

Metin Başarir; Ekrem Savaş

On matrix transformations of some generalized sequence space

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 2, 155--162

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136643>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS OF SOME GENERALIZED SEQUENCE SPACE

METIN BAŞARIR — EKREM SAVAŞ

(Communicated by Ladislav Mišík)

ABSTRACT. P. Schaefer [9] defined the concepts of σ -conservative, σ -regular, and σ -coercive matrices and characterized these classes of matrices, i.e. (c, V_σ) , $(c, V_\sigma)_{\text{reg}}$, and (ℓ_∞, V_σ) . Recently Mursaleen [5] determined the classes $(\ell(p), V_\sigma)$ and $(M_0(p), V_\sigma)$. The object of this paper is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions to characterize the matrices of the classes $(c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q}))$ and $(c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_\sigma(\mathbf{q}))$.

1. Preliminaries

Let σ be a mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional φ on ℓ_∞ , the space of bounded sequences, is said to be an *invariant mean*, or a σ -*mean*, if and only if

- (i) $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ when the sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n ,
- (ii) $\varphi(\mathbf{e}) = 1$, where $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1, \dots)$,
- (iii) $\varphi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$.

In case, σ is the translation mapping $n \mapsto n + 1$, a σ -mean is often called a *Banach limit* ([2]), and V_σ , the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set f of *almost convergent sequences* ([3]).

Let f_0 denote the space of almost convergent null sequences.

If $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$, set $T\mathbf{x} = (Tx_n) = (x_{\sigma(n)})$. It is known that

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) = L\mathbf{e}, \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ and } L = \sigma\text{-}\lim \mathbf{x} \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$d_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m T^j x_n.$$

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 40H05.

Key words: Matrix transformation, Sequence space, Invariant mean.

The special case of (1.1) in which $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ was given by L o r e n t z [3; Theorem 1]; the general result can be proved in a similar way.

It is familiar that a Banach limit extends the limit functional on c , the space of convergent sequences. It is known ([5]) that a σ -mean extends the limit functional on c in the sense that $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \lim \mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in c$ if and only if σ has no finite orbits, that is to say, if and only if for all $n \geq 0, j \geq 1, \sigma^j(n) \neq n$.

P. S c h a e f e r [9] defined the concepts of σ -convervative, σ -regular, and σ -coercive matrices and obtained conditions to characterize these classes of matrices.

Let $V_{0\sigma}$ denote the set of all bounded sequences which are σ -convergent to zero.

Recently, in [5] and [7] the spaces $V_\sigma, V_{0\sigma}, f,$ and f_0 were extended to $V_\sigma(\mathbf{p}), V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{p}), f(\mathbf{p}),$ and $f_0(\mathbf{p})$ in the following manner.

If $\mathbf{p} = (p_m)$ is a sequence of real numbers such that $p_m > 0$ and $\sup_m p_m < \infty,$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{p}) &= \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |d_{mn}(\mathbf{x})|^{p_m} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}, \\ V_\sigma(\mathbf{p}) &= \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |d_{mn}(\mathbf{x} - L\mathbf{e})|^{p_m} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \sigma\text{-}\lim \mathbf{x} = L \right\}, \\ f_0(\mathbf{p}) &= \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^m x_{i+n} \right|^{p_m} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}, \\ f(\mathbf{p}) &= \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^m (x_{i+n} - L) \right|^{p_m} = 0 \text{ for some } L, \right. \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{uniformly in } n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $p_m = p > 0$ for all $m,$ we have $V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{p}) = V_{0\sigma}$ and $V_\sigma(\mathbf{p}) = V_\sigma.$ If $\sigma(n) = n + 1,$ we get $V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{p}) = f_0(\mathbf{p})$ and $V_\sigma(\mathbf{p}) = f(\mathbf{p}).$

S. M. Z a i d i [10] has determined necessary and sufficient conditions for some matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a_{nk}), n, k = 1, 2, \dots,$ such that the \mathbf{A} -transform of $\mathbf{x} = (x_k)$ belongs to the set $V_\sigma(\mathbf{q}),$ where in particular $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbf{p}).$

Just as boundedness is related to convergence, it was quite natural to expect that the sequence space ℓ_∞^σ of σ -boundedness is related to σ -convergence.

We write

$$\ell_\infty^\sigma = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \sup_{m,n} |d_{mn}(\mathbf{x})| < \infty \right\}.$$

But in [8], S a v a ş has observed that this concept coincides with $\ell_\infty,$ viz., $\ell_\infty^\sigma = \ell_\infty.$

2. Notation

If $\mathbf{p} = (p_k)$ is a sequence of real numbers such that $p_k > 0$ and $\sup_k p_k < \infty$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_\infty(\mathbf{p}) &= \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}, \\ c(\mathbf{p}) &= \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k - L|^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}, \\ c_0(\mathbf{p}) &= \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|^{p_k} = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As special cases of the above, with $p_k = 1$ for all k , we get ℓ_∞ , c , and c_0 . By the Köthe-Toeplitz dual of a set $E \subset s$, the set of complex sequences, $E \neq \emptyset$, we mean the linear space

$$E^+ = \left\{ \mathbf{a} : \sum_k a_k x_k \text{ convergent for all } \mathbf{x} \in E \right\}.$$

E^* denotes the dual space of the continuous linear functionals of E .

We want to add that $\mathbf{p} = (p_k)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_k)$ in the sequel will denote sequences with $p_k > 0$ and $q_k > 0$.

We use the fact that $c_0(\mathbf{p})$ is a complete paranormed space with paranorm

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}, \quad M = \max\left(1, \sup_k p_k\right).$$

The purpose of this paper is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions to characterize the matrices of classes $(c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q}))$ and $(c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_\sigma(\mathbf{q}))$.

3. Main results

If X and Y are two sequence spaces, let (X, Y) denote the set of all matrices $\mathbf{A} = (a_{nk})$, $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$, that transform $\mathbf{x} = (x_k) \in X$ into $\mathbf{y} = (y_n) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = (A_n(\mathbf{x})) \in Y$, defined by $y_n = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Let us write for all integers $n, m \geq 1$,

$$t_{mn} = t_{mn}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) = \sum_k a(n, k, m) x_k,$$

where $a(n, k, m) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m a(\sigma^j(n), k)$.

In the sequel, we can assume $p_k \leq 1$ for all k without loss of generality because $c_0(\mathbf{p}) = c_0(\mathbf{p}/M)$ for $M = \max\left(1, \sup_k p_k\right)$.

Now, let us quote some known results as the following.

We remark that $\ell_\infty^\sigma(\mathbf{q}) = \ell_\infty(\mathbf{q})$ in the lemmas below.

LEMMA A. ([4]) *Let X be a complete paranormed space with Schauder basis (b_k) , and (A_n) a sequence of elements of X^* with $A_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_k a_{nk}x_k$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{q} = (q_k)$ be a bounded sequence. Then*

$$\mathbf{A} \in (X, V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q})) \iff \begin{aligned} \text{i) } & (t_{mn}(b_k)) \in V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q}) \text{ for all } k, \\ \text{ii) } & \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_m (\|t_{mn}\|_M)^{q_m} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA B. ([4]) *Let X be a complete paranormed space with Schauder basis (b_k) , and (A_n) a sequence of elements of X^* with $A_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_k a_{nk}x_k$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{q} = (q_k)$ be a bounded sequence. Then*

$$\mathbf{A} \in (X, V_\sigma(\mathbf{q})) \iff \begin{aligned} \text{i) } & \text{there exists an } L \in X^* \text{ with} \\ & (t_{mn}(b_k) - L(b_k)) \in V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q}) \text{ for all } k, \\ \text{ii) } & \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_m (\|t_{mn}\|_M)^{q_m} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA C. ([4]) *Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \ell_\infty$. Then*

$$\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), \ell_\infty^\sigma(\mathbf{q})) \iff \sup_{m,n} \left(\sum_k |a(n,k,m)| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_m} < \infty$$

for some $M > 1$.

Additionally, we use the characterization of the Köthe-Toeplitz dual of $c_0(\mathbf{p})$:

$$c_0^+(\mathbf{p}) = \bigcup_{N>1} \left\{ \mathbf{a} : \sum_k |a_k| N^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} < \infty \right\}$$

and the fact that $c_0^+(\mathbf{p}) \cong c_0^*(\mathbf{p})$ (isometrically isomorphic for bounded sequences \mathbf{p}).

We now establish the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \ell_\infty$. Then*

$$\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q})) \iff \begin{aligned} \text{i) } & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |a(n,k,m)|^{q_m} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \\ \text{ii) } & \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_m \left(\sum_k |a(n,k,m)| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_m} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q}))$. Since $V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q}) \subset \ell_\infty^\sigma(\mathbf{q})$, we have $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), \ell_\infty^\sigma(\mathbf{q}))$. Then $t_{mn}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) = \sum_k a(n,k,m)x_k$ is defined for all $\mathbf{x} \in c_0(\mathbf{p})$, m

and n . That is $a(n, k, m) \in c_0^+(\mathbf{p})$ and $t_{mn} \in c_0^*(\mathbf{p})$ for all m, n , and $\|t_{mn}\|_M = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a(n, k, m)|M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}}$ if $\|t_{mn}\|$ is defined. $c_0(\mathbf{p})$ being complete, we obtain (ii) by Lemma A and (i) by using $\mathbf{e}^{(k)} \in c_0(\mathbf{p})$.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (i) and (ii) hold and $\mathbf{x} \in c_0(\mathbf{p})$. By (ii) it follows that for some $M > 1$,

$$\sup_{m,n} \left(\sum_k |a(n, k, m)|M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_m} < \infty.$$

Due to the convergence of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a(n, k, m)|M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}}$, we have $a(n, k, m) \in c_0^+(\mathbf{p})$, and therefore $t_{mn} \in c_0^*(\mathbf{p})$ and $\|t_{mn}\|_M = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a(n, k, m)|M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}}$ if $\|t_{mn}\|$ is defined. Trivially $(\mathbf{e}^{(k)})$ is a Schauder basis of $c_0(\mathbf{p})$. By Lemma A, $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q}))$. □

We have

THEOREM 2. *Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \ell_{\infty}$. Then $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}))$ if and only if*

- (i) $\sup_{n,m} \sum_k |a(n, k, m)|M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} < \infty$ for some $M > 1$,
- (ii) *there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in C$ with $|a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k|^{q_m} \rightarrow 0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n , for each k ,*
- (iii) $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_m \left(\sum_k |a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k|M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_m} = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}))$. Because of $V_{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}) \subset \ell_{\infty}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{q})$, we have $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), \ell_{\infty}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}))$, and so that $t_{mn} \in c_0^*(\mathbf{p})$. By Lemma B, there exists an $L \in c_0^*(\mathbf{p})$ with

- (1) $(t_{mn}(\mathbf{e}^{(k)}) - L(\mathbf{e}^{(k)})) \in V_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{q})$ for all k ,
- (2) $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_m (\|t_{mn} - L\|_M)^{q_m} = 0$.

This $L \in c_0^*(\mathbf{p})$ can be written as

$$L(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_k \alpha_k x_k$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in c_0(\mathbf{p})$ with $(\alpha_k) \in c_0^+(\mathbf{p})$. Then (1) reads as $|a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k|^{q_m} \rightarrow 0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n , for each k , which is (ii).

By (2) and since $\|t_{mn} - L\|_M = \sum_k |a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k|M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}}$ for all M , for which $\|t_{mn} - L\|_M$ is defined, (iii) follows.

Noting that $V_\sigma(\mathbf{q}) \subset \ell_\infty^\sigma = \ell_\infty$ and that therefore $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), \ell_\infty)$, we may apply Lemma C to obtain

$$\sup_{m,n} \left(\sum_k |a(n, k, m)| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} \right) < \infty.$$

For the converse, let (i), (ii), and (iii) hold. From (i), we have $a(n, k, m) \in c_0(\mathbf{p})$ for all n, m , and therefore $t_{mn} \in c_0^*(\mathbf{p})$ for all n, m . It follows from (i) and (iii) that for n, m and M large enough

$$\sum_k |\alpha_k| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} \leq \sum_k |a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} + \sum_k |a(n, k, m)| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} < \infty.$$

Therefore

$$(\alpha_k) \in c_0^+(\mathbf{p}),$$

and with $L\mathbf{x} = \sum_k \alpha_k x_k$:

$$L \in c_0^*(\mathbf{p}).$$

So we have for t_{mn} , $L \in c_0^*(\mathbf{p})$

$$\|t_{mn} - L\|_M = \sum_k |a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}}.$$

By Lemma B, $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_\sigma(\mathbf{q}))$. This completes the proof. \square

4. Corollaries

We deduce the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 1. $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_{0\sigma})$ if and only if

- (i) $a(n, k, m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n , for each k ,
- (ii) $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_m \sum_k |a(n, k, m)| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} = 0$.

Proof. Take $q_k = 1$ for all k in Theorem 1. \square

COROLLARY 2. $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), V_\sigma)$ if and only if

- (i) $\sup_{n,m} \sum_k |a(n, k, m)| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} < \infty$ for some $M > 1$,
- (ii) there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in C$ with $|a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k| \rightarrow 0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n , for each k ,
- (iii) $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_m \sum_k |a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} = 0$.

Proof. Take $q_k = 1$ for all k in Theorem 2. \square

COROLLARY 3. Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \ell_\infty$. Then $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), f_0(\mathbf{q}))$ if and only if

- (i) $|b(n, k, m)|^{q_m} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n , for each k ,
- (ii)

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_m \left(\sum_k |b(n, k, m)| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_m} = 0,$$

where $b(n, k, m) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m a(n+j, k)$.

Taking $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ in Theorem 1, we close the proof.

COROLLARY 4. Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \ell_\infty$. Then $\mathbf{A} \in (c_0(\mathbf{p}), f(\mathbf{q}))$ if and only if

- (i) $\sup_{n, m} \sum_k |b(n, k, m)| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} < \infty$ for some $M > 1$,
- (ii) there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in C$ with $|b(n, k, m) - \alpha_k|^{q_m} \rightarrow 0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n , for each k ,
- (iii)

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_m \left(\sum_k |b(n, k, m) - \alpha_k| M^{\frac{-1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_m} = 0,$$

where $\alpha_k = L - \lim_n a_{nk}$.

Proof. Choosing the mapping $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ instead of mapping σ as the transformation mapping, the space $V_\sigma(\mathbf{q})$ of Theorem 2 reduces to $f(\mathbf{q})$. Hence it is proved. □

Acknowledgement

We are indebted to the referee for his valuable suggestions which improved the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] AHMAD, Z. U.—SARASWAT, S. K.: *Matrix transformations between sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **7** (1981), 1–6.
- [2] BANACH, S.: *Theorie des operations linearies*, Warszawa, 1932.
- [3] LORENTZ, G. G.: *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Math. **80** (1948), 167–190.
- [4] LUH, Y.: *Some matrix transformations between the sequence spaces $\ell(p)$, $\ell_\infty(p)$, $c_0(p)$, $c(p)$ and $w(p)$* , Analysis **9** (1989), 67–81.
- [5] MURSALEEN: *On infinite matrices and invariant means*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1979), 457–460.
- [6] MURSALEEN—ZAIDI, S. M. H.: *Infinite matrices and some new sequence spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **37** (1985), 293–297.

- [7] NANDA, S.: *Infinite matrices and almost convergence*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **40** (1976), 173–184.
- [8] SAVAŞ, E.: *A note on some sequence spaces*, Doğa Mat. **15** (1991), 20–24.
- [9] SCHAEFER. P.: *Infinite matrices and invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), 104–110.
- [10] ZAIDI, S. M. H.: *On matrix transformations of some generalized sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **10** (1984), 145–150.

Received March 22, 1993

Revised July 20, 1993

*Department of Mathematics
Firat University
TR-23169, Elazığ
Turkey*