## South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1974

Animal Science Reports

1974

CORE

## Effect of Fungus-Fermented Soybeans on the Life Cycle Performance of Japanese Quail

C. C. Chah South Dakota State University

s Institutional Repo

R.A. Nelson

C. W. Carlson

G. Semeniuk

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd poultry 1974

## **Recommended** Citation

Chah, C. C.; Nelson, R. A.; Carlson, C. W.; and Semeniuk, G., "Effect of Fungus-Fermented Soybeans on the Life Cycle Performance of Japanese Quail" (1974). *South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1974.* Paper 15. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd\_poultry\_1974/15

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Reports at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1974 by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

South Dakota State University Brookings, South Dakota

Department of Animal Science Poultry Section A.S. Series 74-22

Effect of Fungus-Fermented Soybeans on the Life Cycle Performance of Japanese Quail

C. C. Chah<sup>1</sup>, R. A. Nelson<sup>1</sup>, C. W. Carlson<sup>1</sup>, G. Semeniuk<sup>1</sup>, I. S. Palmer<sup>1</sup> and C. W. Hesseltine<sup>2</sup>

Earlier studies at our laboratory have demonstrated that feeding full-fat soybeans fermented by certain Aspergillus cultures resulted in significantly improved broiler growth and feed utilization. In conjunction with these findings, the effect of two Aspergillus species on the life cycle performance of Japanese quail (<u>Coturnix</u> <u>coturnix</u> japanica) has been investigated and will be reported herein.

Day-old quail chicks were fed a regular starter diet for 10 days. They were then randomly assigned to five replicate groups of 20 chicks per group and placed on an experimental diet containing either control or fermented soybeans at 50% of the diet. The diets were formulated on an isocaloric (3192 Kcal ME/kg) and isonitrogenous (24% protein) basis. At 4 weeks of age, each group was sexed and realloted as 8 females and 2 males and fed a layer diet made with the same soybeans (35% of the diet).

The average body weights and feed efficiency data are summarized in Table 1. The results of feeding the fermented soybeans to quail chicks indicated significantly superior weight gains (P<0.01) and feed efficiency (P<0.05) after the 2- and 4- week growth periods, thus confirming previous observations made with similar cultures in broiler studies.

The data for hen-day egg production and egg weight (Table 2) from seven consecutive 28-day periods revealed, however, that diets made with fermented soybeans failed to exert significant effects on these parameters. On the other hand, improved fertility and hatchability (Table 3) were evident in the groups receiving fermented soybeans. Subsequent progeny growth (Table 4) revealed that quail from dams receiving diets containing fermented soybeans were no heavier than those from hens fed the control diets at 10 days of age, but again responded significantly (P<0.01) to the fermented soybean diets through 2 and 4 weeks of age.

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Assistant; Superintendent, Poultry Research Center; Professor and Leader, Poultry Research and Extension; Professor and Associate Professor, Departments of Animal Science, Plant Science and Station Biochemistry, respectively.

<sup>2</sup>Chief, Fermentation Laboratory, Northern Regional Research Laboratory, U.S.D.A., Peoria, Illinois, 61604.

Treatment	Initial	2 weeks	4 weeks
Control 19. A. oryzae 451 22. A. oryzae 506	30.5 <sup>a</sup> 30.0 <sup>a</sup> 30.4 <sup>a</sup>	Avg. body weight (gm) <sup>2</sup> 57.5 <sup>a</sup> 63.4 <sup>b</sup> 65.6 <sup>b</sup>	90.3 <sup>a</sup> 101.2 <sup>b</sup> 102.1 <sup>b</sup>
Control 19. A. oryzae 451 22. A. oryzae 506		Feed/gain r 4.40 <sup>a</sup> 3.36 <sup>b</sup> 3.12 <sup>b</sup>	atio <sup>3</sup> 4.76 <sup>a</sup> 3.87 <sup>b</sup> 3.90 <sup>b</sup>

Table	1.	Effect of Feeding Fermented Soybeans on Quail Chie	ck
		Weight Gains and Feed Efficiency Responses	

2Ten days old at start of study. 2Weights having the different superscript are statistically different (P<0.01). 3Ratios having the same superscript are not statistically different (P<0.05).</pre>

		Production	Average	Feed		Gain in
Period	Treatment	(H.D.B.)	egg wt.	consumption	Body wt.	weight
(age)		%	gm	(gm/bird/day)	gm	gm
First	Control	68.9	9.7	21.2	127.4	+11.2
(7-10 wk)	19	73.4	9.8	19.3	129.7	+8.6
	22	77.2	9.9	19.4	132.3	+9.5
Second	Control	83.3	10.5	22.2	138.9	+11.5
(11-14 wk)	19	78.7	10.4	20.3	140.2	+10.5
	22	81.8	10.5	20.3	141.6	+9.3
Third	Control	84.9	10.5	21.3	140.5	+1.6
(15-18 wk)	19	76.8	10.5	20,9	141.8	+1.6
	22	76.8	10.7	20.8	141.8	+0.2
Fourth	Control	76.6	10.3	21.9	140.8	+0.3
(19-22 wk)	19	71.9	10.4	23.0	143.8	+2.0
	22	74.0	10.3	22.6	140.4	-1.4
Fifth	Control	68.8	10.2	20.1	140.2	-0.6
(23-26 wk)	19	65.9	10.2	20.5	145.1	+1.3
	22	68.3	10.3	19.7	141.2	+0.8
Sixth	Control	58.1	9.9	19.9	136.8	-3.4
(27-30 wk)	19	57.3	10.3	19.8	140.2	-4.9
	22	54.5	10.1	19.8	137.8	-3.4
Seventh	Contro1	49.5	9.9	19.0	132.1	-4.7
(31-34 wk)	19	46.4	10.3	18.9	136.1	-4.1
	22	40.1	10.0	18.6	134.2	-3.6
Total	Control	70.0	10.1	20.8	136.7	+15.9
(Experimental	19	67.2	10.3	20.4	139.6	+15.0
average)	22	67.6	10.3	20.2	138.5	+11.4

- 3 -

Treatment	Eggs set	Fertility	Hatchability <sup>2</sup>
		%	%
Control	238	41.3	30.1
19. A. oryzae 451	231	48.8	35.3
22. A. oryzae 506	228	47.9	36.1

## Table 3. Effect of Fermented Soybeans Upon Fertility and Hatchability<sup>1</sup>

 $^{12}{}^{\text{Each}}$  value represents the mean from four separate trials.  $^{21}{}^{\text{Hatch}}$  of all eggs set.

Table	Effect of			
	Upon Proge	eny	Growth <sup>1</sup>	

		Avg. body weight (gm)	
Treatment	Initial	2 weeks	4 weeks
Control 19. A. oryzae 451 22. A. oryzae 506	34.1 <sup>a<sup>2</sup> 35.2<sup>a</sup> 36.0<sup>a</sup></sup>	62.6 <sup>a</sup> 73.7 <sup>b</sup> 74.7 <sup>b</sup>	99.1 <sup>a</sup> 107.2 <sup>b</sup> 109.8 <sup>b</sup>

1 2<sup>Ten</sup> days old at start of study. Weights having the same superscript are not statistically different (P<0.01).