

## University of New Hampshire University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository

---

Faculty Publications

---

10-25-2005

# Controls on the seasonal exchange of CH<sub>3</sub>Br in temperate peatlands

Marguerite L. White

*University of New Hampshire, Durham*

Ruth K. Varner

*University of New Hampshire, Durham, [ruth.varner@unh.edu](mailto:ruth.varner@unh.edu)*

Patrick M. Crill

*Stockholm University*

Cindy H. Mosedale

*University of New Hampshire, Durham*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholars.unh.edu/faculty\\_pubs](https://scholars.unh.edu/faculty_pubs)

---

### Recommended Citation

White, M. L., R.K. Varner, P. M. Crill, and C.H. Mosedale (2005), Controls on the seasonal exchange of CH<sub>3</sub>Br in temperate peatlands, *Global Biogeochem. Cyc.*, 19,GB4009, doi:10.1029/2004GB002343.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact [nicole.hentz@unh.edu](mailto:nicole.hentz@unh.edu).

## Controls on the seasonal exchange of CH<sub>3</sub>Br in temperate peatlands

Marguerite L. White and Ruth K. Varner

Climate Change Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire, USA

Patrick M. Crill

Department of Geology and Geochemistry, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

Cindy H. Mosedale

Climate Change Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire, USA

Received 16 July 2004; revised 21 June 2005; accepted 19 July 2005; published 25 October 2005.

[1] Measurements of CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange at two New Hampshire peatlands (Sallie's Fen and Angie's Bog) indicate that net flux from these ecosystems is the sum of competing production and consumption processes. Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br fluxes were highly variable and ranged from net emission to net uptake between locations within a single peatland. At Sallie's Fen, net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux exhibited positive correlations with peat temperature and air temperature during all seasons sampled, but these relationships were not observed at Angie's Bog where flux varied according to microtopography. The major CH<sub>3</sub>Br production process at Sallie's Fen appeared dependent on aerobic conditions within the peat, while CH<sub>3</sub>Br production at Angie's Bog was favored by anaerobic conditions. There was evidence of aerobic microbial consumption of CH<sub>3</sub>Br within the peat at both sites. In a vegetation removal experiment conducted at Sallie's Fen with dynamic chambers, all collars exhibited net consumption of CH<sub>3</sub>Br. Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux had a negative correlation with surface temperature and a positive correlation with water level in collars with all vegetation clipped consistent with aerobic microbial consumption. Vegetated collars showed positive correlations between net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux and air temperature. A positive correlation between net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux and surface temperature was also observed in collars in which all vegetation except *Sphagnum* spp. were clipped. These correlations are consistent with seasonal relationships observed in 1998, 1999, and 2000 and suggest that plants and/or fungi are possible sources of CH<sub>3</sub>Br in peatlands. Estimates of production and consumption made on two occasions at Sallie's Fen suggest that peatlands have lower rates of CH<sub>3</sub>Br consumption compared to upland ecosystems, but a close balance between production and consumption rates may allow these wetlands to act as either a net source or sink for this gas.

**Citation:** White, M. L., R. K. Varner, P. M. Crill, and C. H. Mosedale (2005), Controls on the seasonal exchange of CH<sub>3</sub>Br in temperate peatlands, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 19, GB4009, doi:10.1029/2004GB002343.

### 1. Introduction

[2] Methyl bromide (CH<sub>3</sub>Br) is the most abundant bromine containing gas in the troposphere with ambient mixing ratios of 8–10 pptv [Kurylo *et al.*, 1999; Yokouchi *et al.*, 2002; Montzka *et al.*, 2003]. Inorganic halogen radicals produced by dissociation of this and other halogenated compounds catalyze the destruction of ozone in the stratosphere [Brasseur *et al.*, 1999]. Under current stratospheric conditions, bromine radicals are approximately 50 times more efficient at depleting ozone than chlorine radicals [Daniel *et al.*, 1999]. This combination of destructive

capability and relative abundance has prompted significant concern over CH<sub>3</sub>Br sources and sinks to the atmosphere.

[3] Decreases in atmospheric CH<sub>3</sub>Br concentrations since 1998 partly reflect phase-out of its fumigation use according to the Montreal Protocol and suggest that the global budget for this gas needs to be reassessed [Yokouchi *et al.*, 2002; Montzka *et al.*, 2003; Reeves, 2003]. Terrestrial wetlands, including peatlands [Varner *et al.*, 1999; Dimmer *et al.*, 2001], salt marshes [Rhew *et al.*, 2000] and rice fields [Redeker *et al.*, 2000], account for approximately 13% of known sources. This estimate is questionable as the current global budget for CH<sub>3</sub>Br is out of balance with sinks exceeding sources by 60 Gg/yr [Yvon-Lewis, 2000]. Furthermore, our limited understanding of how CH<sub>3</sub>Br cycles through these ecosystems

provides significant uncertainty when defining the impact of natural systems on the global budget.

[4] Field measurements of CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange vary between emission and uptake at the same site indicating that both production and consumption mechanisms contribute to net flux [Rhew et al., 2001, 2002; Varner et al., 2003]. Controls over CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux from soils are not well defined. Several mechanisms of natural methyl halide production and consumption have been identified. The oxidation of organic matter in the presence of iron and halide ions can produce several methyl halides including CH<sub>3</sub>Br [Kepler et al., 2000]. A variety of plants and fungi can also produce methyl halides via an enzyme mediated methyl transferase reaction [Wuosmaa and Hager, 1990; Attieh et al., 1995; Rhew et al., 2003]. Whole plant and fungal culture studies have confirmed CH<sub>3</sub>Br production for *Brassica* spp. [Gan et al., 1998], a subset of saprophytic wood-rotting fungi [Harper, 1985], and several species of ectomycorrhizal fungi [Redeker et al., 2004]. In addition, Jeffers et al. [1998] found that leaves of a variety of plants could consume elevated levels of CH<sub>3</sub>Br. Microbial consumption of fumigant and ambient levels of CH<sub>3</sub>Br has also been identified in a variety of bacteria [Connell et al., 1997; Miller et al., 1997; Hines et al., 1998; Goodwin et al., 2001].

[5] In Irish peatlands, Dimmer et al. [2001] noted a high degree of spatial and temporal variation in methyl halide emissions that appeared dependent on light levels and vegetation. The magnitude of net flux at a given site changed considerably within a few meters which the authors attributed potentially to vegetation and microtopography differences as well as localized fungal activity within the peat. Rhew et al. [2000, 2002] also observed significant spatial and temporal variations in CH<sub>3</sub>Br and CH<sub>3</sub>Cl emissions from coastal salt marshes that corresponded to changes in vegetation community and growing season. Studies of agricultural rice fields [Redeker et al., 2000; Redeker and Cicerone, 2004] linked seasonal variations in methyl halide emissions to the specific growth stage of the rice plants, soil halide concentrations, air temperature, and soil water saturation. High variability in rates of emission have also been observed among plant species [Saini et al., 1995], fungal species [Redeker et al., 2004], and even different cultivars of rice [Redeker and Cicerone, 2004] under identical conditions.

[6] In two New Hampshire peatlands during 1998, Varner et al. [1999] found strong correlations between net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux and peat temperature indicating a dominant below-ground biological production process. Subsequent measurements revealed high variability in these relationships from site to site and year to year. This paper examines these seasonal studies as well as a vegetation removal experiment for evidence of the major controlling factors over net CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange in peatlands.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Site Descriptions

[7] Sallie's Fen in Barrington, New Hampshire (43°12.5'N 71°03.5'W) is a small nutrient poor peatland. With a surface


area of  $1.9 \times 10^4$  m<sup>2</sup>, it has an ombrotrophic center (low pH, approximately 4.7) and minerotrophic edges (higher pH, approximately 5.7). The vegetation includes *Sphagnum* spp. (mosses), *Carex* spp. (sedges) and ericaceous shrubs. CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> exchange have been measured at this site since 1989 [Frolking and Crill, 1994] while CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange has been studied since 1998 [Varner et al., 1999]. A meteorological station located in the center of the fen recorded continuous, hourly averaged data throughout the sampling period including water level, wind speed, relative humidity, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), barometric pressure, and a temperature profile from 25 cm above the surface to 90 cm below the peat surface.

[8] Angie's Bog is located next to Merrymeeting River and Merrymeeting Lake in New Durham, New Hampshire (43°26.2'N, 71°10.4'W). With dominant *Sphagnum* spp. cover and an average pH of 5.2, this peatland is most similar to a nutrient-rich fen. Controlled water releases from the lake maintain relatively uniform water-levels throughout the year. CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> exchange were measured at this site from 1989 to 1994 while CH<sub>3</sub>Br, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> exchange were measured from 1998 to 2000. An automated meteorological station recorded hourly averaged water level, air temperatures at 50 cm above the peat surface and peat temperatures at the surface and at 5 and 10 cm depths from April 1999 through June 2000. Malfunctions with the meteorological datalogger prevented water level monitoring during the second half of the 2000 season.

### 2.2. Seasonal Large Static Chamber Measurements, 1999–2000

[9] Gas flux measurements were made at both sites using a transparent, climate-controlled Lexan and Teflon chamber (63 cm × 63 cm × 100 cm or 50 cm depending on vegetation height). The chamber was placed on previously established aluminum collars (63 cm × 63 cm) embedded in the peat. The collars at Sallie's Fen were put in 3 to 10 years prior to this study (Figure 1). Collars 2 and 4 were sampled approximately weekly to capture the temporal variability in net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux at this site. A third collar was randomly selected weekly for sampling from the remaining nine collars spread across the fen to provide some indication of spatial variability at this site. Collar 2 vegetation was dominated by leatherleaf (*Chamaedaphne calyculata*) and red maple seedlings (*Acer rubrum*). Collar 4 vegetation was primarily sedge (*Carex rostratum*) and cranberry (*Vaccinium oxycoccus*). There was also an alder sapling (*Alnus rugosa*) present. The other collars sampled were generally a mixture of leatherleaf, cranberry, red maple, and sedge.

[10] Two collars were placed in Angie's Bog in August 1998 to examine the effects of microtopographic differences in the peat surface on net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux variability. One collar was placed on a hummock 4.5 cm higher than the other which was established in a hollow. The predominant vegetation in the hummock collar was leatherleaf while sedges and cranberry dominated the hollow collar. The two collars were both sampled approximately weekly during the 1999 and 2000 growing seasons. Measurements were made at both sites between 0900 local time (LT) and 1500 LT local



**Figure 1.** Map of sampling collar locations at Sallie's Fen. The large collars sampled with static chambers during the 1998, 1999, and 2000 field seasons are labeled 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. The labels "Met" and "rain" indicate the location of the met station and the rain gauge. The smaller collars for the 2002 vegetation removal experiment were all located in the area labeled "Veg. Removal. Exp." All collars were accessed via a previously established boardwalk.

time. The exact time of sampling varied but most frequently occurred between 1000 LT and 1300 LT.

[11] To measure gas exchange, the chamber was placed on the collar and sealed with water. Four 2.5 L headspace samples were removed over 16 min ( $t = 1, 6, 11,$  and 16 min after chamber placement). An ambient air sample was also collected for each flux measurement. All gas samples were compressed in evacuated 0.5 L stainless steel cylinders for laboratory analysis. Chamber, air, surface peat, and 10 cm depth peat temperatures were also measured manually at each collar during gas collection. All temperatures measured at the collar were generally higher than those recorded at the meteorological station. Since the manual thermometer had a tendency to overheat in the sun, hourly averaged meteorological station air and 10 cm peat depth temperatures were used whenever possible during data analysis. The average temperature rise within the static chambers during deployment was 2.5°C above ambient.

[12] Gas samples were analyzed in the laboratory for CH<sub>3</sub>Br, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> within 24 hours of collection. CH<sub>3</sub>Br concentrations were determined using a gas chromatograph equipped with an oxygen-doped electron capture detector (GC-ECD). The instrument analysis error of this system for ten repeated measurements of ambient air was ±6%. Samples were calibrated against a purchased standard (Scott Specialty Gas, Inc., CH<sub>3</sub>Br = 270.1 ± 7.8 ppbv) diluted to ambient concentrations as described by Kerwin *et al.* [1996]. CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios were determined using a gas chromatograph with flame ionization detector (GC-FID) and a gas chromatograph with thermal conductivity detector (GC-TCD), respectively. Samples were calibrated against purchased compressed air (NorthEast Airgas) standardized with a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory

Standard (CO<sub>2</sub> = 380.49 ± 0.05 ppmv, CH<sub>4</sub> = 1.832 ± 0.002 ppmv). All chamber concentrations were corrected for ambient air dilution during collection prior to flux calculations.

[13] Gas fluxes ( $F$ ) were calculated from the change in chamber headspace concentration over time as follows:

$$F = (dC_h/dt) \times (V_c/A_c), \quad (1)$$

where  $dC_h/dt$  is the linear regression slope of the chamber headspace concentration over time (nmol L<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> for CH<sub>3</sub>Br, or mmol L<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> for CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>) and  $V_c$  is the chamber volume (L) and  $A_c$  is the collar area (m<sup>2</sup>).

### 2.3. Vegetation Removal Experiment

[14] A vegetation removal experiment was conducted in 2002 at the Sallie's Fen site to determine the effect of changes in vegetation community on net CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange. The vegetation removal experiment was located in a central portion of the fen dominated by *Carex rostratum*, *Vaccinium oxycoccus* (cranberry), and *Sphagnum* spp. (Figure 1). The following treatments were applied randomly to 12 small Teflon-coated aluminum collars (30 cm × 30 cm) cut into the peat in 2001 and the early spring of 2002 ( $n = 3$  for each treatment).

[15] 1. N Collars are those where all aboveground vegetation was clipped. No plants (N) remained.

[16] 2. S Collars are those where *Carex rostratum* and *Vaccinium oxycoccus* were clipped leaving only *Sphagnum* spp. (S).

[17] 3. V Collars are those where only *Carex rostratum* were clipped. *Vaccinium oxycoccus* (V) and *Sphagnum* spp. remained.

[18] 4. C collars are those where vegetation was left undisturbed as a control. *Carex rostratum* (C) dominated the collars.

[19] Vegetation was initially clipped 2 months prior to first sampling. Treatment levels were maintained throughout the sampling period with additional clipping as necessary.

[20] Gas fluxes were measured at the small collars on a weekly basis from June to August 2002 using a transparent dynamic flux chamber constructed of Teflon film with a Lexan frame (30 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm) [Morrison and Hines, 1990; de Mello and Hines, 1994]. Ambient air was pushed into the chamber through a 0.5 cm inlet at 2.5 L min<sup>-1</sup>. A mass flow controller attached to the inlet pump maintained a constant inlet sweep flow rate. A 1.0 cm diameter outlet on the opposite wall of the chamber allowed sweep air to vent without obstruction. The pressure differential between the closed chamber and the atmosphere ranged from 0.000 to 0.004 torr and should not have significantly affected gas exchange processes during measurement. One wall of the chamber was replaced with 1/4 inch thick Lexan on which a cold-water condenser and small fan were mounted. This cooling system minimized chamber temperature increases with an average rise over ambient of 2°C. Ambient air was allowed to sweep through for 75 to 90 min before sampling. Prior tests indicated that chamber gas concentrations reached equilibrium or constant values between 60 and 90 min. Fluxes measured on the

same collar and day using this chamber in dynamic and static mode were comparable in magnitude and direction of flux.

[21] Headspace gas samples were collected at a rate of 1.5 L min<sup>-1</sup> from Teflon tubing (0.165 cm diameter) inserted through the vent into the center of the chamber. Two 2.5 L samples of headspace air and one 2.5 L sample of ambient sweep air were compressed into evacuated 0.5 L electropolished stainless steel cylinders for laboratory analysis. Ambient air was pulled from the sweep line immediately after sampling using the same pump system. Measurements of temperature (air, chamber, surface peat and 10 cm peat depth), photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and water level were made at each collar during sampling. Vegetation cover (leaf area for *Carex* and *Vaccinium* spp., surface area for *Sphagnum* spp.) was also measured as appropriate in each collar on a weekly basis. Leaf area in situ was estimated from blade length for *Carex* spp. and leaf density for *Vaccinium* spp. using allometric equations calculated with representative plant samples collected nearby.

[22] Gas samples were analyzed in the laboratory for CH<sub>3</sub>Br, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios as described for the previous large static chamber measurements. Gas fluxes were calculated using the following equation:

$$F = (C_h - C_a) \times (I_{in}/A_c), \quad (2)$$

where  $F$  is the flux in nmol m<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> for CH<sub>3</sub>Br and mmol m<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> for CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>.  $C_h$  and  $C_a$  are the headspace concentration and the ambient inlet air concentration, respectively (nmol L<sup>-1</sup> CH<sub>3</sub>Br and mmol L<sup>-1</sup> CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>).  $I_{in}$  represents the inlet air flow rate (2.5 L min<sup>-1</sup> or 3600 L d<sup>-1</sup>) and  $A_c$  is the area of the collar (0.093 m<sup>2</sup>).

[23] Concentrations of CH<sub>3</sub>Br 2 to 4 times ambient (20 to 40 pptv) were observed in the small chamber when empty. These concentrations accumulated over the course of the flux measurement. A series of temperature and light manipulations conducted with the empty chamber sealed with Teflon coated paper indicated that the magnitude of headspace CH<sub>3</sub>Br concentrations varied directly with the level of light exposure when the chamber was stored outside. Manipulations of chamber air temperature were also made while the chamber was shrouded (no light) by running hot water through the chamber cooling system. There were no significant emissions even when chamber air temperature reached 45°C. CH<sub>3</sub>Br emissions were not significant in the larger static chambers used in the seasonal studies which were made out of the same material but had a much higher volume to surface area ratio. It is very possible that these emissions were actually dependent on the surface film temperature which might vary more directly with light than chamber air temperature. Because the light response was consistent over two and a half months of testing and with the chamber used in static and dynamic mode, all small chamber headspace CH<sub>3</sub>Br concentrations were corrected for blank emissions using the following regression equation ( $R^2 = 0.79$ ):

$$y = 3.24 + 0.00814x, \quad (3)$$

where  $y$  is the chamber CH<sub>3</sub>Br emission in nmol m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and  $x$  is photosynthetically active radiation in μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

## 2.4. Production and Consumption Estimates

[24] On two occasions (August 1 and August 2, 2002), a *Carex* dominated (C) collar was sampled twice. The first flux measurement was with all chamber vents sealed. Headspace gas samples were collected every 3 min over a 12 min period similar to the large chamber static flux measurements. The chamber was removed from the collar for 30 minutes, then replaced for a second measurement with the chamber in the dynamic flux mode. Flux was calculated as discussed previously for static and dynamic collection techniques.

[25] An intercomparison of the headspace concentrations during both types of flux measurements was then used to calculate a consumption rate constant,  $k_{\text{uptake}}$ , and estimate gross production and consumption under ambient conditions. It was assumed for both types of measurements that the net flux measured,  $d[\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]/dt$  or  $F$ , was equal to the production of CH<sub>3</sub>Br from the fen,  $P$ , plus any blank emissions from the chamber,  $B$ , and the consumption of CH<sub>3</sub>Br (considered a negative flux).

$$F = P + B + C. \quad (4)$$

The blank chamber emissions were calculated using the linear regression correction equation (3) based on both static and dynamic blank chamber tests and the average PAR levels during each flux. The production rate,  $P$ , was assumed to be a constant for the collar independent of headspace concentration. We assume that the microbial uptake of CH<sub>3</sub>Br followed first order kinetics with a rate constant,  $k_{\text{uptake}}$ , such that

$$C = -k_{\text{uptake}}[\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{headspace}}. \quad (5)$$


At equilibrium headspace concentrations,  $[\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{eq}}$ , the consumption rate of CH<sub>3</sub>Br should equal the rates of production,  $P$ , and blank chamber emissions,  $B$ , or

$$P + B = k_{\text{uptake}}[\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{eq}}. \quad (6)$$

Substituting equations (5) and (6) into (4) and integrating net flux,  $F$ , as  $d[\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]/dt$  yields the following:

$$\ln([\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{eq}} - [\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{headspace}}) = -k_{\text{uptake}}t + \ln([\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{eq}} - [\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_0). \quad (7)$$

[26] The dynamic chamber equilibrium concentration was assumed to be  $[\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{eq}}$ . Using the static chamber headspace concentrations as  $[\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{headspace}}$  measured over 3 min time intervals and solving the linear regression of this equation with  $y$  equal to  $\ln([\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{eq}} - [\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]_{\text{headspace}})$  and  $x$  equal to time,  $t$ , gives the slope as  $-k_{\text{uptake}}$ . This value was substituted into equation (6) and the rate of blank chamber emissions was subtracted to calculate an estimate of gross



**Figure 2.** Sallie's Fen net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux measurements by day of year from 1998 to 2002. Fluxes measured from 1998 to 2000 were made with a large static chamber and include all collars sampled. Error bars represent the error of the slope of the linear regression fit of the chamber headspace measurements of [CH<sub>3</sub>Br] versus time. The 2002 measurements were made with a small dynamic chamber and include only fluxes from the Carex dominated (C) collars. Vegetation was not disturbed in this treatment, and these measurements can be considered most representative of natural CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange rates at the Fen for that year. Error bars represent the standard error associated with analysis of the ambient and headspace gas samples.

production within the collar sampled. An estimate of the consumption rate at that collar under ambient conditions was calculated by substituting the ambient CH<sub>3</sub>Br concentrations measured during each flux into equation (5).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Seasonal Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br Flux Measurements at Sallie's Fen and Angie's Bog

[27] The range of net fluxes measured at Sallie's Fen and Angie's Bog from 1998 to 2002 indicate that net exchange of CH<sub>3</sub>Br in peatlands represents a balance between production and consumption processes. During the 1999, 2000, and 2002 field seasons, rates of exchange ranged from +50 to -40 nmol m<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> (Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6; negative values indicate uptake from the atmosphere; see also auxiliary materials<sup>1</sup>). These are comparable to previous measurements made at the same sites in 1998 (+60 to -10 nmol m<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>; Figure 2 [Varner *et al.*, 1999]). The only other study conducted in natural peatland environments did not report uptake although the magnitude of average emissions (+3 to +61 nmol m<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>) was comparable to observations at Sallie's Fen and Angie's Bog. These flux measurements were collected in Irish peatlands over a single month and may not have captured the full variability of gas exchange at these sites [Dimmer *et al.*, 2001].


[28] Seasonal mean net fluxes measured at the large static chamber collars decreased at Sallie's Fen from 1998

through 2000 (Table 1) reflecting differences in sampling period and collars most frequently measured from year to year. Sampling was conducted in 1998 only from September to November as vegetation senesced and temperatures dropped. The most frequently measured collar during this time was collar 9 which was largely dominated by sedges (Figure 1). In contrast, the 1999 and 2000 sampling seasons extended from April to November or December. During these years, collars 2 and 4, located in more shrub-dominated areas of the fen (Figure 1), were sampled most often. This larger sampling period and area encompassed a much wider range of conditions throughout the fen and seasonal means from these two years are more representative of net CH<sub>3</sub>Br emissions from this site. Seasonal mean net fluxes are less varied at Angie's Bog from 1998 to 2000 as the same two collars were measured each year.

#### 3.2. Environmental Effects on Seasonal Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br Fluxes

[29] A closer examination into the factors influencing the magnitude of net CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange at Sallie's Fen and Angie's Bog suggests that the dominant production process at the two sites is different. CH<sub>3</sub>Br emissions at Sallie's Fen appear to be largely due to a temperature dependent aerobic production process. Net emissions were greatest with higher temperatures and lower water levels (Figures 3 and 4). During 1998, 1999, and 2000, net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux exhibited direct linear relationships with air and peat temperature (Figure 7, Table 2). The effect of temperature on net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux has been observed in other wetland ecosystems as well. Rates of CH<sub>3</sub>Br emission from rice plants exhibited a

<sup>1</sup>Auxiliary material is available at <ftp://ftp.agu.org/apend/gb/2004GB002343>.




**Figure 3.** Sallie's Fen 1999. (a) Daily total precipitation (mm) and (b) average daily air temperature (°C) at 25 cm above the surface, peat temperature (°C) at 10 cm depth, and water level (cm below peat surface). Measurements were taken at a centrally located meteorological station every minute and averaged hourly. Daily averages are for 1200 local time (LT). Manual temperature measurements were taken with a handheld thermometer at the collar while collecting gases. (c) Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux (nmol m<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>) and (d) net CH<sub>4</sub> flux (mmol m<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>) at collars 2 (circles) and 4 (squares). The final data (triangles) are measurements from collars randomly sampled throughout the Fen. Error bars represent the error of the slope of the linear regression fit of the chamber headspace measurements of [CH<sub>3</sub>Br] versus time.

response to air temperature that varied between cultivars and specific growth stage of the plants [Redeker and Cicerone, 2004]. In a salt marsh study, net CH<sub>3</sub>Br and CH<sub>3</sub>Cl fluxes followed diurnal changes in temperature with maximum emissions corresponding to the highest daily air temperatures [Rhew *et al.*, 2000].

[30] Water level and net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux were also inversely proportional in 1999 suggesting that the production is aerobic. This relationship is less consistent than the temperature relationship from year to year, however, and may simply reflect covariance with temperature in 1999. That summer was hot, clear and dry. Water levels at Sallie's Fen

dropped significantly as temperatures rose throughout the summer until two major rainfall events in September restored them to pre-drought conditions (Figure 3). More consistent rainfall in 2000 resulted in high water levels throughout the summer that only dropped slightly below the level of the peat in September (Figure 4). While there was not a correlation between net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux and water level during 2000 (Table 2), net uptake was more frequent during the 2000 season. The lowest measurements of net emission during the 1998 season also corresponded to the highest water levels. These low CH<sub>3</sub>Br emissions were also measured in November, however, and could reflect drops in



**Figure 4.** Sallie's Fen 2000. (a) Daily total precipitation (mm) and (b) average daily air temperature (°C) at 25 cm above the surface, peat temperature (°C) at 10 cm depth, and water level (cm below peat surface). Measurements were taken at a centrally located meteorological station every minute and averaged hourly. Daily averages are for 1200 LT. Manual temperature measurements were taken with a handheld thermometer at the collar while collecting gases. (c) Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux (nmol m<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>) and (d) net CH<sub>4</sub> flux (mmol m<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>) at collars 2 (circles) and 4 (squares). The final data (triangles) are measurements from collars randomly sampled throughout the Fen. Error bars represent the error of the slope of the linear regression fit of the chamber headspace measurements of [CH<sub>3</sub>Br] versus time.


temperature and the reduction of biological activity at the fen.

[31] Despite this unclear relationship between water level and net CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange, anomalous measurements made on one day during the summer of 1999 suggest that the dominant production process at the fen is limited by peat moisture increases. The outliers noted on Figure 7 occurred on 9 September (day 252) when water level was at its lowest for the season. Three days of small precipitation events preceded day 252 causing an influx of water to the dried out fen that probably rewet particle surfaces and made peat microenvironments more anaerobic without changing the water level. This alteration may also have lowered the concentration of bromide ions available for CH<sub>3</sub>Br produc-

tion and could have provided a barrier to diffusion for CH<sub>3</sub>Br produced in the peat.

[32] Lower net emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> during the 1999 season reflect increased methanotrophy consistent with lower water table and more aerobic conditions within the peat (Figure 3). Soil studies indicate that microbial consumption of ambient CH<sub>3</sub>Br is largely aerobic as well [Hines *et al.*, 1998] leading to the expectation that more aerobic conditions would favor enhanced consumption. While this may have occurred in 1999, net CH<sub>3</sub>Br emissions suggest that CH<sub>3</sub>Br production was also enhanced at the time. Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br uptake was actually more frequent during 2000 when higher water table and correspondingly high CH<sub>4</sub> measurements reflect a more limited aerobic zone (Figure 4). Assuming that aerobic






**Figure 5.** Angie's Bog 1999. (a) Daily total precipitation (mm) and (b) average daily air temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) at 25 cm above the surface, peat temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) at 10 cm depth, and water level (cm below peat surface). Measurements were taken at the adjacent meteorological station every minute and averaged hourly. Daily averages are for 1200 LT. Manual temperature measurements were taken with a handheld thermometer at the collar while collecting gases. (c) Net  $\text{CH}_3\text{Br}$  flux ( $\text{nmol m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$ ) and (d) net  $\text{CH}_4$  flux ( $\text{nmol m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$ ) at hummock (circles) and hollow (squares). Error bars represent the error of the slope of the linear regression fit of the chamber headspace measurements of  $[\text{CH}_3\text{Br}]$  versus time. Arrows indicate days on which the collars were flooded during sampling.

microbial consumption is the main uptake mechanism for  $\text{CH}_3\text{Br}$  at the fen, this switch from net emission to net uptake further supports the presence of an aerobic production mechanism at Sallie's Fen and suggests that changes in water saturation in the peat have a larger effect on production than consumption.

[33] Unlike Sallie's Fen, higher water levels at Angie's Bog corresponded with larger net emissions of  $\text{CH}_3\text{Br}$  in both 1999 and 2000 (Figures 5 and 6). In 1999, the collar located in the hollow consistently emitted  $\text{CH}_3\text{Br}$  while the drier hummock collar took up the gas. These differences support measurements made by *Dimmer et al.* [2001] in Irish peatbogs where hollows at two different blanket bog

sites exhibited higher  $\text{CH}_3\text{Br}$  emission than hummocks. During 2000, however, both hummock and hollow collars at Angie's Bog exhibited similar patterns of net uptake (Figure 6). Varying strength of aerobic microbial  $\text{CH}_3\text{Br}$  consumption could explain the different patterns of exchange between collars and years at Angie's Bog. The 4.5 cm difference in height above the water level between the two collars created a larger aerobic zone for the hummock. This is reflected in lower net  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions from increased methane oxidation at the hummock collar and appears to have favored a significant role for microbial  $\text{CH}_3\text{Br}$  consumption as well. Lower overall water level in 2000 increased the aerobic zone for the lower hollow collar,



**Figure 6.** Angie's Bog 2000. (a) Daily total precipitation (mm) and (b) daily air temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) at 25 cm above the surface, peat temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) at 10 cm depth, and water level (cm below peat surface). Measurements were taken at the adjacent meteorological station every minute and averaged hourly. Daily averages are for 1200 LT. Datalogger malfunction prevented automated measurements of temperature and water level during the second half of the season. Manual temperature measurements were taken with a handheld thermometer at the collar while collecting gases. Manual well measurements were made at the datalogger well using a tape measure. (c) Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux ( $\text{nmol m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$ ) and (d) net CH<sub>4</sub> flux ( $\text{mmol m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$ ) at hummock (circles) and hollow (squares). Error bars represent the error of the slope of the linear regression fit of the chamber headspace measurements of [CH<sub>3</sub>Br] versus time. Arrows indicate days on which the collars were flooded during sampling.

making the microtopography height difference less significant, and resulted in similar net CH<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange at both collars (Figure 6).

[34] It should be noted that CH<sub>3</sub>Br and CH<sub>4</sub> production and consumption processes may have similar environmental requirements but otherwise do not appear related. Aerobic consumption of ambient CH<sub>3</sub>Br and CH<sub>4</sub> in upland soils do have different depth profiles with maximum rates of CH<sub>3</sub>Br consumption in the top 5 cm [Hines *et al.*, 1998] while methane oxidation is greatest between 3 and 7 cm [Crill, 1991]. Redeker *et al.* [2002] also

observed that emissions of CH<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>Br, and CH<sub>3</sub>I in rice paddies were all dependent on pore water saturation but reached maximum rates during different stages of rice growth implying separate production mechanisms. These decoupled relationships would explain the lack of correlation between net CH<sub>3</sub>Br and net CH<sub>4</sub> exchange at both peatland sites in this study.


[35] Increases in the aerobic zone did not result in increases in CH<sub>3</sub>Br production at Angie's Bog. This suggests that the aerobic production mechanism evident at Sallie's Fen is less prevalent or not present at Angie's

**Table 1.** Seasonal Mean Net Fluxes ( $\pm$  Standard Error) for All Gases Measured at Both Sallie's Fen and Angie's Bog From 1998 to 2002<sup>a</sup>

	Mean CH <sub>3</sub> Br Flux, nmol m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup>	Mean CH <sub>4</sub> Flux, mmol m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup>	Mean CO <sub>2</sub> Flux, mmol m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup>
<i>Sallie's Fen</i>			
All collars 1998 (n = 14) <sup>b</sup>	18 $\pm$ 5	27 $\pm$ 6	-110 $\pm$ 30
All collars 1999 (n = 26)	7 $\pm$ 3	13 $\pm$ 8	-120 $\pm$ 20
All collars 2000 (n = 59)	4 $\pm$ 2	30 $\pm$ 10	-130 $\pm$ 20
<i>Veg. Removal Experiment 2002</i>			
All treatments (n = 48)	-12 $\pm$ 2	10 $\pm$ 3	-20 $\pm$ 60
Carex (C) collars	-17 $\pm$ 1	14 $\pm$ 3	-200 $\pm$ 7
Vaccinium (V) collars	-12 $\pm$ 2	8 $\pm$ 1	-20 $\pm$ 20
Sphagnum (S) collars	-9 $\pm$ 2	14 $\pm$ 4	50 $\pm$ 30
No vegetation (N) collars	-11 $\pm$ 2	3 $\pm$ 1	80 $\pm$ 30
<i>Angie's Bog</i>			
Both collars 1998 (n = 7) <sup>b</sup>	-2 $\pm$ 5	30 $\pm$ 10	-90 $\pm$ 20
Hummock 1998 (n = 3) <sup>b</sup>	-15 $\pm$ 3	30 $\pm$ 10	-70 $\pm$ 10
Hollow 1998 (n = 4) <sup>b</sup>	8 $\pm$ 4	40 $\pm$ 20	-110 $\pm$ 30
Both collars 1999 (n = 22)	4 $\pm$ 4	9 $\pm$ 2	-120 $\pm$ 30
Hummock 1999 (n = 11)	-9 $\pm$ 2	3 $\pm$ 1	-40 $\pm$ 20
Hollow 1999 (n = 11)	17 $\pm$ 4	14 $\pm$ 4	-210 $\pm$ 50
Both collars 2000 (n = 24)	-1 $\pm$ 4	4 $\pm$ 1	-160 $\pm$ 30
Hummock 2000 (n = 11)	-6 $\pm$ 1	1.7 $\pm$ 0.3	-69 $\pm$ 8
Hollow 2000 (n = 13)	4 $\pm$ 6	6 $\pm$ 1	-240 $\pm$ 30

<sup>a</sup>The seasonal means are averages of all fluxes for that time period and site. Negative values indicate uptake of the gas from the atmosphere.

<sup>b</sup>The 1998 data were originally published by Varner *et al.* [1999].



**Figure 7.** Sallie's Fen 1999 relationships between net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux at all collars and environmental variables. The outliers (squares) were observed at collars 3 and 4 on September 9 after several days of small rain events which did not substantially increase water levels. (a) Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux versus hourly averaged met station air temperatures measured at +10 cm during collar sampling,  $R^2 = 0.70$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ . (b) Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux versus hourly averaged met station peat temperatures measured at -10 cm during collar sampling,  $R^2 = 0.62$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ . (c) Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux versus hourly averaged water level at the met station during collar sampling,  $R^2 = 0.74$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ . The  $p$  values represent probability that regression slope is zero.

**Table 2.** A Comparison of the Linear Regression Relationships Between the Major Environmental Variables and Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br Flux at Sallie's Fen for the Seasonal Large Collar Studies<sup>a</sup>

Year	Regression Statistics	Peat Temperature (-10°C)	Air Temperature (+10°C)	Water Level, Centimeters Below Peat
1998	Slope	3.7	1.6	0.04
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.40	0.40	0.0001
	p	0.02	0.02	0.96
1999	Slope	3.5	2.5	-1.5
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.64	0.74	0.70
	p	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
2000	Slope	2.2	1.9	-0.21
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.15	0.24	0.005
	p	0.004	0.0002	0.59

<sup>a</sup>Linear regressions were calculated with  $y$  as net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux and  $x$  as temperature or water level. The  $p$  values represent the probability that the slope is equal to 0.

Bog. Instead, the major CH<sub>3</sub>Br production mechanism at Angie's Bog appears dependent on water saturated peat environments. Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br emissions were measured only once at the hummock collar during each season (day 300, 1999, Figure 5; day 278, 2000, Figure 6). Both these sampling days were immediately after water was released from Merrymeeting Lake and both collars were under water. Greater freshwater input at Angie's Bog could have affected peat halide ion concentrations and contributed to methyl halide flux variability between the sites. Increased precipitation may have also altered the concentrations of halide ions to which fungi and the roots of the vegetation were exposed. Several studies have shown that both plant and fungal emission rates of methyl halides are positively correlated to soil halide concentrations [Harper and Kennedy, 1986; Saini et al., 1995; Gan et al., 1998; Redeker and Cicerone, 2004]. Soil halide concentrations were not measured at either Sallie's Fen or Angie's Bog so their effect on peatland CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange is currently uncertain and represents a potential area for future study.

[36] Differences in the vegetative and microbial community very likely contributed to the divergent effects of aerobic and anaerobic peat conditions on CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange at these two peatlands. Redeker and Cicerone [2004] observed that environmental conditions could affect rice plants differently with low soil water enhancing CH<sub>3</sub>Br emissions from one cultivar of rice and not others. Vegetation communities were different between the two peatland sites. Sallie's Fen vegetation was more diverse and included a wide variety of woody shrubs. In contrast, Angie's Bog was dominated by *Sphagnum* moss with some sedges, leatherleaf and cranberry. While peatland plants such as sedges, mosses, and ericaceous shrubs have not been tested specifically for methyl transferase activity, the diverse number of plants that are capable of different rates of methyl halide emissions does support the possibility [Saini et al., 1995]. Furthermore, the variation in CH<sub>3</sub>Br emission rates between hummock plant groups observed by Dimmer et al. [2001] suggests changes in vegetation can play a role in peatland CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange.

[37] Peatlands also support a diverse collection of fungi [Williams and Crawford, 1983]. Fungi isolated specifically from Sallie's Fen and cultured in the laboratory have also

produced CH<sub>3</sub>Br (R. K. Varner, unpublished data, 2003). Redeker et al. [2004] observed large differences in the rate of CH<sub>3</sub>Br production from different species of ectomycorrhizal fungi that implies fungal community changes can also affect net CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange. Although the microbial community composition is not known at either site, differences in the vegetation and hydrological regimes probably supported variations in the fungal species present which might have influenced the conditions and rates of CH<sub>3</sub>Br production at each site.

### 3.3. The Vegetation Removal Experiment

[38] The vegetation removal experiment in 2002 was designed to examine vegetation community effects on CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange in peatlands more specifically. In contrast to the large static chamber measurements made at Sallie's Fen from 1998 to 2000, all of the vegetation removal collars, regardless of treatment level, consumed CH<sub>3</sub>Br (Table 1). These results most likely reflect differences in the location and time of sampling. Considering the wide variability in the magnitude of net CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange measured within each site, it is probable that the vegetation removal experiment was simply in a low production, high consumption area. Collars for this experiment were located in a portion of the fen with a high sedge and a very low woody shrub concentration which was more similar to the plant community at Angie's Bog than the large collars most frequently sampled in other years.

[39] It is also possible that the dynamic chamber affected CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange conditions in the vegetation removal collars. The increased turbulence associated with the dynamic flow could have influenced the magnitude and direction of gas flux during sampling. However, the measurements of net CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> exchange are reasonably consistent with the treatment level and peat moisture conditions at the time of sampling (Table 1) suggesting that physical parameters affecting flux were not significantly altered by the dynamic chamber. Headspace CH<sub>3</sub>Br concentrations in the dynamic chamber were also approximately 2 to 4 times the ambient and therefore could have influenced the magnitude of net flux. In large static chamber measurements at this site, significant reduction in net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux rates have been observed with headspace

**Table 3.** A Comparison of the Linear Regression Relationships Between the Major Environmental Variables and Net CH<sub>3</sub>Br Flux in the Vegetation Removal Experiment at Sallie's Fen<sup>a</sup>

	Regression Statistics	Collar Treatment			
		C	V	S	N
Peat temperature, °C	Slope	0.53	-0.21	-0.063	-1.57
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.10	0.02	0.0005	0.64
	p	0.33	0.64	0.93	0.005
Surface temperature, °C	Slope	0.56	0.17	1.54	-2.18
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.21	0.06	0.22	0.86
	p	0.14	0.43	0.05	0.0001
Air temperature, °C	Slope	0.74	0.53	0.24	-0.22
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.33	0.34	0.02	0.01
	p	0.05	0.03	0.59	0.76
Water Level	Slope	0.15	0.13	-0.079	0.56
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.10	0.11	0.007	0.55
	p	0.31	0.28	0.74	0.01

<sup>a</sup>Linear regressions were calculated with y as net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux and x as temperature or water level. The p values represent the probability that the slope is equal to 0.

concentration increases as small as 5 pptv (unpublished data). The corrected net fluxes were consistent with measurements made during the previous seasonal studies at the same time of year, however (Figure 2). Bearing in mind that the vegetation removal experiment may not represent the balance of production and consumption processes at other collars in the Fen, the measurements made with this experiment still offer insight into factors influencing CH<sub>3</sub>Br consumption in peatlands.

[40] Vegetation removal did have an effect on CH<sub>3</sub>Br uptake (ANOVA, p = 0.03). Tukey's multiple comparison tests showed a significant difference between mean net CH<sub>3</sub>Br fluxes for the *Carex* dominated (C) and *Sphagnum* only (S) collars (p < 0.05) (Table 1). Increased consumption observed with the presence of *Carex* spp. in the C collars could reflect actual consumption by the plants. *Jeffers et al.* [1998] found that a variety of plant species are capable of enzymatic CH<sub>3</sub>Br consumption when exposed to elevated levels of the gas (100 ppmv to 500 pptv). In a greenhouse study of *Brassica* spp., *Gan et al.* [1998] estimated that plants and soil consumed 23–35% of the CH<sub>3</sub>Br produced by the plants. Specific consumption rates varied between species suggesting that the vegetation did influence uptake. In the Sallie's Fen vegetation removal experiment, there was no correlation between vegetation cover, specifically *Carex rostratum* leaf area, and net CH<sub>3</sub>Br uptake as might be expected with direct plant consumption. Considering little is known about the actual mechanism or location of potential plant CH<sub>3</sub>Br consumption, this is not conclusive proof for or against *Carex* spp. uptake of the gas.

[41] It is also very possible that the presence of *Carex* spp. in the C collars simply enhanced conditions for aerobic microbial consumption in the peat. The correlations between surface temperature, water level and net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux in the N collars (Table 3) are consistent with studies of aerobic microbial CH<sub>3</sub>Br consumption in upland soils [*Hines et al.*, 1998]. If this microbe is also present in the vegetated collars, it is likely that the enhanced aeration provided by the root systems of vascular plants in the aerobic zone would foster increased CH<sub>3</sub>Br uptake.

[42] The presence of the vascular plants *Carex rostratum* and *Vaccinium oxycoccus* in the C and V collars coincides with significant positive correlations between air temperature and net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux (Table 3). These relationships are similar to those observed during the seasonal studies at Sallie's Fen (Table 2) and suggest that CH<sub>3</sub>Br production is still a significant contributor to surface atmosphere exchange of this gas even under conditions of net uptake. Unlike the seasonal studies, net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux in the C and V collars does not exhibit a significant correlation with peat temperature implying that production was primarily associated with the aboveground portions of sedge and cranberry plants.

[43] A positive correlation between surface temperature and net CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux in S collars implies that an additional surface production mechanism may exist (Table 3). Methyl halide production in *Sphagnum* spp. and other bryophytes has not been identified to date. Considering a similar enzymatic pathway for methyl halide production has been isolated in vascular plants, wood-rotting fungi, and marine algae; [*Wuosmaa and Hager*, 1990; *Saini et al.*, 1995; *Saxena et al.*, 1998], this potential source does merit further study.

[44] Fungi in the peat represent another possible source of CH<sub>3</sub>Br in the S collars. In support of this possibility, the only measurement of net CH<sub>3</sub>Br emission in the vegetation removal experiment occurred in collar S2 in which mushrooms did appear at the end of the summer. Whether the fungus was responsible for the observed emissions or even if it would have been present in the undisturbed collar is uncertain. It is possible that the decomposing plant material left after clipping the vascular plants provided a substrate for fungal growth that would not have been present in the C collars. It should be noted that mushrooms have been observed growing in undisturbed portions of the fen and fungi cultured from the Fen did emit CH<sub>3</sub>Br in the laboratory (R. K. Varner, unpublished data, 2003).

### 3.4. Consumption and Production Estimates

[45] Calculations of gross production and consumption rates for the *Carex* dominated collars C1 and C3 in the vegetation removal experiment indicate that consumption was greater than production at these locations and corroborate the net negative flux measurements made with the small chamber (Table 4). The estimated production and consumption rates are also within the lower end of the ranges calculated for an upland forest ecosystem in New Hampshire [*Varner et al.*, 2003]. The larger  $k_{\text{uptake}}$ s used for the College Woods calculations are modeled from laboratory incubations of upland soils and are probably not comparable to peatland conditions. Significant assumptions, such as the consistency of  $k_{\text{uptake}}$  and equilibrium headspace concentrations between static and dynamic flux measurements, were made while calculating  $k_{\text{uptake}}$  at Sallie's Fen for these two days. However, the Sallie's Fen rate constants are comparable in magnitude to those calculated by *Serca et al.* [1998] with peat bog microcosms exposed to elevated levels of CH<sub>3</sub>Br (10 ppbv). This does suggest that CH<sub>3</sub>Br consumption rates in peatlands are lower compared to upland ecosystems but the close balance between produc-

**Table 4.** Estimates of Gross Production and Consumption Based on the Consumption Rate Constant,  $k_{\text{uptake}}$ , Calculated From Dynamic and Static Flux Measurements Made on the Same Collar and Day<sup>a</sup>

	PAR, $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$	Measured Net Flux, $\text{nmol}/\text{m}^2/\text{d}$	Calculated $k_{\text{uptake}}$ , $\text{min}^{-1}$	Calculated Production, $\text{nmol}/\text{m}^2/\text{d}$	Calculated Consumption, $\text{nmol}/\text{m}^2/\text{d}$
Sallie's Fen, NH					
<i>Carex</i> collar C1					
(1 August 2002)					
Dynamic flux	1618	$-6 \pm 5$	0.074	5	-12
Static flux	1390	$-16 \pm 3$	0.074	7	-12
<i>Carex</i> collar C3					
(2 August 2002)					
Dynamic flux	1712	$-14 \pm 5$	0.094	8	-14
Static flux	450	$-8 \pm 3$	0.094	14	-18
NCAR Peat			0.025 to 0.16		
Microcosms					
[Serca <i>et al.</i> , 1998]					
College Woods, NH		$-40$ to $34$	0.2 to 1.1	1 to 202	$-0.4$ to $-168$
[Varner <i>et al.</i> , 2003]					

<sup>a</sup>Measured net fluxes and calculated production estimates have been corrected for blank chamber CH<sub>3</sub>Br emissions. Calculated consumption estimates are based on ambient CH<sub>3</sub>Br concentrations and should reflect initial conditions within the chamber without the influence of blank chamber CH<sub>3</sub>Br emissions.

tion and consumption may allow these wetlands to act as either a net CH<sub>3</sub>Br source or sink.

#### 4. Conclusions

[46] The results of these seasonal studies and the vegetation removal experiment indicate that CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange in peatland environments is highly variable. Net flux can vary from emission to uptake both between different peatlands and from one site to another within a single peatland. CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange in these environments is most likely dependent on vegetation and microbial community composition and environmental variables like peat moisture appear to affect rates of production within these communities differentially. Wetland environments do exhibit high variability in trace gas fluxes spatially. Redeker *et al.* [2002] found that at least three replicate measurements were necessary in homogenous rice fields to determine mean fluxes within 20% for CH<sub>3</sub>Br and CH<sub>3</sub>I. In a uniform tundra peatland, Whalen and Reeburgh [1988] determined that coefficients of variation between CH<sub>4</sub> field measurements could also vary 50 to 100%. Considering the diversity of biological communities and peat conditions globally, estimates of global CH<sub>3</sub>Br flux from this source are highly uncertain. Sampling for only one season and in one location can potentially over or underestimate a source.

[47] Peatlands are dynamic ecosystems with vast reservoirs of stored carbon. Considering that the balance between CH<sub>3</sub>Br production and consumption in these environments is highly variable, they may respond to future climate change by becoming more significant global net sinks or sources of this gas. In order to better estimate net CH<sub>3</sub>Br exchange from peatlands globally and predict the effect of climate change on flux from these ecosystems, a greater diversity of peatlands must be studied. More detailed studies on the actual CH<sub>3</sub>Br production and consumption processes specific to peatlands and their controlling factors

in natural settings are also necessary to better characterize variability in these ecosystems as a whole.

#### References

- Attieh, J. M., A. D. Hanson, and H. S. Saini (1995), Purification and characterization of a novel methyltransferase responsible for biosynthesis of halomethanes and methanethiol in *Brassica oleracea*, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 270(16), 9250–9257.
- Brasseur, G. P., J. J. Orlando, and G. S. Tyndall (1999), Atmospheric chemistry and global change, in *Topics in Environmental Chemistry*, edited by J. W. Birks, pp. 291–321, Oxford Univ. Press, New York.
- Connell, T. L., S. B. Joye, L. G. Miller, and R. S. Oremland (1997), Bacterial oxidation of methyl bromide in Mono Lake, California, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 31, 1489–1495.
- Crill, P. M. (1991), Seasonal patterns of methane uptake and carbon dioxide release by a temperate woodland soil, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 5(4), 319–334.
- Daniel, J. S., S. Solomon, R. W. Portmann, and R. R. Garcia (1999), Stratospheric ozone destruction: The importance of bromine relative to chlorine, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(D19), 23,871–23,880.
- de Mello, W. Z., and M. E. Hines (1994), Application of static and dynamic enclosures for determining dimethyl sulfide and carbonyl sulfide exchange in Sphagnum peatlands: Implications for the magnitude and direction of flux, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(D7), 14,601–14,607.
- Dimmer, C. H., P. G. Simmonds, G. Nickless, and M. R. Bassford (2001), Biogenic fluxes of halomethanes from Irish peatland ecosystems, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35, 321–330.
- Frolking, S., and P. Crill (1994), Climate controls on temporal variability of methane flux from a poor fen in southeastern New Hampshire: Measurement and modeling, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 8(4), 385–397.
- Gan, J., S. R. Yates, H. D. Ohr, and J. J. Sims (1998), Production of methyl bromide by terrestrial higher plants, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25(19), 3595–3598.
- Goodwin, K. D., R. K. Varner, P. M. Crill, and R. S. Oremland (2001), Consumption of tropospheric levels of methyl bromide by C-1 compound-utilizing bacteria and comparison to saturation kinetics, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 67(12), 5437–5443.
- Harper, D. B. (1985), Halomethane from halide ion: A highly efficient fungal conversion of environmental significance, *Nature*, 315, 55–57.
- Harper, D. B., and J. T. Kennedy (1986), Effect of growth conditions on halomethane production by *Phellinus* species: Biological and environmental implications, *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, 132, 1231–1246.
- Hines, M. E., P. M. Crill, R. K. Varner, R. W. Talbot, J. H. Shorter, C. E. Kolb, and R. C. Harriss (1998), Rapid consumption of low concentrations of methyl bromide by soil bacteria, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 64(5), 1864–1870.
- Jeffers, P. M., N. L. Wolfe, and V. Nzengung (1998), Green plants: A terrestrial sink for atmospheric CH<sub>3</sub>Br, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25(1), 43–46.

- Keppler, F., R. Elden, V. Niedan, J. Pracht, and H. F. Scholer (2000), Halocarbons produced by natural oxidation processes during degradation of organic matter, *Nature*, *403*, 298–301.
- Kerwin, R. A., P. M. Crill, R. W. Talbot, M. E. Hines, J. H. Shorter, C. E. Kolb, and R. C. Harriss (1996), Determination of atmospheric methyl bromide by cryotrapping-gas chromatography and application to soil kinetic studies using a dynamic dilution system, *Anal. Chem.*, *68*(5), 899–903.
- Kurylo, M. J., et al. (1999), Short-lived ozone-related compounds, in *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 1998*, edited by C. A. Ennis, *Global Ozone Res. Monit. Project Rep. 44*, pp. 2.1–2.56, World Meteorol. Org., Geneva.
- Miller, L. G., T. L. Connell, J. R. Guidetti, and R. S. Oremland (1997), Bacterial oxidation of methyl bromide in fumigated agricultural soils, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, *63*(11), 4346–4354.
- Montzka, S. A., J. H. Butler, B. D. Hall, D. J. Mondeel, and J. W. Elkins (2003), A decline in tropospheric organic bromine, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *30*(15), 1826, doi:10.1029/2003GL017745.
- Morrison, M. C., and M. E. Hines (1990), The variability of biogenic sulfur flux from a temperate salt marsh on short time and space scales, *Atmos. Environ., Part A*, *24*, 1771–1779.
- Redeker, K. R., and R. J. Cicerone (2004), Environmental controls over methyl halide emissions from rice paddies, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *18*, GB1027, doi:10.1029/2003GB002092.
- Redeker, K. R., N.-Y. Wang, J. C. Low, A. McMillan, S. C. Tyler, and R. J. Cicerone (2000), Emissions of methyl halides and methane from rice paddies, *Science*, *290*, 966–969.
- Redeker, K. R., J. Andrews, F. Fisher, R. Sass, and R. J. Cicerone (2002), Interfield and intrafield variability of methyl halide emissions from rice paddies, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *16*(4), 1125, doi:10.1029/2002GB001874.
- Redeker, K. R., K. K. Treseder, and M. F. Allen (2004), Ectomycorrhizal fungi: A new source of atmospheric methyl halides?, *Global Change Biol.*, *10*(6), 1009–1016.
- Reeves, C. E. (2003), Atmospheric budget implications of the temporal and spatial trends in methyl bromide concentration, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*(D11), 4343, doi:10.1029/2002JD002943.
- Rhew, R. C., B. R. Miller, and R. F. Weiss (2000), Natural methyl bromide and methyl chloride emissions from coastal salt marshes, *Nature*, *403*, 292–295.
- Rhew, R. C., B. R. Miller, M. K. Vollmer, and R. F. Weiss (2001), Shrubland fluxes of methyl bromide and methyl chloride, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*(D18), 20,875–20,882.
- Rhew, R. C., B. R. Miller, M. Bill, A. H. Goldstein, and R. F. Weiss (2002), Environmental and biological controls on methyl halide emissions from southern California coastal salt marshes, *Biogeochemistry*, *60*(2), 141–161.
- Rhew, R. C., L. Ostergaard, E. S. Saltzman, and M. F. Yanofsky (2003), Genetic control of methyl halide production in *Arabidopsis*, *Curr. Biol.*, *13*(20), 1809–1813.
- Saini, H. S., J. M. Attieh, and A. D. Hanson (1995), Biosynthesis of halomethanes and methanethiol by higher plants via a novel methyltransferase reaction, *Plant Cell Environ.*, *18*, 1027–1033.
- Saxena, D., S. Aouad, J. Attieh, and H. S. Saini (1998), Biochemical characterization of chloromethane emission from the wood-rotting fungus *Phellinus pomaceus*, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, *64*(8), 2831–2835.
- Serca, D., A. Guenther, L. Klinger, D. Helmig, D. Hereid, and P. Zimmerman (1998), Methyl bromide deposition to soils, *Atmos. Environ.*, *32*, 1581–1586.
- Varner, R. K., P. M. Crill, and R. W. Talbot (1999), Wetlands: A potentially significant source of atmospheric methyl bromide and methyl chloride, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *26*(16), 2433–2436.
- Varner, R. K., M. L. White, C. H. Mosedale, and P. M. Crill (2003), Production of methyl bromide in a temperate forest soil, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *30*(10), 1521, doi:10.1029/2002GL016592.
- Whalen, C. S., and W. S. Reece (1988), A methane flux time series for tundra environments, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *2*(4), 399–409.
- Williams, R. T., and R. L. Crawford (1983), Microbial diversity of Minnesota peatlands, *Microb. Ecol.*, *9*, 201–214.
- Wuosmaa, A. M., and L. P. Hager (1990), Methyl chloride transferase: A carbocation route for biosynthesis of halometabolites, *Science*, *249*, 160–162.
- Yokouchi, Y., D. Toom-Saunty, K. Yazawa, T. Inagaki, and T. Tamaru (2002), Recent decline of methyl bromide in the troposphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, *36*, 4985–4989.
- Yvon-Lewis, S. (2000), Methyl bromide in the atmosphere and ocean, *IGACTivities Newsl.*, *19*, 9–12.

---

P. M. Crill, Department of Geology and Geochemistry, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden.

C. H. Mosedale, R. K. Varner, and M. L. White, Climate Change Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans and Space, University of New Hampshire, Morse Hall, Durham, NH 03824, USA. (marguerite.white@unh.edu)