## South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

South Dakota Cow-Calf Field Day Proceedings, 1972

Animal Science Reports

1972

# Influence of Mating and Management Systems on the Performance of Beef Cows and Calves

A. L. Slyter South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd\_cow-calf\_1972

#### **Recommended** Citation

Slyter, A. L., "Influence of Mating and Management Systems on the Performance of Beef Cows and Calves" (1972). South Dakota Cow-Calf Field Day Proceedings, 1972. Paper 8. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd\_cow-calf\_1972/8

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Reports at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Dakota Cow-Calf Field Day Proceedings, 1972 by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

#### South Dakota State University Brookings, South Dakota

Agricultural Experiment Station Department of Animal Science A. S. Series 72-5

Influence of Mating and Management Systems on the Performance of Beef Cows and Calves

Progress Report

A. L. Slyter

Research was initiated at the Newell Field Station in 1968 to study the influence of certain mating and management systems of crossbred beef cows on subsequent beef production. Factors under investigation include year-round drylotting vs. summer pasturing and backcrossing vs. outcrossing. Preweaning calf treatments, creep feeding and stilbestrol implantation are being studied.

Ninety crossbred (Angus x Hereford) heifer calves were purchased in the spring of 1968 and allotted into three main permanent treatment groups. Eighteen replacement heifers were added to the study in 1971. Two groups are summered on pasture and wintered in drylot, while the remaining group is maintained in drylot year round. Cows were randomly allotted to groups and mated to Hereford or Angus sires in 1968; Hereford, Angus or Charolais sires in 1969; Hereford or Angus sires in 1970 and Hereford, Angus or Charolais sires in 1971. One-half of the calves were implanted with 12 mg. of stilbestrol at approximately 6 weeks of age starting with the 1970 calf crop. Also, one-half of the calves in drylot received a creep. Post-weaning feedlot and carcass data are collected on all steer calves.

Calving results for the first three calf crops are shown in table 1. Percent calves born and weaned has been higher for the pasture system with the exception of the 1971 calf crop.

The effects of management system and breed of sire on birth weight, calving score and actual weaning weight are shown in table 2. Charolais-sired calves were heaviest at birth and had a higher calving score, indicating greater calving difficulty.

Average actual weaning weight was higher for the calves under the pasture management system in all three years when compared to the drylot calves not receiving creep feed. Creep feeding drylot calves increased actual weaning weights by an average of 46.0 lb. and 58.5 lb. in 1970 and 1971, respectively. Creep-fed calves consumed an average of 595 lb. and 1,000 lb. of creep feed in 1970 and 1971.

The results of stilbestrol implantation of suckling calves are shown in table 3.

Post-weaning data from the 1970 calf crop indicate no detrimental effect on feedlot performance or carcass traits as a result of implantation as a suckling calf. Total gain, rib eye area and adjusted cutability were highest for the Charolaissired calves. Breed of sire had little effect on carcass grade (Hereford, Angus or Charolais). Data presented in this report are preliminary and generalized conclusions should not be drawn pending further results and analyses.

Prepared for Cow-Calf Field Day, Highmore, South Dakota, August 25, 1972.

	Management system											
		Pasture-Dry	lot	·	Continuous-Drylot							
	No. cows		Live		No. cows		Live					
Year	exposed	Dropped	3 wk.	Weaned	exposed	Dropped	3 wk.	Weaned				
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%				
1969	60	85		75	30	80		<b>7</b> 0				
1970	56	89	71	68	29	79	48	48				
1971	56	96	86	86	27	100	96	96				

- 2

I

## Table 1. Calving Percentages as Affected by Management System

Managen	nent system		Pasture-	Drylot			Continu	ous-Drylot	
	Breed of		Birth	Calving	Weaning		Birth	Calving	Weaning
Year	sire	No. <sup>a</sup>	wt., 1b.	scoreb	wt., 1b. <sup>c</sup>	No.	wt., 1b.	score	wt., 1b.
1969	Her.	18			444.2	8	·		456.2
	Angus	<u>22</u> 40			<u>453.2</u> 449.1	$\frac{13}{21}$			<u>437.7</u> 444.8
1970	Her.	14	70.0	1.6	484.3	5	67.5	1.3	381.0
	Angus	16	61.4	1.1	424.4	6	64.4	1.0	405.0
	Char.	$\frac{7}{37}$	75.0	1.8	<u>492.6</u> 460.0	$\frac{3}{14}$	78.8	2.3	<u>451.7</u> 406.4
1971	Her.	2	52.5	1.0	397.5	12	78.4	1.2	424.2
	Angus	$\frac{46}{48}$	66.9	$\frac{1.1}{1.1}$	<u>430.9</u> 429.5	$\frac{14}{26}$	70.1	$\frac{1.1}{1.1}$	$\frac{445.1}{435.4}$

Table 2. Effect of Management System and Breed of Sire on Birth Weight, Calving Score and Actual Weaning Weight

<sup>a</sup> Number of calves weaned. <sup>b</sup> One = no difficulty; 2 = slightly difficult; 3 = difficult, mechanical puller needed; 4 = extremely difficult.

<sup>c</sup> Drylot weaning weights shown have not been adjusted for the calves that were creep fed; 26.0 lb. should be taken off drylot average in 1970 and 31.5 lb. in 1971.

Т

Year		Management System											
		Continuous-Drylot Pasture-Drylot Creep Noncreep											
	Treatment	Heifer		Steer		Heifer		Steer		Heifer		steer	
		1b.	_	1b.		1b.		1b.		1b.		1b.	
19 <b>7</b> 0	Implant	458	(11) <sup>a</sup>	490	(7)	360	(1)	520	(1)	403	(2)	361	(3)
	Nonimplant	435	(9)	464	(10)	375	(1)	431	(5)			<b>3</b> 90	(1)
	Difference	23		26		-15		89				-29	
1971	Implant	440	(13)	408	(12)	4 <b>7</b> 0	(4)	467	(3)	368	(4)	453	(2)
	Nonimplant	420	(13)	454	(10)	416	(4)	493	(3)	409	(4)	<b>39</b> 8	(2)
	Difference	20		-46		54		-26		-41		55	

### Table 3. Effect of Stilbestrol Implantation on Calf Weaning Weight (Actual)

 $\overset{\textbf{F}}{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$  a Numbers in parentheses are number of calves.