Boston University

OpenBU

Theses & Dissertations

http://open.bu.edu

Boston University Theses & Dissertations

2019

Correlation between dental classification and upper airway measurements using acoustic rhinometry and pharyngometry

https://hdl.handle.net/2144/33087 Boston University

BOSTON UNIVERSITY

HENRY M. GOLDMAN SCHOOL OF DENTAL MEDICINE

THESIS

CORRELATION BETWEEN DENTAL CLASSIFICATION AND UPPER AIRWAY MEASUREMENTS USING ACOUSTIC RHINOMETRY AND PHARYNGOMETRY

by

YASMIN N. S. M. N. ALAYYOUBI

B.A., Boston University, 2011 D.M.D., Boston University, 2014 CAGS., Boston University, 2017

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Dentistry In the Department of Pediatric Dentistry

2018

© 2018 YASMIN N. S. M. N. ALAYYOUBI All rights reserved

Approved by:

First Reader	
	Jayapriyaa Shanmugham, BDS, MPH, DrPH
	Director of Research & Clinical Assistant Professor
	Department of Pediatric Dentistry
	Boston University Henry M. Goldman School of Dental Medicine
Second Reader	
	Athanasios Zavras, DMD, DDS, MS, DrMedSc
	Professor and Chair
	Department of Pediatric Dentistry
	Boston University Henry M. Goldman School of Dental Medicine
Third Reader	
	Porsche Jumlongras, DDS, PhD
	Clinical Assistant Professor
	Department of Pediatric Dentistry
	Boston University Henry M. Goldman School of Dental Medicine

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this work to my mother and father who enabled me the opportunity of pursuing a further education in the United States.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you, Dr. Jayapriyaa Shanmugham, Dr. Athanasios Zavras, and Dr. Porsche Jumlongras for your assistance in writing this thesis.

Thank you, Dr. Goli Parsi, Dr. Melih Motro, Dr. Magnolia Venegas, Dr. Salam Alsadiq, Dr. Basil Andijani, Dr. Osama Alsulaiman for all your help and support.

CORRELATION BETWEEN DENTAL CLASSIFICATION AND UPPER AIRWAY MEASUREMENTS USING ACOUSTIC RHINOMETRY ANDPHARYNGOMETRY

YASMIN N. S. M. N. ALAYYOUBI

Boston University, Henry M. Goldman School of Dental Medicine, 2018 Thesis Advisor: Dr. Jayapriyaa Shanmugham

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To determine the prevalence of Sleep Disturbances (SD) in children and to evaluate the correlation between dental classification and airway dimensions. **Methods:** Children between 3 - 18 years at the Boston University Pediatric Oral Healthcare Center in Boston, Massachusetts were recruited for this study. Based on parents' responses in a brief sleep-screening questionnaire, cases were identified as children with SD and controls were those without. Another detailed questionnaire was used to collect information on demographics and sleep patterns. Clinical and upper airway examinations were conducted using Eccovision Acoustic Rhinometer (AR) and Acoustic Pharyngometer (AP). Statistical differences in upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion were evaluated. **Results:** Among 281 children, the prevalence of SD was 38%. Upper airway measurements among 176 participants using AP showed significantly higher pharyngeal Minimum Cross-Sectional Area (MCA) for class III dental occlusion compared to class I (*P*=.036) in children with SD. Statistically significant differences in MCA, Airway Volume (AV), and

minimum distance to MCA by type of dental occlusion were mainly observed among children with SD (P<.05). **Conclusions:** The results highlight a possible correlation between nasal and pharyngeal airway dimensions and dental classification among children with SD. Further analysis that include radiological examinations may help in confirming these findings.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION iv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTSv
ABSTRACT vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS viii
LIST OF TABLES ix
LIST OF FIGURESx
INTRODUCTION1
METHODS
RESULTS
DISCUSSION
CONCLUSIONS
APPENDIX 1: Medical History & BEARS Questionnaire
APPENDIX 2: Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire
BIBLIOGRAPHY
CURRICULUM VITAE

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Demographic characteristics among children 3-18 years at Boston University,
Boston, Massachusetts (n=281) 14
Table 2: Upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion among children with
and without sleep disturbances using acoustic pharyngometry (n=176) 15
Table 3: Multivariate analysis of upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion
among children with and without sleep disturbances using acoustic pharyngometry
(n=176)16
Table 4: Upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion among children with
and without sleep disturbances using acoustic rhinometry (n=176)17-18
and without sleep disturbances using acoustic rhinometry (n=176) 17-18 Table 5: Multivariate analysis of upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion
and without sleep disturbances using acoustic rhinometry (n=176) 17-18 Table 5: Multivariate analysis of upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion among children with and without sleep disturbances using acoustic rhinometry

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Flowchart describing the study methods	7
Figure 2: An example of a pharyngometry analysis segment	8
Figure 3: An example of a rhinometry analysis segment	9
Figure 4: Eccovision acoustic rhinometer and acoustic pharyngometer system	10
Figure 5: Acoustic rhinometer	11
Figure 6: Acoustic pharyngometer	11

INTRODUCTION

Sleep disturbances (SD) are a relatively common condition affecting both children and adults.^(1,2) In the United States, approximately 50% of the adolescents between the ages of 15 to 17 years and more than 33% of children ranging from 6 to 8 years are reported to have sleep problems at least one day per week.⁽³⁾ One of the possible causes of SD is sleepdisordered breathing (SDB).⁽⁴⁾ The clinical presentations of SDB include upper airway resistance syndrome, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), and snoring.⁽⁴⁾ Some studies show a possible correlation between SD and upper airway size, and several others relate differences in upper airway size by type of skeletal classification.^(3,5) Nasal and airway dimensions can easily be determined by the use of diagnostic methods such as lateral cephalometric radiographs, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and acoustics.^(6,7,8,9) Acoustic Pharyngometry (AP) is a newer method that employs the use of sound reflection to estimate the upper pharyngeal cross-sectional area as a function of the distance between the airway and the oral opening.⁽¹⁰⁾ Acoustic Rhinometry (AR) is similar to AP and is an objective method that can be used to examine the patency of the nasal cavity.⁽⁹⁾ Both techniques are reliable, rapid, non-invasive, and have shown remarkable reproducibility during in vivo and clinical measurements.^(11,12) They are preferred methods for the pediatric population since they require minimal co-operation from the subject.⁽⁹⁾

In the assessment of pharyngeal airway dimensions in children with varying skeletal patterns, those with mandibular deficiency are found to have lower airway volume, area, and pharyngeal airway space than those with a good anteroposterior relationship.⁽⁵⁾

While the majority of studies have focused on the relationship between skeletal classification and airway size, few have evaluated the relationship between dental classification and airway size. In studies that explored the relationship between airway size and Angle's dental classification, the results were inconclusive especially among children.^(13,14) The evidence was however based on limited data and thus necessitates further investigation, which lead to the reason for this study.

The purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of sleep disturbances in children 3-18 years and to evaluate the correlation between dental classification and airway dimensions using acoustic diagnostic methods, AR and AP. Evaluating dental occlusion is effective in cases where lateral cephalometric radiographs are not taken. Should a correlation between dental classification and upper airway dimensions be made, early and effective treatment approaches may be considered.

METHODS

Boston University Medical Center (BUMC) Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved this study. An outline of the study methods is illustrated in Figure 1. This study was conducted at the Boston University Henry M. Goldman School of Dental Medicine's Pediatric Oral Healthcare Center (POHC) and Boston Medical Center's Department of Pediatric Dentistry in Boston, Massachusetts. During routine dental visits, parents or guardians of children seen at BMC and the POHC completed a short sleep-screening questionnaire, the BEARS algorithm, as part of routine screening and recording of complete medical and dental history (Appendix 1). The BEARS algorithm is a simple, costeffective and validated sleep screening questionnaire that is helpful in assessing SD and estimates five major sleep domains which are: B (Bedtime problems), E (Excessive daytime sleepiness), A (Awakenings during the night), R (Regularity and duration of sleep) and S (Snoring).^(15,16) This screening tool was originally formulated to aid in the identification of children with SD and those without the problem.⁽¹⁵⁾ A positive response to any of the questions on sleep behavior affirmed the patient as a case subject, whereas negative answers to all questions determined the participant as a control subject. Once this initial screening was completed, parents or guardians of children aged 3-18 years were informed about this research study and those who were willing for their children to be a part of this research study completed the formal consent process.

After obtaining written informed consent, parents or guardians of children identified as either a case or a control completed a more detailed study questionnaire which

included selected questions from the validated Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire (PSQ, Appendix 2). The detailed study questionnaire obtained information on complete health history, demographics, current intake of medications, if any, wake-time behaviors, and children's nocturnal habits. They were also scheduled for a second follow-up appointment to complete a comprehensive clinical examination. The clinical examination comprised of an extra oral examination, an intraoral examination as well as nasal and pharyngeal airway measurements using AP and AR. The extra oral examination was made up of assessments of facial divergence pattern, observed breathing pattern (nose vs. mouth) and facial profile. The intraoral exam entailed a detailed assessment of the bilateral molar dental classification (or canine classification if the molars were absent), and an evaluation of maxillary arch width, taking into account any crossbites.

Rhinometry and pharyngometry measurements were done with the use of an Eccovision Acoustic Diagnostic Pharyngometer (Hood Laboratories, Pembroke, MA; Figure 4). This device employs a patented, state-of-the-art acoustic signal processing technology to provide a graphical representation of upper airway patency. The technique is non-invasive and results are available in real-time. The patients sat in an upright position in an armchair while maintaining their head at a proper position and breathed normally. A separate nosepiece was fit smoothly into the nasal and oral cavity. A sound signal was then allowed to travel through the airway and reflected back. The system was able to capture the sound reflection with ease. The results of the upper airway evaluation are shown as a graph on the display device. The pharyngeal analysis segment (AS) in Figure 2 demonstrates a graph of the cross-sectional area of the oral cavity (Y-axis) against the

distance into the oral cavity (X-axis), with the opening of the mouth for airway dimensions. The minimum cross-sectional area (MCA) is the narrowest part of the nasal or pharyngeal airway, and is measured in cm^{2.} Pharyngeal airway volume (AV) represents the volume of the area between the oropharyngeal junction to the glottis, measured in cc.⁽¹⁷⁾ Minimum distance (MD) is the position at which the MCA occurred; units are in cm. Pharyngometry measurements were repeated four times to ensure accuracy.

Figure 3 depicts a sample rhinometry analysis segment. Each graph corresponds to either the right or left nostril. Similar to pharyngometry analysis segment, this graph is a function of the nasal cross-sectional area as a function of the distance from the nose piece (at 0.0cm). In this acoustic device, rhinometry volume is defined as the volume of the nasal airway from each nostril to the nasopharyngeal region, measured in cc units. Rhinometry minimum cross-sectional area (MCA) is the minimum area detected in the analysis segment (AS), measured in cm². Air resistance (ARe) is calculated as the resistance of an equivalent duct segment which possesses similar cross-sectional area, with the assumption that the shape is circular.

Data analysis was conducted using STATA statistical software version 14.0. Twosample T-tests and Pearson chi-square tests were used to evaluate significant differences in demographic parameters among children with SD (cases) and those without (controls). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate differences in upper airway measurements between dental occlusion types among cases and controls. Kruskal-Wallis tests were conducted for non-normal data. A *P*-value of <.05 was considered statistically significant. Multivariate analyses were done using linear regression models for both AP and AR measurements. Values were adjusted for age, race, gender, and ethnicity.



Figure 1: Flowchart describing the study methods



Figure 2: An example of a pharyngometry analysis segment



Figure 3: An example of a rhinometry analysis segment

Figure 4: Eccovision acoustic rhinometer and acoustic pharyngometer system



Figure 5: Acoustic rhinometer



Figure 6: Acoustic pharyngometer



RESULTS

Among the total sample of 281 children with a mean age of 9.7 years (standard deviation ± 3.7), the prevalence of SD was 38%. Among those with SD (n=106), 52% were between the ages of 6 and 12 years. Upper airway measurements and clinical examinations were completed for 176 children. When evaluating various parameters among the 176 children, an equal prevalence of SD was observed in both genders among cases and controls (Table 1). African-Americans and non-Hispanics recorded the highest prevalence of SD among cases and controls when compared to other racial and ethnic groups. The most common dental occlusion type among both case and control groups was class I, with class III occlusion being the least common. No statistically significant differences were observed in total pharyngeal AV or pharyngeal distance to the MCA among the different dental occlusion types (Table 2). Pharyngeal MCA was significantly higher among class III dental occlusion (1.79cm²) than among class II and class I occlusion (1.24cm² and 1.01 cm^2 , respectively), and this was observed only among those with SD (P<.05). Furthermore, when adjusting for age, gender, race, and ethnicity in children with SD, those with class III dental occlusion had significantly larger pharyngeal MCA than those with class I and II dental occlusion (*P*<.05; Table 3).

In patients with SD, rhinometry MCA of both the right and left nostrils was significantly higher in class III dental occlusion compared to class I (P<.05; Table 4). Subsequently, the airway volume in both the right and left nostrils was also significantly higher among class III dental occlusion compared to class I. Nonetheless, the minimum

distance to MCA appeared to be significantly larger for class I dental occlusion as compared to class III (1.45cm and 0.72cm respectively), however this was observed only in the right nostril among children with SD (Table 4). The minimum distance to MCA in the control group was significantly higher in the left nostril for class III (2.02cm) in comparison to class II (1.17cm) dental occlusion (P<.05). No statistically significant differences in air resistance was noted among the dental class types for both case and control groups. When adjusting for age, gender, race, and ethnicity in multivariate analysis, statistically significant differences similar to univariate analysis was observed in each of the parameters (Table 5).

Variable	Cases	Controls	<i>P</i> -value
Age (years), mean±SD	9.1±4.0	10±3.5	0.06*
Gender			
Male, n (%)	53 (50.0)	87 (49.7)	0.96**
Female, n (%)	53 (50.0)	88 (50.3)	
Race			
White, n (%)	35 (33.0)	70 (40.2)	
African-American, n (%)	52 (49.1)	74 (42.5)	0.61**
Asian, n (%)	6 (5.7)	14 (8.1)	
Native Hawaiian, n (%)	1 (0.9)	1 (0.6)	
Other, n (%)	12 (11.3)	15 (8.6)	
Ethnicity			
Hispanic, n (%)	32 (30.2)	44 (25.3)	0.37**
Non-Hispanic, n (%)	74 (69.8)	130 (74.7)	
Dental Classification			
Class I, n (%)	60 (80)	97 (77.0)	
Class II, n (%)	11 (14.7)	19 (15.1)	0.80**
Class III, n (%)	4 (5.3)	10 (7.9)	

Table 1: Demographic characteristics among children 3-18 years at Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts (n=281)

*P-values from two sample T-tests

** *P*-values from Pearson chi-square tests

	Cas	es	Controls		
Variable	Mean±SD	P-value*	Mean±SD	<i>P</i> -value*	
Volume (cc)					
Class I	18.72±7.96		17.87±4.69		
Class II	17.99±6.17	0.17	19.18±7.83	0.64	
Class III	25.93 ± 5.04		18.23±6.05		
Minimum Cross-					
Sectional Area (cm ²)					
Class I	1.01 ± 0.58		0.99 ± 0.47	0.48	
Class II	1.24 ± 0.57	0.02**	1.03 ± 0.52		
Class III	1.79 ± 0.25		1.20 ± 0.66		
Minimum Distance (cm)					
Class I	11.12±2.21		11.49 ± 2.35		
Class II	12.00 ± 2.02	0.43	12.55±3.02	0.20	
Class III	11.77±1.13		12.26±2.06		

Table 2: Upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion among children with
 and without sleep disturbances using acoustic pharyngometry (n=176)

*P-values from one way-ANOVA & Kruskal-Wallis ** Significant P-value (<.05)

Table 3: Multivariate analysis of upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion
 among children with and without sleep disturbances using acoustic pharyngometry (n=176)

X7		Cases		Controls			
variable	<i>R</i> -coefficient	95% CI P-value* R-coefficie		<i>R</i> -coefficient	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value*	
	<i>B</i> -coefficient	75 70 CI	1 -value	<i>B</i> -coefficient	75 /0 C1	1 -value	
Volume (cc)							
Class I	Ref [†]	-	-	Ref	-	-	
Class II	-2.20	-7.52, 3.13	0.41	1.42	-1.46, 4.31	0.33	
Class III	6.51	-1.63, 14.6	0.12	0.39	-3.54, 4.31	0.85	
Minimum							
Cross-							
Sectional Area							
(cm ²)							
Class I	Ref	-	-	Ref	-	-	
Class II	0.15	-0.26, 0.55	0.46	0.05	-0.21, 0.31	0.69	
Class III	0.70	0.08, 1.31	0.03**	0.22	-0.13, 0.58	0.21	
Minimum							
Distance (cm)							
Class I	Ref	-	-	Ref	-	-	
Class II	0.43	-1.10, 1.96	0.58	1.11	-0.16, 2.39	0.09	
Class III	0.99	-1.35, 3.33	0.40	0.86	-0.88, 2.59	0.33	

*Values adjusted for age, gender, race, & ethnicity ** Significant *P*-value (<.05)

[†] Reference group

X 7 • 11	Cas	es	Controls		
Variable	Mean±SD	<i>P</i> -value*	Mean±SD	<i>P</i> -value*	
Volume – Right Nostril (cc)					
Class I	4.10±2.04		4.21±2.60	0.60	
Class II	3.49±1.63	0.05**	4.89 ± 3.85		
Class III	6.50 ± 3.35		3.96 ± 2.17		
Volume – Left Nostril (cc)					
Class I	3.86±1.89		4.47 ± 2.52		
Class II	4.11±1.51	0.0003**	5.52 ± 3.58	0.27	
Class III	7.90 ± 2.86		4.16±1.43		
Minimum Cross-Sectional					
Area – Right Nostril (cm²)	0.33 ± 0.11		0.36 ± 0.15		
Class I	0.32 ± 0.15	0.02**	0.39 ± 0.17	0.63	
Class II	0.52 ± 0.20		0.34 ± 0.14		
Class III					
Minimum Cross-Sectional					
Area – Left Nostril (cm ²)	0.33 ± 0.10		0.36 ± 0.12		
Class I	0.37 ± 0.08	0.03**	0.40 ± 0.15	0.32	
Class II	0.47 ± 0.19		0.40 ± 0.16		
Class III					
Minimum Distance – Right					
Nostril (cm)	1.45 ± 0.86		1.53 ± 1.04		
Class I	2.45 ± 1.54	0.004**	1.70 ± 1.15	0.50	
Class II	0.72 ± 0.93		1.94 ± 1.35		
Class III					
Minimum Distance – Left					
Nostril (cm)	1.71 ± 1.14		1.37 ± 0.76		
Class I	1.73 ± 0.81	0.24	1.17 ± 0.72	0.04**	
Class II	0.75 ± 0.94		2.02 ± 1.30		
Class III					
Air Resistance – Right Nostril	0.00 - 0-				
$(H_2O/1/min)$	8.32±6.35	0.71	8.39±8.01	0	
Class I	8.26±5.55	0.54	8.07±7.41	0.65	
Class II	4.75±5.64		10.92 ± 10.38		
Class III					

Table 4: Upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion among children with and without sleep disturbances using acoustic rhinometry (n=176)

Variable	Case	es	Controls		
variable	Mean±SD	<i>P</i> -value*	Mean±SD	<i>P</i> -value*	
Air Resistance – Left Nostril					
(H ₂ O/1/min)	9.22±6.88		7.75 ± 5.88		
Class I	11.35 ± 17.43	0.69	5.48 ± 3.00	0.27	
Class I	6.91±9.73		7.01 ± 5.94		
Class III					

Table 4 (continued): Upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion among

 children with and without sleep disturbances using acoustic rhinometry (n=176)

* *P*-values are from one way-ANOVA & Kruskal-Wallis ** Significant *P*-value (<.05)

		Cases		Controls		
Variable	B-coefficient	95% CI	P-value*	B-coefficient	95% CI	P-value*
Volume – Right Nostril (cc)						
Class I	$\operatorname{Ref}^{\dagger}$			Ref	-	-
Class II	-0.73	-2.26, 0.79	0.34	0.71	-0.71, 2.13	0.33
Class III	2.45	0.11, 4.78	0.04**	-0.36	-2.30, 1.58	0.72
Volume – Left Nostril (cc)						
Class I	Ref	-	-	Ref	-	-
Class II	0.32	-2.44, 3.07	0.82	1.17	-0.18, 2.51	0.09
Class III	9.72	5.51, 13.94	0.001**	-0.17	-2.00, 1.66	0.85
Minimum Cross-Sectional Area – Right Nostril (cm ²)						
Class I	Ref	_	_	Ref	_	-
Class II	-0.00	-0.09. 0.09	0.96	0.03	-0.05. 0.11	0.40
Class III	0.18	0.04, 0.32	0.01**	-0.03	-0.14, 0.08	0.55
Minimum Cross-Sectional Area – Left Neetril (cm ²)						
Class I	Ref	_	_	Ref	_	_
Class II	0.05	-0.02. 0.13	0.15	0.04	-0.02. 0.11	0.21
Class III	0.16	0.05, 0.28	0.01**	0.05	-0.04, 0.13	0.31
Minimum Distance – Right Nostril (cm) Class I Class I	Ref	0.60.2.06	-	Ref	0 30 0 75	- 0.53
Class III	-0.65	-1.69, 0.39	0.22	0.45	-0.32, 1.23	0.25

Table 5: Multivariate analysis of upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion among children with and without sleep disturbances using acoustic rhinometry (n=176)

Table 5 (continued): Multivariate analysis of upper airway measurements by type of dental occlusion among children with and without sleep disturbances using acoustic rhinometry (n=176)

Variable		Cases		Controls			
v al lable	B -coefficient	95% CI <i>P</i> -value*		B-coefficient	95% CI	P-value*	
Minimum Distance – Left Nostril (cm) Class I Class II Class III	Ref 0.25 -1.04	-0.52, 1.02 -2.22, 0.14	0.52 0.08	Ref -0.25 0.60	-0.66, 0.15 0.05, 1.15	0.21 0.03 **	
Air Resistance – Right Nostril (H ₂ O/1/min) Class I Class II Class III	Ref -0.18 -3.41	-4.73, 4.37 -10.37, 3.55	0.94 0.33	Ref -0.29 2.93	-4.53, 3.96 -2.85, 8.70	0.89 0.32	
Air Resistance – Left Nostril (H ₂ O/1/min) Class I Class I Class III	Ref 1.84 -1.81	-5.05, 8.73 -12.34, 8.72	0.60 0.73	Ref -2.16 -0.99	-4.93, 0.61 -4.77, 2.78	0.13 0.60	

* Values adjusted for age, gender, race, & ethnicity ** Significant *P*-value (<.05) † Reference group

DISCUSSION

Sleep disturbances (SD) are a common occurrence among children and can be a result of neurological deficits or physiological phenomena, such as in obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), or SDB.^(4,18,19) The major implications of disturbed sleep in the pediatric population include increased daytime sleepiness, mood disturbances, behavior problems, risk taking behavior, and cognitive impairment.⁽²⁰⁾ This study focused on highlighting the widespread prevalence SD among children, and evaluated the relationship between the dental occlusion and upper airway dimensions using AP and AR. Dental professionals may be among the first to diagnose sleep disorders among children, and should be cognizant of oral manifestations such as mouth breathing, gingival hyperplasia, xerostomia, increased levels of dental plaque, dental malocclusions, narrow arched palates, mandibular retrognathia, and an overall adenoid facial appearance: all of which may be indicative of the more widespread issue. The prevalence of SD was 38% in this study sample. This is much higher than the prevalence of 11% for sleep-disordered breathing (SDB) as reported in previous studies among children aged 2–8 years.⁽²¹⁾ The difference in these estimates could be due to the wider age range of participants (3-18 years) in the given study, and because prevalence estimation was not limited to SDB but encompassed any type of SD. Racial differences may also play a role in the prevalence of sleep disordered breathing, as studies that have investigated race-related disparities in SDB reported a higher incidence of OSA among African-Americans. ^(22,23) In the given study the majority of patients were of African-American decent, and this may also have accounted for the difference in

estimates.

When evaluating the facial pattern and upper airway dimensions, the majority of studies did not report significant differences in nasopharyngeal airway dimensions, but reported a predominantly broader oropharynx in class III skeletal patterns, and a smaller oropharynx among class II division I, however these results remained inconclusive.^(24,25) This study reported statistically significant differences between class III and class I dental occlusion. This suggests that dental and skeletal patterns may relate to upper airway size differently, with a common consensus that class III dental and skeletal patterns generally exhibit the largest airway dimensions. Furthermore, few studies have reported that the position of teeth can affect airway size. Large retraction of the anterior teeth and orthodontic extractions could lead to narrowing of the upper airway, hence disrupting breathing during sleep.^(26,27) In contrast, mesial movement of molars seems to create more space for the tongue posteriorly hence enlarging the upper airway dimensions.⁽²⁷⁾ This effect tends to improve the breathing condition, however, due to insufficient data this evidence needs further confirmation. In the given study, patients with class III dental occlusion had the largest airway dimensions relative to class I and II dental occlusion. In accordance with previous literature, the mesial position of the permanent mandibular first molar relative to the maxillary permanent first molar can be an initial predictor of upper airway dimensions. Thus, early diagnosis of dental malocclusions and understanding the implications of tooth migration on upper airway size among clinicians may dictate a proper treatment approach.

A previous study evaluated the pharyngeal airway measurements using acoustic

pharyngometry in subjects with SDB and compared the results to a control group without SDB. ⁽²⁸⁾ The investigators investigated both mean upper airway dimensions as well as MCA in both groups. They reported that habitual snorers exhibited a highly significant decrease in MCA as opposed to non-snorers (10.3%, P=.006). Additionally, they reported that mean upper airway dimensions among all participants was significantly correlated with mandibular length as determined by cephalometry.⁽²⁸⁾ Contrary to these findings, in the given study, SD was the outcome of observation as opposed to specifically SDB. The overall MCA was higher in controls than among children with SD in this study, but this was not statistically significant. Significant differences, however, were observed among the dental classifications within case and control groups individually.

Generally, the values recorded by the AR in the given study are somewhat similar to those of previous research. However, unlike the majority of studies which reported the average measurements of both nostrils combined, this study measured the parameters of each nostril separately to bring out the differences in the parameters of the airway between the dental occlusion classes.^(29,30) The minimum distance from the MCA helps to determine the location of the MCA anatomically, making it a value of interest.⁽²⁹⁾ Interestingly, according to the findings of this study, there were statistically significant differences in the minimum distance to MCA in the right nostril among those with SD, and in the left nostril among those without SD. This observation was not previously documented, however the complexity of the human nose and variability in the amount of space in the nasal cavity has been observed in previous studies.⁽³¹⁾

Given that age, gender, race and ethnicity may play a role in differences between

upper airway dimensions, multivariate analyses were conducted using both pharyngometry and rhinometry measures while adjusting for any potential bias that may occur from such factors. Statistically significant differences persisted in each of the different parameters being measured.

Recall bias was one of the main limitations of this study as parents may not remember exactly the details to some of the queries brought up by the sleep screening tool. This may have led to selection bias due to an erroneous classification of the children as either part of the control or case groups. Moreover, as parents are not always with their children in the same room during sleeping hours, they may be unaware of their children's sleeping patterns thus resulting in reporting bias. Observing sleep patterns of children in a clinical background or in a sleep clinic setting could decrease the likelihood of such limitations. Another limitation with using AP and AR to measure air resistance particularly is its method of calculation, as the utilized device may undervalues the accurate physiological flow of resistance that is measured in H₂O/1/min.⁽¹⁷⁾ Nonetheless, using acoustics to measure airway dimensions yielded reliable results in this study that are also comparable to those given in literature. Radiographs, including lateral cephalometry, are vital and useful in the process of confirming the precise diagnosis of skeletal classifications and dimensions. Therefore, in addition to clinical examinations and acoustic measurements, future studies should include radiographic images in conjunction. Results from this study suggest a correlation between upper airway size and dental classification thus providing some suggestive evidence that using sleep surveys and the acoustic device may serve as modest preliminary screening methods. These methods can aid in early diagnoses of sleep disorders in the pediatric population thus minimizing future adverse effects on the overall health and quality of life of children. Recognizing the oral manifestations that may contribute to sleep disordered breathing and early intervention will also allow for optimum oral health. Acoustic pharyngometry can be a valuable tool for measuring upper airway dimension and determining if and how much dental and skeletal treatment will improve airway patency. For dental professionals, early detection of dental malocclusions will allow for timely and appropriate interceptive treatment.

The use of validated screening tools such as the BEARS and PSQ in this study provides a more accurate diagnosis of sleep related problems in children. Furthermore, the relatively large sample size generally increases the precision of the results, and strengthens the power and generalizability of the findings. Future studies may incorporate the use of acoustic measurements before and after interceptive or comprehensive orthodontic therapy to observe the effect of tooth migration, maxillary expansion, or mandibular advancement on airway patency.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on this study's results, the following conclusions can be made:

- 1. Sleep disturbances are a prevalent issue among children and adolescents aged 3-18 years.
- 2. There is a possible correlation between type of dental classification and upper airway dimensions among children with sleep disturbances.
- Largest upper airway dimensions were observed in class III dental occlusion and smallest in class I dental occlusion among children with sleep disturbances.

APPENDIX 1: Medical History & BEARS Questionnaire

TODAV'S DATE.		PI	DIATRIC	MEDICAL &	& DENT	TAL QUEST	TONNA	AIKE	- 1 S.A.S.	
Dationt Name	A STREET	CA.S.	and the second	RECOR	D No:		Dirthd	ate (MM/DD/	(YYYY):	Carl Constanting of the American Street
Patient Name:					Age:		Birtha	ate (MM/DD/	III).	
Home Address:					Phone number:					
					Home	:	Tel		nanic [Non-Hispanic
Height (ft./in.):	Weight(lb	s):	Gender:] Male] Female			Ethnic	Ity: D His	fer not	to answer
Race: White	[American [Asi	an erican Indi	Nativo	e Hawa • not to	iian or other answer	r			
Parent/Guardian	Name:		erican mu		Relati	ionship to Pa	atient:			
Preferred languag	ge of comm	unicat	tion:		Woul the he	d you like to ealth care pr	have a ovider	n interpret or team?	ter whe	n you speak with S 🗌 NO
Highest level of ea	ducation co nooling mary schoo	mplet	ed by pare Primary so Secondary	nt/legal guar chool comple v school comp	dian: ted leted	High scl	hool co or Univ	mpleted versity con	Pro Propleted	efer not to answer
MEDICAL HISTO	ORY									
1. Does your o	hild have a	ny all	ergies (me	edications, fo	od)?					YES 🗌 NO
If YES pleas	se explain:		_							
2. Has your cl	hild been d	iagno evnla	sed with b	leeding diso	rders,	heart condi	tions, s	eizures, oi	r	YES NO
cancer: n		CAPIC		t and the state	Incode	antihiotict	troatm	ont prior t	0	
3. Has your cl	nild's physi	cian t	told you th	at your child	i neeus		ueating		0	
4 Has your ch	ild been d	agno	sed with a	nv other me	dical co	ondition?				YES NO
4. Has your cr	t is the dia	nose	d conditio	n?						
If YES, bas t	the above co	onditi	on lasted n	nore than 12	month	s?				
5. Does your c	hild <u>current</u>	ly use	e medicatio	on(s) prescri	bed by	a doctor, oth	ner thar	n vitamins'	?	YES NO
If YES pleas	e specify:		adical car	a montal hes	alth or (educational	service	s than is u	sual	YES NO
6. Does your cl for most chi	ldren of the	same	age?	e, mentai nea		cuucutonar				
 Is your child children of t 	limited or he same age	preve e do?	nted in any	y way in his/	her abi	lity to do the	e things	s that mos	t	
8. Does your cl	nild need or	get s	pecial ther	apy such as p	ohysica	l, occupatio	nal or s	peech the	rapy?	YES NO
 Does your cl he/she need 	nild have an s treatment	y kind or co	d of emotio ounseling?	onal, develop	mental	or behavior	ral prob	olems for v	which	YES NO
10. During the p developmen	ast 12 mon tal) affected Rare	ths ho l his/l ly	ow often ha her ability	as the child's to perform d y 🗌 Alw	condit aily act ays	ion (medica tivities in sc Don't kr	l, behav hool or now	vioral, emo • at home?	otional	or
11. Does your ch	nild experie	nce di	fficulty wi	th any of the	follow	ing:			1.	
🗌 Breathin	ng (Respira	tory	problems)	🗌 Hear	ing	Eyesigh	nt 🗌	Sleeping	g	
Self-car	e (eating/d	ressii	ng/bathing	g) 🗌 Payi	ng atte	ntion/liste	ning		340	
Speakir	ng/commu	nicati	ng verball	y 🗌 Anxi	ety/de	pression				

12. Does your child see a specialist(s) to receive treatment for any condition	isted above?	
If YES, please complete the following:		
Doctor's name:		
Specialty field:		
Doctor's Phone number:		
13. Does your child have any of the listed habits? (currently or had in the past	t)	
☐ Thumb sucking ☐ Mouth breathing ☐ Tongue thrusting ☐ Nail-bi	ting 🗌 Teeth grinding	
14. Does the child have trouble sleeping or has the child been diagnosed with	a sleeping YES NO	
disorder by a health professional?		
15. Is the child on a special diet?		
16. Does the child currently use a baby bottle to drink milk?	YES NO	
If YES, does the child sleep with the bottle?		
17. How often does the child drink apple juice, carbonated drinks (sodas), len	nonade or other soft drinks?	
	nonth 🗌 Never	
18. How often does the child eat snacks between meals? $2^2/day = 1/day = 2/week = 1/week = 2/month = 1/m$	nonth 🗌 Never	
DENTAL HISTORY & ORAL HEALTH		
19. Is this the child's first visit to a dentist?	🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
If NO, what is the date of last dental exam (mm/dd/yyyy):		
20. Does the child have a dental problem today?		
21. Has the child ever received local anesthetic (Novocaine) previously?		
22. Is the child experiencing pain today? If YES, please ask child to select the level of pain		
No Pain Laad Pain Mile Pain Moograf Pain S	Severe Fran Excluding Fran	
23. During the past 3, months how much pain or distress have your teeth or gu A great deal Quite a bit Some A little bit Not at all	ms caused you?	
24. In the past 3 months, has the child had difficulty eating normally because o pain/problems?	f dental YES NO	
25. In the past 3 months, how often did the child feel self-conscious, nervous or unhappy		
because of problems in the mouth, teeth or gums?		
26. In the past 3 months, has the child missed school because of pain or discom or mouth?	fort in the teeth YES NO	
If YES how often: Once/month 2 times/month 3 times/month	>3 times/month	
27. Who brushes the child's teeth?	CHILD PARENT OTHER	
28. How many times per day does the child brush his/her teeth?	01≥2	
29. Does the child use fluoride based toothpaste?		
³⁰ . How many times per day does the child floss his /her teeth per day?		
j into por auj acco die cinia noso insyner accui per auj.	□ 0 □ 1 □≥ 2	
31. Does the child drink tap water?	□ 0 □ 1 □ ≥2 □ YES □ NO	

TABLE A	Preschool (2 – 5 YEARS)			
Dadking 11	Does your child have any problems going to bed?		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Beatime problems	Falling asleep?		∐ YES ∐ NO	
Evenesius dau time classinose	Does your child seem over tired or sleepy a lot during the day?		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Excessive day-time sleepiness	Does your child still take naps?		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Awakenings during night	Does your child wake up a lot at night?		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Pogularity and duration of	Does your child have a regular bedtime and wake time?		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
sleep	What are they?	Bedtime Wake-time	am/pm am/pm	
Sleep-disordered breathing	Does your child snore a lot or have difficulty breathin	ng at night?	YES NO	
	Does your child breathe mainly through the nose or Nose Mo		outh Don't know	
TABLE B	School-aged (6 - 12 YE	ARS)		
Radtima problems	Does your child have any problems at bedtime? (P)		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Beddine problems	Do you have any problems going to bed? (C)		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Excessive day-time sleepiness	Does your child have difficulty waking in the morning, seem sleepy during the day or take naps? (P)		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
, ,	Do you feel tired a lot? (C)		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Amelionings during night	Does your child seem to wake up a lot at night? Any sleep walking or nightmares? (P)		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Awakenings during night	Do you wake up a lot at night? Have trouble getting back to sleen? (C)		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Regularity and duration of	larity and duration of sleep Do you think be/che is getting enough sleep? (P)		hours	
sleep				
Sleep-disordered breathing	Does your child have loud or nightly snoring or any breathing difficulties at night? (P)			
	Do you breathe mainly through the nose or mouth (C)?		Nose Mouth	
TABLE C	Adolescent (13 - 18 YE	(ARS)	and the second second	
Bedtime problems	Do you have any problems falling asleep at bedtime? (C)		YES NO	
Excessive day-time sleepiness	Do you feel sleepy a lot during the day? In school? While driving (if applicable)? (C)		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
	Do you wake up a lot at night?		YES NO	
Awakenings during night	Have trouble getting back to sleep? (C)		🗌 YES 🗌 NO	
Dominutes of Junction of	What time do you usually go to bed on school	School night	am/pm	
sleep	nights? Weekends?	Weekend	am/pm	
	How much sleep do you usually get? (C)		hours	
Sleep-disordered breathing	Does your teenager snore loudly or nightly? (P)		YES NO	
	Do you breathe mainly through the nose or mouth (C)?		Nose Mouth	

COMPLETE ONLY **ONE TABLE** BELOW BASED ON CHILD'S AGE P – Questions to be directed to **parent**; C – Questions directed to **the child**

APPENDIX 2: Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire

Sleep and breathing patterns in childhood Study Questionnaire

Background Information:

- 1. Today's date (mm/dd/yy):
- 2. Name:
- 3. Date of birth (mm/dd/yy):
- 4. Age (years):
- 5. Gender:
- 6. Height (cms):
- 7. Weight (kgs):

 Race/ethnicity: White African-Americ other 	an 🗌 Asian 🔲 Ame	erican Indian 🗌] Native Hawaiian/
9. Ethnicity: Hispanic INon-H	ispanic		
10. Living with parents?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No		
11. Schooling:	Home-schooling	Schooling) outside
12. Smoking at home/Parenta	l smoking	Yes	No
13. If yes to 12 then please an	swer the following:		
One parent smokes			
Both parents smoke			
14. Has your child been diagn	osed with ADD/ADHD	(Attention defi	cit disorders)?
Yes No			

15. Has	your child been diagnosed with any medical condition?	Yes	🗌 No
16. If YE	ES to 13 is your child taking medications for this condition?	Yes	🗌 No
17. Doe	s your child have a history of allergies?	Yes	🗌 No
18. Doe	s your child currently have seasonal allergies?	Yes	🗌 No
19. Doe	s your child use any medications currently to control allergie	es? 🔲 Yes	🗌 No
20. Doe	s your child currently have a nasal congestion (common col	d)? 🔲 Yes	No 🗌
21. Doe	s your child have breathing difficulties?	Yes	No 🗌
Pediatric Sle	ep Questionnaire (PSQ):		
22. Whi a.	le sleeping, does your child Snore more than half the time?	YES	□no
b.	Always snore?	YES	□NO
c.	Snore loudly?	YES	□NO
d.	Have ``heavy" or loud breathing?	YES	□NO
e.	Have trouble breathing, or struggle to breathe?	YES	□NO
f.	Have you ever seen your child stop breathing during the n	ight? TYES	□no
23 Doe	s your child		
20. D00 a.	Tend to breathe through the mouth during the day?	YES	□NO
b.	Have a dry mouth on waking up in the morning?	YES	□NO
с.	Occasionally wet the bed?	YES	□NO
d.	Wake Up feeling unrefreshed in the morning?	YES	□NO
e.	Have a problem with sleepiness during the day?	YES	□NO
f.	Have a teacher or other supervisor who commented that	YES	□no

your child appears sleepy during the day?

g.	Find it hard to wake your child up in the morning?	YES	□NO
h.	Wake up with headaches in the morning?	YES	□no
24. Did	your child stop growing at a normal rate at any time since b	irth?	B ∏NO
25. ls yo	our child overweight?	YES	□no
26. This	child often		
a.	Does not seem to listen when spoken to directly.	YES	□NO
b.	Has difficulty organizing task and activities.	YES	□no
c.	Is easily distracted by extraneous stimuli.	YES	□NO
d.	Fidgets with hands or feet or squirms in seat.	YES	□NO
e.	Is `on the go' or often acts as if `driven by a motor'.	YES	□NO
f.	Interrupts or intrudes on others	YES	□NO

(e.g. butts into conversations or games).

Clinical Examination:

27. Patient profile:

28. Observed breathing pattern:

Mouth only
Nose only
Both

29. Nasal septum deviation:

Yes	🗌 No
-----	------

30. Any nasal obstruction (due to trauma or other medical reasons)? Yes No 🗌

- 31. Skeletal classification:
- Class 1 (Maxillary mandibular dental protrusion or retrusion)
- Class 2 (Maxillary protrusion and/or mandibular retrognathism)
- Class 3 (Maxillary retrognathism and/or mandibular protrusion/prognathism)

32. Dental classification:

Class I (normal alignment of upper and lower teeth

Class II (Maxillary protrusion present/Upper teeth are more forward/Convex appearance

Class III (Mandibular protrusion present/Lower teeth are more forward/Concave appearance

33. Transverse maxillary evaluation:

Maxillary constriction with unilateral posterior cross-bite

- Maxillary constriction with bilateral posterior cross-bite
- Maxillary constriction without posterior cross-bite

34. Vertical evaluation of patient (for orthodontic patients with cephalograms)

- Hypo-divergent vertical pattern
- Normo-divergent vertical pattern
- Hyper-divergent vertical pattern

35. Nasal airway measurement:

36. Pharyngeal measurement:

Thank you for your participation!

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Simonds JF, Parraga H. Prevalence of sleep disorders and sleep behaviors in children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1982 Jul 1;21(4):383-8.
- Klink M, Quan SF. Prevalence of reported sleep disturbances in a general adult population and their relationship to obstructive airways diseases. *Chest.* 1987 Apr 1;91(4):540-6.
- Singh GK, Kenney MK. Rising Prevalence and Neighborhood, Social, and Behavioral Determinants of Sleep Problems in US Children and Adolescents, 2003-2012. Sleep Disorders. 2013, 394320.
- Li HY, Lee LA. Sleep-disordered breathing in children. *Chang Gung Medical Journal*. 2009 May;32(3):247-57.
- Alves, M, Franzotti, E, Baratieri, C, Nunes L., Nojima, L, & Ruellas, A. Evaluation of pharyngeal airway space amongst different skeletal patterns. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2012; 41(7), 814-9.
- Mislik B, Hänggi MP, Signorelli L, Peltomäki TA, Patcas R. Pharyngeal airway dimensions: a cephalometric, growth-study-based analysis of physiological variations in children aged 6–17. *European journal of orthodontics*. 2013 Sep 20;36(3):331-9.
- Szelloe P, Weiss M, Schraner T, Dave MH. Lower airway dimensions in pediatric patients—A computed tomography study. *Pediatric Anesthesia*. 2017 Oct 1;27(10):1043-9.
- Arens R, McDonough JM, Corbin AM, Rubin NK, Carroll ME, Pack AI, Liu J, Udupa JK. Upper airway size analysis by magnetic resonance imaging of children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2003 Jan 1;167(1):65-70.
- 9. Al Ahmari, M., Wedzicha, J., & Hurst, J. Intersession repeatability of acoustic rhinometry measurements in healthy volunteers. *Clinical and Experimental*

Otorhinolaryngology, 2012; 5(3) 156-60.

- 10. Deyoung, P., Bakker, J., Sands, S., Batool-Anwar, S., Connolly, J., Butler, J., & Malhotra, A. Acoustic pharyngometry measurement of minimal cross-sectional airway area is a significant independent predictor of moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnea. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine: JCSM*: Official Publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine 2013; 9(11), 1161-4.
- 11. Kamal, I. Test-retest validity of acoustic pharyngometry measurements. Otolaryngology *Head and Neck Surgery* 2004; 130(2), 223-228.
- 12. Kunkel & Hochban. Acoustic rhinometry: Rationale and perspectives. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery* 1994; 22(4), 244-249.
- 13. Tsuda H, Fastlicht S, Almeida FR, Lowe AA. The correlation between craniofacial morphology and sleep-disordered breathing in children in an undergraduate orthodontic clinic. *Sleep Breath* 2011;15(2):163-71.
- Aghoutan H, Alami S, Diouny S, Bourzgui F. Orthodontic Considerations in Obstructive Sleep Apnea — State of the Art. 2015.
- 15. Owen's, J.A, & Dalzell, V. Use of the 'BEARS' sleep screening tool in pediatric residents' continuity clinic: a pilot study. *Sleep Medicine*, 2004; 6(2005) 63-69.
- Kheirandish-Gozal, L & Gozal, D. Sleep Disordered Breathing in Children: A Comprehensive Clinical Guide to Evaluation and Treatment. *Respiratory Medicine*. 2012; ISBN: 9781607617242.
- 17. Eccovison, Acoustic Rhinometry System Operator Manual 2013(4) pages 13-14
- Tietze AL, Zernikow B, Michel E, Blankenburg M. Sleep disturbances in children, adolescents, and young adults with severe psychomotor impairment: impact on parental quality of life and sleep. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*. 2014 Dec 1;56(12):1187-93.
- 19. Lumeng JC, Chervin RD. Epidemiology of pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. *Proceedings of the American Thoracic Society.* 2008 Feb 15;5(2):242-52.
- 20. Mindell JA, Owens JA. A clinical guide to pediatric sleep: diagnosis and management of sleep problems. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2015 May 4.

- 21. Anuntaseree W, Rookkapan K, Kuasirikul S, Thongsuksai P. Snoring and obstructive sleep apnea in Thai school-age children: prevalence and predisposing factors. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2001; 32:222–227.
- Redline, S., Tishler, P. V., Hans, M. G., Tosteson, T. D., Strohl, K. P., & Spry, K. (1998). Racial differences in sleep-disordered breathing in African-Americans and Caucasians. *Pneumologie*. 52(3), 155-156.
- 23. Clark KP, Ehlen JC, Paul KN (2016) Race and Gender Disparities in Sleep-Disordered Breathing. *Journal of Sleep Disorders*: Treat Care 6:1.
- 24. Atia Abd Elwareth Abd Elrazik Yousif. Evaluation of upper and lower pharyngeal airway in hypo and hyper divergent Class I, II and III malocclusions in a group of Egyptian patients. *Tanta Dental Journal* 2015; 12(4): 265-276, ISSN 1687-8574.
- 25. Indriksone I, Jakobsone G (2014) The upper airway dimensions in different sagittal craniofacial patterns: a systematic review. *Stomatologija, Baltic Dental and Maxillofacial Journal*. 16(3): 109-117.
- Aghoutan H, Alami S, Diouny S, Bourzgui F. Orthodontic Considerations in Obstructive Sleep Apnea — State of the Art. 2015.
- 27. Hu Z, Yin X, Liao J, Zhou C, Yang Z, Zou S. The effect of teeth extraction for orthodontic treatment on the upper airway: a systematic review. *Sleep Breath*. 2015; 19(2):441-51.
- 28. Mohanan KJ, Larkin EK, Rosen CL, Graham R, Redline S. Utility of noninvasive pharyngometry in epidemiologic studies of childhood sleep-disordered breathing. *Amerian Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2002; 165(11): 1499-1503.
- 29. Lotta Haavisto. Objective evaluation of nasal dimensions in children with acoustic rhinometry. The Department of Otorhinolaryngology; Head and Neck Surgery, University of Turku, Turku, Finland 2011.
- Liukkonen K, Virkkula P, Pitkäranta A. Acoustic rhinometry in small children. *Rhinology* 2006; 44: 160-163.

- Cakmak, O, Çelik, H, Ergin, T, & Sennaroglu, L. Accuracy of Acoustic Rhinometry Measurements. *Laryngoscope* 2001; 111(4), 587-594.
- 32. Brock Rondeau. The Dentist's Involvement in Snoring and Sleep Apnea. Chairside Magazine vol 5 issue 4. Accessed 2018/03/02. WebCite at: "http://glidewelldental.com/education/chairside-dental-magazine/volume-5-issue-4/clinical-techniques-the-dentists-involvement-in-snoring-and-sleep-apnea/"

CURRICULUM VITAE









