South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1974

Animal Science Reports

1974

Some Effects of Low Protein Grower Diets Fed With or Without Antibiotics on Growth Rate and Subsequent Reproductive Performance

R. A. Nelson South Dakota State University

C. E. Holmquist

C. W. Carlson

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd_poultry_1974

Recommended Citation

Nelson, R. A.; Holmquist, C. E.; and Carlson, C. W., "Some Effects of Low Protein Grower Diets Fed With or Without Antibiotics on Growth Rate and Subsequent Reproductive Performance" (1974). *South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1974.* Paper 2.

http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd_poultry_1974/2

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Reports at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1974 by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

South Dakota State University Brookings, South Dakota

Department of Animal Science Poultry Section A.S. Series 74-9

Some Effects of Low Protein Grower Diets Fed With or Without Antibiotics on Growth Rate and Subsequent Reproductive Performance

R. A. Nelson, C. E. Holmquist and C. W. Carlson¹

Several studies at this station have shown that Leghorn-type pullets can utilize diets as low as 10 to 12% protein during the growing stage (8 to 20 weeks) without adversely affecting their subsequent reproductive performance. Mortality during the growing and laying period was either unaffected or decreased. However, lowprotein, low-energy fed pullets tend to consume 1 to 2 pounds more feed during the growing period. As a result, pullet growers have tended to continue using the higher protein and higher energy diets. Two studies have been completed and another is under way to further evaluate low protein grower diets with and without antibiotics on subsequent egg production.

Sixty 10-week-old pullets of each of three commercial strains of layer-type chickens were placed into each of 12 pens with corn-cob litter. They had been grown on a high energy, 20% protein, corn-soybean starter diet. Two grower diets containing 10% (1900 kcal ME/kg) or 12% (2900 kcal ME/kg) protein were supplemented with or without 22 ppm each of Neomycin and Terramycin. This was replicated three times. At 21 weeks of age they were placed in layer cages and fed layer diets of 18 or 14% protein. The 14% protein diet was supplemented with 2 pounds of methionine per ton. Those on the 18% protein diet were switched to 16% protein diets at 42 weeks of age.

Results in Tables 1 through 5 indicate that the grower diets produced few differences in growth and subsequent reproductive performance. Completed experiments shown in Tables 1 and 2 and those still under way in Tables 4 and 5 confirm the observation that subsequent laying house mortality was decreased on the lower protein diets. Larger mortality differences can be noted between strains.

Larger differences in hen-day production, feed per dozen eggs and egg weights were observed for strain effects than for previous grower diets or the layer diets. No definite differences can be noted among layer diets for hen-day production, feed efficiency or egg weight. A possible exception was the higher H-H mortality noted for hens grown on the higher protein. The 10% protein diets promoted growth equal to that of the 12% protein diets with the usual increase in feed consumption per bird. Antibiotics showed no apparent beneficial effects during the grower stage while strain differences can be noted.

These results indicate that pullets can tolerate low protein, low energy grower diets and subsequently perform equally well on layer rations of low protein content.

¹Superintendent, Poultry Research Center; former Superintendent, Poultry Research Center and Professor and Leader, Poultry Research and Extension.

Treatment	Hen-day production	Feed/ doz. eggs	Egg wt.	Hen housed . mortality
11000110	produceron	kg	gm	<u> </u>
	70	~6	6	75
Grower diets ²				
10-1950	68.4	1.97	58.4	10.2
10-1950+	69.9	1.99	58.9	11.1
12-2900	68.3	1.87	58.1	13.0
12-2900+	68.5	1.90	58.4	11.1
Strains				
1	68.6	1.78	59.2	6.2
2	70.0	1.98	57.5	12.5
3	67.7	2.04	58.8	15.3

Table 1. Average Performance of Laying₁Hens as Influenced by Grower Diet and Strain of Birds --Experiment 1

¹ Average for twelve 28-day periods on 16% protein diet. ²10-1950 = 10% protein, 1950 kcal of ME/kg. 10-1950+ = as above plus 22 ppm Neomycin and Terramycin.

12-2900 = 12% protein, 2900 kcal of ME/kg.

12-2900+ = as above plus 22 ppm Neomycin and Terramycin.

Table 2. Average Performance of Laying Hens as Influenced by Grower Diet, Strain and Layer Diet --Experiment 2

Treatment	Hen-day production	Feed/ doz. eggs	Egg wt.	Hen housed mortality	
<u> </u>	%	kg	gm	%	
Grower diets ²					
10-1950	72,5	1.59	61.1	7.4	
10-1950+	72.2	1.59	61.0	9.6	
12-2900	73.0	1.58	60.6	10.8	
12-2900+	73.0	1.57	60.9	14.0	
Strains					
1	73.3	1.65	61.4	6.8	
2	71.8	1.55	59.8	15.2	
3	72.9	1.54	61.4	9.4	
Layer diet					
18-16	72.7	1.58	60.9	10.4	
14	71.2	1.50	59.2	16.9	

1 2Twelve 28-day production periods. Same grower diets as Experiment 1.

	Avg. wt.	Avg. gain	Feed consumed
Treatment	at 20 wk.	from 10-20 wk.	from 10-20 wk.
	kg	kg	kg
10-1950 ¹	1.29	0.54	6.7
10–1950+ ²	1.25	0.50	6.6
$12-2900^3$	1.29	0.54	4.9
12–2900 ³ 12–2900+ ⁴	1.28	0.53	5.1
Strain			
1	1.31	0.55	
2	1.31	0.56	
3	1.25	0.49	

Table	3.	Perfo	rmance	of	Three	Pul	Llet	Strains	as	Influenced
	by	Grower	Diets	Fed	from	10	to	20 Weeks	of	Age
	-		(E	per	iments	з З	and	L 4)		

1
10% protein, 1950 kcal of ME/kg.
2As 1 + 22 ppm Neomycin + 22 ppm oxytetracycline.
312% protein, 2900 kcal of ME/kg.
4As 3 + 22 ppm Neomycin + 22 ppm oxytetracycline.

Table 4. Average Performance of Laying Hens as Influenced by Grower Diet, Strain and Layer Diet¹--Experiment 3

	Hen-day	Feed/		Hen housed
Treatment	production	doz. eggs	Egg wt.	mortality
	%	kg	gm	%
Grower diet ²				
10-1950	82.2	1.74	61.4	5.6
10-1950+	80.4	1.78	60.7	5.6
12-2900	78.8	1.86	62.5	8.3
12-2900+	78.1	1.89	62.4	11.1
Strain				
1_	78.9	1.85	63.2	11.5
2 ³	82.9	1.79	61.6	8.3
2 ³ 3	79.9	1.81	60.5	3.1
Layer diet				
18-16	80.1	1.83	61.7	9.0
14	79.7	1.81	61.8	6.2

1
2Seven 28-day production periods.
2See Table 1.
3Different strain than Experiment 1 or 2, same as Experiment 4.

Treatment	Hen-day production	Feed/ doz. eggs	Egg wt.	Hen housed mortality	
•	%	kg	gm	%	
Grower diet ²					
10-1950	75.9	1.76	60.8	6.7	
10-1950+	75.7	1.74	60.9	11.1	
12-2900	73.8	1.86	61.0	13.4	
12-2900+	74.8	1.86	60.8	14.6	
Strain					
1	72.4	1.89	62.4	14.4	
2 ³	77.7	1.77	60.4	12.2	
3	75.0	1.75	59.8	7.7	
layer diet					
18-16	74.7	1.82	60.8	12.2	
14	75.4	1.79	61.0	10.7	

Table 5. Average Performance of Laying Hens as Influenced by Grower Diet, Strain and Layer Diet --Experiment 4

1 2Seven 28-day production periods. 3See table for Experiment 1. 3Different strain than Experiment 1 or 2, same as Experiment 3.