South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

Agricultural Experiment Station Agronomy Pamphlets

SDSU Agricultural Experiment Station

11-1-1960

Oat Variety Trials in South Dakota 1956 - 1960

South Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/agexperimentsta agronomy

Recommended Citation

South Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, "Oat Variety Trials in South Dakota 1956 - 1960" (1960). Agricultural Experiment Station Agronomy Pamphlets. 42.

http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/agexperimentsta_agronomy/42

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the SDSU Agricultural Experiment Station at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agricultural Experiment Station Agronomy Pamphlets by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

OAT VARIETY TRIALS IN SOUTH DAKOTA1/

1956 - 1960

A Progress Report

by

D. D. Harpstead Agricultural Experiment Station South Dakota State College Brookings, South Dakota

(not for publication without permission)

The 1960 season was one of the most successful seasons on record for small grain production in South Dakota. Cool temperatures with adequate amounts of rainfall early in the growing season provided the favorable situation which could later be measured by maximum yield of oats among the recommended varieties. Exceptions to this rule in commercial fields were closely linked to poor soil fertility, late planting or in some areas, in erratic rainfall pattern.

Yields reported in Tables 1 through 9 are secured from plots grown under conditions of good cultural management. On the stations in eastern South Dakota the plots usually follow a row crop such as corn. In the western part of the state these tests are grown on summer fallow.

J. Nesvold, Station Superintendent, Range Field Station, Cottonwood



 $[\]underline{1}$ / Thanks are due to the following cooperating personnel who have assisted in the growing of this material and in the collection of the data.

Q. Kingsley, Assistant Agronomist, Agricultural Experiment Station

H. Geise, Assistant Agronomist, Agricultural Experiment Station

H. Lund, Agronomy Field Foreman, Main Experiment Station, Brookings A. Dittman, Station Superintendent, North Central Substation, Eureka

W. Pringle, Station Superintendent, Central Substation, Highmore

J. Bonnemann, U. S. Dryland and Irrigation Field Station, Newell

Plot size will vary among stations depending on the facilities available. In all cases the data reported are from replicated plots. Yields from Brookings, Menno, Cottonwood and Presho are obtained from "drill strip" size plots. The remaining stations are represented by data from "rod-row" tests.

In the use of data of this type to evaluate a variety or group of varieties certain ground rules must be observed. No one variety will be perfect in all respects, even at one location, over a number of years. An excellent example of this can be seen in Table 1 where Minhafer is low in the 1960 rank of varieties but high in the long term averages. In the case of Clintland 60 it can be noted that the farther it is grown from its area of primary adaptation the poorer its reaction in relation to other varieties. In addition, a relatively disease-free year such as 1960 would not cause the superior rust resistance of an oat such as Clintland 60 to become an important factor in yielding ability.

These data tend to substantiate the general variety recommendation which advocates the use of Garry and Rodney primarily for early planting in northern oat growing regions. Burnett and Clintland 60 have given the most consistent yield when produced in a major corn growing region. In many cases the variety preference of any grower will depend largely on how he fits this crop into his over-all farm program and the use he eventually makes of the crop.

To evaluate the data, the L.S.D. (least significant difference) has been included with the 1960 yield column. Where this statistic is not published the yield differences cannot be considered significant in the strictest sense of the word. A new column, % of location yield average, has been added this year as an aid in comparing variety performance among two or more locations.

Table 1. Oat Variety Tests at the Main Experiment Station Brookings, 1956-1960

| Variety | Average y | ield, bu/acre 1956-1960 | Test weight 1960 | % of location yield average |
|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Nehawka | 129.7 | 73.4* | 34 | 110.5 |
| Sauk | 127.3 | 77.0 | 35 | 108.4 |
| Mo-0-205 | 126.2 | 77.9 | 36 | 107.5 |
| Andrew | 125.1 | 72.4 | 34 | 106.5 |
| Dupree | 124.1 | 77.9 | 34 | 105.7 |
| Portage | 121.1 | | 35 | 103.6 |
| Waubay | 120.9 | 71.7 | 34 | 103.0 |
| Cherokee | 119.5 | 66.6 | 35 | 102.0 |
| Clintland 60 | 119.1 | 74.5* | 36 | 101.4 |
| C.I. 7473 | 118.4 | | 36 | 100.8 |
| Ransom | 118.0 | 71.8 | 36 | 100.5 |
| Garry | 118.0 | 74.7 | 34 | 100.5 |
| Burnett | 117.7 | 75.6 | 37 | 100.2 |
| Marion | 117.7 | 72.5 | 35 | 100.2 |
| Minton | 116.3 | 78.3* | 31 | 99.1 |
| Minhafer | 113.8 | 76.8** | 36 | 96.9 |
| Branch | 106.4 | 69.1 | 32 | 90.6 |
| Goodfield | 105.6 | 61.2* | 39 | 89.9 |
| Rodney | 103.9 | 70.3 | 33 | 88.5 |
| Putnam | 100.5 | | 34 | 85.6 |
| jax | 89.0 | 70.0 | 31 | 75.8 |
| .S.D. | 7.8 | | | |

^{7.8}

^{*} Estimated from three years' data ** Estimated from four years' data

Table 2. Oat Variety Tests at the Central Substation, Highmore $1956\mbox{-}1960\mbox{*}$

| Variety | Average y | yield, bu/acre 1956-1960* | Test weight 1960 | % of location yield average |
|--------------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Andrew | 84.1 | 60.2 | 36 | 126.8 |
| Portage | 77.7 | | 30 | 117.2 |
| Nehawka | 76.8 | | 35 | 115.8 |
| Osage | 73.9 | 63.2 | 31 | 111.5 |
| Waubay | 73.4 | 66.1 | 35 | 110.7 |
| Burnett | 72.2 | 62.0 | 35 | 108.9 |
| Minhafer | 70.4 | 61.8 | 32 | 106.2 |
| Garry | 69.7 | 56.4 | 26 | 105.1 |
| Mo-0-205 | 69.0 | 65.1 | 37 | 104.1 |
| Putnam | 65.7 | | 36 | 99.1 |
| Cherokee | 64.6 | 54.4 | 35 | 97.4 |
| Dupree | 63.4 | 58.8 | 31 | 95.6 |
| Marion | 61.9 | 60.0 | 33 | 93.4 |
| Goodfield | 60.1 | | 37 | 90.6 |
| Ransom | 54.8 | 50.6 | 32 | 82.6 |
| Clintland 60 | 42.7 | | 34 | 64.4 |
| Clinton | 42.3 | 49.4 | 36 | 63.8 |
| | | | | |

L.S.D. 14.7

^{* 1959} yields not included, crop destroyed by early summer drought and high temperatures

Table 3. Oat Variety Tests at the Range Field Station, Cottonwood 1956-1960

| | Average | yield, bu/acre | Test weight | % of location |
|--------------|---------|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| Variety | 1960 | 1956-1960 | 1960 | yield average |
| Dupree | 79.4 | 53.8 | 31 | 133.8 |
| Osage | 69.0 | 54.9 | 30 | 116.4 |
| Nehawka | 67.5 | 49.2* | 33 | 113.8 |
| Garry | 66.2 | 50.5 | 32 | 111.6 |
| Minhafer | 59.6 | 46.7** | 32 | 100.5 |
| Andrew | 58.9 | 52.4 | 31 | 99.3 |
| Marion | 58.3 | 50.1 | 32 | 98.3 |
| Mo-0-205 | 53.4 | 52.4 | 30 | 90.0 |
| Portage | 51.7 | | 31 | 87.2 |
| Ransom | 51.6 | 46.0 | 31 | 87.0 |
| Cherokee | 50.9 | 40.5 | 32 | 85.8 |
| Clintland 60 | 45.6 | | 33 | 76.9 |

^{*} Estimated from three years' data ** Estimated from four years' data

Table 4. Oat Variety Tests at the North Central Substation, Eureka 1956-1960

| | Average y | ield, bu/acre | Test weight | % of location |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| Variety | 1960 | 1956-1960 | 1960 | yield average |
| Mo-0-205 | 37.2 | 59.0 | 33 | 115.5 |
| Shield | 36.6 | | 31 | 113.7 |
| Andrew | 36.4 | 57.8 | 34 | 113.0 |
| C.I. 74 73 | 35.4 | | 30 | 109.9 |
| Marion | 34.1 | 55.6 | 31 | 105.9 |
| Burnett | 33.6 | 56.3 | 34 | 104.3 |
| Goodfield | 33.2 | | 34 | 103.1 |
| Nehawka | 32.6 | | 34 | 101.2 |
| Garry | 32.0 | 54.9 | 28 | 99.4 |
| Osage | 32.0 | 54.7 | 30 | 99.4 |
| Portage | 32.0 | | 30 | 99.4 |
| Minhafer | 30.4 | 57.0 | 31 | 94.4 |
| <i>l</i> aubay | 30.2 | 56.2 | 33 | 93.8 |
| finton | 29.4 | | 29 | 91.3 |
| lintland 60 | 28.0 | 53.8* | 34 | 86.9 |
| upree | 27.8 | 59.0 | 31 | 86.3 |
| ansom | 26.8 | 50.8 | 31 | 52.2 |

^{*}Estimated from three years' data

Table 5. Oat Variety Tests at the Southeast Station, Menno, 1956-1960

| Variety | Average 1960 | yield, bu/acre 1956-1960 | Test weight 1960 | % of location yield average |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mo-0-205 | 96.3 | 54.0 | 38 | 116.7 |
| Portage | 91.0 | | 36 | 110.3 |
| Andrew | 89.7 | 51.0 | 35 | 108.7 |
| Burnett | 89.6 | 52.1 | 36 | 108.6 |
| Clintland 60 | 88.2 | 50.5 | 36 | 106.9 |
| Cherokee | 84.7 | 49.4 | 36 | 102.7 |
| Nehawka | 84.7 | 48.4 | 37 | 102.7 |
| Shield | 84.1 | | 36 | 101.9 |
| Garry | 81.8 | 47.5 | 35 | 99.2 |
| Sauk | 78.7 | 51.2 | 34 | 95.4 |
| Goodfield | 78.2 | 42.6 | 39 | 94.8 |
| Minhafer | 77.9 | 50.7 | 37 | 94.4 |
| Minton | 77.1 | 46.7 | 32 | 93.4 |
| Marion | 73.7 | 45.4 | 36 | 89.3 |
| Waubay | 73.5 | 47.2 | 37 | 89.1 |
| Ransom | 70.6 | 45.6 | 36 | 85.6 |
| L.S.D. | 14.3 | | | |

Table 6. Oat Variety Tests at the Northeast Station, Watertown 1956-1960

| Variety | Average 1960 | yield, bu/acre 1956-1960 | Test weight 1960 | % of location yield average |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rodney | 80.0 | 70.5 | 30 | 119.8 |
| Andrew | 77.9 | 67.3 | 34 | 116.6 |
| Marion | 74.8 | 65.7 | 33 | 112.0 |
| Minhafer | 74.8 | 66.2 | 33 | 112.0 |
| Portage | 74.5 | | 33 | 111.5 |
| C.I. 7473 | 73.6 | | 35 | 110.2 |
| Burnett | 73.3 | 66.1 | 36 | 109.7 |
| Waubay | 70.6 | 61.6 | 35 | |
| Minton | 66.1 | | 28 | 105.7 |
| Cherokee | 65.9 | 59.2 | 34 | 99.0 |
| Garry | 65.9 | 66.6 | 31 | 98.6 |
| Goodfield | 64.9 | •• | | 98.6 |
| Ransom | 61.9 | | 3 8 | 97.2 |
| Clintland 60 | | 56.9 | 36 | 92.7 |
| | 58.0 | | 37 | 86.8 |
| Putnam | 52.4 | | 36 | 78.4 |
| Mo-0-2 05 | 40.0 | 52 ,8 | 34 | 59.9 |
| | | | | |

Table 7. Oat Variety Tests at the South Central Station, Presho 1958-1960

| Average y | rield, bu/acre 1958-1960 | Test weight | % of location |
|-----------|--|--|---|
| | 1730-1900 | 1960 | yield average |
| 49.7 | 42.8 | 27 | 115.0 |
| 46.6 | | 28 | 107.9 |
| 44.6 | 38.8 | 28 | 103.2 |
| 43.2 | 38.0 | 27 | 100.0 |
| 42.9 | 36.6 | 27 | 99.3 |
| 42.6 | | 27 | 98.6 |
| 41.2 | | 29 | 95.4 |
| 40.5 | | 28 | 93.8 |
| 37.3 | 32.0 | 28 | 86.3 |
| | 46.6 44.6 43.2 42.9 42.6 41.2 40.5 | 49.7 42.8 46.6 44.6 38.8 43.2 38.0 42.9 36.6 42.6 41.2 40.5 | 49.7 42.8 27 46.6 28 44.6 38.8 28 43.2 38.0 27 42.9 36.6 27 42.6 27 41.2 29 40.5 28 |

Table 8. Oat Variety Tests Under Irrigation at the U.S.D. & I. Station Newell, 1956-1960

| Variety | Average y | yield, bu/acre 1956-1960* | Test weight 1960 | % of location yield average |
|--------------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Trojan | 108.7 | 86.3 | 36 | 118.2 |
| Osage | 101.4 | 82.1 | 36 | 110.3 |
| Waubay | 100.1 | 81.5 | 35 | 108.9 |
| Rodney | 98.8 | 80.1 | 33 | 107.5 |
| Garry | 97.0 | 77.3 | 35 | 105.5 |
| C.I. 7473 | 96.7 | | 37 | 105.2 |
| Dupree | 94.1 | 81.8 | 35 | 102.4 |
| Ajax | 93.7 | 80.0 | 35 | 102.0 |
| Park | 92.9 | 81.5 | 35 | 101.1 |
| Minton | 91.5 | | 32 | 99.6 |
| Vikota | 90.5 | 77.7 | 37 | 98.5 |
| Shield | 89.4 | •• | 36 | 97.3 |
| Marion | 88.3 | 75.0 | 36 | 96.1 |
| Mo-0-205 | 87.3 | 78.6 | 37 | 95.0 |
| Portage | 86.4 | | 36 | 94.0 |
| Ransom | 85.5 | 71.6 | 37 | 93.0 |
| Nehawka | 84.5 | | 36 | 92.0 |
| Clintland 60 | 83.6 | | 37 | 91.0 |
| Burnett | 79.9 | 77.4 | 37 | 86.9 |
| Minhafer | 80.3 | 75.4** | 36 | 84.7 |
| Goodfield | 76.5 | | 36 | 84.3 |
| L.S.D. | 6.4 | | | |

^{* 1958} nursery destroyed by hail

^{**} Estimated on basis of three years' data

Table 9. Oat Variety Test on Dryland at the U.S.D. & I Station, Newell, 1956-1960

| Variety | Average 1960 | yield, bu/acre 1956-1960* | Test weight 1960 | % of location yield average |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Dupree | 28.1 | 26.5 | 30 | 118.6 |
| Andrew | 26.4 | 24.7 | 29 | 111.4 |
| Macon | 25.2 | •• | 33 | 106.3 |
| Minhafer | 24.6 | 23.4 | 31 | 103.8 |
| Osage | 24.6 | 23.2 | 30 | 103.8 |
| Shield | 24.0 | | 3 0 | 101.3 |
| Mo-0-205 | 23.2 | | 30 | 97.9 |
| Ransom | 22.5 | 25.4 | 30 | 94.9 |
| Nehawka | 22.1 | | 32 | 93.2 |
| Clintland 60 | 20.6 | , | 33 | 86.9 |
| Brunker | 19.8 | 20.7 | 30 | 83.5 |
| Putnam | 19.4 | | 31 | 81.8 |

^{* 1958} crop destroyed by hail. All averages based on four years' data.

Table 10. Oat Performance Notes, 1960

| | | Brookings 1960 | | | 1960 | |
|--------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Variety | Date Headed | Plant Height inches | Lodging 0-9* | Plant Height | Lodging 0-9* | |
| Ajax | 7-1 | 48 | 5 | | | |
| Andrew | 6-22 | 37 | 3 | 37 | 4 | |
| Branch | 7-4 | 48 | 5 | | | |
| Burnett | 6-24 | 35 | 2 | 40 | 5 | |
| Cherokee | 6-23 | 33 | 7 | 37 | 5 | |
| Clintland 60 | 6-25 | 38 | 1 | 38 | 3 | |
| Dupree | 6-21 | 33 | 3 | | | |
| Garry | 6-29 | 42 | 2 | 44 | 5 | |
| Goodfield | 6-24 | 32 | 1 | 38 | 2 | |
| Marion | 6-24 | 34 | 5 | 44 | 7 | |
| Minhafer | 6-21 | 38 | 1 | 41 | 4 | |
| Minton | 6-27 | 36 | 4 | 40 | 8 | |
| Mo-0-205 | 6-23 | 35 | 3 | 40 | 5 | |
| Nehawka | 6-20 | 32 | 2 | 38 | 4 | |
| Portage | 6-27 | 39 | 2 | 45 | 5 | |
| Putnam | 6-21 | 40 | | | | |
| Ransom | 6-22 | 35 | 4 | 34 | 7 | |
| Rodney | 7-3 | 41 | 4 | | | |
| Sauk | 6-29 | 40 | 5 | 42 | 6 | |
| Waubay | 6-24 | 37 | 1 | 42 | 3 | |
| C.I. 7473 | 6-29 | 40 | 4 | | | |

^{*}Scale 0-9 used, 9 = most severe rating