Virginia Commonwealth University VCU Scholars Compass

Masthead Logo

Health Sciences Education Symposium

School of Medicine

2019

The Relationship Between Active Learning and Workload During Clinically Relevant Simulations

Moshe Feldman Virginia Commonwealth University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/med_edu Part of the <u>Medicine and Health Sciences Commons</u>

© The Author(s)

Downloaded from https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/med_edu/48

This Oral is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Medicine at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Health Sciences Education Symposium by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.



THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ACTIVE LEARNING AND WORKLOAD DURING CLINICALLY RELEVANT SIMULATIONS

VCU Health Science Research Symposium March 20, 2019

Moshe Feldman PhD, Cheryl Bodamer PhD RN, Michael Vitto DO, Chris Reina PhD, Catherine Grossman MD Center for Human Simulation and Patient Safety, VCU School of Medicine, Richmond, VA







2 + 2 MODEL



2 yrs Clinical Sciences



VCU School of Medicine "C3" Curriculum



- Systems based
- Horizontal and vertical integration

Human Patient Simulation



SIMULATION BASED EDUCATION

- Deliberate practice
- Safe learning environment
- Standardization
- Customization of fidelity



PENNST

cal Center

Milton S. Hershey

Milton S. Hershey Med

College of Medicia



SIMULATION ZONES (Roussin, 2017)



*Hybrid learning encompasses elements of multiple zones to meet learning objectives

SIMULATION DESIGN (Rousin 2017)

Participants and learning goals

- Novice, expert (skill based)
- M1....continuing education (time based ?)

Signal and noise

- Simulation fidelity
- Clinical cues (e.g. code alarm, vitals monitor, patient complexity)

Action and debrief

- Skill transparency (Knowing what is being assessed or practiced)
- Appropriate for learning goals





CURRENT STUDY

- Can clinically relevant simulations be designed to address teamwork for beginning M1 students?
- Does perceived workload discriminate between different simulation scenarios?
- Is perceived workload during clinically relevant scenarios related to cognitive and team learning engagement?

METHODS

Simulation Based Orientation to Clinical medicine (SBOC)

Objectives:

- 1) Orient students to clinical medicine
- 2) Introduce and practice teamwork
- 3) Orient students to simulation based learning and the Center for Human simulation and Patient Safety

SBOC SCENARIOS

Scenario	Tasks
Trauma Triage (EPA 1, 2, 9, 10)	 assess and triage patients injured on scene of an automobile accident.
Error Hunt (EPA 1,5,9)	 gather information from patient exam, medical monitors, and family members to identify errors in the patient record while managing patient. Complete a daily progress note with accurate information.
Differential Diagnoses (EPA 1, 2)	Assess a patient at urgent care centerDevelop a set of differential diagnoses



TEAMWORK MODEL (Smith-Jentsch, 1996)

Leadership/Followership	Situation Monitoring			
 Leadership: providing goals, directions and priorities to your team Followership raise issues/concerns hold each other accountable suggest changes to plans/actions 	 searching for information, pushing information to the group form a shared mental model through big picture summaries 			
Communication	Supporting Behavior			
 how information is delivered ensures that messages are understood as intended. 	 pointing out or correcting errors that you observe providing help when needed requesting backup when you need help. 			

MEASURES

Learner satisfaction

- 6 items (1=strongly disagree; 5=strongly agree)
- "This activity improved my ability to work on a healthcare team"

Learner engagement

- Mindful Attention and Awareness Scale (Brown 2003)
- 5 items (1=strongly disagree; 7=strongly agree)
- *"I found myself doing the activity without paying attention"* Team Problem Solving
- Safety Organizing Scale (Vogues 2007)
- 8 items (1=strongly disagree; 7=strongly agree)
- "We developed a good "map" of each other's talents and skills"

MEASURES

<u>Workload</u>

- NASA-TLX scale
- 6 dimensions of workload (1=very low workload, 7=very high workload)
 - o Mental demand
 - o Physical demand
 - o Temporal demand
 - o Performance
 - o Effort
 - o Frustration

MEASURES

TEAM PERFORMANCE

M1 ORIENTATION SIMULATION (CLASS OF 2021) PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT ROOM: 203 (Morning) SCENARIO:

PURPOSE: The purpose of this form is to collect overall performance assessments teams as perceived by each facilitator. These ratings will be used to better understand the level of difficulty of each simulation and identify potential characteristics of high performing M1 teams and will not be used for summative assessment or student grades in any way. At the end of each simulation, complete an overall rating of the team's performance using the table below for each group listed. An optional notes section is provided if you have any specific comments. We

Directions: Please rate each team's overall performance during the scenario using the 7 point scale below? (circle your answ

1	2	3	4	5	б	7	
lower level			average leve	l		higher level	
of performance			of performan	ice		of performance	
for beginning			for beginning	g		for beginning	
M1 students			M1 students			M1 students	

understand that teams often display complex behaviors and clinical performance expectations are vague for beginning M1 students, but ask you use your best judgement.

Group #	Time	Overall Performance Rating				Notes			
	9:00 am - 9:15 am	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	0.45								

STUDENT REACTIONS (n = 189)

(1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree)	%	MEAN/SD
1. The use of simulation enhanced my learning.	96.3%	4.64 (.63)
2. The debrief after each activity effectively reinforced concepts about TEAMWORK	98.9%	4.71 (.53)
3. I have become more knowledgeable in the area of teamwork because of this activity.	97.3%	4.49 (.62)
4. This activity helped me understand how teams can improve patient care.	94.7%	4.57 (.67)
5. This activity improved my ability to work in a healthcare team.	90.5%	4.39 (.73)
6. This activity made me more nervous about beginning medical school.	37.6%	2.94 (1.31)

RESULTS Scenario Workload Profile



Team Performance by Scenario



TEAM PERFORMANCE

% of teams (n=42) receiving each performance rating

■ lower than average (rating 1-3) ■ average (rating 4) ■ better than average (rating 5-7)



RESULTS

Correlations

- Cognitive task engagement X workload (R = -.25, p < .01).
- Team task engagement X workload (R = -.15, p < .05)
- Cognitive task engagement X Team task engagement (R = .31, p < .01)

Linear Regression

Learner engagement + teamwork = workload

	Beta	R squared
		.07**
Cognitive task engagement	-0.23**	
Team task engagement	08	
**= <i>p</i> < .01		

CONCLUSIONS

- Clinically relevant simulations focused on teamwork were highly rated by students beginning medical school.
- > Workload helped distinguish task requirements of different scenarios.
- Higher cognitive engagement during scenarios was related to lower perceived workload, especially frustration.
- Learners recognized teamwork and demonstrated they were able to practice teamwork.

Designing optimal learning zones







24