}$ are out-of-plane responses [21].

Figure 1 shows the ϕ dependence of SHG intensity from the (111) surface of Bi₂Se₃ measured under different polarization geometries. The experiments were performed in air at room temperature and data were taken both immediately after and 200 minutes after the sample was cleaved. The time (*t*) dependence of the SHG patterns is related to surface charging as discussed later in the text. The SHG patterns exhibit a sixfold or threefold rotational symmetry depending on whether *S*- or *P*-polarized output photons are selected, respectively. Because *S*-polarized light only has in-plane electric-field components, it is only sensitive to the in-plane response $a^{(1)}$ likely originating from anharmonic polarizability of Se-Se bonds [Fig. 1(b)], which have a sixfold symmetric arrangement. On the other hand, because *P*-polarized light contains an electric-field component along \hat{z} , it is sensitive to out-of-plane responses $a^{(2)}$ and $a^{(3)}$ originating from the Se-Bi bonds, which have a threefold symmetric arrangement. A fit of Eq. (2) to the SHG patterns measured at a given time after cleavage using a single set of susceptibility tensor elements [21] yields excellent agreement [Figs. 1(c)-1(j)], which shows that the data are consistent with surface SHG from Bi₂Se₃.

To prove that the surface contribution to SHG is dominant, we study its response to changes in the surface carrier concentration. It is known from angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy [22] that after cleavage the surface electron concentration of Bi₂Se₃ increases monotonically over an hour-long time scale before stabilizing. To study how this affects SHG we measure its time dependence immediately after cleavage. Figures 1(c)-1(i) show a clear change in the magnitude of all SHG patterns between t = 0and t = 200 mins but no change in their rotational symmetry, which precludes a trigonal symmetry breaking atomic reconstruction. To investigate the possibility of surface atomic displacements that preserve overall trigonal symmetry such as a change of interlayer distance, which would affect the in- and out-of-plane susceptibilities differently, we compare the complete time dependence of SHG intensities under different linear polarization geometries. Figure 2 shows that different combinations of susceptibility components all undergo the same monotonic increase by as much as 400% between t = 0 and t = 50 mins following cleavage, after which they saturate to a value that remains constant out to at least 600 mins, a trend



FIG. 2 (color). Normalized SHG intensity along high symmetry directions of the $Bi_2Se_3(111)$ surface Brillouin zone measured as a function of time after cleavage in air with (a) P_{in} - P_{out} , (b) S_{in} - P_{out} , (c) P_{in} - S_{out} , and (d) S_{in} - S_{out} photon polarization geometries. Insets in (c) and (d) show the time evolution of peak intensities starting at 100 minutes after cleavage in air, prior to which the sample was not exposed to laser light.

highly consistent with the evolution of the surface Fermi level observed using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy [22]. To rule out photo-induced effects, we measured the time dependence without exposing the sample to light for the first 100 mins after cleavage. In this case we find that the SHG intensities remain constant at the previous saturation values [insets, Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)], indicating that the initial rise in SHG intensity is purely a surface doping effect and not a photo-induced effect [23–25]. Such large percentage changes in SHG intensity with surface electron doping suggest that SHG comes predominantly from electrons at the surface.

Microscopically, the increased surface carrier concentration affects SHG intensity through the perpendicular surface electric field $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ that it creates. SHG is highly sensitive to a static electric field because it breaks inversion symmetry over the region that it penetrates, which is typically around 20 Å for metallic Bi₂Se₃ [26]. Electricfield-induced SHG is commonly observed in the context of metal-electrolyte interfaces, and is theoretically described by a third order process $P_i(2\omega) = \chi_{ijkl}^{(3)} \mathcal{E}_j(0) \hat{e}_k(\omega) \hat{e}_l(\omega)$ [19,23] that acts in addition to $\chi^{(2)}$ in Eq. (2). Because $\chi^{(3)}$ has the same symmetry constraints as $\chi^{(2)}$ [21], the overall symmetry of the SHG patterns must remain unchanged in the presence of \mathcal{E} . To demonstrate that SHG is sensitive to tuning the surface Fermi level, we deposit O_2 on the surface, which has been shown to be an effective electron acceptor on $Bi_2Se_3(111)$ [27]. Figure 3 shows the time dependence of $I_{PS}(2\omega)$ after cleaving in an O₂ environment. We find that the SHG intensity exhibits an initial fast upturn reaching about 50% of the saturation



FIG. 3 (color). (a) Time-dependent peak intensity curves measured in the P_{in} - S_{out} polarization geometry after cleaving in O_2 . Similar behaviors were also observed in other polarization geometries. (b) Schematic of the energy evolution of the bulk conduction band minimum (E_C) and bulk valence band maximum (E_V) relative to the Fermi level (E_F) as a function of distance to the O_2 or (c) air covered surface. Typical Bi₂Se₃ samples show bulk conducting character ($E_F > E_C$) owing to Se vacancies [9,22]. The gray, white, and yellow regions correspond to the bulk, accumulation layer, and surface of Bi₂Se₃. Schematic of the bulk (black) and surface (red) band structure is drawn near the surface region.

intensity value in air, followed by a gradual decrease back to its initial value. These results show that electron transfer from the Bi₂Se₃ surface to O₂ molecules takes place only after some finite $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ has developed, and that O_2 can restore the surface back to an uncharged ($\vec{\mathcal{E}} = 0$) state but cannot hole dope beyond this state. These behaviors are consistent with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ arising from the migration of negatively charged Se vacancies to the surface, which acts to lower the surface energy of the topmost Se layer. Assuming that charge transfer only takes place when an O₂ molecule is adsorbed at a surface Se vacancy site, no additional hole doping will occur once all vacancies are occupied. Such a mechanism naturally explains the slow time scale on which $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ develops, and why the same behavior is observed regardless of whether the sample is cleaved in air or in ultrahigh vacuum [22].

Having established an optical method to probe the spatial symmetry and Fermi level of Bi_2Se_3 surface electrons, we show how SHG can monitor time-reversal symmetry (TRS) at a topological insulator surface. It has been proposed that new TRS broken phases can be measured through the differential absorption of right (*R*) versus left (*L*) circularly polarized light [6,14,15]. However, it is known that the linear response of Bi_2Se_3 to circularly polarized light is only sensitive to bulk electrons [16]. To understand if second harmonic versions of such experiments are feasible, it is necessary to measure the intrinsic second-order surface response of Bi_2Se_3 to circularlypolarized light.

Second harmonic circular dichroism (CD), the difference in SHG yield using incident *R*- versus *L*-polarized fundamental light, was measured from Bi_2Se_3 200 minutes after cleavage in air. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show that the



FIG. 4 (color). Normalized ϕ -dependent SHG intensity patterns measured 200 minutes after cleavage in air under (a) leftcircular in (L_{in}) S_{out} and right-circular in (R_{in}) S_{out} , and (b) L_{in} - P_{out} and R_{in} - P_{out} photon polarization geometries. Solid lines are theoretical fits (see text). (c) Circular dichroism $(I_R - I_L)$ corresponding to data in panels (a) and (b). (d) Difference between circular dichroism measured at 200 minutes after cleavage and immediately after cleavage.

SHG patterns obtained using R- and L-polarized incident light are clearly different for both P- and S-polarized output geometries, which are well described using Eq. (1) with the same set of susceptibility values fitted to Figs. 1(g)-1(j). Although higher multipole bulk contributions to SHG, which are allowed in inversion symmetric crystals, may contribute to CD due to an interference with the surface dipole radiation [19], such bulk terms are known to be greatly suppressed relative to surface dipolar terms in the regime where the photon energy exceeds the bulk band gap [28]. Our experiment is in this regime and we have experimentally shown that the surface contribution is indeed dominant [Figs. 1–3]. While CD is generally nonzero, Fig. 4(c) shows that it vanishes when ϕ is an integer multiple of 60° , angles where the scattering plane coincides with a mirror plane of the (111) surface. Such zeroes are protected by mirror symmetry because R- and L-polarized light transform into one another under mirror reflection about the scattering plane. Because a magnetization can break mirror symmetry, measuring departures from zero in CD along these specific values of ϕ can be a sensitive probe of TRS breaking on the surface of Bi₂Se₃. Remarkably, we find that CD at a general ϕ is insensitive to surface charging, as evidenced by the lack of measurable change as a function of time after cleavage [Fig. 4(d)]. This suggests that sensitive searches for TRS breaking induced CD may be carried out without the need for careful control of surface charging, which is an important and robust way of studying the physics of surface doped topological insulators or buried interfaces between topological insulators and ordinary materials [1,2].

The realization of surface SHG from Bi_2Se_3 and the demonstration of its sensitivity to the surface Fermi level, crystal symmetry, and TRS provides a novel contact-free probe of the properties of a single surface of a topological insulator. This could be used to probe the structural and electronic properties of buried topological insulator interfaces, and to search for exotic broken symmetry interface phases. Using ultrashort laser pulses also permits the study of time-resolved nonequilibrium dynamics of a surface or interface following an excitation, which could be applied to probe photo-induced spin-polarized currents.

Supported by D.O.E. Grant No. DE-FG02-08ER46521.

*gedik@mit.edu

- [1] J.E. Moore, Nature (London) 464, 194 (2010).
- [2] M.Z. Hasan and C.L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 3045 (2010).
- [3] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Today 63, 33 (2010).
- [4] S. Raghu, S.B. Chung, X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 116401 (2010).
- [5] P. Hosur, Phys. Rev. B 83, 035309 (2011).
- [6] X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 78, 195424 (2008).
- [7] D. Hsieh et al., Nature (London) 452, 970 (2008).
- [8] D. Hsieh et al., Science 323, 919 (2009).
- [9] Y. Xia et al., Nature Phys. 5, 398 (2009).
- [10] D. Hsieh et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 146401 (2009).
- [11] H. Zhang et al., Nature Phys. 5, 438 (2009).
- [12] H. Steinberg, D.R. Gardner, Y.S. Lee, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nano Lett. 10, 5032 (2010).
- [13] J. G. Checkelsky, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and N. P. Ong, arXiv:abs/1003.3883.
- [14] W.-K. Tse and A.H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 057401 (2010).
- [15] J. Maciejko, X.-L. Qi, H. D. Drew, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 166803 (2010).
- [16] A.B. Sushkov et al., Phys. Rev. B 82, 125110 (2010).
- [17] A.D. LaForge et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 125120 (2010).
- [18] N.P. Butch et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 241301 (2010).
- [19] Y. R. Shen, in *The Principles of Nonlinear Optics* (Wiley-InterScience, New York, 1984).
- [20] V. Mizrahi and J.E. Sipe, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 5, 660 (1988).
- [21] See supplementary materials at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.057401 for details of the calculation.
- [22] D. Hsieh et al., Nature (London) 460, 1101 (2009).
- [23] J. Bloch, J. G. Mihaychuk, and H. M. van Driel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 920 (1996).
- [24] J. Qi, M. S. Yeganeh, I. Koltover, A. G. Yodh, and W. M. Theis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 633 (1993).
- [25] S. A. Mitchell, T. R. Ward, D. D. M. Wayner and G. P. Lopinski, J. Phys. Chem. B 106, 9873 (2002).
- [26] J.G. Analytis et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 205407 (2010).
- [27] Y.L. Chen et al., Science 329, 659 (2010).
- [28] F.-X. Wang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 233402 (2009).