

# Archivum Mathematicum

---

Jan Chrastina; Václav Polák

On political realization of a given luxury goods supply

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 5 (1969), No. 3, 143--145

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104692>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON POLITICAL REALIZATION OF A GIVEN LUXURY GOODS SUPPLY

Jan Chrastina and Václav Polák, Brno

*To Professor Otakar Borůvka at his Seventieth Birthday*

Received April 30, 1969

A certain version of Brouwer fixed point theorem is derived and by means of which one theorem from mathematical politology is presented.

Let  $S$  be a  $d$ -simplex in  $E^{d,1}$ . A map  $f: \text{bd } S \rightarrow \text{bd } S$  is said to have an  $\alpha$ -property if it holds (for each  $L \in \mathcal{F}(S) \div \{S\}$ )

$$(\alpha) \quad f(L) \cap (-L) = \emptyset.$$

We say  $f$  has the property  $(\alpha)$  in  $Z \in \mathcal{K}(S)$  if  $(\alpha)$  holds for all  $L \subset Z$ . Evidently  $f$  has the  $\alpha$ -property in  $Z_1 \cup Z_2$  if the same holds on  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ .  $f_1, f_2$  are said to be  $\alpha$ -homotopic, if they are homotopic and all  $f_t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  of the homotopy considered have the  $\alpha$ -property.  $f$  is called  $\alpha$ -deformation if it is  $\alpha$ -homotopic with the identity. A map  $f: S \rightarrow S$  is called to have  $\alpha$ -property if  $f(\text{bd } S) \subset \text{bd } S$  and  $f|_{\text{bd } S}$  has  $\alpha$ -property.

**Lemma:** *Let  $L \in \mathcal{F}(S) \div \mathcal{F}_0(S)$ ,  $f: \text{bd } S \rightarrow \text{bd } S$  have  $\alpha$ -property and  $f|_{\text{rlbd } L}$  be the identity. Then  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -homotopic to  $g: \text{bd } S \rightarrow \text{bd } S$  with  $g|_{\mathcal{C}(L)} = f|_{\mathcal{C}(L)}$  and  $g|_L$  being the identity.*

*Proof:* For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  put  $Z_k = : (\bigcup K) \cup \mathcal{C}(L)$ , where the sum operates on all  $K \in \mathcal{F}(S) \div \{S\}$ ,  $\dim K \leq \dim L + k$ . Evidently  $Z_0 = \mathcal{C}(L) \cup L \subset Z_1 \subset \dots \subset Z_{\bar{k}} = \text{bd } S$  (for some  $\bar{k}$ ). It is  $f|_L: L \rightarrow \text{bd } S \div (-L)$  (because of  $(\alpha)$ ) and hence in a simple way this  $\alpha$ -homotopy  $f_t$  can be constructed as  $f_t: Z_0 \rightarrow \text{bd } S$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  with  $f_0|_{Z_0} = f|_{Z_0}$ ,  $f_t|_{\mathcal{C}(L)} = f|_{\mathcal{C}(L)}$  and  $f_t|_L$  being the identity. Let  $Z_0 \neq \text{bd } S$  and choose some  $V \in \mathcal{F}(S)$ ,  $\dim V = \dim L + 1$ . Put  $Z = : \text{rlbd } V$ ,  $T = : Z \cup V$ ,  $U = : \text{bd } S \div (-V)$ ,  $g_t = f_t|_Z$  and using the extension theorem construct a homotopy  $f_t^*: V \rightarrow \text{bd } S \div (-V)$ ,  $f_t^*|_Z = g_t$ . Define  $f_t: Z_0 \cup V \rightarrow \text{bd } S$  (on  $Z_0$  by  $f_t$ , on  $V$  by  $f_t^*$ ).  $f_t$  has on  $Z_0 \cup V$  the  $\alpha$ -property (because the same holds on  $Z_0$  and  $V$ ). Step by step in this way we extend  $f_t$  first on the whole  $Z_1$ , then  $Z_2, \dots, Z_k = \text{bd } S$  and dut  $g = f_1$ ; Q.E.D.

**Theorem 1:** *A map  $f: \text{bd } S \rightarrow \text{bd } S$  with the  $\alpha$ -property is an  $\alpha$ -deformation.*

*Proof:* Order the set  $\mathcal{F}(S) \div \{S\}$  into a sequence  $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^{\bar{d}}$  in such a way that first in the row are all vertices, then edges, then triangles etc. and

put  $M_i = : \bigcup_{j \leq i} L_j$ . In a simple way one constructs an  $\alpha$ -homotopy  $f_t : \text{bd } S \rightarrow \text{bd } S$  with  $f_0 = f$  and  $f_1 | L_1$  being the identity. For  $i > 1$ ,  $f_{i-1}$  being  $\alpha$ -homotopic to  $f$  and  $f_{i-1} | M_{i-1}$  being the identity construct (according to our Lemma) an  $\alpha$ -homotopy  $f_t : \text{bd } S \rightarrow \text{bd } S$ ,  $i - 1 \leq t \leq i$  with  $f_t | M_i$  being the identity. Evidently  $f_{\bar{a}}$  is the identity, Q.E.D.

**Theorem 2:** For a map  $f : S \rightarrow S$  having the  $\alpha$ -property it holds  $f(S) = S$ .

Proof: Because of  $f(\text{bd } S) = \text{bd } S$  it suffices to consider this case:  $x \in \text{int } S$  exists with  $x \notin f(S)$ . Map linearly the interval  $[0, 1]$  on each edge  $[v, x]$ ,  $v \in \text{vert } S$  (the corresponding point to  $t$  denote by  ${}^t v$ ),  ${}^0 v = v$ ,  ${}^1 v = x$ , and choose  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f(\text{bd conv } \{{}^t v\}_{v \in \text{verts}})$  is sufficiently close to  $f(x)$ . Project from  $x$  on  $\text{bd } S$  the map  $f | \text{bd conv } \{{}^t v\}_{v \in \text{verts}}$  (the projected map denote by  $f_t$ ). Evidently  $f_t$  is  $\text{bd } S \rightarrow \text{bd } S$  and  $f_t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$  is a homotopy with  $f_0 = f | \text{bd } S$  and  $f_{t_0}(\text{bd } S) \neq \text{bd } S$ . Hence  $f_{t_0}$  is inessential, i.e.  $f | \text{bd } S$  is inessential—a contradiction to the theorem 1; Q.E.D.

Let  $n$  kind of goods be given,  $n$  production branches, in each branch (say  $i$ ) only the good  $i$  be produced and for the production of one unit of good  $i$   $a_{ij}$  units of good  $j$  be destroyed. Put  $A = : (a_{ij})$  and let the set  $N = : \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of goods be divided in two nonvoid sets I, II (called production means and consumer goods). Let, for each  $i \in N$ , it hold  $A_i^i \geq T_0$ ,  $A_i^i \geq T_0$ . Denote by  $P = \{p \in \mathbb{E}^n \mid p \geq 0, T_e p = 1\}$  the set (called price simplex) of all so called price vectors  $p$ . Denote by  $S = \{s \in \mathbb{E}^n \mid s \geq 0, T_e s = 1\}$  the set (called power supply simplex) of all so called intensity production vectors  $s$ . One says a branch  $i$  to be profitable for a given  $p \in P$  if  $(E - A)^i p > 0$  (denote by  $\pi(p)$  the set of all profitable  $i$ 's). Let at least one price vector (say  $\bar{p}$ ) exist with  $\pi(\bar{p}) = N$ . Evidently  $\pi(p)$  is nonvoid for all  $p \in P$ . One says  $p \in P$  (or  $s \in S$ ) is degenerated if it is not  $p > 0$  ( $s > 0$ ). One calls a map  $s(p) : P \rightarrow S$  a psychology if it holds  $s(p)^n(p) \geq 0$  for all  $p \in P$  and  $s(p)$  is degenerated if the same holds for  $p$ . The pair  $(A, s(p))$  with above considered properties is said to be a simple commodity production society (see [3]). Put  $Z = \{z \in \mathbb{E}^n \mid T_z = T_s A, s \in S\}$  and such  $z$  call a suitable stock. Put  $C = \{x \in \mathbb{E}^n \mid x \geq 0, T_x = T_s(E - A), s \in S\}$  and call such  $x$  a luxury goods supply (the corresponding  $s$ 's are said to be reproductive). One says  $x \in C$  to be economically realizable according to  $z \in Z$  if a reproductive  $s \in S$  exists with  $T_z = T_s A$  and  $T_x = T_s(E - A)$ . Evidently each  $x \in C$  is economically realizable according to some  $z \in Z$ . One says  $x \in C$  to be politically realizable according to  $z \in Z$  if it is economically realizable according to  $z$  and the mentioned  $s$  be such that  $s = s(p)$  for some  $p \in P$ .

**Theorem 3:** *In each simple commodity production society  $(A, s(p))$  to each  $y \in E^n$ ,  $y \geq 0$  such a number  $\lambda > 0$  and a suitable stock  $z$  exist that  $\lambda y$  is politically realizable (according to  $z$ ) luxury goods supply.*

Proof: Because  $\text{conv}(\{T(E - A)^i\}_{i \in N})$  is the  $(n - 1)$ -simplex containing the  $(n - 1)$ -simplex  $\{x \in E^n \mid x \geq 0\} \cap \text{aff}(T(E - A))_{i \in N}$  (because of  $A_i^i \geq T_0$ ,  $A_{i1}^i \geq T_0$  and the existence of  $\bar{p}$ ), it exists to each  $y \geq 0$  such a number  $\lambda > 0$  that  $\lambda y$  is economically realizable according to  $Ty(E - A)^{-1}A\lambda$ . It suffices now to prove  $s(P) = S$ , but it follows from the theorem 2 because  $s(p) : P \rightarrow S$  has the  $\alpha$ -property if we identify the points from  $P$  with those from  $S$  having the same coordinates, Q. E. D. Many applications of homotopies in the economy are given in [2].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Hilton, P. J.: *An introduction to homotopy theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1964.
- [2] Nikaïdo, H., *Convex structures and economic theory*. Academic Press, New York 1968.
- [3] Polák, V.: *Mathematical politology*. University of JEP, Brno, University Press, 3<sup>rd</sup> edit. 1969.

*Department of Mathematics  
J. E. Purkyně University, Brno  
Czechoslovakia*

1) A Euclidean  $d$ -dimensional space denote by  $E^d$ . Each point  $x \in E^d$  is considered as to be a column of  $d$  reals  $x^i$ 's,  $o$  means the column of zeros,  $e$  that with 1's. For  $X \subset E^d$  denote by  $\text{aff } X$  the smallest space containing  $X$  and by  $\dim X$  the dimension of  $\text{aff } X$ . For a finite  $X \subset E^d$  the  $X$ 's convex hull denote by  $\text{conv } X$ . Denote by  ${}^T A$  (or  $A^{-1}$ ) a transpose (or inverse) to a matrix  $A$ ,  $A^V$  (or  $A_U$ ), the  $A$ 's submatrix consisting from the rows (or columns) indexed by elements from  $V$  (or  $U$ ).  $A \geq B$  means  $A \geq B$  (i.e.  $a_{ij} \geq b_{ij}$ ) but not  $A = B$ .  $AB$  means the row-by-column matrix multiplication,  $E$  the unit matrix. For a  $d$ -simplex  $S$  (i.e.  $\dim S = d$ ) denote by  $\mathcal{F}_k(S)$  the set of all  $S$ 's  $k$ -faces,  $\text{vert } S = : \mathcal{F}_0(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(S) = : \bigcup_{k=0}^d \mathcal{F}_k(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{X}(S) = \{Z \mid Z = \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{F}} T, \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}(S)\}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(L) = : \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{F}} T$  (where  $\mathcal{F} = \{T \in \mathcal{F}(S) \mid T \neq L, L \notin \mathcal{F}(T)\}$ ) for  $L \in \mathcal{F}(S)$  and  $-L = : \text{conv}\{\text{vert } S \setminus \text{vert } L\}$ . The boundary of  $S$  denote by  $\text{bd } S$ ,  $S$ 's interior by  $\text{int } S$ , the relative boundary of  $L \in \mathcal{F}(S)$  by  $\text{rlbd } L$ . Put  $\bar{d} = : \sum_{k=1}^d \binom{d+1}{k}$ . A continuous transformation  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be called a map ( $f \mid Z$  is  $f$  but on  $Z \subset X$  only),  $f_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$  denote a homotopy,  $f_0, f_1$  are called homotopic. A map  $f : \text{bd } S \rightarrow \text{bd } S$  is called a deformation if it is homotopic to the identity. A map  $f$  is called inessential if it is homotopic to a constant map. Recall, that a deformation is never inessential (see [1], pp. 25—26), that a map  $f : \text{bd } S \rightarrow \text{bd } S$  with  $f(\text{bd } S) \neq \text{bd } S$  is inessential (by a suitable homotopy we contract  $f(\text{bd } S)$  into a point), and this extension theorem: if  $Z, T \in \mathcal{X}(S)$ ,  $Z \subset T$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{F}(S)$ ,  $U = : \text{bd } S \setminus V$ ,  $f_0 : T \rightarrow U$ ,  $g_t : Z \rightarrow U, 0 \leq t \leq 1, g_0 = f_0 \mid Z$ , then  $f_0$  admits a homotopy  $f_t : T \rightarrow U, 0 \leq t \leq 1$  with  $f_t \mid Z = g_t$  (see [1], p. 20).