### South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

South Dakota Beef Report, 1988

**Animal Science Reports** 

1988

### Effects of Body Condition on Reproductive Performance of Range Beef Cows

R.J. Pruitt South Dakota State University

P.A. Momont South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd\_beefreport\_1988 Part of the <u>Animal Sciences Commons</u>

### **Recommended** Citation

Pruitt, R.J. and Momont, P.A., "Effects of Body Condition on Reproductive Performance of Range Beef Cows" (1988). South Dakota Beef Report, 1988. Paper 12. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd\_beefreport\_1988/12

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Reports at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Dakota Beef Report, 1988 by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.



### EFFECTS OF BODY CONDITION ON REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF RANGE BEEF CONS

R. J. Pruitt<sup>1</sup> and P. A. Momont<sup>2</sup> Department of Animal and Range Sciences

CATTLE 88-11

#### Summary

Mature Simmental x Angus crossbred cows were fed differing levels of nutrition from December to May in each of 3 years to create a wide range in cow body condition or fleshiness at the beginning of the calving season (beginning mid-March) and when turned to summer pasture (early May) 1 month prior to the beginning of a 60-day breeding season (early June). Cows that were fleshier in March, May or June cycled earlier and conceived earlier. Being thin in May or June had a more detrimental effect on pregnancy rate for cows that calved late in the calving season. A condition score of 4 for early calving cows and 5 for late calving cows at calving and breeding were the minimum body condition for high reproductive performance.

(Key Words: Beef Cow, Body Condition, Reproduction, Nutrition.)

#### Introduction

Many researchers have shown that body condition of beef cows affects reproductive performance. Previous studies have linked higher cow body conditions with shorter intervals from calving to first estrus and increased percentage of cows pregnant. It is not clear as to the minimum degree of body condition at various stages of production that will lead to adequate reproductive performance under different conditions.

The objectives of this study are to (1) establish the minimum cow body condition before calving and breeding necessary for adequate reproductive performance and (2) evaluate subjective and objective measurements to describe body condition of beef cows. The results reported in this paper relate to objective 1.

### <u>Materials</u> and <u>Methods</u>

Mature Simmental x Angus crossbred cows wintered at the SDSU Range and Livestock Research Station near Philip and summer grazed near Sturgis, South Dakota, were allotted each December by age and previous calving date to one of two levels of early winter nutrition. Within 1 week following calving, cows were reallotted by calving date, calf sex, cow age and early winter treatment to one of two late winter treatments fed until early May. Early and late winter treatments were designed to create a wide range in cow body condition prior to calving and in early May.

All cows grazed native range as a group from early May to early December each year. The 60 to 70-day breeding season began on June 6 each year. For the first 2 years, cows were exposed to Charolais bulls. During the third year, cows were observed for estrus for the first 25 days of the breeding season and artificially inseminated to Simmental or Angus bulls. Cows were then exposed to Simmental or Angus bulls for the remainder of the breeding season.

Cow body condition scores (Table 1), cow weights (after overnight withdrawal from feed and water), backfat needle probes (Cook's probe taken between 12th and 13th ribs) and weight/height ratios (weight/height at top of the hook bones) were monitored monthly from December through July. Blood from each cow was collected twice monthly (7-10 days apart) in early May, June and July for detection of cyclic activity via serum progesterone as

Associate Professor.

Graduate Assistant.

TIDDE I. RELIGITED FOR CONSTROL BOORING BEEL CO	TABLE 1.	KEY	POINTS	FOR	CONDITION	SCORING	BEEF	COWS
---	----------	-----	--------	-----	-----------	---------	------	------

	_			Condi	tion	score			
Reference point	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Physically weak	ves	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Muscle atrophy	yes	yes	slight	no	no	no	no	no	no
Outline of spine visible	yes	yes	yes	slight	no	no	no	no	no
Outline of ribs visible	all	all	all	3 - 5	1-2	0	0	0	0
Fat in brisket and flanks	no	no	no	no	no	some	full	full	extreme
Outline of hip and pin bones visible	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	slight	no	no
Fat udder and patchy fat around tail head	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	slight	yes

 $^{\rm a}$  Muscles of loin, rump and rearquarter are concave, indicating loss of muscle tissue.



# **CONDITION SCORE 1**





## **CONDITION SCORE 3**





# **CONDITION SCORE 5**





Left: Condition Score 7; Right: Condition Score 2

**CONDITION SCORE 7** 

determined by radioimmunoassay. Pregnancy was determined by rectal palpation in October. Conception date was estimated by subtracting an assumed 285-day gestation length from calving dates the following spring. Only records from cows nursing calves were included in statistical analyses.

Treatment effects were analyzed as a 2 x 2 factorial using the General Linear Model procedure (GLM) of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS). Means were separated by the Predicted Difference option. Conception to artificial insemination was analyzed by Chi-square Analysis. Prediction equations to analyze the effects of condition score and days from calving to the beginning of the breeding season were developed using the Logist Procedure of SAS (cycling, conception during the first 21 days of breeding season, pregnancy) and GLM (conception date).

### Results and Discussion

The nutritional treatments imposed produced differences in weight change and body condition (Tables 2-4). Those treatments that produced greater winter weight loss and lower body condition scores resulted in fewer cows cycling prior to and during the breeding season and later conception dates.

Early winter treatment		High	Low		
Late winter treatment	High	Low	High	Low	
No. cows	18	19	21	19	
Cow wt, 1b, 12/13/84	1030	983	1021	1045	
Cow condition score					
12/13/84	5.4	5.2	5.4	5.4	
3/12/85	5.8	5.6,	5.4,	5.0,	
5/7/85	5.4 <sup>a</sup>	4.6 <sup>D</sup>	4.5 <sup>b</sup>	4.2 <sup>b</sup>	
6/5/85	5.1	4.7	4.5	4.9	
Cow wt change, 1b	2		Ŀ		
12/13/84-2/15/85	46 <sup>a</sup>	51 <sup>ª</sup>	-11 <sup>D</sup>	$-42^{c}_{1}$	
2/15/85-3/12/85	22 <sup>a</sup>	15 <sup>ª</sup>	42 <sup>0</sup>	53 <sup>D</sup>	
3/12/85-5/7/85	-176	-191 <sub>b</sub>	-172	-174	
12/13/84-5/7/85	-114 <sup>a</sup> ab	-134 <sup>ab</sup>	-145	-167 <sup>C</sup>	
5/7/85-6/5/85	46 <sup>a0</sup>	57 <sup>ab</sup>	31 <sup>a</sup>	64 <sup>D</sup>	
Cow cycling, %					
5/7/85	33	$37_{ab}$	1 <u>p</u>	26 <sub>b</sub>	
6/5/85	75	56	335	35	
7/2/85	100	100	90	100	
Cows pregnant, fall 1985, %	100	100 <sub>ab</sub>	90 b	100 <sub>b</sub>	
Conception date, 1985	June 15"	June 22	June 26 <sup>0</sup>	June 27 <sup>0</sup>	

### TABLE 2. EFFECTS OF EARLY AND LATE WINTER TREATMENTS (1984-85)

a,b,c Means in a row without common superscripts differ (P<.05).

Early winter treatment	ŀ	ligh	Low		
Late winter treatment	High		High	Low	
No. cows	25	23	22	24	
Cow wt, 1b, 12/9/85	1030	1047	1012	1012	
Cow condition score					
12/9/85	5.7	5.3	5.6	5.4	
3/7/86	5.5 <sup>°</sup>	$5.1_{\rm h}^{\rm ab}$	4.9 <sup>DC</sup>	4.6 <sup>°</sup>	
5/9/86	4.5 <sup>ª</sup>	3.6 <sup>D</sup>	4.1 <sup>ª</sup>	2.9 <sup>°</sup>	
6/5/86	5.3 <sup>4</sup>	4.6 <sup>D</sup>	5.1 <sup>ª</sup>	4.1 <sup>c</sup>	
Cow wt change, 1b	2	0	h	L	
12/9/85-2/7/86	29ª	33 <sup>ª</sup>	-40 <sup>D</sup>	-40 <sup>D</sup>	
2/7/86-3/7/86	-22ª	-18 <sup>ª</sup>	4 <sup>D</sup>	7	
3/7/86-5/9/86	$-130^{a}$	$-180^{C}_{1}$	-106 <sup>D</sup> ,	-158 <sup>C</sup>	
12/9/85-5/9/86	-121 <sup>a</sup>	-165 <sup>D</sup>	-143 <sup>ab</sup>	-191 <sup>c</sup>	
5/9/86-6/5/86	119	125	121	112	
Cows cycling, %					
5/9/86	20	13	9	4,	
6/5/86	56 <sup>ª</sup>	48 <sup>ab</sup>	50 <sup>a</sup> ,	25, <sup>D</sup>	
7/2/86	80 <sup>a</sup>	61 <sup>aD</sup>	77 <sup>ab</sup>	54 <sup>D</sup>	
Cows pregnant, fall 1986, %	92 ,	96 ,	95	100 .	
Conception date, 1986	June 21 <sup>ab</sup>	June 23 <sup>ab</sup>	June 17 <sup>a</sup>	June 24 <sup>b</sup>	

TABLE 3. EFFECTS OF EARLY AND LATE WINTER TREATM	IENTS (1985-86)
--	-----------------

a,b,c Means in a row without common superscripts differ (P<.05).

Early winter treatment	High		Lov	w
Late winter treatment	High	Low	High	Low
No. cows Cow wt, 1b, 12/13/86 Cow condition score	25 1133	24 1135	21 1133	24 1115
12/5/86 3/6/87 5/8/87 6/5/87	6.2 6.2 <sup>a</sup> 4.8 <sup>a</sup> 5.0 <sup>a</sup>	6.4 6.0 3.6 4.3	6.2 5.3 <sup>b</sup> 4.5 <sup>a</sup> 4.4 <sup>ab</sup>	6.3 5.2 <sup>b</sup> 2.5 <sup>c</sup> 3.4 <sup>c</sup>
Cow wt change, 1b 12/5/86-2/13/87 2/13/87-3/6/87 3/6/87-5/8/87 12/5/86-5/8/87 5/8/87-6/5/87	53 <sup>a</sup> 21 <sup>a</sup> -233 <sup>a</sup> -174 <sup>a</sup> 62 <sup>a</sup>	48 <sup>a</sup> 15 <sup>a</sup> -310 <sup>b</sup> -253 <sup>b</sup> 86 <sup>b</sup>	-8 <sup>b</sup> 42 <sup>b</sup> -198 <sup>c</sup> -218 <sup>c</sup> 75 <sup>ab</sup>	-40 <sup>c</sup> 51b -264d -295b 84 <sup>b</sup>
Cows cycling, % 5/7/85 6/5/85 7/2/85 Cows pregnant, fall 1987, % Conception date, 1987	32 <sup>a</sup> 72 <sup>a</sup> 96 <sup>a</sup> 100 June 29 <sup>ab</sup>	17 <sup>ab</sup> 42 <sup>b</sup> 96 <sup>a</sup> June 26 <sup>a</sup>	24 <sup>a</sup> 62 <sup>a</sup> 95 <sup>a</sup> 91 July l <sup>ab</sup>	4 <sup>b</sup> 13 <sup>c</sup> 63 <sup>b</sup> 83 July 7 <sup>b</sup>

TABLE 4. EFFECTS OF EARLY AND LATE WINTER TREATMENTS (1986-87)

a,b,c Means in a row without common superscripts differ (P<.06).

The probability of a cow cycling prior to the breeding season and conceiving in the first 21 days of the breeding season and pregnancy was greater for cows that were fleshier in March, May and June (Table 5). Cows that calved earlier, allowing more time from calving to the beginning of the breeding season, were more likely to cycle early and conceive in the first 21 days of the breeding season (Table 6). To help evaluate the importance of body condition under different situations, data were analyzed separately for those cows that calved early in the calving season (greater than 60 days from calving to the beginning of the breeding season) and late calving cows (less than or equal to 60 days from calving to the breeding season).

March		May		June	
condition	Proba-	condition	Proba-	condition	Proba-
score	<u> </u>	score	<u>ability</u>	score	<u>ability</u>
	Probability	of cycling at the	beginning of t	the breeding sea	son
1		1	0°a	1	
		1	.00		o-a
2	00 <sup>a</sup>	2	.15	2	.05
/.	.09		.20	/.	. 12
4	. 19		.42	4	. 28
2		5	. 39	5	. 52
0		0	.75	0	. 74
/	.74	/ 0	.00	/	. 89
0	.00	8		0	
Probab	ility of con	ceiving in the fir	st 21 days of	the breeding se	ason
	5	0	2	0	
1		1	. 33 <sup>a</sup>	1	_
2		2	.44	2	.27 <sup>a</sup>
3	.44 <sup>a</sup>	3	. 56	3	.41
4	.53	4	.66	4	. 57
5	.62	5	.76	5	.72
6	.71	6	.83	6	.83
7	. 78	7	. 89	7	. 90
8	. 84	8		8	
	Deel bilit				
	Probability	of pregnancy duri	ng ou-day bree	eding season	
1		1	.76 <sup>a</sup>	1	
2		2	.87	2	.74 <sup>a</sup>
3	.86 <sup>b</sup>	3	93	3	87
4	92	4	97	4	9/1
, 5	95	5	98	 	. 24 98
5	. , , , , Q 7	5	. 20	5	. 20
5	. 27	7	1 00	7	. >>
/ 9	. 70	/	1.00	/ Q	1.00
0	. 77	0		0	

### TABLE 5. EFFECT OF CONDITION SCORE ON REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE BASED ON PREDICTION EQUATIONS

 $_{\rm \tiny h}^{\rm a}$  Probability that slope equals zero is <.01.

b Probability that slope equals zero is =.10.

Days after	May condit	ion score	June condit	ion scor
	3	6	3	6
	Probability of cyclin	g prior to the br	eeding season	
30 <sup>a</sup>	.05	. 32	. 02	. 30
50	.14	. 56	.06	. 55
70	.31	.78	. 15	.78
90	. 55	.91	. 34	.91
Probabi	lity of conceiving in t	he first 21 days	of the breeding se	ason
30 <sup>a</sup>	. 37	.70	. 25	.70
50	. 47	.78	. 33	.78
70	. 58	.84	.43	. 84
90	. 67	.89	. 54	. 89

TABLE 6. EFFECT OF DAYS FROM CALVING TO THE BEGINNING OF THE BREEDING SEASON ON REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE BASED ON PREDICTION EQUATIONS

<sup>4</sup> Probability that slope for days after calving equals zero is <.0001.

Pregnancy rates were surprisingly high despite average winter weight loss of as much as 26% of cow weight for one combination of treatments during the third year.

Based on the information in Table 7, pregnancy rates were greater than 90% if early calving cows were a condition score 3 or greater in June. Condition in March and May was less closely related to pregnancy rate. However, if cows calved late in the calving season, they needed to be one condition score higher in June to have the same probability of being pregnant. Condition in May, 30 days prior to the breeding season, was more closely related to pregnancy rate for later calvers. Having cows in condition score 3 or less in May and June was much more detrimental to pregnancy rate for cows calving late in the calving season.

Although early calving cows have a higher probability of becoming pregnant even if thin (CS 3 or less), a more detrimental effect is the reduced probability of cycling prior to the beginning of the breeding season (Table 5) and conceiving in the first 21 days of the breeding season (Table 7). There was no interaction between condition score prior to calving with condition score in May or June for day of conception. This indicates that we would expect the same effect of condition score in May or June regardless of how fleshy the cows were at calving time.

It has been suggested that thinner cows may have higher conception rates to a single service than fleshy cows. Results in Table 8 indicate that conception rates of those artificially inseminated was actually lower for thin cows. When calculated as a percentage of cows conceiving during the 25-day artificial insemination of all cows in the herd, conception rates were much lower for thin cows. It should be noted that very few cows could be considered fat (condition score 7 or greater) in May or June. This would indicate that for cows to be too fleshy to allow high conception rates they would need to be a condition score 7 or greater.

In summary, cows that are fleshier at calving and prior to the breeding season cycle sooner after calving and are more likely to conceive early in the breeding season. For cows that calve later in the calving season, a condition score of less than 4 at the beginning of the breeding season and less than 3 at 30 days prior to the breeding season resulted in pregnancy rates less than 90% during a 60-day breeding season.

March			May			June		
condition	Early	Late	condition	Early	Late	condition	Early	Late
score	calvers	<u>calvers</u>	score	calvers	<u>calvers</u>	score	<u>calvers</u>	<u>calvers</u>
	Probab	ility of	pregnancy d	luring 60	0-day bro	eeding sea	son	
1			1	. 89	.53 <sup>a</sup>	1		
2			2	. 93	.75	2	.81 <sup>b</sup>	.60 <sup>a</sup>
3	. 88		3	.95	. 89	3	.91	.80
4	.93	.88	4	.97	.96	4	.96	.91
5	.96	. 93	5	. 98	. 98	5	. 98	.97
6	.98	.96	6	.99	.99	6	. 99	. 99
7	.99	.97	7	. 99	1.00	7	1.00	. 99
8	.99	. 99	8			8		
Prob 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ability o .51 <sup>b</sup> .58 .65 .72 .77 .82	f conceiv .41 <sup>a</sup> .56 .70 .81 .89	ing in the 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	first 2 .46 <sup>a</sup> .54 .61 .69 .75 .81 .85	1 days o: .13 <sup>a</sup> .24 .40 .59 .75 .87 .93	f the breed 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ding seas .29 <sup>a</sup> .44 .60 .75 .85 .92	son .23 <sup>a</sup> .36 .50 .65 .77 .86
		Day	of concept	ion (Ju	lian date	e)		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	187.1 <sup>b</sup> 182.6 178.1 173.6 169.2 164.7	188.5 <sup>a</sup> 182.5 176.6 170.7 164.7	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	184.7 <sup>a</sup> 181.8 178.9 176.0 173.0 170.1 167.2	198.5 <sup>a</sup> 192.8 187.1 181.4 175.7 170.0 164.3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	187.6 <sup>a</sup> 183.1 178.6 174.1 169.6 165.1	195.7 <sup>a</sup> 190.2 184.6 179.0 173.4 167.8

TABLE 7. EFFECT OF CONDITION SCORE AND CALVING DATE ON SUBSEQUENT REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE BASED ON PREDICTION EQUATIONS

.

<sup>a</sup> Probability that slope is equal to zero <.05. Probability that slope is equal to zero <.10.

			i	Jur	ne
	<u>May condition score</u>			<u>conditio</u>	<u>on score</u>
<u> </u>	1,2,3	4	<u> </u>	2,3,4	<u> </u>
No. cows	46	31	28	71	34
No. cows inseminated	25	24	22	41	30
Conception to AI of				2	ہے
cows inseminated, %	68.0	70.8	77.3	63.4	83.3 <sup>u</sup>
Cows conceiving to AI of all cows, %	37.0 <sup>c</sup>	54.8 <sup>d</sup>	60.7 <sup>d</sup>	36.6 <sup>a</sup>	73.5 <sup>b</sup>

### TABLE 8. COWS CONCEIVING TO ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION, 1987

a,b Within month means in a row without common superscripts differ (P<.05). c,d Within month means in a row without common superscripts differ (P<.10).

Cows that calved early in the calving season could be thinner than late calvers for the same level of reproductive performance. This points out the importance of managing yearling heifers to have a high percentage calving earlier in the calving season as 2-year-olds to insure a high likelihood of pregnancy in subsequent years. For cows that calve early in the calving season, the detrimental effect of delayed conception would result in lower pregnancy rates if thin for two or more years in a row.

The minimum body condition recommended from this study would depend on the level of performance expected. To achieve a goal of approximately 95% pregnancy rate and 60% conceiving in the first 21 days of the breeding season would require a minimum condition score of 4 at the beginning of the breeding season for cows calving early in the calving season and 5 for late calvers. To ensure that the thinnest cows are a condition score 4, it would be appropriate to have a goal of 5 for a herd average. In this study where abundant forage was available for 30 days prior to and during the breeding season, cows could be 1 condition score less 30 days prior to the breeding season, making a herd average of condition score 4 an appropriate goal at that time. In situations where such weight gain is not possible, a goal of 5 at 30 days prior to the breeding season would be advisable.

The higher pregnancy rate of early calving cows at any body condition points out the importance of managing to have a high percentage of young cows calve early. In years when cows are thinner than planned due to drought or extreme winter weather, relatively high pregnancy rate can still be obtained without lengthening the breeding season if a high percentage of cows are calving early in the calving season.