South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1973

Animal Science Reports

1973

Comparisons of a Midget vs. a Standard Sized Strain of Laying Hens

E. Guenther

C. W. Carlson

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd poultry 1973

Recommended Citation

Guenther, E. and Carlson, C. W., "Comparisons of a Midget vs. a Standard Sized Strain of Laying Hens" (1973). South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1973. Paper 6. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd_poultry_1973/6

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Reports at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1973 by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

South Dakota State University Brookings, South Dakota

Department of Animal Science Poultry Section

A.S. Series 73-17

Comparisons of a Midget vs. a Standard Sized Strain of Laying Hens

E. Guenthner and C. W. Carlson¹

Two new strains, one standard sized and the other approximately 70% as large, were acquired and tested for performance. The chicks were grown in floor pens to 20 weeks of age on standard growing diets and then placed in 12- and 16-inch layer cages. The hens were placed in cages at normal and high density, i.e., 3 or 4 hens per 12-inch cage and 4 or 5 hens per 16-inch cage. All were fed the same diet, 16% crude protein and 2900 Cal. M.E. The test lasted 10 months.

The main effects of strain, cage size and population density are shown in Table 1. Egg production of the midgets was well below that of the standard sized strain. Considerably more soft-shelled eggs were produced by the midgets. Although the diet contained 2.75% Ca, which apparently was enough for the standard strain, the midgets were probably not getting enough calcium because of their lower feed intake, 65 gm vs. 96 gm daily.

Production was slightly better in 16-inch cages than in 12-inch cages, but putting an extra hen in either size cage reduced the rate of production. However, considering the potential for a certain space, e.g., 12 inches, 3 hens laying at 60% produce 1.8 eggs daily, whereas 4 hens laying at 57% would produce 2.3 eggs daily. In times of high egg prices as at present, this increased yield would be an important difference.

The midgets weighed 0.5 kg (1.1 lb) less than the standard sized strain. However, because of the lower rate of egg production and slightly smaller eggs, the midgets did not convert feed as well as the larger hems.

The midgets showed good livability, mortality was 5.8% vs. 23.8% for the standard sized strain.

Assistant Professor and Professor and Leader, Poultry Research and Extension, respectively.

Table 1. Performance of a Midget vs. a Standard Sized Strain of Laying Hens

	HDEP	Feed per day	Body weight	Egg weight	Mortality
	%	gm	kg	gm	%
Strain					
Standard	71.0	96.4	1.6	57.3	23.8
Midget	46.5	64.9	1.1	55.8	5.8
Cage size					
12-inch	56.7	78.2	1.4	56.9	15.8
16-inch	60.7	83.1	1.4	56.2	13.8
Density			•		
Norma1	60.2	82.3	1.4	56.5	15.8
High	57.2	79.0	1.4	56.7	13.8