## **University of New Hampshire [University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository](https://scholars.unh.edu?utm_source=scholars.unh.edu%2Fdissertation%2F1506&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

[Doctoral Dissertations](https://scholars.unh.edu/dissertation?utm_source=scholars.unh.edu%2Fdissertation%2F1506&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Student Scholarship](https://scholars.unh.edu/student?utm_source=scholars.unh.edu%2Fdissertation%2F1506&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Spring 1987

# COMMUNITY ECOLOGY OF CHILEAN SANDY BEACHES

EDUARDO JARAMILLO *University of New Hampshire, Durham*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholars.unh.edu/dissertation](https://scholars.unh.edu/dissertation?utm_source=scholars.unh.edu%2Fdissertation%2F1506&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

#### Recommended Citation

JARAMILLO, EDUARDO, "COMMUNITY ECOLOGY OF CHILEAN SANDY BEACHES" (1987). *Doctoral Dissertations*. 1506. [https://scholars.unh.edu/dissertation/1506](https://scholars.unh.edu/dissertation/1506?utm_source=scholars.unh.edu%2Fdissertation%2F1506&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Scholarship at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Doctoral Dissertations by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact [nicole.hentz@unh.edu](mailto:nicole.hentz@unh.edu).

## **INFORMATION TO USERS**

While the most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript, the quality of the reproduction is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted. For example:

- Manuscript pages may have indistinct print. In such cases, the best available copy has been filmed.
- Manuscripts may not always be complete. In such cases, a note will indicate that it is not possible to obtain missing pages.
- Copyrighted material may have been removed from the manuscript. In such cases, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, and charts) are photographed by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each oversize page is also filmed as one exposure and is available, for an additional charge, as a standard 35mm slide or as a 17"x 23" black and white photographic print.

Most photographs reproduce acceptably on positive microfilm or microfiche but lack the clarity on xerographic copies made from the microfilm. For an additional charge, 35mm slides of 6"x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations that cannot be reproduced satisfactorily by xerography.



**Order Number 8722430** 

## **Community ecology of Chilean sandy beaches**

**Jaramillo, Eduardo, Ph.D.** 

**University of New Hampshire, 1987** 



 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\$  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  , where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  $\ddot{\cdot}$  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  , where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  $\sigma_{\rm{eff}}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)},\mathcal{A$ 

## **PLEASE NOTE:**

**In all cases this material has been filmed in the best possible way from the available copy. Problems encountered with this document have been identified here with a check mark**  $\rightarrow$ **.** 

**1. Glossy photographs or pages** 

**2. Colored illustrations, paper or print** 

**3. Photographs with dark background** 

**4. Illustrations are poor copy** 

**5. Pages with black marks, not original copy** 

**6. Print shows through as there is text on both sides of page** 

**7.** Indistinct, broken or small print on several pages  $\frac{V}{I}$ 

**8. Print exceeds margin requirements** 

**9. Tightly bound copy with print lost in spine** 

**10. Computer printout pages with indistinct print** 

**11.** Page(s) **lacking when material received, and not available from school or author.** 

**12. Page(s) seem to be missing in numbering only as text follows.** 

13. Two pages numbered \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Text follows.

**14. Curling and wrinkled pages** 

**15. Dissertation contains pages with print at a slant, filmed as received** 

**16. Other** 

**University Microfilms International** 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 



#### **COMMUNITY ECOLOGY OF CHILEAN SANDY BEACHES**

**BY** 

#### **EDUARDO JARAMILLO**

**Licenciado en Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile, 1976** 

#### **DISSERTATION**

**Submitted to the University of New Hampshire in Partial Fulfillment of** 

**the Requirements for the Degree of** 

**Doctor of Philosophy** 

**in** 

**Zoology** 

**May, 1987** 

**This dissertation has been examined and approved. Dissertation director, Robert A. Croker Professor of Zoology**  inder scar *Ctrl2*  Franz E. Anderson, Professor of Geology **Arthur C. Borror, Professor of Zoology Arthur C. Mathieson, Professor of Botany James T. Taylor, Associate Professor of Zoology** <u>April 29, 1987</u> **Date** 

#### **DEDICATION**

**To the Universidad Austral de Chile which paved the road to the University of New Hampshire. To the University of New Hampshire which has paved the road to a better understanding of the soft bottom ecology of the south Chilean littoral.** 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

**My deepest gratitude to my advisor Dr. Robert A. Croker for his encouragement and disposition to accept my coming here to study under his guidance. To the members of my doctoral committee, Drs. Franz E. Anderson, Arthur C. Borror, Arthur C. Mathieson and James T. Taylor for their help and criticism during the preparation of this dissertation. I also would like to thank Dr. James T. Taylor for his help in the statistical analyses. Special thanks to Dr. Franz E. Anderson; his advice, comments and disposition to use his laboratory did allow me to study the community structure of the macroinfauna in an intertidal mudflat of New Hampshire; a problem quite different from that studied here, but directly related to my general interest in soft bottom ecology.** 

**To Universidad Austral de Chile, University of New Hampshire and Fulbright Commission for the financial support during my studies at this University. To Dr. Clayton A. Penniman for his. help, advice, and above all patience, during the multivariate, analyses of this study. To Mrs. Janis Marshall, who typed this dissertation with gentilesse and very much patience.** 

**iv** 

**Many thanks to my friends Flaco, Mario, Alejandro, Claudio and Rene' for their friendship and help. All of them helped with the field samplings, and Alejandro and Rene' carried out some of the peracarids coexistence experiments while I was here. I owe special thanks to Flaco and Alejandro; the preparation of this dissertation could have been seriously affected, without their constant help by sending me more data sources than I originally brought from Chile in 1983. I am very much indebted to Mario because he introduced me to the sedimentological analyses.** 

**To my professor and friend, Dr. Carlos Antonio Viviani, for his ability to inspire in myself love for the natural history of the Chilean littoral; there, when we first walked through its sandy beaches, tidal flats and rocky platforms. My deepest thanks to a close resident of Mehuin Beach, to that special person who has been observing the dynamics of this beach, daily and for the last 10 years, to the housekeeper of our Marine Station, to the cheerful Don Pacian, whose dedication has helped to make of that place, a perfect place for a coastal ecologist.** 

**And last, but not least, my thanks to my parents who always knew that the wisest affection they could give to their sons was encouragement for advanced education.** 

**v** 

### **TABLE OP CONTENTS**



**Peracarid Interactions ....70 LITERATURE CITED 80 APPENDIX. Sandy Beach Macroinfauna of the Chilean Coast:**  Zonation Patterns and Zoogeography................180

**vii** 

#### **LIST OF TABLES**

- **TABLE 1. Number of animals used in the control and combination treatments of the interspecific coexistence experiments. The control treatments (single species) had 20 animals, . while the pair comparisons had 10 animals from each species 93**
- **TABLE 2. Annual variability in the sand levels at the upper, middle and lower beach of Mehufn. Each value is the height (cm) between the top of the post (upper beach) or rocks (middle and lower beach) and the sand level. The negative values presented for the lower beach represent accretion periods in which the sand level . . surpassed the top of the rock (level 0) 94**
- **TABLE 3. Mean and ranges of sand characteristics at three selected levels of Mehufn Beach;**  May, 1978 to April, 1980.....................95
- **TABLE 4. Summary of principal component analysis of sand characteristics at Mehuin Beach;**  May, 1978 to April, 1980......................96
- **TABLE 5. Dimensions of the brood life stages of the peracarid species at Mehufn Beach. The values are means (mm) ± 1 standard error of pooled eggs, embryos and juveniles collected during May, 1978 to April, 1980 97**
- **TABLE 6. Seasonal mean lengths and percentage juveniles of the peracarid species at Mehuin Beach. The values are expressed**  in mm  $\pm$ 1 standard error.........................98
- **TABLE 7. Mean and ranges of some characteristics of Mehufn Beach where 2\*. tuberculata. E.**  braziliensis, E. hirsuticauda, E. monodi, and E. analoga were collected, May, 1978 . **to April, 1980. 99**

**TABLE 8. Correlation coefficients between the mean**  abundances of **Q**. tuberculata, braziliensis, E. hirsuticauda, E. monodi, **E. analoaa and physical variables and mean abundances of coexisting species at Mehufn Beach; May, 1978 to April, 1980. The number of observations were: Q. tubggcplata 52, braziliensis 71, hirsuticauda 73, monodi 48 and** *KL***. analoaa 44. Underlined coefficients are significant at the 0.05 probability level . 100** 

- **TABLE 9.**
- **Summary of multiple regression analyses with monthly samples collected at Mehufn Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The values given in this table (other than probability values, intercept and multiple coefficient of determination) are the partial regression coefficients or values of each variable in the**  multiple regression equation................101
- **TABLE 10. Correlation coefficients between the**  mean abundances of **O.** tuberculata, E. **braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda and physical variables and mean abundances of coexisting species at Mehuin Beach, September, 1980 to April, 1981. The number of observations were O.**  tuberculata 73, E. braziliensis 61 and **E. hirsuticauda 39. Underlined coefficients are significant at the 0.05 probability level. .102**
- **TABLE 11, Summary of multiple regression analyses with seasonal samples collected at Mehuin Beach during September, 1980 to April, 1981. The values given in this table (other than probability values, intercept and multiple coefficient of determination) are the partial regression coefficients or values of each variable in the multiple**  regression equation........................103
- **TABLE 12. Transect width in meters, slope in % and**  mean grain size and sorting in  $\phi$  at **beaches sampled in Bahfa de Maiquillahue and outlet areas of r£o Queule and Rjfo**  Lingue; February-March, 1983................104
- **TABLE 13. 2 Mean densities per m of the macroinfaunal species collected at beaches located in Bahia de Maiquillahue and outlet areas of Rfo Queule and Rfo**  Lingue; February-March, 1983................105
	- **TABLE 14. Percentage of individuals, 24 hours after release in four sizes of sand:**   $very$  fine  $(3-4 \not 0 \text{ or } 62.5-125 \text{ micron} s)$ , **fine (2-3 \$ or 125-250 microns), medium (1-2 f& or .250-500 microns) and coarse (0-1 f6 or 500-1000 microns). The numbers are means (n:4) with standard**  errors in brackets...........................106
	- **TABLE 15. Percentage of individuals, 24 hours after release in two sizes of sand: fine (2-3**  $\phi$  **or 125-250 microns) and medium (1-2**  $\phi$  **or 250-500 microns).** The **medium (1-2**  $\phi$  **or 250-500 microns). numbers are means with standard errors**  in brackets...................................107
	- **TABLE 16. Percentage of individuals, 24 hours after release in sands collected at the upper and middle beach of Mehuin; June 23, 1983. The numbers are means (n:5) with standard errors in**  brackets...................................108
	- **TABLE 17. Percentage of individuals, 24 hours after release in two kinds of sand; calcareous (native of Isla de Maiquillahue) and volcanic (native of the beach of Matfas). The numbers are means with standard errors in brackets 109**
	- **TABLE 18. Percentage mortality of peracarids and E. analoga in the sand desiccation tolerance experiments. The numbers are**  means (n:2 in E. braziliensis and 3 in **Q**. tuberculata, E. hirsuticauda and **analoaa) with standard errors in**  brackets...................................110
	- **TABLE 19. Percentage mortality of peracarids in the intraspecific coexistence experiments. The numbers are means (n:4) with standard errors in brackets, and refer to the mortality after 15 days**  (April 23-May 8, 1985)......................111



**xi** 

Dec. 13, 1984)...............................113

#### **LIST OP FIGURES**

**FIGURE 1. Hap of the Chilean coast showing the location of Bahia de Maiquillahue and the beaches analyzed in this study; 1: Mehuxn, transect 1; 2: Mehufn, transect 2; 3: Mehufn, transect 3; 4:**  Mehuin, transect 4; 5: Matias; 6: **Isla de Maiquillahue; 7: Queule, and**  8: Lingue................................115 **FIGURE 2. Scheme showing the position and height above the sand of the post and rocks used in the analyses of the sand level fluctuations at the upper, middle and The upper half of this scheme shows an accretion profile, while the lower represents an erosion**  profile...................................117 **FIGURE 3. Seasonal variability in a) mean total rainfall and mean water salinity, and b) mean air and water**  temperature.................................119 **FIGURE 4. Seasonal frequency of the longshore drift, and <sup>b</sup>> / Mehuin.... ,121 variability in a) the north wind at FIGURE 5. Long-term variability in the frequency of the north longshore drift and the sand levels at the upper, middle and lower** beach of Mehuin...................123 **FIGURE 6. Summary of seasonal variability in the frequency of the north longshore drift and the sand levels at the upper, middle and lower beach of Mehufn showing mean values for the period 1978-85. The height 0 represents the top of the post and the rocks used for the temporal analysis of sand level fluctuations. During some accretion, periods, the sand levels covered over • - the top of the reference rock located on the lower beach (i.e. 1979, 81, 83,** 

**xii** 

**85) 125** 

**FIGURE 7. FIGURE 8. FIGURE 9. FIGURE 10. FIGURE 11. Seasonal changes in the topographic profiles at Mehuln Beach during May,**  1978 to April, 1980........................127 **Temporal variability of the sand levels at the upper, middle and lower beach of Mehujfn during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The lines representing the sand levels of the upper and middle beach are based on data collected fortnightly, while those of the lower beach are based on**  daily measurements........................129 **Temporal variability in the beach face slope and mean grain size values at Mehufn Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The monthly grain size values are the means of the sizes (mean grain**  size in  $\phi$ ) calculated for each station. **The relationship beach face slope and mean grain size is shown in the lower**  half of this figure.........................131 **Temporal variability in a) the station levels, b) mean grain size, and c) sorting of the sediments at Mehuin Beach, during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The levels of the stations (height below O) correspond to their heights in relation to the top (height O) of the post located on the upper beach 133 Relationships between the monthly averages of mean grain size and sand levels at the upper, middle and lower beach of Mehufn during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The height O represents** 

**the top of the post and the rocks used for the temporal analysis of sand**  fluctuations. At the lower **beach, two height values are negative; they represent periods in which the sand level covered over the top of the reference rock. ..135** 

**FIGURE 12. Relationships between the monthly means of sorting and.mean grain size of the sediments of Mehufn Beach during May,**  1978 to April, 1980......................137

**xiii** 

**FIGURE 13. Temporal variability in a) temperature, b) water content, and c) penetrability of the sediments at Mehuin Beach during**  May, 1978 to April, 1980...................139

- **FIGURE 14. Relationships between a) the monthly averages of water content and**   $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ **temperature, and b) penetrability and water content of the sediments at Mehuin Beach during Hay, 1978 to April, 1980 ,141**
- **FIGURE 15. Ordination of the stations sampled at Mehufn Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980 based on the values of mean grain size, sorting, temperature, water content and penetrability of the sediments. The first component is represented by the horizontal axes, while the second is represented by the**  vertical ones.............................143
- **FIGURE 16. Temporal variability in the mean macroinfaunal abundances at Mehufn Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980 145**
- **FIGURE 17. Seasonal occurrence of ovigerous females and juveniles of the peracarid species at Mehuin Beach during May,**  1978 to April, 1980.........................147
- **FIGURE 18. Seasonal occurrence of ovigerous**  females and juveniles of E. analoga at **Mehufn Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980 ,149**
- **FIGURE 19. Occurrence and intertidal distribution of juveniles and adults of the peracarid species at Mehuin Beach during the recruitment months of May,**  1978 to April, 1980.........................151
- **FIGURE 20. Relationships between the mean body length of ovigerous females and the brood size of the peracarid species ,153**

**FIGURE 21. Population structure of the peracarid**  species and E. analoga at Mehuin Beach **during Mayr 1978 to April, 1980. The**  juveniles of **Q**. tuberculata, **braziliensis and** *Slm***. hirsuticauda are**  included in the size class < 4.0 mm, while those of E. analoga are in the **Ejl first two size classes, < 4.0 and 4.0- 5.9 mm ,155** 

- **FIGURE 22. Intertidal zonation of the macroinfauna at Mehufn Beach based on the overall mean abundances during May, 1978 to**  April, 1980.................................157
- **FIGURE 23. Temporal variability in the intertidal**  zonation of a)  $Q_2$  tuberculata, b) **braziliensis. c) E. hirsuticauda and d)**  *E±.* **analoaa at Mehuin Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The dotted line at the top of each rectangle represents the variability of the sand level on the upper beach, while the black dots represent stations at which no animals were collected. The levels of the stations correspond to their heights in relation to the sand level of the post on** the upper **beach ,159**
- **FIGURE 24. Long-term variability in the mean**  abundances of <u>O. tuberculata</u>, E. **braftAJliensis and** *EJL.* **hirsuticauda at selected intertidal levels of Mehuin Beach through the years 1978- 1985. 161**
- FIGURE 25. Long-term variability of E. analoga at the lower level of Mehuin Beach **(station 5) through the years 1978- 1985 163**
- **FIGURE 26. Seasonal sand depth distribution of**  mean grain size ( $\bar{x}$  $\phi$ ) and sorting ( $\int \phi$ ) **at Mehufn Beach. The variability in the number of stations was due to the seasonal variability in the beach width. No data were obtained during the macroinfaunal sampling of September 1981 ,165**
- **FIGURE 27. Seasonal sand depth distribution of temperature and water content of the sediments at Mehujfo Beach. No data were obtained during the macroinfaunal**  sampling of September 1981................167
- **FIGURE 28. Seasonal sand depth distribution of the**  macroinfauna at Mehuin Beach............169
- **FIGURE 29. Relationships between the mean abundances of the macroinfauna and mean grain size and sorting of the sediments in samples from Bahjfa de Maiquillahue and outlet areas of R£O Queule and Rfo**  Lingue; February-March, 1983...............171
- **FIGURE 30. Selected intertidal zonation schemes from Bahfa de Maiquillahue and outlet**  areas of Rio Queule and **Lingue. 173**
- **FIGURE 31. Mean mortality of the macroinfauna under different water salinities after**  a 1-week period............................175
- **FIGURE 32. Mean mortality of the macroinfauna under conditions of sand desiccation**  over a 24-hours period....................177
- **FIGURE 33. Temporal variability in the mean**  abundance of juveniles of E<sub>s</sub> analoga **during May, 1978 to April, 1980, and**  shorebirds (C. alba and N. phaeopus) **during the years 1983-84 179**

#### **ABSTRACT**

#### **COMMUNITY ECOLOGY OF CHILEAN SANDY BEACHES**

**by** 

#### **EDUARDO JARAMILLO**

**University of New Hampshire, May, 1987** 

**Monthly abundances and life history traits of peracarid species (Orchestoidea and Excirolana), and the anomurid crab Emerita analoaa were studied during May, 1978 to April, 1980 in an exposed sandy beach of southern Chile (MehuiTn Beach, Bahia de Maiquillahue). Beach characteristics differed significantly during these years; i.e. more sand erosion and coarser sand occurred during the first year. The lowest abundances of the total**   $-2$ **macroinfauna (46-111 ind m ) occurred during winter 1978, -2 while the highest abundances (896-1251 ind m ) occurred during winter 1979. The low abundances were a result of severe erosion of the habitat rather than just a coarsening of sand, a conclusion supported by grain-size preference experiments, and macroinfaunal data from adjacent beaches with coarser sand. Differences in beach physical characteristics did not affect the life history traits of** 

**xvii** 

**peracarids; in contrast, the same physical characteristics may indeed have affected the population structure of E. analogs.** 

**Long-term studies (1978-1985) of seasonal abundances at Nehuifn Beach, showed a downshore migration of peracarids during the warmer months. Multiple regression analyses were performed with the monthly data of species abundances and sediment characteristics during 1978 to 1980. The analyses showed that fluctuations of the upper beach 0.**  tuberculata and E. braziliensis were primarily explained by **the variability in sand desiccation related factors, while**  fluctuations of the middle beach E. hirsuticauda were **explained by mean grain size variability.** 

**Three distinct macroinfaunal zonation patterns were distinguished along the beaches of Bahfa de Maiquillahue, and adjacent estuarine outlet areas. Differences in sediment texture, wave disturbance and water salinity are believed to be primarily responsible for these patterns. All these data, in addition to those from intra and interspecific coexistence experiments, suggest that the abundances and intertidal distribution of the peracarids O.**  tuberculata, E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda are **regulated primarily by the spatial and temporal variability of substrate characteristics. This also holds true for E. analoga. although shorebird predation may be an additional factor regulating its population structure.** 

**xviii** 

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

**The morphology of exposed sandy beach habitats results from interactions between sediments and nearshore processes, such as waves and longshore currents, in what has been called beach morphodynamics (e.g. Short, 1979). The constantly changing harsh physical environment of exposed sandy beaches has typically been emphasized as a major structuring force for infaunal communities of these habitats (see e.g. review by McLachlan, 1983).** 

**Investigations of sandy beach macroinfauna increased during the last 20 years with noteworthy studies on the east coast of North America (Croker, 1967a, 1977, Croker et al. 1975, Dexter, 1969, Knott et al. 1983, Leber, 1982, Sameoto, 1969a), the west coast of Europe (Eleftheriou and Nicholson, 1975, Pincham, 1971, Jones, 1970a, Salvat, 1967, Seed and Lowry, 1973), the tropical and subtropical coasts of the Americas (Dexter, 1972, 1974, 1976, 1979, Rodriguez, 1959, Shelton and Robertson, 1981), the Indian Coast (Ansell et al. 1972a, Hclntyre, 1968, McLusky et al. 1975, Trevallion et al. 1970), Australia and New Zealand (Dexter, 1983, Fincham, 1974, 1977, Wood, 1968), and South Africa (Bally, 1983, Brown, 1973, McLachlan et al. 1981). Most of these studies stressed the significance of physical factors such as wave exposure and grain size in determining observed patterns of abundance and distribution of** 

**macroinfauna. In additionr these studies supplied new information about intertidal zonation of macroinfauna on different beaches of the world, and provided the opportunity for examining the applicability of the classical sandy beach zonation schemes proposed by Dahl (1952), Pichon (1967) and Trevallion et al. (1970). Although these regional studies are valuable contributions, most are constrained by three basic factors: 1) a lack of long-term monitoring of macroinfaunal population fluctuations and beach morphodynamicsj 2) a lack of quantitative correlations between and among macroinfaunal fluctuations and physical factors suggested to be significant in causing those fluctuations, and 3) a lack of coincidental field and laboratory studies with a view toward explaining the observed natural patterns.** 

**In contrast to the relatively rich history of ecological work in other areas, studies of Chilean sandy beaches are limited, and primarily the result of short-term surveys (Bertran, 1984, Castilla, 1983, Castilla et al. 1977, Jaramillo, 1978, Sanchez et al. 1982). Nevertheless, some general conclusions can be drawn from them. First, the numerically dominant organisms along the Chilean littoral are crustaceans (i.e. Peracarida, Anomura and Brachyura), although bivalves (Mesodesma donacium (Lamark)) and polychaetes (e.g. Nephtvs impressa Baird) are also found, mostly at the lowest intertidal levels. Second, peracarid species typically dominate upper and middle beach** 

**levels. Third, the. number of peracarid species increases from northern to southern latitudes. Fourth, the species of this crustacean assemblage show a distinctive zonation pattern across the intertidal zone.** 

**The crustaceans that inhabit the intertidal zone in sandy beaches of southern Chile are represented by the talitrid amphipod Orchestoidea tuberculata Nicolet, the cirolanid isopods, Excirolana braziliensis Richardson, E\*.**  hirsuticauda Menzies, and E. monodi Carvacho, and the **anomurid crab Emerita analoaa (stimpson). In this study, I analyzed the role of physical and biological processes in structuring the crustacean assemblage of sandy beaches of southern Chile, particularly that of Mehufn Beach, a high energy sandy beach. Thus, the objectives of this study were:** 

**1) To determine spatial and temporal variability of the physical characteristics of sediments and species abundances. . .** 

**2) To determine to what extent temporal changes in species abundances and intertidal macroinfaunal distribution are related to beach roorphodynamics and/or other physical factors.** 

**3) To explore whether biological interactions are important in population fluctuations and intertidal distributions of macroinfauna.** 

 $\mathbf{3}$ 

#### **II. MATERIAL ME METHODS**

#### **Study Sites**

**The beaches analyzed in this study were located in or near Bah^a de Maiquillahue (Fig. 1) and selected to illustrate varying degrees of exposure. The most protected sites were located in the outlet areas of R£O Queule (site**  7) and Rio Lingue (site 8); they were protected from **breaking waves by subtidal sand bars located nearby. Due to its location (facing the mainland), site 6, Isla de Maiquillahue, had a moderate exposure, while all the other sites were fully exposed to breaking waves of the Pacific Ocean. Sites 1-4 were at Mehufn (39 26fS, 73 13'W); site 2 was the primary site and hereafter referred to as Mehujfn Beach. Sites 3 and 4 were part of a long strand approximately 2000 m in length. Site 1 was a small pocket beach, nearly 20 m in length; the other sites had lengths varying between 100-200 m.** 

**Sites 1-3 were backed by a cliff (7-12 m above beach level), supporting a coastal public road. Sites 4 and 8 were limited posteriorly by dunes that rise 2-3 m in some places, while the rest (sites 5, 6 and 7) were backed by natural cliffs of mainly metamorphic rocks ("piedra laja" formation? lilies, 1970).** 

#### **The Adjacent Waters**

**Data concerning general characteristics of the waters adjacent to Hehu^n Beach were gathered by personnel from the Marine Biology Station of Universidad Austral de Chile at Mehuin. Surf water samples were collected weekly between 1982-1985 for salinity determinations. The values were calculated through conductivity values obtained from a conductivity meter (Lectro Mhometer by Lab-Line) as well as from a list of formulas quoted by Bennett (1976). Values reported here are overall monthly means for the period 1982-85, and are presented together with rainfall data. The latter are overall means of monthly averages (based on daily measurements: 0900, 1400, and 1800) for the period 1978-85 and gathered from the Meteorological Station of Universidad Austral de Chile at Mehuin (22 m above sea level). Since 1978, surf water and air temperatures have been measured daily (0900, 1400, and 1800) with mercury o thermometers accurate to 0.1 C. The values presented here are overall means of monthly averages for the period 1978- 85.** 

# **Mehuin Peach**

**Long-term dynamics of erosion and accretion of sand were followed at Mehufn Beach between 1978 and 1985. Temporal changes in sand levels were recorded at three reference points (Fig. 2). The highest was a post located** 

**on the upper beach, approximately 2 m from the cliff, while the other two were rocks located at the middle beach, and on the lower beach.** 

**Two sets of sand level data are presented here. The first covers the temporal fluctuations of sand levels during January, 1978 to December, 1985 (Fig. 5); here, the data are presented as monthly averages based upon fortnightly measurements. The second set, covers the period from May, 1978 to April, 1980 (Fig. 8). In this set, the lines showing sand level variability in the upper and middle beach are based upon fortnightly measurements (heights were not averaged), while those of the lower beach are based on daily measurements.** 

**Sand level variability was analyzed in relation to the direction and frequency of longshore drift currents observed daily (0900) between 1978 and 1985. The direction and frequency of longshore drift currents were analyzed in relation to monthly averages of wind direction, derived from daily measurements (0900, 1400, and 1800) and gathered from the Meteorological Station at Mehu^n.** 

**The morphology of the beach was determined by the Emery's (1961) profiling technique. Each month between May, 1978 and April, 1980, the beach profile was surveyed in 5m sections along a transect between the post on the upper beach and the low tide level. The slope of each profile was measured by the expression & x 100, where a is L** 

**the difference in height between the highest and lowest point (low tide line) and L is the distance between these two points.** 

**Duplicate sediment samples were collected at each station 5 m" apart on the transects between May, 1978 and April, 1980. Samples were collected by inserting a 3.5 cm diameter metal core to a depth of 15 cm. One sample was used for textural analyses, the other was wrapped in aluminum foil and used for water content determinations. Samples for textural analyses were de-salted (tap water o washing), dried (72 h at 110 C), quartered until a weight close to 150-200 g was obtained, and then sieved for 30 minutes with a Ro-Tap machine. Screens with the following**  openings in phi (ø) were used: (ø = -log of particle **2 diameter in mm; Folk, 1980): 0, 1.00, 1.50, 2.00, 2.25, 2.50, 2.75, 3.00, 3.50, 4.00 and pan. Textural characteristics were calculated with a moments computational method (McBride, 1971), and using a program written by Pino (1982) for a Hewlett-Packard 41 CV. With**  this method, all grain sizes affect the final results, **which is (giving a clearer picture versus a graphic method,**  based only on selected percentages of the total particle **distribution (Folk, 1980).** 

**The following were calculated: Mean grain size,**  $\bar{x}\phi = \sum f_m$  $\overline{2}$ <sup>1</sup> *£f(m-x4)*  Sorting,  $\int \phi =$ *100* 

- **where £ = weight percent (frequency) in each grain size class.** 
	- **m » mid point of each grain size class in phi values,**
	- **n = total number in sample which is 100 when f is in percent.**

**Water content of sand samples was estimated as the o loss in weight of wet sediments after drying (110 C for 96 h). Sediment temperatures were monitored at each station o with a mercury thermometer accurate to 0.1 C. Temperatures were read at the surface (approximately 3 mm depth), and at a depth of 15 cm; the values reported here are the averages of these two readings. The penetrability of the sediment**  was measured by dropping a 150 g metal rod down a 1 m tube. **The depth to which the rod penetrated into the sediment was measured 10 times at each station, and the average calculated.** 

**Variability of the physical characteristics of the beach, i.e. mean grain size, sorting, temperature, water content, and penetrability, were analyzed by principal component analysis. The component axes were rotated in order to obtain a clearer delineation of variables associated with each component. The rotational method used**  was the varimax approach, whose criterion centers on  $\blacksquare$ **simplifying the columns of the correlation matrix; thus, the maximum possible simplification is reached if there are only ones and zeros in a single column (Hair et al. 1979). The computer program for this analysis was obtained from** 

**the package BMDP (program 4M; University of California Press); a DECSYSTEM-2020 at the Universidad Austral de Chile was utilized.** 

#### *The Macrpjpfavma*

**Monthly population data were obtained from May, 1978 to April, 1980. A metal frame was used to collect five 0.1 2 m replicates of sand, 15 cm deep, from stations located at 10 meter intervals on intertidal transects. The highest station (station 1) was located 2 m seaward of the post on the upper beach; the lowest one was close to the low water line of spring low tides. The number of stations varied between 3 and 7, depending upon the width of the intertidal zone during each sampling day; however, most of the samplings had 5 stations. Sediment collected at each station was washed through a 0.5 mm sieve; animals were removed and preserved in 10% formalin.** 

**Specimens were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm for life history analyses. For isopods, body length was the distance from rostrum tip to telson tip. With O. tuberculata this length was the distance from the rostrum**  tip to telson base, while in E. analoga the body length was **considered as cephalothorax length. Animals were then**  sorted into 2 mm (*E. braziliensis* and *E. hirsuticauda*) or 4 mm size classes (O. tuberculata and E. analoga) for **frequency determinations of populations. Juveniles and ovigerous females were distinguished to analyze** 

**reproductive activity. Fecundity estimates were made for**  *Q*. tuberculata, E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda. Due **to the possibility that some of the life stages contained in the marsupium may be lost during sampling, sieving and sorting, fecundity estimates were made following the criterion used by Donn and Croker (1986). Ovigerous**  females were grouped into 0.5 mm (E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda) and 1.0 mm size classes (O. tuberculata), and **the maximum number of life stages in each size class was counted.** 

**Size differences among the marsupial life stages of the peracarid species were studied using the ovigerous females collected between May, 1978 and April, 1980. Size differences among the post-marsupium (juveniles) and adult stages were analyzed through seasonal samples collected between September, 1980 and September, 1981. In the isopods, the males were differentiated by the paired penes on the sternum of the 7th thoracic segment and the male appendices on the 2nd pleopod (Jaramillo, 1982), while in Q\*\_ tuberculata, presence of penes, length of the second antennae, and size of second gnathopod were used (Jaramillo et al., 1980). The females of the isopods were recognized by the presence of ooestegites, life stages in the marsupium, and by the absence of penes and male appendices. The criterion of Dexter (1977) was followed here, i.e. the minimum size of females was determined by the minimum size of males and animals smaller than the smallest male (in the** 

**samples) were assigned to juveniles. The females of 0. tuberculata were recognized by the presence of ooestegites, life stages in the marsupium, absence of penes, length of the second antennae and size of the second gnathopod. As in the isopods, the same criterion was followed to find the smallest size of females and size of juveniles.** 

**The depth distribution of the macroinfauna within the sand was seasonally analyzed between September, 1980 and September, 1981. A metallic core (20 cm diameter) was used 2 to collect five 0.03 m replicates of sand 15 cm deep at stations located at five meter intervals over a transect extending from station 1 to the spring low tide level. Sand cores were sliced into 2.5 cm sections to a depth of 12.5 cm. Sediment sections were then separately washed through a 0.5 mm sieve and animals preserved in 10% 2 formalin. A 5 cm core was collected from each 2.5 cm slice of a randomly choosen core at each station. These samples were used for water content measurements and granulometric analyses (mean grain size and sorting). Sand temperatures were monitored at 0, 2.5, 5.0, 7.5, 10.0 and 12.5 cm depths at each station with a mercury thermometer o accurate to 0.1 C.** 

**2 Two 0.03 m replicates of sand, 15 cm deep, were collected between July, 1983 and November, 1985 at the upper, middle, and lower beach. These levels corresponded to stations 1, 3 and 5 of the monthly transects performed between May, 1978 and April, 1980. Sediments collected in**
**this way were washed through a 0.5 mm siever with the animals preserved in 10% formalin. These data were used to investigate long-term population fluctuations and were analyzed together with the monthly (May, 1978 to April, 1980) and seasonal data (September, 1980 to September, 1981). All abundance estimates are expressed in numbers 2 per m .** 

**The intertidal distribution and abundance of the macroinfauna were studied in different sites of Bahfa de Haiguillahue and protected sites of the outlet areas of Rio Queule and Rfo Lingue(Fig. 1) during the summer of 1983. The objective was to analyze zonation and macroinfaunal abundance in relation to wave exposure and grain size. Macroinfauna was sampled at a series of stations along transects, extending from spring low tide level to the back border of each beach (defined as foredunes, cliffs or wrack 2 lines). At each station, two 0.03 m replicates of sand, 15 cm deep were collected. The sediment was washed through a 0.5 mm sieve, and animals preserved in 10% formalin. One sediment core (3.5 cm diameter, 15 cm deep) was collected at each station for granulometric analyses (mean grain size and sorting). Analyses were performed as described earlier**  for the Mehuin Beach sands, but adding screens with coarser **openings due to the presence of gravel in the Isla de**  Maiquillahue and Matias sediments. Beach profiles were **surveyed at regular intervals along a transect between the back border of each beach and the low tide level.** 

## Species-sediment and Inter-species Relationships

#### in the Field

**Simple and multiple regression analyses were used to study the relationships between the abundances of individual species, physical characteristics of the sediment, and abundances of coexisting species. Two approaches were followed in the multiple regression analyses. Approach A tested the relationships between mean abundances of individual species (dependent variables), and physical characteristics (independent variables) of the stations where species were collected. Approach B tested the relationships among that mean abundances, and physical characteristics and mean abundances of coexisting species (independent variables). The variance and means of the species abundances were highly correlated; therefore, the abundance data were transformed by log (n + 1). The 10 forward selection technique was used in the multiple regression analyses. In this procedure, each variable is considered for inclusion in the regression model prior to developing the equation (Hair et al., 1979). The significance of the regression coefficients were tested by F-tests. Then, the F-statistics calculated for each independent variable were compared with a specified**  probability level of 0.05. The computer program for these **analyses was obtained from the package SAS (program Stepwise Procedure, SAS Institute Inc.) and utilized on a VAX computer system at the University of New Hampshire.** 

## Laboratory Experiments

**Salinity tolerance and grain-size preference experiments were designed to throw light on the zonation patterns and community structure in exposed beaches of**  Bahía de Maiquillahue and at protected sites of the outlet **areas of r£o Queule and** *r***{***o* **Lingue. Experiments were conducted under dark conditions and with animals previously kept in the laboratory for two days.** 

**Experimental salinities were obtained by diluting natural sea water with distilled water. Conductivity meter calculations as described above were used. Salinities used were 28, 20, 15, 10 and 5 o/oo. The control salinity was 28 o/oo, similar to the salinity in the water adjacent to Mehufn Beach during the experiments (22-29 December, 1981). With each salinity, four replicated plastic containers**  holding seven (O. tuberculata), six (E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda) or five (E. analoga) animals in approximately **1000 ml of water were observed over a one week period. Containers were individually aerated and placed on a sea o water table with a water temperature of 12 C.** 

**Four, types of grain-size preference experiments were**  conducted. First, O. tuberculata, E. braziliensis, E. hirsuticauda and E. analoga were given a choice of four **different sizes of sand previously prepared (de-salted, dried and sieved); very fine (3-4** *fi* **or 62.5-125 microns),**  fine  $(2-3 \cancel{6} \text{ or } 125-250 \text{ microns})$ , medium  $(1-2 \cancel{6} \text{ or } 250-500 \text{ cm}^2)$  $micros$  and coarse sand  $(0-1 \not\phi)$  or 500-1000 microns). The

**size ranges represented the natural size ranges of sand found in exposed beaches of Bah^a de Maiquillahue and near**  the outlets of Rio Queule and Rio Lingue. A portion of **each sand grain size was placed in one of four compartments**  of replicated wooden boxes. Boxes used for E. braziliensis and E<sub>s</sub> hirsuticauda were 14 x 14 cm and held a 1.5 cm sand layer; those for <u>O.</u> tuberculata and E. analoga were 20 x 20 cm and had a 2.5 cm sand layer. Second, O. tuberculata, E. **braziliensis, E. hirsuticauda and E. analoga were given the choice of two different sand sizes previously prepared. The size ranges (fine and medium) were represented at Mehufn Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980. A portion of each size was placed in one of two compartments of replicated boxes of the same sizes as those used in the first set of experiments. Third, &«. tuberculata. E.**  braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda were given the choice of **two natural untreated sands; one half of the appropriate wooden boxes contained sand from the upper beach, the other half had sand from the middle beach. This experiment was designed to determine whether quality of sediments might be associated with the different abundance patterns of these species in the upper and middle beach. Fourth, E.**  braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda were given the choice of **sands from two different beaches. One half of the boxes contained sediment from Isla de Maiquillahue, the other**  half contained sand from Matias. The purpose of this **experiment was to investigate the absence of**   $E_{\bullet}$ 

braziliensis and **E**, hirsuticauda in the calcareous sands of Isla de Maiquillahue. Sediments from Matias were used, **because, among all the beaches studied, the size ranges occurring here were the most similar to those of Isla de Maiquillahue. Sea water was then carefully added, reaching a depth of 0.5 cm. Boxes were placed on a sea water table o held at 12 C. After 24 hours, metallic dividers were inserted into the boxes to isolate the sand-size categories and the included animals.** 

**Sand desiccation tolerance experiments were designed to investigate the intertidal distribution and seasonal downshore migration of the macroinfauna during the warmest months. Experiments were conducted in a chamber with circulating air and with animals previouly kept under laboratory conditions for at least two days. Relative humidity in this chamber varied between 32 and 39%, being controlled by two small fans and three pans of silica-gel. Pans were placed in the bottom of the chamber which was perforated with holes of approximately 2 cm diameter. Two electrical heaters maintained air temperature varying o o between 30-32 C and a sand temperature close to 30 C.**  Fifteen animals of both **O**. tuberculata and **E. hirsuticauda**, and 10 of E. braziliensis and E. analoga were placed in **plastic containers (12 cm diameter, 7 cm height) with approximately 4 cm of presieved sand. Sand was prepared with an initial water content of 20%, similar to that found in wet natural sands close to the low tide line (the weight** 

**of the animals was disregarded in this calculation). Three containers (two in E. braziliensis) were removed at 3-hour intervals for a period of 24 hours. Sand temperature, water content loss (determined by weight differences) and percent mortality were measured every three hours. Control animals, which were maintained in a similar way, but outside the experimental chamber (20% water content held constant), had minimal mortality.** 

**Finally, experiments were performed to study intra and**  interspecific coexistence in and among <u>O. tuberculata</u>, E. **braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda. After two days of laboratory conditioning, the animals were placed in plastic containers (12 cm diameter) and held on a sea water table**  with a temperature of 12 C. The walls of each container **had nylon mesh windows to allow a constant flow of water. Three abundances were used in the intraspecific experiment: 10, 20 and 30 animals. Abundances were approximately two, four and seven times higher than the highest abundances found in the field during the period May, 1978 to April, 1980. Twenty animals were used in the interspecific experiments as shown in Table 1. The containers used in the intraspecific experiments had 3 cm of presieved sand. Most interspecific tests also had 3 cm, but some contained 6 cm of sand to provide a wider use of the resource space for the animals. Mortality of animals was checked daily for 15 days. All intraspecific and most interspecific** 

**experiments were conducted under dark conditions; however, two series of interspecific comparisons (with 3 and 6 cm sand) were run under controlled lighting (12h/day).** 

**Results of the grain size preference, desiccation tolerance, and coexistence experiments were analyzed by ANOVA on percentage data after angular transformation. Prior to ANOVA, Bartlett's test (Sokal and Rohlf, 1969) was performed to test for homogeneity of variances. If analyses of variance indicated significant differences among means, all means were compared using the a posteriori Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) procedure (Ott, 1984).** 

#### **III. RESPLTS**

### **Physiography**

**Bah^a de Maiquillahue is located in the northern area of the cold temperate region of the Chilean coast, between o o 40 and 53 S (e.g. Dahl, I960; Knox, 1960). The region has also been called "the rainy zone of Chile" (Martin, 1923). Data from the Meteorological Station of Mehufn show that the highest mean monthly rainfall occurred between May and August, while the lowest values occurred in summer months (December-March) (Fig. 3a). Salinity of surf waters is affected by rain. During 1982-85, the mean surf salinity ranged from 25.6 o/oo to 32.4 o/oo. Typically higher values occurred during summer and early autumn (January-April) , followed by a sharp decline during late autumn and winter months (May-July) when the rainfall was highest (Fig. 3a). During these months, salinities as low as 22 o/oo were measured. Mean surf and air temperature followed a similar trend during 1978-85 (Fig. 3b). Mean surf values o ranged from a summer high of 14.2 C to a winter low of o o 11.2 C; mean summer and winter air temperature were 15.7 o and 9.2 C, respectively.** 

**Hydrographic . conditions along the Bahfa de Maiquillahue are characterized by semidiurnal tides with tidal ranges close to 1.5 m. Exposed fully to the Pacific Ocean, Mehufn Beach is subjected to waves of 0.3 to 1.3 m** 

**(E. Jaramillo, unpublished data)• Oblique waves approach the shoreline, producing littoral currents resulting in a longshore drift with a pronounced seasonal pattern. For the period 1978-85, the highest frequencies of the south flowing longshore drift were observed during summer (January-March) and spring months (October-December), while the north flowing longshore drift dominated during late autumn and winter (May-August) (Fig. 4a). The direction of the longshore drifts was largely controlled by wind direction, which, in turn, is seasonally variable (Oyarzun, 1977). Southwest winds are the most frequent during spring and summer months (September-March). During most of the autumn and winter (April-August), northwest winds are the most frequent. During the later period, northwest winds approach their highest frequencies, and they are the main source of winter storms that erode the sandy beaches of this area. During May, north winds are dominant, increasing from a mean of 10.3% in April to 28.4% in May (Fig. 4b). At the same time, north flowing longshore drift increased from 61% in April to 92% in May (cf. Fig. 4a and 4b). Frequency of north flowing longshore drift and north 2 wind were highly correlated (r:0.954), and the value of R (coefficient of determination) indicates that 91% of the variability in the longshore drift was accounted for by variability of north wind frequencies.** 

# **ushuin beach**

**Long-term Dynamic. Monthly data gathered from 1978 to 1985 from the upper, middle and lower levels of Hehuifn Beach, show that sand levels followed a seasonal pattern (Fig. 5). During summer and autumn (December-April), the beach was in an accretion period, gaining more sand versus the rest of the year. From winter through early spring (Hay to October) the beach experienced erosion. Then, the beach entered a recovery period lasting until the following March and April, when it was at maximum accretion (Fig. 5). This trend was slightly different in 1985 when the middle and lower beach continued to be eroded through the spring months. Annual differences between maximum accretion and erosion values were noted for the three levels of the beach (Table 2). During 1978-85, the middle and lower beach levels showed a similar difference; however, the greatest yearly differences occurred at the middle beach level (i.e. 1981: 169.0 cm and 1983: 166.7 cm).** 

**Seasonal dynamics of accretion and erosion of sand can be related to seasonal patterns of longshore drifts, especially for the middle and lower levels of the beach (Fig. 5). Considering the entire period from 1978-1985, correlation coefficients between the frequency of the north flowing longshore drift and sand levels were significant (p<0.05) for the middle (r: 0.328, n = 84) and lower beach**  levels (r:  $0.345$ ,  $n = 96$ ), but not for the upper beach (r: **2 0.151, n = 96). However, the values of R for those** 

**significant correlations were quite low (0.108 and 0.119, respectively), implying that a low percentage of the variability of sand levels was accounted for by variability in the frequency of north flowing longshore drift. In general, sand accretion periods coincided with the lowest frequencies of north flowing longshore drift, while erosion periods coincided with the highest frequencies of this current. In addition, the beginning of erosion periods were coincident with an increase of north flowing longshore drift between April and May (Fig. 5). These relationships are better seen in Fig. 6, which summarizes patterns of longshore drift and sand levels during 1978-1985.** 

Beach Morphology, Slope and Grain Size. Variations in **beach morphology during 1978-1980 are illustrated by topographic profiles (Fig. 7). In general, winter and spring profiles were steeper and concave skyward, while summer and autumn profiles were less steep and convex.** 

**The 1978-1979 and 1979-1980 periods differed in several aspects. The main erosion period during 1978 (May-August) was shorter and more intense than 1979 (June-October). During June-July, 1978, the beach was 1.5-1.7 times narrower than during similar months in 1979; in other words, more sand was eroded during 1978. The situation is clearly seen in Fig. 8, which shows that sand levels of the upper, middle and lower beach were lower during winter 1978, versus winter 1979.** 

**Prom October onward sand was typically added to the beach, but with a few exceptions; e.g. during the spring and summer of 1978-79 some areas of the profiles showed erosion, while others showed accretion (November to December, 1978 and February to March, 1979; Fig. 7). During months of accretion, the beach reached its maximum width, especially in the summer of 1979 (up to 68 m) (Fig. 7). Figure 8 also shows this situation; the sand level of the upper, middle and lower levels of the beach were higher during summer 1979, when the beach was widest (Fig. 7 and 8).** 

**Temporal variability in the beach face slope and mean grain size is shown in Fig. 9a. Steepest profiles occurred during winter 1978, when the coarsest grains and the most intense erosion occurred. On the other hand, the flatest profiles occurred during accretion periods when sand grains were finer. Beach face slope and mean grain size were 2 significantly and negatively correlated, with 30.1% (R : 0.301) of the variability in beach face slope being accounted for by the variability in mean grain size (Fig. 9b).** 

Texture and Physical Characteristics of the Sediments. **Textural characteristics, temperature, water content and penetrability of the sand at three selected levels of the beach are shown in Table 3. Mean grain size of the sediments, sorting, and the ranges of these two variables, increased towards the lower beach. Water content also** 

**increased seaward, while a general trend of increased temperature and penetrability was observed towards the upper beach. On the other hand, the ranges of the last three variables decreased seaward (Table 3).** 

**Temporal variability of mean grain size and sorting are shown in Fig. 10. The figure also illustrates seasonal dynamics of accretion and erosion, by showing changes in the height of the post (located in the upper beach) above the sand surface, and consequently variability of intertidal height at each station (Fig. 10a).** 

**Sediments of Mehu^n Beach were mostly fine sands (2-3 0; Folk, 1980). Within this category, the finest sands**  (>2.3  $\phi$ ) occurred mainly on the high and middle beach **during summer and autumn months of 1978-79 and 1979-80 (Fig. 10b). However, during 1979, these sizes were also found during winter months (June and July). Coarsest sands (<2.0 0) occurred during the intense erosion period of 1978 (July-August) (Fig. 10b). At this time, most of the intertidal zone was represented by sediments with sizes close to the lower range of medium sand (1-2**  $\phi$ **; Folk, 1980). Grain sizes as a function of sand levels are shown for the upper, middle and lower beach in Fig. 11. Both variables were significantly (p<0.05) and negatively 2 correlated. Values of R shown in Fig. 11, indicated that 25.6-42.3% of the variability in mean grain size was accounted for by variability in sand levels. The finest** 

**sands occurred during the accretion periods (lower height values), while the coarsest grains were detected during erosion periods (higher height values)•** 

**During most of this study, the sands of Mehu^n Beach**  were well sorted sediments (0.35-0.50  $\phi$ ; Folk, 1980). **Within this category, the lowest values (more sorting) were primarily found during summer and autumn when the finest sediments occurred. On the other hand, the highest values (less sorting) were found for the coarsest sediments (cf. Fig. 10b and 10c). These relationships are shown in Fig. 12 where monthly averages of mean grain size and sorting are presented for 1978-80; both variables were significantly (p<0.05) and negatively correlated. The high 2 R value (0.738), indicates that the variability of sorting values was highly accounted for by variability in mean grain size values.** 

**Seasonal patterns of temperature, water content and penetrability of the sediments are shown in Fig. 13. o Highest temperatures, 40-45 C, were detected at the highest stations and during summer months (December-February) 1978- 79 and 1979-80 (Fig. 13a). Lowest temperatures were measured during June, 1979 when all stations registered**  10.5 C. **10.5 C. As expected, wider temperature ranges across the intertidal occurred during spring and summer.** 

**Seasonal pattern of water content of the sediment was closely related to that of the temperature. Driest sediments (less than 6% of water content) were the hottest** 

**ones and vice versa (cf. Fig. 13a and 13b). Water content and temperature were significantly (p<0.05) and negatively 2 correlated; however, the value of R indicates that only 36.8% of the variability presented by the water content values was accounted for by variability in temperature (Fig. 14a). Similar to sediment temperature pattern, the widest differences in water content between the highest and lowest stations were detected during spring and summer; the mean difference was 16.6% (sd. 1.0%). On the other hand, the mean difference for the autumn and winter period was 10.6% (sd. 5.0%).** 

**Penetrability and water content values showed a significant (p<0.05) and negative correlation with 71.6% of the variability of penetrability accounted for by variability in water content (Fig. 14b). Softest sediments primarily occurred at higher beach levels during spring and summer; i.e. when sediments were the driest (cf. Fig. 13b and 13c). During all seasons, the hardest sediments occurred in the middle, and particularly, lower beach levels, where water content values were highest.** 

**Principal Component Analysis. Table 4 summarizes the results of the principal component analysis performed on the physical characteristics of 259 observations (stations) collected during May, 1978 to April, 1980 at Mehu^n Beach. Both unrotated and rotated component loadings are shown.** 

**The loadings and communalities for the first two components are presented in Table 4. In general, the**  **communality values calculated for each variable were high<sup>r</sup> indicating that a large amount of the variance in each variable was accounted for by two components.** 

**Component I explained 58.8% of the total variance or trace in the unrotated component loadings pattern, while component II explained 24.4%. The first component had high and similar loadings (over 0.70) for all variables, except sorting, which was the variable with the highest loading in the second component (Table 4). Rotation of the first two axes produced an almost even percentage of trace for each component (CI: 38.8%, CII: 34.8%). After rotation, only water content and penetrability had high loadings in the first component, while mean grain size and sorting loaded highest in the second component. Therefore, during May, 1978 to April, 1980, variability in texture was as important as the variability in sand desiccation related factors in describing total variability of beach characteristics. .** 

**The distribution of station-points in the plane defined by the first two components is shown in Fig. 15. The distribution is shown in separate 3-month plots for clarity. The plots show differences between the two sampling years (May, 1978 to April, 1979 and June, 1979 to April, 1980). Samples from the June-November period of 1978 are located in the two upper quadrats of each plot, while those of the same period in 1979 are spread through the upper and lower quadrats. On the other hand, samples** 

**of 1978 show more scatter along the vertical axis (Component II) in which mean grain size and sorting had the highest loadings (Table 4). As explained previously, during 1978, Mehu^n Beach experienced a more intense erosion period resulting in higher variability of mean grain size and sorting. Fig. 15 also shows increasing scatter in the positions of stations from winter to spring and then to the summer of both sampling years, and along the horizontal axis. Water content and penetrability were the variables with highest loadings on this axis (Component I); this resulted from higher variability in water content and penetrability during the winter to spring and summer months.** 

#### **The Macroinfauna**

**Population Abundance. Mean density of the total -2 macroinfauna ranged from 46 ind m in July, 1978 to 1847 -2 -2 ind m in July, 1979, with 411 ind m being the overall mean during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The overall mean density during May, 1978 to April, 1979 was one half that observed during June, 1979 to April, 1980 (Pig. 16). The highest total abundances occurred during the late autumn**   $-2$ <sup> $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ </sup> **and winter of 1979 (i.e. July, 1847 ind m ) and were the**  result of high abundances of  $Q_2$ . **tuberculata**, E. hirsuticauda and particularly E. analoga, a group of **species that peaked during this period (Fig. 16). The**  abundance peak of **Q**, tuberculata was suddenly reached, while that of E. hirsuticauda was preceded by a steady

**increase during early summer, 1979. The July-August peak of £\*. analoaa also represented a sudden increase, with abundances nearly 8 times higher than during June. After August, the population crashed to one of the lowest abundances observed during May, 1978 to April, 1980 (Fig. 16).** 

Life History. Analysis of the percentage frequencies of ovigerous females and juveniles of **O.** tuberculata, E. **braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda showed ovigerous females generally peaking during spring and early summer, and juveniles during summer months (Fig. 17). Mean abundances**  of juveniles of <u>O.</u> tuberculata and E. braziliensis did not **differ significantly (p>0.05, t-test analyses) between the**  two recruitment seasons, while those of E. hirsuticauda **showed higher abundances during 1978-1979. Ovigerous**  females of E. braziliensis had similar abundances at each reproductive season, while those of **Q**. tuberculata and E. **hirsuticauda were more abundant during the 1979-1980 reproductive period. It should be stressed that analyses involving ovigerous females may be misleading, since brood stages may have been lost during collecting and sorting. The situation probably explains why very low abundances of**  ovigerous females of  $Q_{\bullet}$  tuberculata were detected during **the reproductive season of 1978-1979, even when abundances of juveniles were similar between both recruitment seasons.** 

**Obviously, the pulses of recruitment were not solely responsible for the abundance peaks of the peracarid** 

**species shown earlier (Fig. 16). However, the increased**  abundance of E. hirsuticauda during February and March, **1980 (Fig. 16) seemed to have been, indeed, related to the abundance of juveniles observed during these months (Fig.**  17). For E<sub>s</sub> analoga, the recruitment of juveniles during **March and June-August, 1979 (Fig. 18), clearly produced the abundance peaks showed by the population during that year (Fig. 16). Figure 18 also shows that ovigerous females of J3\*. analoaa occurred during spring and summer months, while juveniles were detected almost every month sampled. However, the latter had their highest abundances during late autumn and winter, and they were significantly higher during the second year (p<005, t-test analyses). In general, juvenile abundances of peracarid species were highest at the same stations where adults peaked (Fig. 19).**  For E. analoga, the low abundances of adults during the **recruitment months override any analysis of this type.** 

**Fig. 20 shows fecundity data for the peracarid species, based upon the maximum number of brood stages (eggs, embryos or juveniles) for size classes constructed with ovigerous females collected during May, 1978 to April, 1980. A typical linear relationship between mean body length was found for each species. Ovigerous females of E. <u>braziliensis</u>** and **E.** hirsuticauda had similar sizes, but **brood size for any particular female size was significantly**  larger (ANCOVA) in E. hirsuticauda versus E. braziliensis. Dimensions of brood stages of <u>O. tuberculata</u>, E.

braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda are given in Table 5. Eggs, embryos, and juveniles of **Q**. tuberculata were larger **than those of the isopods. For the isopods, the brood**  stages of E. braziliensis were larger than those of E. **hirsuticauda.** 

Size-frequency distribution of **Q**<sub>2</sub> tuberculata, E. **braziliensis, E. hirsuticauda and E. analoga are summarized in Fig. 21. Due to the low abundances during some months, data were pooled to yield two-month composite histograms.**  The beginning of the reproductive period for 0. tuberculata **(November-December), resulted in a bimodal population dominated by juveniles, but with some adults present that reproduced throughout the summer and early autumn (Fig. 21). By late summer and autumn (February-April), size classes were almost evenly represented and growth of the smallest animals could be followed through the next reproductive period in 1979. No distinct bimodality was observed for E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda.** In **general, addition of the new recruits during summer either produced a flattening in the size distribution histogram of the pre-recruitment period (e.g. braziliensis during January-February 1979, 1980), or a change in the modal size**  class (e.g. E. hirsuticauda in January-February 1979). Contrary to the pattern observed for  $Q_2$  tuberculata, growth of new recruits of E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda was **less obvious and the population structure of both isopods remained similar, especially during late autumn and winter,** 

**1979 (June-September, Fig. 21). Recruitment periods for E. analoga produced a clear dominance of juveniles, whose growth could be followed after the autumn 1979 recruitment. Several recruitment pulses were observed during May, 1978 to April, 1979, obscuring obvious growth of juveniles (Fig. 21).** 

**Analysis of seasonal variability in the mean body size**  of the peracarids shows that **O.** tuberculata was the largest **species of this group at Mehuin Beach (Table 6). Males were significantly larger than females (p<0.05, t-test**  analyses) in O. tuberculata; females of E. hirsuticauda **were larger than males (with exception of September, 1980), and no significant differences of body size were found**  between males and females of E. braziliensis. Males of E. **braziliensis were significantly larger than those of E. hirsuticauda. while females of both species did not differ in body sizes, with the exception of September, 1981,**  sample in which females of E. braziliensis were larger. In addition, juveniles of E. braziliensis were significantly larger than those of E. hirsuticauda during April, 1981. **Body size ratios of males of Ex. braziliensis to males of E. hirsuticauda varied between 1.05-1.31 (x: 1.18, s.d. 0.09), while those of females were between Q.97-1.08 (x: 1.02, s.d. 0.04), and juveniles between 0.96-1.10 (x: 1.03, s.d. 0.10) (Table 6). No important changes were. observed in seasonal mean sizes of adult males or females**  (Table 6). Juveniles of <u>O. tuberculata</u> were collected

**during all months sampled. The increase in their percentage frequency from September to December, 1980 (as recruitment increased), resulted in a 28.3% decrease in juvenile mean length. From the February recruitment to the following spring (September, 1981) as recruitment decreased, mean length increased by 62.3%. Growth of new recruits of Qj. tuberculata. brazillensis and hirsuticauda is apparent with reference to their mean lengths during February and April, 1981 (Table 6). Orchestoidea tuberculata exhibited the fastest growth: from February to April the mean body size of juveniles increased by 42.9%. This growth rate was approximately 2.5**  and 15 times higher than those of E. braziliensis (17.3%) and E. hirsuticauda (2.8%), respectively. During this **seasonal study (September, 1980 to September, 1981), the**  sex ratios of females to males in O. tuberculata ranged **from 0.5 to 2.4, with females outnumbering males in three of five samplings (overall mean ratio: 1.5). In E. braziliensis the ratios ranged from 0.8 to 4.2; females were more abundant than males in four of five samplings**  (overall mean ratio: 2.0). Females of E. hirsuticauda **outnumbered males every month; sex ratios ranged from 1.3 to 3.6, with an overall mean of 2.0.** 

**intertidal Distribution. The intertidal zonation of the macroinfauna at Mehuifn Beach based on the overall mean abundances during May, 1978 to April, 1980 is shown in Fig.** 

**22. All peracarid species were collected throughout most of the tidal range (i.e. stations 1 to 6). However, they exhibited their highest abundances at different levels. Orchestoidea tuberculata and E. braziliensis were most** abundant at station 1, the highest level sampled; E. hirsuticauda lower down at station 2, and E. monodi further **down still at station 3. Emerita analoqa primarily occupied the lower beach levels, with maximum abundances at station 4.** 

**Although this general zonation pattern persisted through time, there were pronounced seasonal variations (Fig. 23). For example, during the late spring and summer of 1978-1979 (December-April), the zone of maximum**  abundance for <u>O.</u> tuberculata and E. braziliensis moved **down, and in some months no animals were collected at station 1. During autumn, 1979, both species moved up and stayed there until the next December, when again they occurred with higher abundances at lower stations than those previously occupied during winter and early spring. Exclrolana hirsuticauda showed a similar pattern to 0. tuberculata and braziliensis. that is during warmer months, its highest abundances occurred at lower stations than during winter and early spring. During most of the**  year, E. analoga had its highest abundances at the lowest **levels of its distribution. However, in June and July, 1979, it was more abundant at the middle levels of its distribution.** 

**Some characteristics of Mehufn Beach where each species was collected are given in Table 7. Orchestoidea tuberculata and braziliensis mainly occupied the finest, warmest, driest and softest sands of the upper and slightly**  middle beach levels of Mehuin Beach. On the other hand, E. hirsuticauda, E. monodi and E. analoga were mainly **collected from lower levels that had coarser, wetter and harder sands. The latter beach levels had narrower ranges of temperature, water content and penetrability than those**  where O. tuberculata and E. braziliensis dominated. Cross **correlation coefficients between monthly mean abundances of macroinfauna, physical variables and monthly mean abundances of coexisting species are given in Table 8. Despite some significant correlation coefficients their 2 respective coefficients of determination (R ) were quite low, ranging between 0.090 and 0.465. Thus, 9-47% of the variability in the dependent variable was accounted for by the variability in the independent variable. Monthly mean**  abundances of **Q**. tuberculata and E. braziliensis were **significantly correlated with water content (inverse relationship) and penetrability, while the mean abundances**  of E. hirsuticauda were significantly correlated with mean **grain size. No significant correlations were found between**  monthly mean abundances of E. monodi and E. analoga and the **physical variables, nor with the monthly mean abundances of coexisting species (Table 8). Variability in monthly mean**  abundances of **O.** tuberculata and **E.** braziliensis were

V)

**positively correlated to each other, and inversely**  correlated to E. hirsuticauda. Monthly mean abundances of **E. hirsuticauda were inversely correlated with those of E. analoaa.** 

**Variability in monthly mean abundances of macroinfauna was analyzed using multiple regression analysis performed 2 in two approaches (Table 9). Values of R in approach A indicated that 27, 18 and 13% of the variability in monthly**  mean abundances of <u>O. tuberculata</u>, E. braziliensis and E. **hirsuticauda. respectively, were significantly accounted for by variability in some of the physical variables**  examined. Monthly mean abundances of **O**, tuberculata varied **inversely with temperature and water content of sediments,**  while those of E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda were **significantly accounted for by penetrability and mean grain size, respectively.** 

**In approach B (Table 9), temperature and water content of sediments were the only variables significantly accounting for the variability in monthly mean abundances 2**  of <u>O. tuberculata</u>. The value of R obtained for E. **braziliensis in the first approach (0.179 or 18%) increased to 0.465 (or 47%) in the second one, and due to variability in monthly mean abundances of its coexisting species, O. tuberculata. The later results can be explained by the fact that the determination coefficient between .monthly**  mean abundances of E. braziliensis and O. tuberculata **(0.465) was higher than that between monthly mean** 

**abundances of** *ELM.* **braziliensis and penetrability of sediments (0.179). Therefore, the variance possible to be accounted for by variability in penetrability is already explained by the higher percentage being accounted for by**  temporal variability of Q. tuberculata. Variability in **monthly mean abundances of £•. hirsuticauda was accounted for by 20% (a 7% increase over the first approach) through mean grain size and the inverse variability of monthly mean**  abundances of E<sub>s</sub> analoga. No variables were significant at **the 0.05 probability level (neither in approach A or B) precluding their use in the multiple regression models for £•. ropnodj. and** *ELM***. analoaa.** 

**Iiopq-tecro pppulatiop Fluctuations. Long-term population fluctuations and downshore migration of the peracarid species at selected levels of Mehuin Beach are shown in Figure 24. The levels are those where the species are most abundant. In general, abundance peaks during**  1978-1985 were similar in magnitude for **0.** tuberculata and **£\*. hirsuticauda. while a decline appeared for E. braziliensis.** Orchestoidea tuberculata and  $E_{\bullet}$ **braziliensis—as already shown—had their highest**  abundances on the upper beach, while E. hirsuticauda **occurred with high abundances, either at the middle or lower beach (also see Fig. 22).** 

During May, 1978 to April, 1980, O. tuberculata had **-2 its highest abundances (up to 450-500 ind m ) during autumn months (May-June). From September, 1980 to** 

**November, 1985 high abundances were reached, either during -2 spring (i.e. 300 ind m in September, 1980 or 613 in -2 November, 1983) or autumn (430 ind m in May, 1984) (Fig. 24). During late spring, summer and early autumn**  (December-April), O<sub>2</sub> tuberculata moved down to the middle **beach, where its maximum abundances ranged between 108 ind**   $-2$   $-2$   $-2$ **m (April, 1980) and 194 ind m (March, 1984).** 

**Excirolana braziliensis generally peaked in the spring (September-November) of the respective years, with values -2 of up to 400-500 ind m on the upper beach (October, 1979 and November, 1978, respectively). From late spring to early autumn, this species peaked on the middle beach, -2 reaching abundances of 170-270 ind m (February and January, 1979, respectively) (Fig. 24). With the exception of 1983, hirsuticauda reached its highest abundances during summer and early autumn months (January-May), particularly on the lower beach (Fig. 24). The highest abundance of this species occurred during April, 1979 (558 -2 -2 ind m , lower beach), November, 1983 (468 ind m , middle -2 beach) and January, 1985 (403 ind m , lower beach).** 

Long-term fluctuations of E<sub>s</sub> analoga on the lower **beach were very erratic (Fig. 25). During May, 1978 to -2 April, 1980, the highest abundance (251 ind m ) occurred in June, 1979. From September, 1980 to November, 1985 high**   $\overline{-2}$ **abundances (over 80 ind m ) occurred almost every month,** 

**with the exception of late spring and summer (December-**March), when **E. analoga** had the lowest abundances, or was **not collected at this level of the beach (Fig. 25).** 

**Sand Pepth Distribution. Seasonal variability of physical characteristics and macroinfaunal abundances versus sand depth at Mehujfn Beach, are shown in Figures 26-** 28. Coarsest sand grains (1.60-1.99  $\beta$ , medium sands; Folk, **1980) occurred during winter, 1980, primarily in deep sediment layers (below 7.5 cm) of the middle and lower intertidal zone (Fig. 26). At this time, the finest sands were restricted to the highest beach levels. During the following spring and summer, width of the intertidal zone and coverage of fine sand increased significantly. During**  autumn, 1981, the 2.30-2.60  $\phi$  sands extended further down, **but only in the shallowest sediment layer (0-2.5 cm) (Fig. 26). With the exception of winter, 1980, most sands analyzed were well sorted (0.35-0.50 fit Folk, 1980). Some intertidal levels showed a depth stratification in mean grain size and sorting values (e.g. September, 1980), with finer and better sorted sands occurring in the shallowest sediment layers (Fig. 26).** 

**Figure 27 shows the increased warming and drying of sediments from winter to summer. The greatest differences in vertical and intertidal variability of temperature occurred during spring and summer. For example, during o December and February, differences of 12-20 C were detected between the shallowest and deepest sediment layers at** 

**stations 1 and 2, while during September and April<sup>r</sup> o differences of 3-9 C occurred. In addition, differences of o 21-25 C were found between the highest and lowest stations (i.e. 1 and 12) during spring and summer, while during o winter and autumn these differences decreased to 5-6 C. Stratification of water content was observed at the high and middle beach levels. Vertical differences at these levels were similar among seasons (3-9%); however, the lowest water content values occurred during spring and summer. With the exception of September, the range of variability across the intertidal was similar among seasons, with differences of 12-15% between the highest and lowest stations.** 

**Several points emerge from the analysis of the sand depth distribution of the macroinfauna (Fig. 28). Foremost, all the species were collected from the shallowest to the deepest sand layers sampled, but their maximum abundances occurred at different depths, which generally varied seasonally. Among the isopods, E. brazlliensis usually inhabited deeper layers than E. hirsuticauda. which in turn, generally had its highest mean abundances at the shallowest depths (0-5 cm). With the exception of April, 1981, the highest mean abundances of E. braziliensis were detected, below 5 cm. Highest mean**  abundances of <u>O.</u> tuberculata occurred at 5-10 cm depth in **December, 1980 and February, 1981, while during other months, its highest mean abundances occurred in shallower** 

**sediments (0-5 cm). Finally, highest mean abundances of E. analoaa were detected at the deepest sand layers. During December, 1980 and February, 1981, O. tuberculata, E. <u>braziliensis</u>** and **E.** hirsuticauda moved to lower stations **than during September, 1980. At the same time, O. tuberculata and braziliensis moved to deeper sediments. During these months the mean abundances of both species in the shallowest layers (0-5 cm) of the high and slightly middle beach levels were the lowest as compared with that observed at the same depths during September, 1980 and April and September, 1981. During February, no animals were collected at station 1, nor from some depths of**  station 2. During April, 1981, O. tuberculata, E. **braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda began to move upward until September, 1981, when they occupied similar levels (stations) to those occupied during September, 1980. At**  the same time **Q.** tuberculata and **E.** braziliensis again **occupied shallower sediments.** 

**Figure 28 also shows that even when vertical and horizontal macroinfaunal movement occurred seasonally at Mehujfn Beach, the basic zonation pattern was generally**  maintained; **O.** tuberculata and **E.** braziliensis occurred on the upper intertidal, E. hirsuticauda on the middle, and E. **analoaa on the lower beach levels.** 

**Cross correlation coefficients between the seasonal**  mean abundances of <u>O.</u> tuberculata. E. braziliensis and E. **hirsuticauda. physical variables, and seasonal mean** 

**abundances of coexisting species ace given in Table 10. The respective coefficients of determination of those significant correlations ranged between 0.113 and 0.263 showing that a low percentage of variability in the dependent variable was accounted for by variability in the independent variable. Seasonal variability in sand depth distribution of the peracarid species was analyzed through multiple regression analyses (Table 11). No variable was significant at the 0.05 probability level, in order to be included in the multiple regression model for Q. tuberculata. when only physical characteristics were employed as independent variables (approach A), 19% of the**  variability in mean seasonal abundances of E. braziliensis **was accounted for by variability in mean grain size and sediment temperature (inverse relationship). Similar percentage of variability in mean abundances of E. hirsuticauda was explained by the inverse variability of sediment water content. The addition of the mean abundances of coexisting species as independent variables (approach B) did not produce any change in the results**  obtained for E. **braziliensis** by the first approach (A, **Table 11). On the other hand, approach B produced**  different results for E<sub>x</sub>. hirsuticauda versus approach A; **2 the value of R increased to 26.3% and no physical characteristics were significant in accounting for the variability in mean abundances of this species. Instead,**  the variability in mean abundances of E. hirsuticauda was

**only accounted for by variability in mean abundances of E. braziliensis. Emerita analpqa was not included in any regression analysis due to its low frequency in samples and samplings.** 

**Local Distribution.** Table 12 shows the physical **characteristics of beaches sampled along Bahfa de Maiquillahue and outlet areas of r£O Queule and r£O Lingue during the summer of 1983. The four sites at Mehu^n (exposed) and those located at the outlet areas of Rio Queule and** *r***{***o* **Lingue (protected) are fine sand habitats**  (2-3  $\phi$ , Folk, 1980), with very well or well sorted sediments (under 0.35  $\phi$  and 0.35-0.50  $\phi$ , respectively; **Folk, 1980). The exposed beach at Matfas has fine and**  medium sands (1-3 ø; Folk, 1980), while the semiexposed **site at Isla de Maiquillahue is represented by coarse sands**  (0-1  $\phi$ ; Folk, 1980). The sorting values calculated for the **sands of Matjfas and Isla de Maiquillahue are close to the**  ranges of moderately well sorted sediments (0.50-0.71 ø; **Folk, 1980), while all the others fit in the ranges of very well sorted or well sorted sediments. No significant correlation (p>0.05) was found between beach face slope and mean grain size (r=-0.14), e.g. sites with similar values in slope (i.e., sites 1 and 6) had very different mean grain size values, and vice versa (Table 12).** 

**Relationships between mean abundances of the species collected at those eight sites (Table 13) and mean grain** 

**size and sorting values are shown in Fig. 29. Among the**  isopods, E. braziliensis and E. monodi were only collected at stations with fine sands, while E. hirsuticauda **inhabited medium and fine sands. Orchestoldea tuberculata was collected from fine and coarse sand, while E. analoga inhabited sediments covering the range from fine to coarse**  sand (Fig. 29). Stations where E. braziliensis and E. **monodi were collected had the narrowest range in sorting (from 0.25 to approximately 0.50 0), while those in which the other species were collected covered a wider range (Fig. 29). No significant correlations (p>0.05) between mean abundances and mean grain size and sorting were found**  for E. braziliensis, E. monodi and E. analoga. Mean abundances of  $Q_n$  tuberculata and E. hirsuticauda were **significantly (p<0.05) and negatively correlated with mean grain size values and significantly and positively 2 correlated with sorting. However, values of R were quite low (0.18-0.34).** 

**Excirolana hirsuticauda and E. analoga reached the highest abundances along the beaches sampled at Bahia de Maiquillahue (Table 13). No isopods were collected from the coarse sands of Isla de Maiquillahue, while fine sands of the outlet areas of** *r***{***o* **Queule and r£O Lingue lacked E. analoaa. a species present in the low beach levels of all the other beaches.** 

**Intertidal distribution of the macroinfauna, along Bahia de Maiquillahue and the outlet areas of Rfo Queule** 

**and r£o Lingue can be described in terms of three zonation patterns (Fig. 30). The first pattern represents the distribution found at all the sites of Mehufn and Matias:**  E. braziliensis and O. tuberculata on the high beach levels, E<sub>2</sub> hirsuticauda and E<sub>2</sub> monodi on the middle beach levels and E. analoga on the low levels of each beach. The **second pattern, is that found at the outlet areas of Rjfo Queule and R:fo Lingue, and is characterized by the absence**  of E. analoga and the lower distribution of E. hirsuticauda and E. monodi. The third pattern characterizes the intertidal distribution of macroinfauna at Isla de **Maiquillahue. At this beach, isopods are absent, and the middle beach levels lack any macroinfauna (Fig. 30).** 

#### **Laboratory Experiments**

**Salinity Tolerances. No species experienced mortality at the control salinity (28 o/oo) after 1 week (Fig. 31). With the exception of the mortality shown by E. hlrsuticauda at 5 o/oo, survival of the peracarids was near or higher than 90% at 5-15 o/oo. Emerita analoqa exhibited the highest mortality at these salinities; i.e. all the animals died at the end of the first day in 5 o/oo and 10 o/oo (Fig. 31).** 

**Grain-size Preferences.** In the preference experiment with four prepared sands (Table 14), O. tuberculata did not **show significant preferences in two experiments, while in two others it preferred medium and coarse sand. No** 

preferences were shown for E. braziliensis in one run, **while in the other, it showed increased preference as grain size increased. Excirolana hirsuticauda had strong**  preference for coarse sand, while E<sub>2</sub> analoga showed no **preference (Table 14).** 

**Experiments with two sizes of prepared sand (Table 15)**  showed no preferences for **O.** tuberculata and E. analoga, **while E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda preferred medium over fine sands. No significant preferences were found when sands from the upper and middle beach were offered to Q. tuberculata, E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda (Table 16), or when sands from Isla de Haiguillahue and Matias were offered to the just mentioned two species of isopods (Table 17).** 

**Sand Desiccation Tolerances. Species tolerances to sand desiccation are shown in Fig. 32. Water content in the sand dropped from 20% to 2.3% after 24 hours. Orchestoidea tuberculata, E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda showed significantly lower mortality than E. analoaa (Table 18), whose mortality rate increased drastically after 3 hours, when water content fell to**  16.5%. The mortality of E. analoga was 100% after 15 hours **in sands of 7.2% water content (Fig. 32).** 

Mortality rates of <u>O.</u> tuberculata, E. braziliensis and **hirsuticauda did not differ significantly from each other during the first 15 hours of the experiment. After**  18 hours, the mortality rate of **O**, tuberculata was

significantly higher than that of both E. braziliensis and **E. hirsuticauda (Table 18).** Mortality of  $Q$ . tuberculata **was 100% after 21 hours with a water content in the sand of 3.8% (Fig. 32). At the end of the experiment mortality of £>. braziliensis was complete, while 28.9% of the specimens**  of E. hirsuticauda were still alive (Table 18).

**Species Coexistence Experiments. No significant differences were found in the mortality rate of O.**  tuberculata, E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda after 15 **days in the intraspecific coexistence experiments (Table 19). As an overall mean, the mortality rate of o. tuberculata (35.4%) was approximately 7 and 5 times higher**  than those of E. braziliensis (5.3%) and E. hirsuticauda **(6.5%) species which had similar mortality rates.** 

**No consistent differences emerged from the interspecific coexistence experiments run with different depths of sand or different light conditions (Table 20 and 21). In half of the runs, the mortality rate of E. braziliensis** and **E.** hirsuticauda was significantly higher when held with <u>O. tuberculata</u>, versus controls where each **species was held alone (Table 20 and 21). In these runs,**  the overall mean mortality rate of E. braziliensis was **almost 6 times higher than in the controls, while for E. hirsuticauda its overall mean mortality rate was approximately 8 times higher than' the single species controls. There were no significant differences in**
mortality rates of coexisting E. braziliensis and E. **hlrsutlcauda over a period of 15 days versus control treatments (Table 20 and 21). Similar results were obtained for Q\*. tuberculata when maintained with either E. braziliensis or E. hirsuticauda.** 

#### IV. **DISCUSSION**

## **Melwjfo Beach**

**Recent studies in sand beach dynamics have resulted in the classification of these coastal habitats as distinct beach types. Dissipative and reflective beaches represent the extreme forms, between which several intermediate types occur (Short, 1979, Wright and Short, 1983, 1984, Wright et al., 1985). Hehu/n Beach, fits the dissipative category quite well. Dissipative beaches have a wide multibarred surf zone; waves break 100-200 m seaward of the face of the beach, and consequently, dissipate most of their energy before reaching the beach. Other characteristics of these beaches are: rarity of significant longshore irregularities (e.g. beach cusps), flatter profiles that prevail in the presence of high steep waves, and usually fine grain sands. In contrast, reflective beaches (e.g. Matfas and Isla de Maiquillahue, Fig. 1) are.characterized by an almost virtual absence of surf zone. On reflective beaches, breakers surge and collapse close to the base of the beach face; consequently, much of the incident-wave energy is reflected from the beach face. They also have steeper profiles, coarser grains, and occur primarily as pocket beaches bordered at either end by rocky headlands, and take the form of an embayment (Short, 1979, Wright et I al., 1979, 1985). Thus, this categorization is based upon** 

**the relative contributions of nearshore processes (i.e. wave energyy longshore drifts), sediment size, and sediment abundance (Short and Hesp, 1982, Wright and Short, 1984).** 

**The long-term study of the direction and frequency of the longshore drifts at Hehufn Beach, permitted me to analyze the relationship between sand dynamics, and the seasonality of these currents produced by the oblique wave approach to the shoreline (Putnam et al. 1945, Komar, 1976, 1983, Longuet-Higgins, 1970). However, these results should be considered preliminary as more work is needed.** 

**When the entire 1978-1985 period was considered, it was found that the frequency of the north flowing longshore drift, was significatively correlated with sand level variability at the middle and lower beach, but coefficients of determinations were very low. This suggests that other factors (e.g. wave height, wave steepness, wave period, level of water table) may have been more important than longshore drift in producing the observed patterns. The same argument may be applied to the lack of correlation between longshore drift and sand level variability at the upper beach, where other physical processes (e.g. wind blowing) may be more important than nearshore water processes. Similar arguments were used by Pino (1982) to explain temporal variability of sand levels at the north and south ends of an exposed beach close to Hehufn Beach. He found that north flowing longshore drift was correlated** 

**with variability in sand levels, but only at the south end, i.e. the end primarily affected by drift. Thus, the apparent importance of direction of wave approach to the shoreline dynamics of Mehujfn Beach and adjacent beaches is not readily explained. Nevertheless, north flowing longshore drifts have usually been associated with storms and higher waves, while south flowing longshore drifts usually accompany moderate winds and lower waves (personal observation).** 

**Seasonal patterns of sand dynamics at Mehujfn Beach are similar to those commonly described for sandy beaches in temperate latitudes, where erosion occurs during winter storms, and sediment fill after summer swell (e.g. Davies, 1973, Fox and Davis, 1978, King, 1959, Komar, 1976). But, caution is suggested when the terminology winter and summer profiles is used in other geographic areas when the seasonal connotations may not be correct (Komar, 1976). In some areas, the so-called winter profiles are independent of season. For example, Jaramillo et al. (in press) reported the total erosion of sand at Rye Beach, New Hampshire, during the second quarter of 1977. Hayes and Boothroyd (1969) and Owens (1977) noted that cycles of erosion and accretion occur during any season in Massachusetts, and the east-facing barriers of Magdalen Islands (Gulf of St. Lawrence), respectively. These examples seem to be related to changes of wave energy, which may occur at any time. Consequently, changes in sand** 

**levels may also occur at any time. By contrast, in other areas, such as the Pacific coast of the Americas, variability of wave energy follows a more predictable pattern. Consequently, beach dynamic is also more predictable (i.e. sand erosion during winter and sand accretion during summer) (King, 1959, Komar, 1976).** 

**Several terms have been used to eliminate the seasonality implied in the terms winter and summer profiles, e.g. "storm" and "normal profile" (Johnson, 1949), "storm" and "post-storm profile" (Hayes and Boothroyd, 1969) and "storm" and "swell profiles" (Komar, 1976). The terms erosion and accretion profiles are used here, since the entire erosion and accretion periods covered more than one season, although the minimum and maximum sand levels usually occurred during winter and summer, respectively.** 

**Periodic analyses of beach profiles at Mehufn Beach showed that during erosion periods, sand was moved off the beach, forming a rather concave profile, while during accretion periods, sand was moved onshore forming a convex profile. Considering the entire period between 1978-1985, differences between erosion and accretion levels were •V greatest at the middle and lower beach (nearly 142 cm as an overall mean). Reduced sand level variability at the upper** 

**beach was probably due to the position of the post used to study sand variability; i.e. the wave energy dissipates farther up the surf zone.** 

**The changes in sand levels conveyed a temporal variability in the size of the sediments; coarser grains usually occurred when the heights of the post and reference rocks were highest (more erosion), and vice versa (more accretion) (Fig. 11). The mean grain size data for the period Mayr 1978 to April, 1980 showed that the magnitude of variability was quite different from year to year. Coarsest grains occurred during winter, 1978, particularly at the lower intertidal. The pattern was different from that observed during winter, 1979 (June to August) when particles were finer, and mean grain sizes changed little during the entire year. Thus, the intensity of erosion has a critical magnitude to produce significant changes in the size of the sand particles, as during 1978, particularly at the middle and lower beach. Differences in intensity of erosion between winters of 1978 and 1979 may have been related to the effect of waves, tides, or a combination of these factors, plus longshore drift, since no apparent differences in the frequency of the north flowing longshore drift was observed between the two erosion seasons. Thus, even if the seasonality of the erosion-accretion cycle at**  Mehuin Beach was quite predictable from year to year, the **magnitude of the variability was not. Consequently, the** 

**values of textural characteristics of the sediments (e.g. mean grain size, sorting) and beach face slope may be quite unpredictable.** 

**Beach sands have been considered to be one of the best sorted sediments (e.g. Folk, 1980, Inman, 1949). Sorting and grain size are usually correlated (e.g. Bascom, 1951, Folk and Ward, 1957, Griffiths, 1951, Inman, 1949, King, 1959), as they were at Mehuin Beach. The relationship is useful in the interpretation of dynamic beach processes (Folk, 1980, Krumbein, 1944). Most homogeneous (best sorted) particles at Mehufn Beach occurred during accretion periods, when finest sands were observed. On the other hand, most heterogeneous grains (or less sorted) occurred at the lower intertidal during winter and early spring, 1978. These were the coarsest sediments ever observed. The poorer sorting during this period probably arose from a mixture of two grain sizes in the samples, a result of intense erosion on the beach during winter and early spring, 1978.** 

**In addition to waves and longshore currents, water content of sediments has also been shown to influence sand dynamics (Chappell et al. 1979, Duncan, 1964, Grant, 1948, Harrison, 1969, Sallenger and Richmond, 1984, Waddell, 1976). A water table near the surface accelerates erosion, due to minimal downward movement of water and increased erosive backwash. On the other hand, a deeper water table** 

**(e.g. in drier sediments) facilitates deposition since downward movement of water increases and sor backwash velocities decrease (Duncan, 1964, Grant, 1948). Studies of Duncan (1964), Harrison (1969) and Waddell (1976) showed sequential movements of sand according to tidal variability of water table level. It is also possible that water level fluctuations of wider resolution (e.g. seasonal) affect sediment dynamics of exposed sandy beaches? e.g. an increased water content of the substrata should enhance backwash velocity, and consequently erosion. More sand was in fact moved off the intertidal zone at Mehuin Beach during months having wetter sands and vice versa; i.e. water content of the sediment and mean erosion were significantly correlated. Coefficient of determination indicates that variability in water content accounted for by 61.9% of variability in mean erosion, a higher percentage than that accounted for by variability in frequency of north flowing longshore drift during Hay, 1978 2 to April, 1980 (R : 0.156). The analyses show that no adequate understanding of sand dynamics can be achieved without combining a study of sand level variability with data concerning the temporal variability in water content, and the location of the water table.** 

### **Temporal Fluctuations of the Macroinfauna**

**Species composition, abundance and zonation patterns of the sandy beach macrolnfauna have been discussed in the literature in relation to: wave exposure and grain size (e.g. Bowman and Dolan, 1985/ Colman and Segrove, 1955, Croker, 1977, Dexter, 1979, Pincham, 1974, Jones, 1970a, McLachlan et al. 1981, Sameoto, 1969c, Seed and Lowry, 1973), beach face slope (McLachlan et al. 1981, Perry, 1980), sediment temperature (Bertran, 1985, Jones, 1970a, Vohra, 1971), water content of the sediments (Bally, 1983, Bertrafi, 1985, Hager and Croker, 1979, Hayes, 1977, McLachlan, 1980, Salvat, 1964, Sameoto, 1969a, Wendt and McLachlan, 1985), and beach profile changes due to storms (Ansell et al. 1972a, Jaramillo et al. in press, McLusky et al. 1975). Nevertheless, quantitative correlations among those factors and macroinfaunal variability have rarely been performed, particularly on a single beach.** 

**Contrary to what the field data from Mehu^n Beach showed; coarser sands associated with lower macroinfaunal abundances, none of the species showed significant avoidance of the coarsest grains in the laboratory experiments. This indicates that differences in sand coarseness between the winters of 1978 and 1979 were not important for the observed differences in macroinfaunal abundances during these times. Other physical characteristics of the beach (i.e. temperature, water content and penetrability of the substrata) were not** 

**implicated either, since their variability was similar during both winters. Instead, the low macroinfaunal abundances of the winter, 1978 were probably caused by a significant loss of habitat, simply followed by the appearance of coarser particles than those present before erosion. Field data from different beaches of Bah£a de Maiquillahue during summer, 1983 support this explanation.**  Orchestoidea tuberculata, E. braziliensis, E. hirsuticauda and E. analoga were collected from beaches with coarser **sediments than those of Hehufii Beach. Moreover, some of these species had higher abundances at these beaches, e.g. Q. tuberculata at Isla de Maiquillahue, and E. hirsuticauda at Matfas (Table 13). Therefore, the peracarids of Mehufn Beach may occur abundantly at beach levels having coarse sands similar to those observed during the erosion period**  of 1978 (1.60-2.00  $\phi$ ) or even coarser, e.g. E. hirsuticauda in sediments of Matias  $(1.76-1.86 \phi)$ , and  $Q_2$  tuberculata in **the upper beach levels of Isla de Maiquillahue (0.63-0.81**  *FS)***. The key factor seems to be the distance of the particular beach levels from the wave breaking zone.** 

**Invertebrates with planktonic larvae such as E. analoaa. are subjected to spatial and temporal variability of physical and chemical factors, both in the substrata and in the water column. Significant variability of atmospheric and nearshore processes may'occur from year to year affecting larval dispersal, which in turn affects the** 

**intensity of settlement and subsequent population structure. Consequentlyr species without planktonic development, such as the peracarids found at Hehufn Beach, should exhibit more stable population structures than E. analoaa. Life history characteristics of macroinfaunal species observed during May, 1978 to April, 1980 support this assertion.** 

The reproductive season of **O**<sub>2</sub> tuberculata, E<sub>2</sub> **braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda occurred during warmer months, which is similar to reports from other temperate areas (e.g. Bowers, 1964, Fish, 1970, Jones, 1970b, Sameoto, 1969b). The seasonality of the life cycle of these species was illustrated by the abrupt disappearance of ovigerous females when recruits peaked, a clear annual cycle. Although the beach characteristics and species abundances during the pre-reproductive winter seasons of 1978 and 1979 were quite different, the general characteristics in the life cycle of the peracarids remained similar during these time periods. No apparent differences in size structure were observed, and the percent occurrence and mean abundances of juveniles and ovigerous females were generally similar. Consequently, even though the abundances of the peracarids of Mehuifn Beach were severely depressed during the winter of 1978, the reproductive capability of these species was not significantly affected. On the other hand, erosion events during the winter of 1978 and 1979 may have indeed affected** 

the population structure of **E.** analoga. Juveniles of this **species were more abundant during late autumn-winter of each year, although mean abundances were nearly 24 times**   $\overline{-2}$ **higher during the winter, 1979' (205 ind m ), versus**   $-2$ **similar period in 1978 (9 ind m ). The dispersal pattern**  of E<sub>2</sub>. analoga is typically aggregated, and usually **attributed to physical characteristics such as wave energy, tidal levels, grain size, beach face slope, and the presence of beach cusps (Barnes and Wenner, 1968, Bowman and Doian, 1985, Cubit, 1968, Dillery, 1970, Perry, 1980). All of these characteristics would be significantly different during an intensive erosion period, and may have impacted patterns of juvenile distribution and abundance. In fact, hydrographic characteristics such as waves, winds, and littoral currents may have even acted earlier by affecting dispersion and availability of larval stages, in this way impacting on subsequent juvenile abundances of Emerita. Changes in beach characteristics and associated atmospheric variability have also been assumed to cause changes in the population structure of other species of Emerita. e.g. talpoida in North Carolina, USA (Diaz, 1980), and £>. holthuisi in India (Ansell et al., 1972b).** 

**Seasonal temperature variability is an important physical factor on temperate sandy beaches. When beaches are exposed to the air, heating, drainage, and wind action cause surface layers to dry, particularly at high tide levels (Brown, 1971, Jansson, 1967, Johnson, 1965, Pearse** 

**et al•, 1942, Pollock and Hummon, 1971, Salvat, 1967). Heat alone, or associated with desiccation stress, has been shown to determine the upper distributional limits of intertidal organisms (e.g. Connell, 1972, Dring, 1982, Newell, 1979, Stephenson, 1942). Croker (1967a), Hager and Croker (1979), and Preece (1971) have shown, for example, that sandy beach species with higher intertidal distributional limits have higher sand desiccation tolerances. The results of the sand desiccation experiments of this study provide some exceptions to this generalization.** 

**Contrary to what was expected in view of the field distributional data, sand desiccation tolerance of the**  middle beach E<sub>2</sub> hirsuticauda was higher than for the upper beach O. tuberculata and **E. braziliensis**. The lowest **values of water content in the experimental sands (2.3- 6.1%) were well within the variability of the water content**  of beach levels inhabited by **O**. tuberculata and E. **braziliensis. in contrast, experimental values were higher than those calculated for the beach levels occupied by hirsuticauda? even though, the mortalities of this species were quite low (i.e. below 18%). The results suggest that sand desiccation is not a significant barrier**  to the landward distribution of E. hirsuticauda.

**During warmer months, the peracarid assemblage at Mehufn Beach undergoes a general downshore migration. Similar movements have been reported in other temperate** 

**sandy beaches. Fish (1970) and Salvat (1966) found that during summer, the abundance of the isopod Eurydice pulchra decreased at its upper limit of distribution in sandy beaches of Wales and France, respectively. Jaramillo et al. (in press) reported that in Rye Beach, New Hampshire, amphipods (Acanthohaustorius millsi) and polychaetes (Scolelepis squamata and Paraonis fulgens) moved seaward during the summer. Likewise, in northern central Chile, Sanchez et al. (1982) found that the zone of maximum**  abundance of **Q.** tuberculata and E. braziliensis moved **downshore during summer. The same two species also moved down to the low intertidal during the warmer months on a protected beach (site 8 of this study. Fig. 1) at the outlet area of Rio Lingue (Bertran, 1985).** 

**Sand desiccation is a major factor correlated with the seasonal fluctuations in the intertidal distribution of O. tuberculata and E. braziliensis. During warmer months, both species were essentially absent from dry sediments of the upper beach, bringing to mind the low survival of both species in laboratory experiments with sand having desiccation values similar to those for the upper beach during these months. In addition, among the physical variables considered here, only sand desiccation related factors (i.e. water content and penetrability of the sands) significantly accounted for the temporal variability of O.**  tuberculata and E. braziliensis. The non-significance of

**textural variability (sand grain size and sorting) in accounting for the population fluctuations of these species in the field was in agreement with the lack of significant response of these animals to textural differences in some experiments with prepared sands, and in that experiment with natural sands from the upper and middle beach.** 

**The position of the wrack line may also be important**  in regulating the intertidal location of **O**. tuberculata, as **it is for other talitrids; i.e. higher abundances are close to the drift line (Bowers, 1964, Griffiths and Stenton-Dozey, 1981, Koop and Griffiths, 1982). At Mehujfn Beach, the wrack line is primarily composed of detritus from brown**  macroalgae such as Macrocystis pyrifera and Duryillaea antarctica, the main food sources for **Q**. tuberculata **(Duarte, 1974). During warmer months, the wrack line is generally located lower in the intertidal zone than in late autumn-early spring. During summer, sand levels are higher (beach accretion), therefore waves usually reach the uppermost beach levels only during spring tides. Thus, the**  downshore migration of O. tuberculata during warmer months, **may well be a response to the combined effect of sand desiccation and the changing location of the wrack line.** 

The monthly mean abundances of **Q**. tuberculata and E. **braziliensis were lower in sediments with lower penetrability, suggesting that the seaward distributional limits of both species during summer migration are determined by hardness of sediments. Sand penetrability** 

**may also be important in driving these species up during late autumn-early spring, when lower intertidal levels have**  harder sands. At that time, O<sub>r</sub> tuberculata and E. **braziliensis become concentrated in the uppermost beach levels where sediments maintain a degree of penetrability suitable for burrowing.** 

**Excirolana hirsuticauda also showed a downshore migration during the warmer months, a migration that was particularly distinctive during the seasonal study (September, 1980 to September, 1981). Sand desiccation related factors are probably not the primary factors**  involved here since E. hirsuticauda experienced low **mortality in experimental sands that were even drier than those in which it naturally occurs. In addition, no sand desiccation related factors significantly accounted for variability in monthly mean abundances for this species. Instead, textural variability (i.e. mean grain size) was involved. Significant avoidance of the finest prepared**  sands shown by E<sub>2</sub> hirsuticauda in the laboratory, suggests **that its downshore migration during warmer months, may result from avoidance of fine sands deposited during the accretion period of the beach, particularly at the upper and middle beach levels.** 

Since E<sub>\*</sub>. hirsuticauda reaches the hardest beach **sediments during its downshore migration, and since no negative correlation was found between its monthly mean abundances and sand penetrability, it is concluded that** 

**compactness of substrata does not determine the lower**  distributional limit of this species versus  $Q_2$  tuberculata and E. braziliensis. Instead, its limits may be related to **wave disturbance. At exposed sandy beaches, the greatest wave shock occurs towards the breaking wave zone where sediments are churned into a suspension. This has been evaluated, among others, by Duncan (1964) who found that during rising tides, larger amounts of sands (up to 30 cm depth) are removed from areas close to the surf zone of a California beach. Perhaps, an increase in sediment disturbance during late autumn-early spring is connected with the upshore movement of the maximum abundance zones of E. hirsuticauda to higher beach levels. At that time, the upshore movement would not be affected by the sand size since grains are coarser than during the warmer months.**  This would also explain why E. hirsuticauda did not avoid **the upper beach sands in the experiment with natural sediments collected during winter, 1983; i.e. at that time the upper beach sands were coarser than during the warmer months.** 

**Several differences were found between the MRA performed with the monthly and seasonal population data of E.** braziliensis and **E.** hirsuticauda. The main differences **were found for the latter species (cf. Table 9 and 11). The low number of samplings in the seasonal study may have missed some higher variability in textural characteristics, accentuating differences in sand desiccation related** 

**factors. Thus, these differences may have played a major role In accounting for seasonal variability of E. hirsuticauda in approach A. The chosen sampling frequency may also have missed differences between the mean**  abundances of E<sub>2</sub> braziliensis and E<sub>2</sub> hirsuticauda, thus **yielding a positive correlation between the two species and in turn overriding the role of physical variables in approach B. It should also be stressed that the population data included many values from the overlap zone between the two species, where their abundance transformed values were quite similar.** 

#### **Local Distribution of the Macroinfauna**

**Three different macroinfaunal zonation patterns were recognized in Bahxa de Maiquillahue and outlet areas of Rio Queule and Rio Lingue. The typical zonation of sites 1-5 (including Mehuin Beach) differed from that found at Isla de Maiquillahue by the absence of cirolanid isopods. Apart from the obvious differences in grain sizer the sands of Isla de Maiquillahue also differed from the other sites in grain shape. Sites 1-5 had subangular sediments (0.29- 0.34), while Isla de Maiquillahue had subrounded grains (0.42) (after Powers, 1953).** 

 $N$ either, E<sub>2</sub> braziliensis or E<sub>2</sub> hirsuticauda showed **significant avoidance of sands from Isla de Maiquillahue in the preference experiments, results which were not expected because of the absence of cirolanids at this site. Two** 

**explanations may be offered for this absence. Foremost, there are other physical factors or biological interactions involved. Secondly, coarseness and angularity of the sands of Isla de Maiquillahue may be important factors, not in themselves, but rather through some more subtle properties (e.g. porosity, permeability, fabric, compactation) that are altered when sediments are removed from the field. Thus, the absence of cirolanids at Isla de Maiquillahue remains an unanswered question.** 

**Zonation patterns at the exposed sites 1-5 also differed from those of the protected beaches of the outlet areas of** *r***{***o* **Queule and Rxo Lingue. The low salinity**  tolerances of E<sub>x</sub> analoga in the laboratory experiments, **suggest that its absence from the outlet estuarine areas is due to the lower salinities of these habitats (Bertran, 1985, Jaramillo, 1978, Jaramillo et al. 1985, Richter, 1985). In addition, the absence of a surf zone at these estuarine areas, may in turn indicate an absence of an adequate food source in suspension, precluding the establishment of this suspension-feeder at these sites.** 

**The lower salinities of the waters adjacent to the outlet areas were first suspected as being responsible for the lower abundances of peracarids there, as compared with higher abundances at the exposed beaches of Bahia de Maiquillahue (Bertran, 1984, Jaramillo, 1978). However, this was not supported by laboratory salinity tolerance** 

**experiments with Q\*\_ tuberculata. E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda. The significant avoidance of the finest**  sands shown by E. hirsuticauda in the experiments with prepared sands (also <u>O. tuberculata</u> and E. braziliensis in **some runs), suggests that the lower abundances of peracarids throughout the intertidal fine sand habitats of both estuaries is related to grain size of sediments. On the other hand, absence of wave shock, and differences in sediment disturbance and predation may be suggested as causing the wider intertidal distribution of cirolanid isopods at these outlet estuarine areas (i.e. occupying the lower beach levels) versus exposed sites 1-5. As discussed earlier, the greatest sediment disturbance in the exposed sandy beaches occurs towards the breaking wave zone. Therefore, the lower distribution of cirolanids at the outlet areas of Rio Queule and Rio Lingue may well be a response to the absence of that disturbance at these sites.** 

**Concerning potential predators on the macroinfauna, the shorebird Calidris alba (Scolopacidae) feeds on the swash zone of the exposed beaches of Bahia de Maiquillahue.**  Its usual prey includes E. analoga and the cirolanid **isopods (E. Jaramillo, unpublished data). Consequently, shorebird predation may play a role in setting the lower limit of distribution of cirolanids on these beaches. On the other hand, shorebirds are rarely seen at the estuarine outlet sites studied. It has been shown, that higher densities and smaller distance between prey (Excirolana** 

spp., E. analoga) increase the capture rate of C. alba in **experimental conditions (Myers et al. 1980). So, the low abundances of cirolanids (and consequently, more spacing**  among individuals) and the absence of E. analoga in the **protected sites of the outlet areas of Rfo Queule and r£o**  Lingue would preclude more frequent predation by C. alba at **these sites. In addition, more penetrable substrata also**  increases the rate of prey capture of C. alba under **controlled conditions (Myers et al. 1980). The absence of a swash zone at the outlet sites may also convey important differences in sand penetrability; differences which in' turn may affect the suitability of these sites as foraging**  areas for C<sub>2</sub> alba. But, even though shorebird predation **may have some effect on the population abundances of cirolanids at the exposed sandy beaches of Bahjfa de Maiquillahue, their participation in materially affecting the observed zonation differences in the intertidal distribution of the peracarid species is ruled out for several reasons. Foremost, shorebirds are migratory species that use the coastal habitats of southern Chile, only during the winter migration from the northern hemisphere. Calidris alba appears in Bahia de Maiquillahue during spring and leaves during late summer-early autumn (Schlatter and Jaramillo, 1983). The presence of shorebirds does not appear to negatively impact the intertidal distribution of isopods, i.e. cirolanids generally have a lower distribution in the intertidal zone** 

**during spring and summer than during other seasons of the year, and they are in the zone where shorebirds usually forage. Secondly, the abundances of £•. alba on exposed beaches of Bahfa de Maiquillahue are far less than on beaches in northern Chile (P. Myers, personal communication). On those northern exposed beaches, cirolanids show a zonation pattern similar to those on exposed beaches of Bahia de Maiquillahue further south (Castilla et al. 1977, Jaramillo, in press, Sanchez et al., 1982). Thus, there is, no evidence that higher abundances of shorebirds produce differences in zonation patterns of cirolanid species.** 

**Shorebird predation may have a more important role for**  the population structure of E. analoga. Juveniles of E. analoga are preyed on by the shorebirds C<sub>2</sub> alba and **Numenius phaeoous (Scolopacidae) (E. Jaramillo, unpublished data). Mean abundances of juveniles of Emerita during 1978-1980 showed a pattern of late summer or winter recruitment when shorebirds had either left Mehuin Beach or were present in very low numbers (Fig. 33, Jaramillo, unpublished data). Published evidence (Schlatter and Jaramillo, 1983) and personal observations document the departure time of shorebirds. Currently, the only data concerning recruitment periods of Emerita are those presented here. A potential relationship between shorebird predation and the abundance of Emerita juveniles could work in one of two ways: 1) low abundances of juveniles during** 

maximum abundance periods of C. alba and N. phaeopus (i.e. **late spring-early summer) could be the result of shorebird predation, and 2) even though some juveniles are recruited during periods of maximum shorebird predation, most of them would arrive (from the plankton) close to the time or after alba and &,£. phaeopus leave the area. Therefore, the recruitment patterns of Emerita would be synchronized with the shorebird departure, minimizing loss of new recruits.**  Since ovigerous females of E<sub>x</sub> analoga were detected from **middle spring (October-November), this would imply that incubation and the planktonic period are long enough to produce a recruitment peak during late summer-early winter.**  This would be true if the life history of E. analoga at **Mehuin Beach is similar to that of Californian populations that take 18-32 days for incubation (Boolotian et al., 1959, Cox and Dudley, 1968). In fact, a pelagic period as long as four months was deduced from planktonic collections off the coast of San Diego (Johnson, 1939). Clearly, longterm study of recruitment of Emerita and shorebird predation would be required to test the earlier hypotheses.** 

#### **Peracarid Interactions**

The situation at Mehuin Beach illustrated by E. **braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda with maximum abundances at the upper and middle beach, respectively, represents a common example of two closely related species partitioning available space. Both horizontal and vertical space** 

**partitioning have been suggested as reducing, or avoiding potential competition between peracarids of oceanic sandy beaches (Jones, 1979, Croker, 1967a, Croker and Hatfield, 1980), and intertidal sand flats (Fenwick, 1984, Grant, 1981). For example, Croker and Hatfield (1980) reported that at Long Sands, Maine, the haustoriids Haustorius canadensis and Acanthohaustorius millsi illustrated horizontal segregation that was most marked for ovigerous females and juveniles. Furthermore, they reported that in**  the laboratory, adults of A. millsi experienced increased **mortality and lower reproductive output when in combination with canadensis, as compared with single species controls. Similarly, Grant (1981) reported that at North**  Inlet, South Carolina, A<sub>x</sub> millsi and Pseudohaustorius **carolinensis were vertically segregated in the upper oxidized layer, and the underlying reduced layer, respectively. When these two species were tested under laboratory conditions, it was shown that at high densities**  and in the absence of A. millsi, P. carolinensis expanded **its niche to the oxidized layer. In contrast, when maintained at low densities, both species were able to coexist in the oxidized layer (Grant, 1981).** 

The coexistence experiments between E. braziliensis **and Hi. hirsuticauda did not provide adequate evidence for competition between these species. It is, of course, still possible that some sort of negative interaction might occur** 

**over a longer time period, affecting other characateristics of their life history not studied here, e.g. reproductive output. Furthermore, it may also be true that partitioning**  of the intertidal by E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda is **an evolutionary result of past competition (e.g. Diamond, 1986).** 

**The results of the coexistence experiments were similar to those of Abramsky and Sellah (1982) and Schroder and Rosenzweig (1975) where in the absence of one species the other failed to show a response. Thus, it has been argued that competition may have operated in the past, but that lack of response in the present is due to genetically fixed habitat differences resulting from that interaction, process which has been called habitat selection (e.g. Abramsky and Sellah, 1982, Diamond, 1986, Rosenzweig, 1981). The responses detected by Croker and Hatfield (1980) and Grant (1981) might therefore represent some evidence of an ongoing competitive process, while the lack of response in the coexistence experiments with Excirolana spp. might indicate that competition had already run its course. One field test of this hypothesis would be a comparison of the intertidal distribution of both species at sympatric and allopatric locations. For example, E. braziliensis in allopatry (northern Chile, Jaramillo, in press) does not occupy the middle beach levels that are occupied** by **E**<sub>**t**</sub> hirsuticauda at Mehuin Beach, or in other **sympatric localities of southern Chile (Jaramillo, in** 

**press). Conversely, a comparison of Mehuin Beach with the beach at Huicolpue (approximately 100 km to the south of**  Mehuin Beach), an allopatric locality for E. hirsuticauda **(Jaramillo, in press), shows that this species does not expand its distribution to the uppermost beach levels at**  this site where E. braziliensis is absent. Yet, sandy **beaches of northern and southern Chile are affected by very different environmental conditions (Jaramillo, in press). Consequently, there is no certainty that the only difference between the compared beaches is the absence of one of the species. All the available information then suggests that the habitat partition shown by E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda at Mehuín Beach is not the result of obvious competition at present. If so, it is reasonable to suspect that these interactions may have affected not only space partitioning, but also some characteristics of the life history of the species, and this in turn could have reinforced niche differences**  between E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda.

**Differences in time of reproduction and body size ratios have been assumed to be important for decreasing potential competition in closely related sandy beach crustaceans (Croker, 1967a, 1967b, Dexter, 1967, Sameoto, 1969a). For example, Croker (1967a) found that in sandy beaches of Georgia the co-occurring and primarily upper intertidal haustoriids Neohaustorius schmitzi and Haustorius sp. had their reproductive peaks at slightly** 

**different periods. He also found that reproducing N. schmitzi showed higher mortality in the laboratory when held together with the larger Haustorius sp. Croker concluded that staggering of reproductive periods along with vertical segregation would be advantageous for decreasing potential competition between the two species. Similarly, Sameoto (1969a) reported that in sandy beaches of Massachusetts, Haustorius canadensis had a slightly earlier reproduction than the co-occurring smaller Neohaustorius biarticulatus. augmenting the size difference between the species that the author believed important from the standpoint of the foods eaten and the reduction of potential competition. Common to the conclusions of Croker (1967a) and Sameoto (1969a) is the argument that body size is a criterion of niche differentiation in the amphipod species studied. Thus, based on the concept of a minimum size allowing coexistence between closely related species that consume the same food (Hutchinson, 1959), as haustoriids do (e.g. Croker, 1967a, 1967b), Croker (1967a) and Sameoto (1969b) calculated body size ratios between pairs of haustoriids in Georgia and Massachusetts, respectively. Croker (1967a) found that the overall mean ratio of body lengths for co-occurring species pairs was 1.42, close to the minimum body size ratio tentatively suggested by Hutchinson (1.3), and slightly higher than the overall mean for species pairs spatially segregated in the intertidal zone (1.27). Body size ratios reported by** 

**Sameoto (1969a) varied between 1.50-2.20 depending upon the time of year. But it should be addressed that the generality of a minimum body size ratio among coexisting and closely related species has been strongly criticized (Simberloff, 1983, Simberloff and Boecklenr 1981).** 

**The analyses of life history characteristics of E.**  braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda documented here showed **that even though some differences were detected (e.g. brood sizes), most characteristics were very similar, e.g. ovigerous females and new recruits peaked at the same time period, and ratios of female/male were alike. The fact that body size ratios between the species are close to unity (overall mean: 1.09) suggests that juveniles and**  adults of E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda consume **similar sizes of food, consisting primarily of organic material attached to sand grains (Jaramillo, personal observation), and again provides no grounds for assuming current competitive interactions between these species. The assertion supports earlier conclusions derived from the laboratory experiments and the intertidal zonation of the two species at sympatric and allopatric localities. Finally, if it is assumed that physical factors had a significant role in regulating population size in the past—as they do in the present—it can be concluded that density levels at which competition would occur were rarely reached. Therefore, the present segregation of E. braziliensis** and E. hirsuticauda is more adequately

**explained as a result of different adaptations to particular levels of the intertidal. For example, these isopods at first may have lived in allopatry under different environmental conditions. Later on, they became sympatric and occupied different areas of the intertidal at which each was better adapted (Connell, 1980).** 

**Earlier I stated that neither an interaction with its congeneric, braziliensis. nor dryness in the upper**  beach, limits the upper distribution of E. hirsuticauda. **The results of some of the coexistence experiments with O. tuberculata (i.e. higher mortality of hirsuticauda versus single species controls), suggest however, that this cirolanid might be limited in its landward distribution by**  the larger **Q.** tuberculata. Nevertheless, the following **points work against this idea. First, it is possible that**  the high mortalities of E. hirsuticauda when maintained with O. tuberculata in the laboratory do not represent a **true picture of what really happens in the field. Talitrid amphipods are widely regarded as omnivorous (e.g. Behbehani and Croker, 1982, Bowers, 1964) with macroalgal debris stranded on beaches serving as their main food source (e.g. Behbehani and Croker, 1982, Duarte, 1974, Griffiths and •v Stenton-Dozey, 1981, Koop and Griffiths, 1982). The absence of its preferred food in the experimental containers may have induced Q\*. tuberculata to prey on E. hirsuticauda to a greater extent than it does in nature.** 

**In additionr the high abundances of animals in the containers (i.e. high frequency of interspecific encounters) may have reinforced intense predatory behavior, which is also assumed to be the cause of the high**  mortalities of <u>O.</u> tuberculata when maintained by itself. **Second, although horizontal and vertical distribution of both species overlap during some months, their zones of maximum abundances do not. Thus, the frequency of interspecific encounters would be lower in the field.**  Another related point is that **Q**. tuberculata shows high **activity on the beach surface at time of low tides and primarily at night (Jaramillo et al., 1980). In contrast, E.** hirsuticauda has its major activity during high tides, **when it leaves the substratum to swim in the surf zone, as do other cirolanids (Jones and Hobbins, 1985, Klapow, 1972). This difference in behavior would also decrease the frequency of interspecific encounters between the talitrid**  and E. hirsuticauda. It is concluded that the upper intertidal distribution of E. hirsuticauda is not regulated **by biological interactions, but rather by physical factor variability as discussed earlier. Concerning the results**  of the interspecific experiments with E. braziliensis and *QJL* **tuberculata. arguments similar to those just presented**  for E. hirsuticauda may be put forward.

**In summary, evidence to date shows that interspecific interactions do not play a significant role in the regulation of the abundance and intertidal distribution of** 

**0. tuberculata, E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda at Mehu^n Beach. Nor does it appear that we understand what the carrying capacity is for these peracarids, e.g. no significant differences were found in the mortality rate of the individual peracarid species even when abundances were increased up to 7 times the highest abundances observed in situ. Similar conclusions cannot be advanced for E. monodi, since no correlations were found between its abundances and the variability of coexisting species and that of any of the physical factors studied.** 

**Finally, it is necessary to point out that the downshore migration of the peracarids of Mehufn Beach is contemporaneous with the human disturbance by vacationers during the December-March period of each year, particularly of the shallow sand layers on the upper beach. Human activities have been shown to affect the community structure of Chilean sandy beaches (Castilla, 1983, Castilla et al., 1977), but the specific effects on sandburrowing invertebrates of people vacationing on sandy beaches have not been studied in Chile, or elsewhere. Two approaches may be taken to accomplish this. The first would be to close half the area of Mehujfn Beach to human use and compare macroinfaunal responses there versus those on an adjacent control half. The second approach would require the choice of another beach, similar as possible to** 

**Mehuin Beach, but where little or no human disturbance occurs. Fortunately, the coast of southern Chile may still provide the opportunity to carry out this experiment.** 

÷

# **LITERATURE CITED**

**Abramsky, Z. and C. Sellah. 1982. Competition and the role of habitat selection in Gerbillus allenbvl and Meriones tristrami: a removal experiment. Ecology 63:1242-1247.** 

**Ansell, A.D., P. sivadas, B. Narayanan, V.N. Sankaranarayanan and A. Trevallion. 1972a. The**  ecology of two sandy beaches in South West India. **Seasonal changes in physical and chemical factors, and in the macroinfauna. Har. Biol. 17:38-62.** 

**, P. Sivadas, B. Narayanan and A. Trevallion. 1972b. The ecology of two sandy beaches in South West India. II. Notes on Emerita holthuisi. Mar. Biol. 17:311-317.** 

**Bally, R. 1983. Intertidal zonation on sandy beaches of the west coast of South Africa. Cah. Biol. mar. 24:85-103.** 

- **Barnes, N.B. and A.M. Wenner. 1968. Seasonal variation in**  the sand crab **Emerita analoga** (Decapoda, Hippidae) in **the Santa Barbara area of California. Limnol. Oceanogr. 13:465-475.**
- **Bascom, W.N. 1951. The relationship between sand size and beach-face slope. Trans. Am. Geophys. Union 32:866- 874.**
- **Behbehani, M.I. and R.A. Croker. 1982. Ecology of beach wrack in northern New England with special reference to Orchestia platensis. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci. 15:611-620.**

**Bennett, A.S. 1976. Conversion of in situ measurements of conductivity to salinity. Deep-Sea Res. 23:157-165.** 

**Bertran, C. 1984. Macroinfauna intermareal en un estuario del Sur de" Chile (estuario del r£O Lingue, Valdivia). Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 19:33-46.** 

**. 1985. Zonacion y dinamica temporal de la macroinfauna intermareal en el estuario Lingue (Valdivia, Chile). Tesis, Instituto de Zoologfa, Universidad Austral de Chile.** 

**Boolotian, R.A., A.C. Giese, A. Farmanfarmaian and J. Tucker. 1959. Reproductive cycles of five west coast crabs. Physiol. Zool. 32:213-220.** 

**Bowers, D.E. 1964. Natural history of two beach hoppers of the genus Orchestoidea (Crustacea: Amphipoda) with reference to their complemental distribution. Ecology 45:677-696.** 

**Bowman, M.L. and R. Dolan. 1985. The relationship of Emerita talooida to beach characteristics. J. Coast. Res. 1:151-163.** 

**Brown, A.C. 1971. The ecology of the sandy beaches of the Cape Peninsula, South Africa. Part I: Introduction. Trans, roy. Soc. S. Afr. 39:247-279.** 

**1973. The ecology of the sandy beaches of the Cape Peninsula, South Africa. Part 4: Observations on two intertidal isopoda, Eurvdice lopqicprnig (Studer) and Exosphaeroma truncatitelson Barnard. Trans, roy. Soc. S. Afr. 40:381-404.** 

**Castilla, J.C. 1983. Environmental impact in sandy beaches of copper mine tailings at Chanaral, Chile. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 14:459-464.** 

**, M. Sanchez and 0. Mena. 1977. Estudios ecologicos en la zona costera afectada por contaminacion del "Northern Breeze." I. Introduccion general y comunidades de playas de arena. Medio Ambiente 2:53-64.** 

**Chappell, J., 1.6. Eliot, M.P. Bradshaw and E. Londsdale.**  Experimental control of beach face dynamics by **water-table pumping. Eng. Geol. 14:29-41.** 

**Colman, J.S. and F. Segrove. 1955. The fauna living in Stoupe Beck Sands, Robin Hood's Bay (Yorkshire, North Riding). J. Anim. Ecol. 24:426-444.** 

**Connell, J.H. 1972. Community interactions on marine rocky intertidal areas. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Sys. 3:169- 192.** 

**. 1980. Diversity and the coevolution of competitors, or the ghost of competition past. Oikos 35:131-138.** 

**Cox, 6.W. and G.H. Dudley. 1968. Seasonal pattern of**  reproduction of the sand crab, **Emerita analoga**, in **Southern California. Ecology 49:746-751.** 

**Croker, R.A. 1967a. Niche diversity in five sympatric species of intertidal amphipods (Crustacea: Haustoriidae). Ecol. Honogr. 37:173-200.** 

**• 1967b. Niche specificity of Neohaustorius schmitzi and Haustorius sp. (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in North Carolina. Ecology 48:971-975.** 

**• 1977. Macroinfauna of northern New England marine sand: Long-term intertidal community structure. la Coull, B.C. (ed.l. Ecology of Marine Benthos. Belle W. Baruch Library in Marine Science #6, Univ. of S. Carolina Press:439-450.** 

**, R.P. Hager and K.J. Scott. 1975. Macroinfauna of northern New England marine sand. II.**  Amphipod-dominated intertidal communities. **Zool. 53:42-51.** 

**and E.B. Hatfield. 1980. Space partitioning and interactions in an intertidal sandburrowing amphipod guild. Mar. Biol. 61:79-88.** 

**Cubitr J. 1968. Behavior and physical factors causing migration and aggregation of the sand crab Emerita analoaa (Stimpson). Ecology 50:118-123.** 

**Dahl, E. 1952. Some aspects of the ecology and zonation of the fauna on sandy beaches. Oikos 4:1-27.** 

**. 1960. The cold temperate zone in Chilean seas. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, 152:631-633.** 

**Davies, J.L. 1973. Geographical Variations la Coastal Development. Hafner Publishing Company, New York: 204 pages.** 

**Dexter, D.M. 1967. Distribution and niche diversity of haustoriid amphipods in North Carolina. Chesapeake Sci. 8:187-192.** 

**. 1969. Structure of an intertidal sandybeach community in North Carolina. Chesapeake Sci. 10:93-98.** 

**. 1972. Comparison of the community structures in a Pacific and an Atlantic Panamanian sandy beach. Bull. Mar. Sci. 22:449-462.** 

**. 1974. Sandy-beach fauna of the Pacific**  and Atlantic coasts of Costa Rica and Colombia. **Biol. Trop. 22:51-66.** 

**. 1976. The sandy-beach fauna of Mexico. The Southwestern Naturalist 20:479-485.** 

**. 1977. Natural history of the Pan-American sand beach isopod Excirplana brazlliensis (Crustacea: Malacostraca). J. Zool., Lond. 183:103- 109.**
**1979. Community structure and seasonal variation in intertidal Panamanian sandy beaches. Estuarine Coastal Mar. Sci. 9:543-558.** 

**. 1983. Community structure of intertidal sandy beaches in New South Wales, Australia. In McLachlan, A. and T. Erasmus (eds.), Sandv Beaches as Ecosystems, w. junk Publishers, The Hague:461-472.** 

- **Diamond, J. 1986. Evolution of ecological segregation in the New Guinea montane avifauna. la Diamond, J. and T.J. Case (eds.), Community Ecology. Harper & Row, Publishers, New York: 98-125.**
- **Diaz, H. 1980. The mole crab Emerita talpoida (Say)? a case of changing life history pattern. Ecol. Monogr. 50:437-456.**
- **Dillery, D.G. 1970. Longshore movements of the sand crab, Emerita analoaa (Decapoda, Hippidae). Crustaceana 18:233-240.**
- **Donn, T.E. and R.A. Croker. 1986. Life-history patterns of Haustorius canadensis (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in northern New England. Can. J. zool. 64:99-104.**
- **Dring, M.J. 1982. Biology** *q***£. Marine Plants. Edward Arnold (Publishers) Limited, London:199 pages.**
- **Duarte, W.E. 1974. Orchestoidea tuberculata Nicolet, 1849 como organismo desintegrador de algas. Mus. Nac. Hist. Nat. Chile. Not. Mens. 220-221:3-9.**
- **Duncan, J.R. 1964. The effects of water table and tide cycle on swash-backwash sediment distribution and beach profile development. Mar. Geol. 2:186-197.**
- **Eleftheriou, A. and M.D. Nicholson. 1975. The effects of exposure on beach fauna. Cah. Biol. mar. 16:695-710.**
- **Emery, K.O. 1961. A simple method of measuring beach profiles. Limnol. Oceanogr. 6:90-93.**
- **Fenwick, G.D. 1984. Partitioning of a rippled sand habitat by five infaunal crustaceans. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 83:53-72.**
- **Fincham, A.A. 1971. Ecology and population studies of some intertidal and sublittoral sand-dwelling amphipods. J. Mar. biol. Ass. U.K. 51:471-488.**

**. 1974. Intertidal sand-dwelling peracarid fauna of Stewart Island. N.Z.J. Mar. Freshwat. Res. 8:1-14.** 

**• 1977. Intertidal sand-dwelling pecacarid fauna of North Island, New Zealand. N.Z.J. Mar. Freshwat. Res. 11:677-696.** 

- **Fish, S. 1970. The biology of Eurvdice pulchra (Crustacea: Isopoda). J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K. 50:753-768.**
- Folk, R.L. 1980. Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks. **Hemphill Publishing Co., Austin, Texas: 182 pages.**

**and Ward, W.C. 1957. The Brazos River Bar: A study in the significance of grain size parameters. J. sedim. Petrol. 27:3-26.** 

- **Fox, W.T. and R.A. Davis, Jr. 1978. Seasonal variation in beach erosion and sedimentation on the Oregon coast. Bull. Geol. Soc. Am. 89:1541-1549.**
- **Grant, U.S. 1948. Influence of the water table on beach aggradation and degradation. J. Mar. Res. 7:655-660.**
- **Grant, J. 1981. Dynamics of competition among estuarine sand-burrowing amphipods. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 49:255-265.**
- **Griffiths, C.L. and J. Stenton-Dozey. 1981. The fauna and role of degradation of stranded kelp. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci. 12:645-653.**
- **Griffiths, J.C. 1951. Size versus sorting in some Caribbean sediments. J. Geol. 59:211-243.**
- **Hager, R.P. and R.A. Croker. 1979. Macroinfauna of northern New England marine sand. IV. Infaunal ecology of Amphiporeia virqiniana Shoemaker, 1933 (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Can. J. Zool. 57:1511-1519.**
- **Hair, J.F., R.E. Anderson, R.L. Tatham and B.J. Grablowsky. 1979. Multivariate Analysis. Petroleum Publishing Company, Tulsa, Oklahoma: 360 pages.**
- **Harrison, W. 1969. Empirical equations for foreshore changes over a tidal cycle. Mar. Geol. 7:529-551.**
- **Hayes, W.B. 1977. Factors affecting the distribution of Tvlos punctatus (Isopoda, Oniscoidea) on beaches in Southern California and Northern Mexico. Pacif. Sci. 31:165-186.**

**Hayes, M.O. and J.C. Boothroyd. 1969. Storms as modifying**  agents in the coastal environment. In **Research Group; Coastal environments of North-eastern Massachusetts and New Hampshire: field trip guidebook. Contrib. #1, Dept. of Geology, Univ. Mass., Amherst: 245-265.** 

Hutchinson, G.E. 1959. Homage to Santa Rosalia or why are **there so many kinds of animals? Am. Nat. 93:145-159.** 

**lilies, H. 1970. Geologia de los alrededores de Valdivia y volcanismo y tectonica en margenes del Pacifico en Chile Meridional. Memo, Instituto de Geociencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia: 64 pages.** 

**Inman, D.L. 1949. Sorting of sediments in the light of fluid mechanics. J. sedim. Petrol. 19:51-70.** 

**Jansson, B-O. 1967. The significance of grain size and pore water content for the interstitial fauna of sandy beaches. Oikos 18:311-322.** 

**Jaramillo, E. 1978. Zonacion y estructura de la comunidad macrofaunfstica en playas de arena del Sur de Chile (Mehufn, Valdivia). Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 13:71-92.** 

**. 1982. Taxonomy, natural history and zoogeography of sand beach isopods from the coast of Southern Chile. Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 17:175-194.** 

**. Sandy beach macroinfauna from the Chilean Coast: zonation patterns and zoogeography. Vie et Milieu; (in press).** 

**, W. Stotz, C. Bertrati, J. Navarro, C. Romati y C. Varela. 1980. Actividad locomotriz de Orchestoidea tuberculata (Amphipoda, Talitridae) sobre la superficie de una playa arenosa del Sur de Chile (Mehufn, Provincia de Valdivia). Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 15:9-33.** 

**, S. Mulsow and R. Navarro. 1985. Intertidal and subtidal macroinfauna in the Queule River Estuary, South of Chile.., Revista Chilena de Historia Natural 58:127-137.** 

**R.A. Croker and E.B. Hatfield. Long-term**<br>structure, disturbance, and recolonization of disturbance, and recolonization of **macroinfauna in a New Hampshire sand beach. Can. J. Zool., (in press).** 

**Johnson, J.W. 1949. Scale effects in hydraulic models involving wave motion. Trans. Am. Geophys. Union 30:517-525.** 

10 L

**Johnson, M.W. 1939. The correlation of water movements and dispersal of pelagical larval stages of certain littoral animals, especially the sand crab Emerita. J. Har. Res. 11:236-245.** 

**Johnson, R.G. 1965. Temperature variation in the infaunal environment of a sand flat. Limnol. Oceanogr. 10:114-120.** 

**Jones, D.A. 1970a. Factors affecting the distribution of the intertidal ispods Eurvdice pulchra Leach and E. affinis Hansen in Britain. J. Anim. Ecol. 39:455- 472.** 

**. 1970b. Population densities and breeding in Eurvdice pulchra and Eurvdice affinis in Britain. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K. 50:635-655.** 

**. 1979. The ecology of sandy beaches in Penang, Malaysia, with special reference to Excirolana orientalis (Dana). Estuarine Coastal Mar. Sci. 9:677-682.** 

**and C. Hobbins. 1985. The role of biological rhythms in some sand beach cirolanid isopoda. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 93:47-59.** 

**King, C.A.M. 1959. Beaches and Coasts. Edward Arnold, London: 403 pages.** 

**Klapow, L.A. 1972. Fortnightly molting and reproductive cycles in the sand-beach isopod, Excirolana chiltoni. Biol. Bull. 143:568-591.** 

- **Knott, D.M., D.R. Calder and R.F. Van Dolah. 1983. Macrobenthos of sandy beach and nearshore environments at Murrells Inlet, South Carolina, USA. Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci. 16:573-590.**
- **Knox, 6.A. 1960. Littoral ecology and biography of the southern oceans. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, 152:577-624.**
- Komar, P.D. 1976. Beach Processes and Sedimentation **Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: 429 pages.**

**. 1983. Beach processes and erosion—an introduction. Jn Komar, P.D. (ed.), Handbook of Coastal Processes and Erosion. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida: 1-20.** 

- **Koop, K. and C.L. Griffiths. 1982. The relative significance of bacteria, meio- and macrofauna on an exposed sandy beach. Mar. Biol. 66:295-300.**
- **Krumbein, W.C. 1944. Shore processes and beach characteristics. Beach Erosion Board, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Technical memorandum #3:1-47.**
- **Leber, K.M. 1982. Seasonality of macroinvertebrates on a temperate, high wave energy sandy beach. Bull. Mar. Sci. 32:86-98.**
- **Longuet-Higgins, M.S. 1970. Longshore currents generated by obliquely incident sea waves, 1. J. Geophys. Res. 75:6778-6789.**
- **Martin, C. 1923. Landes Kunde von Chile. L. Friedrichsen Co., Hamburg: 786 pages.**
- **McBride, E.F. 1971. Mathematical treatment of size distribution data. 2a Carver, R.E. (ed.) Procedures**  in Sedimentary Petrology. Wiley-Interscience, John **Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York: 109-127.**
- **Mclntyre, A.D. 1968. The meiofauna and macrofauna of some tropical beaches. J. Zool., Lond. 156:377-392.**
- **McLachlan, A. 1980. Intertidal zonation of macrofauna and stratification of meiofauna on high energy sandy beaches in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Afr. 44:213-223.**

**. 1983. Sandy beach ecology: a review. In McLachlan, A. and T. Erasmus (eds.), Sandy Beaches Ecosystems. W. Junk Publishers, The Hague: 321- 380.** 

**, T.A. Wooldridge and A.H. Dye. 1981. The ecology of sandy beaches in southern Africa. S. Afr. J. Zool. 16:219-231.** 

- **McLusky, D.S., S.A. Nair, A. Stirling and R. Bhargava. 1975. The ecology of a central west Indian beach, with particular reference to Donax incarnatus. Mar. Biol. 30:267-276.**
- **Myers, J.P., S.L. Williams and F.A. Pitelka. 1980. An experimental . analysis of prey availability for sanderlings (Aves: Scolopacidae) feeding on sandy beach crustaceans. Can. J. Zool. 58:1564-1574.**
- Newell, R.C. 1979. Biology of Intertidal Animals. Marine **Ecological Surveys Ltd., Kent, England: 781 pages.**

Ott, L. 1984. An Introduction to Statistical Methods and **Data Analysis. PWS Publishers: 775 pages.** 

 $\bullet$  .  $\downarrow$ 

- **Owens, E.H. 1977. Temporal variations in beach and nearshore dynamics. J. sedim. Petrol. 47:168-190.**
- **Oyarzun, C. 1977. Caracteristicas climatologicas de Mehuin. Memo, Instituto de Geociencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia: 41 pages.**
- Pearse, A.S., H.J. Humm and G.W. Wharton. 1942. Ecology **of sand beaches at Beaufort, N.C. Ecol. Monogr. 12:135-190.**
- **Perry, D.M. 1980. Factors influencing aggregation patterns in the sand crab Emerita analoqa (Crustacea: Hippidae). Oecologia (Berl.) 45:379-384.**
- **Pichon, M. 1967. Contribution a 1'etude des peuplements de la zone intertidale sur sables fins et sables vaseux non fixes dans la region de Tulear. Reel. Trav. Stn. mar. Endoume Suppl. 7:57-100.**
- **Pino, M. 1982. Interpretacion granulometrica a traves de componentes principales de la dinamica anual de acreciofi-erosiofi en Playa Pichicullfn, Mehufn, Provincia de Valdivia. Ill Congreso Geologico Chileno: 36-68.**
- **Pollock, L.W. and W.D. Hummon. 1971. Cyclic changes in interstitial water content, atmospheric exposure, and temperature in a marine beach. Limnol. Oceanogr. 16:522-535.**
- **Powers, M.C. 1953. A new roundness scale for sedimentary particles. J. sedim. Petrol. 23:117-119.**
- **Preece, G.S. 1971. The ecophysiological complex of**  Bathyporeia pilosa and **B**. pelagica (Crustacea: **Amphipoda). II. Effects of exposure. Mar. Biol. 11:28-34.**
- **Putnam, J.A., W.H. Munk and M.A. Taylor. 1945. The prediction of longshore currents. Trans. Am. Geophys. Onion 30:337-345.**
- **Richter, W. 1985. Distribution of the soft-bottom macroinfauna .in an estuary of Southern Chile. Mar. Biol. 86:93-100.**
- **Rodriguez, G. 1959. The marine communities of Margarita Island, Venezuela. Bull. Mar. Sci. 9:237-280.**

**Rosenzweig, H.L. 1981. A theory of habitat selection. Ecology 62:327-335.** 

- **Sallenger, A.R. and B.H. Richmond. 1984. High-frequency sediment—level oscillations in the swash zone. Mar. Geol. 60:155-164.**
- **Salvat, B. 1964. Les conditions hydrodynamiques interstitielles des sediments meubles intertidaux et la repartition verticale de la faune endogee. C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 259:1576-1579.**

**. 1966. Eurvdice pulchra (Leach 1815), Eurvdice affinis (Hansen 1905), (Isopodes Cirolanidae) — taxonomie, ethologie, ecologie, repartition verticale, et cycle reproducteur. Act. Soc. Linn. Bordeaux, 103 (Ser. Al):l-77.** 

**. 1967. La macrofaune carcinologique endogee des sediments meubles intertidaux (tanaidaces, isopodes et amphipodes), ethologie, bionomie et cycle biologique. Hem. Mus. Natn. Hist. Nat. Ser. A45:l-275.** 

**Sameoto, D.D. 1969a. Comparative ecology, life histories, and behaviour of intertidal sand-burrowing amphipods (Crustacea: Haustoriidae) at Cape Cod. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 26:361-388.** 

**. 1969b. Some aspects of the ecology and life cycle of three species of subtidal sand-burrowing**  amphipods (Crustacea: Haustoriidae). **Bd. Canada 26:1321-1345.** 

**' . 1969c. Physiological tolerances, and behaviour responses of five species of Haustoriidae (Amphipoda: Crustacea) to five environmental factors. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 26:2283-2298.** 

- **Sanchez, M., J.C. Castilla and 0. Mena. 1982. Variaciones verano-invierno de la macrofauna de arena en Playa Morrillos (Norte Chico, Chile). Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environm. 17:31-49.**
- **Schlatter, R.P. and E. Jaramillo. 1983. Ornitocenosis del**  litoral costero en Mehuín, Chile. El Hornero, numero **extraordinario: 149-164.**
- **Schroder, 6.D. and M.L. Rosenzweig. 1975. Perturbation analysis of competition and overlap in habitat utilization between Dipodomvs ordii and Dipodomvs merriami. Oecologia (Berl.) 19:9-28.**
- **Seed, R. and B.J. Lowcy. 1973. The intertldal macrofauna of seven sandy beaches of County Down. Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. B 73:217-230.**
- **Shelton, C.R. and P.B. Robertson. 1981. Community structure of intertldal macrofauna on two surf-exposed Texas sandy beaches. Bull. Mar. Sci. 31:833-842.**
- **Short, A.D. 1979. Three dimensional beach stage model. J. Geol. 87:553-571.** 
	- **and P.A. Hesp. 1982. Wave, beach, and dune interactions in southeastern Australia. Mar. Geol. 48:259-284.**
- **Simberloff, D. 1983. Sizes of coexisting species. In Futuyma, D.J. and M. Slatkin (eds.), Coevolution. Sinauer, Sunderland, Massachusetts: 404-430.** 
	- **and W. Boecklen. 1981. Santa Rosalia reconsidered: size ratios and competition. Evolution 35:1206-1228.**
- **Sokal, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf. 1969. Biometria. H. Blume Ediciones, Madrid: 832 pages.**
- **Stephenson, T.A. 1942. The causes of the vertical and horizontal distribution of organisms between tidemarks in South Africa. Proc. Linn. Soc. Lond. 154:219-232.**
- **Trevallion, A., A.D. Ansell, P. Sivadas and B. Narayanan. 1970. A preliminary account of two sandy beaches in South West India. Mar. Biol. 6:268-279.**
- **Vohra, F.C. 1971. Zonation on a tropical sandy shore. J. Anim. Ecol. 40:679-708.**
- **" Waddell, E. 1976. Swash-ground water-beach profile interactions. in R.A. Davis and R.E. Ethington (eds.). Beach and nearshore sedimentation. Soc. Econ. Paleo. Miner., Special Publ. 24:115-125.** 
	- **Wendt, G.E. and A. McLachlan. 1985. Zonation and biomass of the intertidal macrofauna along a South African sandy beach. Cah. Biol. mar. 26:1-14.**
	- **Wood, D.H. 1968. An ecological study of a sandy beach near Auckland, New Zealand. Trans, roy. Soc. N.Z. Zool. 11:89-115.**

.<br>And artificity of the forming stops of the experimental export policies of the control of the control of the s

Wright, L.D., J. Chappell, B.G. Thom, M.P. Bradshaw and P. **Cowell. 1979. Horphodynamics of reflective and**  dissipative beach and inshore systems: **Australia. Mar. Geol. 32:105-140.** 

12

**and A.D. Short. 1983. Morphodynamics of beaches and surf zones in Australia. In Komar, P.D. (ed.). Handbook of Coastal Processes and Erosion. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, Florida: 35-64.** 

**and . 1984. Morphodynamic variability of surf zones and beaches: a synthesis. Mar. Geol. 56:93-118.** 

**, A.D. Short and M.O. Green. 1985. Shortterm changes in the morphodynamic states of beaches and surf zones: an empirical predictive model. Mar. Geol. 62:339-364.** 



T

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

**Table 1. Number of animals used in the control and combination treatments of the interspecific coexistence experiments. The control treatments (single species) had 20 animals, while the pair comparisons had 10 animals from each species.** 

 $\sim$ 





 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

**1** 

**maximum accretion value for the year** 

**2** 

**maximum erosion value for the year 2**<br>
maximum erosion value for the y<br> **3**<br> **difference between** and

 $\frac{94}{6}$ 

			upper beach		middle beach		lower beach		
o m	variables		$\overline{x}$	range	$\mathbf{x}$ range		$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	range	
	mean grain size sorting	(x) <b>Ø)</b> $\circ$	2.31 0.42	$2.06 - 2.52$ $0.34 -$ 0.58	2.25 0.44	$1.93 - 2.48$ $0.31 -$ 0.52	2.15 0.44	$1.70 - 2.44$ $0.32 - 0.79$	
	temperature water content penetrability	(C) (8) $\texttt{(cm)}$	26.33 6.28 6.07	$10.50 - 45.00$ $0.98 - 14.93$ $4.13 - 9.00$	20.54 16.51 3.73	$10.50 - 31.20$ $4.66 - 21.73$ 7.15 $2.66 -$	17.77 19.35 3.54	$10.50 - 25.50$ $16.00 - 21.85$ $2.60 - 4.53$	

**Table 3. Mean and ranges of sand characteristics at three selected levels of Mehuin Beach; Hay, 1978 to April, 1980.** 

الأنبي



**Table 4. Summary of principal component analysis of sand characteristics at Mehuin Beach; May, 1978 to April, 1980.** 

**correlations between the variables and the components. <sup>r</sup>**

**2** 

**1** 

**row sum of squared component loadings; it represents the amount of variance in a variable that is accounted for by the two components taken together. 3** 

**column sum of squared component loadings; it indicates the relative importance of each component in accounting for by the variance associated with the set of variables. 4** 

**sum of the two eigenvalues; it represents the total amount of variance extracted by the component solution. 5** 

**obtained by dividing each eigenvalue for the number of variables. The trace indicates the total amount of variance the component solution is based upon and is equal to the number of variables based in the assumption that the variance in each variable is equal to one (Eair et al. 1979).** 

## **6**

**cumulative % of trace (variance) accounted for by the two components.** 

**Table 5. Dimensions of the brood life stages of the peracarid species at Mehuin Beach. The values are means (mm) ±1 standard error of pooled eggs, embryos and juveniles collected during Hay, 1978 to April, 1980.**   $\bullet$ 

life stages	<u>O. tuberculata</u>	E. braziliensis	E. hirsuticauda
diameter of eggs	$1.76 \pm 0.02$ (87)*	$0.90 \pm 0.01$ (78)	$0.66 \pm 0.01$ (123)
length of embryos	$2.26 \pm 0.03$ (31)	$1.75 \pm 0.02$ (131)	$1.42 \pm 0.02$ (142)
length of juveniles	$3.72 \pm 0.01$ (79)	$2.24 \pm 0.02$ (160)	$1.83 \pm 0.01$ (137)

**\*sample size** 



**Table 6. Seasonal mean lengths and percentage juveniles of the peracarid species at Mehuin Beach. The values are expressed in mm + 1 standard error.** 

 $\bullet$ 

**•sample size** 

**vo oo** 

	O. tuberculata	E. braziliensis	E. hirsuticauda	E. monodi	E. analoga
mean grain size sorting temperature water content penetrability	$2.33(2.06 - 2.54)$ $0.380$ $0.27 - 0.58$ $27.02(10.50 - 41.50)$ $9.77(0.98 - 19.49)$ $5.43(2.80 - 9.00)$	$0.39(0.27 - 0.58)$ $0.40(0.27 - 0.55)$ $0.41(0.32 - 0.55)$ $24.09(10.50 - 45.00) 20.00(10.50 - 34.50) 19.49(11.00 - 30.50)$ $11.97(2.03 - 20.67) 16.86(3.59 - 20.73) 17.86(11.21 - 20.73)$ $4.98(2.56 - 9.00)$ $3.71(2.36 - 8.55)$ $3.48(2.51 - 4.57)$	$2.31(1.77 - 2.54)$ $2.23(1.86 - 2.54)$	$2.19(1.77 - 2.46)$	$2.16(1.75 - 2.40)$ $0.42(0.33 - 0.65)$ $15.46(10.50 - 25.00)$ $19.07(10.70 - 23.71)$ $3.51(2.36 - 4.60)$

Table 7. Hean and ranges of some characteristics of Hehuín Beach where <u>O. tuberculata, E. braziliensis, E. hirsuticauda</u>,<br>E. monodi, and <u>E. analoga</u> were collected, Hay, 1978 to April, 1980.

 $\mathbf{t}$ 

 $\sim$   $\beta$ 

 $\mathbf{r}$ 



 $\sim$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\bullet$ 



 $\sim$ 



Table 9. Summary of multiple regression analyses with monthly samples collected at Mehuín Beach during May, **1978 to April, 1980. The values given in this table (other than probability values, intercept and multiple coefficient of determination) are the partial regression coefficients or values of each variable in the multiple regression equation.** 

**coefficient of determination** 

**\*\* p>F** 

**• \*\*\*NE \*\*\*\*NC variable not entered into the model (F-statistic lower than 0.05 probability level) do not correspond** 

**t-<sup>J</sup> O** 



 $\Delta$ 

.<br>The company of the second company and an analysis

المحكم





**Table 11. Summary of multiple regression analyses with seasonal samples collected at Hehuin Beach during September, 1980 to April, 1981. The values given in this table (other than probability values, intercept and multiple coefficient of determination) are the partial regression coefficients or values of each variable in the multiple regression equation.** 

 $\mathbb{Q}$  .

**\*R coefficient of determination** 

**\*\* p>F**   $\ddot{\bullet}$ 

**\*\*\*NE variable not entered into the model (F-statistic lower than 0.05 probability level)** 

**do not correspond** 

**H o OJ** 



Table 12. Transect width in meters, slope in & and mean grain size and sorting in  $\beta$  at beaches sampled **Bahia de Maiquillahue and outlet areas of Rio Queule and Rio Lingue; February-March, 1983. in** 



**2 Table 13. Mean densities per m of the macroinfaunal species collected at beaches located Maiguillahue and outlet areas o£** R**jlo Queule and Rio Lingue; February-March, 1983. in Bahia de** 



Table 14. Percentage of individuals, 24 hours after release in four sizes of sand: very fine (3-4 ø or 62.5-125<br>microns), fine (2-3 ø or 125-250 microns), medium (1-2 ø or 250-500 microns) and coarse (0-1 ø or 500-1000 **microns). The numbers are means (n:4) with standard errors in brackets.** 

**\*NS: not significant, p>0.05** 

**o** 





**\*n: number of replicates** 

**\*\*NS: not significant, p>0.05** 

**101** 

 $\pm$ 





**\*x** *p*: 2.15, f p: 0.55

 $**\overline{x}$  *p*: 1.96,  $\sigma$ *p*: 0.56

**\*\*\*NS: not significant, p> 0.05** 





**\*n: number of replicates** 

**\*\*x fit 0.60, (T\*l: 0.68, roundness: 0.42** 

\*\*\*\* p: 2.21, **f** p: 0.69, roundness: 0.34

**\*\*\*\*NS: not significant, p>0.05** 





**\*NS: not significant, p>0.05 2** 

\*\*values not used,  $S = 0$ 



**Table 19. Percentage mortality of peracarids in the intraspecific coexistence experiments. The numbers are means (n:4) with standard errors in brackets and refer to the mortality after 15 days (April 23-May 8, 1985).** 

**\*NS: not significant, p>0.05** 

**LIL** 



**Table 20. Percentage mortality of peracarids in the interspecific coexistence experiments, 24 hours light. The numbers are means (n: 4) with standard errors in brackets and refer to the mortality found after 15 days.** 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

**\*NS: not significant, p>0.05 \*\* : p<0.05** 



**Table 21. Percentage mortality of peracarids in the interspecific coexistence experiments, 12 hours light. The numbers are means (n:3) with standard errors in brackets and refer to the mortality found after 15 days (Nov. 28-Dec. 13, 1984).** 

**\*NS: not significant, p>0.05** 

**\*\*: p<0.05** 

**Fig. 1. Map of the Chilean coast showing the location of Bahia de Maiguillahue and the beaches analyzed in this study; 1: Mehuin, transect 1; 2: Mehuin, transect 2; 3: Mehuin, transect 3; 4: Mehuin, transect 4; 5: Matias; 6: Isla de Maiguillahue; 7: Queule, and 8: Lingue.** 



**Fig. 2. Scheme showing the position and height above the sand of the post and rocks used in the analyses of the sand level fluctuations at the upper, middle and lower beach. The upper half of this scheme shows an accretion profile, while the lower represents an erosion profile.** 



Fig. 3. Seasonal variability in a) mean total rainfall **and mean water salinity, and b) mean air and water temperature.** 


**Pig. 4. Seasonal variability in a) the frequency of the**  longshore drift, and b) north wind at Mehuin.



**Pig. 5. Long-term variability in the frequency of the north longshore drift and the sand levels at the upper, middle and lower beach of Mehuin.** 



**i—• to u>** 

**Fig. 6. Summary of seasonal variability in the frequency of the north longshore drift and the sand levels at the upper, middle and lower beach of Mehuin showing mean values for the period 1978-85. The height 0 represents the top of the post and the rocks used for the temporal analysis of sand level fluctuations. During some accretion periods, the sand levels covered over the top of the reference rock located on the lower beach (i.e. 1979, 81, 83, 85).** 



Fig. 6

**Pig. 7. Seasonal changes in the topographic profiles at Mehufn Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980.** 



70 D.

Fig. 7

**Fig. 8. Temporal variability of the sand levels at the**  upper, middle and lower beach of Mehuin during **May, 1978 to April, 1980. The lines representing the sand levels of the upper and middle beach are based on data collected fortnightly, while those of the lower beach are based on daily measurements.** 





**Pig. 9. Temporal variability in the beach face slope and mean grain size values at Mehuin Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The monthly grain size values are the means of the sizes (mean grain size in** *0)* **calculated for each station. The relationship beach face slope and mean grain size is shown in the lower half of this figure.** 

Ħ



beach face slope in %

Fig.  $\bullet$  TET

**Fig. 10. Temporal variability in a) the station levels, b) mean grain size, and c) sorting of the sediments at Mehuin Beach, during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The levels of the stations (height below 0) correspond to their heights in relation to the top (height 0) of the post located on the upper beach.** 



**Fig. 11. Relationships between the monthly averages of mean grain size and sand levels at the upper, middle and lower beach of Mehufn during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The height 0 represents the top of the post and the rocks used for the temporal analysis of sand level fluctuations. At the lower beach, two height values are negative; they represent periods in which the sand level covered over the top of the reference rock.** 



**Pig. 11** 

**Pig. 12. Relationships between the monthly means of sorting and mean grain size of the sediments of Mehuin Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980.** 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 



**Fig. 13. Temporal variability in a) temperature, b) water content, and c) penetrability of the sediments at Mehu^n Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980.** 



**Fig. 14. Relationships between a) the monthly averages of water content and temperature, and b) penetrability and water content of the sediments at Mehuin Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980.** 



i je skola po podatka objavanje predstav

**Fig. 15. Ordination of the stations sampled at Mehufn Beach during Nay, 1978 to April, 1980 based on the values of mean grain size, sorting, temperature, water content and penetrability of the sediments. The first component is represented by the horizontal axes, while the second is represented by the vertical ones.** 



**Fig. 16. Temporal variability in the mean macroinfaunal abundances at Hehufn Beach during Hay, 1978 to April, 1980.** 

÷1



Ä,

Fig. 16

**Pig. 17. Seasonal occurrence of ovigerous females and juveniles of the peracarid species at Mehuxn Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980.** 



Fig. 18. Seasonal occurrence of ovigerous females and juveniles of E. analoga at Mehuin Beach during May, 1978 to April, 1980.

¥



**Fig. 19. Occurrence and intertidal distribution of juveniles and adults of the peracarid species at Mehuin Beach during the recruitment months of May, 1978 to April, 1980.** 





**Fig. 20. Relationships between the mean body length of ovigerous females and the brood size of the peracarid species.** 

 $\bullet$ **44- £. hirsuticauda 40 y- -34.525+ 7.363 X 36 r« 0.812 p <Q05 R<sup>2</sup> - 0.659 32**  $siz$ e **28- 24 - £1 brazitiensis**  brood **20 y«-9.295 + 2.935 X**  153 **16 r« 0.882 p<0.05**   $R^2$  **-** 0.778 **12- 0. tuberculata 8 y--24.681+ 2.406 X r« 0,964 p<Q05 4 - R2 » 0.929**   $\mathbf{o}$ **-V -r~ i i**  16 17 **18**   $\overline{7}$ 9 10 11 **<sup>15</sup>** 14  $\ddot{\mathbf{g}}$ 

abased concentrat

tal substantial program in the

**mean body length in mm** 

**Fig. 20** 

**Fig. 21. Population structure of the peracarid species**  and E. analoga at Mehuin Beach during May, 1978 **to ' April, 1980. The juveniles of O.**  tuberculata, E. braziliensis and E. hirsuticauda **are included in the size class <4.0 mm, while**  those of E. analoga are in the first two size **classes, <4.0 and 4.0-5.9 mm.** 



O. tuberculata E. braziliensis E. hirsuticauda E. analoga

size classes in mm

Fig. 21
**Fig. 22. Intertidal zonation of the macroinfauna at Mehuxn Beach based on the overall mean abundances during May, 1978 to April, 1980.** 

Πł



**Pig. 23. Temporal variability in the intertidal zonation**  of a) <u>O.</u> tuberculata, b) E. braziliensis, c) E. hirsuticauda and d) E. analoga at Mehuin Beach **during May, 1978 to April, 1980. The dotted line at the top of each rectangle represents the variability of the sand level on the upper beach, while the black dots represent stations at which no animals were collected. The levels of the stations correspond to their heights in relation to the sand level of the post located on the upper beach.** 



Fig.

**Fig. 24. Long-term variability in the mean abundances of Q**. tuberculata, E. braziliensis and E. **hirsuticauda at selected intertidal levels of Mehuin Beach through the years 1978-1985.** 



Fig. 25. Long-term variability of E. analoga at the lower level of Mehuin Beach (station 5) through the **years 1978-1985.** 





**Fig. 26. Seasonal sand depth distribution of mean grain**  size ( $\bar{x}$   $\phi$ ) and sorting ( $\phi$ <sup>)</sup> at Mehuin Beach. **The variability in the number of stations was due to the seasonal variability in the beach width. No data were obtained during the macroinfaunal sampling of September 1981.** 

Ħ



**Fig. -26** 

**CTi u1** 

**Fig. 27. Seasonal sand depth distribution of temperature and water content of the sediments at Mehuin Beach. No data were obtained during the macroinfaunal sampling of September 1981.** 

- 1



Fig. 27

# **Fig. 28. Seasonal sand depth distribution of the macroinfauna at Mehuin Beach.**



**Fig. 29. Relationships between the mean abundances of the macroinfauna and mean grain size and sorting of the sediments in samples from Bahfa de Maiquillahue and outlet areas of Rio Queule and Rio Linguej February-March, 1983.** 

Ţ,



**Fig. 29** 

**Fig. 30. Selected Intertidal zonation schemes from Bah/a**  de Maiquillahue and outlet areas of Rio Queule **and Rio Lingue.** 

height in cm  $540 - 7$  $-091$  $\vec{8}$  $\boldsymbol{g}$ 40  $+$ HWST -  $\frac{1}{2}$  --<br>באור<br>באבר - ביצ stations O. tuberculata Mehuin E. braziliensis E. hirsuticauda Beach E. monodi E. analoga ा । ।<br>भएक  $\int_{0}^{1}$ O. tuberculata E. braziliensis dueule E. hirsuticauda o E. monodi  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \overline{1} \\ 4 \overline{0} \\ 0 \end{array}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ J Maiquillahue O. tuberculata E. analoga  $\overline{\Pi}$ 

Fig. 30

**Fig. 31. Mean mortality of the macroinfauna under different water salinities after a 1-week period.** 



**Mean mortality of the macroinfauna under**  Fig. **conditions of sand desiccation over a 24-hours period.** 



**Fig. 33. Temporal variability in the mean abundance of**  juveniles of E<sub>s</sub> analoga during May, 1978 to April, 1980, and shorebirds (C. alba and N. **phaeopus) during the years 1983-84.** 



頭室

者 あだてきるのが、そんなるままなのでのかい

÷,

Fig. 33

# **APPENDIX**

ब्ल्

 $\zeta$ 

**SANDY BEACH MACROINFAUNA FROM THE CHILEAN COAST: ZONATION PATTERNS AND ZOOGEOGRAPHY.** 

> **Eduardo Jaramillo Instituto de Zoologia Universidad Austral de Chile Valdivia, Chile**

**RESUME. - La zonation de la macrofaune des plages sableuses**  est etudiee en trois regions de la province Peruvo-**Chilienne. Les niveaux inferieures des trois regions sont caracterises par Emerita analoaa (Anomura), Nephtvs Amppessa (Polychaeta) et Mesodesma donacium (Bivalvia). Dans les niveaux moyens une reduction est constatee dans le nombre des especes d'Isopodes cirolanides du sud au nord, et dans certaines plages elles sont absentes. Une Cirolanide (Excirolana braziliensisl et un Insect (Phalerisidia maculatal sont presents dans la zone superieure de toutes les plages etudiees. Des. Crabes (Ocypode gaudichaudii) ne sont presents que dans la zone superieure dans la partie nord du Chili, des especes d'Isopodes terrestres (Tylos spinulosus) dans le nord de la region centrale et un Amphipode (Orchestoidea tuberculata) dans toute la region centrale chilienne. Cette distribution geographique est analysee en fonction des conditions hydrographiques et climatiques particulieres de la cote chilienne. L'hypottiese avancee repose sur 1'absence de courant ou des barrieres de temperature a la surface de l'eau ce qui favorise la similitude de la composition taxonomique dans les zones inferieures de** 

**181** 

**toutes les plages etudiees. L'accrolssement des precipitations du nord au sud et la difference de temperature de l'air et du sediment, du sud au nord, expliguent les differences qui apparaissent dans les compositions taxonomiques de la macrofaune dans les zones superieures.** 

**ABSTRACT. - The zonation of the sandy beach niacroinfauna was studied at three areas of the Peruvian-Chilean Province. The low beach levels (below MTL) of the three areas were characterized bys Emerita analoaa (Anomura), Neohtvs impressa (Polychaeta), and Mesodesma donacium (Bivalvia). The middle (MTL to MHWNT) and high (above MHWNT) beach levels showed significant changes in species composition with latitude. At middle levels there is a**  decrease in the number of cirolanid isopod species **fExcirolana spp.) from south to north, and at some northern beaches they were absent altogether. One cirolanid (Excirolana braziliensis), and an insect (Phalerisidia maculata), inhabited high beach levels of all the beaches studied. Ocypodid crabs (Ocypode qaudichaudii) were found only at high beach levels of northern Chile, oniscoid isopods (Tvlos spinulosus) only at northern central Chile, and talitrid amphipods (Orchestoidea tuberculata) at northern central and southern central Chile. The pattern of geographic distribution is analyzed in relation to some hydrographic and climatic conditions along the Chilean** 

**coast. It is hypothesized that the general absence of current or temperature barriers in the surface waters, allows similar species composition at low beach levels at all locations. On the other handr an increase in rainfall from north to south, and differences in air and sediment temperatures from south to north, are associated with different taxonomic composition of fauna at high beach levels. The zonation patterns of the Peruvian-Chilean macroinfauna are very smilar to those noted by Dahl (1952)**  and Trevallion et al. (1970).

#### **INTRODUCTION**

■無線の状況をおける場合は、そのことは、そのことによっていることになっていることになっていることになっていることになっていることになっていることはないと思います。そのことになっていることになっているこ

**Investigations of the sandy beach macroinfauna of the Chilean coast are rather limited. The first mention of macroinfaunal zonation is that of Dahl (1952) who analyzed the intertidal distribution of Crustacea at Montemar (central Chile), Isla Tenglo (southern central Chile), and Punta Arenas (southern extreme of Chile). At northern**  central Chile, Sanchez et al. (1982) studied the community **structure and zonation schemes of a semi-exposed beach and compared their zonation results with the schemes of Dahl (1952), Pichon (1967), and Trevallion et al. (1970). For**  this area of the Chilean coast, Castilla et al. (1977) and **Castilla (1983) analyzed changes in the typical zonation pattern and community structure of sandy beaches affected by an oil spill and by copper mine tailings, respectively. Zonation of species living in sandy areas of central Chile** 

**were mentioned by Nunez al. (1974) and Osorio al. (1967), while distributional patterns in southern central**  Chile were studied by Bertran (1984), Epelde-Aguirre and **Lopez (1975), and Jaramillo (1978). The last two studies also provided comparisons with world-wide zonation schemes. All of these earlier studies were carried out mainly in the central area of the Chilean littoral (from approximately 30 o to 40 S). The Chilean coast is quite extensive (about o o 4,000 km) ranging from approximately 18 30'S to 55 S latitude, covering about 36 degrees of latitude. Zoogeographical boundaries were discussed by several authors (e.g. Balech, 1954; Brattstrom and Johanssen, 1983; Castilla, 1976; Dahl, 1960; Dell, 1971; Knox, 1960; and Viviani, 1979). In general, most workers agree that two regions can be distinguished: a northern warm-temperate o (from about 18 to 42 S latitude), the Peruvian or Peruvian-Chilean Province, and a southern cold-temperate (from about o 42 to 55 S latitude) or Magellanic/Patagonic Province. A transitional zone has also been suggested, located o approximately between 30 and 40 S, where many species from both regions co-exist (Balech, 1954; Brattstrom and Johanssen, 1983; and Dell, 1971).** 

**The purpose of this study was to analyze the species composition and zonation . patterns of the sandy beach macroinfauna inhabiting three areas of the Peruvian-Chilean** 

**Province. Northern Chile corresponds approximately to an o area between 18 and 25 S, northern central Chile between 25 o o and 35 S, and southern central Chile between 35 and 45 S.** 

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**The faunal data examined for this paper represent samples from ten sandy beaches along the Chilean coast (Fig. 1). Sites in northern and southern central Chile were sampled in the period January to December, 1977, while the site in northern central Chile was sampled during November, 1980. The macroinfauna were sampled during spring low tides at a series of stations along transects extending from low water level to the back border of each beach (defined by foredunes, cliffs or drift lines). At 2 each station, a 0.1 m box quadrat (33 x 33 cm) was pressed into the sand 15 cm. The sediment was washed through a 1 mm sieve, and the animals were removed and preserved in 10% formalin. Ghost crab (Ocvpode aaudichaudii Milne Edwards) distribution was recorded by the number of burrows. 2** 

**Density values per 1 m were calculated (except for Ocvpode aaudichaudii) and used to draw kite diagrams and describe zonation patterns. To analyze the zonation of species associations, macroinfaunal samples were subjected to numerical classification. The taxonomic similarity between pairs of samples.was calculated with Jaccard's Index (after Saiz, 1980), and dendrograms were obtained after the weighted Pair Group Method (Sokal and sneath, 1973). Multivariate analyses were performed with the** 

**program ACOM (Navarro, 1984) on a DECSYSTEM-2020 computer at the Centro de Informacion y Computacion, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia.** 

**Beach profiles were constructed according to the method of Emery (1961). The slope of each transect was measured by the coefficient a x 100, where a is the L difference in height between the highest and lowest station (low water line), and L is the distance between these two points. Sand samples (15 cm deep) were taken for grain size analysis at the high, middle, and low levels of each beach. The three samples were mixed, washed, dried at o 105 C for 24 hours, and then subsampled randomly to obtain a cumulative 100 gram sample. The samples were passed through a series of sieves of 2,000, 1,000, 500, 250, 106, and 53 microns; a mechanical shaker was used for 20 minutes on each sample. Graphic mean and inclusive graphic standard deviation values were calculated from cumulative curves drawn with the percentages of sand in each size fraction (after Folk, 1980). The results for each beach**  are expressed in phi units  $(\phi = -log \ mm)$ .

**2 Analyses of environmental conditions on the Chilean coast were based on several features: (1) examination of hydrographic characteristics given by Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983) and Viviani (1979), and comparisons of sea water temperatures compiled from Ahumada and Chuecas (1979), Bretos et al. (1983), Pizarro (1973), and the daily** 

**data of the Marine Biology Station of the universidad**  Austral de Chile at Mehuin, (2) comparisons of surface sand **temperatures (about 0.5 cm depth) of sandy beaches in northern and southern central Chile, and (3) inspection of climatic characteristics given by Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983), and Walter's climatic diagrams (1957) presented by di Castri and Hajek (1976) for selected coastal localities.** 

#### **RESULTS**

# **The Beaches**

**The sandy beaches studied here are located on the exposed coast of the Chilean littoral (Fig. 1) having moderate tidal amplitudes (1.2 - 2.2 m at spring tides) and unequal tidal height (twice) each 24 hours (Brattstrom and Johanssen, 1983).** 

**In northern Chile, Colorado, Cavancha and Huaiguigue were the narrowest beaches with the steepest profiles (highest slope values). The other two beaches of this area, Aguila and Guanillo, were the widest beaches and had the shallowest profiles (lowest slope values, cf. Table I and Fig. 1). Punta Choros, a beach sampled in northern central Chile, had a steep profile (Fig. 1). In southern central Chile, the four beaches studied had similar widths. Niebla and Muicolpue were the steepest, while Ronca and Mehufn had more gentle slopes (cf. Table I and Fig. 1). Colorado in the north and Niebla in the south had medium**  sand sediments (1-2  $\phi$ ), while the other beaches showed fine

**sand sediments (2-3** *t,* **cf. Polk, 1980). Colorado and Niebla had moderately well sorted sediments (0.50 - 0.71 jrf), while all the rest fit the range of well sorted sediments (0.35 - 0.50** *0,* **cf. Folk, 1980). The**  relationship between slope and grain size (p) is shown in **Fig. 1. in general, the steepest beaches had the coarsest sediments; however, the correlation coefficient (r = -0.58) between these paired observations was not significant.** 

# **Currents and Sea water Temperature**

**The following summary of the oceanic current system near the Chilean coast is based on the comprehensive account given by Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983). For this study only some of the currents involved in this system (Fig. 2) are discussed.** 

**o Near 80-90 W longitude off the coast of southern Chile the Westwind Drift Current (circumantarctic) gives rise to a northern and a southern branch (Fig. 2). The Perif Oceanic Current transports subantarctic waters to the north, while the Cape Horn Current flows to the southern tip of South America along the Chilean archipelagos. At o about 40-48 S and close to the coast, the Cape Horn Current**  produces the Humboldt or Peru Coastal Current which carries **to the north subantarctic waters in origin and colder than those in the Peru'Oceanic Current. The Humboldt Current o leaves the coast at about 30 S, near the southern boundary of the most significant upwelling area on the Chilean coast (Viviani, 1979).** 

**The combined effect of the northerly transport of cold water by the Humboldt Current and the upwelling occurring along the central and northern littoral, explain why the sea temperature differences between northern and southern Chile are smaller than expected for this long coastline (Brattstrom and Johanssen, 1983; Viviani, 1979). Therefore, no abrupt temperature barriers are found, and the temperature increases gradually from south to north (Brattstrom and Johanssen, 1983). The following examples give support to these general statements. Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983) mention that the sea surface temperature o during October-March (spring-summer) is 15-20 C off Arica o o o (18 28'S latitude), 16-18 C at Coquimbo (about 29 20'S) and o o 12-16 C at Valdivia (39 48'S). During April-September o (fall-winter), similar temperatures are 14-18 C at Arica, o o about 13-14 C at Coquimbo, and 10-11 C at Valdivia. Thus, the difference between both latitudinal extremes (about o 2,500 km apart) is only 8 C for both seasonal periods. These values are quite similar to those from other sources. o Mean sea surface temperature at Huaiquique (20 17'S) varied o between 15 and 17.5 C during 1979-80; however, higher o temperatures have been measured (up to 25 C) here (Bretos j£feai., 1983; Viviani, 1979) during years when El Nino, a tropical south-flowing current affects especially northern and central Chile. At Montemar (32 58'S), Bahfa de Concepcion (36 40'S) and Mehu^n (39 26'S), the mean values fluctuate in a similar manner between approximately 12 and** 

**189** 

**化学生的 医生物的 医心理病 医心理病 医心理病 医心理的 医肠内脏 医肠切开术 医心理学家 医心理学家 医心理学家** 

**o 14 C over a number of years (Ahumada and Chuecasr 1979; Pizarro, 1973 and data from the Marine Biology Station of Universidad Austral de Chile).** 

# **Climate**

**o From approximately 18 to 30 S latitude (Arica to Coguimbo), there is a very low and irregular rainfall (di Castri and Hajek, 1976). Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983) consider this area the dry zone of Martin (1923) with a o desert coast and a mean monthly air temperature of 18-22 C o in the summer and about 12-17 C in the winter. The area o between 30 and 38 S latitude (Coguimbo to Isla Mocha) corresponds closely to Martin's (1923) warm zone where the mean temperature for the warmest month varies from about 15 o o to 22 C, and for the coldest month from 10-13 C. Furthermore, Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983) state that the yearly rainfall increases from about 110 mm at Coguimbo to 760 mm at Talcahuano (Isla Quiriguina) and still higher farther south. Finally, Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983) o claim that the area between about 38 to 56 S (Isla Mocha to**  Cabo de Hornos) closely corresponds to the rainy zone and **the Patagonia-Tierra del Fuego zone of Martin (1923). The mean annual temperature here decreases approximately o o o linearly from 12.5 C at about 38 30'S south to 5.4 C at Cabo de Homos.** 

**These climatic characteristics are graphically displayed by Walter's climatic diagrams. In this display** 

**(Fig. 3, left side), the abcissa represents the months of the year, while the two ordinate axes represent temperature and rainfall. Aridity (dotted area) is indicated when the rainfall curve lies below the temperature curve. The humid period is conventionally represented by vertical lines, with the exception of periods exceeding 100 mm rainfall which are black; the dry period is represented by a dotted surface (di Castri and Hajek, 1976). Arica is typical of the dry zone, with aridity during the entire year. La Serena, Zapallar, and Lebu are located in the warm zone delimited by Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983), where the arid period decreases from north to south, coincidental with an increase of the humid period. Aridity ceases south of Lebu; Punta Galera and Puerto Aysen show an excess of rainfall during at least half of the year. These two localities and Punta Arenas are included in the so-called rainy zone.** 

### **Sand Temperature**

**The data obtained during the autumn of 1977 from beaches of northern Chile (Colorado, Cavancha, Huaiguigue, Aguila and Guanillo) show that the sand temperature values were similar to those measured during summer at the sandy beach of Mehu^n in southern central Chile. At these o beaches, temperatures ranged from approximately 18 to 45 C, o with values above 33 C at the highest beach levels, i.e.**  above MHWST. At Mehuin, sediment temperatures varied **o o between approximately 14 and 19 C in May 1978, 17 and 29 C**
**o in April 1979, and 21 and 34 C in April 1980. During the autumn of 1979 and 1980, the temperature values measured at the highest beach levels of Hehufn were quite similar to those measured at the middle levels of the beaches in northern Chile.** 

## **The macroinfauna**

**The highest number of species and total densities were found in sandy beaches of northern central and southern central Chile (Table II). In northern Chile (with the exception of Colorado) the highest densities per station, and the highest density of the dominant species as the anomuran crab Emerita analoaa (Stimpson) (Hippidae), occurred near the low water line (Table II). At Funta Choros, the highest density per station was estimated for the highest level of that beach, while in southern central Chile, stations located at the middle levels (MHWNT to MTL**  or MTL) of Mehuin and Muicolpue yielded the highest **densities (Table II). The isopod Excirolana hirsuticauda Menzies (Cirolanidae) was the most abundant species in both of these areas with the exception of Muicolpue in the south, where the amphipod Orchestoidea tuberculata Nicolet (Talitridae) was the most abundant species.** 

**The zonation of the species from each area of the Chilean coast is shown in Fig. 4. The insect Phalerisidia maculata Kulzer occurred on the high beach levels of all the beaches studied. The ghost crab Ocypode aaudichaudii** 

**Milne Edwards (Ocypodidae) occurred only in northern Chile. Tylid isopods (Tvlos spinulosus Dana) were not collected in northern Chile, nor in southern central Chile, but only at Punta Choros in northern central Chile. Talitrid amphipods forchestoidea tuberculata) were absent at northern Chile, and cirolanid isopods were more diverse at southern central**  Chile (Excirolana hirsuticauda, Excirolana braziliensis **Richardson and Excirolana monodi Carvacho). Emerita analogsr the polychaete Nephtvs impressa Baird (Nephtyidae), and the clam Mesodesma donacium (Lamark) were collected at the low levels (below HTL) of most of the beaches.** 

**Three major station groups, linked by a very low value of similarity (0.04), are recognizable in the dendrogram produced by the cluster analysis (Fig. 5). The groups (A, B and C) represent tidal levels, while the subgroups within them represent groups of stations from different geographical areas. Group A includes levels inhabited by**  insects, ocypodids, talitrids, cirolanid (E. braziliensis) **and tylid isopods. Group B has cirolanids as the main**  component and group C includes E. analoga, N. impressa, and **El donacium.** 

## **DISCUSSION**

**The analysis of the zonation patterns and cluster analysis of the macroinfauna from the Chilean coast show that significant changes in species composition occur at** 

**middle and high beach levels, while similar species occur at low beach levels (Fig. 6). At middle beach levels, there is a northward reduction in the number of cirolanid isopod species, and an absence of cirolanids at some beaches in northern Chile. At high beach levels, talitrid amphipods occur in southern central and northern central Chile, while in northern Chile ocypodid crabs occupy similar levels. On the other hand, oniscoid isopods only occur in the northern central area of the Chilean coast.** 

**The hydrographic analyses of Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983) and viviani (1979) show that no abrupt sea water temperature or current barriers are typically found on the Chilean coast. This may explain why the same species, <u>***Emerita analoga, Nephtvs impressa</u>* **and Mesodesma donacium,**</u> **characterize the low beach levels in sands that are water saturated most of the time. These abiotic factors could readily favor widespread distribution of benthic animals with pelagic larvae. The presence of upwelling waters bringing higher primary production to the northern coast (Viviani, 1979) may explain the higher abundance of E. analoaa in northern Chile (Fig. 2). Higher abundances than those presented here were also observed for this species**  and another suspension feeder (M. donacium) in a beach near **Coquimbo (personal observations).** 

**Other physical factors such as air and sediment temperatures, and rainfall show a different pattern. This pattern is characterized by wide latitudinal changes,** 

**194** 

**especially rainfall (Fig. 3). These climatic factors can be important for organisms living higher on the shore (Brattstrom and Johanssen, 1983). The progressive increase , of rainfall toward the south is an effective barrier in the southward distribution of many littoral species common in northern Chile (Viviani, 1979) and may explain the absence in southern central Chile of some echinoderms and grapsid crabs, species that are common in the littoral of northern central Chile (Viviani, 1975). Similar ideas can be hypothetically applied to the distribution of ocypodid crabs and oniscoid isopods (Fig. 6). The increase in rainfall from La Serena southward (Fig. 3) may be a significant obstacle to the southward distribution of both groups, by lowering the salinity of surface and interstitial water on the beach. If the upper layers of sandy beaches have freshwater flowing over or falling on them, the situation may not be tolerated by those animals that are mostly active in the surface sediments. The increase in pore water due to the increase in rainfall may adversely affect the stability of the burrows of ghost crabs and oniscoid isopods; the sediment may become too fluid to support burrows.** 

스페인

**Lack of rainfall, together with high temperatures in the sediment, may act as barriers for the northward distribution of talitrids and oniscoids (Fig. 6). Other factors, for example, predation by ocypodids as a regulating mechanism in the distribution of these** 

**peracarlds, have not been reported, although in other littoral areas, predaceous habits have been mentioned for**  these crabs (e.g. Ansell et al., 1972; Koepcke and Koepcke, **1953; Wolcott, 1978). On the Chilean coast, Viviani (personal communication) has observed the coexistence of ocypodids and oniscoids in sandy beaches of Bahjfa Salada o o (27 38'S, 70 58'W) and near Punta Choros. In both areas, the densities of the ghost crab populations were very low in comparison to those of Tylos spinulosus, and no predator activity was observed.** 

**The harsh conditions at high beach levels of northern Chile do not necessarily preclude the presence of all the peracarids characteristic of these levels in other areas of the Chilean coast. For example, the cirolanid Excirolana braziliensis inhabited most of the beaches studied in northern Chile and also occurs throughout the tropical and subtropical areas of the east coast of the Americas (Glynn et al.. 1975). This species was restricted to the high or highest middle levels in most of the beaches in northern Chile, and in two sites (Colorado, Cavancha), it did not occupy the middle levels at all. The presence of e. braziliensis in northern Chile suggests that significant differences exist between this species and Orchestoidea tuberculata and Tvlos spinulosus related to tolerance to desiccation and high environmental temperatures. in fact, o high tolerances to warm waters (30-40 C) have been experimentally shown for one population of £.\*. braziliensis** 

**from Naos Island, Panama (Dexter, 1977), while in desiccation tolerance experiments carried out with animals from Hehuin, £•. braziliensis showed higher tolerances than 0. tuberculata (E. Jaramillo, unpubl. data). Another factor that speaks to the harshness of the high beach levels of northern Chile as compared with those of northern central and southern central Chile, concerns the location of the highest macroinfaunal abundances. In northern Chile, these were typically at low beach levels, while in the other two areas they were at middle or high beach levels (Table II). Consequently, the environmental harshness gradient discussed above (sediment temperaturerainfall) would function along two gradients (i.e. latitudinal and tidal) (Fig. 6, top).** 

**The discussion so far does not help to explain the absence of macroinfauna at the middle and slightly low levels in some beaches of northern Chile. Aguila and Guanillo exhibit similar width, beach slope and grain size; however, middle and slightly low tidal levels were lacking macroinfauna at Aguila, while they were occupied by Excirolana braziliensis at Guanillo. Colorado, Cavancha and Huaiquique had similar width, but different slopes and grain sizes. On the other hand, Colorado differed from the other beaches due to its high degree of anthropomorphic influence (fishing industry nearby). However, all of these beaches exhibited the same lack of macroinfauna at the mentioned tidal levels. It remains an enigma. A similar** 

**situation was reported by Epelde-Aguirre and Lopez (1975) for the middle beach levels of Playa Blanca in southern central Chile.** 

**Several attempts have been made to build zonation**  schemes for worldwide application, or at least, for **littoral sandy areas over wide latitudinal ranges. Among**  them, those of Dahl (1952) and Trevallion et al. (1970) are **the most comprehensive schemes. The zonation patterns described above for the Peruvian-Chilean Province are very**  similar to those noted by Dahl and Trevallion et al., but **with some important differences. The presence of cirolanid isopods at higher beach levels (Dahl's subterrestrial fringe) of all the beaches studied, talitrid amphipods in the middle beach levels (Dahl's midlittoral) of southern central Chile, cirolanids in the lower beach levels (Dahl's sublittoral) of that same area, and anomuran crabs (Hippidae) in the lower beach along all the shores studied, are the main differences with the scheme of Dahl (1952). Similar differences were also found in other studies carried** out on the Chilean coast (Castilla et al., 1977; **Epelde-Aguirre and Lopez, 1975? Jaramillo, 1978? Sanchez fife. al.. 1982) and in other areas of the world. More similarities are found when zonation patterns are compared with Trevallion\*s al. (1970) scheme. In the specific case of the littoral studied here, the main difference with Trevalllon's scheme is the absence of bivalves of the genus Donax, gastropods and echinoids from the Chilean coast.** 

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

**I wish to thank Drs. R.A. Croker, University of New Hampshire, D.M. Dexter, San Diego State University, C.A. Viviani, Chile, and other members of my doctoral committee (Drs. F. Anderson, A. Borror, A. Mathieson and J. Taylor, University of New Hampshire) for their comments and improvement of the manuscript. Special thanks go to my friends working in the Marine Biology station of Universidad Austral de Chile at Mehufn for their assistance in the field. This study was partly funded by the Research Office of the universidad Austral de Chile through projects S.77-37 (1977) and S.80-37 (1980).** 

**LITERATURE** 

**AHUMADA, R. and L. CHOECAS. 1979. Algunas caracter fsticas hidrograticas de la Bahfa de Concepciofi (36 40fS, 73 02'W) y areas adyacentes. Chile. Gayana (Hiscelanea) 8:1-56.** 

**ANSELL, A.D., P. SIVADAS, B. NARAYANAN, V.N. SANKARANARAYANAN and A. TREVALLION. 1972. The ecology o£ two sandy beaches in South West India. I. Seasonal changes in physical and chemical factors and in the macrofauna. Mar. Biol. 17:38-62.** 

- **BALECHf E. 1954. Division zoogeografica del litoral sudamericano. Revista de Biologia Marina 4:184-195.**
- BERTRAN, C. 1984. Macroinfauna intermareal en un estuario **del Sur de Chile (Estuario del Rfo Lingue, Valdivia). Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 19:33-46.**
- **BRATTSTROM? C. and A. JOHANSSEN. 1983. Ecological and regional zoogeography of the marine benthic fauna of Chile. Sarsia 68:289-389.**
- **BRETOS, M., I. TESORIERI and L. ALVAREZ. 1983. The biology of Fissurella maxima Sowerby (Mollusca: Archaeogastropoda) in northern Chile. 2. Notes on its reproduction. Biol. Bull. 165:559-568.**
- **CASTILLAr J.C. 1976. Parques y reservas marftimas**  <sup>d</sup>e creacion, probables **localizaciones y criterios ba'sicos. Medio Ambiente 2:70-80.**

**1983.** Environmental impact in sandy<br>beaches of copper mine tailings at Chaffaral. **beaches of copper mine tailings at Chaffaral, Chile. Marine Pollution Bulletin 14:459-464.** 

**M. SANCHEZ and 0. MENA. 1977. Estudios ecologicos ^en la zona costera afectada por contaminacion del "Northern Breeze." I. Introducciofi general y comunidades de playas de arena. Medio Ambiente 2:53-64.** 

**DAHL, E. 1952. Some aspects of the ecology and zonation of the fauna on sandy beaches. Oikos 4:1-27.** 

**. 1960. The cold temperate zone in Chilean seas. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 152:631-633.** 

**DELLr R.K. 1971. The marine mollusca of the Royal Society Expedition to southern Chiler 1958-1959. Records. Dominion Museum Wellington 7:154-233.** 

- **DEXTER, D. 1977. Natural history of the Pan-American sand b**raziliensis (Crustacea: isopod **Excirclana** *braziliensis* (Crustacea: **Halacostraca). J. Zool., Lond. 183:103-109.**
- **Di CASTRI, F. and E. HAJEK. 1976. Bioclimatologia de Chile. Ed. Universidad Catolica de Chile: 42-53.**
- **EMERY, K. 1961. A simple method of measuring beach profiles. Limnol. Oceanogr. 6:90-93.**
- EPELDE-AGUIRRE, A. and M.T. LOPEZ. 1975. Zonacion en el **sustrato arenoso de Playa Blanca, Bahia de Coronel y observaciones sobre crustaceos poco frecuentes.**  Boletín Sociedad de Biología de Concepcion XLIX:161-**170.**
- **FOLK, R. 1980. Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks. Hemphill Publishing Co.r Austin, Texas: 182 pp.**
- **GLYNN, P., D. DEXTER and T. BOWMAN. 1975. Excirolana brazillensis. a Pan-American sand-beach isopod: taxonomic status, zonation and distribution. J. Zool. Lond. 162:359-369.**
- **JARAMILLO, E. 1978. Zonacion y estructura de la comunidad macrofaunistica en playas de arena del Sur de Chile (Mehuin, Valdivia). Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 13:71-92.**
- **KNOX, G.A. 1960. Littoral ecology and biography of the southern oceans. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 152:577-624.**
- KOEPCKE, H.W. and M. KOEPCKE. 1953. Contribucion al<br>conocimiento de la forma de vida de Ocypode **conocimiento de la forma de vida de Ocypode**  Lucas (Decapoda, **Crust.). Publicaciones del Museo de Historia Natural "Javier Prado," Lima. Serie A, 13:1-46.**

**MARTIN, C. 1923. Landes kunde von Chile. L. Friedrichsen Co., Hamburg: 786 pp.** 

- **NAVARRO, R. 1984. Programa computacional para el analis numerico de communidades: Diversidad y Sobreposiciofi. Medio Ambiente. 7:82-87.**
- **NUNEZ, \_T., 0. ARACENA and M.T. LOPEZ. 1974. Emerita analoaa en Llico. Provincia de Curico (Crust. Dec. Hippidae). Boletin Sociedad de Biologfa de Concepciofi XLVIII:11-22.**

**OSORIO, C., N. BAHAMONDE and H.T. LOPEZ. 1967. El limanche (Emerita analoga (Stimpson)) en Chile (Crustacea, Decapoda, Anomura). Boletín del Museo** (Crustacea, Decapoda, Anomura). **Nacional de Historia Natural XXIX:63-105.** 

**PICHON, M. 1967. Contribution a 1'etude des peuplements de la ssone intertidale sur sables fins et sables vaseaux non fixes dans la region de Tulear. Rec. Trav. Sta. Mar. Endoume, Suppl. 7:57-100.** 

- **PIZARRO, M. 1973. Estudios de ecologla fito planctonica en la Bahxa de Valparaiso. I. La temperatura superficial y la radiaciofi solar. Revista Biologfa Marina 15:77-105.**
- **SAIZ, P. 1980. Experiencias en el uso de criterios de similitud en el estudio de comunidades. Archivos de Biologfa y Medicina Experimental 13:387-402.**
- **SANCHEZ, M., J.C. CASTILLA and 0. MENA. 1982. Variaciones verano invierno de la macrofauna de arena en Playa Morrillos (Norte Chico, Chile). Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 17:31-49.**
- **SOKAL, R.R. and P.H.A. SNEATH. 1973. Numerical Taxonomy. W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco: 549 pp.**
- **TREVALLION, A., A.D. ANSELL, P. SIVADAS and B. NARAYANAN. 1970. A preliminary account of two sandy beaches in South West India. Mar. Biol. 6:268-279.**
- **VIVIANI, C. 1975. Comunidades marinas litorales.**  Publicacion ocasional Lab. Ecología Marina, Iquique, **Chile. Mimeo: 196 pp.**

**, . 1979. Ecogeograffa del litoral chileno. Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 14:65-123.** 

- **WALTER, H. 1957. Wie Kanman den Klimatypus anschaulich darstellen? Umschau 24:751-753.**
- **WOLCOTT, T.G. 1978. Ecological role of ghost crabs Ocvpode auadrata (Fabricius) on an ocean beach: scavengers or predators? J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 31:67-82.**

「2000年まで、中国の東京の東京の東京の東京の中国で、中国の中国の東京の東京の東京の東京の1992年の1992年に、1992年に、1993年に、1993年に、1993年に、1993年に、1993年に



## TABLE I. Transect width in meters, slope in & and grain size values (graphic mean in phi  $=$   $\beta$ ) at each beach.

やするます時のときにはども、京都書きますのが変えるのがあるからいい。

TABLE II. Number of species and density values (number per 1 m ) of the total macroinfauna and the dominant species.<br>Mean densities are in relation to the number of stations with animals. The ghost crab <u>Ocypode gaudichaud</u> **figures.** 

	no.	spp.	total density	highest density		tidal level (station)		dominant species	density	highest density	tidal level (station)	
			$\mathbf x$						Ŧ			
<b>NORTHERN CHILE</b>												
Colorado Cavancha <b>Huaiquique</b> Aguila Guanillo			29 43 140 149 103	56 83 250 704 231	above MHWST (1)	MLWST (7) MLWST (8) MLWST (13) MLWNT (9)		P. maculata E. analoga E. analoga E. analoga E. analoga	30 56 158 477 95	56 65 176 602 185	above MHWST (1) MLWST (7) MLWST (8) MLWST MLWNT (9)	(13)
NORTHERN CENTRAL CHILE												
Punta Choros		8	874	1500	above MHWST (1)			E. hirsuticauda	1111	1389	MLWNT (5)	
SOUTHERN CENTRAL CHILE												
Ronca Mehuín Niebla Muicolpue			71 189 94 145	204 417 287 389	MHWNT-MTL (6) MHWNT-MTL (5)	MEWNT (5) <b>MTL</b> (5)		E. hirsuticauda E. hirsuticauda E. hirsuticauda <u>O. tuberculata</u>	69 118 84 111	185 352 194 222	MHWNT (5) MHWNT-MTL (6) MLWNT (7)	<b>MTL</b> (5)

Ą,

**Fig. 1. Study areas showing beach profiles at each site. The relationship between grain size (graphic**  mean in  $\cancel{\phi}$  and slope (%) is shown in the **inserted panel on the right. The beaches are Colorado (Co), Cavancha (Ca), Huaiguigue (Hu), Aguila (Ag), Guanillo (Gu), Punta Choros (Pu), Ronca (Ro), Mehuin (Me), Niebla (Ni) and Muicolpue (Mu).** 



Fig. 1

90Z

**Fig. 2. Some of the oceanic currents off the Chilean coast. 1: Westwind Drift Current, 2: Peru Oceanic Current, 3s Cape Horn Current, 4: Humboldt or Peru Coastal Current, and 5: Peru Counter Current. The dotted area indicates the region of the upwelling system. Based on Brattstrom and Johanssen (1983) and Viviani (1979).** 



**Pig. 3. Walter's climatic diagrams (temperature and rainfall) of selected coastal localities along the Chilean coast (figures taken from di Castri and Hajek, 1976). The scales of this representation are shown in the inserted diagram on the left side of the figure.** 



Fig. 3

Fig. 4. Zonation of the macroinfauna at each beach. The **distribution, but not the density of Ocypode gaudichaudii is indicated.**   $\sim 10$ 



 $ZIZ$ 

Fig. 5. **Dendrogram showing clustering of stations in three main groups. A: high beach levels, B: middle beach levels and C: low beach levels. Numbers to the right of the dendrogram refer to station numbers as in Fig. 4, while letters refer to the beaches as in Fig. 1.** 



 $1.0$ 

 $r$  Ca 4

| Hu 5  $l$  Ag 9

· Gu 7

Me8

 $r P u 4$ 

l <sub>Me</sub>4

r<sub>Ro4</sub>

Ro7

L Ni 7

Mu4

l <sub>Mu5</sub>

{ Ro 5<br>{ Ro 6

Me 5

l <sub>Me</sub>g

- Me7

 $Co7$ 

 $CoB$ 

 $Co6$  $\iota$  ca7

 $r$  Pu5

l <sub>Mu6</sub>

<sub>r</sub>. Ag 12  $t_{\text{Ag13}}$ 

Hu7

Hu<sub>8</sub>

Mu7

Gu 9

Gu 10

Pu<sub>6</sub>

Ro<sub>9</sub>

Me9

Me 10 **Me11** 

 $R_0$  8

RolO.

l <sub>Gu</sub>a

Ni 6

Ro<sub>3</sub>

ļļ



**Fig. 6. Composition of the intertidal sand macroinfauna in the three areas studied. Hypothetical restraints of environmental conditions to the dispersion (northward, southward, or both) of ocypodids, talitrids, and oniscoids are shown by arrows.** 



U.

Fig.  $\sigma$   $9TZ$