South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1972

Animal Science Reports

1972

Copper and Protein Levels as Affecting Palatability of Turkeys

C. W. Carlson South Dakota State University

Dorothy Deethardt South Dakota State University

E. Guenthner South Dakota State University

R. A. Nelson South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd_poultry_1972

Recommended Citation

Carlson, C. W.; Deethardt, Dorothy; Guenthner, E.; and Nelson, R. A., "Copper and Protein Levels as Affecting Palatability of Turkeys" (1972). *South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1972.* Paper 7. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd_poultry_1972/7

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Reports at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Dakota Poultry Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1972 by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

South Dakota State University Brookings, South Dakota

Department of Animal Science Poultry Section A.S. Series 72-13

Copper and Protein Levels as Affecting Palatability of Turkeys

C. W. Carlson¹, Dorothy Deethardt², E. Guenthner³ and R. A. Nelson⁴

Turkeys that were produced in the studies with copper and low and normal protein diets (see A.S. Series 71-11) have been evaluated for palatability using a taste panel, the Carver press for juiciness and the Lee-Kramer shear press for tenderness. The turkeys were slaughtered, eviscerated and water cooled, carcass yield and skin thickness were observed and the bagged carcasses held in frozen storage prior to cooking. None were held longer than 6 weeks. Broiler-type turkeys were roasted whole and the roaster-type as halves, four at a time, in an 160° C oven to an internal thigh temperature of 85° C.

Samples of the breast muscle (pectoralis minor) and thigh muscle (semi-tendinosis) were submitted to a taste panel for evaluation of appearance, flavor, tenderness, juiciness and overall rank. The breast (pectoralis major) and the remainder of the thigh were trimmed to fit the Lee-Kramer cell and the sheared sample then used in the Carver press. A portion of the sheared thigh meat (not pressed) was used to assay for total muscle fat and fatty acid analysis of that fat (see A.S. Series 71-12).

Neither the level of protein nor the copper supplement had any effect on palatability as shown by the summarized data in table 1 in that no marked effects were observed. The minor difference in tenderness scores may be real, in that not only did the taste panel indicate the turkeys fed copper to be slightly more tender, but also Lee-Kramer shear force values were lower for these treatments. The somewhat higher breast skin thickness scores, together with the slightly higher muscle fat contents, indicate that the copper-fed turkeys were carrying more finish. The copper treatment also allowed for greater dressing yield, to a greater extent on the low protein diets. This corresponds to the greater growth rates obtained with the copper and normal protein treatments.

The results from a third experiment just completed in general confirm the earlier results, see table 2. The 240 ppm copper treatment, although it allowed for the increased rates of growth and carcass yield, did not show evidence of any marked detrimental effects upon palatability. Although incidence of aortic rupture was very low for all treatment groups, slightly but significantly higher values for posterior aortic elastin were again obtained with the copper treatments.

These data indicate, therefore, that not only are there production advantages for copper, but also the effects on carcass value brought about by this treatment may be worthwhile. Also, the low protein diets, although supporting somewhat less growth, can be used to produce acceptable market turkeys.

¹ Professor and Leader, Poultry Research and Extension.

² Research Assistant, Nutrition and Food Science Department.

³ Instructor.

⁴ Graduate Student.

| ······································ | Low | Low protein | | Normal protein | |
|--|-------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--|
| | ***** | Copper | | Copper | |
| | Basal | 120 ppm | Basal | 120 ppm | |
| Broilers | | | | | |
| 15 wk. wt., kg | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.5 | |
| Dressing yield, % | 77.9 | 80.4 | 79.6 | 80.9 | |
| Skin thickness, mm | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.9 | |
| Roasters | | | | | |
| 24 wk. wt., kg | 9.5 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 11.1 | |
| Dressing yield, % | 82.6 | 84.5 | 83.8 | 84.5 | |
| Skin thickness, mm | 9.6 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 10.9 | |
| Palatability scores ^a | | | | | |
| Roasted appearance | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.6 | |
| Mean preference | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | |
| Flavor | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.1 | |
| Tenderness | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 6.0 | |
| Juiciness | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.8 | |
| Carver press | | | | | |
| % loss | 32.5 | 34.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | |
| Liquid, ml | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5 .7 | 5.7 | |
| Fat, ml | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Lee-Kramer shear ^b | 648 | 607 | 655 | 611 | |
| Muscle fat, % | 13.6 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 14.5 | |

Table 1. Palatability of Roasted Turkeys (Experiments 1 and 2)

^a Averaged scores of 8 taste panel judges, higher value preferred.

^b Maximum force per 100 gm, lower value preferred.

. .

| | | Copper | Copper |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| | Basal | 120 ppm | 240 ppm |
| Broilers | | | |
| 15 wk wt ko | 4 9 | 5 / | 5 3 |
| Drossing wiold % | 80.2 | | J.J |
| China thickness and | 00.2 | 82.0 | 82.7 |
| Skin thickness, mm | 4.8 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Roasters | | | |
| 24 wk. wt., kg | 12.2 | 12.6 | 12.7 |
| Dressing yield, % | 80.2 | 82.0 | 79.6 |
| Skin thickness. mm | 10.9 | 10.3 | 12.4 |
| Palatability scores ^a | | | |
| Roasted appearance | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| Mean preference | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Flavor | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 |
| Tenderness | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| Juiciness | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Carver Press | | | |
| % Loss | 31.9 | 31.9 | 31.6 |
| Liquid, ml | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| Fat. ml | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Lee-Kramer shear ^b | 676 | 647 | 685 |
| Muscle fat, % | 19.0 | 19.8 | 16.8 |

Table 2. Palatability of Roasted Turkeys (Experiment 3 - All Low Protein)

^a Averaged scores of 8 taste panel judges, higher value preferred.
^b Maximum force per 100 gm sample, lower value preferred.