

Sylvia Pulmannová

A note on the extensibility of states

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 2, 177--181

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128574>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## A NOTE ON THE EXTENSIBILITY OF STATES

SYLVIA PULMANNOVÁ

In the paper the extensibility of states from a Boolean subalgebra of a logic to the logic is treated.

### 1. Notation and known results

Let  $(L, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set (*poset*) with the least element 0 and the greatest element 1. An orthocomplementation on  $L$  is a mapping  $a \mapsto a^\perp$  on  $L$  such that (i)  $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$ , (ii)  $a \vee a^\perp$  exists and is equal to 1, and (iii)  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $b^\perp \leq a^\perp$ . A poset admitting an orthocomplementation is called orthocomplemented. A pair  $a, b \in L$  is said to be orthogonal, denoted  $a \perp b$ , if  $a \leq b^\perp$ . An orthocomplemented poset is called an *orthomodular poset* if (i)  $a \perp b$  implies that  $a \vee b$  exists, and (ii)  $a \leq b$  implies that there is a  $d \in L$  such that  $d \perp a$  and  $b = a \vee d$ . An orthomodular poset is called a logic if  $\vee \{a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  exists provided  $a_i \perp a_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ . A logic which is a lattice, will be called a *lattice-logic*.

Let  $L$  be a logic, a mapping  $m: L \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying (i)  $m(1) = 1$ , (ii) if  $\{a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  are pairwise orthogonal, then  $m(\vee_i a_i) = \sum_i m(a_i)$  is called a *state*. The set of all states is strongly convex, i.e. if  $m_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$  are states, then  $m(a) = \sum_i t_i m_i(a)$  ( $a \in L$ ), where  $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_i t_i = 1$  is also a state. A set of states is said to be *quite full for L* if  $\{m \in M: m(a) = 1\} \subset \{m \in M: m(b) = 1\}$  implies  $a \leq b$  [1]. A set  $M$  of states is said to be *unital for L* if for every  $a \in L$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , there exists a state  $m \in M$  such that  $m(a) = 1$  [2]. If  $M$  is quite full for  $L$ , then it is also unital for  $L$  [1].

A subset  $L_0 \subset L$  containing 1 is called a *sublogic* of  $L$  if it is a logic with the same ordering  $\leq$ , orthocomplementation  $\perp$  and the operation  $\vee$  as  $L$ . If the sublogic  $L_0$  of  $L$  is a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra, it is called a *Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra* of  $L$ . Two elements  $a, b \in L$  are said to be *compatible*, written  $a \leftrightarrow b$  if there are elements  $a_1, b_1, c \in L$  mutually orthogonal and such that  $a = a_1 \vee c$ ,  $b = b_1 \vee c$ . A logic  $L$  is a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra if and only if  $a \leftrightarrow b$  for any  $a, b \in L$ . If  $L$  is a lattice-logic, then a collection of elements of  $L$  are mutually compatible if and only if the collection is contained in a Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $L$  [3, 4]. A Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra is called *maximal* if it is not contained in any other Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra.

An element  $q \in L$  is called an *atom* if  $b \leq q$ ,  $b \in L$  implies  $b = 0$  or  $b = q$ . A Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra is *discrete* if it is generated by an at most countable set of atoms.

An observable  $x$  on the logic  $L$  is a  $\sigma$ -homomorphism from the Borel subsets  $B(R)$  of the real line  $R$  to  $L$ . We denote the range of  $x$  by  $R(x)$ .  $R(x)$  is a Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $L$ . If  $x$  is an observable and  $u$  is a Borel function on  $R$ , we define the observable  $u(x)$  by  $u(x)(E) = x(u^{-1}(E))$  for all  $E \in B(R)$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are observables, then  $R(x) \subset R(y)$  if and only if there is a Borel function  $u$  such that  $x = u(y)$  [5]. The *spectrum*  $\sigma(x)$  of the observable  $x$  is the smallest closed set  $C \subset R$  such that  $x(C) = 1$ . An observable  $x$  is *bounded* if its spectrum  $\sigma(x)$  is bounded. Observables  $x, y$  on  $L$  are *compatible*, written  $x \leftrightarrow y$  if  $x(E) \leftrightarrow y(F)$  for any  $E, F \in B(R)$ . Let  $X$  be a set of observables and  $y$  be any observable; we shall write  $y \leftrightarrow X$  if  $y \leftrightarrow x$  for every  $x \in X$ . If  $x$  is an observable and  $m$  is a state, then the *expectation* of  $x$  in the state  $m$  is  $m(x) = \int \lambda m(x(d\lambda))$  if the integral exists.

A logic is *countably generated* if every Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of it is countably generated. If  $L$  is a lattice-logic which is countably generated, then the following theorems hold true [3], [6].

**Theorem 1.** *A subset of  $L$  is the range of an observable if and only if it is a Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra.*

**Theorem 2.**  *$\{x_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  are compatible (i.e.  $x_\alpha \leftrightarrow x_\beta$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in A$ ) if and only if there exist an observable  $x$  and Borel functions  $u_\alpha$  such that  $u_\alpha(x) = x_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ .*

Let  $L(H)$  be the logic consisting of all closed subspaces of a complex, separable Hilbert space  $H$  with  $\dim H \geq 3$ . It is known that  $L(H)$  is a countably generated lattice logic. By the Gleason theorem [7], [4], each state on  $L(H)$  is of the form  $m(a) = \sum_i t_i (\varphi_i, a\varphi_i)$  ( $a \in L(H)$ ), where  $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_i t_i = 1$  and  $\varphi_i \in H$ ,  $\|\varphi_i\| = 1$ . The set of all states is quite full for  $L(H)$ . The (bounded) observables on  $L(H)$  are in a one-to-one correspondence with the (bounded) self-adjoint linear operators on  $L(H)$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  the set of all bounded observables on  $L(H)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}$  is the self-adjoint part of the von Neumann algebra  $B(H)$  of all bounded operators on  $H$ . Any operator  $x \in B(H)$  can be written in the form  $x = x_1 + ix_2$ , where  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ . For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $x \leftrightarrow y$  is equivalent with  $xy = yx$ . If  $A \subset B(H)$  is a von Neumann algebra, then the set of all projection operators in  $A$  is a sublogic of  $L(H)$ . In the sequel we shall need the following theorems [8, p. 68, Ex. 9 and 10]. We recall that the ultraweak topology on  $B(H)$  is defined by the system of seminorms:  $y \in B(H)$ ,  $y \mapsto \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (y\varphi_i, \psi_i) \right|$ , where  $\{\varphi_i\}$  and  $\{\psi_i\}$  are sequences of vectors from  $H$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_i\|^2 < \infty$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\psi_i\|^2 < \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A \subset B(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $g$  a positive linear functional on  $A$ . The restriction of  $g$  to the logic  $L(A)$  consisting of all projection*

operators in  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive state on  $L(A)$  if and only if  $g$  is ultraweakly continuous on  $A$  and  $g(1) = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.** *To every positive, ultraweakly continuous linear functional  $g$  on a von Neumann algebra  $A \subset B(H)$  there exists a positive, ultraweakly continuous linear functional  $g^-$  on the algebra  $B(H)$  such that  $g^-|_A = g$  and  $g^-(1) = g(1)$ .*

## 2. Extensibility of states

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $L$  be a logic such that the set of states  $M$  is unital for  $L$ . Let  $B$  be a discrete Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $L$ . Then any state on  $B$  can be extended to a state on  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  be the set of all atoms in  $B$ . If  $m$  is a state on  $B$ , let us set  $m^*(b) = \sum_i m(a_i) m_i(b)$ ,  $b \in L$ , where  $m_i$  are states on  $L$  such that  $m_i(a_i) = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $m^*(1) = m(\bigvee_i a_i) = \sum_i m(a_i) = 1$ , because the atoms of  $B$  are mutually orthogonal and  $\bigvee_i a_i = 1$ . From this it follows that  $m^*$  is a state on  $L$ . Clearly,  $m^*(a_i) = m(a_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , which implies that  $m^*(b) = m(b)$  for any  $b \in B$ . Q.E.D.

We shall say that the sublogic  $L_0$  of  $L$  has the *extension property* if any state on  $L_0$  can be extended to a state on  $L$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $L$  be a lattice -logic and let the set of all states be unital for  $L$ . Moreover, let any state on  $L$  have the following property:  $m(a) = 1$ ,  $m(b) = 1$  ( $a, b \in L$ ) imply  $m(a \wedge b) = 1$ . Then a finite sublogic  $L_0$  of  $L$ , which is indeed a finite orthomodular sublattice of  $L$ , has the extension property if and only if it is a Boolean subalgebra of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* If  $L_0$  is a Boolean subalgebra, it has the extension property by Theorem 5. Now let  $L_0$  have the extension property. Then to any state  $m$  on  $L_0$  there is a state  $m^*$  on  $L$  such that  $m(b) = m^*(b)$  for any  $b \in L_0$ . From this it follows that  $m(a) = 1$ ,  $m(b) = 1$ ,  $a, b \in L_0$  imply  $m(a \wedge b) = 1$  for any state  $m$  on  $L_0$ . On the other hand, the restriction of a state  $m$  on  $L$  to  $L_0$  is a state on  $L_0$ . From this it follows that the set of states on  $L_0$  is unital for  $L_0$ . By [2, Theorem 4.3],  $L_0$  is a Boolean algebra. Q.E.D.

Theorem 6 for the special case  $L = L(H)$  is proved in [2, Theorem 5.3].

**Theorem 7.** *Any Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of the logic  $L(H)$  has the extension property.*

*Proof.* Let  $B \subset L(H)$  be a Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra. Let  $B''$  be the bicommutant of  $B$  in  $B(H)$ . A theorem of Bade [9], [10, XVII, P. 286] proves, that for a complete Boolean sublattice  $C$  of  $L(H)$  the following holds:

$$C = \{P \in C'' : P \text{ is projection operator}\}.$$

As  $H$  is separable, any Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $L(H)$  is a complete lattice [11]. From this it follows that  $B$  is the logic of all projection operators in the von Neumann algebra  $B''$ . For any  $x \in B''$  we can set  $x = x_1 + ix_2$ , where  $x_1, x_2 \in B''$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $R(x_1), R(x_2) \subset B$  [8]. Let  $m$  be a state on  $B$ . We define a functional  $f$  on  $B''$  by setting

$$f(x) = \int tm(x_1(dt)) + i \int tm(x_2(dt))$$

for  $x \in B''$ ,  $x = x_1 + ix_2$ . We shall show that  $f$  is a positive linear functional on  $B''$ . It is enough to show the linearity of  $f$  on the set of all self-adjoint operators in  $B''$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{O} \cap B''$ . As  $R(x_1)$  and  $R(x_2)$  are contained in the Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $B \subset L(H)$ ,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are compatible. Let  $R(x_1) \vee R(x_2)$  be the minimal Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $L(H)$  containing  $R(x_1)$  and  $R(x_2)$ . Then  $R(x_1) \vee R(x_2) \subset B$ . Let  $x_0$  be an observable with the range  $R(x_0) = R(x_1) \vee R(x_2)$ . There are real Borel functions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that  $x_1 = u_1(x_0)$  and  $x_2 = u_2(x_0)$ . For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) &= \int tm((\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2)(dt)) = \int tm(\alpha u_1(x_0) + \beta u_2(x_0)(dt)) = \\ &= \int (\alpha u_1(t) + \beta u_2(t))m(x_0(dt)) = \alpha \int u_1(t)m(x_0(dt)) + \beta \int u_2(t)m(x_0(dt)) = \\ &= \alpha \int tm(x_1(dt)) + \beta \int tm(x_2(dt)) = \alpha f(x_1) + \beta f(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3,  $f$  is ultraweakly continuous and by Theorem 4 there is a positive, ultraweakly continuous extension  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  to  $B(H)$ . Then  $\tilde{f}/L(H)$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive state on  $L(H)$  and  $\tilde{f}/B = f/B = m$ .

Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] GUDDER, S. P.: Uniqueness and existence properties of bounded observables. Pacific J. Math., 19, 1966, 81—93.
- [2] RÜTTIMANN, G. T.: Jauch-Piron states. J. Math. Phys., 18, 1977, 189—193.
- [3] VARADARAJAN, V. S.: Probability in physics and a theorem of simultaneous observability. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 15, 1962, 189—217.
- [4] VARADARAJAN, V. S.: Geometry of Quantum Theory. Vol. 1, van Nostrand, Princeton 1968.
- [5] GUDDER, S. P.: System of observables in axiomatic quantum mechanics. J. Math. Phys., 8, 1967, 2109—2113.
- [6] GUDDER, S. P.: Spectral methods for a generalized probability theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 119, 1965, 428—442.
- [7] GLEASON, A. M.: Measures on the closed subspaces of a Hilbert space. J. Rat. Mech. Anal., 6, 1957, 885—894.
- [8] DIXMIER, J.: Les algebres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien. Gauthier-villars, Paris 1969.
- [9] BADE, W. G.: On Boolean algebras of projections and algebras of operators. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 80, 1955, 345—360.
- [10] DUNFORD, N.—SCHWARTZ, J. T.: Линейные операторы. Спектральные операторы. МИР, Москва 1974.

[11] ZIERLER, N.: Axioms for non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Pacific J. Math., 11, 1961, 1151—1169.

Received June 18, 1979

*Ústav merania a meracej techniky SAV  
Dúbravská cesta  
885 27 Bratislava*

## ЗАМЕЧАНИЕ О ПРОДОЛЖЕНИИ СОСТОЯНИЙ

Сylvия Пулманнова

### Резюме

В данной статье исследуется возможность продолжения состояний из булевой подалгебры данной логики на всю эту логику.