## South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

South Dakota Beef Report, 2005

Animal Science Reports

2005

# Composition and Nutritive Value of Corn Fractions and Ethanol Co-products Resulting from a New Dry-milling Process

Greg B. Kleinhans South Dakota State University

Robbi H. Pritchard South Dakota State University

Simone M. Holt South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd\_beefreport\_2005 Part of the <u>Animal Sciences Commons</u>

#### Recommended Citation

Kleinhans, Greg B.; Pritchard, Robbi H.; and Holt, Simone M., "Composition and Nutritive Value of Corn Fractions and Ethanol Coproducts Resulting from a New Dry-milling Process" (2005). *South Dakota Beef Report*, 2005. Paper 13. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd\_beefreport\_2005/13

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Reports at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Dakota Beef Report, 2005 by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.



# Composition and Nutritive Value of Corn Fractions and Ethanol Co-products Resulting from a New Dry-milling Process<sup>1</sup>

Greg B. Kleinhans<sup>2</sup>, Robbi H. Pritchard<sup>3</sup>, and Simone M. Holt<sup>4</sup> Department of Animal and Range Sciences

### BEEF 2005 - 12

#### Summary

The development of a new dry-milling process for the production of corn ethanol has resulted in new feedstuffs. This process fractionates the corn kernel prior to fermentation. Prefermentation fractions include bran, germ, and endosperm. Post-fermentation fractions include dried distillers grains (DDG) and condensed distiller solubles (syrup). Proximate analysis was conducted on these fractions along with the parent corn sample. Equations were used to predict TDN and undegradable intake protein (UIP). These feeds differ substantially from historical dried distiller's grains with solubles Feeding experiments will be (DDGS). necessary to confirm the results of the predicted feed values.

#### Introduction

A dry-milling process that involves fractioning the corn kernel prior to fermentation in the course of ethanol production results in three fractions: bran, germ, and endosperm. Currently, only the endosperm fraction is fermented for ethanol production. Postfermentation products include dried distillers grains (DDG) and condensed distiller solubles (syrup). These fractions, along with the parent corn sample, were used to determine nutrient values.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Four fermentation runs were used in this study. Samples were collected June 16-20, 2004. Ethanol plant personnel collected samples from each fermentation run, for each fraction, over a period of 10 h. Sampling occurred every 2 h. At the end of each sampling period, samples were frozen at the plant. These samples were transported to South Dakota State University Ruminant Nutrition Laboratory for processing and analyses.

Samples were allowed to thaw in a refrigerator. Solid samples were composited by fraction for each fermentation run by collecting equal weights (as-is basis) and mixing for 2 min in a bowl-type mixer. The liquid samples were composited by collecting equal volume and mixing for 2 min in a high-speed blender.

Dry matters were determined on all solid fractions by drying at 60°C until no further water loss occurred. Dry matters of the liquid fractions were determined by freezing samples at -80°C and lyophilizing them. Corn, germ, bran, and DDG samples were then ground to pass through a 1 mm screen.

Proximate analysis was performed on each composite sample. The DDG, germ, and bran fractions were subjected to a crude protein fractionation assay as outlined by Licitra et al. (1996) to predict percent undegradable intake protein (UIP). Mineral concentrations of all samples were determined by inductively coupled plasma spectrophotometry (Olsen Biochemistry Labs, SDSU).

The TDN values were calculated using a model concentrates for forages and  $(TDN=(TD_{cp}xCP)+((EE-1)x2.25)+[(0.98x(100-1)x2.25)+](0.98x(100-1)x2.25)+[(0.98x(100-1)x2.25)+](0.98x(100-1)x2.25)+](0.98x(100-1)x2.25)+[(0.98x(100-1)x2.25)+](0.98x(100-1)x2.$ NDF<sub>n</sub>-CP-ash-(EE-1)-1)]+0.75x{(NDF<sub>n</sub>-Lig)x[1- $(Lig/NDF_n)^{0.667}$ ]-7; Weiss et al., 1992). The UIP values were estimated using Dairy Cattle NRC equation for calculating (2001) rumen undegradable protein. Rate of digestion (K<sub>d</sub>;  $B_1=150, B_2=6, B_3=0.5\%/h$ ) and rate of passage  $(K_p=2.5\%/h)$  of CP fractions were taken from dried distiller's grains with solubles (DDGS) values published in Beef Cattle NRC (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This study funded in part by the U.S. Department of Energy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Graduate Student

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Distinguished Professor

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Post Doctorate

#### Results and Discussion

Research conducted on four regional ethanol plants reported CP, NDF, and fat of DDGS to be 33.3%, 42.7%, and 13.1%, respectively (Holt and Pritchard, 2004). Because only endosperm was fermented, the new DDG was higher in CP, and lower in NDF and fat than conventional DDGS. Germ was a dry, flowable, relatively high fat, and high TDN feedstuff. Bran provides a primary source of NDF with TDN and CP content comparable to corn. Syrup was a liquid feed source that could be used to condition diets while adding CP and fat.

Bran-cake, currently being used to market the bran and syrup fractions, is combined in a 1:1 mix on a wet basis (78% bran, 22% syrup, DMB). Bran-cake composition suggests it could be fed much the same way as corn gluten feed. Based upon our analyses, bran-cake would be 58% DM, 30% NDF, 12% CP, 38% UIP, 7.5% EE, 3% OM, 0.5% P, and 90% TDN.

Phosphorus was also a consideration when evaluating this fractionation process. TDN:P ratios on corn, germ, bran, and bran-cake were 293, 78, 209, and 180, respectively. The CP:P ratios on conventional DDGS and new DDG were 36 and 97, respectively. The TDN:P and CP:P are important considerations for farm operations where manure application is controlled by soil and manure P levels. Producers that feed new DDG as a CP source instead of conventional DDGS will lower their dietary P input.

#### Implications

New DDG will be used exclusively as a CP supplement as opposed to conventional DDGS being used as a protein and energy supplement. New DDG allows operations to use a product with similar particle size and density to conventional DDGS, but is higher in CP and lower in EE and P.

Germ can be used as a protein and energy supplement to replace concentrates (corn and SBM) in feedlot and dairy diets. This product could allow producers to add a dry, solid form of fat to diets, increasing the caloric density of the diet. Producers that use germ as an energy source should consider their farm-feedlot P balance since the addition of germ to diets will increase the amount of P accumulation in the manure.

Bran-cake composition suggests it could be fed much like corn gluten feed in finishing and dairy diets to provide energy without adding starch.

Feeding experiments will be necessary to confirm the results of predicted feed values reported in this paper.

#### References

- Licitra G., T. M. Hernandez, and P. J. Van Soest. 1996. Standardization of procedures for nitrogen fractionation of ruminant feeds. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 57:347.
- Weiss, W. P., H. R. Conrad, and N. R. St. Pierre. 1992. A theoretically-based model for predicting total digestible nutrient values of forages and concentrates. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 39:95.
- Holt, S. M. and R. H. Pritchard. 2004. Composition and nutritive value of corn coproducts from dry milling ethanol plants. BEEF 2004-01. Pages 1-3 in South Dakota Beef Report. SDAES, Brookings.

#### Tables

Fraction	Description
Corn	Parent corn sample taken prior to fractionation
Bran	Seed coat of corn kernel
Germ	Reproductive fraction of corn kernel
Endosperm	Starch fraction of corn kernel
DDG	Solid residue from fermentation of endosperm
Syrup	Liquid residue from fermentation of endosperm

Assay	Method				
Dry Matter (DM)	60°C oven, AOAC Official Method 4.1.03				
Crude Protein (CP)	Kjeldahl Method, AOAC Official Method 954.01				
Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF)	Goering, H.K. and P.J. Van Soest. 1970. in:Forage Fiver Analyses. (USDA, Agric. Handbk. No. 379 Jacket No. 389-598)				
Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)	Goering, H.K. and P.J. Van Soest. 1970. In:Forage Fiver Analyses. (USDA, Agric. Handbk. No. 379 Jacket No. 389-598)				
CP Fractionation	Licitra et al. 1996. In: Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 57:347–358				
Ash	500°C Muffle Furnace, AOAC Official Method 942.05				
Ether Extract	Soxhlet Fat Extraction methods, AOAC				
Lignin	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> Lignin, AOAC Official Method 973.18				
Minerals	Inductively coupled plasma spectrophotometry, AOAC official method 985.01 and 999.10				

Table 2	Laboratory	assav	/s
I able Z.	Laboratory	assa	yэ

	Corn		Bran		Ge	Germ		Endosperm		DDG		Syrup	
Item	Mean <sup>c</sup>	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	
DM, %	92.0	0.2	90.1	0.1	91.3	0.1	89.6	0.2	89.1	1.5	25.5	1.0	
GE, Mcal/kg	4.18	0.2	4.48	1.4	4.99	0.7	3.82	0.1	4.62	0.6	4.98	0.9	
TDN, % <sup>a</sup>			87.8	1.9	103.2	1.7			84.2	1.6	99.7	1.4	
NDF, %	10.8	6.9	38.1	4.5	17.8	6.6	4.2	11.7	23.8	1.9			
ADF, %	2.4	4.5	9.3	5.1	5.6	9.7	1.1	6.4	8.4	14.8			
Lignin, %			0.6	5.8	1.0	26.4			1.5	40.5			
CP, %	8.4	1.8	9.4	2.5	14.5	0.9	7.8	2.0	42.5	0.4	20.6	5.1	
UIP, % of CP <sup>b</sup>			40.9	1.1	34.1	1.5			41.0	2.0			
EE, %	3.9	1.1	5.7	18.0	18.1	5.3			2.6	23.5	14.0	7.8	
Ash, %	1.3	2.9	2.1	2.8	5.5	3.1	0.7	3.0	2.1	10.2	7.3	1.7	

Table 3	Composition of new di	v-milling process	co-products

<sup>a</sup> Values are predicted using Weiss' equation. (Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 39:95) Note: No liquid feeds were used to derive this equation (syrup).

<sup>b</sup> Values are predicted using Dairy Cattle NRC equation for ruminally undegraded feed CP (RUP).

<sup>c</sup> Values represent means of four different fermentation runs.

	Corn		Bran		Ger	Germ		Endosperm		DDG		Syrup	
Item	Mean <sup>a</sup>	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	Mean	CV	
Ca, %			0.02	14.5	0.02	2.3			0.01	8.4	0.03	7.9	
P, %	0.28	5.2	0.42	6.7	1.33	4.5	0.14	2.6	0.44	3.3	0.97	2.8	
Mg, %	0.12	3.3	0.18	6.0	0.55	2.3	0.06	2.0	0.14	3.6	0.44	2.2	
K, %	0.39	3.1	0.62	4.4	1.37	3.4	0.23	2.0	0.49	0.6	1.7	1.2	
Na, %									0.12	12.9	0.41	9.7	
S, %	0.13	2.5	0.13	2.7	0.20	1.1	0.1	1.8	0.83	4.9	0.79	2.5	
Cu, ppm			3.94	7.6	12	9.7					4.6	14.3	
Fe, ppm	84	2.9	94	7.3	117	2.7	53	10.2	127	6.7	174	7.2	
Mn, ppm	5	1.9	13	2.7	24	1.7	2	4.9	8	6.2	19	1.5	
Zn, ppm	21	2.0	38	5.2	93	3.1	9	2.1	42	4.8	59	6.7	
Mo, ppm	0	27.5	1	2.3	2	9.9	0	12.6	1	2.9	2	4.5	

Table 4. Mineral composition of new dry-milling process co-products

<sup>a</sup> Values represent means of four different fermentation runs.