Chapman University Chapman University Digital Commons

Student Research Day Abstracts and Posters

Office of Undergraduate Research and Creative Activity

Spring 5-10-2017

Efficacy of Electromyography and the Dead Bug Exercise

Taylor M. Scavo Chapman University, scavo102@mail.chapman.edu

Rachel C. Cooklin Chapman University, cookl100@mail.chapman.edu

Erika N. Faria *Chapman University,* faria105@mail.chapman.edu

Madeline L. Johnson *Chapman University*, johns527@mail.chapman.edu

Eric Sternlicht *Chapman University*

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/cusrd_abstracts Part of the <u>Kinesiotherapy Commons</u>, <u>Movement and Mind-Body Therapies Commons</u>, <u>Musculoskeletal</u>, <u>Neural</u>, and <u>Ocular Physiology Commons</u>, <u>Musculoskeletal System Commons</u>, <u>Physical Therapy Commons</u>, <u>Physiotherapy Commons</u>, and the <u>Sports Sciences Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Scavo, Taylor M.; Cooklin, Rachel C.; Faria, Erika N.; Johnson, Madeline L.; and Sternlicht, Eric, "Efficacy of Electromyography and the Dead Bug Exercise" (2017). *Student Research Day Abstracts and Posters*. 239. http://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/cusrd_abstracts/239

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Office of Undergraduate Research and Creative Activity at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Student Research Day Abstracts and Posters by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact laughtin@chapman.edu.



CREAN COLLEGE OF HEALTH AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES

Abstract


Figure 1. The Dead Bug exercise is performed in physical therapy clinics to restore lumbar spine stability and core strength in patients with lower back pain (LBP). The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of using electromyography (EMG) feedback to enhance proper mechanics during the Dead Bug exercise. Sixteen healthy, college age students volunteered as subjects for the study. Subjects performed the Dead Bug (Fig. 1a.) with and without visual EMG cues and were given instructions on how to execute the exercise. Data was recorded using a BTS FREEEMG Analyzer and signal processed and data analyzed using the BTS SEMGanalyzer software (BTS Bioengineering, Brooklyn, NY). Electrodes were placed on the right rectus abdominis (RA) and right rectus femoris (RF) of each subject of the agonist and antagonist muscle of the movement, Figure 1a. Subject performing Dead Bug exercise. Electrodes were placed on r. rectus abdominis and r. respectively. Subjects performed two trials of the exercise rectus femoris. Visual EMG cueing trial. **1b.** Subject performing Dying Bug exercise with left arm and right leg extended. Electrodes were placed on r. external oblique and r. rectus femoris. Visual EMG cueing trial. 1c. on two test days with two weeks in between testing. EMG Subject performing Dying Bug exercise with right arm and left leg extended. Electrodes were placed on r. data were normalized using subjects' maximum voluntary external oblique and r. rectus femoris. Visual EMG cueing trial. contraction. Students' paired t-tests were used for **Pilot Testing Results** statistical analysis with a $p \leq 0.05$ used for significance. The averages of the normalized EMG data (ND) between Figure 2. Table 1. both visual trials for RA and RF, mean + standard deviation, were 0.302 \pm 0.158 and 0.118 \pm 0.094, respectively. The averages of the normalized EMG data between both nonvisual trials for RA and RF were 0.284 \pm 0.146 and 0.084 \pm 0.049, respectively. No significant differences were found for visual and nonvisual trials for agonist and antagonist muscles (Table 2). After evaluation of the study, the study protocol was determined to not be identical to a typical physical therapy setting which utilizes continuous feedback to the patient. Therefore, pilot testing Table 1. Normalized mean + s.d. EMG data of two subjects was performed on the Dying Bug exercise (ND) from the visual and nonvisual trials of pilot 10 (Fig. 1b&c.) with continuous visual, biomechanical, testing of the Dying Bug. Averages of the r. Time [s] external oblique (RO) and r. rectus femoris palpation, and verbal feedback. As anticipated, a positive Figure 2. Exemplar data from pilot testing of the Dying Bug (RF) for visual and nonvisual trials. A positive exercise with continuous visual, biomechanical, palpation, trend was shown in mean visual values relative to trend (\uparrow) between nonvisual and visual trials and verbal feedback. was seen for the RO, while a negative trend (\downarrow) nonvisual values for the targeted muscles (Table 1). from visual to nonvisual trials was observed for the RF.

Efficacy of Electromyography and the Dead Bug Exercise

Scavo, T. M., Cooklin, R. C., Faria, E. N., Johnson, M. L. and E. Sternlicht


Email scavo102@mail.chapman.edu, sternlic@chapman.edu

Testing Exercise Execution



Muscle	Nonvisual ND (mean ± SD)	Visual ND (mean ± SD)	Trend
RO	0.192 ± 0.071	0.395 ± 0.147	\uparrow
RF	0.283 ± 0.113	0.223 ± 0.092	\downarrow

Crean College of Health and Behavioral Sciences, Chapman University, Orange, CA, United States



 Deep muscles of the Dead Bug exercise were unable to be measured with surface EMG In the initial study on the Dead Bug exercise, preliminary data analysis was not performed prior to testing of all subjects.

• Unlike in a physical therapy setting, instructions continual not were performed during the primary study on the Dead Bug exercise.



Study Results

Table 2.

Muscle	Nonvisual ND (mean ± SD)	Visual ND (mean ± SD)	p	
RA	0.284 ± 0.146	0.302 ± 0.158	0.720	
RF	0.084 ± 0.049	0.118 ± 0.094	0.273	

Table 2. Normalized mean + s.d. EMG data (ND) from the visual and nonvisual trials of the Dead Bug exercise study. Averages of the r. rectus abdominis (RA) and r. rectus femoris (RF) for visual and nonvisual trials. There was no significance between nonvisual and visual trials for the RA and RF.

Study Limitations

Strengths

Prior research supports the use of EMG along with continual forms of feedback to patients in the physical therapy setting.

Following analysis of study results, subsequent pilot testing on two subjects using continual EMG visual, biomechanical, palpation, and verbal feedback supports the use of EMG feedback on patients for proper exercise mechanics.