

Applications of Mathematics

Beloslav Riečan

On the entropy and generators of dynamical systems

Applications of Mathematics, Vol. 41 (1996), No. 3, 161–168

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134319>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE ENTROPY AND GENERATORS
OF DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

BELOSLAV RIEČAN, Bratislava

(Received July 16, 1992)

Summary. Recently D. Dumitrescu ([4], [5]) introduced a new kind of entropy of dynamical systems using fuzzy partitions ([1], [6]) instead of usual partitions (see also [7], [11], [12]). In this article a representation theorem is proved expressing the entropy of the dynamical system by the entropy of a generating partition.

Keywords: entropy of dynamical systems, fuzzy partitions, entropy of generating partition

AMS classification: 28D20

0. INTRODUCTION

Given a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) and a measure preserving transformation $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, Kolmogorov and Sinaj constructed an invariant $h(T)$ called the entropy of the dynamical system $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P, T)$. With help of the invariant they showed that there exist non-isomorphic Bernoulli schemes. Namely, if $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{S}_1, P_1, T_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{S}_2, P_2, T_2)$ are two isomorphic dynamical systems, then they have the same entropy $h(T_1) = h(T_2)$. Hence if in some case $h(T_1) \neq h(T_2)$, then $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{S}_1, P_1, T_1)$, $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{S}_2, P_2, T_2)$ cannot be isomorphic. (For references see [12].)

Of course, if $h(T_1) = h(T_2)$, then generally we cannot say anything about the isomorphism of the corresponding dynamical systems. Therefore we tried in [7] to construct a larger family of invariants $h_{\mathcal{G}}(T)$ by substituting set partitions by fuzzy set partitions, i.e., collections $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ of functions $f_i: \Omega \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that $f_1 + \dots + f_n = 1$.

The Dumitrescu approach ([4], [5] and also [12]) is a little more general. He does not assume the existence of a probability space and starts with a fuzzy probability

space which is a set $\mathcal{F} \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle^\Omega$ with a function $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ satisfying some conditions. Of course, using a representation theorem from [3], we obtain

$$m(f) = \int f \, dP$$

so that the Dumitrescu theory can be reduced to the case studied in [7]. Another approach using fuzzy sets theory has been developed by Markechová ([8], [9], see also Mesiar [10]).

Probably one of the most important results of the theory for practical purposes is the Kolmogorov-Sinaj theorem stating that

$$h(T) = h(T, \mathcal{A}),$$

whenever \mathcal{A} is a partition generating the given σ -algebra. An analogue of this theorem is contained in [7]:

$$h_{\mathcal{G}}(T) \leq h(T, \mathcal{A}) + K_{\mathcal{G}},$$

where $K_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a constant depending on the family $\mathcal{G} \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle^\Omega$ determining $h_{\mathcal{G}}(T)$. (Of course, if \mathcal{G} consists of indicators χ_E only, then $K_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$.) Here \mathcal{A} is a crisp partition generating the given algebra of sets. In this paper we present a variant of the Kolmogorov-Sinaj theorem in the form $h_{\mathcal{G}}(T) = h(T, \mathcal{A})$, of course, in the case that \mathcal{A} is a fuzzy partition generating the given fuzzy σ -algebra \mathcal{F} .

1. DEFINITIONS

We assume that a set $\mathcal{F} \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle^X$ is given satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $1_X \in \mathcal{F}$,
- (ii) $f, g \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow g - \min(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$,
- (iii) $f, g \in \mathcal{F}, f + g \leq 1 \Rightarrow f + g \in \mathcal{F}$,
- (iv) $f, g \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}$.

We say that a family satisfying the conditions stated above is a fuzzy algebra. Evidently $0_X \in \mathcal{F}$. It is not difficult to show that \mathcal{F} is closed under the maximum and the minimum. Indeed, if $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$fS_{\infty}g = \min(f + g, 1) = 1 - (1 - f - \min(1 - f, g)) \in \mathcal{F},$$

$$fT_{\infty}g = \max(f + g - 1, 0) = g - \min(1 - f, g) \in \mathcal{F},$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\min(f, g) &= \max(f + \min(g - f, 0), 0) \\
&= \max(f + \min((1 - f) + g, 1) - 1, 0) \\
&= fT_\infty((1 - f)S_\infty g) \in \mathcal{F}, \\
\max(f, g) &= 1 - \min(1 - f, 1 - g) \in \mathcal{F}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further we assume that a function $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ is given satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $f, g \in \mathcal{F}, f \leq g \Rightarrow m(f) \leq m(g)$,
 - (ii) $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}, f = g + h \Rightarrow m(f) = m(g) + m(h)$.
- As an easy consequence of (i) and (ii) we obtain
- (iii) $f \in \mathcal{F}, f_i \in \mathcal{F} (i = 1, \dots, n), f \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \Rightarrow m(f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n m(f_i)$.

Indeed, if $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}, f \leq g + h$, then also $f \leq \min(g + h, 1) \in \mathcal{F}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
m(f) &\leq m(\min(g + h, 1)) = m(g) + m(\min(g + h, 1) - g) \\
&= m(g) + m(\min(h, 1 - g)) \leq m(g) + m(h).
\end{aligned}$$

The third part of our assumptions is concerned with a mapping $U: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}, f = g + h \Rightarrow U(f) = U(g) + U(h)$,
- (ii) $U(1_X) = 1_X$,
- (iii) $f \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow m(U(f)) = m(f)$.

As a special case of the previous definition one can consider a mapping $U: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ induced by a transformation $T: X \rightarrow X$ by the formula $Uf(x) = f(T(x))$. In fact, this is the classical case.

As we have mentioned, a fuzzy partition is a finite collection $\mathcal{A} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ of members of \mathcal{F} such that $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$. If $\mathcal{A} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is a fuzzy partition, then we define $U\mathcal{A} = \{Uf_1, \dots, Uf_n\}$. Evidently $U\mathcal{A}$ is a fuzzy partition, too. If $\mathcal{A} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}, \mathcal{B} = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ are two partitions, then we put

$$\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} = \{f_i \cdot g_j; i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Also $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}$ is a partition. The entropy of the partition \mathcal{A} is defined by the formula

$$H(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(m(f_i)),$$

where

$$\varphi(x) = -x \log x, \quad \text{if } x > 0, \varphi(0) = 0.$$

The entropy of the dynamical system (X, \mathcal{F}, m, U) is defined as follows. If \mathcal{A} is a partition, then

$$h(U, \mathcal{A}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\mathcal{A} \vee U\mathcal{A} \vee \dots \vee U^{(n-1)}\mathcal{A}).$$

(The existence of the limit follows by the subadditivity property ($a_{n+m} \leq a_n + a_m$) of the sequence $(a_n)_n$, where $a_n = H(\mathcal{A} \vee U\mathcal{A} \vee \dots \vee U^{n-1}\mathcal{A})$.) Finally, if $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$, then

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}(U) = \sup \{h(U, \mathcal{A}); \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A} \text{ is a partition}\}.$$

2. THEOREM

In order to be able to formulate the main result of the article we need the following notation. If $f, g: X \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, then

$$f \Delta g = f(1 - g) + g(1 - f).$$

(Of course, if f, g are indicators, $f = \chi_A, g = \chi_B$, then $f \Delta g = \chi_{A \Delta B}$.)

Theorem. *If $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{G}$ is a generator (i.e., such a partition that for every $\lambda > 0$ and every $f \in \mathcal{G}$ there is $g \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} U^i \mathcal{C}$ such that $m(f \Delta g) < \lambda$), then*

$$h_{\mathcal{G}}(U) = h(U, \mathcal{C}).$$

3. PROOF

The main idea of the proof can be found in [2]. We shall divide it into a sequence of lemmas. Denote by $s(\mathcal{A})$ the fuzzy algebra generated by \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 1. *For a given partition $\mathcal{A} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ and every $\delta > 0$ there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for every family $\mathcal{B} = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ with $m(f_i \Delta g_i) < \lambda$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) there is a partition $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\} \subset s(\mathcal{B})$ such that $m(f_i \Delta h_i) < \delta$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).*

Proof. Put

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= g_1, \\ h_i &= \min \left(g_i, 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} h_j \right), \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1, \\ h_n &= 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ is a partition included in $s(\mathcal{B})$. Let $1 < i < n$. Then

$$(*) \quad m(f_i \Delta h_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m(h_j \Delta f_j) + m(f_i \Delta g_i).$$

Indeed, if $h_i(x) = g_i(x)$, then

$$h_i \Delta f_i(x) = f_i \Delta g_i(x).$$

If $h_i(x) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} h_j(x) \leq g_i(x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(x)(1 - f_i(x)) &\leq g_i(x)(1 - f_i(x)) \leq f_i \Delta g_i(x), \\ f_i(x)(1 - h_i(x)) &= f_i(x) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} h_j(x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - f_j(x))h_j(x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} h_j \Delta f_j(x) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$h_i \Delta f_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} h_j \Delta f_j + f_i \Delta g_i,$$

which implies (*). Now let $i = n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - h_n(x))f_n(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j(x)f_n(x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j(x)(1 - f_j(x)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j \Delta f_j(x), \\ (1 - f_n(x))h_n(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j(x)h_n(x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j(x)(1 - h_j(x)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j \Delta h_j(x), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(**) \quad m(f_n \Delta h_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} m(h_j \Delta f_j).$$

Since $m(f_i \Delta g_i) < \lambda$, we obtain by (*) and (**) that

$$m(h_i \Delta f_i) < 2^{i-1} \lambda \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Therefore we can put $\lambda = \frac{\delta}{2^{n-1}}$. □

For any partitions $\mathcal{A} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ we define the conditional entropy

$$H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ m(h_j) > 0}}^m m(h_j) \varphi \left(\frac{m(f_i h_j)}{m(h_j)} \right).$$

Lemma 2. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}) < \varepsilon$ for any partitions $\mathcal{A} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ satisfying the condition $m(f_i \Delta h_i) < \delta$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

Proof. First choose $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\varphi(t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$ for every $t \notin (\delta_0, 1 - \delta_0)$ and put

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{\delta_0}{2} m(f_i); m(f_i) > 0 \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(f_i) &\leq m(f_i \Delta h_i) + m(h_i) < \delta + m(h_i) < \delta_0 \frac{m(f_i)}{2} + m(h_i), \\ \frac{m(f_i)}{2} &< m(f_i) - \delta_0 \frac{m(f_i)}{2} < m(h_i), \\ m(h_i) - m(f_i h_i) &\leq m(h_i \Delta f_i) \leq \delta \leq \delta_0 m(h_i). \end{aligned}$$

If we consider such an i that $m(h_i) > 0$, then

$$\frac{m(f_i h_i)}{m(h_i)} > 1 - \delta_0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left(\frac{m(f_i h_i)}{m(h_i)} \right) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{n}, \\ H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}) &= \sum_i \sum_j m(h_i) \varphi \left(\frac{m(f_i h_i)}{m(h_i)} \right) < \sum_i \sum_j m(h_i) \frac{\varepsilon}{n} = \sum_j \frac{\varepsilon}{n} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3. $h(U, \mathcal{A}) \leq h(U, \mathcal{C}) + H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{C})$ for any partitions \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Since $h(\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{D}) = H(\mathcal{B}) + H(\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{B})$ (see [5], [6]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} U^i \mathcal{A} \right) &\leq H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} U^i \mathcal{A} \vee \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} U^j \mathcal{C} \right) \\ &= H \left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} U^j \mathcal{C} \right) + H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} U^i \mathcal{A} \middle| \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} U^j \mathcal{C} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Further $H(\mathcal{D} \vee \mathcal{E} | \mathcal{B}) \leq H(\mathcal{D} | \mathcal{B}) + H(\mathcal{E} | \mathcal{B})$, $H(\mathcal{D} | \mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{E}) \leq H(\mathcal{D} | \mathcal{B})$ (see [5], [6]), hence

$$\begin{aligned} H\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} U^i \mathcal{A} \middle| \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} U^j \mathcal{C}\right) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} H\left(U^i \mathcal{A} \middle| \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} U^j \mathcal{C}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} H(U^i \mathcal{A} | U^i \mathcal{C}) = nH(\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} U^i \mathcal{A}\right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} U^j \mathcal{C}\right) + H(\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{C}).$$

□

Lemma 4. $h(U, \mathcal{C}) = h\left(U, \bigvee_{j=0}^k U^j \mathcal{C}\right)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any partition \mathcal{C} .

Proof. We immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} U^i \left(\bigvee_{j=0}^k U^j \mathcal{C} \right) \right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{t=0}^{n+k-1} U^t \mathcal{C}\right) \\ &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{p-k} \cdot \frac{1}{p} H\left(\bigvee_{t=0}^{p-1} U^t \mathcal{C}\right) = h(U, \mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem. Let ε be an arbitrary positive number. Choose $\delta > 0$ by Lemma 2 and $\lambda > 0$ by Lemma 1. Now let $\mathcal{A} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subset \mathcal{G}$ be any partition. For every i there are $t_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_i \in U^{t_i} \mathcal{C}$ such that $m(f_i \Delta g_i) < \lambda$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). For sufficiently large k we have $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^k U^j \mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 1 there is a partition $\mathcal{B} = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ such that $h_i \in \bigcup_{j=0}^k U^j \mathcal{C}$ and $m(f_i \Delta h_i) < \delta$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). By Lemma 2 we obtain $H(\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{B}) < \varepsilon$, by Lemma 4 we have

$$h\left(U, \bigcup_{j=0}^k U^j \mathcal{C}\right) = H(U, \mathcal{C}).$$

Therefore by Lemma 3 we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} h(U, \mathcal{A}) &\leq h(U, \mathcal{B}) + H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}) < h(U, \mathcal{B}) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq h\left(U, \bigcup_{j=0}^k U^j \mathcal{C}\right) + \varepsilon = h(U, \mathcal{C}) + \varepsilon, \\ H_{\mathcal{G}}(U) = \sup\{H(U, \mathcal{A}); \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{G}\} &\leq h(U, \mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] *J. L. Bezdek*: Pattern Recognition with Fuzzy Objective Function Algorithms. Plenum Press, New York, 1981.
- [2] *P. Billingsley*: Ergodic Theory and Information. Willey, New York, 1965.
- [3] *D. Butnariu, E. P. Klement*: Triangular norm-based measures and their Markov kernel representation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 169 (1991), 111–143.
- [4] *D. Dumitrescu*: Measure preserving transformation and the entropy of fuzzy partition. 13th Linz seminar on fuzzy set theory (Linz 1991), 25–27.
- [5] *D. Dumitrescu*: Fuzzy measures and the entropy of fuzzy partitions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 176 (1993), 359–373.
- [6] *K. Kuriyama*: Entropy of a finite partition of fuzzy sets. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 94 (1983), 38–43.
- [7] *P. Maličký, B. Riečan*: On the entropy of dynamical systems. Proc. Ergodic theory and related topics II (Georgenthal 1986). Teubner, Berlin, 1987, 135–138.
- [8] *D. Markechová*: The entropy of F -quantum spaces. *Math. Slovaca* 40 (1990), 177–190.
- [9] *D. Markechová*: The entropy of fuzzy dynamical systems and generators. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 48 (1992), 351–363.
- [10] *R. Mesiar*: The Bayes formula and the entropy of fuzzy probability spaces. *Int. J. General Systems* 20 (1990), 67–71.
- [11] *B. Riečan*: On a type of entropy of dynamical systems. *Tatra Mountains Math. Publications*.
- [12] *D. J. Rudolph*: Fundamentals of Measurable Dynamics. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [13] *J. Rybárik*: The entropy of Q - F -dynamical systems. *Busefal* 48 (1991), 24–26.

Author's address: Beloslav Riečan, Matematický ústav SAV, Štefánikova 49, 81473 Bratislava, Slovak Republic.