South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1973

Animal Science Reports

1973

Effects of Moisture on the Nutritive Value of Fermented Soybeans for Chick Growth

\sim				
(.	(.		ha	n
\sim .	\sim .	0	114	

C. W. Carlson

G. Semeniuk

C. W. Hesseltine

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd poultry 1973

Recommended Citation

Chah, C. C.; Carlson, C. W.; Semeniuk, G.; and Hesseltine, C. W., "Effects of Moisture on the Nutritive Value of Fermented Soybeans for Chick Growth" (1973). South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1973. Paper 16. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd_poultry_1973/16

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Reports at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1973 by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

South Dakota State University Brookings, South Dakota

Department of Animal Science Poultry Section

A.S. Series 73-27

Effects of Moisture on the Nutritive Value of Fermented Soybeans for Chick Growth

C. C. Chah, C. W. Carlson, G. Semeniuk and C. W. Hesseltine 1

It is widely recognized that the most important factor in growth of a fermenting organism is the moisture of or relative humidity (RH) surrounding a natural substrate. Mold spores have different minimum water requirements at different temperatures and the presence or absence of nutrients also affects water requirements for growth. Hence, three experiments were designed to investigate the influence of moisture content on the nutritive value of the fermented preparations for chick growth.

Cultures were grown on soybeans at 23%, 29% and 37% moisture for 6, 4 and 3 days, respectively. Experimental diets were formulated together with the uninoculated control soybeans on an isonitrogenous (15% protein) and an isocaloric (3093 Kcal/kg) basis. The experiments were also set up to study whether or not the effect of a bacterial contamination to cultures was favorable for the growth of chicks. The average body weights and feed efficiency data at 4 weeks of age for the three feeding trials are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

The growth data show that the alteration of moisture and incubation period for the cultured soybeans did not affect the results consistently. The higher water content during fermentation did not enhance the ultimate biological value of soy-proteins. In terms of culture effects, a significant improvement was obtained in experiment 1 where 24 A. oryzae and 41 A. sydowi were used, whereas no beneficial effects were observed in experiments 2 and 3. It is interesting to note that the growth response was somewhat superior for the chicks fed bacterially contaminated cultures. In general, an improvement in feed efficiency as the level of moisture was increased was noted. Cultured soybeans replacing the control soybeans improved feed utilization.

The data for amino acid analyses (Table 3) show some degree of consistency for the various cultured soybeans as related to their growth promoting effects. Where consistent positive growth responses were obtained, as in experiment 1, the cultured soybeans were higher in almost every essential amino acid. On the other hand, the data for the cultured soybeans used in experiments 2 and 3 indicate somewhat less amino acid content than the controls and, as a result, no positive responses were obtained.

¹Graduate Assistant; Professor of Animal Science; Professor of Plant Science and Chief, Fermentation Lab, U.S.D.A., Peoria, Illinois, respectively.

In summary, no noticeable changes in nutritive value of cultured soybeans due to the alteration of moisture content were found. One of the virtues, if any, of the cultured soybeans prepared with higher moisture is that they seem to have somewhat improved feed efficiency. The data on the amino acid profiles of the cultured soybeans suggest that most of the responses were due to improved protein nutrition.

Table 1. Effect of Moisture of Cultured Soybeans on 4-week Chick Weights

		<u> </u>	Experiment 1	······································	 	
			24	24	41	
Moisture	Cor	ntrol	A. oryzae ¹	A. oryzae ²	A. sydowi	
Low (23%)	<u>د</u>	56.4	491.3*	464.3	486.1*	
Medium (29%)	-1-		483.0*	493.3*	468.4	
High (37%)	-	d dan	489.2*	488.5*	503.5**	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	45	56.4	487.8*	482.0*	486.0*	
Experiment 2						
		4	4 2	33	33	
Moisture	Control	A. clavatus	A. clavatus ²	A. sydowi 242 ¹	A. sydowi 242 ²	
Low (23%)	511.6	525.9	508.1	519.9	501.2	
Medium (29%)		518.1	490.5	531.7	501.5	
High (37%)		512.7	492.7	527.2	504.8	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	511.6	518.9	497.1	526.3	502.5	
Experiment 3						
		7	7 17	28	67	
Moisture	Control	A. f1	avus A-14,30	2 A. oryza	e A. pulvinus	
Low (23%)	470.1	447.	l ** 442.2*	* 446.1*	* 420.8 **	
Medium (29%)		451.9	9* 471.7	462.1	443.4**	
High (37%)		440.9	9 ** 421.2*	* 487.3 *	409.6**	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	470.1	446.0	6 445.0	465.2	424.6*	

¹Bacterially contaminated culture. ²Sterile culture.

^{*}Significant at the 5% level. **Significant at the 1% level.

Table 2. Effect of Moisture of Cultured Soybeans on Feed Conversion

	 	Experime		· ····································	
Moisture	Control	24		4 2	44
Moisture	Control	A. oryz	ae A. OI	yzae ²	A. sydowi
Low (23%)	1.96	1.91	1.9	7	1.89
Medium (29%)		1.86	1.8	34	1.92
High (37%)		1.84	1.8	35	1.80
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	1.96	1.87	1.8	39	1.87
		Experime	nt 2		
_	_	4 1	4 ₂	33 1	33
Moisture	<u>Control</u>	A. clavatus 1	A. clavatus ²	A. sydowi	A. sydowi ²
Low (23%)	1.91	1.84	1.86	1.83	1.91
Medium (29%)		1.87	1.95	1.82	1.89
High (37%)		1.84	1.86	1.84	1.81
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	1.91	1.85	1.89	1.83	1.87
Experiment 3					
		7	 _ ₁₇	28	67
Moisture	Control Control	A. flavus	A-14,302	A. oryzae	A. pulvinus
Low (23%)	1.98	2.01	1 05	2.07	0 10
Medium (29%)	1.70	1.93	1.95 1.91	2.07 1.98	2.19 1.99
High (37%)		2.11	2.03	1.91	2.08
117611 (01/0)		~• **	2,03	1.71	2.00
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	1.98	2.02	1.96	1.99	2.09
	-				

 $^{^{1}}_{\text{Bacterially contaminated culture.}}^{2}_{\text{Sterile culture.}}$

Table 3. Essential Amino Acids in the Cultured Soybeans (m. moles/g. protein) 1

		Experime	nt 1			
		24		24	41	
Amino Acid	Contro	L A. orya	ae ² A.	oryzae ³	A. sydowi	
Arginine	0.46	0.52	(0.46	0.53	
Histidine	0.24	0.25				
Isoleucine	0.50	0.57			0.59	
Lysine	0.29	0.50			0.51	
Methionine	0.11	0.12	(0.12	0.13	
Phenylalanine	0.44	0.44	0.44		0.48	
Threonine	0.46	0.47	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.51	
		Experime	ent 2			
		4	4 .	33	33	
Amino Acid	Control	A. clavatus ²	A. clavatus	A. sydowi ²	A. sydowi ³	
Arginine	0.67	0.57	0.56	0.53	0.54	
Histidine	0.32	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.24	
Isoleucine	0.58	0.49	0.54	0.47	0.52	
Lysine	0.67	0.52	0.51	0.48	0.46	
Methionine	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	
Phenylalanine	0.50	0.42	0.42	0.40	0.44	
Threonine	0.56	0.47	0.46	0.46	0.50	
Experiment 3						
		7	17	28	67	
Amino Acid	Control	A. flavus	A. flavus	A. oryzae	A. pulvinus	
Arginine	0.50	0.44	0.46	0.51	0.66	
Histidine	0.27	0.24	0.40	0.23	0.31	
Isoleucine	0.51	0.53	0.50	0.23	0.50	
Lysine	0.58	0.41	0.46	0.46	0.57	
Methionine	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.11	
Phenylalanine	0.46	0.50	0.44	0.49	0.55	
Threonine	0.47	0.43	0.48	0.55	0.79	
				0.55	U•13	

¹Measured by Beckman Auto Analyzer. ²Bacterially contaminated culture. ³Sterile culture.