

H. A. S. Abujabal; M. A. Obaid; M. Aslam; Allah-Bakhsh Thaheem
On annihilators of BCK-algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 4, 727–735

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128559>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON ANNIHILATORS OF BCK-ALGEBRAS

H.A.S. ABUJABAL*, M.A. OBAID, Jeddah, M. ASLAM, Islamabad,
and A.B. THAHEEM, Dhahran

(Received March 31, 1994)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a commutative BCK-algebra and A an ideal of X . To any subset B of X we associate the set $(A : B) = \{x \in X : x \wedge B \subseteq A\}$, where $x \wedge B = \{x \wedge y : y \in B\}$. We show that $(A : B)$ is an ideal of X and define it as the generalized annihilator of B (relative to A). If $A = \{0\}$, then $(A : B)$ coincides with the usual annihilator of B (see for instance [4]). These and some other properties of generalized annihilators are contained in Section 3 of this paper. Section 4 contains some applications of generalized annihilators in quotient BCK-algebras and in the theory of prime ideals of BCK-algebras. Using the technique of generalized annihilators, we show that the quotient BCK-algebra of an involutory BCK-algebra is again an involutory BCK-algebra. We also obtain a characterization of prime ideals: A categorical ideal A is prime if and only if $(A : B) = A$ (see Proposition 4.9). Section 2 contains some preliminary material for the development of our results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A BCK-algebra is a system $(X, *, 0, \leq)$ (denoted simply by X), satisfying (i) $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq z * y$; (ii) $x * (x * y) \leq y$; (iii) $x \leq x$; (iv) $0 \leq x$; (v) $x \leq y$, $y \leq x$ imply that $x = y$ and (vi) $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. If X contains an element 1 such that $x \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$, then X is said to be bounded. X is said to be commutative if $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$. A BCK-algebra X is called implicative if $x * (y * x) = x$ for all $x, y \in X$. Every implicative BCK-algebra is commutative and positive implicative.

* Principle author

In any commutative BCK-algebra X the inequality $(x \wedge y) * (x \wedge z) \leq x \wedge (y * z)$ holds for all $x, y, z \in X$ (see [5, 6]). This inequality will be repeatedly used. A proper ideal A of a BCK-algebra X is prime if $x \wedge y \in A$ implies that $x \in A$ or $y \in A$ (see [11]). If X is a BCK-algebra and A is an ideal of X , then we define an equivalence relation \sim on X by $x \sim y$ if and only if $x * y, y * x \in A$. Let C_x denote the equivalence class containing x . Then one can see that $C_0 = A$, $C_x = C_y$ if and only if $x \sim y$. Let X/A denote the set of all equivalence classes C_x , $x \in X$. Then X/A is a BCK-algebra (known as the quotient BCK-algebra) with $C_x * C_y = C_{x*y}$ and $C_x \leq C_y$ if and only if $x * y \in A$, and $C_0 = A$ is the zero of X/A (see for instance [13]). If X is a commutative BCK-algebra, then X/A is commutative [2]. Let X be a commutative BCK-algebra, and let A be a subset of X . Then following [4], we define $A^* = \{x \in X : x \wedge a = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ and call it the annihilator of A ; A^* is an ideal of X . If $A = \{a\}$, then we write $(a)^*$ instead of $(\{a\})^*$. In general, for any ideal A , $A \cap A^* = \{0\}$ and $A \subseteq A^{**}$ where $A^{**} = (A^*)^*$ is the double annihilator of A . If $A = A^{**}$, then A is called an involutory ideal. A commutative BCK-algebra all of whose ideals are involutory is called an involutory BCK-algebra. For instance, any finite commutative BCK-algebra or any implicative BCK-algebra is an involutory BCK-algebra (see [4]). For more information on annihilators and involutory ideals we refer to [4]. A commutative BCK-algebra X is cancellative if $x \wedge y = 0$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ for $x, y \in X$ (see [2]), that is $(x)^* = 0$ for all $x \in X$ with $x \neq 0$. An ideal A of a commutative BCK-algebra X is categorical if $(x \wedge y) \wedge z \in A$ implies that $x \wedge z, y \wedge z \in A$ (see [2]). If the zero ideal is categorical, then X is said to be categorical. Recently, Aslam and Thaheem [5] introduced an ideal $x^{-1}A = \{y \in X : y \wedge x \in A\}$ associated with an element $x \in X$ and an ideal A . It follows from [5] that $A \subseteq x^{-1}A$. An ideal A is prime if and only if $A = x^{-1}A$ for all $x \in X - A$ (see [5, 6]). For an ideal A , $x^{-1}A = X$ if and only if $x \in A$ (see [5]). For the general theory of the BCK-algebra we refer to [13], and for an ideal theory of the BCK-algebra we may refer to [1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14].

3. GENERALIZED ANNIHILATORS

Throughout this section X denotes a commutative BCK-algebra unless mentioned otherwise explicitly. First, we give the definition of the generalized annihilator.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a commutative BCK-algebra and let A be an ideal of X . Suppose that B is a subset of X . Then we define the set $(A : B) = \{x \in X : x \wedge B \subseteq A\}$ as the generalized annihilator of B (relative to A). We observe that if $A = \{0\}$, then $B^* = (0 : B)$ and $(A : B)$ is non-empty because $0 \in (A : B)$.

Remark 3.2. One can observe that if $x \in (A : B)$, then $x \wedge B \subseteq A$ and hence $B \subseteq x^{-1}A$. This implies that $(A : B) = \{x \in X : B \subseteq x^{-1}A\}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be an ideal of X . If $B \subseteq X$, then $(A : B)$ is an ideal of X containing A .*

Proof. Let $x * y, y \in (A : B)$. Then $(x * y) \wedge B \subseteq A, y \wedge B \subseteq A$. This implies that $(x * y) \wedge b \in A, y \wedge b \in A$ for all $b \in B$. Since $(x \wedge b) * (y \wedge b) \leq (x * y) \wedge b$ (cf. Section 2), $(x * y) \wedge b \in A$, and A being an ideal implies that $(x \wedge b) * (y \wedge b) \in A$. Again by the definition of an ideal and the fact that $y \wedge b \in A$, it follows that $x \wedge b \in A$ for all $b \in B$. Thus $x \wedge B \subseteq A$ and consequently $x \in (A : B)$. This proves that $(A : B)$ is an ideal of X . To show that $A \subseteq (A : B)$, let $a \in A$. Then $a \wedge b \leq a$ for all $b \in B$ and A being an ideal implies that $a \wedge b \in A$. This shows that $a \wedge B \subseteq A$ and hence $A \subseteq (A : B)$. \square

Corollary 3.4 [4, Proposition 3.3]. *Let $B \subseteq X$. Then B^* is an ideal of X . In the following proposition, we collect the properties of generalized annihilators.*

Proposition 3.5. *Let A be an ideal of X , let B and C be subsets of X . Then the following hold:*

- (i) if $B \subseteq C$, then $(A : C) \subseteq (A : B)$,
- (ii) $B \subseteq (A : (A : B))$,
- (iii) $(A : B) = (A : (A : (A : B)))$,
- (iv) if B is an ideal of X and $A \subseteq B$, then $(A : B) \cap B = A$,
- (v) $(A : (A : B)) \cap (A : B) = A$,
- (vi) $(A : X) = A$.

Proof. (i) If $x \in (A : C)$, then $x \wedge C \subseteq A$. As $B \subseteq C$, we get $x \wedge B \subseteq x \wedge C$ and consequently $(A : C) \subseteq (A : B)$.

(ii) Let $x \in B$ and $y \in (A : B)$. Then $B \subseteq y^{-1}A$ (Remark 3.2) and hence $x \in y^{-1}A$. This implies that $x \wedge y \in A$ for all $y \in (A : B)$ and hence $x \wedge (A : B) \subseteq A$. This proves that $x \in (A : (A : B))$ and consequently, $B \subseteq (A : (A : B))$. This proves (ii).

(iii) By (ii), $(A : B) \subseteq (A : (A : (A : B)))$. The opposite inclusion $(A : (A : (A : B))) \subseteq (A : B)$ can be obtained by combining (i) and (ii). This proves that $(A : B) = (A : (A : (A : B)))$.

(iv) Let $x \in (A : B) \cap B$. Then $B \subseteq x^{-1}A$ and $x \in B$. This implies that $x \in A$ and hence $(A : B) \cap B \subseteq A$. The opposite inclusion follows from the fact that $A \subseteq (A : B)$ (Proposition 3.3) and $A \subseteq B$. This proves that $(A : B) \cap B = A$.

(v) The proof of (v) follows directly from (iv) and Proposition 3.3.

(vi) Let $x \in X$. Then $x \in (A : X)$ if and only if $x^{-1}A = X$ and only if $x \in A$ (cf. Section 2). This proves that $(A : X) = A$. \square

If we take $A = \{0\}$, then we obtain

Corollary 3.6 [4]. *Let B and C be subsets of X . Then the following hold:*

- (i) *If $B \subseteq C$ then $C^* \subseteq B^*$,*
- (ii) *$B \subseteq B^{**}$,*
- (iii) *$B^* = B^{***}$,*
- (iv) *if B is an ideal of X , then $B \cap B^* = \{0\}$,*
- (v) *$X^* = \{0\}$,*
- (vi) *$B^* = X$ if and only if $B = \{0\}$.*

Proposition 3.7. *Let A, B be ideals of X and let C be a subset of X . Then*

$$(A : C) \cap (B : C) = (A \cap B : C).$$

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Then $x \in (A \cap B : C)$ if and only if $x \wedge C \subseteq A \cap B$ if and only if $x \wedge C \subseteq A$ and $x \wedge C \subseteq B$ if and only if $x \in (A : C) \cap (B : C)$. This proves that $(A : C) \cap (B : C) = (A \cap B : C)$. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let A be an ideal of X , and let B, C be subsets of X . Then $(A : B \cup C) = (A : B) \cap (A : C)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Then $x \in (A : B \cup C)$ if and only if $B \cup C \subseteq x^{-1}A$ if and only if $B \subseteq x^{-1}A$ and $C \subseteq x^{-1}A$ if and only if $x \in (A : B) \cap (A : C)$. This proves that $(A : C) \cap (A : C) = (A : B \cup C)$. \square

If we choose $A = \{0\}$, then we obtain

Corollary 3.9 [4, Proposition 3.5]. *Let B and C be subsets of X . Then $(B \cup C)^* = B^* \cap C^*$.*

Proposition 3.10. *If A is a categorical ideal of X and B is any subset of X , then $(A : B)$ is a prime ideal of X .*

Proof. Assume that $x, y \in X$ and $x \wedge y \notin (A : B)$. Then $B \not\subseteq (x \wedge y)^{-1}A$ and hence there exists $b \in B$ such that $b \notin (x \wedge y)^{-1}A$. This means that $b \wedge (x \wedge y) \notin A$. Since A is categorical (cf. Section 2), we have $b \wedge x \notin A$ and $b \wedge y \notin A$. Thus $B \not\subseteq x^{-1}A$ and $B \not\subseteq y^{-1}A$. Consequently $x \notin (A : B)$ and $y \notin (A : B)$. This proves that $(A : B)$ is a prime ideal of X . \square

The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 3.10 is not true in general.

Example 3.11. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, d, e, f, 1\}$. Define the binary operation $*$ in X as in the following table:

$*$	0	a	b	c	d	e	f	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	a	0	0	a	0
b	b	b	0	b	0	b	0	0
c	c	c	c	0	c	0	0	0
d	d	b	a	d	0	b	a	0
e	e	c	e	a	c	0	a	0
f	f	f	c	b	c	b	0	0
1	1	f	e	d	c	b	a	0

Table 1

Then X is a bounded commutative BCK-algebra, and $(c)^* = \{0, a, b, d\}$, $(f)^* = \{0, a\}$ are ideals of X . Let $A = \{0, \alpha\}$ and $B = \{c\}$. Then A is not a categorical ideal because $f \wedge (d \wedge c) = 0 \in A$ but $f \wedge d = b \notin A$, $f \wedge c = c \notin A$. Also $(A : B) = \{x \in X : B \subseteq x^{-1}A\} = \{x \in X : x \wedge c \in A\} = \{0, a, b, d\}$ (see Table 2), which is a prime ideal.

\wedge	0	a	b	c	d	e	f	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	0	0	a	a	0	a
b	0	0	b	0	b	0	b	b
c	0	0	0	c	0	c	c	c
d	0	a	b	0	d	a	b	d
e	0	a	0	c	a	e	c	e
f	0	0	b	c	b	c	f	f
1	0	a	b	c	d	e	f	1

Table 2

4. SOME APPLICATIONS

This section is devoted to some applications of generalized annihilators. We prove some properties of the involutory BCK-algebra. We also obtain a characterization for a categorical ideal to be prime.

Let A be an ideal of a BCK-algebra X . Consider the quotient BCK-algebra X/A . If J/A is a subset of X/A , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (J/A)^* &= \{C_x : C_x \wedge J/A = A\} \quad (\text{cf. Section 2}) \\
 &= \{C_x : C_x \wedge C_y = A \text{ for all } C_y \in J/A\} \\
 &= \{C_x : C_{x \wedge y} = A \text{ for all } C_y \in J/A\} \\
 &= \{C_x : x \wedge y \in A \text{ for all } y \in J\} \\
 &= \{C_x : x \wedge J \subseteq A\} = \{C_x : x \in (A : J)\} \\
 &= \{C_x : J \subseteq x^{-1}A\} \quad (\text{by Remark 3.2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we discuss the annihilator of an element of X/A . Let $C_x \in X/A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C_x)^* &= \{C_y : C_x \wedge C_y = A\} = \{C_y : C_{x \wedge y} = A\} \\
 &= \{C_y : x \wedge y \in A\} = \{C_y : y \in x^{-1}A\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in A$, then $x^{-1}A = X$ (cf. Section 2) and hence $(C_x)^* = X/A$. If A is a prime ideal of X and C_x is a non-zero element of J/A , then $x \notin A$ and hence $x^{-1}A = A$ (cf. Section 2). This implies that $(C_x)^* = A$ (the zero element of X/A). All these observations lead to

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be an ideal of a BCK-algebra X , let J/A be a subset of X/A and C_x an element of X/A . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $(J/A)^* = \{C_x : x \in (A : J)\} = \{C_x : J \subseteq x^{-1}A\}$,
- (ii) $(C_x)^* = \{C_y : y \in x^{-1}A\}$,
- (iii) if A is a prime ideal of X and $C_x \neq A$ (non-zero element of X/A), then $(C_x)^* = A$ (zero of X/A),
- (iv) $(J/A)^{**} = \{C_x : x \in (A : (A : J))\}$.

Part (iii) of the above proposition can be reformulated as

Corollary 4.2 [3, Proposition 3.2]. *If A is a prime ideal of a BCK-algebra X , then X/A is cancellative.*

Observe that if X is a cancellative involutory BCK-algebra, then it is simple. Indeed, if A is an ideal of X , then

$$A = A^{**} = \bigcap_{x \in A} *(x)^*.$$

Since X is cancellative, therefore $(x)^* = \{0\}$ for all non-zero elements $x \in X$ and hence $A = \{0\}$ or $A = X$. This proves that X is simple. In fact, we have

Proposition 4.3. *Let X be an involutory BCK-algebra. Then X is cancellative if and only if X is simple.*

If A and B are ideals of a BCK-algebra X , then we have seen that $B \subseteq (A : (A : B))$ (Proposition 3.5 (ii)). The following theorem says that for certain classes of BCK-algebras the equality may occur.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be an involutory BCK-algebra, and let A, B be ideals in X such that $A \subseteq B$. Then $B = (A : (A : B))$.*

Proof. $B \subseteq (A : (A : B))$ follows from Proposition 3.5 (part (ii)). To prove that $(A : (A : B)) \subseteq B$, assume that $x \notin B$. If we show that $x \notin (A : (A : B))$, then the proof is complete. Since X is an involutory BCK-algebra, therefore $B = B^{**}$ (cf. [5]). This implies that $x \wedge y \neq 0$ for some $y \in B^*$ and hence $x \wedge y \in B^*$, because B^* is an ideal of X (cf. Section 2). Since $B \cap B^* = \{0\}$, therefore $x \wedge y \notin B$ and consequently $x \wedge y \notin A$ ($A \subseteq B$). The expression $(x \wedge y) \wedge B = \{0\} \subseteq A$ follows from the fact that $x \wedge y \in B^*$. This implies that $B \subseteq (x \wedge y)^{-1}A$ and hence $x \wedge y \in (A : B)$. Since $(A : (A : B)) \cap (A : B) = A$ (Proposition 3.5 (v)), therefore $x \wedge y \notin (A : (A : B))$ because $x \wedge y \notin A$ and $x \wedge y \in (A : B)$. It follows that $x \notin (A : (A : B))$, because if $x \in (A : (A : B))$, then $(A : (A : B))$ being an ideal implies that $x \wedge y \in (A : (A : B))$, a contradiction. Thus we have shown that $x \notin B$ implies that $x \notin (A : (A : B))$. In other words, $(A : (A : B)) \subseteq B$ and hence $(A : (A : B)) = B$.

It is well-known that the quotient algebra of a commutative BCK-algebra is commutative [3]. If A is an ideal of X then there is a one to one correspondence between ideals of X containing A and ideals of X/A (see [3, Theorem 2.3]). Thus an ideal X/A is of the form B/A for an ideal B of X and such that $A \subseteq B$. By Proposition 4.1 (iv), we have $(B/A)^{**} = (B : (B : A))/A$. This observation and the above theorem lead to □

Corollary 4.5. *If X is an involutory BCK-algebra, then every quotient BCK-algebra of X is an involutory BCK-algebra.*

The following proposition gives a characterization of the prime ideal.

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be an ideal of involutory BCK-algebra X . Then X/A is simple if and only if A is prime.*

Proof. Let A be a prime ideal of X . Then X/A is a cancellative (Corollary 4.2) and involutory BCK-algebra (Proposition 4.5). This implies that X/A is simple (Proposition 4.3). Conversely, assume that X/A is simple. This implies that X/A is cancellative (Proposition 4.3). Let $x \wedge y \in A$. Then $C_{x \wedge y} = A$, $C_x \wedge C_y = A$. Since

X/A is cancellative, therefore $C_x = A$ or $C_y = A$. Consequently, $x \in A$ or $y \in A$ and this implies that A is prime. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we obtain another characterization of prime ideals by using the notion of generalized annihilators. First, we prove

Proposition 4.7. *Let X be a BCK-algebra, let A be an ideal in X and $B \subseteq X$. Then $(A : B) = X$ if and only if $B \subseteq A$.*

Proof. Let $B \subseteq A$. Since A is an ideal of X , therefore $x \wedge B \subseteq A$ for all $x \in X$. This proves that $X \subseteq (A : B)$ and consequently $(A : B) = X$. Conversely, assume that $(A : B) = X$. We will show that $B \subseteq A$. Suppose that $B \not\subseteq A$. Then there exists $b \in B$ such that $b \notin A$. Since $(A : B) = X$, therefore $x \wedge B \subseteq A$ for all $x \in X$. In particular, $b \wedge B \subseteq A$. This implies that $b \wedge b = b \in A$, which is a contradiction, and hence $B \subseteq A$. \square

Proposition 4.8. *If A is a prime ideal and $(A : B)$ is a proper ideal of a BCK-algebra X , then $(A : B) = A$.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that $(A : B) \neq A$. Since $A \subseteq (A : B)$ (Proposition 3.3) therefore there exists $x \in (A : B)$ such that $x \notin A$ and hence $B \subseteq x^{-1}A$. A being prime ideal implies that $A = x^{-1}A$ (Proposition 3.4). This shows that $B \subseteq A$ and hence by Proposition 4.7, $(A : B) = X$, which is a contradiction because $(A : B)$ is a proper subset of X . This proves that $(A : B) = A$. \square

Proposition 4.9. *Let A be a categorical ideal of a BCK-algebra X . Then A is prime if and only if $(A : B) = A$ for $B \subseteq X$.*

Proof. Let A be a prime ideal of X . Then $(A : B) = A$ follows from Proposition 4.8. Conversely, assume that $(A : B) = A$. We shall show that A is prime. Suppose that $x, y \in X$ and $x \wedge y \notin A$. Since $(A : B) = A$, therefore $x \wedge y \notin (A : B)$. This implies that $B \not\subseteq (x \wedge y)^{-1}A$ and there exists $b \in B$ such that $b \notin (x \wedge y)^{-1}A$. This means that $b \wedge (x \wedge y) \notin A$. Since A is a categorical ideal, therefore $b \wedge x \notin A$, $b \wedge y \notin A$. As $b \wedge x \leq x$ if $x \in A$, A being an ideal implies that $b \wedge x \in A$, which is not possible, and hence $x \notin A$. Similarly $y \notin A$ and this proves that A is a prime ideal. \square

Acknowledgement. One of the authors (A. B. Thaheem) thanks to KFUPM for providing excellent research facilities. The authors would like to thank the referee whose valuable comments helped in shaping the paper into the present form.

References

- [1] *H.A.S. Abujabal, M. Aslam and A.B. Thaheem*: A Characterization of minimal prime ideals of BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica* 37 (1992), 973–978.
- [2] *J. Ahsan and A.B. Thaheem*: On ideals in BCK-algebras. *Math. Sem. Notes* 5 (1977), 167–171.
- [3] *J. Ahsan, E.Y. Deeba and A.B. Thaheem*: On prime ideals of BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica* 36 (1991), 875–882.
- [4] *M. Aslam and A.B. Thaheem*: On certain ideals of BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica* 36 (1991), 895–906.
- [5] *M. Aslam and A.B. Thaheem*: On ideals of BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica*. To appear.
- [6] *M. Aslam and A.B. Thaheem*: New proof of prime ideal theorem for BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica* 38 (1993), 969–972.
- [7] *W.H. Cornish*: On Iseki's BCK-algebras. *Algebraic Structures and Applications. Proc. of the First Western Australian Conference on Algebra*, Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, 1982, pp. 101–122.
- [8] *W.H. Cornish*: Varieties generated by finite BCK-algebras. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 22 (1980), 411–430.
- [9] *C.S. Hoo*: Bounded commutative BCK-algebras satisfying D.C.C.. *Math. Japonica* 32 (1987), 217–225.
- [10] *C.S. Hoo and P.V. Ramana Murty*: The ideals of bounded commutative BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica* 32 (1987), 723–733.
- [11] *K. Iseki*: On some ideals in BCK-algebra. *Math. Sem. Notes* 3 (1975), 65–70.
- [12] *K. Iseki and S. Tanaka*: Ideal theory of BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica* 21 (1976), 351–366.
- [13] *K. Iseki and S. Tanaka*: An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica* 23 (1978), 1–26.
- [14] *K. Iseki*: On finite BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica* 25 (1980), 225–229.

Authors' addresses: H. A. S. Abujabal, M. A. Obaid: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. BOX 31464, Jeddah 21497, Saudi Arabia; M. Aslam: Department of Mathematics, Quadi-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan; A. B. Thaheem: Department of Mathematical Sciences, King Fahad University of Petroleum and Minerals, P.O. BOX 469, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia.