

Claudia Gomez-Wulschner; Jan Kučera
Sequentially complete inductive limits and regularity

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 3, 697–699

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127921>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SEQUENTIALLY COMPLETE INDUCTIVE LIMITS
AND REGULARITY

CLAUDIA GOMEZ-WULSCHNER and JAN KUČERA, Washington

(Received December 4, 2001)

Abstract. A notion of an almost regular inductive limits is introduced. Every sequentially complete inductive limit of arbitrary locally convex spaces is almost regular.

Keywords: sequential completeness, regular, resp. almost regular, inductive limit of locally convex spaces

MSC 2000: 46A13, 46A30

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$ is a sequence of Hausdorff locally convex spaces with respective topologies τ_n and continuous identity maps $E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Their locally convex inductive limit $\text{ind } E_n$, resp. inductive topology $\text{ind } \tau_n$, is for brevity denoted by E , resp. τ . We also assume E to be Hausdorff.

If X is locally convex space with a topology α and $A \subset X$, we denote the closure of A in X by $\text{cl}_\alpha A$ or $\text{cl}_X A$, and strong dual of X by X' .

Definition. An inductive limit $\text{ind } E_n$ is called *almost regular* if for any set B , bounded in $\text{ind } E_n$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any 0-nbhd $U \in \tau_n$, the closure $\text{cl}_\tau U$ absorbs B .

Lemma 1. *Let X be a locally convex space, Y its completion, U a closed 0-nbhd in X , $V = \text{cl}_Y U$, $x \in X$, $x \notin U$, and $B \subset X$. Then:*

- (a) $x \notin V$,
- (b) B is bounded in X iff it is bounded in Y .

Proof. (a) Take $f \in X'$ such that $f(x) > 1$ and $f(U) \subset (-\infty, 1]$. Let $g \in Y'$ be the continuous extension of f to Y . Then $g(x) = f(x) > 1$ and $g(V) \subset (-\infty, 1]$. Hence $x \notin V$.

(b) Any set bounded in X is also bounded in Y . Let a set $B \subset X$ be bounded in Y and U be a 0-nbhd in X . Then $V = \text{cl}_Y U$ is a 0-nbhd in Y and there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $B \subset \lambda V$. This implies $B = B \cap X \subset \lambda V \cap X = \lambda U$. Hence B is absorbed by U . \square

Lemma 2. Given $\text{ind } E_n$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a 0-nbhd $U_n \in \tau_n$. Put $V_n = \text{cl}_\tau U_n$ and assume that for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $x_{kn} \in E$ such that $x_{kn} \notin kV_n$. For any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick a τ -closed 0-nbhd $W_{kn} \in \tau$ such that $(x_{kn} + W_{kn}) \cap kV_n = \emptyset$. Put $\mathcal{V}_n = \{V_m; m \geq n\}$, $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{kn}; k, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and $M = \bigcap \{(1/n)W; n \in \mathbb{N}, W \in \mathcal{W}\}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by X_n the vector space $\text{cl}_\tau E_n$ equipped with the topology generated by the subbasis, (see [1]), $\mathcal{V}_n \cup \mathcal{W}$, by Y_n the quotient space X_n/M , and by π_n the canonical projection $\text{cl}_\tau E_n \rightarrow \text{cl}_\tau E_n/M$. Then for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the space Y_n is a metrizable locally convex space and $(x_{kn} + M) \cap k\pi_n V_n = \emptyset$.

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by F_n the vector space $\text{cl}_\tau E_n$ with the topology generated by the subbasis \mathcal{W} . Then each quotient space F_n/M is Hausdorff. The space Y_n is also Hausdorff since its topology is stronger than that of F_n/M . The topology of Y_n has a countable subbasis, hence Y_n is metrizable.

The last statement in the lemma is evident. \square

Lemma 3. Let $\text{ind } E_n$, of arbitrary locally convex spaces, be sequentially complete and B an absolutely convex, bounded, and closed set in $\text{ind } E_n$. Then there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B \subset \lambda \text{cl}_\tau(B \cap E_m)$.

Proof. Let $B_n = \text{cl}_\tau(B \cap E_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by F , resp. F_n , the linear span of B , resp. B_n , equipped with the topology generated by the basis $\{k^{-1}B; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, resp. $\{k^{-1}B_n; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By [4, Prop. 1], the space F , as well as all spaces F_n , are Banach. The topology of each F_n is the same as that inherited from F and $F = \bigcup \{F_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Hence $F = \text{ind } F_n$ is a strict inductive limit and the identity map $F \rightarrow \text{ind } F_n$ is continuous. By [3, cor. IV, 6.5], there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F = F_m$ and both spaces have the same topology. Since the set B is bounded in F , there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $B \subset \lambda B_m$. \square

Theorem. Any sequentially complete $\text{ind } E_n$ of arbitrary locally convex spaces E_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is almost regular.

Proof. Assume that $\text{ind } E_n$ is sequentially complete but not almost regular. Then there exists a set B , bounded in $\text{ind } E_n$, such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is

a 0-nbhd $U_n \in \tau_n$ whose closure $\text{cl}_\tau U_n$ does not absorb B . We may assume that B is absolutely convex and τ -closed. By Lemma 3, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{cl}_\tau(B \cap E_m)$ absorbs B . Without loss of generality we may assume $m = 1$.

Since $\text{cl}_\tau U_n$ does not absorb B , there exist, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a point $x_{kn} \in B$ and a τ -closed 0-nbhd $W_{kn} \in \tau$ such that $(x_{kn} + W_{kn}) \cap k \text{cl}_\tau U_n = \emptyset$. Further, we use the same notation as in Lemma 2.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the completion Z_n of Y_n is a Fréchet space, $Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset \dots$, and the identity maps $Z_n \rightarrow Z_{n+1}$ are continuous. The projection $\pi: E_n \rightarrow Y_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is continuous. Hence $\pi: \text{ind } E_n \rightarrow \text{ind } Y_n$ is continuous, too, and the set πB is bounded in $\text{ind } Y_n$ as well as in $\text{ind } Z_n$.

By [3, cor. IV, 6.5] the closure of πB in the topology of $\text{ind } Z_n$ is bounded in some space Z_m . Hence πB is also bounded in Z_m . By Lemma 1, πB is bounded in Y_m . This implies that πB is absorbed by πV_m . But it follows from Lemma 2, that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi x_{km} \in \pi B \setminus k\pi V_m$. We got a contradiction. \square

References

- [1] *J. Horvath*: Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions. Addison-Wesley, 1966 ZBL 0143.15101.
- [2] *B. M. Makarov*: On pathological properties of inductive limits of Banach Spaces. Usp. Mat. Nauk 18, 3 (1963), 171–178. (In Russian.)
- [3] *M. de Wilde*: Closed Graph Theorems and Webbed Spaces. Pitman, London, 1978 ZBL 0373.46007.
- [4] *J. Kučera*: Sequential completeness of LF -spaces. Czechoslovak Math. J. 51(126) (2001), 181–183.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164-3113, USA, e-mail: kucera@math.wsu.edu.