# University of New Hampshire University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository

New Hampshire Agricultural Experiment Station

Research Institutes, Centers and Programs

6-20-2016

## Development of Multiple Polymorphic Microsatellite Markers for Ceratina calcarata (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Using Genome-Wide Analysis

Wyatt A. Shell University of New Hampshire, Durham

Sandra M. Rehan *University of New Hampshire, Durham,* sandra.rehan@unh.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholars.unh.edu/nhaes

#### Recommended Citation

Wyatt A. Shell, Sandra M. Rehan; Development of Multiple Polymorphic Microsatellite Markers for Ceratina calcarata (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Using Genome-Wide Analysis. J Insect Sci 2016; 16 (1): 57. https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/iew042

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Institutes, Centers and Programs at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in New Hampshire Agricultural Experiment Station by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact nicole.hentz@unh.edu.

### Development of Multiple Polymorphic Microsatellite Markers for Ceratina calcarata (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Using Genome-Wide Analysis

Wyatt A. Shell<sup>1</sup> and Sandra M. Rehan<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, USA and

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author, e-mail; sandra, rehan@unh.edu

Subject Editor:

Received 23 April 2016; Accepted 9 May 2016

#### Abstract

The small carpenter bee, *Ceratina calcarata* (Robertson), is a widespread native pollinator across eastern North America. The behavioral ecology and nesting biology of *C. calcarata* has been relatively well-studied and the species is emerging as a model organism for both native pollinator and social evolution research. *C. calcarata* is subsocial: reproductively mature females provide extended maternal care to their brood. As such, studies of *C. calcarata* may also reveal patterns of relatedness and demography unique to primitively social Hymenoptera. Here, we present 21 microsatellite loci, isolated from the recently completed *C. calcarata* genome. Screening in 39 individuals across their distribution revealed that no loci were in linkage disequilibrium, nor did any deviate significantly from Hardy-Weinberg following sequential Bonferroni correction. Allele count ranged from 2 to 14, and observed and expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.08 to 0.82 (mean 0.47) and 0.26 to 0.88 (mean 0.56), respectively. These markers will enable studies of population-wide genetic structuring across *C. calcarata*'s distribution. Such tools will also allow for exploration of between and within-colony relatedness in this subsocial native pollinator.

Key words: Ceratina calcarata, microsatellite marker, Illumina

Microsatellite markers are popular and frequently employed for studies of relatedness and population genetics. Owing to their high mutation rate and population variability, microsatellite loci can be targeted to reveal subtle changes in population structure and composition, kinship, patterns of paternity, and heritability (reviewed in Powell et al. 1996; Sunnucks 2000). The design and optimization of these powerful molecular tools has been improved and greatly expedited by next-generation sequencing (Grover and Sharma 2016). Given their multiscalar capacity to reveal patterns of both population and family structure, the development of microsatellite markers remains an informative and important endeavor.

Bees are represented by over 20,000 described species and occur on all continents except Antarctica (Michener 2007). Highly efficient pollinators, bees make a significant contribution to the productivity of both agricultural and natural systems (Kremen et al. 2002; Klein et al. 2007; Brittain et al. 2012). Fine scale population research has revealed a great deal about how bees affect and are affected by ecological conditions (Cameron et al. 2011; Bartomeus et al. 2013). Such studies have helped distinguish subspecies (e.g. *Melipona* spp. Tavares et al. 2013), population distribution (Bombus spp. Geib et al. 2015), effects of land use practices (Dreier

et al. 2014), as well as conflicts between managed and wild bee populations (Moreira et al. 2015). The development of microsatellite markers thus allows for the comprehensive study of bee biology and demography at a macroscopic scale, and informs our ability to implement biologically meaningful pollinator conservation practices.

The small carpenter bee, Ceratina calcarata (Robertson), is one of five very recently diverged and largely sympatric species of Ceratina found across eastern North America (Rehan and Sheffield 2011; Shell and Rehan 2016). C. calcarata is a generalist pollinator (McFrederick and Rehan 2016) and, given its broad range and high abundance, contributes to the productivity of a large number of ecological and agricultural systems. C. calcarata is also subsocial: females provide extended maternal care to their brood, and defend and clean their offspring into adulthood (Rehan and Richards 2010). Subsocial behavior is considered foundational to the evolution of more complex social forms (reviewed in Rehan and Toth 2015); as such, C. calcarata is also emerging as a model organism for studies of social evolution (Rehan et al. 2014).

Significant molecular resources are available for *C. calcarata* in the form of an annotated transcriptome (Rehan et al. 2014), methylome and genome (Rehan et al. 2016). Among many powerful and

practical applications, such data avails the rapid and reliable development of molecular markers. Here, we isolated microsatellite loci from the *C. calcarata* genome, and optimized a suite of 21 polymorphic markers in 39 individuals from across the species' range. These primers make available multiscalar population genetics studies, and will allow researchers to investigate relatedness and patterns of parentage in this unique subsocial pollinator.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Microsatellite loci were isolated from the recently published *C. cal-carata* genome (Rehan et al. 2016) using the Microsatellite Identification Tool (MISA; Thiel et al. 2003) interfaced with an executable version of Primer 3 (Koressaar and Remm 2007; Untergasser et al. 2012). MISA was used to trim genomic reads to

lengths of 20 kb to facilitate scans. To ensure microsatellite quality, MISA was configured to select loci based on strict minimum motif repeat requirements (mononucleotides = 15; dinucleotides = 7; trithrough hexanucleotides = 5). The resulting 2,010 putative microsatellite loci and flanking regions were sorted in descending order of motif length and motif repeat count. Flanking regions were then visually inspected for self-complementarity to prevent hairpin or excessive primer dimer formation. Putative primer pairs were also screened to ensure <1  $^{\circ}$ C difference in melting temperature between forward and reverse oligos.

A top fifty candidate primers were then assessed for amplification performance and polymorphism in 16 females from across *C. calcarata*'s range (*C. calcarata* is haplodiploid, thus primers must be screened in females to accurately assess heterozygosity). We followed the methodology of Schuelke (2000) and designed forward primers modified with a partial M13 tail. This M13 oligo extension

Table 1. Primer sequences and locus characteristics of twenty-one microsatellite loci developed for C. calcarata

Locus	Primer Sequence 5'-3'	GenBank	Repeat Motif	k	Allele size range	$T_{\rm a}$	N	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}$	HWE $P$
Ccal01	F: ACAAAACAAAAGCGCGGACA	KU945359	GGTGAC	8	263-311	69	38	0.658	0.752	0.011
	R:GGATTGTCATGACGGGGGAG									
Ccal02	F:AAATCAACCCTAGCCCCAGC	KU945360	CAGCTC	5	226-280	64	39	0.308	0.409	0.259
	R:TACACACAGGTCGTCACGTG									
Ccal03	F: AATAGACGGAGAGCAGCAGC	KU945361	AGGCAG	7	152-188	64	38	0.526	0.664	0.220
	R: TTGTTTCATCTTCGCACGCG									
Ccal04	F: GGAGAACCGAGATACCAGAGG	KU945362	ACCGA	2	91-96	67	36	0.083	0.579	0.367
	R: TCCCACTTTTTACGGCTCCC									
Ccal08	F: TCGATTCACGCAGACCTGAC	KU945363	CTGA	11	235-287	68	38	0.579	0.854	0.004
	R: GGATATGCGCCCGTCACTAA									
Ccal11	F: ATAGGGAGCGAGCTGTTTCG	KU945364	AGGTT	6	243-278	65	37	0.633	0.698	0.004
	R: TCGTCCGCAGCCATAACAAT									
Ccal14	F: GGCGTAGTTCCATCTGTCGT	KU945365	AACCT	3	164-174	66	39	0.308	0.406	0.005
	R: TTGCACCGACGATTCTCGAA									
Ccal16	F: CAGGGAAGGCGGGTATCTTT	KU945366	AGGTT	3	252-262	67	38	0.658	0.502	0.072
	R: GGCGGTGAAATTGCGACTTT									
Ccal17	F: GTGCGCGTAGAACAACCAAG	KU945367	GGCGA	5	195-215	67	39	0.179	0.295	0.017
	R: AGCCTCGTGCAGCTTACAAT									
Ccal18	F: GTTTCATTCGGTTCCGCACC	KU945368	GTTCT	2	235-240	66	39	0.256	0.26	1.000
	R: CTGAGCCGCGTATCTGCATA									
Ccal19	F: TCATTAATTCGGGCGCCTGT	KU945369	GAACA	3	261-271	67	38	0.316	0.508	0.020
	R: CTGCCTTTCTCGTCCCTCTG									
Ccal23	F: AATTCGGCCAAGCTCGTACA	KU945370	GTGCG	6	163-188	70	39	0.795	0.585	0.157
	R: GGAAACTTGGTTTTCGGCCC									
Ccal25	F: AAACGGCGGACTGAAAAACG	KU945371	CCGCA	7	188-218	66	39	0.436	0.645	0.003
	R: ACTTCGAGTGCGGATTTCGT									
Ccal29	F: ACGTTGGACGAACACTGACA	KU945372	AACCT	3	270-280	64	39	0.359	0.331	1.000
	R: CCGTGGCTCTCCCTAATCAC									
Ccal30	F: TACTATGTGATGCGTGCCGT	KU945373	ATCAT	5	267-302	63	39	0.436	0.656	0.015
	R: CACGAGTGGGTCCCGAATAC									
Ccal37	F: CGTCTCGCAGTAACGGTACA	KU945374	AGAA	12	148-196	64	39	0.769	0.868	0.056
	R: AGAACAGTCGTGTCCGGTTC									
Ccal39	F: CAAAGAAATGGCGGGGAACA	KU945375	TTAT	7	253-277	65	38	0.553	0.765	0.010
	R: GCGACGGTAATGACTTACAACG									
Ccal44	F: TTCCCAACACGCTTCGTACA	KU945376	GTCT	6	201-297	67	38	0.289	0.319	0.087
	R: TACGTGGATGCATTCGTCCC									
Ccal48	F: CGATTCCGGTGAAACGCAAG	KU945377	GGAA	5	106-126	67	39	0.513	0.584	0.049
	R: CTTCCTTCCTTCCCATGCGT									
Ccal49	F: CTGCCGTATCCTCTCTCCCT	KU945378	GCAC	8	234-262	65	39	0.744	0.787	0.571
	R: GAGAGGCACGCGGGTAATAA									
Ccal50	F: CCGACCTTTCTCGCAAAACG	KU945379	TGTA	14	227-283	67	39	0.821	0.879	0.028
	R: TCTCTGTTTCTTCCCACCGC									

Information presented includes primer sequence; GenBank Accession Number; repeat motif; allele count (k); allele size range; annealing temperature in  ${}^{\circ}$ C ( $T_a$ ); number of individuals successfully screened (N); observed ( $H_o$ ) and expected ( $H_e$ ) heterozygosities; and Hardy-Weinberg exact test P-values (HWE P).

allowed fluorescently dyed M13 probes to be incorporated during PCR. A set of universal primers was labeled with three dyes from the DS-33 set (FAM, PET, and VIC) to allow for PCR product multiplexing downstream. PCR reactions were executed in an 11 µl volume (5.45 μl ddiH20; 2.0 μl 5× HF Buffer; 0.2 μl [10 mM] dNTPs; 0.1ul Phusion HF Tag Polymerase (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA); 0.25µl [10 mM] forward primer; 0.5 µl Fluorescent M13 oligo [10 mM], 0.5 µl [10 mM] reverse primer; 2.0 µl DNA template) using an Eppendorf Mastercycler gradient thermocycler (Eppendorf North America, Hauppauge, NY). PCR reactions involved five stages: 1) initial denaturing at 98 °C for 40s, 2) a touchdown series of 10 cycles at 98 °C for 10 s, 72 °C for 15 s (cooling incrementally to primer-specific  $T_a$ ), and 72 °C for 15 s, 3) 20 cycles at 98 °C for 10 s, primer  $T_a$  for 15 s, and 72 °C for 15 s, 4) 8 cycles at 98 °C for 10 s, 62 °C for 15 s, and 72 °C for 15 s, 5) final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were mixed with HiDi Formamide (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) before being sent to the DNA Analysis Facility at Yale University for fragment analysis on a 3730xl Analyzer. Alleles were manually scored using Peak Scanner 2 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

After initial PCR, 21 loci were further screened for polymorphism and performance in an additional 23 females (total screening panel  $N\!=\!39$ ). Loci were tested for Hardy-Weinberg and linkage disequilibrium using GenePop 4.2 (Raymond and Rousset 1995; Rousset 2008). Each locus was then assessed for expected and observed heterozygosity ( $H_{\rm e}$  and  $H_{\rm o}$  respectively) and total alleles (k) using GenAlEx 6.502 (Peakall and Smouse 2006, 2012). All 21 microsatellite loci were uploaded to GenBank under accession numbers KU945359-KU945379 (Table 1).

#### **Results and Discussion**

This suite of 21 microsatellite loci are the first primers developed for a member of the New World Ceratina, and the first set developed for the genus using a reference genome. No loci were found to be in linkage disequilibrium, nor did they diverge significantly from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium following sequential Bonferroni correction. Observed and expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.08 to 0.82 (mean 0.47) and 0.26 to 0.88 (mean 0.56), respectively (Table 1). Our results are thus very similar to those of other recent hymenopteran microsatellite development projects (Rabeling et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2015; Vickruck 2015). These loci will be informative in exploring the population structure, patterns of parentage, and kinship dynamics in C. calcarata. As C. calcarata is closely related to four other native Ceratina species (Rehan and Sheffield 2011; Shell and Rehan 2016), these loci may also cross-amplify (as in Ceratina flavipes, Azuma et al. 2005; and Halictus rubicundus (Christ), Soro and Paxton 2009) allowing for genus-wide research and conservation.

Additionally, these microsatellite loci can be powerful markers for understanding the evolution of social structure. Microsatellite markers have been used to reveal variation in queen-worker dynamics by geography (Richards et al. 2005), and deviations from expected worker kinship in a eusocial sweat bee (Lasioglossum malachurum (Kirby), Soro et al. 2009). Such markers have also been used to reveal mating structure in a communal bee (Andrena jacobi (Perkins), Paxton et al. 1996) and polymorphism in reproductive strategy and sociality among populations of Halictus scabiosae (Rossi) (Ulrich et al. 2009). As C. calcarata is subsocial (Rehan and Richards 2010) it likely represents an early stage in the evolution of eusociality (Rehan and

Toth 2015). By employing even a subset of our 21 markers in a study of *C. calcarata* inter- and intracolony relatedness, we may be able to uncover similarly cryptic social dynamics in a bee putatively on the cusp of incipiently social reproductive behavior (Rehan et al. 2014).

Protocols for the discovery and optimization of microsatellite loci are numerous and have evolved over decades of population genetics studies (e.g. Glenn and Schable 2005; reviewed in Zane et al. 2002). To secure even one useful microsatellite was originally a laborious procedure with few guarantees: isolated loci were random, or limited to sites complimentary to specially designed probes (Ostrander et al. 1992; Queller et al. 1993; Kijas et al. 1994). Whole genome sequencing technologies have made great advancements in quality and accessibility of genetic resources over the past decade (Hudson 2008; Ekblom and Galindo 2011; vanDijk et al. 2014). Improved availability of such powerful resources has greatly expedited and improved the generation of microsatellite primers for many novel species (e.g. copperhead snakes, Castoe et al. 2010; water striders, Perry and Rowe 2011). The generation and analysis of whole genomes is still an expensive and bioinformatically exacting endeavor (reviewed in Lemmon and Lemmon 2013); by contrast, microsatellite markers are affordable and adaptable tools, suited to a wide range of research.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Krista Ciaccio, Dusty Durant, Sean Lombard, Nicholas Pizzi, and Jacob Withee for assistance with field collections. We also thank Michael Steffen and Sarah Lawson for their helpful comments in preparing this manuscript. Funding from the University of New Hampshire and the National Science Foundation (Behavioral Systems Award no. IOS-1456296) supported this work. Partial funding was provided by the New Hampshire Agricultural Experiment Station. This is Scientific Contribution Number 2674. This work was supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch Project 1004515.

#### **References Cited**

Azuma, N., J. Tagkahashi, M. Kidokoro, and S. Higashi. 2005. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in the bee *Ceratina flavipes*. Mol Ecol Notes 5: 433–435

Bartomeus, I., J. S. Ascher, J. Gibbs, B. N. Danforth, D. L. Wagner, S. M. Hedtke, and R. Winfree. 2013. Historical changes in northeastern US bee pollinators related to shared ecological traits. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110: 4656–4660.

Brittain, C., N. Williams, C. Kremen, and A. M. Klein. 2012. Synergistic effects of non-Apis bees and honey bees for pollination services. P R Soc B 280: 20122767

Cameron, S. A., J. D. Lozier, J. P. Strange, J. B. Koch, N. Cordes, L. F. Solter, and T. L. Griswold. 2011. Patterns of widespread decline in North American bumble bees. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 662–667.

Castoe, T. A., A. W. Poole, W. Gu, A. P. J. De Koning, J. M. Daza, E. N. Smith, and D. D. Pollock. 2010. Rapid identification of thousands of copperhead snake (*Agkistrodon contortrix*) microsatellite loci from modest amounts of 454 shotgun genome sequence. Mol Ecol Resour 10: 341–347.

Chen, W., L. Fang, L. Liu, Z. He, and H. Y. Hu. 2015. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci for *Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae* (Rondani) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Genet Mol Res 14: 1798–1801.

Dreier, S., J. W. Redhead, I. A. Warren, A. F. G. Bourke, M. S. Heard, W. C. Jordan, S. Sumner, J. Wang, and C. Carvell. 2014. Fine-scale spatial genetic structure of common and declining bumble bees across an agricultural land-scape. Mol Ecol 23: 3384–3395.

EkblomR., and J. Galindo. 2011. Applications of next generation sequencing in molecular ecology of non-model organisms. Heredity 107: 1–15.

- Geib, J. C., J. P. Strange, and C. Galen. 2015. Bumble bee nest abundance, foraging distance, and host-plant reproduction: implications for management and conservation. Ecol Appl 25: 768–778.
- Glenn, T. C., and N. A. Schable. 2005. Isolating microsatellite DNA loci. Method Enzymol 395: 202–222.
- Grover, A., and P. C. Sharma. 2016. Development and use of molecular markers: past and present. Crit Rev Biotechnol 32: 290–302.
- Hudson, M. E. 2008. Sequencing breakthroughs for genomic ecology and evolutionary biology. Mol Ecol Resour 8: 3–17.
- Kijas, J.M.H., J.C.S. Fowler, C. A. Garbett, and M. R. Thomas. 1994. Enrichment of microsatellites from the citrus genome using biotinylated oligonucleotide sequences bound to streptavidin-coated magnetic particles. BioTechniques 16: 656–662.
- Klein, A. M., B. E. Vaissière, J. H. Cane, I. Steffan-Dewenter, S. A. Cunningham, C. Kremen, and T. Tscharntke. 2007. Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. P R Soc B. 274: 303–313.
- KoressaarT., and M. Remm. 2007. Enhancements and modifications of primer design program Primer 3. Bioinformatics 23: 1289–1291.
- Kremen, C., N. M. Williams, and R. W. Thorp. 2002. Crop pollination from native bees at risk from agricultural intensification. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99: 16812–16816.
- LemmonE. M., and A. R. Lemmon. 2013. High-throughput genomic data in systematics and phylogenetics. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 44: 99–121.
- McFrederick, Q. S., and S. M. Rehan. 2016. Characterization of pollen and bacterial community composition in brood provisions of a small carpenter bee. Mol Ecol. 25: 2302–2311. DOI: 10.1111/mec13608.
- Michener, C. D. 2007. The bees of the world, 2nd edn. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.
- Moreira, A. S., F. G. Horgan, T. E. Murray, and K. Kakouli-Duarte. 2015. Population genetic structure of *Bombus terrestris* in Europe: Isolation and genetic differentiation of Irish and British populations. Mol Ecol 24: 3257–3268.
- Ostrander, E. A., P. M. Jong, J. Rine, and G. Duyk. 1992. Construction of small-insert genomic DNA libraries highly enriched for microsatellite repeat sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89: 3419–3423.
- Paxton, R. J., P. A. Thorén, J. Tengö, A. Estoup, and P. Pamilo. 1996. Mating structure and nestmate relatedness in a communal bee, *Andrena jacobi* (Hymenoptera, Andrenidae), using microsatellites. Mol Ecol 5: 511–519.
- Peakall, R., and P. E. Smouse. 2006. GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. Mol Ecol Notes 6: 288–295.
- PeakallR., and P. E. Smouse. 2012. GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research an update. Bioinformatics 28: 2537–2539.
- Perry, J. C., and L. Rowe. 2011. Rapid microsatellite development for water striders by next-generation sequencing. J Hered 102: 125–129.
- Powell, W., G. C. Machray, and J. Provan. 1996. Polymorphism revealed by simple sequence repeats. Trends Plant Sci 1: 215–222.
- Queller, D. C., J. E. Strassmann, and C. R. Hughes. 1993. Microsatellites and kinship. Trends Ecol E 8: 285–288.
- Raymond, M., and F. Rousset. 1995. GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J Hered. 86: 248–249.
- Rabeling, C., M. Bollazzi, M. Bacci, JrR. R. Beasley, S. L. Lance, K. L. Jones, and N. E. Pierce. 2014. Development and characterization of twenty-two

- polymorphic microsatellite markers for the leafcutter ant, *Acromyrmex lundii*, utilizing Illumina sequencing. Conserv Genet Resour 6: 319–322.
- Rehan, S. M., A. J. Berens, and A. L. Toth. 2014. At the brink of eusociality: transcriptomic correlations of worker behavior in a small carpenter bee. BMC Evol Biol 14: 260.
- Rehan, S. M., K. M. Glastad, S. P. Lawson, and B. G. Hunt. 2016. The genome and methylome of a subsocial small carpenter bee, *Ceratina calcarata*. Genome Biol Evol doi: 10.1093/gbe/evw079
- Rehan, S. M., and M. H. Richards. 2010. Nesting biology and subsociality in Ceratina calcarata (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Can Entomol. 142: 65–74.
- Rehan, S. M., and C. Sheffield. 2011. Morphological and molecular delineation of a new species in the *Ceratina dupla* species-group (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Xylocopinae) of eastern North America. Zootaxa 2873: 35–50.
- Rehan, S. M., and A. L. Toth. 2015. Climbing the social ladder: the molecular evolution of sociality. Trends Ecol E 30: 426–433.
- Richards, M. H., D. French, and R. J. Paxton. 2005. It's good to be queen: classically eusocial colony structure and low worker fitness in an obligately social sweat bee. Mol Ecol 14: 4123–4133.
- Rousset, F. 2008. Genepop'007: a complete reimplementation of the Genepop software for Windows and Linux. Mol Ecol Resour 8: 103–106.
- Schuelke, M. 2000. An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. Nat Biotechnol 18: 233–234.
- Shell, W. A., and S. M. Rehan. 2016. Recent and rapid diversification of the small carpenter bees in eastern North America. Biol J Linn Soc 117: 633–645.
- Soro, A., M. Ayasse, M. U. Zobel, and R. J. Paxton. 2009. Complex sociogenetic organization and the origin of unrelated workers in a eusocial sweat bee, *Lasioglossum malachurum*. Insect Soc 56: 55–63.
- Soro, A., and R. J. Paxton. 2009. Characterization of 14 polymorphic microsatellite loci for the facultatively eusocial sweat bee *Halictus rubicundus* (Hymenoptera, Halictidae) and their variability in related species. Mol Ecol Resour 9: 150–152.
- Sunnucks, P. 2000. Efficient genetic markers for population biology. Trends Ecol E 15: 199–203.
- Tavares, M. G., N. T. Pietrani, M. C. Durvale, H. C. Resende, and LAdeO. Campos. 2013. Genetic divergence between Melipona quadrifasciata Lepeletier (Hymenoptera, Apidae) populations. Genet Mol Biol 36: 111–117.
- Thiel, T., W. Michaelek, R. K. Varshney, and A. Graner. 2003. Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Theor Appl Genet 106: 411–422.
- Ulrich, Y., N. Perrin, and M. Chapuisat. 2009. Flexible social organization and high incidence of drifting in the sweat bee, *Halictus scabiosae*. Mol Ecol 18: 1791–1800.
- Untergasser, A., I. Cutcutache, T. Koressaar, J. Ye, B. C. Faircloth, M. Remm, and S. G. Rozen. 2012. Primer3 new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res 40: e115.
- Vickruck, J. L. 2015. Development of sixteen novel microsatellite markers for the eastern carpenter bee, *Xylocopa virginica* (Hymenoptera: Apidae), through paired-end Illumina sequencing. Conserv Genet Resour 7: 427–429.
- vanDijk, E. L., H. Auger, Y. Jaszczyszyn, and C. Thermes. 2014. Ten years of next-generation sequencing technology. Trends Genet 30: 418–426.
- Zane, L., L. Bargelloni, and T. Patarnello. 2002. Strategies for microsatellite isolation: a review. Mol Ecol. 11: 1–16.