South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

Agronomy, Horticulture and Plant Science FacultyDepartment of Agronomy, Horticulture, and PlantPublicationsScience

12-2007

Detecting Weed Infestations in Soybean Using Remote Sensing.

S. A. Clay South Dakota State University, sharon.clay@sdstate.edu

J. Chang South Dakota State University

D. E. Clay South Dakota State University, david.clay@sdstate.edu

K. Dalstead South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://openprairie.sdstate.edu/plant_faculty_pubs

Recommended Citation

Clay, S. A.; Chang, J.; Clay, D. E.; and Dalstead, K., "Detecting Weed Infestations in Soybean Using Remote Sensing." (2007). *Agronomy, Horticulture and Plant Science Faculty Publications*. 145. https://openprairie.sdstate.edu/plant_faculty_pubs/145

This Abstract is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Agronomy, Horticulture, and Plant Science at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agronomy, Horticulture and Plant Science Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu. ADS Classic is now <u>deprecated</u>. It will be completely retired in October 2019. Please redirect your searches to the new ADS <u>modern form</u> or the <u>classic form</u>. More info can be found on our <u>blog</u>.

SAO/NASA ADS Physics Abstract Service

- · Find Similar Abstracts (with default settings below)
- Reads History
- Translate This Page

Title:	Detecting Weed Infestations in Soybean Using Remote Sensing.		
Authors:	Clay, S. A. ; Chang, J. ; Clay, D. E. ; Dalsted, K. ; Reese, C.		
Affiliation:	AA(SDSU, Plant Science Dept., Brookings, SD 57007, sharon.clay@sdstate.edu), AB(SDSU, GIS Center of Excellence, Brookings, SD 57007, jiyul.chang@sdstate.edu) AC(SDSU, Plant Science Dept., Brookings, SD 57007, david.clay@sdstate.edu), AD(SDSU, Engineering Resource Center, Brookings, SD 57007, kevin.dalsted@sdstate.edu), AE(SDSU, Plant Science Dept., Brookings, SD 57007, cheryl.reese@sdstate.edu)		
Publication:	American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting 2007, abstract id. B13D-1524		
Publication Date:	12/2007		
Origin:	AGU		
Keywords:	0402 Agricultural systems, 0480 Remote sensing		
Bibliographic Code:	2007AGUFM.B13D1524C		

Abstract

Can weed distribution maps be developed from remote sensed reflectance data? When are the appropriate times to collect these data during the season? What wavebands can be used to distinguish weedy from weed- free areas? This research examined if and when reflectance could be used to distinguish between weed-free and weed-infested (mixed species) areas in soybean and to determine the most useful wavebands to separate crop, weed, and soil reflectance differences. Treatments in the two-year study

Detecting Weed Infestations in Soybean Using Remote Sensing.

included no vegetation (bare soil), weed-free soybean, and weed-infested soybean and, in one year, 80% corn residue cover. Reflectance was measured at several sampling times from May through September in 2001 and 2002 using a hand-held multispectral radiometer equipped with band-limited optical interference filters (460 - 1650 nm). Pixel resolution was 0.8-m. Reflectance in the visible spectral range (460 to 700 nm) generally was similar among treatments. In the near-infrared (NIR) range (>700 to 1650 nm), differences among treatments were observed from soybean growth stage V-3 (about 4 weeks after planting) until mid-July to early August depending on crop vigor and canopy closure (76 cm row spacing in 2001) and 19 cm row spacing in 2002). Reflectance rankings in the NIR range when treatments could be differentiated were consistent between years and, from lowest to highest reflectance, were soil < weed-free < weed-infested areas. Increased reflectance from weed-infested areas was most likely due to increased biomass and canopy cover. Residue masked differences between weed-free and weed- infested areas during the early stages of growth due to high reflectance from the residue and reduced weed numbers in these areas. These results suggest that NIR spectral reflectance collected prior to canopy closure can be used to distinguish weed-infested from weed-free areas.

Bibtex entry for this abstract Preferred format for this abstract (see <u>Preferences</u>)

Find Similar Abstracts:

Use:	Authors Title Keywords (in text query field) Abstract Text			
Return:	Query Results	Return	items starting with number	
	Query Form			
Database:	Astronomy			
	Physics			
	arXiv e-prints			