

Ján Regenda

Oscillation theorems for a class of linear fourth order differential equations

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 1, 113–120

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101930>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

OSCILLATION THEOREMS FOR A CLASS OF LINEAR FOURTH
ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JÁN REGENDA, Bratislava

(Received December 6, 1982)

1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper is a study of the oscillation of the differential equation

$$(R) \quad (L[y] =) y^{(4)} + P(t) y'' + R(t) y' + Q(t) y = 0$$

where $P(t)$, $R(t)$, $Q(t)$ are real-valued continuous functions on the interval $I = \langle a, \infty \rangle$, $-\infty < a < \infty$.

We shall assume throughout that

$$(B) \quad P(t) \leq 0, \quad R(t) \leq 0, \quad R^2(t) \leq 2 P(t) Q(t)$$

for all $t \in I$ and $Q(t)$ not identically zero in any interval of I .

One can verify easily that the above assumptions are satisfied if $P(t) \leq R(t) \leq 0$, $2 Q(t) \leq R(t)$ for all $t \in I$.

This paper is the continuation of [6]. So we shall use the notations and results obtained earlier, without explaining them again here. There are proved some asymptotic properties of a solution $z(t)$ with $z(t) > 0$, $z'(t) < 0$, $z''(t) > 0$ and $z'''(t) \leq 0$ on I . Oscillation theorems for equation (R) will be obtained by an application of the theory developed in [6]. For typical results on the subject we refer to the papers [1, 3, 4, 5, 6].

2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by formulating preparatory results which are needed in proving theorems in section 3.

Theorem 1 [6]. *Suppose that (B) holds. Then (R) is oscillatory if and only if for every nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ of (R) there holds either*

$$(1) \quad y(t) y'(t) > 0, \quad y(t) y''(t) > 0, \quad y(t) y'''(t) > 0$$

on $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ for some $t_0 \in I$, or

$$(1') \quad y(t) y'(t) < 0$$

on I .

Suppose that every nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ of (R) satisfies the conditions (1) or (1'). We will construct two linearly independent oscillatory solutions u and v of (R). The proof of it is similar to what was done in [1].

Throughout the remainder of this paper let z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 denote solutions of (R) defined on I by the initial conditions

$$z_i^{(j)}(a) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j \\ 1, & i = j \end{cases} \text{ for } i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

For each natural number $n > a$ let $b_{0n}, b_{3n}, c_{2n}, c_{3n}$ be numbers satisfying

$$(2) \quad b_{0n}^2 + b_{3n}^2 = c_{2n}^2 + c_{3n}^2 = 1,$$

$$(3) \quad b_{0n} z_0(n) + b_{3n} z_3(n) = 0,$$

$$c_{2n} z_2(n) + c_{3n} z_3(n) = 0.$$

Define $u_n(t)$ and $v_n(t)$ to be the solutions of (R) given by

$$u_n(t) = b_{0n} z_0(t) + b_{3n} z_3(t), \quad v_n(t) = c_{2n} z_2(t) + c_{3n} z_3(t).$$

By (2) there exists a sequence $\{n_k\}$ of natural numbers and numbers b_0, b_3, c_2, c_3 such that the sequences $\{b_{0n_k}\}, \{b_{3n_k}\}, \{c_{2n_k}\}$ and $\{c_{3n_k}\}$ converge to b_0, b_3, c_2 and c_3 , respectively, where

$$b_0^2 + b_3^2 = c_2^2 + c_3^2 = 1.$$

Let u and v be the solutions of (R) given by

$$u(t) = b_0 z_0(t) + b_3 z_3(t), \quad v(t) = c_2 z_2(t) + c_3 z_3(t).$$

Suppose u is nonoscillatory solution of (R). Since u is satisfying either (1) or (1') and $u'(a) = 0$, there exists a number $t_0 > a$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$

$$\operatorname{sgn} u(t) = \operatorname{sgn} u^{(j)}(t), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let τ be any number greater than t_0 . Since $\{u_{n_k}(\tau)\}, \{u'_{n_k}(\tau)\}, \{u''_{n_k}(\tau)\}$ and $\{u'''_{n_k}(\tau)\}$ converge to $u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)$ and $u'''(\tau)$, respectively, there exists a natural number n_0 such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} u_{n_k}(\tau) = \operatorname{sgn} u_{n_k}^{(j)}(\tau), \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

for all $n_k > n_0$. Hence by Lemma 2 [6]

$$\operatorname{sgn} u_{n_k}(t) = \operatorname{sgn} u_{n_k}^{(j)}(t), \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

for all $t > \tau$ and $n_k > n_0$. But this is a contradiction since $u_{n_k}(n_k) = 0$ for all natural numbers n_k . Therefore, u is oscillatory.

Similarly, v is also oscillatory (we note that $v(a) = 0$).

Remark 1. An argument, similar to the one given to show that u and v are oscillatory, can be given to show that any nontrivial linear combination of u and v is oscillatory.

Further, we note that u and v are linearly independent since, otherwise, we would have $u = cz_3$, $c \neq 0$ and this would contradict the fact that u is oscillatory.

Lemma [2]. Let $f(t)$ be a real valued function defined in $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ for some real number $t_0 \geq 0$. Suppose that $f(t) > 0$ and that $f'(t), f''(t)$ exist for $t \geq t_0$. Suppose also that if $f'(t) \geq 0$ eventually, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = A < \infty$. Then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |t^\alpha f''(t) - \alpha t^{\alpha-1} f'(t)| = 0$$

for any $\alpha \leq 2$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that (B) holds and let

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} t^{2+\alpha} Q(t) dt = -\infty, \quad \tau_0 \geq \max\{a, 0\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

Then (R) is nonoscillatory if and only if there exists a solution $y(t)$ of (R) and a number $t_0 \in I$ such that $y(t) > 0$, $y'(t) > 0$, $y''(t) < 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$.

The proof is obtained similarly to that of Theorem 1.2 [5] using Theorem 6 [6] and Lemma 1.1 [5] and is omitted.

Theorem 3. Suppose that (B) holds and let $Q(t) \leq R(t)$ for all $t \in I$ and $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} s P(s) ds > -\infty$, $t_0 > \max\{a, 0\}$. Then there is not a solution $y(t)$ of (R) with $y(t) > 0$, $y'(t) > 0$ and $y''(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_0$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that such a solution $y(t)$ exists. Pick $t_1 \geq \max\{t_0, 1\}$ such that $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} s P(s) ds \geq -1$. Multiply (R) by t and integrate by parts between t_1 and t , $t_1 < t$, to obtain

$$(4) \quad t y'''(t) - t_1 y'''(t_1) - y''(t) + y''(t_1) + y'(t) \int_{t_1}^t s P(s) ds - \int_{t_1}^t y'''(s) \int_{t_1}^s u P(u) du ds + \int_{t_1}^t s R(s) y'(s) ds + \int_{t_1}^t s Q(s) y(s) ds = 0.$$

Since $-y''(t) \geq y''(t) \int_{t_1}^t s P(s) ds \geq 0$ and $\int_{t_1}^t s R(s) y'(s) ds \leq 0$, (4) becomes

$$(5) \quad t y'''(t) - 2 y''(t) + y''(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t y'''(s) \int_{t_1}^s u P(u) du ds \geq t_1 y'''(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t s Q(s) y(s) ds.$$

Note that $y'''(t) \leq 0$ eventually is impossible with $y''(t) < 0$ and $y'(t) > 0$. Suppose that $y'''(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_1$ (change t_1 if necessary). Then

$$-\int_{t_1}^t y'''(s) \int_{t_1}^s u P(u) du ds \leq \int_{t_1}^t y'''(s) ds = y''(t) - y''(t_1).$$

Therefore (5) becomes

$$(6) \quad t y'''(t) - y''(t) \geq t_1 y'''(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t s Q(s) y(s) ds.$$

By Lemma $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t y'''(t) - y''(t)) = 0$. But this contradicts the fact that the right hand side of (6) is positive and increasing. Theorem is proved for the case $y'''(t) \geq 0$.

Suppose now that $y'''(t)$ has positive and negative values for arbitrary large t . Then there is a sequence of points $\{t_n\}$, $n \geq 2$, $t_1 < t_2$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$, with the following properties: $t_i < t_{i+1}$, $i = 2, 3, \dots$, $y'''(t_i) = 0$, $i = 2, 3, \dots$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y''(t_i) = 0$. The existence of such a sequence $\{t_n\}$ is clear since $y''(t) < 0$ and $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = 0$.

Now, let

$$M = \int_{t_2}^{\infty} u P(u) du.$$

$M > -1$ by the choice of $t_2 > t_1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int_{t_2}^t y'''(s) \int_{t_2}^s u P(u) du ds = \int_{t_2}^t y'''(s) \left(\int_s^{\infty} u P(u) du - M \right) ds = \\ & = \int_{t_2}^t y'''(s) \int_s^{\infty} u P(u) du ds - M \int_{t_2}^t y'''(s) ds \leq \int_{t_2}^t y'''(s) \int_s^{\infty} u P(u) du ds - y''(t_2). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (5) (replacing t_1 by t_2) gives

$$(7) \quad t y'''(t) - 2 y''(t) + \int_{t_2}^t y'''(s) \int_s^{\infty} u P(u) du ds \geq -\int_{t_2}^t s Q(s) y(s) ds.$$

Denote

$$F(s) = \int_s^{\infty} u P(u) du.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad & \int_{t_2}^t y'''(s) F(s) ds = y'''(t) \int_{t_2}^t F(s) ds - \int_{t_2}^t y^{(4)}(s) \int_{t_2}^s F(u) du ds = \\ & = y'''(t) \int_{t_2}^t F(s) ds + \int_{t_2}^t P(s) y''(s) \int_{t_2}^s F(u) du ds + \int_{t_2}^t R(s) y'(s) \int_{t_2}^s F(u) du ds + \\ & + \int_{t_2}^t Q(s) y(s) \int_{t_2}^s F(u) du ds \leq y'''(t) \int_{t_2}^t F(s) ds + \int_{t_2}^t R(s) y'(s) \int_{t_2}^s F(u) du ds + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{t_2}^t Q(s) y(s) \int_{t_2}^s F(u) du ds \leq \\
\leq & y'''(t) \int_{t_2}^t F(s) ds - \int_{t_2}^t (s - t_2) R(s) y'(s) ds - \int_{t_2}^t (s - t_2) Q(s) y(s) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality depends on the fact that $|F(u)| \leq 1$. Applying Lemma 1.1 [3] to the solution $y(t)$, we obtain

$$-(s - t_2) R(s) y'(s) \leq -R(s) y(s) \quad \text{for } s > t_2$$

and hence

$$-\int_{t_2}^t (s - t_2) R(s) y'(s) ds \leq -\int_{t_2}^t R(s) y(s) ds.$$

Substituting this into (8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad & \int_{t_2}^t y'''(s) F(s) ds \leq y'''(t) \int_{t_2}^t F(s) ds - \int_{t_2}^t R(s) y(s) ds - \int_{t_2}^t (s - t_2) Q(s) y(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7) and (9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
t y'''(t) - 2 y''(t) + y'''(t) \int_{t_2}^t F(s) ds - \int_{t_2}^t R(s) y(s) ds - \int_{t_2}^t (s - t_2) Q(s) y(s) ds & \geq \\
& \geq -\int_{t_2}^t s Q(s) y(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the last three terms gives

$$(10) \quad t y'''(t) - 2 y''(t) + y'''(t) \int_{t_2}^t F(s) ds \geq \int_{t_2}^t [R(s) - t_2 Q(s)] y(s) ds.$$

Replacing t by t_i in (10) where $\{t_i\}$ is the sequence defined above yields

$$(11) \quad -2 y''(t_i) \geq \int_{t_2}^{t_i} [R(s) - t_2 Q(s)] y(s) ds.$$

The right hand side of (11) is positive and increasing in t_i while the left hand side of (11) converges to zero as $i \rightarrow \infty$. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Theorem 4. Suppose that $P(t) \leq R(t) \leq 0$, $2 Q(t) \leq R(t)$ for all $t \in I$ and let

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} s P(s) ds > -\infty, \quad t_0 > \max\{a, 0\}.$$

Then there is not a solution $y(t)$ of (R) with $y(t) > 0$, $y'(t) > 0$ and $y''(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_0$.

The proof follows along the lines of the proof of the previous theorem since the assumptions $P(t) \leq R(t) \leq 0$, $2Q(t) \leq R(t)$ for $t \in I$ imply the assumptions (B). We remark that in this case we should take a sequence of points $\{t_n\}$ such that $n \geq 2$, $t_2 > 2$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$.

Theorem 5. Suppose that (B) holds and let

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} s^{2+\alpha} Q(s) ds = -\infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} s^{2+\alpha} R(s) ds > -\infty,$$

$t_0 \geq \max\{a, 0\}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then for every solution $y(t)$ of (R) such that $y(t) y'(t) \leq 0$, $y(t) y''(t) \geq 0$ and $y(t) y'''(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_0$ there holds

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that $y(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Then by the above conditions it follows that $y'(t) \leq 0$, $y''(t) \geq 0$ and $y'''(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. From this it follows easily that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = 0$.

Pick $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} s^{2+\alpha} R(s) ds \geq -1$. Multiplying (R) by $t^{2+\alpha}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, integrating from t_1 to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad & [y'''(s) s^{2+\alpha}]_{t_1}^t - [(2+\alpha) s^{1+\alpha} y''(s)]_{t_1}^t + [(2+\alpha)(1+\alpha) s^\alpha y'(s)]_{t_1}^t - \\ & - [(2+\alpha)(1+\alpha) \alpha s^{\alpha-1} y(s)]_{t_1}^t + (2+\alpha)(1+\alpha) \alpha(\alpha-1) \int_{t_1}^t s^{\alpha-2} y(s) ds + \\ & + \int_{t_1}^t s^{2+\alpha} P(s) y''(s) ds + \int_{t_1}^t s^{2+\alpha} R(s) y'(s) ds + \int_{t_1}^t s^{2+\alpha} Q(s) y(s) ds = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{t_1}^t s^{2+\alpha} R(s) y'(s) ds = y'(t) \int_{t_1}^t s^{2+\alpha} R(s) ds - \int_{t_1}^t y''(s) \int_{t_1}^s u^{2+\alpha} R(u) du ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & y'(t) \int_{t_1}^t s^{2+\alpha} R(s) ds \leq -y'(t), \\ & - \int_{t_1}^t y''(s) \int_{t_1}^s u^{2+\alpha} R(u) du ds \leq y'(t) - y'(t_1), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(13) \quad \int_{t_1}^t s^{2+\alpha} R(s) y'(s) ds \leq -y'(t_1).$$

From the above inequalities (12) and (13) we obtain

$$(14) \quad t^{2+\alpha} y'''(t) \geq K - \int_{t_1}^t s^{2+\alpha} Q(s) y(s) ds,$$

where K is a constant.

Now suppose that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = B > 0$. Since $y(t)$ has a finite limit and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ from (14) it follows that

$$t^{2+\alpha} y'''(t) \geq K - B \int_{t_1}^t s^{2+\alpha} Q(s) ds.$$

Hence it follows that $y'''(t) > 0$ for sufficiently large t . But this is a contradiction and the proof is complete.

3. OSCILLATION THEOREM

Now, oscillation theorem for equation (R) will be obtained by using preceding results.

Theorem 6. *Suppose that*

$$(15) \quad \int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} t^{2+\alpha} Q(t) dt = -\infty, \quad \tau_0 > \max\{a, 0\} \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq \alpha < 1$$

and let (B) holds and $\int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} t P(t) dt > -\infty$, $Q(t) \leq R(t)$ for all $t \geq \tau_0$, or (15) holds and $\int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} t P(t) dt > -\infty$, $P(t) \leq R(t) \leq 0$, $2 Q(t) \leq R(t)$ for all $t \in I$.

Then (R) is oscillatory and there exists a fundamental system of solutions of (R) such that two solutions of this system are oscillatory, other solutions of this system are nonoscillatory and one of them tends monotonically to ∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the other of them tends to zero if $\int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} s^{2+\alpha} R(s) ds > -\infty$.

Proof. It follows from Theorems 2, 3, 4 and Theorem 1 that (R) is oscillatory. Then (R) has oscillatory solutions

$$u(t) = b_0 z_0(t) + b_3 z_3(t), \quad v(t) = c_2 z_2(t) + c_3 z_3(t)$$

whose construction has already shown in the previous section. It follows from Theorem 2 [6] that there exists a solution z with the properties $z > 0$, $z' < 0$, $z'' > 0$ and $z''' \leq 0$ for $t \in I$. By Theorem 5 $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$.

Note that z_3 has no zero to the right of a by Lemma 2 [6] and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z_3(t) = \infty$.

The solutions $z(t)$, $u(t)$, $v(t)$ and $z_3(t)$ form the fundamental system of (R). In fact, their Wronskian $W[z(t), u(t), v(t), z_3(t)]_{t=a} = -b_0 c_2 z'(a) \neq 0$, since $z'(a) < 0$ and $b_0 \neq 0$, otherwise it would be $u(t) = b_3 z_3(t)$, which would contradict the fact that

$u(t)$ is oscillatory and $z_3(t)$ has no zeros to the right of a . By the same argument $c_2 \neq 0$. The proof of Theorem is complete.

Remark. Theorem 6 is a generalization of Theorem 1.7 [5]. If $R(t) \equiv 0$, $P(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in I$ we obtain wellknown results for equation $y^{(4)} + Q(t)y = 0$ [1, 3].

References

- [1] *Ahmad Shair*: On the oscillation of solutions of a class of linear fourth order differential equations. *Pac. J. Math.* 34, 1970.
- [2] *Heidel J. W.*: Qualitative behavior of solutions of a third order nonlinear differential equation. *Pac. J. Math.*, 27, 1968.
- [3] *Leighton W., Nehari Z.*: On the oscillation of solutions of self-adjoint linear differential equations of the fourth order. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 89, 1958.
- [4] *Regenda J.*: Oscillation and nonoscillation properties of the solutions of the differential equation $y^{(4)} + P(t)y'' + Q(t)y = 0$. *Math. Slov.*, 28, 1978.
- [5] *Regenda J.*: Oscillation criteria for fourth order linear differential equations. *Math. Slov.*, 29, 1979.
- [6] *Regenda J.*: On the oscillation of solutions of a class of linear fourth order differential equations. *Czech. Math. J.*, to appear in 1983.

Author's address: 812 31 Bratislava, Gottwaldovo nám. 17, ČSSR (Katedra matematiky a deskriptivnej geometrie Strojníckej fakulty SVŠT).