

Andrew James Bruce

Modular classes of Q -manifolds: a review and some applications

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 53 (2017), No. 4, 203–219

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/146983>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2017

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

MODULAR CLASSES OF Q-MANIFOLDS: A REVIEW AND SOME APPLICATIONS

ANDREW JAMES BRUCE

ABSTRACT. A Q-manifold is a supermanifold equipped with an odd vector field that squares to zero. The notion of the modular class of a Q-manifold – which is viewed as the obstruction to the existence of a Q-invariant Berezin volume – is not well known. We review the basic ideas and then apply this technology to various examples, including L_∞ -algebroids and higher Poisson manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of the modular class of a Poisson manifold was first introduced by Koszul [20] without that name, and then reintroduced with that name by Weinstein [37]. The modular class of a Poisson manifold is understood as the obstruction to the existence of a volume that is invariant under the action of all Hamiltonian vector fields. The closely related notion of the modular class of a Lie algebroid was introduced by Evens, Lu and Weinstein [10]. Recall that the cotangent bundle of a Poisson manifold canonically comes with the structure of a Lie algebroid. It was quickly realised that the modular class of a Poisson manifold and that of its associated cotangent Lie algebroid are the same up to a factor of 2. In the other direction, a Lie algebroid structure on a vector bundle A is equivalent to a linear Poisson structure on the dual A^* . The modular class of a Lie algebroid can then be interpreted as the obstruction to the existence of a measure on A^* that is invariant with respect to the Hamiltonian vector fields on the Poisson manifold A^* . If the modular class of a Lie algebroid or Poisson manifold is non-zero, then we have a ‘violation’ of the classical Liouville theorem on symplectic manifolds: there is no volume form that is constant in the direction of all Hamiltonian vector fields. For a comprehensive review of modular classes of Poisson manifolds and Lie algebroids see Kosmann-Schwarzbach [19].

Vaintrob [32] provided an elegant description of Lie algebroids in terms of Q-manifolds: that is supermanifolds equipped with an odd vector field that squares to zero. This supermanifold description allows for a very clear definition of the modular class of a Lie algebroid in terms of the divergence of the homological vector field, though naturally the modular class does not depend on the volume form chosen to define the divergence. While this has been known to experts for a while, the only place in print where details can be found in Grabowski [12, 13]. These notions directly generalise to

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 17B66; secondary 53D17, 57R20, 58A50.

Key words and phrases: Q-manifolds, modular classes, characteristic classes, higher Poisson manifolds, L_∞ -algebroids.

Received May 10, 2017. Editor J. Slovák.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2017-4-203

more general \mathcal{Q} -manifolds as first described by Lyakhovich & Sharapov [22, 23] who initially considered ‘classical gauge systems’, which include Lie algebroids understood as linear Poisson manifolds. The characteristic classes discovered by Lyakhovich & Sharapov, when applied to Lie algebroids, cover and generalise the characteristic classes of Lie algebroids à la Fernandes [11]. The modular class of a \mathcal{Q} -manifold is known to experts such as Kontsevich¹, Roytenberg [29] and Voronov [34] etc., but little has actually appeared in the literature. Given the fact that \mathcal{Q} -manifolds appear in various guises, for example Lie algebras, homotopy algebras, the BV-BRST formalism and so on, we think that it will be beneficial to the wider mathematical community to have the notion of the modular class of a \mathcal{Q} -manifold clear in print.

In this paper we review the modular class of a \mathcal{Q} -manifold (cf. [22, 23, 34]) and apply it to several examples. For instance, we present the notion of the modular class of L_∞ -algebroids (in the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded setting), particular examples of which include Mehta’s \mathcal{Q} -algebroids (see [27]). Furthermore, we examine the case of higher Poisson manifolds (cf. [35]). Associated with any higher Poisson manifold is a suitably superised L_∞ -algebra on functions on the supermanifold that each bracket satisfies a Leibniz rule. It is not immediately obvious what the notion of a Hamiltonian vector field is in this context. Thus, we cannot directly modify the definition of the modular class of a Poisson manifold to this higher setting: the classical definition is in terms of the divergence of Hamiltonian vector fields. Our solution is to consider a \mathcal{Q} -manifold associated to a higher Poisson manifold, in other language the associated L_∞ -algebroid. We show that the modular class of a higher Poisson manifold, and so by default a Poisson manifold, is tightly related to the BV-Laplacian. As far as we know, this observation has not been made before.

Another interesting example is that a double Lie algebroid (cf. Mackenzie [24, 25]). It is known due to Voronov [36] that a double Lie algebroid is equivalent to a pair of homological vector fields on the total parity reversion of a double vector bundle. We then take the modular class of a double Lie algebroid to be the modular class associated with the sum of the two homological vector fields. To our knowledge the notion of the modular class of a double Lie algebroid has not appeared in the literature. In part this is probably due to the original definition of Mackenzie being rather complicated.

We must remark that the modular class of a \mathcal{Q} -manifold is a characteristic class in the sense that we assign to any \mathcal{Q} -manifold a cohomology class, in this case in the standard cohomology. Other examples of characteristic classes of \mathcal{Q} -manifolds are discussed in [21, 22, 23]. The modular class is relatively simple to calculate explicitly for given examples, and so one of the easier to work with characteristic classes.

Our use of supermanifolds. We assume that the reader has some familiarity with the basics of the theory of supermanifolds. We will follow the “Russian school” and understand a *supermanifold* $M := (|M|, \mathcal{O}_M)$ of dimension $n|m$ as a locally superringed space that is locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n|m} := (\mathbb{R}^n, C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \Lambda(\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m))$. In particular, given any point on $|M|$ we can always find a ‘small enough’ open neighbourhood $|U| \subseteq |M|$ such that we can employ local coordinates $x^a := (x^\mu, \theta^i)$ on M , where x^μ and θ^i are, respectively, collections of commuting and anticommuting elements of $\mathcal{O}_M(|U|)$. We will call (global) sections of the structure sheaf *functions*,

¹As described by Grabowski in [12].

and often denote the supercommutative algebra of all functions as $C^\infty(M)$. The underlying smooth manifold $|M|$ we refer to as the *reduced manifold*. We will make heavy use of local coordinates on supermanifolds and employ the standard abuses of notation when it comes to describing morphisms of supermanifolds.

The *tangent sheaf* $\mathcal{T}M$ of a supermanifold M is the sheaf of derivations of sections of the structure sheaf – this is of course a sheaf of locally free \mathcal{O}_M -modules. Sections of the tangent sheaf we refer to as *vector fields*, and denote the \mathcal{O}_M -module of vector fields as $\text{Vect}(M)$. The total space of the tangent sheaf we will denote by TM and refer to this as the *tangent bundle*. By shifting the parity of the fibre coordinates one obtains the *antitangent bundle* ΠTM . We will reserve the nomenclature *vector bundle* for the total space of a sheaf of locally free \mathcal{O}_M -modules, that is we will be referring to ‘geometric vector bundles’.

There are several good books on the subject of supermanifolds and we recommend Carmeli, Caston & Fioresi [8], Manin [26] and Varadraján [33]. We will denote the Grassmann parity of an object A by ‘tilde’, i.e., $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. By ‘even’ and ‘odd’ we will be referring the Grassmann parity of the objects in question. As we will work in the category of smooth supermanifolds, all the algebras, commutators etc. will be \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded.

2. DIVERGENCE OPERATORS AND Q-MANIFOLDS

2.1. Berezin forms and volumes. Let us for simplicity assume that the supermanifolds that we will be dealing with are superoriented (see [30]). That is the underlying reduced manifold will be oriented, and we further require that we have chosen an atlas such that the Jacobian associated to any change of coordinates is strictly positive. The *Berezin bundle* $\text{Ber}(M)$, is understood as the (even) line bundle over M whose sections in a local trivialisation are of the form

$$s = D[x]s(x),$$

where $D[x]$ is the *coordinate volume element*. Under changes of local coordinate we have

$$D[x'] = D[x] \text{Ber} \left(\frac{\partial x'}{\partial x} \right).$$

Sections of $\text{Ber}(M)$ are *Berezin forms* on M . Note the the Grassmann parity of a Berezin density is determined by $s(x)$. A *Berezin volume* on M is a nowhere vanishing even Berezin form. We will in the proceeding denote a Berezin volume as $\rho = D[x]\rho(x)$. In particular we require that $\rho(x)$ be invertible.

Any vector field $X \in \text{Vect}(M)$ defines an infinitesimal diffeomorphism, which in local coordinates is

$$\phi_\epsilon^* x^a = x^a + \epsilon X^a(x),$$

where ϵ is an external infinitesimal parameter of parity $\tilde{\epsilon} = \tilde{X}$. It is an easy calculation to show that

$$J^{-1} = \text{Ber} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon^* x}{\partial x} \right) = 1 + (-1)^{\tilde{a}(\tilde{X}+1)} \epsilon \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^a}.$$

The pullback of a Berezin density is

$$\phi_\epsilon^* s = D[x] J^{-1} \phi_\epsilon^* s(x),$$

and the Lie derivative is thus

$$L_X \mathbf{s} = (-1)^{\tilde{a}(\tilde{X}+1)} D[x] \left(\frac{\partial X^a \mathbf{s}}{\partial x^a} \right).$$

2.2. Divergence of a vector field. In the classical case on a manifold, one needs a volume form (or in the non-oriented case a density) in order to define the divergence of a vector field. The same is true for supermanifolds, and we take the definition of the divergence of a vector field $X \in \mathbf{Vect}(M)$ with respect to a chosen Berezin volume to be

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} \operatorname{Div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} X = L_X \boldsymbol{\rho}.$$

In local coordinates this definition amounts to

$$\operatorname{Div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} X = (-1)^{\tilde{a}(\tilde{X}+1)} \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (X^a \boldsymbol{\rho}).$$

Up to a sign factor, this local expression is exactly the same as the classical case. Moreover, it is not hard to prove the following properties of the divergence

$$(2.1a) \quad \operatorname{Div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(f X) = f \operatorname{Div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} X + (-1)^{\tilde{f}\tilde{X}} X(f);$$

$$(2.1b) \quad \operatorname{Div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}'} X = \operatorname{Div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} X + X(g);$$

$$(2.1c) \quad \operatorname{Div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}[X, Y] = X(\operatorname{Div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} Y) - (-1)^{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} Y(\operatorname{Div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} X);$$

where X and $Y \in \mathbf{Vect}(M)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$, and $\boldsymbol{\rho}' = \exp(g)\boldsymbol{\rho}$ with $g \in C^\infty(M)$ is even. These properties, again up to some signs are identical to the properties of the classical divergence operator on a manifold.

2.3. Homological vector fields and Q-manifolds. Q-manifolds offer a powerful conceptual formalism to describe many interesting structures in mathematical physics such as Lie algebroids (see [32]) and Courant algebroids (see [28]).

Definition 2.1. A *Q-manifold* is a supermanifold M , equipped with a distinguished odd vector field $Q \in \mathbf{Vect}(M)$ that ‘squares to zero’, i.e., $Q^2 = \frac{1}{2}[Q, Q] = 0$. The vector field Q is referred to as a *homological vector field*, or a *Q-structure*.

Note that due to extra signs that appear in supergeometry, $[Q, Q] := Q \circ Q + Q \circ Q$, and hence $Q^2 = 0$ is a non-trivial condition. In local coordinates we have $Q = Q^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$, and the condition that Q is homological is

$$Q^2 = 0 \iff Q^a \frac{\partial Q^b}{\partial x^a} = 0.$$

Definition 2.2. Let (M_1, Q_1) and (M_2, Q_2) be Q-manifolds. Then a morphism of supermanifolds $\psi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a *morphisms of Q-manifolds* if it relates the two homological vector fields, i.e.,

$$Q_1 \circ \psi^* = \psi^* \circ Q_2.$$

To be explicit, let us employ local coordinates x^a on M_1 and y^α on M_2 . We will write, using standard abuses of notation $\psi^* y^\alpha = \psi^\alpha(x)$. The statement that ψ be a morphism of Q-manifolds means locally that

$$Q_1^a(x) \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha(x)}{\partial x^a} = Q_2^\alpha(\psi(x)).$$

Evidently, we obtain the category of Q-manifolds via standard composition of supermanifold morphisms. This category also admits products.

Definition 2.3. Let (M_1, Q_1) and (M_2, Q_2) be Q-manifolds, then their Q-manifold product is the Q-manifold

$$(M_{12} := M_1 \times M_2, Q_{12} = Q_1 + Q_2).$$

Definition 2.4. The standard cochain complex associated with an Q-manifold is the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded cochain complex $(C^\infty(M), Q)$. The resulting cohomology is referred to as the standard cohomology of the Q-manifold.

We then see that morphisms of Q-manifolds are cochain maps between the respective standard cochain complexes.

Example 2.5. Any supermanifold can be considered as a Q-manifold equipped with the trivial Q-structure $Q = 0$. In fact, on manifolds, i.e., pure even supermanifolds, the only possible Q-structure is the trivial one. In this case the resulting standard cohomology is of course also trivial.

Example 2.6. The antitangent bundle of a supermanifold ΠTM comes canonically equipped with a Q-structure, called the de Rham differential. In local coordinates (x^a, dx^b) , where $\widetilde{x^a} = \widetilde{a}$ and $\widetilde{dx^b} = \widetilde{b} + 1$, we have $Q := d = dx^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$. Differential forms on a supermanifold are understood as functions on ΠTM , that is $\Omega^\bullet(M) := C^\infty(\Pi TM)$. The standard cohomology is then just the de Rham cohomology of the supermanifold M , which is known to be isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology of the reduced manifold $|M|$.

Example 2.7. Consider a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$, ‘non-super’ for simplicity. The parity reversion of the vector space $\Pi \mathfrak{g}$ can be considered as a ‘linear supermanifold’. That is, we have (global) coordinates (ξ^α) where $\widetilde{\xi^\alpha} = 1$, and the admissible changes of coordinates are linear, i.e., of the form $\xi^{\alpha'} = \xi^\beta T_\beta^{\alpha'}$. Associated with the Lie bracket is a homological vector field given by

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\gamma},$$

here $Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma$ is the structure constant of the Lie algebra. It is well known that $Q^2 = 0$ is directly equivalent to the Jacobi identity for the Lie bracket. The standard cohomology of the Q-manifold $(\Pi \mathfrak{g}, Q)$ is nothing but the Chevalley–Eilenberg cohomology of the Lie algebra.

Associated canonically with any Q-manifold is an ‘odd anchor’ $a_Q: M \rightarrow \Pi TM$, which is no more than considering the homological vector field as a section of the antitangent bundle. Thus, in local coordinates we have

$$a_Q^*(x^a, dx^b) = (x^a, Q^b(x)).$$

Proposition 2.8. The ‘odd anchor’ map is a morphism of Q-manifolds between (M, Q) and $(\Pi TM, d)$, that is

$$Q \circ a_Q^* - a_Q^* \circ d = 0.$$

Proof. Via direct computation in local coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} Q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (a_Q^* \omega) - a_Q^* \left(dx^a \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x^a} \right) &= Q^a a_Q^* \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x^a} \right) - Q^a a_Q^* \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x^a} \right) + Q^a \frac{\partial Q^b}{\partial x^a} a_Q^* \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial dx^b} \right) \\ &= Q^a \frac{\partial Q^b}{\partial x^a} a_Q^* \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial dx^b} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As $Q^2 = 0$ we obtain the desired result. □

Definition 2.9. Let (M, Q) be a Q -manifold. A vector field $X \in \text{Vect}(M)$ is said to be an *infinitesimal symmetry* or just a *symmetry* of a Q -manifold if and only if $[X, Q] = 0$. A symmetry X is said to be an *inner symmetry* if there exists another vector field $Y \in \text{Vect}(M)$ such that $X = [Q, Y]$.

Example 2.10. On any Q -manifold (M, Q) , the homological vector field Q is rather trivially a symmetry.

Example 2.11. Consider the Q -manifold $(\Pi T M, d)$. Any vector field $X \in \text{Vect}(M)$ can be sent to its interior derivative $X \mapsto i_X$, which in local coordinates is given by

$$i_X = (-1)^{\tilde{X}} \tilde{X}^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^a}.$$

The Lie derivative, $L_X := [d, i_X]$, is an inner symmetry of $(\Pi T M, d)$, i.e., $[d, L_X] = 0$.

3. MODULAR CLASSES

3.1. Definition and main properties of the modular class. The modular class of a Q -manifold is defined in terms of the divergence of the homological vector field. Before we give the definition, we need a couple of observations.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (M, Q) be a Q -manifold, then $\text{Div}_\rho Q$ is Q -closed, i.e., $Q(\text{Div}_\rho Q) = 0$.*

Proof. As $[L_Q, L_Q] = L_{[Q, Q]} = 0$ we have that

$$L_Q(L_Q \rho) = L_Q(\rho \text{Div}_\rho Q) = \rho (\text{Div}_\rho Q)^2 + \rho Q(\text{Div}_\rho Q) = 0.$$

As $\text{Div}_\rho Q$ is a Grassmann odd function on M , it follows that $(\text{Div}_\rho Q)^2 = 0$, and we obtain the desired result. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let (M, Q) be a Q -manifold, then the derivative of the divergence of the homological vector field Q in the direction of any symmetry is Q -exact.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of a symmetry, i.e., $[X, Q] = 0$, and the properties of the divergence (2.1c) that

$$X(\text{Div}_\rho Q) = (-1)^{\tilde{X}} \tilde{X} Q(\text{Div}_\rho Q).$$

□

From the properties of the divergence, it is clear that if we change the Berezin volume the the divergence changes by a Q -exact term, i.e. $\text{Div}_{\rho'} Q = \text{Div}_\rho Q + Q(g)$, where $\rho' = \exp(g)\rho$. Similarly, any (small) change in the divergence in the direction of a symmetry is Q -exact. We then have the following definition

Definition 3.3. The *modular class* of a Q-manifold is the standard cohomology class of $\text{Div}_\rho Q$, i.e.,

$$\text{Mod}(Q) := [\text{Div}_\rho Q]_{\text{St}} .$$

Note that the modular class is independent of any chosen Berezin volume as any other choice of volume leads to divergences that differ only by something Q-exact, and so Q-closed. Thus, the modular class is a characteristic class of a Q-manifold. The vanishing of the modular class is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a Berezin volume that is Q-invariant, that is for some choice of Berezin volume ρ we have that $L_Q \rho = 0$.

In some given set of local coordinates one can write out the divergence,

$$\text{Div}_\rho Q = \frac{\partial Q^a}{\partial x^a} + Q(\log(\rho)) .$$

The *local (characteristic) representative* of the modular class is understood as just the term

$$(3.1) \quad \phi_Q(x) := \frac{\partial Q^a}{\partial x^a}(x) .$$

In general this term is *not* invariant under changes of coordinates, only the full expression for the divergence is. However, as we are always dropping terms that are Q-exact, the local representative is still meaningful, though as written it is only a local function on M .

Remark 3.4. The expression (3.1) gives the local representative of the standard (coordinate) density (in some chosen local coordinates). In general we do not have the Poincaré lemma: meaning that Q-closed functions are *not* necessarily locally Q-exact. Thus, it makes sense to speak of a local (characteristic) representative of the modular class.

Definition 3.5. A Q-manifold (M, Q) is said to be a *unimodular Q-manifold* if its modular class vanishes. In other words, if there exists a Q-invariant Berezinian volume.

Example 3.6. The Q-manifold (ITM, d) comes with a canonical Berezin volume, which in local coordinates is just $D[x, dx]$, and clearly this is invariant with respect to the de Rham differential. Thus, we have a unimodular Q-manifold.

Example 3.7. Clearly the modular class is explicitly dependent on the homological vector field under study. In particular, we can equip the supermanifold ITM with homological vector fields other than the canonical de Rham differential. For example, let us take M to be a manifold (this suppresses some signs) and equip it with the following odd vector field

$$Q = dx^b \mathcal{N}_b^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{1}{2} dx^a dx^b \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_a^c}{\partial x^b} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_b^c}{\partial x^a} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^c} .$$

If the 1-1-tensor \mathcal{N}_a^b is a *Nijenhuis tensor*, then Q is a homological vector field. Thus, (ITM, Q) is in fact a Lie algebroid, with the local representative of modular class being

$$\phi_{\mathcal{N}}(x, dx) = dx^a \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_b^b}{\partial x^a} = d \text{tr}(\mathcal{N}) ,$$

which in general is non-vanishing. This example is in agreement with Damianou & Fernandes [9, Proposition 2.4].

Example 3.8. Let (M, Q) be a Q-manifold, then clearly $(\Pi T M, L_Q)$ is also a Q-manifold. In natural coordinates (x^a, dx^b) on $\Pi T M$ the Lie derivative is given by

$$L_Q = [d, i_Q] = Q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} - dx^b \frac{\partial Q^a}{\partial x^b} \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^a}.$$

Then via inspect we see that $\phi_{L_Q} = 0$, and so we have a unimodular Q-manifold.

Example 3.9. Combining the two previous example, as $[d, L_Q] = 0$ we see that $(\Pi T M, L_Q + d)$ is also a unimodular Q-manifold.

Remark 3.10. The Mathai–Quillen–Kalkman isomorphism tells us that

$$d + L_Q = e^{-i_Q} de^{i_Q},$$

and so it is not surprising that we obtain a unimodular Q-manifold in the previous example.

Example 3.11. Let (M, Q) be a Q-manifold, then $(T^* M, L_Q)$ is also a Q-manifold. In natural coordinates (x^a, p_b) the canonical Poisson bracket is given by

$$\{F, G\} = (-1)^{\tilde{a}(\tilde{F}+1)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_a} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x^a} - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{F}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_a},$$

for any F and $G \in C^\infty(T^* M)$. The Lie derivative can then be understood as

$$L_Q := \{S, \bullet\},$$

where $S = Q^a(x)p_a$ is the symbol of the homological vector field Q . Thus, the Lie derivative is a Hamiltonian vector field. Explicitly we have

$$L_Q = Q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} - (-1)^{\tilde{a}} \frac{\partial Q^b}{\partial x^a} p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a}.$$

Via inspection we see that $\phi_{L_Q} = 0$, and so we have a unimodular Q-manifold. In fact this is not at all unexpected as we have a version of Liouville’s theorem on symplectic supermanifolds: there is always a Berezin volume on any *even* symplectic supermanifold that is invariant with respect to all Hamiltonian vector fields.

Example 3.12. Let (M, Q) be a Q-manifold, then $(\Pi T^* M, L_Q)$ is also a Q-manifold. Similarly to the previous example we have a canonical Schouten (odd Poisson) bracket and we define the Lie derivative as $L_Q := \llbracket \mathcal{P}, \bullet \rrbracket$, where \mathcal{P} is now the odd symbol. As $\llbracket \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P} \rrbracket = 0$, it is clear that L_Q is a homological vector field. We can think a Q-structure as a one-Poisson structure. However, in this case we do not have a generalisation of Liouville’s theorem and so in general we do *not* have a unimodular Q-manifold. In fact, direct calculation yields

$$\phi_{L_Q} = 2 \phi_Q,$$

and so $(\Pi T^* M, L_Q)$ is unimodular when (M, Q) is unimodular. We will return to similar examples in Subsection 4.2 where we discuss higher Poisson manifolds.

The modular class behaves additively under the Q-manifold product (see Definition 2.3). A little more carefully, we have the following.

Proposition 3.13. *Let (M_1, Q_1) and (M_2, Q_2) be Q-manifolds, then the modular class of their Q-manifold product is additive, in the following sense:*

$$\text{Mod}(Q_{12}) = \text{Mod}(Q_1) + \text{Mod}(Q_2).$$

Proof. This follows from the linear properties of the divergence, and the fact that a Berezin volume on M_{12} is given by the product of Berezin volumes on M_1 and M_2 . \square

3.2. Poincaré duality. Recall that the standard cochain complex of a Q-manifold is $(C^\infty(M), Q)$. We define the *standard chain complex* as $(\text{Vol}(M), L_Q)$, where $\text{Vol}(M)$ stands for the volume forms on M , and L_Q is the Lie derivative along Q . Assuming that M is superoriented and compact (otherwise one should consider compactly supported densities), we have a natural pairing of a volume with a function via integration,

$$\langle \rho, f \rangle = \int_M \rho f.$$

From the basic properties of the Lie derivative and the integral we see that

$$\int_M L_Q(\rho f) = \int_M (L_Q\rho) f + \int_M \rho Q(f).$$

If (M, Q) is unimodular, then integration is invariant under the action of Q , thus the left hand side of the above vanishes. In this case, integration gives a natural isomorphism between the standard cochain complex and the standard chain complex, i.e.,

$$\langle L_Q\rho, f \rangle = -\langle \rho, Q(f) \rangle.$$

This natural isomorphism is the generalisation of classical Poincaré duality.

3.3. Relative modular classes. The relative modular class, or the modular class of a Q-manifold morphism can directly be defined as follows.

Definition 3.14. Given a Q-manifold morphism $\psi: (M_1, Q_1) \rightarrow (M_2, Q_2)$, the *relative modular class* of ψ is

$$\text{Mod}(\psi) := \text{Mod}(Q_1) - \psi^*(\text{Mod}(Q_2)),$$

which is a standard cohomology class of (M_1, Q_1) .

By definition, the relative modular class measures the failure of a morphism of Q-manifolds to preserve the modular class. In general, there is no reason to expect the modular class to be preserved under morphisms. As the relative modular class is a standard cohomology class, the notion of the local (characteristic) representative makes sense, see Remark 3.4.

Example 3.15. Let (M, Q) be a Q-manifold and let $a_Q: M \rightarrow \Pi TM$ be the associated ‘odd anchor’. Then it is clear that

$$\text{Mod}(a_Q) = \text{Mod}(Q).$$

In light of the above example, we see that the modular class of a Q-manifold is a ‘universal relative modular class’ in the sense that it is a canonical relative modular class associated with any Q-manifold.

Example 3.16. The relative modular class of a Q-manifold isomorphism vanishes.

Example 3.17. Consider two Lie algebras understood as Q-manifolds $(\Pi\mathfrak{g}, Q)$ and $(\Pi\mathfrak{g}', Q')$. A Lie algebra homomorphism is then a linear map $\psi: \Pi\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Pi\mathfrak{g}'$ that relates the two homological vector fields. In linear coordinates, ξ^α and χ^μ on $\Pi\mathfrak{g}$ and $\Pi\mathfrak{g}'$ respectively, we write

$$\psi^* \chi^\mu = \xi^\alpha \psi_\alpha^\mu,$$

and we have

$$Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma \psi_\gamma^\mu = \psi_\alpha^\rho \psi_\beta^\nu Q_{\nu\rho}^\mu.$$

The representative of the relative modular class of a Lie algebra homomorphisms is then easily seen to be

$$\phi_\psi(\xi) = \xi^\alpha (Q_{\alpha\beta}^\beta - \psi_\alpha^\mu Q_{\mu\nu}^\nu).$$

Example 3.18. Let $j: N \rightarrow M$ be a subsupermanifold. Furthermore suppose that both these supermanifolds are Q-manifolds, and that we have a morphism of Q-manifolds given by the inclusion morphism j . That is, the associated restriction map satisfies

$$j^* \circ Q_M = Q_N \circ j^*.$$

As we have a subsupermanifold, we can always find adapted coordinates (x^a, y^α) on M , such that the restriction map is given by $j^*(x^a, y^\alpha) = (x^a, 0)$. Then in these adapted local coordinates we have

$$Q_M = Q_M^a(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + Q_M^\alpha(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha},$$

$$Q_N = Q_N^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a},$$

and the condition that these be j -related means

$$Q_N^a(x) = Q_M^a(x, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad Q_M^\alpha(x, 0) = 0.$$

As j is a morphism of Q-manifolds we have an induced map between the modular classes

$$j^*: \text{Mod}(Q_M) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(Q_N).$$

However, in general $\text{Mod}(Q_N) \neq j^* \text{Mod}(Q_M)$. By definition, the difference is the relative modular class of j . Directly, the local representative is

$$\phi_j(x) = - \left. \frac{\partial Q_M^\alpha}{\partial y^\alpha}(x, y) \right|_{y=0}.$$

Thus we see that the relative modular class only depends on the *linear behaviour* of $Q_M^\alpha(x, y)$ near $y = 0$. Let us then Taylor expand ‘near’ N (noting that on N we have $Q_M^\alpha = 0$)

$$Q_M^\alpha(x, y) = y^\beta \mathbb{A}_\beta^\alpha(x) + \mathcal{O}(y^2),$$

where we have defined

$$\mathbb{A}_\beta^\alpha(x) := \left. \frac{\partial Q_M^\alpha}{\partial y^\beta}(x, y) \right|_{y=0}.$$

Note $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_\beta^\alpha = \widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta} + 1$, and so is an *odd matrix* – this effects the definition of the supertrace. With this notation in place, we can write

$$\phi_j(x) = - \text{str}(\mathbb{A}).$$

4. APPLICATIONS AND EXAMPLES

4.1. **L_∞ -algebroids.** We follow Bruce [6] (also see [18]) in our definition of a L_∞ -algebroid, slightly different notions with different gradings appear in the literature (see for example [4, 31]).

Definition 4.1. A super vector bundle A is said to be a L_∞ -algebroid if there exists a homological vector field $d_A \in \text{Vect}(\Pi A)$.

Note that we do not insist that the homological vector field be linear, or in the graded language, be of degree one with respect to the natural \mathbb{N} -grading induced by declaring the base coordinates to be of degree zero and the fibre coordinates to be of degree one. If the homological vector field is of degree one then we recover via Vaňtrob [32] a (super) Lie algebroid.

In natural local coordinates (x^a, ξ^α) on ΠA , the Q-structure is of the form

$$d_A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \xi^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi^{\alpha_n} Q_{\alpha_n \dots \alpha_1}^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \xi^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi^{\alpha_n} Q_{\alpha_n \dots \alpha_1}^\beta(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\beta}.$$

The local representative of the modular class is thus

$$\phi_{d_A}(x, \xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\epsilon}{n!} \xi^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi^{\alpha_n} \frac{\partial Q_{\alpha_n \dots \alpha_1}^a}{\partial x^a}(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \xi^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi^{\alpha_n} Q_{\alpha_n \dots \alpha_1 \beta}^\beta(x),$$

where the sign factor is $\epsilon = \widetilde{a}(\widetilde{\alpha}_1 + \dots + \widetilde{\alpha}_n + n)$.

Restricting attention to Lie algebroids we obtain

$$\phi_{d_A}(x, \xi) = \xi^\alpha \left((-1)^{\widetilde{a}(\widetilde{\alpha}+1)} \frac{\partial Q_\alpha^a}{\partial x^a}(x) + Q_{\alpha\beta}^\beta(x) \right),$$

which is in agreement with the classical literature, e.g. [14]. For the case of Lie algebroids, one speaks of a *characteristic local section* of A^* as the local representative of the modular class is linear in ξ . However, for the case of L_∞ -algebroids we have an inhomogeneous *characteristic local A-form*.

We will say that a L_∞ -algebroid is a *unimodular L_∞ -algebroid* if its modular class vanishes. We can think of L_∞ -algebras (in the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded conventions) as L_∞ -algebroids over a point. The definition of a unimodular L_∞ -algebra is clear, and coincides with the definition given by Granåker [15], also see Braun & Lazarev [5].

Example 4.2. Further restricting attention to Lie algebras reproduces the notion of a unimodular Lie algebra – the adjoint map is trace free for all elements in the Lie algebra. Examples of unimodular Lie algebras include all Abelian Lie algebras, the Heisenberg Lie algebra and nilpotent Lie algebras.

Remark 4.3. A weighted Lie algebroid is a Lie algebroid equipped with a homogeneity structure such that $h_t : \Pi A \rightarrow \Pi A$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [7]). As the homological vector field encoding a weighted Lie algebroid structure is of weight $(0, 1)$ (this follows from the definition) the notion of h-homogeneous cochains and coboundaries makes sense, thus the standard cohomology inherits a further \mathbb{N} -graded structure. From the definition of the modular class we see that $[\text{Div}_\rho Q]_{\text{St}}$ is homogeneous and of degree zero. This covers the example of \mathcal{VB} -algebroids where the homogeneity structure is regular.

Example 4.4. Mehta [27] defines a Q-algebroid as a Lie algebroid $(\Pi A, d_A)$, equipped with a morphic weight zero homological vector field $\Xi \in \text{Vect}(\Pi A)$ (following Mehta’s original notation). By morphic, we mean that we have a symmetry, i.e., $[d_A, \Xi] = 0$. As we have two commuting homological vector fields, we can add them to obtain another homological vector field which is inhomogeneous in weight – thus we have an L_∞ -algebroid. In natural local coordinates we have

$$Q := d_A + \Xi = (Q^a(x) + \xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^a(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \left(\xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^\gamma(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma(x) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\gamma}.$$

The local representative of the modular class is thus

$$\phi_Q(x, \xi) = \left(\frac{\partial Q^a}{\partial x^a}(x) + Q_\alpha^a(x) \right) + \xi^\alpha \left((-1)^{\tilde{a}(\tilde{\alpha}+1)} \frac{\partial Q_\alpha^a}{\partial x^a}(x) + Q_{\alpha\beta}^\beta(x) \right).$$

4.2. Higher Poisson manifolds. It is well known that the modular class of a Poisson manifold is half that of the modular class of the associated cotangent Lie algebroid. We can then use this fact to *define* the modular class of a higher Poisson manifold. First let us recall the definition of a higher Poisson manifold (cf. [18, 35]).

Definition 4.5. A *higher Poisson manifold* is a pair (M, \mathcal{P}) , where $\mathcal{P} \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*M)$ is an even (pseudo)multivector field, known as a *homotopy Poisson structure*, that satisfies the Poisson condition $[[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}]] = 0$, where the bracket is the canonical Schouten bracket on ΠT^*M .

It is clear, due to the Poisson condition that $(\Pi T^*M, Q_{\mathcal{P}} = [[\mathcal{P}, \bullet]])$ is a Q-manifold. In fact, we have an L_∞ -algebroid (see [6]). We then define the modular class of a higher Poisson manifold to be half that of the modular class of the associated L_∞ -algebroid – doing so means that we cover the classical case precisely.

Let us examine the local representative of the modular class of $(\Pi T^*M, Q_{\mathcal{P}})$ in Darboux coordinates (x^a, x_b^*) . The canonical Schouten bracket in these coordinates is given by

$$[[F, G]] = (-1)^{(\tilde{a}+1)(\tilde{F}+1)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_a^*} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x^a} - (-1)^{\tilde{a}(\tilde{F}+1)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_a^*},$$

for any F and $G \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*M)$. Direct computations shows that

$$Q_{\mathcal{P}} = (-1)^{\tilde{a}+1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial x_a^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^*} \right).$$

Thus, following the definitions and a simple reordering of the derivatives we obtain

$$\phi_{Q_{\mathcal{P}}}(x, x^*) = (-1)^{\tilde{a}+1} 2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{P}}{\partial x^a \partial x_a^*} \right),$$

which up to a factor of 2 is the (finite dimensional) BV-Laplacian acting on functions (cf. [1, 2, 3]). In hindsight this is not unexpected as the BV-Laplacian can be naturally identified with the divergence of a multivector field with respect to the coordinate volume (see [16] for a discussion of this). Via these considerations we are led to the following.

Theorem 4.6. *The modular class of a higher Poisson manifold is the standard cohomology class of BV-Laplacian acting on the homotopy Poisson structure, i.e., the cohomology class of*

$$\Delta_{\rho} \mathcal{P} := \frac{1}{2} \text{Div}_{\rho} Q_{\mathcal{P}} = (-1)^{\tilde{a}+1} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{P}}{\partial x^a \partial x_a^*} + [[\mathcal{P}, \log(\sqrt{\rho})]].$$

The vanishing of the modular class implies that there exists a Berezin volume on ΠT^*M that is $Q_{\mathcal{P}}$ -invariant. This has an infinite dimensional analogue in the BV-formalism. Namely, if we ‘interpret’ a homotopy Poisson structure \mathcal{P} to be a classical extended action, i.e., $x = \text{‘fields + ghosts’}$ and $x^* = \text{‘antifields + antighosts’}$, then $Q_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the BRST-operator. We ignore the additional gradings of ghost number etc. The Poisson condition $[[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}]] = 0$ is the analogue of the classical master equation. If the modular class of the extended classical action vanishes then there exists a path integral measure that is BRST-invariant. As the (exponential of the) extended action plus sources is BRST-invariant, the path integral itself is BRST-invariant. One must of course take these statements with a “grain of salt” as things are not so well defined in the infinite dimensional setting of quantum field theory.

Remark 4.7. Thinking in terms of quantum field theory, the vanishing of the modular class implies that there is no ‘one-loop anomaly’ (cf. [29]). Let us add ‘loop corrections’, i.e., $\mathcal{P} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}[[\hbar]] := \mathcal{P} + \hbar\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$ and insist that the *quantum master equation* is satisfied

$$\Delta e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{P}[[\hbar]]} = 0.$$

The order zero term is just $[[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}]] = 0$, and the order \hbar term is $i\Delta\mathcal{P} = [[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_1]]$, where we neglect the choice of density. Thus, if the modular class vanishes then we can consistently find up to first order in \hbar a ‘quantum action’, i.e., there is no one-loop BV anomaly.

Remark 4.8. Similarly, one can consider a homotopy Schouten structure as an odd analogue of a homotopy Poisson structure, i.e., $S \in C^\infty(T^*M)$, $\tilde{S} = 1$ and $\{S, S\} = 0$, where the bracket is now the canonical Poisson bracket on the cotangent bundle of a supermanifold M . However, as we are dealing with even symplectic geometry we always have the Liouville volume which is invariant under the action of Hamiltonian vector fields. Thus, the modular class of the Q-manifold $(T^*M, Q = \{S, \bullet\})$ is zero. As we have defined it, the modular class of any higher Schouten manifold always vanishes. A different notion of the modular class of a Schouten (odd Poisson) manifold can be found in the work of Khudaverdian & Voronov [17]. Similarly, Courant algebroids understood as even symplectic NQ -manifolds of degree 2 via Roytenberg [28], have vanishing modular class.

Example 4.9. If we consider a Poisson supermanifold, i.e., we have a Poisson structure $\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}^{ab}(x)x_b^*x_a^*$, then the local representative of the modular class is given by

$$\phi_{\mathcal{P}} = \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{P}^{ab}}{\partial x^a}\right)x_b^*,$$

in agreement with with classical case (see [37]).

Example 4.10. Consider an order three higher Poisson structure

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(x) + \mathcal{P}^a(x)x_a^* + \frac{1}{2!}\mathcal{P}^{ab}(x)x_b^*x_a^* + \frac{1}{2!}\mathcal{P}^{abc}(x)x_c^*x_b^*x_a^*.$$

Then the local representative of the modular class is

$$\phi_{\mathcal{P}} = \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{P}^a}{\partial x^a}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{P}^{ab}}{\partial x^a}\right)x_b^* + \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{P}^{abc}}{\partial x^a}\right)x_c^*x_b^*.$$

Note that the order zero piece $\mathcal{P}(x)$ does not contribute to the local representative of the modular class. Thus, if we consider a zero-Poisson structure (just some chosen

even function on M), the the modular class always vanishes. Furthermore, note that for the local representative to vanish, we require that each component by order in antimomenta x^* to vanish.

4.3. Double Lie algebroids. Following Voronov [34, 36] we take the following definition.

Definition 4.11. A double vector bundle D is said to be a *double Lie algebroid* if the total parity reversed double vector bundle $\Pi^2 D$ comes equipped with a pair of commuting homological vector fields $Q_{(0,1)}$ and $Q_{(1,0)}$ of bi-weight $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$, respectively.

Up to a natural isomorphism, it does not matter if we take first shift the parity in the vertical and then horizontal directions, or vice versa.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Pi^2 D & \xrightarrow{\pi_{DB}} & \Pi B \\
 \pi_{DA} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_B \\
 \Pi A & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & M
 \end{array}$$

For concreteness we can make the choice $\Pi^2 = \Pi_B \Pi_A$. Let us employ homogeneous local coordinates

$$\left(\underbrace{x^a}_{(0,0)}, \underbrace{\xi^\alpha}_{(0,1)}, \underbrace{\theta^i}_{(1,0)}, \underbrace{z^\mu}_{(1,1)} \right),$$

where (x^a, ξ^α) form a coordinate system on ΠA , and (x^a, θ^i) form a coordinate system on ΠB . In these homogeneous coordinates the pair of homological vector fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{(0,1)} &= \xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\gamma} \\
 &\quad + \left(z^\mu Q_\mu^i(x) + \theta^j \xi^\alpha (Q_\alpha)_j^i(x) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i} \\
 &\quad + \left(z^\nu \xi^\alpha (Q_\alpha)_\nu^\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \theta^i \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta (Q_{\beta\alpha})_i^\mu(x) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\mu}, \\
 Q_{(1,0)} &= \theta^i Q_i^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{1}{2!} \theta^i \theta^j Q_{ji}^k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^k} \\
 &\quad + \left(z^\mu Q_\mu^\alpha(x) + \xi^\beta \theta^i (Q_i)_\beta^\alpha(x) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha} \\
 &\quad + \left(z^\nu \theta^i (Q_i)_\nu^\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \theta^i \theta^j (Q_{ji})_\alpha^\mu(x) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\mu}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Because the two homological vector fields commute, their sum $Q = Q_{(0,1)} + Q_{(1,0)}$ is also a homological vector field. We can then define the modular class of a double Lie algebroid as the modular class of the Q -manifold $(\Pi^2 D, Q)$. In doing so we see that (up to Grassmann parity) the local representative is a local section of $A^* \oplus B^*$.

Explicitly in local coordinates we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_Q(x, \xi, \theta) &= \xi^\alpha \left((-1)^{\tilde{a}(\tilde{\alpha}+1)} \frac{\partial Q_\alpha^a}{\partial x^a} + Q_{\alpha\beta}^\beta + (Q_\alpha)_i^i + (Q_\alpha)_\mu^\mu \right) \\ &\quad + \theta^i \left((-1)^{\tilde{a}(\tilde{i}+1)} \frac{\partial Q_i^a}{\partial x^a} + Q_{ij}^j + (Q_i)_\alpha^\alpha + (Q_i)_\mu^\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

As a specific example consider a Lie algebroid $(\Pi A, d_A)$ and its antitangent bundle $\Pi\Pi A$ which naturally comes equipped with with two commuting homological vector fields $Q_{(0,1)} := L_{d_A} = [d, i_{d_A}]$ and $Q_{(1,0)} := d$, where d is the canonical de Rham differential on the antitangent bundle. In homogeneous local coordinates $(x^a, \xi^\alpha, dx^b, d\xi^\beta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{d_A} &= \xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\gamma} \\ &\quad + \left((-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \xi^\alpha dx^b \frac{\partial Q_\alpha^a}{\partial x^b} - d\xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^a \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^a} \\ &\quad - \left(d\xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma + (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}} \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta dx^b \frac{\partial Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma}{\partial x^b} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial d\xi^\gamma}, \\ d &= dx^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + d\xi^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

It is then a matter of direct calculation to see that $\phi_Q(x, \xi, dx) = 0$. Thus we have the following.

Theorem 4.12. *Any double Lie algebroid of the form $(\Pi\Pi A, L_{d_A}, d)$, where $(\Pi A, d_A)$ is a Lie algebroid, is unimodular.*

Acknowledgement. The author cordially thanks Florian Schätz for his comments on an earlier draft of this work. A special thank you goes to Janusz Grabowski for giving the author the opportunity to present some of the contents of this paper at the Geometric Methods in Physics seminar in Warsaw on April 26th 2017.

REFERENCES

- [1] Batalin, I.A., Vilkovisky, G.A., *Gauge algebra and quantization*, Phys. Lett. B **102** (1) (1981), 27–31.
- [2] Batalin, I.A., Vilkovisky, G.A., *Quantization of gauge theories with linearly dependent generators*, Phys. Rev. D (3) **28** (10) (1983), 2567–2582.
- [3] Batalin, I.A., Vilkovisky, G.A., *Closure of the gauge algebra, generalized Lie equations and Feynman rules*, Nuclear Phys. B **234** (1) (1984), 106–124.
- [4] Bonaventurà, G., Poncin, N., *On the category of Lie n-algebroids*, J. Geom. Phys. **73** (2013), 70–90, [arXiv:1207.3590](#).
- [5] Braun, C., Lazarev, A., *Unimodular homotopy algebras and Chern-Simons theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (11) (2015), 5158–5194, [arXiv:1309.3219](#).
- [6] Bruce, A.J., *From L_∞ -algebroids to higher Schouten/Poisson structures*, Rep. Math. Phys. **67** (2) (2011), 157–177, [arXiv:1007.1389](#).
- [7] Bruce, A.J., Grabowska, K., Grabowski, J., *Linear duals of graded bundles and higher analogues of (Lie) algebroids*, J. Geom. Phys. **101** (2016), 71–99, [arXiv:1409.0439](#).
- [8] Carmeli, C., Caston, L., Fiorese, R., *Mathematical foundations of supersymmetry*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2011, xiv+287pp., ISBN: 978-3-03719-097-5.

- [9] Damianou, P.A., Fernandes, R.L., *Integrable hierarchies and the modular class*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **58** (1) (2008), 107–137, [arXiv:math/0607784](#).
- [10] Evens, S., Lu, J.H., Weinstein, A., *Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **50** (1999), 417–436, [arXiv:dg-ga/9610008](#).
- [11] Fernandes, R.L., *Lie algebroids, holonomy and characteristic classes*, Adv. Math. **170** (1) (2002), 119–179, [arXiv:math/0007132](#).
- [12] Grabowski, J., *Modular classes of skew algebroid relations*, Transform. Groups **17** (4) (2011), 989–1010, [arXiv:1108.2366](#).
- [13] Grabowski, J., *Modular classes revisited*, J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys **11** (9) (2014), 11pp., [arXiv:1311.3962](#).
- [14] Grabowski, J., Marmo, G., Michor, P.W., *Homology and modular classes of Lie algebroids*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (1) (2006), 69–83, [arXiv:math/0310072](#).
- [15] Granåker, J., *Unimodular L -infinity algebras*, preprint (2008), [arXiv:0803.1763](#).
- [16] Khudaverdian, H.M., *Laplacians in odd symplectic geometry*, Quantization, Poisson brackets and beyond, Contemp. Math., vol. 315, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [17] Khudaverdian, H.M., Voronov, Th.Th., *On odd Laplace operators*, Lett. Math. Phys. **62** (2) (2002), 127–142, [arXiv:math/0205202](#).
- [18] Khudaverdian, H.M., Voronov, Th.Th., *Higher Poisson brackets and differential forms*, Geometric methods in physics, AIP Conf. Proc., 1079, Amer. Inst. Phys., Melville, NY, 2008, [arXiv:0808.3406](#), pp. 203–215.
- [19] Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y., *Poisson manifolds, Lie algebroids, modular classes: a survey*, SIGMA (2008), paper 005, 30pp., [arXiv:0710.3098](#).
- [20] Koszul, J., *Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie*, *The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984)*, Astérisque, Numéro Hors Série (1985), 257–271.
- [21] Kotov, A., Strobl, T., *Characteristic classes associated to Q -bundles*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **12** (1) (2015), 26 pp., 1550006 [arXiv:0711.4106](#).
- [22] Lyakhovich, S.L., Mosman, E.A., Sharapov, A.A., *Characteristic classes of Q -manifolds: classification and applications*, J. Geom. Phys. **60** (5) (2010), 729–759, [arXiv:0906.0466](#).
- [23] Lyakhovich, S.L., Sharapov, A.A., *Characteristic classes of gauge systems*, Nuclear Phys. B **703** (3) (2004), 419–453, [arXiv:0906.0466](#).
- [24] Mackenzie, K.C.H., *Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry, I.*, Adv. Math. **94** (2) (1992), 180–239.
- [25] Mackenzie, K.C.H., *Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry, II.*, Adv. Math. **154** (1) (2000), 46–75.
- [26] Manin, Y.I., *Gauge field theory and complex geometry*, Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 289, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2nd ed., 1997, xii+346 pp. ISBN: 3-540-61378-1.
- [27] Mehta, R.A., *Q -algebroids and their cohomology*, J. Symplectic Geom. **7** (3) (2009), 263–293, [arXiv:math/0703234](#).
- [28] Roytenberg, D., *On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids*, Quantization, Poisson brackets and beyond, Contemp. Math., vol. 315, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, [arXiv:math/0203110](#), pp. 169–185.
- [29] Roytenberg, D., *The modular class of a differential graded manifold*, talk presented at the *International Workshop on Gauge Theories, Supersymmetry and Mathematical Physics*, Lyon, France, 2010, 6-10 April 2010.
- [30] Shander, V.N., *Orientations of supermanifolds*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **22** (1) (1988), 80–82.
- [31] Sheng, Y., Zhu, C., *Higher extensions of Lie algebroids*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **0** (2013), 1650034, [arXiv:1103.5920](#).
- [32] Vaintrob, A.Yu., *Lie algebroids and homological vector fields*, Russ. Math. Surv. **52** (1997), 428–429.

- [33] Varadarajan, V.S., *Supersymmetry for mathematicians: an introduction*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 11. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004, viii+300 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-3574-2.
- [34] Voronov, Th., *Q-manifolds and Mackenzie theory: an overview*, preprint (2007), [arXiv:0709.4232](#).
- [35] Voronov, Th., *Higher derived brackets and homotopy algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **202** (1–3) (2005), 133–153, [arXiv:math/0304038](#).
- [36] Voronov, Th., *Q-manifolds and Mackenzie theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **315** (2012), 279–310.
- [37] Weinstein, A., *The modular automorphism group of a Poisson manifold*, J. Geom. Phys. **23** (1997), 379–394.

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH UNIT,
UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG,
MAISON DU NOMBRE 6, AVENUE DE LA FONTE,
L-4364 ESCH-SUR-ALZETTE
E-mail: andrewjamesbruce@googlemail.com