South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

Bulletins	South Dakota State University Agricultural
	Experiment Station

5-1-1924

Potatoes as a Feed for Fattening Pigs

J.W. Wilson

A.H. Kuhlman

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/agexperimentsta_bulletins

Recommended Citation

Wilson, J.W. and Kuhlman, A.H., "Potatoes as a Feed for Fattening Pigs" (1924). *Bulletins*. Paper 209. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/agexperimentsta_bulletins/209

This Bulletin is brought to you for free and open access by the South Dakota State University Agricultural Experiment Station at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bulletins by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

Bulletin No. 209

May, 1924

POTATOES AS A FEED FOR FATTENING PIGS

ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION SOUTH DAKOTA STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND MECHANIC ARTS

BROOKINGS

GOVERNING BOARD

Honorable	T. W. Dwight, Pr	resident	Sioux Falls
Honorable	August Frieberg,	Vice-President	Beresford
Honorable	J. O. Johnson		Watertown
Honorable	Robert Dailey		Flandreau
Honorable	Alvin Waggoner		Philip
		101.001	

STATION STAFF

Robert Dailey	Regent Member
T. W. Dwight	Regent Member
Charles W. Pugsley	President of College
C. Larsen	Dean of Agriculture
James W. Wilson	Director and Animal Husbandman
N. E. Hansen	Vice-Director and Horticulturist
A. N. Hume	Agronomist and Supt. of Substations
Harry C. Severin	Entomologist
B. A. Dunbar	Chemist
J. G. Hutton	Associate Agronomist, Soil Survey
Alfred Bushey	Agronomy Analyst
Matthew Fowlds	Assistant Agronomist, Seed Analyst
E. W. Hardies	Assistant Agronomist
Arthur H. Kuhlman	Associate Animal Husbandman
Thomas M. Olson	Assistant Dairy Husbandman
Knowlton Redfield	Veterinarian
George Gilbertson	Assistant Entomologist
C. F. Wells	Assistant Chemist
Paul W. Kieser	Bulletin Editor
R. A. Larson	Secretary
H. M. Crosby	Bulletin Clerk and Stenographer

POTATOES AS A FEED FOR FATTENING PIGS James W. Wilson Arthur H. Kuhlman

Many farmers of South Dakota who engage in the production of potatoes as a cash or market crop oftentimes have large quantities of cull or unmarketable potatoes at their disposal. In such cases much interest is manifested in the value of potatoes as a feed for livestock. Although potatoes have often been used for such purposes, very little experimental data is available for showing the feeding value of potatoes or the best method of utilizing them in rations for farm animals.

In the early studies made to determine the feeding value of potatoes, no mention is made of the use of any protein supplement and since the use of tankage or some other protein supplement is considered necessary in the use of carbohydrate feeds for hogs in order to secure maximum gains in weight as well as economy of production, these earlier trials do not always answer all questions raised by hog growers.

In order to obtain further information on the value of potatoes as a feed for growing and fattening pigs, four trials have been conducted since May 18, 1923, which are reported in this bulletin.

Plan of the Experiment

The experiment included four separate trials. In each trial the pigs in the various lots belonged to one breed and were selected to secure uniformity as to age weight, sex, and individual merit. Poland China pigs were used in each of the first three trials and Duroc-Jerseys in the fourth trial.

Weighing

In each trial all lots were weighed separately at the end of every week. All pigs were weighed individually every fourth week. The weighing was done regularly in the morning after the pigs had received their morning feed and water. A weekly feed record was kept of the amount of all feeds consumed by the various lots corresponding to the periods included in the weight records.

Shelter

All four trials were conducted under dry lot conditions. In the first, second and fourth experiments the pigs were stabled in roomy pens in a large half monitor house, each lot having access to a large well-cindered outdoor yard. In the third experiment the pigs were kept in large shed-roof houses and uncindered lots.

Preparation of Potatoes and Method of Feeding

Excepting for a few days at the beginning of the first trial all cooked potatoes fed in this investigation were prepared by cooking them in a barrel using a steam cooker or boiler. About 30 pounds of a cheap grade of soft coal were used to cook a barrel of potatoes.

Various means were used to induce the pigs to consume a maximum quantity of raw potatoes. At the beginning of the first trial, the potatoes were sliced in a root cutter such as is often used by sheep raisers for cutting roots. It was later found that the pigs consumed more of the raw potatoes when they were reduced to a pulp with a chopping device made for the purpose, which consisted of two heavy crossed blades of steel fastened to a handle. Only a few moments work were required to chop a single feed of raw or cooked potatoes with this tool. As far as it was possible to do so, the plan of the experiment was to feed such an amount of potatoes, both cooked and raw, as would replace one-half of the corn in a standard corn and tankage ration. According to this plan when the pigs were on full feed they consumed about four pounds of potatoes for every pound of corn allowed. The amount of potatoes consumed by each lot determined the amount of corn allowed daily for the respective lots throughout the experiment.

Table I—Chemical Composition of Potatoes

The following analyses of raw and cooked potatoes were made by C. F. Wells, Assistant Chemist. The analysis made in 1923 was made from a representative sample of the potatoes used in the first trial, during July, 1923. The analysis made in 1924 was of a sample of the potatoes fed during the last trial early in 1924.

n	n	-
h	u	n
υ	J	J

Raw Potatoes—	1923	1924
Moisture		82.70%
Protein	2.41	1.90
Ether Extract		.05
Ash	1.02	.92
Crude Fiber	trace	trace
Nitrogen-free Extract	14.84	14.43
	100.00%	100.00%
Cooked Potatoes—		
Moisture		83.86%
Protein		1.93
Ether Extract		.01
Ash	1.11	.81
Crude Fiber	trace	trace
Nitrogen-free Extract	13.59	13.39
	100.00%	100.00%

Experiment I

The first feeding trial of this experiment began May 18, 1923, and ended July 13, 1923, a feeding period of 56 days. Twenty-eight Poland China pigs of fall farrow and choice feeder type purchased from two local breeders, were divided into four lots and fed as follows:

- Lot 1—Corn and tankage (each self-fed in separate compartments).
- Lot 2—Corn, tankage, and cooked potatoes (all hand fed).

Lot 3—Corn, tankage, and raw potatoes (all hand fed).

Lot 4-Corn and raw potatoes (hand fed).

Potatoes which had been stored for market purposes since the preceding fall were used in this trial.

TABLE II

Results of Experiment I—The Value of Cooked and Raw Potatoes When Fed with Corn and Tankage.

	Lot 1	Lot 2	Lot 3	Lot 4	
RATION	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Cooked Potatoes	Corn, Tankage, Raw Potatoes	Corn, Raw Potatoes	
Experiment begun		May 1 July 1	8, 1923 3, 1923		
No. of days fed No. of pigs per lot	56 7	567	56 7	56 7	
Average weight per pig- Initial weight Final weight Total gain per lot Average daily gain per pig	$142.00 \\ 259.86 \\ 825.00 \\ 2.10$	$141.86\\235.00\\652.00\\1.66$	$142.00 \\ 178.71 \\ 257.00 \\ .66$	$141.14 \\ 163.71 \\ 158.00 \\ .40$	
Total feed consumed— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Raw Potatoes	lbs. 3074.00 152.00	lbs. 1089.00 146.00 4070.00	lbs. 532.00 146.00 1243.00	lbs. 532.00 1207.00	
Feed consumed for 100 pounds gain— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Raw Potatoes	$\begin{array}{c} 372.61\\ 18.42\end{array}$	$167.02 \\ 22.39 \\ 624.23$	207.00 56 81 483.66	336.71	
Average daily ration per pig Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Baw Potatoes	7.84 .39	2.78 .37 10.38	1.36 .37 3.17	1.36	
100000			0.11	5.00	

Feeding Value of Cooked Potatoes

A comparison of the data summarized in table I shows that the pigs in lots 1 and 2 made very satisfactory gains. The pigs in both lots were very thrifty throughout the entire feeding period and excepting for a difference of about 25 pounds in the average final weight per pig in the two lots, they showed no marked variations or differences. It is

quite likely that a part of the greater gains made by lot 1 may be due to the fact that this lot had access to a self feeder at all times while lot 2 was hand fed. Then, too, the fact that in no single week did lot 2 consume one-half as much corn as lot 1, may be another cause for the difference in gains between the two lots.

A comparison of the amounts of feed consumed for 100 pounds of gain made by lots 1 and 2 shows that 372.61 pounds of corn and 18.42 pounds of tankage were consumed by lot 1 for each 100 pounds of gain in weight, while lot 2 consumed 167.02 pounds corn, 22.39 pounds tankage, and 624.23 pounds cooked potatoes. Every 624.23 pounds of cooked potatoes plus 3.97 pounds tankage replaced 205.59 pounds of corn. With corn worth 56 cents per bushel and tankage \$60.00 per ton, 100 pounds of cooked potatoes would be worth 31 cents when fed in this manner.

Stating these results in another way, it may be said that in this trial 327 pounds of cooked potatoes replaced 100 pounds of shelled corn.

Feeding Value of Raw Potatoes

A comparison of the results obtained for lots 3 and 4 shows that when raw potatoes were used to replace some of the corn very poor results were obtained. The pigs in lot 3 receiving corn, tankage, and raw potatoes made an average daily gain of only 0.66 of a pound, while the pigs in lot 4 receiving corn and raw potatoes made a gain of only 0.38 of a pound daily. This very poor showing for both lots receiving raw potatoes was due to the fact that it was impossible to induce the pigs in either lot to eat sufficient amounts of the raw potatoes. The average daily amounts consumed by these two lots were less than one-third as much as the amount of cooked potatoes consumed by lot 2. It was virtually necessary to starve the pigs in both lots 3 and 4 during the entire period of the experiment in order to get them to eat the small amounts of potatoes that were consumed. With corn worth 56 cents per bushel and tankage \$60.00 per ton, raw potatoes were worth 10.4 cents per 100 pounds as fed in lot 3 and 11.9 cents per 100 pounds as fed in lot 4. These results when considered with the very low daily gains made by these pigs indicate that old potatoes fed raw cannot be rated very high as a feed for pigs, even when fed with concentrates like corn and tankage.

It was necessary to restrict the corn ration in both cases in order to force the pigs to eat even the very small amount of raw potatoes that was consumed.

Experiment II

The second experiment began October 17, 1923, and ended December 12, 1923. The pigs used in this trial, which continued for 56 days, were Poland China pigs farrowed in early summer and while all were of the old or small type, they were thrifty. Eight pigs were used in each lot and fed the following rations:

Lot 5—Corn and tankage (hand fed).

Lot 6-Corn, tankage, and cooked potatoes (hand fed).

Lot 7—Corn, tankage, and raw potatoes (hand fed).

In this trial all feeds used were hand fed. In all other respects the general plan was the same as in Experiment I. Tankage was fed at the rate of 0.25 pound daily per pig in all lots and potatoes at the rate of four pounds of potatoes to one of corn when the pigs were on full feed. Cull or small potatoes which were screened out of potatoes delivered for sale by farmers at a local elevator were used almost entirely in this trial.

Another lot of pigs similar to those in lots 5, 6, and 7 was fed experimentally during the entire course of this trial but as it was concerned with the use of alfalfa hay in a ration for fattening pigs the results are not included in this report. Some of the pigs were, however, used with those selected from this experiment in the third trial.

TABLE III

Results	of	Experiment	II—	-The	Value	of	Cooked	and	Raw	Potatoes
		When	Fed	with	Corn	and	d Tanka	ge		

	Lot 5	Lot 6	Lot 7
RATION	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Cooked Potatoes	Corn, Tankage, Raw Potatoes
Experiment begunOct. 17, 1923 Experiment endedDec. 12, 1923			
No. of days fed No. of pigs per lot	56 8	56 8	56 8
Average weight per pig— Initial weight Final weight Total gain per lot Average daily gain per pig.	lbs. 85.63 132.50 375.00 .84	lbs. 85.63 134.50 391.00 .87	lbs. 85.25 110.50 202.00 .45
Total feed consumed— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Raw Potatoes	1915 112	887 112 3426	505 112 1889
Feed consumed for 100 pounds gain— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Raw Potatoes	51 <mark>0.67</mark> 29.87	$226 85 \\ 28.64 \\ 876.21$	250.00 55.45 935.14
Average daily ration per pig— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Raw Potatoes	4.27 .25	1.98 .25 7.65	1.13 .25 4.22

Value of Cooked and Raw Potatoes in Experiment II

A comparison of the results secured for lots 5, 6, and 7 which are given in Table II shows that the average daily gains made by lots 5 and 6 are much lower than those secured by the corresponding lots in the first experiment. While gains of 0.84 and 0.87 of a pound are only fair gains, all pigs in lots 5 and 6 were thrifty and in normal condition. As has been stated, these pigs were of the old type of

Poland China, being rather short and "chuffy," which may account for the small gains made by them.

While the amounts of feed required for 100 pounds gain are much higher than for the corresponding lots in the first experiment, a comparison of the data for lots 5 and 6 shows that with corn at 56 cents per bushel and tankage at \$60.00 per ton, the cooked potatoes in this trial were worth 32.8 cents per 100 pounds, while the raw potatoes were worth 19.5 cents per 100 pounds. On this basis 305 pounds of cooked potatoes or 513 pounds of raw potatoes replaced 100 pounds of shelled corn.

Much better results were obtained for the raw potatoes in this trial than in the first one. This difference is largely due to the fact that the pigs in lot 7 consumed a larger amount of potatoes. The work of several investigators indicates that old potatoes contain chemical substances known as alkaloids while new potatoes are usually quite free from them. The presence of alkaloids in raw potatoes makes them unpalatable and probably explains in part why pigs do not like them. Cooking seems to break up or change the alkaloids in such a way that potatoes which have been in storage for months are apparently eaten as readily as those which have just been dug in the field.

Experiment III

The third trial began December 20, 1923, and ended January 29, 1924. a feeding period of 40 days. Eighteen pigs averaging about 150 pounds each were selected from the pigs used in the second experiment and divided into two lots. Good marketable potatoes which had been graded for shipment were used in this trial.

The two lots were hand fed the following rations:

Lot 8—Corn and tankage.

Lot 9-Corn, tankage, and cooked potatoes.

TABLE IV

Results of Experiment III—The Value of Cooked Potatoes When Fed with Corn and Tankage

	Lot 8	Lot 9
RATION	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Cooked Potatoes
Experiment begunDec. 20, 1923 Experiment endedJan. 29, 1924		
No. of days fed No. of pigs per lot	$\begin{array}{c}4\ 0\\9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}40\\9\end{array}$
Average weight per pig Initial weight Final weight Total gain per lot Average daily gain per pig	lbs. 150 66 195.11 400.00 1.11	lbs. 150.44 194.44 396.00 1.10
Total feed consumed— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes	$\begin{array}{c} 2410.00\\ 104.00\end{array}$	$1394.00 \\ 104.00 \\ 3830.00$
Feed consumed for 100 pounds gain— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes	$\begin{array}{c} 602.50\\ 26.00\end{array}$	$352.02 \\ 26.26 \\ 967.20$
Average daily ration per pig- Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes	6.69 .29	3.87 .29 10.64

Table III shows that both lots of pigs in the third experiment made uniform and similar gains. At the close of the trial both lots were in excellent condition and well finished. On the basis of feed required for 100 pounds gain with corn and tankage valued at the prices used before, namely, corn at 56 cents per bushel and tankage at \$60.00 per ton, 100 pounds of cooked potatoes were worth 25.8 cents in this trial. Based on these results, 387 pounds of cooked potatoes were needed to replace 100 pounds of corn.

Experiment IV

Duroc-Jersey pigs farrowed in September, 1923, were used in the fourth experiment, which began December 27, 1923.

Two lots of five pigs each were used in this trial and fed as follows:

Lot 10-Corn and tankage (both self fed).

Lot 11-Corn, tankage, cooked potatoes (all hand fed).

This experiment was continued until the pigs in each of the two lots reached an average weight of 200 pounds.

TABLE V

Results of Experiment IV—The Value of Cooked Potatoes When Fed with Corn and Tankage Under Winter Conditions

	Lot 10	Lot 11
RATION	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Cooked Potatoes
Experiment begun Dec. 27, 1923 Experiment ended	Mar 21,24	Apr 15,24
No. of days fed	86 5	110 5
Average weight per pig Initial weight Final weight Total gain per lot Average daily gain per pig	lbs. 72.8 199.8 634.0 1.47	lbs. 72.4 200.4 640.0 1.16
Total feed consumed— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Tankage, Oilmeal, Alfalfa Hay mixture	2369 293	1613 161 3898 156
Feed consumed for 100 pounds gain— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Tankage, Oilmeal, Alfalfa Hay mixture	373.66 46.21	252.01 25.16 609.06 24.38
Average daily ration per pig— Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Tankage, Oilmeal, Alfalfa Hay mixture	5.51	2.93 *.46 7.09 **.78

**Fed last 40 days only.

If corn is again valued at 56 cents per bushel and tankage, as well as the tankage, oilmeal and alfalfa hay mixture, at \$60.00 per ton, a comparison of the results obtained for lots 10 and 11 as given in Table V shows that in this trial the cooked potatoes were worth only 18.4 cents per 100 pounds. On the basis of these results 545 pounds of cooked potatoes were necessary to replace 100 pounds of corn. These are the lowest returns obtained on the feeding value of cooked potatoes in the entire investigation.



Lot 10. Fed corn and tankage

Since the behavior of the pigs in lot 11 differed so markedly from those which received the same ration in preceding trials and some indications also apparently suggest the influence of direct sunlight and nutrition as factors influencing the appearance of rickets in swine, a detailed account of this trial is given.

On account of their age, vigor, and thriftiness at the beginning of the trial the pigs used in the fourth trial were considered the most promising of any that had been used in this investigation. For two weeks both lots made about the same gains, with lot 11 just a little in the lead. In a few weeks the pigs in lot 11 began to show signs of unthriftiness. Feed consumption, which had gradually increased during the first four weeks, became irregular and decreased considerably. The smooth, glossy coats of hair and healthy appearance of the skins of all of the five pigs were replaced by coarse, curly coats and dry skins, which were not improved by occasional applications of oil.

About February 15 one of the pigs, No. 811-812, a very promising gilt, began to show signs of stiffness in both fore legs. This condition became worse during the next few weeks and it became necessary to assist her to the scales on weekly weighing days on February 21, 28, and March 6.



Lot 11. Fed corn, tankage, and cooked potatoes

After February 21 all pigs of this lot were driven from their pen in the large hog barn and forced to spend an hour or more in the sunlight on every clear day. During this period gilt No. 811-812 showed many symptoms of rickets. Several of the other pigs in the lot occasionally became slightly lame for a few days at a time but while they did not develop such extreme stiffness of legs as the gilt, they were even more unthrifty in appearance.

On March 6 a mixture of 50 pounds tankage, 25 pounds oilmeal and 25 pounds chopped alfalfa hay was substituted for the tankage in the ration of this lot and the average amount of protein supplement fed daily per pig was increased from .46 pound to .78 pound. The modified ration was fed during the next six weeks and in addition all pigs were forced to spend a portion of each clear day in direct sunshine.

As a result of the combined effect of the exposure to direct sunlight and the alfalfa hay in the ration the appearance of the pigs improved considerably, the gilt which had been so very stiff regained use of the joints in her forelegs and when the experiment closed on April 15 had improved and developed to such an extent that she was selected and retained as a member of the breeding herd.

A study of the local weather record for the period covered by this experiment shows that in January and February, 1924, a combination of many cloudy days, prolonged periods of very cold weather and considerable snow compelled the pigs to remain in the barn much of the time.

Both lots were subject to the same conditions but the gains made by the pigs in lot 10 were uniform and consistent throughout the entire experiment and no conditions similar to those observed in lot 11 were noticed at any time. Furthermore, eight other lots of five pigs each were on a feeding experiment during this same period. Six of these lots consisted of purebred Duroc-Jersey pigs and two of cross-bred Chester-Durocs. All pigs, purebred and crossbred, were sired by the same Duroc-Jersey boar. In not **a** single case excepting in lot 11 did any symptoms of rickets appear. The most outstanding difference between the rations of these ten lots was that lot 11 was the only one in which the allowance of yellow corn was limited.

During the ten weeks period from December 27, 1923, to March 6, 1924, the five pigs in lot 10 consumed 1808 pounds of yellow corn and 259 pounds of tankage, while the five pigs in lot 11 consumed 803 pounds of corn, 161 pounds of tankage and 2438 pounds of cooked potatoes.

It would appear that under the conditions which obtained in his experiment, that while a ration of yellow corn and tankage produced uniform and consistent gains, a ration containing a mixture of approximately 5 pounds of yellow corn, 1 pound of tankage and 15 pounds of cooked potatoes fed during a period of limited sunshine was not suitable for maintaining thriftiness and avoiding a rachitic condition. The observations made in this experiment are interesting because they apparently indicate that a lack of exposure to direct sunlight or a deficiency in the antirachitic vitamin in the ration may affect hogs in much the same manner as has been found to be the case in chickens, as determined by E. B. Hart,¹ or in the case of rats according to H. Goldblatt.² Further work is, of course, necessary in order to determine the influence of these factors on the growth and development of hogs.

Cooked potatoes apparently do not contain as much of the antirachitic vitamin as does yellow corn, and when they form an important part of the ration during a season of the year when there is a lack of sunshine or when, on account of weather conditions, pigs must be confined in barns away from direct sunlight, it may be well to feed liberally of such feeds as alfalfa hay.

References cited:

- 1E. B. Hart: "Advanced Feeding Information," Hoard's Dairyman, Vol. 66, No. 23.
- ²H. Goldblatt, "Development of Experimental Rickets in Rats," Experiment Station Record, Vol. 50, No. 3, p. 264.

SUMMARY

1. In two trials in which raw potatoes were fed, results were obtained which indicate that when new potatoes were fed in connection with tankage, 513 pounds replaced 100 pounds of yellow corn, but when old potatoes were fed in the same way it was necessary to feed 962 pounds of polatoes to replace 100 pounds of corn.

2. Raw potatoes are not palatable and it is difficult to get pigs to consume large quantities of them.

3. Cooked potatoes are palatable and in three separate trials during early summer, early fall and early winter conditions, pigs receiving cooked potatoes with corn and tankage made very satisfactory gains.

4. In three trials 326, 305 and 386, or an average of 339 pounds of cooked potatoes replaced 100 pounds of shelled corn.

5. For best results cooked potatoes should replace not more than one-half of the corn that would be consumed if corn alone were fed, or for each pound, the proportion of cooked potatoes to corn should not be greater than four to one.

6. If cooked potatoes are fed during the winter months when there is little sunshine and pigs are forced to remain under cover most of the time, it may prove advisable to feed alfalfa hay in addition to corn and tankage.

7. Whether or not farmers can afford to feed cooked potatoes to hogs depends on the market price of potatoes, facilities for cooking on the farm, the availability of cheap fuel and the cost of labor.

8. No farmer can afford to grow potatoes as a feed for hogs, but under certain conditions hogs will furnish a home market for potatoes that are not saleable and yield a small return from what would otherwise be a total loss.

For convenience in comparing the various lots concerned with the same problem in the four trials, Tables VI and VII have been arranged from the data in preceding tables.

	Lot 1	Lot 2	Lot 5	Lot 6	Lot 8	Lot 9	Lot 10	Lot 11
RATION	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Cooked Potatoes	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Cooked Potatoes	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Cooked Potatoes	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Cooked Potatoes
Experiment begun Experiment ended	May 1 July 1	8, 1923 3, 1923	Oct. 1 Dec. 1	7, 1923 2, 1923	Dec. 2 Jan. 2	0, 1923 9, 1924	Dec. 2 Mar 21,24	7, 1923 Apr 15,24
No. of days fed No. of pigs per lot	56 7	56 7	56 8	$56 \\ 8$	409	$\begin{array}{c}40\\9\end{array}$	86 5	$\begin{array}{c}110\\5\end{array}$
Average weight per pig— Initial weight Final weight	lbs. 142 259.86	1bs. 142 235	lbs. 85.63 132.50	1bs. 85.63 134.50	lbs. 150.66 195.11	'lbs. 150.44 194.44	lbs. 72.8 199.8	lbs. 72.4 200.4
Total gain per lot Average daily gain per pig	825 2.10	$\begin{array}{r} 652 \\ 1.66 \end{array}$	375 .84	³⁹¹ .87	400 1.11	$396 \\ 1.10$	$634\\1.47$	$\begin{array}{r} 640 \\ 1.16 \end{array}$
Total feed consumed Corn Tankage Cooked Potatoes Tankage, Oilmeal, Alfalfa Hay mixture	3074 152	$1089 \\ 146 \\ 4070$	1915 112	887 112 3426	$\begin{smallmatrix} 2410\\104 \end{smallmatrix}$	$1394 \\ 104 \\ 3830$	2369 293	1613 161 3898 156
Feed consumed for 100 pounds gain— Corn Tankage	$\begin{array}{r} 372.61\\ 18.42\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}167.02\\22.39\end{array}$	$510.67 \\ 29.87$	$\begin{array}{r} 226.85\\ 28.64 \end{array}$		$\frac{352.02}{26.26}$	373.66 46.21	$\begin{array}{r} 252.01\\ 25.16\end{array}$

 TABLE VI

 Summary Record Comparing Corn and Tankage with Corn, Tankage, and Cooked Potatoes

Cooked Potatoes		624.23	- P	876.21	1	967.20		609.06
Tankage, Oilmeal, Alfalfa								24.38
							1	
Corn	7.84	2.78	4.27	1.98	6.69	3.87	5.51	2.93
Tankage	.39	.37	.25	.25	.29	.29	.68	*.46
Tankage, Oilmeal, Alfalfa Hay mixture			-					**.78
Cooked Potatoes		10.38		7.65		10.64		7.09
		- M						

*First 70 days. **Last 40 days.

	Lot 1	Lot 3	Lot 4	Lot 5	Lot 7
RATION	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Raw Potatoes	Corn, Raw Potatoes	Corn, Tankage	Corn, Tankage, Raw Potatoes
Experiment begun Experiment ended No. of days fed No. of pigs per lot		May, 18, 1923 July 13, 1923 56 56 7 7		Oct. 17, 1923 Dec. 12, 1923 56 56 8 8	
Average weight per pig— Initial weight Final weight Total gain per lot Average daily gain per pig	lbs. 142 00 259.86 825.00 2.10	lbs. 142.00 178.71 257.00 .66	lbs. 141.14 163.71 158.00 .40	$1bs. \\ 85.63 \\ 132.50 \\ 375.00 \\ .84$	lbs. 85.25 110.50 202.00 .45
Total feed consumed Corn Tankage Raw Potatoes	3074 152	$532 \\ 146 \\ 1243$	5321207	1915 112	$505 \\ 112 \\ 1889$
Feed consumed for 100 pounds gain— Corn Tankage Raw Potatoes		$207.00 \\ 56.81 \\ 483.66$	336.71 763.92	510.67 29.87	$250.00\ 55.45\ 935.14$
Average daily ration per pig- Corn Tankage Raw Potatoes	7.84	1.36 .37 3.17	1.36 3.08	4.27 .25	1.13 .25 4.22

 TABLE VII

 Summary Record Comparing Corn and Tankage with Corn, Tankage, and Raw Potatoes