Chapman University Chapman University Digital Commons

Mathematics, Physics, and Computer Science Faculty Articles and Research Science and Technology Faculty Articles and Research

6-29-2017

Multi-type Display Calculus for Semi-De Morgan Logic

Giuseppe Greco Delft University of Technology

Fei Liang Delft University of Technology

M. Andrew Moshier *Chapman University,* moshier@chapman.edu

Alessandra Palmigiano Delft University of Technology

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/scs_articles Part of the <u>Algebra Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Greco G., Liang F., Moshier M.A., Palmigiano A. (2017) Multi-type Display Calculus for Semi De Morgan Logic. In: Kennedy J., de Queiroz R. (eds) *Logic, Language, Information, and Computation*. WoLLIC 2017. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 10388. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-55386-2_14

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Science and Technology Faculty Articles and Research at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics, Physics, and Computer Science Faculty Articles and Research by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact laughtin@chapman.edu.

Multi-type Display Calculus for Semi-De Morgan Logic

Comments

This is a pre-copy-editing, author-produced PDF of a conference paper accepted for publication in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, volume 10388, in 2017. The final publication is available at Springer via DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-55386-2_14

Copyright Springer

Multi-type display caculus for Semi-De Morgan Logic

Fei Liang

joint work with Giuseppe Greco, Andrew Moshier and Alessandra Palmigiano *

Preliminaries $\mathbb{A} = (A, \cap, \cup, ^*, 1, 0)$ is a *De Morgan algebra* (*DM-algebra*) if: (D1) $(L, \cap, \cup, 1, 0)$ is a bounded distributive lattice; (D2) $0^* = 1, 1^* = 0$; (D3) $(a \cup b)^* = a^* \cap b^*$ for all $a, b \in A$; (D4) $(a \cap b)^* = a^* \cup b^*$ for all $a, b \in A$; (D5) $a = a^{**}$ for every $a \in A$. It was originally introduced by Bialynicki-Birula and Rasiowa [1].

 $\mathbb{A} = (A, \land, \lor, ', \top, \bot)$ is a *Semi-De Morgan algebra* (*SM-algebra*) if: (S1) $(A, \land, \lor, 1, 0)$ is a bounded distributive lattice; (S2) $\bot' = \top, \top' = \bot$; (S3) $(a \lor b)' = a' \land b'$ for all $a, b \in A$; (S4) $(a \land b)'' = a'' \land b''$ for all $a, b \in A$; (S5) a' = a''' for every $a \in A$. It was first introduced by H.P. Sankappanavar [15] as a common abstraction of De Morgan algebras and distributive pseudocomplemented lattices.

Problems D.Hobby [12] gave a topological duality based on Priestley spaces for semi-De Morgan algebras. Some subvarieties of semi-De Morgan algebras are also studied in the literature [14]. From a proof theoretical perspective, M. Ma and F. Liang [13] proposed a G3-style sequent calculus for semi-De Morgan algebras. In this talk, we will present a proper display calculi for semi-De Morgan logic. A formula is analytic iff it can be transformed into a structural rule which preserves cut-elimination in display calculi [3][9]. (S4) and (S5) are not analytic, that is to say, we cannot transform them into display structural rules which preserve cut-elimination.

Solutions We introduce a heterogeneous representation for semi-De Morgan algebra, in which all axioms are analytic. We proceed as follows:

For any SM-algebra $\mathbb{A} := (A, \land, \lor, ', \top, \bot)$, denoting by \mathbb{L} the distributive lattice reduct of \mathbb{A} , there exists a De Morgan algebra $\mathbb{D} = (A'', \cap, \cup, *, 1, 0)$, where $A'' = \{a'' \mid a \in A\}$ such that there is a homomorphism *h* from \mathbb{L} onto \mathbb{D} , and a one-to-one map *f* from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{L} such that *f* is meet preserving and also preserves the bounded elements, moreover, $h \circ f = Id_D$.

An *heterogeneous SDM-algebra* [2] is a structure $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{D}, f, h)$ such that \mathbb{L} is a distributive lattice, \mathbb{D} is a De Morgan algebra, $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{L}$ and $h : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{D}$ such that,

^{*}This research is supported by the NWO Vidi grant 016.138.314, the NWO Aspasia grant 015.008.054, and a Delft Technology Fellowship awarded to the second author in 2013.

h is a homomorphism from \mathbb{L} onto \mathbb{D} , $h(f(\alpha)) = \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$, and moreover, *f* is a one-to-one map, and it is meet preserving and also preserves the bounded elements. Then, we can define $\neg : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ by $\neg a := f((h(a))^*)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{L}$. Finally, we can show (L, \neg) is a semi-De Morgan algebra. Then (S4) and (S5) will be:

 $(S4') f((h(f((h(a \land b))^*)))^*) = f((h(f((h(a))^*)))^*) \land f((h(f((h(b))^*)))^*) \\ (S5') f((h(a))^*)) = f((h(f((h(f((h(a \land b))^*)))^*)))^*).$

Now, both of them are analytic.

Since h, f, * are normal operators, all of them can preserve canonicity see [7]. It suffices to show $(\mathbb{L}^{\delta}, \mathbb{A}^{\delta}, f^{\pi}, h^{\pi})$ is a perfect heterogeneous SDM-algebra and $\neg^{\delta} := f^{\pi} \circ^{*\pi} \circ h^{\pi}$ is the canonical extension of \neg . By general results of the theory of multi-type calculi [10, 11, 5, 8, 4, 6], these ingredients suffice to generate a conservative proper display calculus for semi-De Morgan algebras.

References

- [1] A. Bialynicki-Birula and H. Rasiowa. On the representation of quasi-boolean algebras. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 22(4):370–370, 1957.
- [2] Garrett Birkhoff and John D Lipson. Heterogeneous algebras. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 8(1):115–133, 1970.
- [3] Agata Ciabattoni and Revantha Ramanayake. Power and limits of structural display rules. ACM Transactions on Computational Logic (TOCL), 17(3):17, 2016.
- [4] Sabine Frittella, Giuseppe Greco, Alexander Kurz, and Alessandra Palmigiano. A multi-type display calculus for game logic. *In preparation.*
- [5] Sabine Frittella, Giuseppe Greco, Alexander Kurz, and Alessandra Palmigiano. Multi-type display calculus for propositional dynamic logic. *Journal* of Logic and Computation, 2014. doi: 10.1093/logcom/exu064.
- [6] Sabine Frittella, Giuseppe Greco, Alexander Kurz, Alessandra Palmigiano, and Vlasta Sikimić. A multi-type display calculus for dynamic epistemic logic. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, 2014. doi: 10.1093/logcom/exu068.
- [7] Mai Gehrke and John Harding. Bounded lattice expansions. *Journal of Algebra*, 238(1):345–371, 2001.
- [8] Giuseppe Greco, Alexander Kurz, and Alessandra Palmigiano. Dynamic epistemic logic displayed. In Huaxin Huang, Davide Grossi, and Olivier Roy, editors, *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Logic, Rationality* and Interaction (LORI-4), volume 8196 of LNCS, 2013.

- [9] Giuseppe Greco, Minghui Ma, Alessandra Palmigiano, Apostolos Tzimoulis, and Zhiguang Zhao. Unified correspondence as a proof-theoretic tool. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, page exw022, 2016.
- [10] Giuseppe Greco and Alessandra Palmigiano. Lattice logic properly displayed. ArXiv: 1612.05930.
- [11] Giuseppe Greco and Alessandra Palmigiano. Linear logic properly displayed. Submitted. ArXiv: 1611.04184.
- [12] David Hobby. Semi-demorgan algebras. *Studia Logica*, 56(1-2):151–183, 1996.
- [13] Minghui Ma and Fei Liang. Sequent calculi for semi-de morgan and de morgan algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.05231*, 2016.
- [14] C Palma and R Santos. On a subvariety of semi-de morgan algebras. Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 98(4):323–328, 2003.
- [15] P Sankappanavar, Hanamantagouda. Semi-de morgan algebras. *The Journal* of symbolic logic, 52(03):712–724, 1987.