

Emil Daniel Schwab

Characterizations of Lambek-Carlitz type

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 40 (2004), No. 3, 295--300

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107912>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF LAMBEK-CARLITZ TYPE

EMIL DANIEL SCHWAB

ABSTRACT. We give Lambek-Carlitz type characterization for completely multiplicative reduced incidence functions in Möbius categories of full binomial type. The q -analog of the Lambek-Carlitz type characterization of exponential series is also established.

1. An arithmetical function f is called multiplicative if

$$(1.1) \quad f(mn) = f(m)f(n) \quad \text{whenever} \quad (m, n) = 1$$

and it is called completely multiplicative if

$$(1.2) \quad f(mn) = f(m)f(n) \quad \text{for all} \quad m \quad \text{and} \quad n.$$

Lambek [5] proved that the arithmetical function f is completely multiplicative if and only if it distributes over every Dirichlet product:

$$(1.3) \quad f(g *_D h) = fg *_D fh, \quad \text{for all arithmetical functions } g \text{ and } h.$$

$$(g *_D h \text{ is defined by: } (g *_D h)(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)h\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)).$$

Problems of Carlitz [1] and Sivaramakrishnan [12] concern the equivalence between the complete multiplicativity of the function f and the way it distributes over certain particular Dirichlet products. For example, Carlitz's Problem E 2268 [1] asks us to show that f is completely multiplicative if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad f(n)\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*),$$

that is if and only if f distributes over $\zeta *_D \zeta = \tau$, where $\zeta(n) = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $\tau(n)$ is the number of positive divisors of $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

2. Möbius categories were introduced in [7] to provide a unified setting for Möbius inversion. We refer the reader to [2] and [8] for the definitions of a Möbius category and of a Möbius category of full binomial type, respectively. In the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11A25, 18B99, 13F25.

Key words and phrases: completely multiplicative functions, Möbius categories, exponential series.

Received September 30, 2002.

incidence algebra $A(\mathcal{C})$ of a Möbius category \mathcal{C} the convolution of two incidence function f and g is defined by:

$$(2.1) \quad (f * g)(\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha' \alpha'' = \alpha} f(\alpha')g(\alpha'') \quad \forall \alpha \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}.$$

The incidence function f is called completely multiplicative (see [11]) if for any morphism $\alpha \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$

$$(2.2) \quad f(\alpha) = f(\alpha')f(\alpha'') \quad \text{whenever } \alpha' \alpha'' = \alpha.$$

Lambek’s characterization can be generalized to the convolution of the incidence functions: $f \in A(\mathcal{C})$ is completely multiplicative if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad f(g * h) = fg * fh \quad \forall g, h \in A(\mathcal{C}),$$

but if $\zeta(\alpha) = 1, \forall \alpha \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$, and $\zeta * \zeta = \tau_e$, then the condition (Carlitz’s characterization)

$$(2.4) \quad f\tau_e = f * f$$

is not sufficient for $f \in A(\mathcal{C})$ to be completely multiplicative (see [11]).

3. Let \mathcal{C} be a Möbius category of full binomial type with the surjective “length function” $l : \text{Mor } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (see [2], [8]) and with the parameters $B(n)$ ($B(n)$ represent the total number of decompositions into indecomposable factors of length 1 of a morphism of length n). If $\alpha \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$ and $k \leq l(\alpha)$ then $|\{\alpha', \alpha'' | \alpha' \alpha'' = \alpha, l(\alpha') = k\}|$ is denoted by $\binom{\alpha}{k}$ and for any $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$ with $l(\alpha) = l(\beta) = n$, the following holds

$$(3.1) \quad \binom{\alpha}{k} = \binom{\beta}{k} \left(\text{not } \binom{n}{k} \right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\binom{n}{k}_l = \frac{B(n)}{B(k)B(n-k)} \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n).$$

If $A(\mathcal{C})$ is the incidence algebra of \mathcal{C} (with the usual pointwise addition and scalar multiplication and the convolution defined by (2.1)) then

$$(3.2) \quad A_l(\mathcal{C}) = \{f \in A(\mathcal{C}) \mid l(\alpha) = l(\beta) \Rightarrow f(\alpha) = f(\beta)\}$$

is a subalgebra of $A(\mathcal{C})$, called the reduced incidence algebra of \mathcal{C} . For $f, g \in A_l(\mathcal{C})$ considered as arithmetical functions ($f(n) = f(\alpha)$ if $l(\alpha) = n$), the convolution $f * g$ is given by

$$(3.3) \quad (f * g)(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_l f(k)g(n-k), \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$$

and $\mathcal{X}_e : \mathbb{C} \llbracket X \rrbracket \rightarrow A_l(\mathcal{C})$ defined by

$$(3.4.) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_e \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) (\alpha) &= a_{l(\alpha)} B(l(\alpha)), \quad \forall \alpha \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C} \\ \left(\mathcal{X}_e \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) \right) (m) &= a_m B(m), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism.

4. In general, a completely multiplicative reduced incidence function f of \mathcal{C} (that is an element of the subalgebra $A_l(\mathcal{C})$), is not completely multiplicative as arithmetical function. We have:

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a Möbius category of full binomial type. The reduced incidence function $f \in A_l(\mathcal{C})$, with $f(1_A) = 1$ for an identity morphism 1_A , is completely multiplicative if and only if the arithmetical function $f \circ \omega$ is multiplicative, where $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of n .*

Proof. Suppose that f is completely multiplicative as incidence function. Let m and n be positive integers with $(m, n) = 1$ and let $\alpha, \alpha', \alpha''$ morphisms of \mathcal{C} such that $\alpha' \alpha'' = \alpha$, $l(\alpha') = \omega(m)$ and $l(\alpha'') = \omega(n)$. Since \mathcal{C} is of binomial type, $l(\alpha) = \omega(m) + \omega(n)$ and therefore:

$$(f \circ \omega)(mn) = f(\alpha) = f(\alpha')f(\alpha'') = (f \circ \omega)(m) \cdot (f \circ \omega)(n).$$

Conversely, suppose that the arithmetical function $f \circ \omega$ is multiplicative. Let α be a morphism of \mathcal{C} with a factorization $\alpha = \alpha' \alpha''$, $l(\alpha') = m$ and $l(\alpha'') = n$ and let the primes p of \mathbb{N}^* be listed in any definite order p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha) &= (f \circ \omega)(p_1 \dots p_m p_{m+1} \dots p_{m+n}) \\ &= (f \circ \omega)(p_1 \dots p_m) (f \circ \omega)(p_{m+1} \dots p_{m+n}) = f(\alpha') f(\alpha''). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

5. Let us see now a Lambek-Carlitz type characterization of completely multiplicative reduced incidence functions of a Möbius category of full binomial type.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a Möbius category of full binomial type and f a reduced incidence function with $f(\bar{\alpha}) = a \neq 0$ for a non-identity indecomposable morphism $\bar{\alpha}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $f \in A_l(\mathcal{C})$ is completely multiplicative;
- (2) $f(\alpha) = a^n$ if $l(\alpha) = n$;
- (3) $f(g * h) = fg * fh$, for all $g, h \in A_l(\mathcal{C})$;
- (4) $f\tau_e = f * f$, where $\tau_e(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{l(\alpha)} \binom{l(\alpha)}{k}_l$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). Since $a \neq 0$ and since the identity morphism 1_A is a morphism of length 0, we have $f(1_A) = 1, \forall A \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, and by induction on the length of α it follows both (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (1).

(1) \Rightarrow (3).

$$\begin{aligned} [f(g * h)](\alpha) &= f(\alpha) \sum_{\alpha' \alpha'' = \alpha} g(\alpha')h(\alpha'') = \sum_{\alpha' \alpha'' = \alpha} f(\alpha')g(\alpha')f(\alpha'')h(\alpha'') \\ &= (fg * fh)(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4).

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha) &= \sum_{k=0}^{l(\alpha)} \binom{l(\alpha)}{k}_l = |(\alpha', \alpha'') : \alpha' \alpha'' = \alpha| \\ &= \sum_{\alpha' \alpha'' = \alpha} \zeta(\alpha')\zeta(\alpha'') = (\zeta * \zeta)(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned}$$

and so (4) follows by using (3) for $g = \zeta$ and $h = \zeta$.

(4) \Rightarrow (2). It follows by induction on the length of α using (3.3).

6. Note that Theorem 2, via the (inverse of the) \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathbb{C}[[X]] \rightarrow A_l(\mathcal{C})$ defined by (3.4), gives rise to characterizations of Lambek-Carlitz type for special classes of formal power series (see also [11, Theorem 3.3.]).

Let \mathcal{C} be a Möbius category of full binomial type and

(6.1.)

$$S(\mathcal{C}) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in \mathbb{C}[[X]] \mid \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) \text{ are completely multiplicative as incidence functions} \right\}$$

We remark:

(i) If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in S(\mathcal{C})$ and if $\bar{\alpha}$ is a non-identity indecomposable morphism than $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) (\bar{\alpha}) = a_1$. Thus, for $\alpha \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$ with $l(\alpha) = m$ we have $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) (\alpha) = a_1^m$ and using (3.4), $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) (\alpha) = a_m B(m)$, where $B(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are the parameters of \mathcal{C} . It follows that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in S(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if $a_m = \frac{a_1^m}{B(m)}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) If $\odot_{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the corresponding binary operation on $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$ of the usual multiplication of incidence functions (that is $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n \right) = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) \cdot \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n \right)$) then, by (3.4), we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B(n) a_n b_n X^n$.

In the following section we use these remarks to obtain the q -analog of the Lambek-Carlitz type characterization of exponential series.

7. In [10], using an embedding of the algebra $\mathbb{C} \llbracket X \rrbracket$ into the unitary algebra of arithmetical functions, it is proved the following Lambek-Carlitz type characterization of exponential series:

Theorem 3 ([10]). *Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in \mathbb{C} \llbracket X \rrbracket$ such that $a_1 \neq 0$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $a_n = \frac{a_1^n}{n!}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N};$
- (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n X^n \right) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n X^n \right), \forall \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n X^n \in \mathbb{C} \llbracket X \rrbracket$
(distributivity over the product of series);
- (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n a_n X^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n,$

where $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! a_n b_n X^n.$

The aim of this section is to establish a q -analog of Theorem 3.

Let K be a finite field with $|K| = q$. Then the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}$ over K is called reduced matrix if:

- (1) $\text{rang } A = m,$
- (2) for any i the first nonzero element (called pivot) of the line i equals 1:
 $a_i h_i = 1, a_{ij} = 0$ if $j < h_i,$
- (3) $h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_m,$
- (4) pivot columns contain only 0 with the exception of the pivot.

We denote the category of reduced matrices by \mathcal{R} . The objects of \mathcal{R} are the non-negative integers with 0 as initial object, the set of morphisms from n to m is the set of reduced $m \times n$ matrices over K , and the composition of morphisms is the matrix multiplication. \mathcal{R} is a Möbius category of full binomial type with $\binom{n}{k}_i = \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}$ and $B(n) = [n]_q!,$ where $[0]_q! = 1$ and $[n]_q! = (1+q)(1+q+q^2) \dots (1+q+\dots+q^{n-1})$ (see [8]). Now, from Theorem 2 and the remarks of Section 6 we obtain the following Lambek-Carlitz type characterization:

Theorem 4. *Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in \mathbb{C} \llbracket X \rrbracket$ such that $a_1 \neq 0$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in S(\mathcal{R});$
- (2) $a_n = \frac{a_1^n}{[n]_q!}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N};$

$$(3) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot_{\mathcal{R}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n X^n \right) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot_{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n \right) \\ \cdot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot_{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n X^n \right), \forall \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n X^n \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$$

(distributivity over the product of series);

$$(4) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(q) a_n X^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n,$$

where $G_n(q)$ are the Galois numbers and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \odot_{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n]_q! a_n b_n X^n$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for very valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Carlitz, L., *Problem E 2268*, Amer. Math. Monthly **78** (1971), 1140.
- [2] Content, M., Lemay, F., Leroux, P., *Catégories de Möbius et functorialités: un cadre général pour l'inversion de Möbius*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **25** (1980), 169–190.
- [3] Doubilet, P., Rota, G. C., Stanley, R., *On the foundations of combinatorial theory (VI): the idea of generating function*, Proc. of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 267–318.
- [4] Goldman, J., Rota, G. C., *On the foundations of combinatorial theory IV: finite-vector spaces and Eulerian generating functions*, Stud. Appl. Math. **49** (1970), 239–258.
- [5] Lambek, J., *Arithmetical functions and distributivity*, Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 969–973.
- [6] Langford, E., *Distributivity over the Dirichlet product and complete multiplicative arithmetical functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly **80** (1973), 411–414.
- [7] Leroux, P., *Les catégories de Möbius*, Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég. **16** (1975), 280–282.
- [8] Leroux, P., *Catégories triangulaires. Exemples, applications, et problèmes*, Rapport de recherche, Université du Québec à Montréal (1980), 72p.
- [9] Leroux, P., *Reduced matrices and q-log-concavity properties of q-Stirling numbers*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A (1990), 64–84.
- [10] Schwab, E. D., *Multiplicative and additive elements in the ring of formal power series*, P.U.M.A. **4** (1993), 339–346.
- [11] Schwab, E. D., *Complete multiplicativity and complete additivity in Möbius categories*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (1998), 37–48.
- [12] Sivaramakrishnan, R., *Problem E 2196* Amer. Math. Monthly **77** (1970), 772.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO
EL PASO, TEXAS, 79968-0514, USA
E-mail: sehwb@math.utep.edu