South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

Agricultural Experiment Station Circulars

SDSU Agricultural Experiment Station

3-1993

Alfalfa Cultivar Yield Test for South Dakota: 1992 Report

E. K. Twidwell South Dakota State University

K. D. Kephart South Dakota State University

R. Bortnem

S. Anderson

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/agexperimentsta_circ

Recommended Citation

Twidwell, E. K.; Kephart, K. D.; Bortnem, R.; and Anderson, S., "Alfalfa Cultivar Yield Test for South Dakota: 1992 Report" (1993). *Agricultural Experiment Station Circulars*. Paper 285. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/agexperimentsta_circ/285

This Circular is brought to you for free and open access by the SDSU Agricultural Experiment Station at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agricultural Experiment Station Circulars by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.



C 248

1992 REPORT

Agricultural Experiment Station South Dakota State University U.S. Department of Agriculture

C 248

ALFALFA CULTIVAR YIELD TEST FOR SOUTH DAKOTA: 1992 REPORT

Edward K. Twidwell, Kevin D. Kephart, Robin Bortnem, and Susan Anderson Plant Science Department South Dakota State University

Public and commercial breeding programs have produced many alfalfa cultivars for the market in the past 15 years. Selecting the proper cultivar for your needs is no easy task, and you should have yield information from several different South Dakota locations before making your choice. The Alfalfa Cultivar Yield Test reports relative forage production characteristics for available cultivars and experimental lines at several locations in South Dakota.

Materials and Methods

Alfalfa experiments were established in 1989 and 1991 at the Southeast Research Farm (Beresford) and the Central Crops and Soils Research Station (Highmore), in 1990 at the Northeast Research Farm (Watertown), and in 1990 and 1992 at the SDSU Research Station (Brookings).

Alfalfa was planted between mid-April and late May into a firmly packed seedbed using a 5-row planter with 6-inch row spacings. Seeding rate was 12 lb pure live seed (PLS) per acre in 1989 and 15 lb PLS per acre in 1990, 1991, and 1992. A pre-plant herbicide (Eptam at 3 lb active ingredient per acre) was used for weed control during alfalfa establishment. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replicates. Each experimental unit consisted of a 75 ft^2 (3 ft X 25 ft) plot. Plots were fertilized after planting with 50 lb P₂O₅ per acre, or in accordance with SDSU soil test results for growth periods after the seeding year. Insect pests did not reach problem levels: chemical control was not used.

Harvesting was done with a flailtype forage plot harvester with a harvest area of 66 ft^2 per plot. Fresh herbage weights were obtained for each plot immediately following herbage removal. Moisture samples were randomly taken from half of the entries in each replicate, dried at 100°F for 72 hours in a forced-air oven, and weighed to determine dry-matter concentration. Mean dry-matter concentrations for each replicate were multiplied by fresh herbage weights for each experimental unit and then divided by harvest area to obtain forage dry-matter (DM) production per unit area of harvest. These data were converted into tons of dry matter per acre (tons DM/A). Data were analyzed by analysis of variance, and dry matter yield differences among cultivars were tested by the least significant difference (LSD) procedure at the 0.05 level of probability. Rela-

Kalu and Fick^a maturity index for phenological development of alfalfa.

Stage number	Stage name
0	Early vegetative
1	Mid-vegetative
2	Late vegetative
3	Early bud
4	Late bud
5	Early flower
6	Late flower
7	Early seed pod
8	Late seed pod
9	Ripe seed pod

^aKalu, B.A., and G.W. Fick. 1983. Quantifying morphological development of alfalfa for studies of herbage quality. Crop Sci 21:267-271.



Fig 1. Average daily temperature and total monthly precipitation during the 1992 growing season.

tive performance among cultivars was calculated by dividing average total seasonal yield over years by the mean forage yield of a given location.

Alfalfa cultivars were evaluated for stage of maturity at time of harvest for the Brookings experiment planted in 1991. Ten shoots randomly selected from each plot were rated for maturity according to the mean-stage-by-count scheme (Kalu and Fick, 1983).

Experiments were harvested up to four times each year; however, growth conditions at some locations often limited harvest frequencies.

1991 Results

Southeast Research Farm, Beresford

Average daily temperatures were below normal during April, June, July, and August and were near normal during May and September (Fig 1). Precipitation was much below normal during April, May, and June. Precipitation during July was more than twice the normal amount, and during August and September it was about 1.5 times higher than normal.

Four harvests were obtained from the experiment planted in 1989. Average total DM yield was 5.82 T/A, and no significant differences were detected among the 40 entries (Table 1). This total yield was over 1 T/A higher than total yields reported in 1990 and 1991, probably because four harvests were taken in 1992 compared to three in each of the previous 2 years.

Average yields for the four harvests in 1992 ranged from 0.56 T/A for the second harvest to 2.12 T/A for the first harvest.

Three-year average yield for this experiment was 4.82 T/A, with no

Table 1. Forage yield of 40 alfalfa cultivars planted April 21, 1989, at the Southeast Research Farm, Beresford.

	1989	1990	1991		-	1992		_		% of
0.11	1-Cut	3-Cut	3-Cut	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3	Cut 4	4-Cut	90 to 92	3-Year
Cultivar	lotal	lotal	lotal	6/4	7/9	8/18	10/1	lotal	Average	Average
Centurion	1 31	4 76	5 15	2 56	0.92	2 1 8	1 34	6 00	5.63	- 70 -
Sure	1.31	4.70	4.59	2.30	0.92	2.10	1.34	7.05	5.54	115
Flint	1.20	4.90	4.00	2.53	0.90	1 96	1.00	6.48	5 39	112
DK 125	1 42	4 75	4 60	2 4 4	0.92	2 14	1.00	6.72	5 36	111
Victory	1.72	4.75	4.53	2 4 8	1 10	2.06	1.26	6.90	5 30	110
victory	1.22	4.47	4.00	2.40	1.10	2.00	1.20	0,00	0.00	110
Arrow	1.21	4.77	4.83	2.32	0.80	1.87	1.06	6.04	5.21	108
5262	1.08	4.50	4.53	2.23	0.48	2 4 4	1.36	6.51	5.18	107
Garst 636	1.19	4.76	4.50	2.28	0.64	2.12	1.15	6.19	5.15	107
FSRC 88S (a)	1.19	4.70	4.34	2.29	0.63	2.08	1.34	6.34	5.12	106
Multi-plier	1.25	4.78	4.27	2.12	0.70	2.02	1.18	6.01	5.02	104
SDHL1 (a)	0.94	4.70	4.45	2.58	0.67	1.79	0.84	5.87	5.01	104
DK 135	1.10	4.58	4.47	2.17	0.38	2.10	1.33	5.97	5.01	104
Majestic	1.20	4.62	4.36	1.99	0.48	2.20	1.30	5.97	4.98	103
Action	1.19	4.56	4.27	2.07	0.42	2.03	1.25	5.76	4.86	101
VIP	0.90	4.56	4.37	1.98	0.43	1.97	1.20	5.57	4.84	100
Clipper	1.33	4.47	4.01	2.26	0.91	1.80	1.02	5.99	4.82	100
VS-775 (a)	1.17	4.67	4.06	1.90	0.60	1.98	1.21	5.69	4.81	100
WL 225	1.20	4.43	4.17	2.31	0.67	1.77	1.05	5.80	4.80	100
526	1.06	4.60	4.14	2.11	0.34	2.01	1.19	5.65	4.79	99
5472	1.12	4.24	4.11	2.07	0.51	2.15	1.29	6.02	4.79	99
Vernal	1.07	4.54	4.08	2.07	0.63	1.97	1.00	5.67	4.76	99
Aggressor	1.08	4.52	4.29	2.03	0.34	1.95	1.13	5.46	4.76	99
Trident II	1.17	4.36	4.06	2.07	0.52	1.98	1.24	5.81	4.74	98
Garst 630	1.06	4.41	4.23	1.94	0.35	2.01	1.23	5.53	4.72	98
WL 317	1.19	4.37	4.09	1.90	0.45	2.00	1.18	5.52	4.66	97
Dert	1 10	4.52	3 0 1	1 97	0.55	1.84	1.15	5 40	4 64	06
Allegiance	0.88	4.32	4.17	1.05	0.33	1.04	1.13	5.43	4.04	90
Saranac AR	1 14	4.27	4.17	2.06	0.33	1.90	1.22	5.31	4.04	90
Legend	1.10	4.00	3.06	2.00	0.69	1.05	1.10	5.51	4.00	90
Chief	1.10	4.20	4.12	1.00	0.09	1.72	1.11	5.00	4.02	90
Chief	1.00	4.23	4.12	1.33	0.41	1.00	1,14	J.42	4.01	30
Apollo Supreme	0.93	4.24	4.14	2.08	0.48	1.86	1.01	5.41	4.60	95
H-174 (a)	1.06	4.02	3.76	2.13	0.68	1.97	1.22	5.99	4.59	95
Ultra	1.07	4.11	3.79	2.04	0.70	1.92	1.09	5.75	4.55	94
Pacestter	1.22	4.49	3.68	1.90	0.57	1.84	1.15	5.46	4.54	94
Cimarron VR	1.02	4.24	3.92	1.95	0.38	1.91	1.19	5.43	4.53	94
C										
Royalty	1.18	4.29	3.82	1.88	0.53	1.88	1.16	5.45	4.52	94
Dawn	1.07	4.26	3.80	2.02	0.34	1.80	1.07	5.22	4.43	92
WL 320	1.03	4.23	3.81	1.89	0.34	1.67	1.11	4.99	4.35	90
SDHS6 (a)	1.04	4.16	3.60	2.03	0.31	1.73	0.96	5.03	4.26	88
Sabre	1.13	3.95	3.63	1.94	0.31	1.77	1.05	5.06	4.21	87
	1.10	4.40	4.14		0.50					
AVERAGE	1.13	4.40	4.19	2.12	0.56	1.96	1.16	5.82	4.82	
Maturity (b)		10		4.70	4.70	4.80	3.30			
LSD (0.03)	113 (C)	CAL	CVI	CVI	CVI	0.40	0.22	ND	NS	

(a) Experimental line, not currently marketed.

(b) Kalu and Fick (1983) index, mean stage by count.

(c) NS=Means among cultivars not significantly different at the 0.05 level of probability.

	_	_		_		
		199	2		% of	
	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3	3-Cut	1992	
Cultivar	6/4	7/9	8/18	Total	Average	
		tons D	M / acre		- % -	
Magnum III	2.10	0.75	2.10	4.95	116	
Asset	2.04	0.62	2.19	4.86	113	
Victory	2.15	0.58	2.05	4.78	112	
Webfoot MPR	1.93	0.56	2.23	4.72	110	
Multi-plier	1.96	0.55	2.21	4.72	110	
GH 755	2.06	0.59	2.01	4.66	109	
Cimarron VR	2.04	0.59	1.97	4.59	107	
WL 317	2.02	0.58	1.98	4.57	107	
Arrow	2.14	0.48	1.93	4.55	106	
Dawn	2.04	0.56	1.92	4.51	105	
XAE92 (a)	1.88	0.54	2.05	4.46	104	
120	1.92	0.44	2.08	4.44	104	
DK 122	2.01	0.41	2.01	4.42	103	
Dart	1.95	0.52	1.93	4.39	103	
90792 (a)	1.89	0.49	2.00	4.37	102	
GH 777	1.93	0.46	1.98	4.36	102	
SX 217	1.66	0.62	2.08	4.35	102	
Flagship 75	1.87	0.52	1.93	4.32	101	
Crown II	1.73	0.43	2.09	4.25	99	
88R20 (a)	1.78	0.45	1.97	4.21	98	
2852	1.72	0.57	1.91	4.20	98	
Garst 645	1.81	0.49	1.89	4.18	98	
Guardsman	1.74	0.47	1.96	4.17	97	
SDHL1L (a)	1.88	0.35	1.91	4.15	97	
Milk Maker II	1.83	0.43	1.88	4.14	97	
Baker	1.84	0.42	1.88	4.13	97	
Rilev	1.94	0.42	1.74	4.10	96	
W90VSX (a)	1.78	0.46	1.86	4.10	96	
Multistar	1.81	0.43	1.85	4.09	95	
2833	1.74	0.45	1.90	4.09	95	
Fclipse	1.77	0.48	1.83	4.08	95	
5262	1 73	0.42	1.95	4 08	95	
Vernal	1 79	0.41	1.86	4.06	95	
Saranac AR	1 76	0.45	1.85	4.05	95	
5364	1.67	0.40	1.80	3 08	03	
Blazer XI	0.74	0.42	1.03	2 10	40	
DIALEI AL	0.74	0.33	1.03	2.10	43	
AVERAGE	1 85	0 4 9	1 94	4 28	8	
Maturity (b)	4 30	4 10	4 80	4.20		
LSD (0.05)	0.30	0.17	0.24	0.66		
200 (0.00)	0.03	0.17	0.24	0.00		

Table 2. Forage yield of 36 alfalfa cultivars planted April 24, 1991, atthe Southeast Research Farm, Beresford.

(a) Experimental line, not currently marketed.

(b) Kalu and Fick (1983) index, mean stage by count.

significant differences detected among the entries even though a yield difference of 1.4 T/A occurred between the top and bottom cultivars. Apparently environmental variation in this experiment did not allow significant cultivar differences to be detected. Data collection from this experiment terminated this year.

Another experiment consisting of 36 cultivars was seeded in 1991 (Table 2). No data were collected in the establishment year, so 1992 was the first production year. Three harvests were taken, and average yields ranged from 0.49 T/A for the second harvest to 1.94 T/A for the third harvest.

Within each harvest, significant differences among cultivars were detected. The cultivar Blazer XL yielded significantly lower than all other cultivars for the first and third harvests and less than about half of the cultivars for the second harvest (Table 2). The low productivity of this cultivar was caused by poor establishment during the seeding year, even though there were repeated seeding attempts with two different seed lots.

Three-cut total yield was 4.28 T/A, with some significant cultivar differences. The above-normal precipitation received during the fall should promote good first-cutting yields in 1993.

SDSU Research Station, Brookings

Average daily temperatures were about normal during April, May, June, and September but were well below normal during July and August (Fig 1). Precipitation was variable throughout the year. It was below normal during April and May, above normal during June, July, and August, and slightly below normal during September. The 1990 planting produced three harvests. Average yields for the three cuttings ranged from 0.81 T/A for the third cutting to 1.60 T/A for the first cutting (Table 3). For each cutting, significant differences among the cultivars were found.

A third of the entries in this group were experimental lines, and as shown in Table 3, there was a wider variation in productivity among these lines than among marketed varieties. Three-cut total yield was 3.64 T/A, with significant cultivar differences detected. Total yields obtained in 1992 were about 0.7 T/A lower than those reported in 1991. Two-year average yield was 4.39 T/A, with no significant differences among cultivars.

Cultivars showed significant differences in maturity at the first cutting in 1992 (Table 4). Significant maturity differences among the cultivars were also observed in both 1990 and 1991. The relevance of significant cultivar differences for maturity is probably greater for forage quality characteristics than for yield.

Another experiment consisting of 28 cultivars was seeded in 1992. Plots became well established because of above-normal precipitation during midsummer. Plots were clipped on July 8 and August 5 to control weeds, but no yield data were taken. After the last clipping, there was insufficient regrowth to warrant another harvest in 1992.

Northeast Research Farm, Watertown

Average daily temperatures were near normal during April, May, and September and much below normal during June, July, and August (Fig 1). Precipitation was variable throughout the growing season. It was well below normal during April and May, much above

	1990	1991		199	2	-		% of
	1-Cut	3-Cut	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3	3-Cut	91 to 92	2-Year
Cultivar	Total	Total	6/3	7/8	8/10	Total	Average	Average
			tons	DM / ad	cre			- % -
VS-888 (a)	1.24	5.81	1.76	1.48	0.94	4.18	4.99	114
Garst 630	1.29	5.50	1.84	1.50	1.00	4.34	4.92	112
G-2833	1.38	5.52	1.76	1.29	0.85	3.89	4.70	107
DK 122	1.25	5.45	1.63	1.37	0.88	3.88	4.66	106
Multi-plier	1.29	5.46	1.66	1.35	0.84	3.85	4.66	106
Centurion	1.39	5.39	1.70	1.33	0.89	3.92	4.65	106
8837N (a)	1.15	5.51	1.60	1.28	0.88	3.75	4.63	105
Flint	1.32	5.29	1.69	1.32	0.91	3.92	4.61	105
SX 217	1.23	5.15	1.79	1.37	0.89	4.06	4.60	105
5262	1.17	5.36	1.53	1.35	0.93	3.81	4.58	104
5364	1.20	5.30	1.76	1.26	0.84	3.86	4.58	104
VIP	1.27	5.40	1.59	1.23	0.84	3.66	4.53	103
MultiKing 1	1.23	5.14	1.76	1.25	0.85	3.86	4.50	103
Crown II	1.37	5.36	1.60	1.21	0.83	3.64	4.50	102
8941N (a)	1.19	5.23	1.62	1.23	0.84	3.68	4.45	101
H-174 (a)	1.20	5.21	1.62	1.24	0.83	3.68	4.45	101
Dawn	1.18	5.11	1.76	1.21	0.80	3.77	4.44	101
Garst 645	1.17	5.20	1.58	1.25	0.82	3.65	4.42	101
G-2841	1.35	5.04	1.72	1.24	0.86	3.81	4.42	101
Aggressor	1.24	5.14	1.65	1.20	0.85	3.70	4.42	101
H-154 (a)	1.25	5.04	1.65	1.25	0.81	3.72	4.38	100
Allegiance	1.16	5.19	1.42	1.29	0.85	3.55	4.37	100
MN GRN-14 (a)	1.09	5.08	1.50	1.21	0.82	3.53	4.30	98
8832N (a)	1.19	5.21	1.43	1.12	0.76	3.30	4.26	97
120	1.35	5.07	1.53	1.12	0.78	3.43	4.25	97
Vernal	1.33	4.92	1.50	1.26	0.81	3.57	4.25	97
WL 317	1.17	4.87	1.56	1.21	0.78	3.55	4.21	96
WL 225	1.24	4.84	1.56	1.11	0.75	3.41	4.12	94
SDHS6 (a)	1.25	4.50	1.38	0.93	0.62	2.93	3.71	85
AFYF 88 (a)	1.06	4.32	1.43	1.00	0.57	3.00	3.66	83
MTO S82 (a)	1.32	4.62	1.31	0.84	0.55	2.69	3.65	83
SDHL1 (a)	1.25	4.29	1.38	0.98	0.62	2.98	3.63	83
	100							
AVERAGE	1.24	5.14	1.60	1.22	0.81	3.64	4.39	
LSD (0.05)	0.16	0.39	0.27	0.20	0.12	0.53	0.40	

Table 3. Forage yield of 32 alfalfa cultivars planted April 24, 1990, at the SDSU Research Station, Brookings.

(a) Experimental line, not currently marketed.

	1990		1001			1992	
	Cut 1	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3
Cultivar	9/5	6/6	7/3	7/31	6/3	7/8	8/10
		-, -	.,	index (a)		.,	
5262	3.25	3.30	4.10	3.53	3.20	4.20	3.68
5364	3.98	3.40	4.08	3.58	3.20	4.38	3.65
8832N (b)	3.83	3.18	4.23	3.60	3.25	4.03	3.70
8837N (b)	3.85	3.23	4.10	3.50	3.35	4.38	3.50
8941N (b)	3.95	3.35	3.98	3.58	3.28	4.23	3.63
AFYF 88 (b)	3.03	3.35	3.68	3.15	3.45	4.40	3.75
Aggressor	3.75	3.43	4.10	3.70	3.38	4.23	3.68
Allegiance	3.58	3.28	4.38	3.73	3.08	4.33	3.63
Centurion	4.13	3.55	4.38	3.40	3.53	4.18	3.78
Crown II	3.73	3.65	4.05	3.55	3.40	4.15	3.63
120	3.63	3.10	4.00	3.53	3.50	3.93	3.73
DK 122	3.68	3.48	3.98	3.60	3.55	4.40	3.63
Dawn	3.90	3.40	4.05	3.55	3.33	4.20	3.58
Flint	3.65	3.20	4.18	3.50	3.28	3.78	3.68
G-2833	3.90	3.50	4.20	3.43	3.58	4.25	3.63
G-2841	4.05	3.48	4.25	3.48	3.40	4.33	3.55
Garst 630	3.88	3.63	3.95	3.48	3.38	4.35	3.70
Garst 645	3.93	3.25	4.28	3.78	3.40	4.33	3.60
H-154 (b)	3.68	3.08	3.98	3.60	3.30	4.30	3.43
H-174 (b)	3.85	3.23	4.05	3.58	3.68	4.28	3.88
MN GRN-14 (b)	3.50	3.45	4.25	3.60	3.40	4.38	3.80
MTO S82 (b)	3.18	3.48	3.65	3.03	3.58	4.15	3.73
Multi-plier	3.73	3.28	4.03	3.53	3.43	4.05	3.73
MultiKing 1	4.00	3.33	4.30	3.80	3.50	4.15	3.73
SDHL1 (b)	3.30	3.18	3.98	3.25	3.28	4.00	3.63
SDHS6 (b)	3.15	3.10	3.83	3.05	3.18	4.03	3.70
SX 217	3.78	3.35	3.85	3.60	3.23	4.43	3.63
VIP	3.75	3.43	3.83	3.55	3.43	4.50	3.68
VS-888 (b)	4.15	3.50	4.30	3.58	3.73	4.43	3.58
Vernal	3.38	3.35	4.15	3.38	3.23	4.10	3.85
WL 225	3.40	3.20	4.23	3.45	3.13	4.03	3.55
WL 317	3.70	3.35	4.23	3.53	3.60	4.43	3.65
AVERAGE	3.69	3.34	4.08	3.50	3.38	4.23	3.66
LSD (0.05)	0.50	NS (c)	0.28	0.24	0.34	NS	NS

Table 4. Maturity (a) of 32 alfalfa cultivars planted April 24, 1990, at the SDSU Research Station, Brookings.

(a) Kalu and Fick (1983) index, mean-stage-by-count.

(b) Experimental line, not currently marketed.

(c) NS=Means among cultivars not significantly different at the 0.05 level of probability. normal during June, near normal during July and August, and slightly above normal in September.

An experiment consisting of 36 cultivars was planted in 1990 (Table 5). Three harvests were made in 1992, with average yields ranging from 1.05 T/A for the third cutting to 1.75 T/A for the second cutting. Within each cutting there were significant differences among the cultivars. The three-cut total yield in 1992 was 4.14 T/A, and the 2-year average yield was 5.66 T/A, with significant cultivar differences observed in each case.

Average total yields obtained in 1992 were about 3 T/A lower than those reported in 1991, probably because three cuttings were taken in 1992 compared to four in 1991. Also, during the winter of 1991-92, there was inadequate snow cover; some stand deterioration was noted for the early spring growth.

All plots were visually rated for stand density on May 14, 1992. A healthy stand (100%) was given a rating of 10, a 50% stand was given a rating of 5, and a dead stand was given a rating of 0. Results from this visual observation indicated that there were large differences among some cultivars for stand density (Table 5).

Some plots looked bad during the early part of the spring but seemed to recover well. For the second and third cuttings, most of the plots looked fairly uniform in terms of the alfalfa canopy. There may have been differences in actual stand density, but to accurately measure this, plots would have had to be excavated and roots counted. It should also be noted that there was little or no weed encroachment in the plots that suffered severe stand deterioration. This experiment has been a meaningful one because it demonstrates the influence of winter injury on a highly productive alfalfa stand.

Central Research Station, Highmore

Average daily temperatures were near normal during April, May, and September (Fig 1). During June, July, and August, however, temperatures were well below normal. Precipitation was below normal during April, May, and August, much above normal during June and July, and about normal during September.

Three harvests were obtained from the experiment planted in 1989 (Table 6). Average yields for the three cuttings ranged from 0.95 T/A for the first cutting to 2.09 T/A for the second cutting. Second cutting yields were the highest because of the above normal precipitation during June and July, and also because the cultivars were harvested at a later maturity stage relative to the other two cuttings. Significant differences among cultivars were present for the second and third cuttings but not for either the first cutting or the three-cut total yield.

Total yields in 1992 were about 0.70 T/A higher than those reported in 1990 but about 2 T/A lower than those reported in 1991. Three-year average yield was 4.25 T/A, with some significant cultivar differences detected.

Another experiment consisting of 28 cultivars was planted in 1991 (Table 7). During April and May 1992, the alfalfa plots contained an abundance of winter annual weeds. In an attempt to control these weeds, the entire plot area was mowed on June 11, 1992. No data were collected on this date.

Due to abundant precipitation after mowing, the alfalfa regrew rapidly, and the first cutting was taken on July 28. The average cultivar yield for this cutting was 1.92 T/A, with no significant differences detected. The second cutting was taken September 3, and cultivar yields ranged from

Table 5.	Forage	yield (of 36	alfalfa	cultivars	planted	May	4,	1990,	at t	he
Northea	st Resea	arch Fa	arm, \	Naterto	own.						

	_								-
	1990	1991			1992			% of	
	1-Cut	4-Cut	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3	3-Cut	91 to 92	2-year	Stand (a)
Cultivar	Total	Total	6/10	7/21	8/28	Total	Average	Averag	Density
					ton:	s DM /	acre	- % -	- rating -
Garst 630	1.52	7.56	1.58	2.00	1.34	4.92	6.24	110	7.3
5364	1.58	7.39	1.62	1.96	1.27	4.85	6.12	108	8.3
5262	1.49	7.30	1.54	2.00	1.19	4.72	6.01	106	8.5
MN GRN-14 (D)	1.42	7.11	1.60	1.97	1.29	4.87	5.99	106	0.0
MultiKing 1	1.64	7.40	1.53	1.82	1.15	4.51	5.95	105	7.5
Dawn	1.56	7.54	1.41	1.81	1.15	4.36	5.95	105	6.0
Perry	1.55	7.20	1.56	1.87	1.10	4.53	5.87	104	7.8
VS-888 (b)	1.66	7.71	1.24	1.76	1.01	4.01	5.86	104	6.5
SDHL1 (b)	1.51	6.63	1.82	2.12	1.10	5.04	5.83	103	9.0
Garst 645	1.65	7.24	1.43	1.87	1.10	4.40	5.82	103	7.0
WL 317	1.45	6.99	1.53	1.90	1.20	4.63	5.81	103	7.8
Allegiance	1.47	7.18	1.43	1.76	1.19	4.37	5.78	102	9.0
Wrangler	1.53	7.04	1.54	1.88	1.09	4.51	5.77	102	8.5
Multi-plier	1.67	7.68	1.09	1.64	1.05	3.77	5.73	101	6.3
Saranac AR	1.42	7.16	1.34	1.81	1.10	4.24	5.70	101	7.8
H-174 (b)	1.48	7.44	1.20	1.68	1.07	3.95	5.69	101	6.0
8837N (b)	1.53	7.33	1.26	1.62	1.07	3.96	5.64	100	6.5
SX 217	1.58	7.29	1.21	1.72	1.07	4.00	5.64	100	6.5
G-2841	1.63	7.44	1.14	1.68	0.95	3.77	5.60	99	5.5
120	1.54	7.23	1.27	1.75	0.96	3.97	5.60	99	8.3
Bakor	1 5 8	7 22	1 30	1 70	0.97	3.06	5 50	00	6.5
Aggressor	1.46	7 13	1.30	1.70	1.04	4.05	5.50	00	6.5
MTO S82 (b)	1.40	6.40	1.30	1.08	1.02	4.05	5.58	33	0.0
Conturion	1.50	7.60	1.03	1.50	1.02	3.56	5.58	99	5.5
Flint	1.63	6.92	1.34	1.78	1.07	4.19	5.55	98	7.5
DK 100	1.50	7 40	1 11	1 5 7	1.01	2.69	5 55	0.8	5.0
C 2822	1.59	7.42	1.11	1.57	0.05	3.00	5.55	90	5.0
G-2033	1.59	7.50	1.00	1.53	0.95	3.54	5.52	90	5.5
	1.03	0.55	1.02	1.94	0.93	4.40	5.52	97	9.3
	1.66	7.47	0.94	1.59	0.92	3.45	5.40	96	5.8
8832N (D)	1.49	7.02	1.27	1.60	1.00	3.87	5.44	96	7.0
Crown II	1.63	7.33	0.99	1.53	0.91	3.43	5.38	95	5.8
Vernal	1.54	6.77	1.36	1.70	0.93	3.99	5.38	95	8.3
WL 225	1.52	7.23	1.06	1.50	0.94	3.50	5.37	95	6.0
8941N (b)	1.57	7.24	0.99	1.40	0.90	3.29	5.26	93	5.8
H-154 (b)	1.55	7.09	0.99	1.45	0.97	3.41	5.25	93	4.0
AFYF 88 (b)	1.59	5.95	1.74	1.82	0.90	4.45	5.20	92	9.0
AVERAGE	1.56	7.19	1.34	1.75	1.05	4.14	5.66		7.1
Maturity (c)			4.00	4.10	4.10				
LSD (0.05)	NS (d)	0.57	0.30	0.26	0.18	0.69	0.56		1.2

(a) All plots rated for stand density on 14 May 1992. Healthy stand=10 (100% stand), 50% stand=5, 10% stand=1, and dead plots=0.

(b) Experimental line, not currently marketed.

(c) Kalu and Fick (1983) index, mean stage by count.

(d) NS=Means among cultivars not significantly different at the 0.05 level of probability.

	1990	1991	-	199	2	-		% of
	3-Cut	3-Cut	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3	3-Cut	90 to 92	3-Year
Cultivar	Total	Total	6/11	7/28	9/3	Total	Average	Average
			ton:	s DM /	acre			- % -
Chief	4.03	7.96	1.83	2.47	1.53	5.84	5.39	127
Flint	4.02	8.11	1.79	2.46	1.57	5.81	5.38	127
Ultra	4.06	7.63	1.50	2.52	1.40	5.43	5.21	123
Victory	3.76	6.72	1.50	2.22	0.93	4.65	4.87	115
Sure	3.70	7.25	1.06	2.40	1.21	4.68	4.86	114
WL 225	3.53	7.18	1.25	2.21	1.09	4.54	4.70	111
VS-775 (a)	3.49	7.26	1.10	2.28	1.25	4.63	4.68	110
Pacesetter	3.43	7.64	1.10	2.30	1.38	4.78	4.66	110
Apollo Supreme	3.59	6.65	1.09	2.15	1.12	4.36	4.65	109
Garst 630	3.83	6.45	0.80	2.22	1.11	4.13	4.64	109
Action	3.62	6.73	0.92	2.20	1.05	4.18	4.59	108
Centurion	3.44	6.48	0.79	2.32	1.14	4.25	4.55	107
Clipper	3.12	6.92	1.29	2.27	1.14	4.70	4.44	105
Legend	3.44	7.03	1.06	2.01	1.09	4.16	4.44	104
Sabre	3.40	6.58	0.90	2.07	1.01	3.97	4.43	104
Dawn	3.35	6.11	1.08	2.11	0.99	4.18	4.36	103
Multi-plier	3.21	6.80	0.86	2.15	1.13	4.14	4.34	102
WL 317	3.36	6.38	0.93	2.19	1.03	4.16	4.29	101
Majestic	3.20	5.88	0.89	1.99	0.90	3.78	4.08	96
5472	3.31	5.80	0.72	1.97	0.95	3.64	4.06	95
Trident II	2.92	5.79	0.91	2.08	0.92	3.91	4.04	95
Royalty	3.29	5.69	0.66	1.97	0.91	3.54	4.02	95
H-174 (a)	3.06	5.64	0.90	2.07	0.97	3.94	3.98	94
VIP	3.33	5.43	0.80	1.84	0.66	3.30	3.94	93
5262	2.77	5.71	0.83	2.13	0.92	3.88	3.91	92
Aggressor	3.13	5.94	0.71	1.81	0.74	3.26	3.89	92
Saranac AR	3.26	5.66	0.64	1.92	0.92	3.47	3.88	91
Arrow	2.87	5.67	0.78	2.03	0.82	3.64	3.86	91
SDHL1 (a)	2.80	5.74	0.89	1.98	0.80	3.68	3.77	89
526	2.92	5.59	0.63	1.96	0.67	3.26	3.72	87
Dart	2.83	4.99	0.71	2.27	0.71	3.69	3.72	87
Garst 636	2.91	5.48	0.69	1.77	0.62	3.09	3.66	86
Vernal	2.87	5.56	0.71	1.92	0.73	3.37	3.64	86
SDHS6 (a)	2.85	5.31	0.43	1.75	0.46	2.63	3.51	83
Cimarron VR	2.71	5.16	0.71	1.70	0.62	3.03	3.47	82
FSRC 88S (a)	2.50	5.05	0.58	1.57	0.70	2.86	3.27	77
AVERAGE Maturity (b)	3.28	6.28	0.95	2.09	0.98	4.02	4.25	
LSD (0.05)	0.90	1.96#	NS (c)	0.51	0.60#	NS	1.56#	

 Table 6. Forage yield of 36 alfalfa cultivars planted May 11, 1989, at

 the Central Crops and Soils Station, Highmore.

(a) Experimental line, not currently marketed.

(b) Kalu and Fick (1983) index, mean stage by count.

(c) NS=Means among cultivars not significantly different at the 0.05 level of probability.

Significant at the 0.09 level of probability.

0.57 to 1.33 T/A, with no significant differences. Average cultivar yield for this cutting was about 1 T/A lower than the first cutting, probably because the alfalfa was not as mature as it was on the first cutting. Two-cut total yields ranged from 1.67 to 3.51 T/A, with no significant cultivar differences observed.

Discussion

For most locations, average daily temperatures were about normal during April and May. However, during June, July, and August they were well below normal (Fig 1). The growing season began with below normal precipitation at each location. At Brookings, Watertown, and Highmore, the dry conditions were alleviated by above normal precipitation during June. At Beresford, dry conditions persisted throughout June. During the rest of the growing season, however, Beresford received above-normal rainfall. At the other locations, rainfall was about normal during the late summer. Precipitation during the summer and fall replenished soil moisture supplies to above normal entering the winter at all locations.

Since soil moisture supplies are adequate, a productive first cutting is anticipated in 1993.

Improper fall harvest management threatens stand longevity. Producers that wish to harvest all possible forage should harvest after a hard frost when there is little chance for regrowth. However, avoiding harvest in the fall will permit stubble to catch snow. Snow insulates the crown and provides moisture for plant growth the following spring.

Cultivar Selection

A large number of alfalfa cultivars are available from public and commercial sources. Consequently, South Dakota producers have many choices in cultivar selection. When evaluating alfalfa cultivar test information, look at several characteristics before reaching a decision. Major characteristics include yield, fall dormancy, disease resistance, and cost per unit of pure live seed.

Yield

Yield information presented in this and other reports represents seeding year or post-seeding-year averages. Generally, yield data for several years of production are the most meaningful for use in cultivar selection.

Use data from test locations that most nearly resemble growing conditions on your farm. Yield performance data from these locations are more valuable to you than data collected at other locations.

To measure significant differences in yield between cultivars, a statistical measure known as the least significant difference (LSD) is used. If the difference in yield between any two cultivars equals or exceeds the LSD value, the higher yielding cultivar is significantly higher in yield and should be favored.

If the yield difference is less than the LSD value, the two cultivars do not significantly differ, and both cultivars are approximately equal in yielding ability.

In some cases an LSD value is not presented and the designation NS (non-significant) indicates significant yield differences among the cultivars were not detected.

Fall Dormancy

Fall dormancy ratings (Appendix) range from values of 1 (early dormancy) to 9 (non-dormant). Fall dormancy is thought to be related Table 7. Forage yield of 28 alfalfa cultivars planted May 8, 1991, at the Central Crops and Soils Station, Highmore.

		1992		% of	
	Cut 1 (a)	Cut 2	2-Cut	1992	
Cultivar	7/28	9/3	Total	Average	
	tons	DM / acr	e	- % -	
Garst 645	2.26	1.25	3.51	119	
Flagship 75	2.16	1.33	3.49	118	
Guardsman	2.16	1.24	3.40	115	
Dawn	2.23	1.17	3.39	115	
Multi-plier	2.15	1.23	3.38	114	
Dart	1.96	1.29	3.25	110	
Multistar	1.92	1.30	3.22	109	
90792 (b)	2.02	1.21	3.22	109	
Arrow	2.11	1.07	3.18	108	
Asset	2.14	1.04	3.18	108	
Eclipse	2.15	1.01	3.16	107	
SDHL1L (b)	2.20	0.91	3.10	105	
DK 122	1.98	1.10	3.07	104	
Vernal	2.08	0.98	3.06	104	
120	2.08	0.97	3.05	103	
Magnum III	2.00	1.03	3.03	103	
W90VSX (b)	2.14	0.80	2.94	100	
Cimarron VR	1.81	1.09	2.90	98	
Blazer XL	1.77	1.04	2.81	95	
Crown II	1.73	0.98	2.71	92	
Saranac AR	1.78	0.93	2.70	92	
Milk Maker II	1.72	0.95	2.66	90	
Riley	1.68	0.97	2.65	90	
GH 777	1.76	0.78	2.54	86	
GH 755	1.44	0.97	2.41	82	
Baker	1.59	0.82	2.41	82	
SDHS6S (b)	1.78	0.61	2.39	81	
88R20 (b)	1.11	0.57	1.67	57	
AVERAGE	1 02	1.02	2 95		
Maturity (c)	5.00	3.80	2.30		
LSD (0.05)	NS (d)	NS	NS		

(a) The entire study was mowed on 11 June 1992 because of excessive winter annual weeds. No data were collected.

(b) Experimental line, not currently marketed.

(c) Kalu and Fick (1983) index, mean stage by count.

(d) NS=Means among cultivars not significantly different at the 0.05 level of probability.

Appendix. Alfalfa cultivars, developers, suppliers, and agronomic characteristics^a.

Developer/			_	Disease	e & Inse	ct Resis	tance	_
Supplier	Cultivar	FD⁵	BW	VW	FW	An	PRR	PA
AgriPro Seeds	Dart	3	HR	R	HR	R	HR	R
	Dawn	3	HR	R	HR	R	HR	
Allied Seed	Centurion	3	HR	R	R	R	R	R
	Majestic	3	R	HR	HR	HR	MR	22
	Sabre	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	-
	Asset	4	HR	R	R	R	HR	R
America's Alfa	lfa							
	Aggressor	4	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	HR
	Apollo Suprem	e 4	HR	R	HR	HR	R	HR
	Arrow	3	HR	R	HR	MR	HR	R
		-						
Arrow Seed/Fo	ontanelle							
Hybrids	Flagship 75	2	HR	R	HR	R	HR	MR
		_						
Brown's Seed								
Farms	Pacesetter	2	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R
		_						
Caroill	Crown II	3	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R
ou g	Boyalty	3	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R
	Trident II	3	HR	R	R	R	HR	MR
		0						
Cenex/Land								
O'Lakes	Sure	3	HR	R	HR	HR	R	HR
O LUNCS	Blazer XI	3	R	R	HR	HR	HR	R
	Legend	4	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R
	Logona							
CIBA-GEIGY	2833	3	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R
CIDA GLIGI	2841	3	HR	R	R	R	R	R
	2852	1	HR	R	D	НР	D	D
	2002	-						
Dairyland								
Research Int'l								
nesearen men	Mannum III	4	R	MR	R	MR	R	22
	wagnam m	-			n			
Dekalb Plant								
Genetics	120	3	HR	14	R	IR	R	R
Genetics	DK 122	2	HR	R	R	HR	HR	R
	DK 125	2	HR	R	R	HR	R	R
	DK 135	4	R	MR	R	MR	MR	R
	DIC 155	-	n.	IVIN	n	WIT	IVIN	n
FER								
	Multistar	3	НР	D	нр	Цр	цр	
	munisia	5		0				-
Garst / ICI Soo	ds							
	630	٨	HR	MP	R	MD	D	100
	636	2	HR	R	D	MD	D	P
	645	3	HR	R	R		НР	D
	0-10	5	1.11.1	11	11	THN -	1111	n

to winterhardiness of an alfalfa cultivar. The severe winters of South Dakota require you to make winterhardiness a major consideration in cultivar selection.

Cultivars with a fall dormancy rating of 1 or 2 generally are very winterhardy and should persist under South Dakota conditions. Forage yields of these types under optimum conditions, however, may be lower than those for less dormant types. Consequently, very winterhardy cultivars should be used if stand longevity is of primary concern.

Cultivars with a rating of 3 to 4 are winterhardy to moderately winterhardy, and you can expect at least 3 to 4 years of excellent production. Cultivars with ratings of 5 to 8 are generally not winterhardy enough to survive several South Dakota winters. These cultivars may be used as annual forages.

Disease Resistance

Disease resistance ratings (Appendix) are important indicators of a cultivar's potential to perform where specific diseases may limit production. Major diseases that may affect the productivity of alfalfa in South Dakota include bacterial wilt, Phytophthora root rot, and Verticillium wilt. Resistance characteristics to these diseases should be considered when choosing a cultivar.

Bacterial wilt is generally not observed until after the second production year. Infection occurs in spring or early summer via cracks and wounds in the roots and crowns. Eventually, the water-conducting tissues of the roots become plugged, causing the top growth to wilt, especially during periods of moisture stress. Symptoms include yellow leaves, stunted growth, and a yellow to brown discoloration of the root tissue beneath the outer layer. Many cultivars are resistant to bacterial wilt, and you can avoid disease problems with these selections.

Phytophthora root rot is a fungal disease which occurs in wet, poorly-drained soils after excessive precipitation or irrigation. Symptoms include deteriorated root or crown tissue in areas of the field where where you will also notice stand thinning. Top growth symptoms generally include wilting, yellowing, and lack of vigorous growth. Early symptoms of this disease sometimes resemble damping-off of alfalfa seedlings.

Verticillium wilt is a fungal disease which produces initial symptoms of temporary wilting of upper leaves on warm days at pre-bud to floral stages of maturity. Affected leaves will generally turn yellow and then drop off. Eventually, the stems die as well. Yellow to brown discoloration is usually present in the woody cylinder of the tap root. Verticillium wilt has not yet been documented in South Dakota; however, it has been observed in several surrounding states and its appearance in South Dakota is expected. Planting a resistant cultivar is the most effective control.

Other diseases, such as anthracnose, leaf spots, Fusarium wilt, and other root and crown rots may be problems at particular sites. In these situations, use cultivars with resistance to a particular disease, if possible. For many diseases, the only practical means of minimizing economic loss is to use resistant cultivars. Reduced stress from use of multiple-disease-resistant cultivars can result in long-term increases in yield and quality.

Conclusions

No single factor, even yield, will make an alfalfa cultivar or small group of cultivars consistently superior to any others. You must evaluate several characteristics

Appendix. Alfalfa cultivars, developers, suppliers, and agronomic characteristics^a, continued.

Developer/				Diseas	se & Ins	ect Res	istance	
Supplier	Cultivar	FD⁵	BW	vw	FW	An	PRR	PA
Golden Harves	st							
	GH 777	3	HR	R	HR	R	HR	
	GH 755	4	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R
Great Lakes H	ybrids							
	Webfoot MPR	3	HR	R	R	HR	HR	37
Great Plains								
Research	Cimarron VR	5	HR	R	HR	HR	R	HR
		0						
Hytest Seeds	Victory	3	HR	R	HR	HR	MR) 44
Jacques Seed	Multi-plier	3	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R
	Chief	4	HR	R	R	R	HR	R
MBS Inc.	Milk Maker II	2	R		MR	376	R	R
New York Aar	ic.							
Exp. Stn.	Saranac AR	4	MR		R	HR	1	
Northrup King	MultiKing 1	3	HR	R	HR	R	R	MR
Payco Seeds/								
Interstate	Clipper	2	HR	R	HR	R	R	R
	WL 225	2	HR	R	HR	MR	HR	R
	WL 317	3	HR	R	HR	R	HR	HR
	WL 320	4	R	MR	HR	MR	R	MR
Pioneer Hi-Bree	ч							
	526	2	нр	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	MD	14.0.0	ID	D
	520	2		LD				n
	5202	2			IVIR	MD	R	R
	5364	4	K	MR	ĸ	MR	MR	нк
	5472	4	HK	MR	нк	MR	мк	нк
Plant Genetics	Flint	4	R	LR	HR	HR	R	MR
Public Cultivar	S							
	Vernal	2	R		MR	and a		22
	Baker	2	HR		R	LR		HR
	Wrangler	2	R	LR	R	LB	HR	HB
	Perry	3	R		R	LR	MR	2
	Riley	4	HR	LR	1944 N	MR	-	HR
Research Seed	s							
	VIP	3	HR	R	R	B	R	HR
	Action	4	R	MR	R	HR	R	R
SaadTaa	Liltro	2	LID.	D	110		D	
Seeurec	ontra	3	пК	н	HR	HK	к	к

Appendix. Alfalfa cultivars, developers, suppliers, and agronomic characteristics^a, continued.

Developer/				Diseas	se & Ins	ect Res	istance ^c	
Supplier	Cultivar	FD⁰	BW	VW	FW	An	PRR	PA
Sexauer	Guardsman	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	77.
	SX 217	4	R		HR	MR	MR	- 77 5
United AgriS	eeds							
	Allegiance	3	R	R	R	HR	R	R
WD Seed Gr	owers							
	Eclipse	4	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	15

^a Ratings obtained from (1) Alfalfa Varieties, 1991 Edition, Alfalfa Variety Characterization. Certified Alfalfa Seed Council, Davis, CA 95617-1017. (2) Alfalfa marketers.

^b FD = Fall Dormancy Index, 1 = greatest fall dormancy; 9 = absence of fall dormancy.

^c Refer to pest resistance rating below:

BW = Bacterial Wilt VW = Verticilium Wilt	Pest Resistance Rating	
	% Resistance plants	Resistance class
FW = Fusarium Wilt	0-5%	Susceptible (S)
An = Anthracnose PBR = Phytophthora Root Rot PA = Pea Aphid	6-14%	Low Resistance (RS)
	15-30%	Moderate Resistance (MR)
	31-50%	Resistance (R)
	> 50%	High Resistance (HR)

^d Blank spaces indicate cultivar is susceptible or has not been adequately tested.

before selecting an alfalfa cultivar.

Although yield from 1-to-3 year-old stands serves as a good measure of economic production, stand longevity and tolerance to stress and disease are also important.

Yield data collected over several years and locations may be useful indicators of stress tolerance, longevity, and economic production. Fall dormancy has a significant influence upon winterhardiness, stress tolerance, and yield potential and is related to stand longevity in stressful environments. Multiple disease resistance also benefits stand longevity and yield. Finally, seed cost per unit PLS should also be considered when selecting alfalfa cultivars.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to research station managers Jim Smolik, Brad Farber, Mike Volek, and Loyal Evjen for their assistance in conducting this research.

This circular reports the results of research only. Mention of a trademark, proprietary product, or vendor does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the South Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station.

900 copies printed, March 1993, by the Plant Science Department, SDSU at a cost of 72¢ each. AX027

Printed at the SDSU Printing Laboratory with AGRI-TEK ink, a blend of soybean, corn, and other vegetable oils.

Published in accordance with an act passed in 1881 by the 14th Legislative Assembly, Dakota Territory, establishing the Dakota Agricultural College and with the act of re-organization passed in 1887 by the 17th Legislative Assembly, which established the Agricultural Experiment Station at South Dakota State University.