379 NO, 2995

SUPPRESSION OF IMMUNE **FUNCTIONS** BY PCBs IN THE EARTHWORM

LUMBRICUS TERRESTRIS

DISSERTATION

Presented to the Graduate Council of the University of North Texas in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

By

Jorge Luis Rodriguez Grau, B.S., **M.S.**

Denton, Texas

May, **1989**

Rodriguez Grau, Jorge L., Suppression of Immune Functions **by** PCBs in the the Earthworm Lumbricus terrestris. Doctor of Philosophy (Biology), May, **1989,** 104 **pp., 16** tables, **16** figures, **6** illustrations, bibliography, **111** titles.

 L^{c}

This research is part of an effort to develop nonmammalian surrogate immunoassays with the earthworm Lumbricus terrestris to assess immunotoxic potential of xenobiotics to mammals. The objective was to determine if earthworm immunoassays, namely **E-** and **S-** rosette formation and phagocytosis, are sensitive to a known mammalian immunotoxin, the PCB Aroclor 1254. Results are presented in terms of PCB exposure and tissue concentrations during uptake/depuration. Using **5-d** filter paper contact exposure, LC_{50} and LD_{50} were 300 μ g/cm² and 1140 μ g/g dry mass. Nominal PCB exposure concentration of **5** Ig/cm2 and actual tissue levels of **76 sg/g dry** mass were sufficient to suppress S-rosette formation, a humoral response. S-rosette suppression and return of function followed PCB levels in coelomic leukocytes, the presumed site of toxicity. Phagocytic response also showed suppressive effects but only at a nominal PCB exposure level of 10 μ g/cm², which corresponds to an actual tissue level of 185 **4g/g** dry mass. However, PCBs had no effect on E-rosette formation with

rabbit red blood cells, a component of immunity associated with surface receptor molecules. Compared to published mammalian data on PCB suppression of immunoglobulin production, the earthworm S-rosette immunoassay appeared to be more sensitive.

TABLE OF **CONTENTS**

APPENDIX I **- (A)** Phagocytic Coelomocyte;

ollm#04mm **- -- .**

LIST OF **TABLES**

TABLE Page

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE Page

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \,d\omega.$

 \sim

Time Series Experiments. Mean is Horizontal Line, Wide Rectangle is \pm Standard Error, Narrow Rectangle is t **95%** Confidence Interval and Vertical Line is the Range. Sample Sizes are Given Above and Below the Symbols 57

- **10.** Comparison of E-Rosettes Produced **by** Coelomocytes During Concentration Series Experiments for Earthworms L. terrestris in a **5-d** Exposure Period and No Depuration Allowed. Symbols Same as Previous Figure **.... 59**
- **11.** Comparison of S-Rosette Produced from Experimental L. terrestris Exposed to PCBs and Controls During Time Series Experiments. Symbols Same as Figure **9 63**

- **13.** General Relation Between Humoral Immune Function as Demonstrated **by** S-Rosette (Shaded Circles) and Levels of PCBs (Open Circles) in Coelomic Leukocytes from Earthworms L. terrestris. S-Rosettes are Expressed as **%** Normal Rosette Formation **by** Unexposed/Control Earthworms (i.e. 14 per **100** Randomly **-** Counted Leukocytes; **N =** 154 **Controls)..................................... 68**
- 14. Comparison of Phagocytic Coelomocytes **from** Experimental L. terrestris Exposed to PCB and Controls During Time series Experiments. Symbols same as Figure **9 71**
- **15.** Comparison of Phagocytic Coelomocytes from Experimental L. terrestris Exposed to PCB for **5-d** with No Depuration and Controls During Concentration Series Experiments. Symbols Same as Figure **9 73**
- 16. Mass-Specific O_2 Consumption Rates ($\dot{V}O_2$) in Earthworms L. terrestris Exposed to 10 μ g/cm² Nominal Concentration

- jdj W4 **P", -** .440WAW **J-1,**

J W-

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A non-controversial and cost effective system of laboratory and in situ bioassays capable of integrating variables of environmental concentration, route of exposure and bioavailability with a broadly applicable suite of toxic endpoints is needed to assess biological risks of environmental pollutants from Superfund waste sites before and after clean-up. The system would also be useful in screening or categorizing wastes, such as industrial and municipal solids, combustion residues from incinerated solids and refuse-derived fuels, sewage treatment sludge and dredged sediments for appropriate landfill disposal (i.e. sanitary vs hazardous). An extensive literature on the basic biology and ecology of earthworms [1-4] and from laboratory and in situ toxicity and/or bioaccumulation studies **[5-22]** supports several earthworm species as ideal organisms with which to develop standardized protocols [13-15, 21, **23-26]** for evaluating biological risks of terrestrial pollutants, even to mammals [21, **27].** Most toxicity studies and protocols are for acute or LC_{50} tests. Only a few have focused on more subtle chronic or sublethal effects **[15,** 18, **28-35]. Of** those, the giant axon conduction velocity

protocol shows promise as a reliable companion to mortality tests, especially for neurotoxins **[15, 30].** However, additional tests using earthworms are needed to assess a wide range of sublethal toxic endpoints, specifically those which can be used to predict effects in mammals [26, 27], including humans.

Among potential subacute toxic endpoints that could be assayed **by** using earthworms, few are of greater contemporary relevance to public health than those concerning immune function. Traditionally, assessment of potential damage to the human immune system **by** xenobiotics involves the use of mammals (e.g. mice, rats and guinea-pigs). However, mammals are costly, require expensive maintenance and in many cases have been the target of controversy over animal cruelty. Literature in earthworm immunobiology is extensive enough **[36-56)** to support development of a suite of in vivo and in vitro sublethal assays for sreening chemicals, complex mixtures and materials for their immunotoxic potential in mammals.

There is an on-going research program at the University of North Texas **(UNT)** to develop a model system of immunoassays in the earthworms, Eisenia foetida and Lumbricus terrestris, for use with standardized filter paper contact and artificial media or soil exposure protocols (14, 24-26) in evaluating risks from environmental pollutants, and for understanding mechanisms **by** which xenobiotics

interfere with recognition, processing and effector phases of immune function. This program is divided into three principal phases: Phase **1,** is to determine which of the specific immune parameters (or endpoints) in the earthworms are sufficiently sensitive for inclusion in an integrative assay protocol to assess immunotoxicity of xenobiotics; Phase 2, involves xenobiotics from a variety of chemical classes, and the objective is to select appropriate xenobiotics as standard or reference toxicants for each immunoassay and determine their environmental exposure concentration/dose-response profiles using standardized filter paper contact and soil exposure protocols; Phase **3,** will compare actual dose-responses for reference immunotoxicants between earthworm species and laboratory mice, with the objective of determining "earthworm dose equivalents" of the reference toxicants necessary to produce analogous immunosuppressive effects in laboratory mice.

Since most of the immune functions (Table **1)** selected for model development are analogous or perhaps homologous, in the case of phagocytosis, to those in mammals, the resultant immunoassays have potential for predicting toxicity of xenobiotics to phagocytosis, and cellular and humoral immunity in mammals. Use of L. terrestris to develop a model for screening immunotoxicity of xenobiotics is supported **by** several factors: **(1)** The basic biology and immunology of L. terrestris are well known (more than 2,000

TABLE **1.** Relation between immune function of earthworms and mammals

publications during **1930-1980,** [1-4]); (2) it is easy and inexpensive to maintain and conduct immunological experiments with; **(3)** its large size facilitates harvesting of large numbers of coelomocytes; (4) the animals can be obtained from a reliable source of healthy specimens taken from a specific geographic location; **(5)** the earthworm is an invertebrate, a non-controversial research organism; **(6)** L. terrestris is virtually ubiquitous and an important component of terrestrial ecosystems having potential as an in situ bio-indicator or sentinel of harm to humans and other animals portending from terrestrial pollution from toxic and hazardous waste sites; **(7)** its immune system is sufficiently analogous to those in mammals, including humans for use with established mammalian immunoassays to screen xenobiotics for potential immunotoxicity in humans **[36-56];** and **(8)** there are no currently established protocols for use of invertebrates for immunotoxicity, the earthworm model may well serve this need.

Aroclor 1254, a PCB mixture, was selected for model development in phase **1** because of its known immunosuppressive effects in mammals **[57-63].** In addition, the choice of Aroclor 1254 directly relates to the following: **(1)** PCBs are widely distributed, and Aroclor 1254 was one of the mixtures most frequently used; (2) as are any other PCBs, it is very persistent, and thus, frequently found in the environment; **(3)** methodology for

tissue extraction of PCBs is well established [64]; (4) analytical procedures involving gas chromatography/electron capture detection and/or gas chromatography/mass spectrometry techniques are equally well-established and available for research at **UNT;** and **(5)** due to its persistence and **highly** lipophilic character, there should be considerable uptake of Aroclor 1254 **by** the earthworm, and a slow rate of metabolism to allow long-term monitoring of this PCB mixture during tissue analyses.

The work presented herein is within Phase **1** of the overall program, and specifically centers on the effects of Aroclor 1254 (referred to as PCB hereon), on erythrocyte **(E)** and secretory **(S)** rosette formation, and phagocytosys **by** L. terrestris coelomocytes (coelomic leukocytes). Determination of the sensitivity of these immune parameters for inclusion in an integrated immunobioassay model is central to this research. Therefore, the study included exposure-uptake/depuration dynamics of PCB and dose-response profiles for each immune parameter under consideration. Specific tasks/objectives of this work were to:

(1) Determine the $5-\text{d LC}_{50}$ and LD_{50} from data obtained from an acute definitive test (preceded **by** a range finding test).

(2) Determine uptake/depuration curves and depuration rates for a sub-lethal exposure concentration.

(3) Determine effects of sub-lethal PCB concentrations on the immune parameters: phagocytosis, and **E-** and S-rosette formation.

(4) Determine effects of PCBs on mass-specific oxygen consumption of L. terrestris to establish whether sublethal PCB exposures had an overall effect on the earthworm's general physiology.

(5) Combine the information above to determine the sensitivity of those earthworm immunoassays for screening xenobiotics.

t **ALENGIA AZZA LE ZA A-LE ZA ZA LE LE ZA LE**

CHAPTER II

RELEVANT LITERATURE

PCBs: What they are and Their Effects on Biological Systems

The term polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) is assigned to a group of organic compounds which are produced **by** chlorination of a biphenyl. Theoretically, **209** individual isomers of chlorinated biphenyls can be formed, but only **100** are likely to occur in commercial products **[65].** The extent of chlorination specified **by** the number of chlorine substitutions, determines which isomer is produced. Thus, chemical nomenclature refers to dichlorobiphenyl, trichlorobiphenyl, etc., as it corresponds to the number of chlorine substitutions (x) present in the following general formula for PCBs **[66]:**

Polychlorinated byphenyls were first manufactured in **1929.** Since then, **600,000** metric-tons have been used in the United States alone, and it is estimated that worldwide production totaled about 1,200,000 metric-tons. **Of** these estimates, one-third of the worldwide production of PCBs has

been released into the global environment **[67].** Because of excellent coolant/dielectric properties, their primary use was in industrial capacitors and transformers. Subsequently, use of PCBs was extended **by** applications as plasticizers, hydraulic fluids and lubricants, inks, adhesives, pesticide extenders, and microencapsulation of dyes for carbonless duplicating paper **[68).**

Despite their industrial and domestic importance, PCB production in the United States ended in **1977,** when the Monsanto Industrial Chemical Co., the only major **U.S.** producer of PCBs, stopped their manufacture. At present, the Toxic Substances Control Act **(TSCA),** Public Law 94-469, prohibits production of PCBs within the United States, regulates disposal of materials contaminated **by** PCBs, and restricts use of any such materials already in service **[69).**

Potential hazards from exposure to PCBs were initially unknown **by** producers and users as well. In **1937,** occupationally exposed workers showed toxic effects, indicating the need to impose a threshold limit at manufacturing sites. However, environmental effects of PCBs were not noticed until **36** years after their introduction into the world market when fish taken from the Baltic Sea were discovered to have high concentrations of PCBs accumulated in their tissues **[69].** After, several countries began monitoring PCBs, the problem was recognized to be one of global environmental contamination **[70].** Today, PCB

contamination is documented **by** reports that show accumulation in the food chains of many animals producing acute and chronic effects on reproduction, growth behavior and immune function [57-63, **69-77].**

Immunotoxicity of PCBs has been reported in vertebrates. In general, chronic PCB exposure causes atrophy of the lymphoid tissues in most vertebrates. Vos and Koeman **[72]** reported decreased spleen and bursa weights in chickens. The same effects were observed in the progeny of chickens fed with **10** ppm PCBs **[73].** In another study, increased suceptibility to hepatitis duck virus was reported in ducklings experimentally exposed to PCBs, although no other signs of toxicity were observed [74]. In addition, there are reports of immunotoxicity in guinea pigs, rabbits, mice, rats, and monkeys **[58, 60, 75-77].** The mechanism of PCB immunotoxicity is not clear, however, some evidence suggests that the activity may be associated with the more toxic components of these mixtures (although dioxins are more toxic organic compounds than PCB, PCB isostereomers of 2,3,7,8-Tetrachloro-p-di-Benzodioxin are generally regarded as the most toxic PCB components, **[78]).**

In human populations, there have been two major outbreaks of poisoning **by** PCB-contaminated rice bran oil. More than **1600** victims were reported to be involved in the **1968** incident which occured in Japan. The Japanese outbreak was given the name "Yusho", to designate the symptoms and

i I I

disease associated with PCB-poisoning **[79].** The second incident occurred in Taiwan **(1979),** where more than 2000 victims were found to suffered from "Yu-cheng" (meaning **"oil** disease"), the chinese name given to the Taiwanese outbreak **[62).** PCB concentrations in contaminated rice reached **2000-3000** ppm and **50-100** ppm in the Japanese and Taiwanese samples respectively **[62].** Among many other health effects, immunosuppression occurred in the Yusho and Yu-cheng patients chronically exposed to PCBs. Moderate decrease in immunoglobulin concentrations were detected, especially in IgM and IgA levels [79, **80].**

Vos et al. **[81]** suggested three possible mechanisms **by** which immune function may be altered because of exposure to PCBs and related compounds: a) chemically induced alterations in normal hormone levels which indirectly alter immune function; **b)** chemically induced functional defects of cells involved during immune response; c) chemically induced alterations in numbers of responding cell types. The third option was regarded to be the main cause in some animal studies in which either a decrease in B-cell numbers **[81]** or a decrease in T-helper cell counts **[62]** were involved. Although Yu-cheng patients showed no significant effects on B-cells, there is no conclusive evidence to disregard the effects of PCBs on either lymphocyte cell population. Moreover, effects of PCBs and related compounds on immune function must be viewed as a complex one, and thus, all

three mechanisms proposed **by** Vos et al. **[81]** are likely to interact during immunesuppression.

Immune System of Earthworms

In earthworms, the immune system is housed essentially within the coelomic cavity. Two major components are the coelomic fluid, which is functionally similar to serum, and a cellular component which is composed of a complex group of leukocytes called coelomocytes. Coelomic fluid, the humoral component of earthworms, contains proteins that can agglutinate erythrocytes of most vertebrates [49]. According to Wojdani et al. **[82],** hemagglutinins appear in increased amounts within 24 h after injecting erythrocytes and organic components, but not inert material (eg. saline and carbon particles). Agglutinins are inhibited **by** several glycoproteins and polysaccharides and the eight-carbon sugar 2-keto-3-deoxyoctonate **[83].** In addition to agglutinins, lytic substances (notably in Eisenia) are also present [84]. The lytic factor is one of the five protein components which lyse sheep erythrocytes but whose activity is inhibited **by 15** min heating at **560C.** The greater occurrence of lytic factors in Eisenia may be related to the inhibition of growth of some bacteria isolated from manure where it lives [49].

Coelomocytes which contribute to the cellular immune component mediate a number of responses, although a great variety of non-immune functions have been attributed to them, such as nutrition, excretion and reactions to injuries including clotting, wound-healing and regeneration **[85].** The first important role of coelomocytes is the ability to distinguish self from non-self (immune recognition), which is a fundamental attribute of most, if not all, animals and is the first step in the initiation of every immune response **[86].** Immune recognition is mediated in many defense functions of earthworm coelomocyte responses that have been analyzed both in vivo and in vitro.

Coelomocyte phagocytic **("A",** Appendix I) response is essential in the defense against invading microorganisms. Most of the five major coelomocyte types are capable of phagocytosis and the efficacy of this non-specific response is dependent upon interactions with the coelomic fluid. Encapsulation similar to granuloma formation in humans is mediated **by** coelomocytes against foreign objects too large to be phagocytized such as protozoa or nematodes **[85].** When transplants are exchanged between earthworms to demonstrate histo-compatible responses, coelomocytes are known to be the major effectors in the recognition and ultimate rejection of a foreign graft **[86]. By** means of light and electron microscopy coelomocytes have been shown to infiltrate and to effect the rejection **by** mechanisms which are not yet clear **[85, 86].** Rejection is progressive, occuring earliest in those muscle layers closest to the coelomic cavity. The

number of coelomocytes increases after first and second set grafting with a higher response after a second graft. Coupled with adoptive transfer data, counts of incorporated tritiated thymidine suggest a memory component as in higher forms, that is mediated **by** a population of memory cells that divided in response to the original transplantation antigens used in the first immunization. This is confirmed **by** the demonstration that coelomocytes can be stimulated **by** mitogens such as Con **A, PHA,** and **LPS** which could cause the incorporation of tritiated thymidine **[85].**

Another response, rosette formation, shows characteristics of both cellular and humoral immunity, presumably **by** means of agglutinins and surface receptors for the antigens on erythrocytes. There is measurable and consistent response to erythrocytes, some binding to surfaces to form with the coelomocyte a secretory rosette **(S)** while others bind to form erythrocyte **(E)** rosettes [43]. **S-, E-** and non-rosetting cells may be defined as follows: **S** rosetting cells are those leukocytes surrounded with two or more layers of adhering erythrocytes ("B", Appendix I), **E** rosetting cells are leukocytes with a single layer of at least four or more erythrocytes adhering to the cell surface **("C",** Appendix I), and non-rosetting cells consist of those leukocytes not adhering to erythrocytes. E-rosettes are presumed to be formed **by** binding of antigen (RRBC) through leukocyte surface receptors, while S-rosettes are formed **by**

leukocyte secretion of agglutinating substances which produce adherance of RRBCs to coelomocytes **[87].** Phagocytic, **S-** and E-rosette assays were used in the present work for testing the immune response against RRBCs after exposure to PCBs.

CHAPTER III

MATERIALS **AND METHODS**

Source and Maintenance of Earthworms

Earthworms were purchased from Carolina Biological Supply (Burlington, **N.C.)** which acquires them through a dealer from sites near Hamburg, New York; thus obviating geographic variation. The collection sites are rural and distant from industries, and produce large and healthy worms. During experimentation, control earthworms were assayed for background levels of PCBs.

Stock earthworms were maintained in media of peat moss reconstituted with water within plastic containers (70x40x15 **cm3)** kept in continuous darkness at **100C** in environmental chambers. Earthworms were acclimated for 2 wk prior to experimentation. Commercial **dry** powdered baby food layered on the surface proved to be an excellent feeding method. Worms were checked on daily, and supplemented with food if required. Fresh moistened peat moss was prepared upon arrival of every new batch of worms. Unhealthy individuals were immediately discarded. Usually, worms were all used in experiments within 3-4 wk after arrival. Sexually mature adults with well-developed clitella and masses of **2-6 g** were used for experiments.

Exposure and Uptake/Depuration of PCBs

Gross Exposure of Whole Earthworms to PCBs.

Sublethal concentrations of PCBs were determined **by** estimating the contact exposure LC_{50} and LD_{50} . Exposure of earthworms was performed **by** running a **5-d** contact test on filter paper previously treated with PCBs at various nominal exposure levels. The protocol, based on methods described **by** Roberts and Dorough [12] for earthworms and general standardized bioassay techniques recommended **by EPA [88],** has been shown to be effective and reproducible with several advantages over alternatives (see section on "uptake/depuration analysis of PCB") Both range finding and definitive contact tests were conducted in 0.47 L glass jars. **A 9** cm diameter filter paper (Whatman No. **1)** was placed at the bottom of each jar. **A** constant volume of **1** ml of acetone containing the appropiate amount of PCB was spread evenly on the filter paper, and then completely evaporated. Prior to exposure, each jar was moistened with **1** ml deionized water. Twelve replicates per exposure concentration were used, with an individual earthworm per jar. The jars were sealed with a lid and ventilated every 24 h when worms were checked for survivorship. Exposure was conducted under continuous darkness at 10 ± 1 °C throughout the **5-d** exposure period. Lethality end point followed criteria described **by** Roberts and Dorough [12], in which individuals were regarded dead if no response was observed following

gentle touch.

Range Finding Test

A range finding test using a wide range of concentrations with five replicates per exposure level, established an exposure range for the definitive test. Three range finding tests were performed with different concentrations and time intervals. Subsequently, a **5-d** exposure with concentrations of **10.0, 100.0** and **1000.0** μ g/ $\rm cm^2$ was found to yield reasonable results. The earthworms were washed with deionized water, weighed and placed individually in the exposure jars. Handling of worms followed the same procedures as stated before. **A** control group was included in the range finding test to detect effects produced **by** factors other than the toxicant actions.

Definitive Contact Test

Based on results of the range finding test **,** five concentrations were selected as a definitive exposure range: 50.0, 100.0, 200.0, 400.0 and 800.0 µg/cm². A total of 12 replicates were used for each exposure level and control group. Handling of the earthworms followed the previously mentioned range-finding procedures. Each replicate jar contained only one worm. Results of this test were used to calculate a $5-d$ LC₅₀ and LD₅₀ values.

Analysis of Results (LC_{50}/LD_{50} calculations)

Results of the definitive contact test were analyzed statistically to estimate the 5-d LC₅₀ and LD₅₀ and their

corresponding **95%** confidence limits. These values were determined **by** an **LC,,** computer program developed **by** Stephan et al. **[89]** and currently available from **EPA.** The program estimates the LC₅₀ and/or LD₅₀ by probit, moving average and binomial techniques **.** Also, probit analysis results were confirmed with a **SAS** computer program **[90].**

Tissue Analysis For PCB.

Quantification of PCBs in worm tissues followed procedures described **by** Plumb [64] for analysis of pesticides and PCBs in biological tissues, modified for micro-extraction. The method involves an initial solvent **(50/50** hexane/benzene) extraction followed **by** tissue disruption using an ultrasonic probe. Before extraction, worms were oven-dried at **300C,** pulverized with mortar and pestle, and transfered into test tubes for extraction. The tissues were free from interferences at the PCB concentrations used in these experiments, therefore, extract clean-up was not required.

Extract concentrations were estimated **by** quantification against an internal standard (decachlorobiphenyl) added to all preparations. **A** standard curve based on **5** concentrations related the ratio of the sum of the principal PCB peak heights to that of the internal standard as a function of ng of PCB injected (sample chromatographs are presented in Appendix II: **"A"** represents a **50** ppm Aroclor 1254 standard, "B" represents a typically PCB exposed earthworm extract,

and **"C"** represents a control earthworm extract; the last eluting peak, of approximate retention time of 34.0 min corresponds to the internal standard). Tissue concentrations were expressed as **Kg** total PCB per **g** dry mass. The following were the general chromatographic conditions:

a) Column: **30** m Glass capillary **(0.75** mm I.D.,

SPB 1, film thickness 1.0 μ m).

b) Detector: Electron capture.

- c) Carrier gas: **95%** argon/5% methane, at **5** ml/min.
- **d)** Detector temperature: **3000C.**
- e) Injector temperature: **3000C.**
- **f)** Initial oven temperature: **2000C.**
- **g)** Final oven temperature: **2750C.**
- h) Ramp rate: 50C/min.
- i) Initial hold time: 20 min.
- **j)** Final hold time: **15** min.
- k) Injection volume: $0.2-0.4$ μ L.

Efficiency of extraction was evaluated in an experiment where known doses of PCB were directly injected into the coelomic cavity of worms. The animals were immediately killed, and PCBs were extracted following the above procedures. Extraction efficiency was later evaluated **by** comparing PCB recovery from the worm extracts containing the doses injected. Two doses were administered: **500** gtL injection from a preparation of 655 ng/HL and 500 HL

injection from a preparation 360 ng/HL. Extraction efficiency was thus evaluated at two different concentrations approximating the range used for immunoassay experiments. Four individual worms were examined for each dose used (fresh mass range: 2-4 **g).**

Uptake/Depuration Analysis of PCB.

A critical step in examining effects of chemicals on the immune system is consistent exposure and dosing. Based on previous experiments, the contact method used here appears to be the most effective exposure technique for non volatile, nonpolar compounds. The contact method avoids bioavailability problems associated with soil exposure, and inflamation and leakage problems associated with injection. Tissue accumulation and loss of PCB were described using standard techniques **[91],** which allowed estimation of the depuration rate constant and half-life (tl/2) of PCB in entire earthworms and their coelomocytes.

To determine uptake distribution between carcass and coelomic material, earthworms (n=4-5) exposed on filter paper to PCB were removed at 1, 4, 8, **16, 32,** 64 and 120 h and each compartment (coelomic material and carcass) analyzed. Coelomic material was collected **by** inserting a sharpened Pasteur pipette into the coelomic cavity; then, PCBs were extracted as decribed previously. Loss or depuration of PCB post exposure was determined weekly for **5** months.

In addition, a small experiment was conducted to determine the total percent body fluids in a "typical" earthworm. This was done, because it became important to have a gross estimate of the mass relation between carcass and total fluids, in order to explain the relative abundance of PCBs in carcass and coelomic fluid compartments **by** virtue of PCBs hydrophobic nature. Thus, **51** earthworms were initially weighted and oven-dried at **300C** for 48 h, and then, their final dry mass was determined. Their initial fresh mass minus final dry mass allows to estimate the percent mass contributed **by** total body fluids.

Potential for bioaccumulation usually is evaluated **by** comparing the equilibrium concentration of a given chemical in animal tissues with a constant exposure concentration in the surrounding medium. The equilibrium or steady-state concentration is reached after an uptake or accumulation period, and may be followed **by** an elimination or depuration stage if the organism is returned to a pollutant-free medium. Thus, it is usually possible to calculate rates of tissue uptake, ratio of concentrations in the tissue and the environment and rate of loss from tissues. However, because of the nature of exposure, it was not possible to determine an environmental concentration (expressed here as PCB mass/ square area of filter paper) which could be meaningfully rationed to the tissue concentration (expressed as PCB mass/ tissue mass). Consequently, a bioconcentration and k_1 were

not estimated. Filter paper contact test essentially constitutes a bidimensional space, and thus, there is no equivalency in terms of concentration units (e.g. ppm, **ppb);** therefore, it is not possible to associate exposure with an actual environmental concentration. As a result, only depuration rates were calculated here using the following regression model **[91]:**

 $\text{Ln}(Ct) = \text{Ln}(Co) + (k_2)t$

where Ln(Ct) is the natural log of tissue PCB concentration at time t, $Ln(Co)$ is the natural log of the initial $(t = 0)$ PCB concentration, k_2 the depuration rate constant and t is time in days. The PCB tl/2 may be calculated with the following formula:

$$
t\frac{1}{2} = \text{Ln}(0.5)/k_2
$$

Persistent non-polar compounds with high n-octanol partition coefficients (Log Poct for PCBs is in the range of 5-7), such as PCBs, readily bioconcentrate. The analysis of uptake/depuration dynamics allowed a formal description of the relation between initial exposure to PCBs and resulting body burden, and subsequent correlations with immuno competence.

Immunoassays

Two sets of immunological experiments were conducted. The first kind are called from hereon "time series immunoassays", in which worms were analyzed at 2, **3** and **5-d**

of exposure and at 3, **7,** 14, 21, **28** and **35-d** of depuration (after a maximum exposure of **5-d)** at a fixed nominal filter paper concentration of 10.0 μ g/cm². In the second experiments, called from hereon "concentration series immunoassays", worms were exposed for **5-d** at different nominal exposure concentrations (2.5 μ g/cm², 5.0 μ g/cm², 10.0 μ g/cm² and 40.0 μ g/cm²). A new batch of experimental and control worms (14-20 individuals) was always used for each assay period. **A** sub-sample of two-four experimental and control worms were individually analyzed for tissue PCB levels after each assay period. Collection of coelomic leukocytes followed the same procedures on both types of experiments, time and concentration series immunoassays.

Earthworms were exposed to PCBs **by** spreading **1** ml of the corresponding concentration preparation on a filter paper disk of **63.6 cm2** (i.e time series immunoassays: **1** ml from a PCB solution of **636** ppm, which yielded a nominal exposure of 10.0 μ g/cm²). PCBs were directly applied to the filter paper disks that were already on the bottom of 0.47 L glass jars used as exposure chambers. During exposure, jars were mantained at **100C,** without light in an environmental chamber. PCB was diluted in acetone to the desired concentration, evaporated and replaced with **1** ml of deionized water. During each assay period, coelomic leukocytes from experimental and control earthworms were obtained **by** a non-invasive protocol developed for chronic

immunotoxicity studies **[92].** Essentially, collection of coelomocytes required bathing individual earthworms in 2 ml of an extrusion solution of **5%** ethanol containing a mucolytic agent **(10** mg/ml guaicol glyceryl ether), **2.5** mg/mi **EDTA** and **q.** s. with **0.85%** saline. Ethanol stimulated them to extrude mucous which contained immunoactive cells. The mucolytic agent freed cells **by** dissolving the mucous. **EDTA** prevented clumping of cells **by** chelating calcium ions. Extruded cells were later mixed gently with cold **0.85%** saline and centrifuged at **150** xg for **10** min. The supernatant was removed, cells washed once with and resuspended in 0.2 ml saline, then mixed with **0.8** ml Lumbricus balance salt solution **(LBSS)** adjusted to **300** mOsm **(LBSS-300). By** washing leukocytes initially in saline, then re-suspending them later in **LBSS,** clumping and cell damage were reduced. Cell density was adjusted to **1** x **106** cell/ml **by** adding additional **LBSS-300** at 40C. Leukocytes from each worm were collected and processed individually for all assays.

Rabbit red blood cells (RRBC, 2% suspensions) in **LBSS-300** were added as **0.1** ml aliquots to an equal volume of leukocytes in **LBSS-300** at **1** x **106** cells/ml. Leukocytes and erythrocytes were mixed briefly, centrifuged at **100** x **g** for **5** min, then kept at **40C** for 24 h. Just before rosettes were counted, **0.1** ml of a solution of **0.1%** crystal violet in **LBSS-300** was added to the cell suspension to stain nuclei. Tubes were shaken gently to resuspend the pellet, and a sub-

sample was withdrawn and placed in a hemocytometer chamber. One hundred cells were counted for each sample at each time point. Fourteen to 20 worms were used for each set of assays, with each providing cells for all time-points. Cells were scored either as S-rosettes, E-rosettes **("A"** and "B", Appendix) or non-rosetting; also phagocytic coelomocytes ("C", Appendix) were identified, **by** the presence of ingested RRBCs, and included in the cell counts.

In addition to assaying rosette formation and phagocytosis, coelomocyte viability was determined within eight sub-samples on each assay using trypan blue stain. Viable cells were those not able to take up the dye, whereas dead cells stained blue. This procedure allowed evaluation of the effects of PCBs and extrusion media on cell viability.

Respirometry

Mass-specific O_2 comsumption rate $(\dot{V}O_2)$ as a function of body mass was determined with **53** earthworms **(2.6-5.6 g)** at **100C** with a Gilson Differential Respirometer under dark conditions (see Davis and Slater [93], for effects of light on respiration on L. terrestris). \overline{v} O₂ was measured on control and experimental worms. Experimental earthworms were exposed for **5-d** to nominal filter paper concentrations of **10.0** tg PCB/cm2 . Two sets of controls were used: one directly from peat moss cultures and second, treated identically to the experimentals except for PCB exposure.
Earthworms were transfered from the **100C** environmental chambers to specially-constructed respiration vessels 2 h prior to measurements. Vessels, covered with black electrical tape to exclude light, contained several ml H_2O to provide lubrication between the earthworms and glass walls, and **100%** humidity. Carbon dioxide **(C02)** was absorbed with 20% KOH. Measurements were taken every **15** min between **0900** and **1300** h **CST,** and converted to **IL/g/h STPD.** Data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance **(ANOVA).**

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

Acute Toxicity Tests

Injection of PCBs into the coelomic cavity of worms with extract concentrations of **655** and **360** ppm yielded mean % recoveries of 144 and **107%** respectively (Table 2). Thus,

TABLE 2. Efficiency of the hexane/benzene extraction method used for obtaining the dose of PCB present in Lumbricus terrestris

an overall mean **%** extraction efficiency of **125.6%** was obtained. Analyses of compounds involving multiple peaks such as PCBs (see chromatographs of Appendix II), usually yield deviations from **100%** recovery as high as those presented here. Deviations from the original extract concentrations could have been produced from inaccuracies at the moment of injection (e.g. inaccurate injection volumes, spilling caused **by** worm movement, differences in injection pressure). PCB concentrations in control earthworms were found to be below the method detection limits (ca. **0.5 gg/g** erthworm fresh mass).

Acute toxicity of PCB to earthworms was evidenced **by** a well defined dose-response curve (Figs. **1** and 2) within a range of nominal concentrations of 50-800 $\mu q/cm^2$ (body burden concentrations of 780-1426 µg/g dry mass). Control body burden PCB concentrations were found to be below the method detection limits throughout all experiments. Probit analyses applied to the data in Table 3 yielded a 5-d LC₅₀ of **300 gg/cm2 (95%** confidence interval: **180-590)** and an LD_{so} of 1139 µg/g dry mass (C.I.: 1000-1368). These values allowed the establishment of a range of sublethal concentrations (Table **3)** for use in immunoassay experiments. The lowest nominal exposure concentration used in immunoassays was 2.5 μ g/cm² (body burden: 56 μ g/g dry mass), and the highest was 40 **gg/cm2** (221 ug/g dry mass). Thus, immunoassay experiments were conducted using exposure levels

Figure **1.** Relation between mortality and nominal PCB exposure concentration showing the LC_{50} for Lumbricus terrestris as predicted **by** probit analysis.

ww..P

Figure 2. Relation between mortality and PCBs body concentration showing the LD_{50} for L. terrestris as predicted **by** probit analysis.

which yielded body burden concentrations **50%** the LD, levels during a maximum **5 d** exposure period. Use of those concentrations for immunoassays allowed a **100%** survival throughout the experiments. The overall health of experimental worms was comparable to controls at the end of exposure based on gross behavioral observations.

TABLE **3.** Nominal filter paper exposure and entire body concentrations in L. terrestris exposed to PCBs for 5-d, and respective mortalities.

Number of Worms

' For conversion to fresh mass multiply **by** 0.22

In addition, there was a **highly** significant correlation (r = **0.67, p < 0.0001)** between log-transformed nominal filter paper and entire-body **PCB** concentrations in earthworms exposed for **5-d** (Table **3).** Exposure and body concentrations at and below 50.0 µg/cm² and 779.6 µg/g dry

* * _______________________________

mass, respectively produced no mortality.

Uptake/Depuration Experiments

Mean PCB concentration in the carcass compartment of L. terrestris was estimated to be 182 **gg/g** dry mass at the maximum exposure time of **5-d** to a filter paper having a nominal concentration of 10 μ g/cm² (Table 4; Fig. 3).

TABLE 4. PCB carcass concentration in L. terrestris exposed to a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu q/cm^2$

Time	Treatment	x̃ ± sd (µg/g dry mass)	N	Range
1 _h $\frac{4}{8}$ 16 32 64 120	Uptake	18.78 ± 7.33 17.68 ± 3.11 34.16 ± 10.22 75.20 ± 8.74 82.05 ± 13.92 147.44 ± 36.94 182.84 ± 35.19	4 $\boldsymbol{4}$ 4 $rac{4}{3}$ 5 5	$12.3 - 26.9$ $14.2 - 21.7$ $27.9 - 49.4$ $63.9 - 85.2$ $66.2 - 92.4$ 101.6-186.9 $152.4 - 223.6$
3d 7 14 21 28 35 49 63 91 119 147	Depuration	134.69 ± 47.45 148.89 ± 60.28 152.56 ± 32.98 93.75 ± 39.17 77.44 ± 21.07 78.36 ± 21.62 61.85 ± 26.09 30.50 ± 15.08 15.12 ± 8.00 5.23 ± 2.13 6.24 ± 2.05	5 5 5 5 $\frac{5}{5}$ 5555 4	$91.8 - 193.8$ $95.4 - 243.5$ $104.5 - 194.5$ $57.3 - 157.7$ $43.2 - 93.2$ $54.7 - 104.4$ $33.0 - 93.1$ $12.2 - 50.1$ $5.0 - 25.4$ $3.5 - 8.9$ $4.0 - 8.9$

During depuration, elimination of PCBs was slow for the first 2 wk, with about **75%** of the maximum PCB levels still remaining in this compartment. However, begining at **3** wk of depuration, a slow but steady decrease occurred, reaching

,.".Pwoj "940

Figure **3.** Mean PCB concentration in carcass compartment of earthworms L. terrestris during uptake and depuration phases. Indicated is the mean (horizontal line), wide rectangle is ***** standard error, and vertical lines **95%** confidence interval. Sample sizes are given above the **symbols.**

mean levels of about 2 **gg/g** dry mass after 21 wk of depuration; that is, only about **1%** of the compound still remained in this compartment at the end of the experiment.

The following regression equation (Fig. 4) describes the depuration data in Table 4, which allowed the calculation of a carcass depuration rate constant:

Ln(Dose)= **5.117 +** (-0.026)t

where Ln(Dose) refers to the natural log of the carcass concentration, and t refers to time of depuration. The regression model has an r2 of **0.8854,** which indicates that a significant amount **(89%)** of the variability is explained **by** the regression model. The slope of the regression curve (Figure **4)** corresponds to the depuration rate constant **[91]** k_2 ^{*} $(k_2$ = -0.026/day). The PCB tl/2 for the carcass compartment was estimated as **26.7** days.

PCB concentrations in coelomic fluid (Table **5** and Figure **5)** were below the method detection limits at **1-32h** of the uptake phase, but reached a mean level of 115.93 μ g/g dry mass at 64 h. This indicates that uptake was rapid between **32** and 64 h. As with carcass, maximal uptake occurred at **5-d (182.92** tg/g **dry** mass). Elimination was slow, reaching **67.55** fg/g at **9** wk, and then dropping below detection limits.

As with carcass, the depuration phase of coelomic fluid was also analyzed **by** a regression model (Fig. **6),** which yielded the following:

Figure 4. Relation between carcass concentration of PCBs in L. terrestris and depuration time.

TABLE **5.** PCB concentration in coelomic material of L. terrestris exposed to a nominal concentration of **10** Ig/cm2

¹detection limits **=** 2 jtg/g earthworm **dry** mass

 $Ln(Dose) = 5.003 + (-0.019)t$

the low r2 for this model **(0.2819)** was due in part to the high variability of the individual estimates (Table **5).** The estimated depuration rate constant (k_2) for coelomic fluid was **-0.019/d** with a corresponding tl/2 of **36.5-d.**

Results of an experiment to determine the partitioning of PCBs between coelomocytes and the fluid portion of the coelomic compartment are shown in Table **6** (a **5-d** exposure and a nominal concentration of 10.0 μ g/cm² were mantained). This experiment showed PCB levels below detection limits in the fluid portion of the coelomic compartment, while coelomocyte PCB concentration reached a mean of 156.4 μ g/g **dry** mass after **5-d.** In addition, an experiment conducted to

Figure **5.** Mean PCB concentration in coelomic material (fluid **+** cells) of L. terrestris during uptake and depuration phases. Symbols same as Figure **3.**

Figure **6.** Relation between coelomic material concentration of PCBs in $\underline{\mathbf{L}}$. terrestris and depuration time.

W.-WARWIVW

analyse the mass relations between carcass and total fluids shows that an estimated mean of **80% (N=51;** sd=0.62) of earthworm fresh mass is contributed **by** total body fluids; this, and PCBs known hydrophobic charater helps to explain the slow initial uptake of PCBs in the coelomic compartment.

TABLE **6.** Compartmentalization of PCB among coelomocytes, coelomic fluid and carcass in L. terrestris

Compartment	\bar{x} \pm sd $(\mu q/q \; dry \; mass)$	N	Range
Coelomic Cells	156.4 ± 70.4		6 $91.9 - 248.9$
Coelomic Fluid	Not detected1	6	
Carcass	139.6 ± 54.0	6	$89.7 - 230.8$
1Detection limit	$= 2$ μ g/g dry mass		

Combining the PCB concentrations of coelomic fluid and carcass compartments allowed estimation of whole body-burden of PCBs (Table **7;** Fig. **7).** Because of smaller amounts of PCBs in the coelomic compartment, whole body PCBs followed an uptake/depuration pattern similar to that of carcass (Fig. **7).** In addition, the regression equation for the depuration phase of whole body compartment (Fig. **8)** yields a high r2 of **0.8865,** despite of the high variability of coelomic fluid estimates. The corresponding regression equation was:

Figure **7.** Body-Burden PCB concentration in earthworms **L.** terrestris during uptake and depuration phases. Symbols same as Figure **3.**

Figure **8.** Relation between body-burden PCBs in earthworms L. terrestris and depuration time.

which indicates an equal depuration rate constant and tl/2 as that of carcass $(k_2 = 0.026/day; t1/2 = 26.7)$.

Time	Phase	\bar{x} \pm sd (µg/g dry mass)	N	Range
1h $\frac{4}{8}$ 16 32 64 120	Uptake	18.78 ± 7.33 17.68 \pm 3.11 34.16 ± 10.22 $75.20 \pm$ 8.74 82.05 ± 13.92 147.96 ± 36.92 184.65 ± 36.89	4 $\overline{4}$ 4 4 3 5 5	$12.3 - 26.9$ $14.2 - 21.7$ $27.9 - 49.4$ $63.9 - 85.2$ $66.2 - 92.4$ $104.2 - 187.8$ $152.7 - 227.8$
3d 7 14 21 28 35 49 63 91 119 147	Depuration	136.18 ± 46.92 152.54 ± 63.75 150.42 ± 32.12 94.20 ± 38.83 75.00 ± 22.93 78.36 ± 21.62 62.85 ± 27.07 31.70 ± 14.91 15.12 \pm 8.00 5.23 ± 2.13 6.24 \pm 2.05	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 4	$94.5 - 195.8$ $97.0 - 254.0$ $105.0 - 192.5$ $56.5 - 156.0$ $43.9 - 92.4$ $54.7 - 104.4$ $34.2 - 97.0$ $12.5 - 52.1$ $5.0 - 25.4$ $3.5 - 8.9$ $4.0 - 8.9$

TABLE **7.** Whole body-burden concentration of PCBs in L. terrestris exposed to a nominal concentration of **10**

Chronic Immunotoxicity Tests

Cell Counts and Percent Viability Throughout the immunoassay experiments, cell counts and percent viability assessments were made to assure consistency between the number of viable coelomocytes and rabbit red blood cells (RRBCs) used as antigen. Percent viability of coelomocytes, as indicated **by** the percent live cells, was determined as a precaution for detection of

possible cell damage in the extrusion media and/or differential reaction of controls and experimentals to the media (Table **8).** Adjustment of coelomocyte numbers to

TABLE **8.** Total cell count obtained during immunoassay time and concentration series experiments

Concentration Series Experiments

reasonably similar counts for controls and experimentals (Table **9)** was also considered. Although no attempt was made to test the effect of increasing coelomocyte density on

rosette or phagocytosing leukocyte counts, I believe it is crucial to maintain a coelomocyte density within a similar range if comparisons between and within treatments are desired.

TABLE **9.** Total viability as represented **by** percent live coelomocytes during time and concentration series experiments

Concentration Series Experiments

The overall cell counts $(\bar{x} \pm sd \times 10^4/\text{ml})$ during immunoassay time-series experiments (Table **8)** for controls

and experimentals were **69.6 38.0** x 10⁴ /ml and **69.1** 36.24 x 10⁴ /ml respectively. For the concentration series experiments (Table 8), the overall cell counts $(\bar{x} \pm s\bar{d} x)$ 104/ml) were **78.5** 44.03 x 10⁴ /ml and **53.2** 34.7 x 104 /ml for controls and experimentals respectively. Data in Table **8** were further examined **by** Nested Analyses of Variance (Nested ANOVA),which showed no significant differences between control and experimental mean cell counts in both time series (F= **0.58, p= 0.7169)** and concentration series experiments (F= **1.72, p= 0.1575).**

The viability of coelomocytes as indicated **by** the overall mean percent live cells (Table **9)** during time series experiments for controls and experimentals were **91** and **92** respectively. Nested **ANOVA** showed no significant differences in mean cell mortality between control and experimental groups within these experiments (F= **0.89, p=** 0.4930).

Viability tests for the concentration series experiments (Table **9)** resulted in an overall mean percent coelomocyte viability of **96** and **95 %** for control and experimental groups respectively. Results of nested **ANOVA** showed no significant differences in mean cell mortality between controls and experimentals for these experiments **(F=0.55, p= 0.6999).**

Immunoassay Results

E-Rosette Immunoassay

Occurrence of E-rosettes (number of E-rosettes per **100**

randomly counted coelomocytes) in control and experimental **(10** gg/cm2 nominal exposure) earthworms during a sequential uptake or exposure phase, lasting **5-d,** and a depuration or elimination phase of up to **35-d** (time series immunoassay experiments) yielded overall means of **15.7%** (sd= **1.98)** and 14.3% (sd= **1.10)** for controls and experimentals respectively (Table **10).** Though E-rosettes were slightly lower in experimentals than controls (Fig. **9),** nested **ANOVA** showed no significant differences in mean E-rosettes between controls and experimentals (F= **0.90, p= 0.5282).**

TABLE **10.** Descriptive statistics of E-rosette formation in control and experimental worms during time series immunoassay experiments

Time (Days)	Treatment	Control $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N	Experimental $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N
2 3 5 3 $\overline{7}$ 14	Uptake Depuration	14.50 ± 5.91 13.00 ± 5.15 16.41 ± 4.85 15.07 ± 5.13 13.82 ± 4.94 14.40 ± 6.06	16 13 29 15 28 15	14.47 ± 5.93 13.38 ± 3.73 14.38 ± 5.38 13.75 ± 4.29 12.85 ± 4.84	15 13 26 12 26 15
21 28 35		16.75 ± 4.75 18.46 ± 4.75 18.50 ± 5.95	12 13 $12 \overline{ }$	13.67 ± 6.84 14.07 ± 5.43 15.60 ± 4.53 16.38 ± 5.03	14 15 16

^Gr o u **p**

Similar results (Table **11;** Fig. **10)** were found during concentration series experiments in which slightly lower

Figure **9.** Comparison of E-rosettes produced **by** coelomocytes from experimental (open symbols) L. terrestris exposed to PCBs and controls (shaded symbols) during time series experiments. Mean is horizontal line, wide rectangle is ± standard error, norrow rectangle is ± 95% confidence interval and vertical line is the range. Sample sizes are given above and below the symbols.

E-ROSELLES

Figure **10.** Comparison of E-rosettes produced **by** coelomocytes during concentration series experiments for earthworms L. terrestris in a **5-d** exposure period and no depuration allowed. Symbols same as previous Figure.

mww

E-rosette counts in experimental worms (overall \bar{x} = 12.4%; sd= **1.36%)** than in controls (overall R= **13.9%;** sd= **2.05%)** were obtained. **A** nested **ANOVA** demonstrated no significant differences between control and experimental groups among the individual exposure levels of the concentration series experiments (F= **1.61, p=** 0.1743).

TABLE **11.** Descriptive statistics of E-rosette formation between controls and experimentals in concentration series immunoassays

Nominal Conc $(\mu q/cm^2)$	Group				
	Control $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N	Experimental $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N	
2.5 5.0 10.0 40.0	12.93 ± 3.43 11.68 ± 4.40 16.41 ± 4.85 14.60 ± 6.77	15 19 29 15 ₁	11.93 ± 3.75 11.61 ± 4.84 14.38 ± 5.38 11.50 ± 2.64	15 18 26 18	

S-rosette Immunoassays

Mean S-rosette counts for control and experimental worms in the time series immunoassay experiments (Table 12; Fig. **11)** were **highly** significantly different (Nested **ANOVA;** F= **6.80, p<0.0001).** Since multiple range tests were not appropriate for testing significance between each treatment pair (controls and experimentals), a series of two tailed Student t-tests were conducted. These showed that differences in mean S-rosette counts for experimentals and

controls were **highly** significant at **72** and 120 h of the uptake period (t= **3.93, p= 0.0006;** t= **5.87, p<0.0001,** respectively) and at **3-d** of the depuration phase (t= 4.63, **p<0.0001).** Immune response, as indicated **by** S-rosetting was unaffected at 48 h of exposure and after **1** wk of depuration.

TABLE 12. Descriptive statistics of S-rosette formation betweeen controls and experimentals in time series immunoassay experiments

		Group				
Time (Days)	Phase	Control $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N	Experimental $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N	
2 $\overline{3}$ 5	Uptake	13.44 ± 5.12 13.57 \pm 4.93 13.79 ± 5.11	16 14 29	12.00 ± 5.17 7.36 ± 3.27 6.23 ± 4.36	15 14 26	
3 7 14 21 28 35	Depuration	14.53 ± 5.08 11.50 ± 5.80 12.53 ± 6.56 12.83 ± 5.18 16.85 ± 6.05 13.17 ± 5.01	15 28 15 ₁ 12 [°] 13 $12 \overline{ }$	6.42 ± 3.68 9.96 ± 5.28 15.27 ± 5.93 13.29 ± 5.90 13.87 ± 4.49 12.75 ± 5.57	$12 \overline{ }$ 26 15 14 15 16	

A highly significant difference between control and experimental mean S-rosettes (Table 13, Fig. 12) was found during concentration series experiments (Nested **ANOVA;** F= 18.94, **p<0.0001).** Two tailed t-tests showed **highly** significant differences between controls and experimentals exposed to **10** and 40 **gg/cm2** (t=5.87, **p<0.0001;** t=4.61, **p<0.0001,** respectively), and significant differences

I

Figure **11.** Comparison of S-rosettes produced from experimental L. terrestris exposed to PCBs and controls during time series experiments. Symbols same as Figure **9.**

p

(t=2.63, **p= 0.0125)** between controls and experimentals exposed to **5** Rg/cm2 . In addition, a **highly** significant correlation (r= **-0.59, p < 0.001)** was found between nominal concentrations and experimental **%** S-rosettes indicating an actual dose-response for the range 2.5-10 µg/cm² in which subsequent increased effects of PCBs were observed (Fig 12). Figure **13** shows that the general pattern of S-rosette formation **by** coelomic leukocytes from PCB-exposed earthworms during uptake/ depuration (Fig. **11),** followed actual PCB concentrations in coelomic cells (Fig. **5).** Although PCBs were below detection at 48 h of exposure, S-rosette formation was **88%** normal. **By** 64 h, when PCB was first detected, S-rosettes were only **55%.** Maximal suppression (45% normal) occurred at 5-d, coincident with the highest PCB

	Group				
Nominal Conc $(\mu q/cm^2)$	Control $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N	Experimental $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N	
2.5 5.0 10.0 40.0	11.73 ± 3.61 12.32 ± 3.59 13.79 ± 5.11 12.67 ± 5.56	15 19 29 15	12.93 ± 2.99 9.44 ± 2.99 6.23 ± 4.36 5.39 ± 3.43	15 18 26 18	

TABLE **13.** Descriptive statistics of S-rosette formation between controls and experimentals in concentration series immunoassays

level in the coelomic leukocytes. Recovery of the humoral

Figure 12. Comparison of S-rosettes produced by coelomocytes from experimental Lumbricus terrestris exposed to PCBs in a **5-d** contact test with no depuration and controls, during concentration series experiments. Symbols same as Figure **9.**

Figure **13.** General relation between humoral immune function as demonstrated **by** S-rosette (shaded circles) and levels of PCBs (open circles) in coelomic leukocytes from earthworms L. terrestris. S-rosettes are expressed as **%** normal rosette formation **by** unexposed/control earthworms (i.e. 14 per **100** randomly **-** counted coelomic leukocytes; **N=** 154 controls).

immune function was evident at **7-d** post exposure **(73%** normal) and complete **by** the second wk.

Phagocytosis Immunoassays

There were significant differences (Nested **ANOVA:** F= **2.29, p= 0.0226)** in mean number of phagocytes between experimentals and controls during time series experiments (Table 14 and Fig. 14). **A** series of independent two tailed t-tests confirmed that only the 120 h uptake control and experimental groups were actually contributing to the overall significant difference (120 h groups: t= 4.75, **p<0.0001).**

^Gr o u **p** Time Phase Control **N** Experimental **N** $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$ $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$ $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$ 2 Uptake **7.79 * 3.83** 14 **6.23** 4.17 **13 3** 6.64 **3.71** 14 6.64 **3.13** 14 **5 6.77 2.97 26 3.30 1.96 23 3** Depuration 4.27 **2.52 15 3.75** 2.01 12 **7 3.08 2.07** 12 **3.83** 2.04 12 14 **5.33** 3.24 **15 5.80 3.93 15** 21 **3.75 2.86** 12 4.43 4.22 14 **35 5.80** 2.94 **10 5.58** 2.11 12

TABLE 14. Descriptive statistics of phagocytosis between controls and experimentals in time series immunoassays

Experimental and control worms had an overall mean phagocytosing coelomocytes of **2.8** and 4.0% respectively Figure 14. Comparison of phagocytic coelomocytes from experimental L. terrestris exposed to PCB and controls during time series experiments. Symbols same as Figure **9.**

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Figure **15.** Comparison of phagocytic coelomocytes from experimental L. terrestris exposed to PCB for **5-d** with no depuration and controls during concentration series experiments. Symbols same as Figure **9.**

during concentration series experiments (Table **15;** Fig. **15).** Differences in mean numbers of phagocytozing cells between controls and experimentals were **highly** significant (Nested **ANOVA;** F:= **7.85, p<0.0001).** Two tailed t-tests applied to each exposure concentration showed that **highly** significant differences occurred between controls and experimentals exposed to **10.0 gg/cm2** (t= 4.75, **p<0.0001).** However two tailed t-tests for the groups exposed to 40.0 µq/cm^2 , a higher concentration, indicated no significant difference

TABLE **15.** Results of descriptive statistics for phagocyte counts during concentration series immunoassays

	Group					
Nominal Conc $(\mu$ g/cm ²)	Control $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N	Experimental $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$	N		
2.5 5.0 10.0 40.0	1.93 ± 2.15 2.21 ± 2.42 6.77 ± 2.97 5.13 ± 2.53	15 19 26 15	2.60 ± 1.92 1.61 ± 1.29 3.30 ± 1.96 3.50 ± 2.87	15 18 23 18		

between experimentals and controls (t= **1.71, p=** 0.0964). If the 40.0 μ g/cm² treatment is tested using a one tailed ttest the resulting gain in significance would indicate a significantly lower mean in experimentals than in controls $(0.05 \cdot p \cdot 0.025)$.

Respirometry Results

Mean mass-specific O₂ consumption rates (\dot{V} O₂) were determined (Table **16** and Fig. **16)** for earthworms handled in three different treatments: **(1)** Those taken directly from the culture chambers; (2) controls taken through a **5** day contact test but not exposed to the toxicant; and **(3)** experimental worms exposed to 10.0 µg/cm^2 of PCB. The $\dot{V}O_2$ means (Table **16)** did not differ significantly from each other (One-Way **ANOVA:** F= 1.03, **p=** 0.3644). Since these results could have been influenced **by** mass differences among groups, the mean weights (Table **16)** of the three groups were statistically compared (One Way **ANOVA).** Results of these

Group	$\frac{\text{vo}_2(\bar{x} \pm \text{sd})}{(\mu \text{L/g/h})}$	N	Live Mass (g) $(\bar{x} \pm sd)$
Culture	31.94 ± 8.64	$12 \overline{ }$	3.76 ± 0.43
Control	29.15 ± 3.83	18	3.91 ± 0.76
Experimental	31.13 ± 4.46	18	3.91 ± 0.90

TABLE 16. Mass-specific oxygen consumption (VO₂) for L. terrestries showing the results for culture, control and experimental worms

comparisons showed there were no significant differences among culture, control and experimental worms (F= **0.17, p=** 0.8420).

Figure 16. Mass specific O_2 consumption rates $(\dot{V}O_2)$ in earthworms L. terrestris exposed to 10 μ g/cm² nominal concentration (experimentals), control worms used during the experiments, and culture worms not subjected to a contact test. Symbols same as Figure **9.**

MASS-SPECIFIC OXYGEN CONSUMPTION (µ\g/l)

CHAPTER *V*

DISCUSSION

Filter paper exposure resulted in consistent body burdens of PCBs. However, because exposure concentrations were nominal, only the depuration rate constant could be calculated. Both the constant and half-life presented here **(-0.026/d** and **27-d,** respectively) compare closely with those for PCBs **(-0.022/d** and **31-d)** reported for the polychaete Capitella capitata [94]. PCBs absorbed **by** earthworm carcasses were readily transferred into the coelomic leukocytes, presumably where immunotoxic effects occurred. Although, mass-specific PCB levels were equivalent in the coelomic cellular fraction and carcass tissue, the absolute amount in the coelomic leukocytes was only ca. **1%** of the total body burden at **5-d** exposure. Coelomic cells represent a minor portion of an earthworm's **dry** mass, while total fluids in L. terrestris represent approximately **80%** the total fresh mass; of this, the great majority is coelomic fluid. Undetectable levels of PCB in the fluid portion of coelomic material compartment, and distribution within it being essentially restricted to coelomocytes, can be explained **by** the lipophilic nature of PCBs. They are **highly** hydrophobic, and thus, their disposition and compartment

bioavaliability is dependent upon lipid distribution. Since in coelomic material the cellular component constitutes the major lipid source (cellular membranes, vacuoles and granules), cellular distribution of PCB should be expected to take place.

However, two aspects that remain unanswered in the present work are the distribution of PCBs among the different cell populations and translocation of PCB from carcass to the different populations or sub-populations of coelomic leukocytes. Liebmann **[95]** recognized two distinct types of coelomocytes: the eleocytes or chloragogen cells and true leukocytes (mostly basophils and acidophils according to Cooper and Stein **[85]).** Chloragogen cells lack immune function, they are involved principally in excretory and nutritive functions, and contain considerable quantities of lipids **(61%),** proteins and glycogen **[85].** For PCBs to cause any immunological effect, sufficient bioconcentration would have to take place within leukocytic cells which perform essential immunological functions. Concentrations of PCBs, approximating those found in carcass tissue, were observed in the coelomic material cellular fraction and is assumed to be associated with coelomocytes. However, this "coelomocyte" concentration may have been overestimated due to the presence of high-lipid-content chloragogen cells which appear to be over-represented when coelomic fluid is collected **by** puncture. Although a formal comparison of the

abundance of chloragogen cells present in puncture and extrusion techniques was not made, preliminary observations indicate that the **%** chloragogen cells obtained **by** extrusion is much lower than that obtained **by** puncture **(5%** vs. **15%,** respectively).

Chloragogen cells may also play an important role in PCB translocation, the second aspect mentioned above. **A** possible mechanism of translocation could be transfer of PCBs from previous accumulation in lipid reserves of chloragogen cells to leukocytes. Chloragogen tissue is formed in the visceral peritoneum which surrounds the intestine, most of the dorsal blood vessels and smaller vessels leading from the intestine to the dorsal vessel **[85).** Translocation of PCBs from carcass to chloragogens may initially take place as a result of migration of maturing chloragogen cells. When mature, some chloragogens gain access to the coelomic fluid, and once there, are frequently called eleocytes **[95].** Subsequently, eleocytes undergo degeneration, thus releasing their granules into the coelomic cavity **[95].** Some free chloragogen granules are known to be incorporated into leukocytic coelomocytes **[85],** which might explain bioconcentration of PCBs in those cells. Excretion of PCBs could ultimately occur **by** direct removal of granules **by** the nephridia and subsequently discharged through the dorsal pores, a route of detoxification known to take place during metal contamination of earthworms **[96].**

There is need for further research in this area to clarify the translocation routes and distribution of PCBs within the cell components of coelomic fluid. **A** promising approach would be to trace PCB pathways with radio-labeled mixtures or congeners.

Based on Roberts and Dorough's [12] classification for acute toxicity in the manure worm Eisenia foetida, PCBs were moderately toxic in L. terrestris. Differences in exposure and/or dosing protocols, and general absence of actual tissue or lesion-site concentration data in the literature precludes direct comparisons of PCB toxicity between L. terrestris and other organisms. Direct comparisons and clear interpretation of toxic effects of xenobiotics are best made when actual tissue doses are known. In general, LD_{50} values (oral route) for PCBs range between **1000-10,000** ppm for rats, rabbits and mice, with toxicity directly related to the degree of chlorination of PCBs **[78].** The actual entire body PCB LD₅₀ in L. terrestris, when converted to fresh mass (1140 ug/g **dry** mass x 0.22 **= 251** ug/g fresh mass), is considerably lower than oral (not tissue-level) Aroclor 1254 LD5 0 values reported for pheasant **(1100** ppm), bobwhite quail **(600** ppm), Japanese quail **(2900** ppm) and rats **(10,000** ppm) [68]. However, it is quite similar to the actual tissue LD_{50} **(280** ppm Aroclor 1254) reported for bobwhite quail **[78].** Greater acute sensitivity than laboratory rats to a variety of toxic compounds has also been reported for **E.** foetida

81

[97].

PCB effect on humoral immune function of L. terrestris appears to have been direct as indicated **by** the parallel fluctuations of decrease/increase of S-rosette formation **by** coelomic leukocytes with a corresponding increase/decrease in coelomic PCB levels (Fig. **13).** Cell viability and general physiology, as measured **by** '0 ² , were not affected **by** sublethal levels of PCB. $\sqrt{O_2}$ values were not only nearly equivalent among control and experimental groups, they were consistent with **100C** rates reported previously **by** Fitzpatrick et al. **[98]** for unexposed healthy worms. **S** rosette formation indicates the ability of coelomic leukocytes to produce an agglutination factor in response to foreign challenge such as bacterial or fungal infection. This humoral factor serves to aggregate particular antigen and acts as an opsonin to facilitate phagocytosis. As such, it is functionally analogous to immunoglobulin in mammals. Thus, PCB effects on S-rosetting in L. terrestris may be considered analogous to those reported for Aroclor 1254, **1260** and 1248 on immunoglobulin production in rabbits **[59],** guinea pigs **[58, 99]** and, monkeys and mice **[60),** respectively.

Threshold humoral immunosensitivity **(76** ug/g dry mass) to PCBs was much lower than that of acute toxicity (834.2 ug/g dry mass). In terms of fresh tissue mass, the threshold level (ca. **17** ug/g) is within the same order of magnitude

(1-10 ppm) of PCB level reported for a variety of invertebrate and vertebrate wildlife from contaminated sites **[100].** Although, as with acute toxicity, direct comparison of humoral immuno-sensitivity between S-rosette formation in L. terrestris and immunoglobulin synthesis in mammals requires knowing lesion-site tissue levels, indirect comparison with Rhesus monkeys and laboratory mice **[60]** suggests that earthworms may be more sensitive to PCBs. Monkeys and mice required PCB levels, as measured in subcutaneuos and inguinal fat tissue, of **100** and **3760** ppm to suppress immunoglobulin production. Thus, it appears that **S** rosette formation in L. terrestris is a potentially sensitive assay for screening xenobiotics for their humoral immunotoxicity to mammals. Validation of this and other immunoassays, using several different compounds, and comparing their toxicities between earthworms and laboratory rodents (i.e. earthworm dose-equivalents) must be carried out in future laboratory work. If immune functions in earthworms should prove to be more sensitive than those in mammals, earthworm immunoassays may produce more false positives than false negatives. However, as McLain et al. **[97]** pointed out for using **E.** foetida to predict acute toxicity in mammals, it is better to err in the conservative direction.

PCBs apparently had no effect on cell-surface receptors for RRBCs, as determined **by** the ability of coelomic

leukocytes to consistently form E-rosettes throughout the experiments. E-rosette formation is a response that has been described for mice and human lymphocytes [101, 102]. In mammals, E-rosette formation is used for identification of T-lymphocytes [103]; the ability of lymphocytes to form rosettes with sheep red blood cells is a unique function of T-cells. Immunological studies on chronically exposed humans, conducted after the **1979** PCB poisoning in Taiwan ("Yu-cheng" disease), showed a significant reduction in T lymphocyte numbers as indicated **by** a decrease in E-rosettes **[80].** This could represent an indirect evaluation of the percent E-rosettes being formed, an analogous approach to the one presented here. However, a direct comparison of Yu cheng results with L. terrestris data is not possible because the mechanisms for rosette formation in mammals and earthworms may be entirely different. In addition, it is not clear if a decrease in E-rosette formation on Yu-cheng patients was due to an alteration of cellular function or an actual decrease in cell numbers **[62, 80).** In mammals, it has been shown that E-rosette formation requires T-cells to display intact metabolic pathways for glycolysis, and protein and nucleic acid synthesis **[103];** also, hormonal regulation of intracellular cyclic nucleotide levels influences E-rosette formation **[103,** 104]. Although it is suspected that **E-** and S-rosette formation in earthworms might be associated with specific populations or sub-

IRWW

populations of coelomocytes, this has not been proved. The inability of Aroclor 1254 to suppress L. terrestris **E** rosettes could be viewed as an indirect proof that the mechamisms of E-rosette formation is different from that of mammals. If this is not the case, the cause for unaffected E-rosette formation could be related to inadequate test conditions (see Mendes, et al. **[105]** for technical aspects of rosette tests in humans), an actual lack of dose-response effect for this immune parameter at the given PCB concentrations, or, a characteristic inherent to PCBs which make this chemical unable to specifically alter surface receptors of coelomocytes to RRBCs.

Phagocytic response also showed suppressive effects **by** a reduction in mean number of phagocytosing leukocytes in experimental worms. However, throughout the experiments the phagocyte numbers were unusually low compared to published work **[53].** In addition, while significant differences were only found at **5-d** exposure during time series experiments, there were other control values during depuration (Fig. 14) showing similarly low phagocytosing leukocyte counts as the lowest levels found for experimental worms. Thus, these inconsistencies do not support a demonstration of suppresive effects of PCBs on phagocytosis. Rather than assuming the inadequacy of phagocytosis as a suitable immune response for assessing immunotoxicity, it could well be an indication for the need to improve the assay conditions to enhance the

phagocytic response. Throughout this work, the experimental conditions for assaying rosettes and phagocytosis were essentially the same (incubation at 40C and 24 h of incubation period). **By** doing so, I was able to conduct rosette and phagocytic counts simultaneously. However, optimal conditions for rosette formation and phagocytosis may be different. An incubation period of 24 h is probably enough to allow significant phagocytosis to take place, although longer incubation time facilitates better contact between leukocytes and RRBCs thus increasing the chances of phagocytosis and rosette formation. Temperature of incubation, however, plays a more important role in improving conditions for a phagocytic response. There has to be an optimal temperature at which phagocyte pseudopodia mobility is enhanced. In addition, the use of glass test tubes during the collection of coelomocytes could have also influenced the variability of phagocytosing cell counts observed. One feature of phagocytic cells is their ability to adhere to glass **[53).** Although efforts were made to keep the test tubes at a temperature of **40C** at all times to reduce this effect, temperature differences often occur during the transfer of cells which could cause cell adherance, and thus differential loss of phagocytic cells throughout the different assays periods. More work in these technical aspects is needed to improve the assay conditions to enhance phagocytic response. Further research should

also focus on measuring other associated phagocytic response such as baterial killing and phagocytic spreading. Inclusion of other phagocytic measurements and improvement of assay conditions may prove phagocytosis to be as a suitable a response as S-rosette formation was in the present work.

Another important area for future research is congener specific immunotoxicity. Aroclor 1254 is a specific mixture of isomers and congeners of PCBs. According to Sawhney **[106],** it is known that components of Aroclor 1254 are essentially tetrachlorobiphenyl **11%** (molecular weight **292** g/mol), pentachlorobiphenyl 49% **(326** g/mol), hexachlorobiphenyl 34% **(361** g/mol), and heptachlorobiphenyl **6% (395** g/mol). Further research should center on investigating the immunotoxic effects of those PCB species for hypothesis testing of increase and/or decrease of percent chlorination/molecular weight on immune competence. However, such an approach will be **highly** complicated **by** recognized structure-specific relations of PCBs to toxicity **[107].** Different congeners display differential toxic effects according to the degree of chlorination and positions of chlorine atoms in their ring structures **[108].** In particular, according to Hansen **[66]** most toxicity work has focused on the effects of PCB congeners which are approximate isostereomers of 2,3,7,8-Tetrachloro-p-di Benzodioxin **(TCDD). TCDD** is generally regarded as the most potent xenobiotic, and thus is used as standard for

comparison for other organic toxicants that are more or less isosteric **[108].** As a result, PCB congeners regarded as the most toxic and potent mimics of **TCDD** are those having no ortho substitution, 2 para and at least 2 meta chlorines **[66].** Although most of the work on structure-activity related toxicity has been accomplished **by** using mixed function oxidase (MFO) activity end points **[107, 109-111],** such relative toxicity ranking can be helpful in establishing criteria for more closely analyzing the immunocompetence of earthworms exposed to potentially immunotoxic PCB congeners and isomers. This is an important area of research which should reveal the extent to which suppression of MFO activety and immunosuppression may share common mechanisms.

In addition to being sensitive and having certain immune functions analogous to those in mammals, earthworms possess other advantages for studying immunotoxicity of terrestrial pollutants. Their large surface area to volume and feeding behavior facilitate rapid uptake and tissue distribution of chemicals. Tissues are easily separated, prepared and extracted, allowing for rapid determination of actual xenobiotic doses for the corresponding toxic responses. This is especially true for immunoactive coelomic leukocytes, which can be obtained without harm to individual earthworms **by** extrusion method **[92].** Also, earthworm immunoassays should demonstrate direct effects of chemicals

on immunity without the confounding influence of endocrine related stress, as occurs in vertebrates.

The significance of the observed suppresive effects on L. terrestris is the potential of predicting immunotoxic effects of xenobiotics in mammalian systems. As far as I know, the present work constitutes the first attempt to use an invertebrate model for assessing xenobiotic immunotoxicity. Thus, being able to relate these results with published mammalian studies is a major step towards model development for using earthworms in immunotoxic studies as a predictive tool.

APPENDIX I

- **(A)** Phagocytic Coelomocyte
- (B) S-Rosetting Coelomocyte
- **(C)** E-Rosetting Coelomocyte

 (A)

 (B)

 (C)

 $\mathcal{E}=\frac{1}{2}$

APPENDIX II

- **(A)** Chromatograph of a **50** ppm Aroclor 1254 Standard (Attenuation: **128)**
- (B) Chromatograph of an Extract from an Earthworm Exposed to 50 μ g/cm² of Aroclor 1254 (Attenuation: **128)**
- **(C)** Chromatograph of an Extract from a Control Earthworm (Attenuation: 64)

REFERENCES

- **1.** Edwards, **C. A.** and **J.** R. Lofty. **1977.** Biology of Earthworms. Chapman and Hill, London.
- 2. Satchell, **J. E.** and K. Martin. **1981. A** Bibliography of Earthworm Research. Institute of Terrestrial Ecology, Merlewood Research Station, Orange-over-Sands, **U.K.**
- **3.** Satchell, **J. E. (Ed.). 1983.** Earthworm Ecology: From Darwin to Vermiculture. Chapman and Hall, London.
- 4. Lee, K. **E. 1985.** Earthworms: Their Ecology and Relationships with Soils and Land Use. Academic Press, NY.
- **5.** Thompson, **A.** R. **1971.** Effects of nine insecticides on the numbers and biomass of earthworms in pasture. Bull. Environ. Contam. **&** Tox. **5:577-586.**
- **6.** Tomlin, **A. D.** and F. L. Gore. 1974. Effects of six insecticides and a fungicide on the numbers and biomass of earthworms in pasture. Bull. Environ. Contam. **&** Tox. 12:487-492.
- **7.** Beyer, W. **N.** and **C. D.** Gish. **1980.** Persistance in earthworms and potential hazards to birds of soil applied DDT, Dieldrin and Heptachlor. **J.** Applied Ecol. **17:295-307.**
- **8.** Bostrom, **U.** and H. Lofs-Holmin. **1982.** Testing side effects of pesticides on soil fauna **-** a critical review. Report 12. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences and Department of Ecology and Environmental Research. Uppsala, Sweden.
- **9.** Goats, **G.** and **C.** H. Edwards. **1982.** Testing the toxicity of industrial chemicals to earthworms. Rothausted Exp. Stn. Rep. **1982:104-105.**
- **10.** Dean-Ross, **D. 1983.** Methods for the assessment of the toxicity of environmental chemicals to earthworms. Regul. Tox. Pharm. 3:48-59
- **11.** Roberts, B. L. and H. W. Dorough. 1984. Relative toxicities of chemicals to the earthworm Eisenia foetida. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. **3:67-78.**
- 12. Roberts, B. L. and H. W. Dorough. **1985.** Hazards of chemicals to earthworms. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. **4:307-323.**
- **13.** Edwards, **C. A.** 1984. Report of the second stage in development of a standardized laboratory method for assessing the toxicity of chemical substances to earthworms. Report **EUR 9360 EN.** Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg.
- 14. Heimbach, F. 1984. Correlations between three methods for determining the toxicity of chemicals to earthworms. Pestic. Sci. **15:605-611.**
- **15.** Callahan, **C.** ., L. K. Russell and **S. A.** Peterson. **1985. A** comparison of three earthworm bioassay procedures for the assessment of environmental samples containing hazardous wastes. Biol. Fert. Soils **1:195-200.**
- **16.** Callahan, **C. A. 1988.** Earthworms as ecotoxicological assessment tools. In: **C. A.** Edwards and **E.** F. Neuhauser (Eds), The Proceedings of International Conference on Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management, July 1984. Cambridge, England.
- **17.** Neuhauser, **E.** F., M. R. Malecki and R. **C.** Loehr. **1983.** Methods using earthworms for the evaluation of potentially toxic materials in soils. In: Second Annual **ASTM** Symposium on Testing of Hazardous and Industrial Solid Waste. R. **A.** Conway and W. P. Gulledge (Eds). Hazardous and Industrial Solid Waste Testing. **ASTM STP 805. ASTM,** Philadelphia, **pp. 313-320.**
- **18.** Neuhauser, **E.** F., M. R. Malecki and R. **C.** Loehr. 1984. Growth and reproduction of the earthworm Eisenia foetida after exposure to sublethal concentrations of metals. Pedobiologia. **27:89-97.**
- **19.** Nuehauser, **E. F.,** R. **C.** Loehr, **D.** L. Milligan and M. R. Malecki. **1985.** Toxicity of metals to the earthworm Eisenia foetida. Biol. Fert. Soils. 1:149-152.
- 20. Neuhauser, **E.** F., P. R. Durkin, **D.** L. Milligan and M. 1986a. Comparative toxicity of ten organic chemicals to four earthworm species. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 83c:197-200.
- 21. Neuhauser, **E.** F., R. **C.** Loehr and M. R. Malecki. **1986b.** Contact and artificial soil tests using earthworms to evaluate the impact of wastes in soil. In: Hazardous and Industrial Solid Waste Testing: Fourth Symposium, ASTM **STP 886. J.** K. Petros, Jr., W. **J.** Lacy and R. **A.** Conway (Eds). American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, **pp. 192-203.**
- 22. van Gestel, **C. A.** M. and W. Ma. **1988.** Toxicity and bioavailability in soil. Ecotox. Environ. Safety. **15:289-297.**
- **23.** Karnak, R. **E.** and **J.** L. Hamelink. **1982. A** standardized method for determining acute toxicity of chemicals to earthworms. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Sci. **6:216-222.**
- 24. **EEC** (Commission of the European Communities). 1984. Report of the second stage in development of a standardized laboratory method for assessing the toxicity of chemical substances to earthworms. The artificial soil test. **DG** Xl/AL/82/43, Rev. 4.
- **25. OECD** Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals. 1984. Section 2, effects on biotic systems: Earthworm acute toxicity tests. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris, France.
- **26.** Greene, **J. C., C.** L. Bartels, W. **J.** Warren-Hicks, B. R. Parkhurst, **G.** L. Linder, **S. A.** Peterson and W. **E.** Miller. **1988.** Protocols for Short-term Toxicity Screening of Hazardous Waste Sites. **U.S. EPA.** Corvalis, OR.
- **27.** Mclain, **D.** E., **E.** F. Neuhauser, **C. S.** Well and **J. G.** Babish. **1985.** Earthworm toxicity testing: **A** potential alternative to range-finding tests with mammals. Unpublished manuscript.
- **28.** Ma, W. 1984. Sublethal toxic effects of cooper on growth, reproduction and litter breakdown activity in the earthworm Lumbricus rubellus with observations on the influence of temperature and soil **pH.** Environ. Poll. (Series **A) 33:207-219.**
- **29.** Drewes, **C. D.** and **E.** P. Vining. 1984. In vivo neurotoxic effects of dieldrin on giant nerve fibers and escape reflex function in the earthworm, Eisenia foetida. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 22:93-104.

llpw

- **30.** Drewes, **C. D., E.** P. Vining and **C. A.** Callahan. 1984. Non-invasive electrophysiological monitoring: **A** sensitive method for detecting sublethal neurotoxicity in earthworms. Environ. Tox. Chem. **3:599-604.**
- **31.** Drewes, **C. D., E.** P. Vining and **C. A.** Callahan. **1988.** Electrophysiological detection of sublethal neurotoxic effects in intact earthworms. In: **C. A.** Edwards and **E.** F. Neuhauser (Eds), The Proceedings of the International Conference on Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management, July 1984, Cambridge, **U.K.**
- **32.** Stenersen, **J. ,1979.** Action of pesticides on earthworms, Part III: Inhibition and reactivation of cholinesterses in Eisenia foetida (Savigny) after treatment with cholinesterase-inhibiting insecticides. Pestc. Sci. **10:113-122.**
- **33.** Lofs-Holmin, **A. 1980.** Measuring growth of earthworms as a method of testing sublethal toxicity of pesticides experiments with benomyl and trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Swed. **J.** Agric. Res. **10:25-33.**
- 34. Lofs-Holmin, **A. 1982.** Measuring cocoon production of the earhtworm Allolobophora caliginosa (Sav.) as a method of testing sublethal toxicity of pesticides. Swed. **J.** Agric. Res. **12:117-119.**
- **35.** Maleckli, M. R., **E.** F. Neuhauser and R. **C.** Loehr. **1982.** The effects of metals on growth and reproduction of Eisenia foetida (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae). Pedobiologia **24:129-137.**
- **36.** Cooper, **E.** L. 1965a. **A** method of tissue grafting in the earthworm Lumbricus terrestris. Amer. Zool. 4:233.
- **37.** Cooper, **E.** L. **1965b.** Rejection of body wall xenografts exchanged between Lumbricus terrestris and Eisenia foetida. Amer Zool., **5:169.**
- **38.** Cooper, **E.** L. **1968.** Transplantation immunity in annelids. I. Rejection of xenografts exchanged between Lumbricus terrestris and Eisenia foetida. Transplantation, **6:322-337.**
- **39.** Cooper, **E.** L. 1969a. Chronic allograft rejection in Lumbricus terrestris. **J.** Exp. Zool., **171:69-73.**
- 40. Cooper, **E.** L. **1969b.** Specific tissue graft rejection in earthworms. Science, 166:1414-1415.
- 41. Cooper, **E.** L. **1971.** Phylogeny of transplantation immunity. Graft rejection in earthworms. Transplant. Proc., 3:214-216.
- 42. Cooper, **E.** L. 1973a. Evolution of cellular immunity. In: Symp., Non-Specific Factors Influencing Host Resistance, W. Braun and **J.** Ungar (Eds), **S.** Karger, Basel, *Switzerland,* **pp. 11-23.**
- 43. Cooper, **E.** L. **1973b.** Earthworm coelomocytes: role in understanding the evolution of cellular immunity. I. Formation of monolayers and cytotoxicity. In: Proc. III Int. Colloq. Invertebrate Tissue Culture, **J.** Rehacek, **D.** Blaskovic, W. F. Hink (Eds.), Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, **pp.** 381-404.
- 44. Cooper, **E.** L. 1974a. Phylogeny of leukocytes: earthworm coelomocytes in vitro and in vivo. In: Lymphocyte Recognition and Effector Mechanisms. Proc. Eighth Leukocyte Culture Conf., K. Lindahl-Kiessling and **D.** Osoba (Eds). Academic Press, Newyork. **pp. 155-162.**
- 45. Cooper, **E.** L. **1974b.** Transplantation immunity in annelids. IV. Influence of earthworm size on rejection. **J.** Invertebr. Pathol. 24:260-261.
- 46. Cooper, **E.** L. **1975.** Characteristics of CMI and memory in annelids. In: Immunologic Phylogeny. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. Vol 64, **A.** H. Hildemann and **A. A.** Benedit (Eds), Plenum Press, New York, **pp. 127-136.**
- 47. Cooper, **E.** L. **1976.** The earthworm coelomocyte: a mediator of cellular immunity. In: Phylogeny of Thymus and Bone Marrow-Bursa Cells, R. K. Wright and **E.** L. Cooper (Eds), Elsesvier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, **pp. 9-18.**
- 48. Cooper, **E.** L. 1985a. Comparative immunology. Amer. Zool., 25:649-664.
- 49. Cooper, **E.** L. **1985b.** Overview of humoral factors. Dev. Comp. Immunol., **9:577-583.**
- **50.** Cooper, **E.** L., **E. A.** Stein, **S.** Tochinai, **A.** Wojdani and **C. A. E.** Lemmi. **1981.** Earthworms, immunology and aging. In: Workshop on the Role of Earthworms in the Stabilization of Organic Residues, M. Appelhof (Compiler) Beech Leef Press of Kalamazoo Nature Center, Proc. Vol. **1, pp. 49-57.**
- **51.** Cooper, **E.** L. and P. Roch. 1984. Earthworm leukocyte interactions during early stages of graft rejection. **J.** Exptl. Zool., **232:67-72.**
- **52.** Cooper, **E.** L., and P. Roch. **1986.** Second-set allograft responses in the earthworm Lumbricus terretris Kinetics and characteristics. Transplantation, 41: 514-520.
- **53.** Cooper, **E.** L., P. Roch and R. K. Wright. **1982.** Phylogeny of mononuclear phagocytes. In: Self-Defense Mechanisms: Role of Macrophages. **D.** Mizuno, Z. **A.** Cohn, K. Tanaka and **N.** Ishida (Eds). University of Tokio Press, Tokyo, Japan. **pp.** 3-14.
- 54. Chateaureynaud-Duprat, P. and F. Izoard. **1977.** Compared study of immunity between two genera of Lumbriceans: Eisenia and Lumbricus. In: Developmental Immunology, **J.** B. Solomon and **J. D.** Horton (Eds). Amsterdam, Elsevier/North Holland, **pp.** 33-40.
- **55.** Stein, **E. A.** and **E.** L. Cooper. **1982.** Agglutinins as receptor molecules: a phylogenetic approach. In: Developmental Immunology: Clinical Problems and Aging. **(E.** L. Cooper and M. **A.** B. Brazier, Eds). Academic Press, NY. **pp. 85-98.**
- **56.** Stein, **E. A.** and **E.** L. Cooper. **1988.** In vitro agglutinin production **by** earthworm leukocytes. Dev. Comp. Immunol. **12:531-547.**
- **57.** Vos, **J. G. 1972.** Toxicology of PCB's for mammals and for birds. Environ. Health Persp. **1:105-117.**
- **58.** Vos, **J. G.** and Th. De Roij. **1972.** Immunosuppresive activity of a polychlorinated biphenyl preparation on the humoral immune response in guinea pigs. Toxicol. **Apple.** Pharmacol., **21:549-555.**
- **59.** Street, **J. C.** and R. P. Sharma. **1975.** Alteration of induced cellular and humoral immune responses **by** pesticides and chemicals of environmental concern: Quantitative studies of immunosuppression **by** DDT, Aroclor 1254, Carbaryl, Carbofuran and Methylparathion. Toxicol. **Appl.** Pharmacol. **32:587-602.**
- **60.** Thomas, P. **J.** and R. **D.** Hindsill. **1978.** Effect of polychlorinated biphenyls on the immune responses of Rhesus monkeys and mice. Toxicol. **Appl.** Pharmacol. 44(1): 41-51.

99

- **61.** Sharma, R. P. **(Ed.). 1981.** Immunologic Considerations in Toxicology. Vol 1 **&** 2, CRS Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida.
- **62.** Lee, T. P. and K. **J.** Chang. **1985.** Health effects of polychlorinated biphenyls. In: Immunotoxicology and Immunopharmacology. **J.** H. Dean, M. I. Luster, **A. E.** Munson and H. Amos (Eds). Raven Press, New York, N.Y. **pp.** 415-422.
- **63.** Dean, **J.** H., M. I. Luster, **A. E.** Munson and H. Amos (Eds.). **1985.** Immunotoxicology and Immunopharmacology. Raven Press, New York, N.Y.
- 64. Plumb, Jr., R. H. 1984. Characterization of Hazardous Waste Sites: **A** Methods Manual. Vol. **3,** Avaliable Laboratory Analytical Methods. **U.S.** Department of Commerce, Environmental Protection Agency. EPA-600/4-84-038.
- **65.** Deichmann, W. B. **1981.** Halogenated cyclic hydrocarbons. Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology; G. D. Clayton and F. **E.** Clayton (Eds.), Third **Ed.** Vol. 2B, **J.** Wiley **&** Sons, New York, N.Y. **pp. 3603-3669**
- **66.** Hansen, L. . **1987.** Environmental toxicology of polychlorinated biphenyls. In: Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs): Mammalian and Environmental Toxicology. Environmental Toxin Series **1, S.** Safe **(Ed),** Springer-Verlag Publ. Co., N.Y. **pp.** 15-48.
- **67.** Greichus, Y. **A.** and B. **A.** Dohman. **1980.** Polychlorinated biphenyl contamination of areas surrounding two transformer salvage companies, Colman, South Dakota. Pestic. Monit. **J.** 14: **26-30.**
- **68.** Polychlorinated Biphenyls. **1979.** Natinal Academy of Sciences report on assessment of polychlorinated biphenyls in the environment. **NAS.** Washington, **D.C.**
- **69.** Rosebrough, R. , **D.** Peakall, **S.** Herman and M. **N.** Kirven. **1968.** Polychlorinated biphenyls in the global ecosystem. Nature, **220(5172): 1098-1102.**
- **70.** NTIS. **1972.** PCBs and the Environment. National Technical Information Service, **U.S.** Department of Commerce. Com. 72-10419.
- **71.** Velie, M. and **G.** Kuntz. **1982.** Federal Polychlorinated biphenyl regulations. In: Detoxication of Hazardous Waste. **J.** H. Exner **(Ed.),** Ann Arbor Science Publ., Ann Arbor, Michigan, **pp. 121-130.**
- **72.** Vos, **J. G.** and **J.** H. Koeman. **1970.** Comparative toxicologic study with polychlorinated biphenyl in chickens with special reference to porphyria, edema formation, liver necrosis and tissue residues. Toxicol. **Appl.** Pharmacol., **17:656-668.**
- **73.** Harris, **S. J.,** H. **C.** Cecil, **J.** Bitman and R. **J.** Lillie. **1976.** Antibody response and reproduction in bursa of Fabricus and spleen weights of progeny of chickens fed PCBs. Poultry Sci., **55:1933-1940.**
- 74. Friend, M. and **D. 0. 1970.** Polychlorinated biphenyl: interaction with duck hepatitis virus. Science., **170*:1314-1316.**
- **75.** Smith, *S.* H., V. M. Sanders, B. **A.** Barrett, **J.** F. Borzellera and **A. E.** Munson.1978. Immunotoxicological evaluation on mice exposed to polychlorinated biphenyls. Toxicol. **Apple.** Pharmacol., 45:330.
- **76.** Thomas, P. T. and R. **D.** Hindsill. **1980.** Perinatal PCB exposure and its effects on the immune system of young rabbits. Drug Chem. Toxicol. **3:173-184.**
- **77.** Bonnyns, M. and **C.** H. Bestomsky. **1976.** Polychlorinated biphenyl induced modification of lymphocyte response to plant mitogens in rats. Experientia, **32:522-523.**
- **78.** Safe, **S.** 1984. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polybrominated biphenyls (PBBs): Biochemistry, toxicology, and mechanism of action. In: Critical Reviews in Toxicology. CRC Press., **13:319-395.**
- **79.** Higuchi, K. **(Ed.). 1976.** PCB Poisoning and Pollution. Academic Press, New York, N.Y.
- **80.** Chang, K. **J.,** K. H. Hsieh, T. P. Lee, **S.** Y. Tang, and T. **C.** Tung. **1981.** Immunologic evaluation of patients with PCB-poisoning: Determination of lymphocyte subpopulations. Toxicol. **Appl.** Pharmacol. **61: 58-63.**
- **81.** Vos, **J. G.,** R. Faith and M. Luster. **1980.** Immune alterations. In: Halogenated Biphenyls, Terphenyls, Naphthalenes, Dibenzodioxins and Related Products. R. Kimbrough **(Ed.).** Elsevier/North Holland Biomedical Press, N.Y. **pp.** 241-266.
- **82.** Wojdani, **A., E. A.** Stein, **E.** L. Cooper, and L. **J.** Alfred. **1982.** Agglutinins and proteins in the earthworm Lumbricus terrestris, before and after injection of erythrocytes, carbohydrates and other materials. Dev. Comp. Immunol. **6: 513-624.**
- **83.** Stein, **E. A.** and **E.** L. Cooper. **1983.** Carbohydrate and glycoprotein inhibitors of naturally-occurring and induced agglutinins from the earthworm, Lumbricus terrestris. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., **76B: 197-206.**
- 84. Tuckova, L., **J.** Rejnek, P. Sima and R. Ondrejova. **1986.** Lytic activities in coelomic fluid of Eisenia foetida and Lumbricus terrestris. Dev. Comp. Immunol. **10: 181-189.**
- **85.** Cooper, **E.** L. and **E.** Stein. **1981.** Oligochaetes. In: Invertebrate Blood Cells. **N. A.** Ratcliffe and **A.** F. Rowley (Eds.), Academic Press, London. **pp.** 75-140.
- **86.** Cooper, **E.** L. **1977.** Phylogenetic aspects of transplantation. In: Handbuch der Allgemeinen Pathologie VI/8. Transplantation. **J.** W. Masshoff **(Ed.),** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, **pp. 139-167.**
- **87.** Stein, **E. A.** and **E.** L. Cooper.1986. Origen of immune receptors. 6th Int. Congr. Immunol. **p. 67.**
- **88.** Peltier, W. and **C.** I. Weber. Eds. **1985.** Methods for measuring the accute toxicity of effluents to freshwater and marine organisms. Third edition. Enviromental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, **U.S.** Enviromental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio. **EPA** 600/4-84-038.
- **89.** Stephan, **C. E.,** K. **A.** Bush, R. Smith, **J.** Burke, and R. W. Andrew. **1978. A** computer program for calculating an **LC50. U.S.** Enviromental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minnesota, Pre-publication Manuscript.
- **90. SAS** User's Guide: Statistics. Version **5** Edition. **1985. SAS** Institute Inc. **NC.**
- **91.** Branson, **D.** R. **1978.** Predicting the fate of chemicals in the aquatic environment from laboratory data. In: Estimating the Hazard of Chemical Substances to Aquatic Life; **J.** Cairns, Jr., K. L. Dickson and **A.** Maki (Eds). **ASTM 657.** American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, **pp. 55-70.**
- **92.** Eyambe, **G., A. J.** Goven, L. **C.** Fitzpatrick, B. **J.** Venables and **E.** L. Cooper. **1989.** Extrusion protocol for use in chronic immunotoxicology studies with earthworm coelomic leukocytes. Dev. Comp. Immunol. (In review)
- **93.** Davis, **J.** B. and W. K. Slater. **1928.** The anaerobic metabolism of the earthworm (Lumbricus terrestris). Biochem. **J. 22:338-343.**
- 94. Shaw, **G.** R. and **D.** W. Connell. **1987.** Comparative kinetics for bioaccumulation of polychlorinated biphenyls **by** the polychaete **(** Capitella capitata **)** and fish **(** Mugil cephalus **).** Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety, **13:84-91.**
- **95.** Liebmann, **E.** 1942. The coelomocytes of Lumbricidae. **J.** Morph. 71:221-249.
- **96.** Ireland, M. P. and K. **S.** Richards. **1977.** The occurence and localization of heavy metals and glycogen in the earthworms Lumbricus rubellus and Dendrobaena rubida from a heavy metal site. Histochemistry, **51:153-166.**
- **97.** McLain, **D. E., E.** F. Neuhauser, **C. S.** Well and **J. G.** Babish. Earthworm toxicity testing: **A** potential alternative to range-finding tests with mammals. Unpublished manuscript.
- **98.** Fitzpatrick, L. **C., A. J.** Goven, B. Earle, **J.** Rodriguez, **J.** Briceno and B. **J.** Venables. **1987.** Thermal acclimation, preference and effects on VO2 in the earthworm Lumbricus terrestris. Comp. Biochem. and Physiol., **87A:1015-1016.**
- **99.** Vos, **J. G.** and L. van Driel-Grootenhuis. **1972.** PCB induced suppression of the humoral and cell-mediated immunity in guinea pigs. Sci. Total Environ. **1:289-302.**
- **100.** Stout, V. **1986.** What is happening to PCBs? Elements of environmental monitoring as illustrated **by** an analysis of PCB trends in terrestrial and aquatic organisms. In: PCBs and The Environment, Vol I. **J. S.** Waid **(Ed),** CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, Fl. **pp. 163-205.**
- **101.** Bianco, **C.** and V. Nussenzweig. **1971.** Theta-bearing and complement-receptor lymphocytes are distinct populations of cells. Science, **173:154-156.**
- 102. Silveira, **N.** P. **A., N.** F. Mendes and M. **E.** Tolnai. **1972.** Tissue localization of two populations of human lymphocytes distinguished **by** membrane receptors. **J.** Immunol. 108:1456-1460.
- **103.** Chisary, F. and T. Edgington. 1974. Human T-lymphocyte E-rosette function. **J.** Exp. Med., 140:1122-1126.
- 104. Grieco, M., **J.** Siegel and Z. Goel. **1976.** Modulation of human T-lymphocyte rosette formation **by** autonomic agonists and cyclic nucleotides. **J.** Allergy Clin. Immunol. **58:149-159.**
- **105.** Mendes, **N.** F., M. **E. A.** Tolnai, **N.** P. **A.** Sylveira, R. B. Gilbersen and R. **S.** Metzgar. **1973.** Technical aspects of rosette tests used to detect human complement receptor (B) and sheep erythrocyte-binding (T) lymphocytes. **J.** Immunol. **111:860-867.**
- **106.** Sawhney, B. L. **1986.** Chemistry and properties of PCBs in relation to environmental effects. In: PCBs and The Environment, Vol **1., J. S.** Waid **(Ed).** CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida. **pp.** 47-64.
- **107.** Safe, **S., S.** Bandiera, T. Sawyer, L. Robertson, L. Safe, **A.** Parkinson, P. **E.** Thomas, **D. E.** Ryan, L. M. Reik, W. Levin, M. **A.** Denomme and T. Fujita. **1985.** PCBs: Structure-function relationships and mechanism of action. Environ. Health Perspect. **60:47-56.**
- **108.** Mc Farland, V. **A.** and **J. U.** Clarke. Environmental occurrence, abundance, and toxicity of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners: considerations for a congener-specific analysis. (Unpublished manuscript).
- **109.** Safe, **S. 1987.** PCBs and human health. In: Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs): Mammalian and Environmental Toxicology. **S.** Safe **(Ed),** Environmental Toxin Series **1,** Springer-Verlag Publ. Co. N.Y. **pp.** 133-145.
- **110.** Poland, **A.** and I. **C.** Knutson. **1982.** 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlodibenzo-p-dioxin and related halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons: Examination of the mechanism of toxicity. Ann. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. **22:517-554.**
- **111.** Parkinson, **A.** and **S.** Safe. **1987.** Mammalian biologic and toxic effects of PCBs. In: Polychlorinated Byphenyls (PCBs): Mammalian and Environmental Toxicology. Environmental Toxin Series **1, S.** Safe **(Ed),** Springer Verlag Publ. Co. N.Y., **pp. 49-76.**