

10-1-1999

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Ron Talbert

University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

Ford Baldwin

University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

David Gealy

University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

Tomilea Dillon

University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

Lance Schmidt

University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/aaesser>

 Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#), [Botany Commons](#), [Horticulture Commons](#), and the [Weed Science Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Talbert, Ron; Baldwin, Ford; Gealy, David; Dillon, Tomilea; Schmidt, Lance; Scherder, Eric; Wheeler, Celeste; Estorninos, Leopoldo Jr.; Rutledge, Jeff; and Chavez, Rebecca, "Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998" (1999). *Research Series*. 134.
<https://scholarworks.uark.edu/aaesser/134>

Authors

Ron Talbert, Ford Baldwin, David Gealy, Tomilea Dillon, Lance Schmidt, Eric Scherder, Celeste Wheeler, Leopoldo Estorninos Jr., Jeff Rutledge, and Rebecca Chavez

HERBICIDE EVALUATION INARKANSAS RICE, 1998

'98



R. Talbert, F. Baldwin, D. Gealy,
T. Dillon, L. Schmidt, E. Scherder,
C. Wheeler, L. Estorninos, Jr.,
J. Rutledge, and R. Chavez

ARKANSAS AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

Division of Agriculture
October 1999

University of Arkansas
Research Series 469

Cover design and technical editing by Karen Eskew

Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture, Fayetteville. Milo J. Shult, Vice President for Agriculture and Director; Charles J. Scifres, Associate Vice President for Agriculture. 1M 10/99 PM65. The Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station follows a nondiscriminatory policy in programs and employment.

ISSN:0099-5010 CODEN:AKAMA6

HERBICIDE EVALUATION IN ARKANSAS RICE, 1998

Ron Talbert

University Professor

Department of Crop, Soil,
and Environmental Sciences

Ford Baldwin

Extension Weed Scientist

Cooperative Extension Service

David Gealy

USDA-ARS, Dale Bumpers
National Rice Research Center

Tomilea Dillon

Extension Associate

Cooperative Extension Service

Lance Schmidt

Research Specialist

Cooperative Extension Service

Eric Scherder

Graduate Assistant

Department of Crop, Soil, and
Environmental Sciences

Celeste Wheeler

Research Specialist

Department of Crop, Soil, and
Environmental Sciences

Leopoldo Estorninos, Jr.

Graduate Assistant

Department of Crop, Soil, and
Environmental Sciences

Jeff Rutledge

Graduate Assistant

Department of Crop, Soil, and
Environmental Sciences

Rebecca Chavez

Research Associate

USDA-ARS, Dale Bumpers
National Rice Research Center

**Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station
Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701**

SUMMARY

Weed control is economically important for production of rice, a major crop in Arkansas. These findings summarize efforts of the team of Arkansas scientists working on weed control strategies for rice during 1998. Various technologies were evaluated in field studies involving the major weed problems and rice production systems used in the state. Results from these studies will add to the arsenal of weed control options for producers. The preliminary results reported here generally warrant further testing for more advanced findings and for the labeling of new technologies and, finally, are the basis for updating safe, effective, and economical recommendations to Arkansas rice producers.

CONTENTS

Introduction	7
Methods	7
Abbreviation of Terms	9
Tables	
Evaluation of V-10029 programs for weed control in rice, Stuttgart	10
Carfentrazone (Aim) applied EPOST alone and in tank-mixes with standard rice herbicides, Stuttgart	19
Comparison of clomazone (Command) and standard programs under non-flushed conditions, Stuttgart	23
Weed control with glufosinate (Liberty) in rice, Stuttgart	25
Levee control with clomazone (Command) and quinclorac (Facet), Stuttgart	29
Triclopyr (Grandstand) mixtures, Stuttgart	32
Evaluation of injury and efficacy of quinclorac (Facet) and clomazone (Command) applied PPI and PRE, Stuttgart	35
Evaluation of quinclorac (Facet) formulations (DF vs. GR) at two water depths and two grass stages, Stuttgart	38
Evaluation of rates and timings of DE-537, Stuttgart	40
Herbicide evaluation of clomazone (Command) in herbicide programs in Arkansas, Stuttgart	43
Herbicide evaluation of clomazone (Command) in herbicide programs in Arkansas, Rohwer	49
Imazethapyr control of red rice ecotypes, Stuttgart	52

Rice ecotype response to imazethapyr, Stuttgart	55
Reduced propanil rate for barnyardgrass control in rice, Stuttgart	57
Influence of flooding on the performance of herbicides and growth of rice and red rice, Stuttgart	59
Propanil synergists, Lonoke	61
Propanil synergists, Stuttgart	68
Fenoxaprop (Whip) safener effect on rice, Lonoke	74
Broadleaf weed control in rice, Lonoke	76
Quinclorac flood depth evaluation on grass control in rice, Lonoke	81
Clomazone (Command) for weed control in rice, Lonoke	83
Clomazone (Command) and quinclorac (Facet) programs for weed control in rice, Lonoke	86
Red rice control in IMI-tolerant rice, Stuttgart	92
Red rice control in glufosinate (Liberty)-tolerant rice, Stuttgart	98
Preemergence tank-mixes of imazethapyr with quinclorac and clomazone in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke	102
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin for weed control in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke	107
Broadleaf signalgrass and propanil-resistant barnyardgrass in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke	111
Sequential applications in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke	117
DE-537 for rice weed control, Lonoke	125
V-10029 for weed control in rice, Lonoke	130
Salvage treatments for grass control in rice, Lonoke	136
Weed control in glufosinate (Liberty)-tolerant rice, Lonoke	139
Imazethapyr follow crop study: IMI-rice followed by wheat and non-IMI rice (year of establishment), Lonoke	144
Clomazone (Command)/quinclorac (Facet) in drill vs. broadcast seeding for weed control in rice, Lonoke	148
Clomazone (Command)/propanil (Stam) sequentials in rice weed control, Lonoke	151
Propanil (Stam) combinations for annual grass control in rice, Lonoke	156
Thiobencarb (Bolero) for weed control in rice, Lonoke	161
Thiobencarb (Bolero) tank-mixes and sequential weed control programs, Rohwer	166
Carfentrazone (Shark) for weed control in rice, Lonoke	172
Tank-mix combinations with imazethapyr, Lonoke	174
Imazethapyr flush vs. no flush of soil-applied treatments, Lonoke	177
Multi-species weed control with imazethapyr in simulated rice field, Lonoke	180
 Appendix Tables	186
(Plant names, herbicide names, and climatological data)	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the Arkansas Rice Research and Promotion Board for financial support for some of these experiments. The following companies also provided financial support and chemicals used in the studies: AgrEvo, BASF, Cedar, Cy-anamid, Dow AgroSciences, DuPont, FMC, Helena, Monsanto, Rhone-Poulenc, Rohm & Haas, Terra, UAP, Valent and Zeneca.

The assistance of the following individuals is gratefully acknowledged: Howard Black, Biological Technician, Dale Bumpers National Rice Research Center; Mike Dillon, Research Technician, Lonoke; Troy Dillon, Research Technician, Lonoke; Larry Earnest, Superintendent, Southeast Research and Extension Center, Rohwer Division; Bill Fox, Research Specialist, Rice Research and Extension Center, Stuttgart; John Robinson, Director, Rice Research and Extension Center, Stuttgart; Vaughn Skinner, Farm Manager, Arkansas Agricultural Research and Extension Center, Fayetteville; Randy Spurlock, Research Technician, Rohwer; Jennifer Wells, Graduate Assistant, Arkansas Agricultural Research and Extension Center, Fayetteville; Marilyn McClelland, Research Associate, Arkansas Agricultural Research and Extension Center, Fayetteville (editing and compilation); and Marci Milus and Joyce Booth, secretarial staff.

HERBICIDE EVALUATION IN ARKANSAS RICE, 1998

*Ron Talbert, Ford Baldwin, David Gealy, Tomilea Dillon, Lance Schmidt,
Eric Scherder, Celeste Wheeler, Leopoldo Estorninos, Jr.,
Jeff Rutledge, and Rebecca Chavez*

INTRODUCTION

Herbicidal weed control is economically important for production of rice. Field experiments are conducted annually in Arkansas to evaluate the activity of developmental and commercial herbicides for selective control of weeds in rice. These experiments serve both industry and Arkansas agriculture by providing information on the selectivity of herbicides still in the developmental stage, and by comparing the activity of these new herbicides with that of recommended herbicides.

The research reported herein is a compilation of data from experiments conducted by three of the state's agronomic researchers responsible for weed control in rice. Ron Talbert, located at the Arkansas Agricultural Research and Extension Center, Fayetteville, conducts research at Fayetteville, at the Rice Research and Extension Center, Stuttgart, and at the Lonoke location of the University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff. David Gealy is located at the Dale Bumpers National Rice Research Center at Stuttgart. Ford Baldwin's rice research is located primarily at the Lonoke location of the University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff, with occasional experiments at Stuttgart.

Common names of the herbicides presented in data tables are referenced to trade names and sponsoring companies in Appendix Table 1. The scientific names of the plants evaluated and their associated Bayer codes are listed in Appendix Table 2. Climatological data for 1998 are presented in Appendix Table 3.

METHODS

Pertinent information specific to each field test precedes each data table. Included is information on general field conditions, field maintenance, herbicide application, and general conclusions from the data. All test areas were fertilized as recommended from soil tests. Weed densities were taken in most experiments and are presented in each table. Densities expressed as no./ft² are natural populations or from populations broadcast-seeded. Those expressed as no./row ft were seeded in rows across the rice rows.

The herbicides used in these studies are designated in the tables by the common name proposed to or accepted by the Weed Science Society of America or, when common names are unavailable, by code number designation. A trade name is specified for compounds having more than one trade name or manufacturer. The Stam® formulation was used where propanil formulation is not designated. Herbicides formulated as prepackaged mixtures are listed in tables by their component herbicides in parentheses. All herbicide rates are expressed in pounds of active ingredient (lb/A) on a broadcast basis. Adjuvant rates are expressed as percent volume/volume.

Effects of the herbicide treatments were evaluated by weed control ratings, crop injury ratings, crop yields, and crop stand counts. Percentages of weed control and crop injury were visually estimated: 0% represents no effect, and 100% represents complete kill. Rice yield is reported as lb/A; 1 bushel = 45 pounds. Data were subjected to analysis of variance, and the LSD (Least Significant Difference) test at the 5% level of significance was used for separation of means.

ABBREVIATIONS OF TERMS

The following abbreviations are used in tables:

- BF, before flood
- BkPkCO₂, CO₂ backpack sprayer
- Cot., cotyledon
- DAT, days after treatment
- DF, dry flowable
- DPRE, delayed preemergence
- EC, emulsifiable concentrate
- EPOST, early postemergence
- fb, followed by
- FF, flat fan nozzle
- Gpa, gallons per acre
- G or GR, granular formulation
- lf, leaf
- LPOST, late postemergence
- LSD, least significant difference
- ME, microencapsulated
- MP-44, annual weed control recommendations for Arkansas
- MPOST, mid-postemergence timing
- N/A, not applicable or not available
- Noz, nozzles
- NS, not significant
- PI, panicle initiation
- POFL, after flood
- POST, postemergence
- PPI, preplant incorporated
- PPL, preplant (not incorporated)
- PRE, preemergence
- PREFL, before flood
- RCB, randomized complete block (experimental design)
- R-ECHCG, propanil-resistant barnyardgrass
- Till, tillering
- UAPB, University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff
- WAF, weeks after flood
- XR, extended range nozzle

Table 1. Evaluation of V-10029 programs for weed control in rice, Stuttgart, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

Location	Stuttgart	Planting date	May 11, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RBC / 4	Harvest date	September 28, 1998
Plot size	6 ft by 20 ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Row width / Number of rows per plot	6.5 in / 9 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 14, 21, and June 4, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding	June 16, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 5.2		
Comments: DPRE = delayed preemergence; EPOST = early postemergence; MPOST = mid-postemergence; PREFL = preflood; EPOFL = early post-flood; POFL = post-flood; and PI = panicle initiation. Weeds were planted in rows across rice rows.			
Application type	DPRE	EPOST	MPOST
Date applied	5/18/98	5/28/98	6/3/98
Time	11:00 am	4:00 pm	12:00 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	92 / 82	80 / 80	85 / 82
Relative humidity (%)	45	90	65
Wind (mph)	4	1	5
Weather	clear	cloudy	partly cloudy
Soil moisture	wet	wet	dry
Crop stage/Height	N/A	2-3 ft/ 6"	3-4 ft/ 7"
Sprayer type/ mph	BkPkCO ₂ / 3	BkPkCO ₂ / 3	Hooded BM / 3
Nozzle type/Size	Teejet / XR11002	Teejet / XR11002	Teejet / XR11002
Boom ht / # Noz./ Spacing (in.)	15 / 3 / 18 15 / 24	15 / 3 / 18 15 / 24	15 / 3 / 18 15 / 22
Gra/ Psi			15 / 24
Weed species (density) - - (# leaves/height) -			
R-ECHCG (15/ft)	N/A	2-3 ft/ 1-1.5"	3-4 ft/ 3-4"
ECHCG (12/ft)	N/A	2-3 ft/ 1"	3-4 ft/ 3-4"
SEBEX (9/ft)	N/A	2-3 ft/ 2-3"	3-4 ft/ 3-5"
			5-6 ft/ 4-6" 5-6 ft/ 4-6" 5-6 ft/ 6"
			2-3 ft/ 8-10" 2-3 ft/ 8-10" 6-9 ft/ 14"
			3-4 ft/ 12" 3-4 ft/ 12" 9-11 ft/ 22"
			2-3 ft/ 14-16" 2-3 ft/ 14-16" 11-13 ft/ 31"

continued

	DPRE	EPOST	MPOST	PREFL	EPOFL	POFL	PI
AESVI(4/ft)	N/A	2-3If/2-3"	3-4If/2-4"	4-5If/4"	7-10If/6-9"	12-15If/12-15"	14-16If/17-19"
IAQTA(3/ft)	N/A	cot-1If/0.5-1.5"	2-3If/1-2"	3If/1-2"	N/A	N/A	N/A
IPWR(5/ft)	N/A	cot -1 If/1-1.5"	2-3If/1-2"	5-8If/3-4"	8-10If/8-12"	10-12If/12-14"	12-14If/27"

Conclusions: V-10029 (bispyribac-sodium) alone or in a herbicide program is an excellent alternative for control of propanil-resistant and susceptible barnyardgrass. V-10029 also controlled hemp sesbania, northern jointvetch, and smallflower morning glory, but will need to be used in herbicide programs for controlling palmleaf morning glory. The ability of V-10029 to control barnyardgrass at later timings gives producers a salvage option for control if early-season failure occurs.

Table 1. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Appli- cation timing	Weed control							
			Resistant barnyardgrass (R-ECHCG)			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)			Hemp sesbania (SEBEX)	
			6/8	6/18	6/22	7/2	6/2	6/18	6/22	7/21
<hr/>										
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.018	PREFL	0	88	86	80	0	85	79	83
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	PREFL	0	90	86	81	0	88	81	79
(Propanil + molinate)	6.0	PREFL	0	0	33	61	0	0	43	66
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%) fbtriclopyr	0.02	MPOST	39	75	78	88	0	79	81	91
(Propanil + molinate)	4.5	MPOST	34	74	71	89	0	71	75	90
fbtriclopyr	0.28	POFL	39	75	78	88	0	79	81	91

continued

Table 1. Section 1. Continued.

Weed control

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Appli- cation timing	Resistant barnyardgrass (R-ECHCG)						Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)						Weed control							
			6/8	6/18	6/22	7/2			6/2	6/18	6/22	7/2			6/2	6/18	6/22	7/2	6/2	6/18	6/22	
Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + Kinetic 0.125%)	1.0 0.018	DPRE																				
Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + Kinetic 0.125%)	1.0 0.02	MPOST DPRE	97	98	97	99	97	98	97	99	99	99	99	99	58	97	99	97	99	97	99	
Pendimethalin fb (propanil + molinate)	1.0 4.5 3.0	MPOST DPRE	97	98	97	99	98	98	97	99	96	96	96	96	58	92	98	92	98	92	98	
V-10029 + Kinetic 0.125%)	0.02	MPOST	73	95	97	96	81	97	97	99	100	95	95	95	98	96	96	87	87	87	85	
Thiobencarb fb (propanil + molinate)	3.0 4.5 2.0	DPRE																				
Thiobencarb + propanil fb V-10029 + thiobencarb + Kinetic 0.125%)	0.02 2.0 3.0 0.02	MPOST EPOST	74	97	97	97	86	97	97	98	95	95	95	95	98	100	100	95	95	93	93	
Thiobencarb + propanil fb V-10029 + Thiobencarb + propanil fb Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + bensulfuron + Kinetic 0.125%)	2.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 1.0 0.02 0.038	MPOST EPOST MPOST DPRE MPOST DPRE	79	97	93	98	89	97	94	99	94	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	99	
Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + bensulfuron + Kinetic 0.125%)	1.0 0.038	MPOST DPRE																				
Pendimethalin fb (propanil + molinate)	4.5	MPOST	96	91	94	97	98	98	97	98	98	95	95	95	98	96	99	97	95	98	96	98

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 1. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control											
			Resistant barnyardgrass (R-ECHCG)						Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)					
			6/8	6/18	6/22	7/2	6/21	6/18	6/21	7/2	7/21	6/8	6/18	6/22
Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + bensulfuron + Kinetic (0.125%)	1.0 0.02 0.038	DPRE												
Pendimethalin fb bensulfuron	1.0 0.038	POFL DPRE	97	88	86	99	98	90	88	97	100	14	5	8
Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	1.0 0.02	POFL DPRE	97	91	93	94	98	91	95	98	89	0	0	0
Pendimethalin fb moliinate	1.0 4.0	POFL DPRE	98	93	94	100	98	92	94	96	100	0	10	0
Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + triclopyr + Kinetic (0.125%)	1.0 0.02 0.28	POFL DPRE EPOFL PI	94	93	93	99	97	93	93	98	95	0	0	16
Pendimethalin fb moliinate fb triclopyr	1.0 4.0 0.28													
LSD(0.05)			9	7	7	7	4	7	6	6	6	12	11	6

continued

Table 1. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Northern jointvetch (AESV)				Weed control				Smallflower morningglory (IAQTA)			
			6/8	6/18	6/22	7/2	7/21	(%)	6/8	6/18	6/22	7/2	7/21	6/8
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.018	PREFL	0	97	96	88	94	0	10	8	28	8	0	0
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	PREFL PREFL	0	100	99	94	94	0	0	0	15	0	0	100
(Propanil + molinate)	6.0		0	99	99	94	98	0	0	0	20	19	0	100
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	MPOST POFL	66	98	100	98	98	0	5	8	95	98	0	100
fb tridopyr (Propanil + molinate)	0.28	MPOST POFL	91	94	91	94	94	0	0	0	95	96	0	100
Pendimethalin fb	4.5	DPRE												
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.28	MPOST DPRE	58	99	100	96	98	0	0	0	25	0	0	100
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE												
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.018	MPOST DPRE	58	99	100	96	98	0	0	0	25	0	0	100
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE												
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	MPOST DPRE	59	98	98	90	98	0	0	0	28	0	0	100
Pendimethalin fb (propanil + molinate)	1.0	DPRE												
Propanil fb	4.5	MPOST DPRE	91	98	93	86	50	0	0	0	18	0	18	100
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	3.0	MPOST DPRE	51	99	100	88	96	0	0	0	33	0	0	100
Thiobencarb fb (propanil + molinate)	4.5	MPOST	93	98	90	70	85	0	0	0	18	0	5	100

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 1. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Smallflower morningglory (IAQTA) 68 6/18 6/22 7/21 (%)	
			Northern jointvetch (AESVI)			Palmleaf morningglory (IPOWR)				
			6/8	6/18	6/22	7/2	7/21	6/8		
Thiobencarb + propanilfb V-10029 +	2.0 3.0 0.02	EPOST	98	100	99	90	96	93	100	100
Thiobencarb + thiobencarb + Kinetic (0.125%)	2.0 2.0 2.0	MPOST	100	100	100	100	96	99	95	100
Thiobencarb + propanilfb	2.0 3.0	EPOST	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE
thiobencarb + propanil	2.0 3.0	MPOST	MPOST	MPOST	MPOST	MPOST	MPOST	MPOST	MPOST	MPOST
Pendimethalinfb V-10029 +	1.0 0.02	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE
bensulfuron + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.038	MPOST	58	99	99	89	100	0	0	55
Pendimethalinfb bensulfuron + (propanil + molinate)	1.0 0.038 4.5	MPOST	90	98	91	85	99	0	0	33
Pendimethalinfb V-10029 +	1.0 0.02 0.038	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE	DPRE
Kinetic (0.125%)	0.038	POFL	25	13	21	71	99	0	0	49
Pendimethalinfb bensulfuron	1.0 0.038	DPRE	DPRE	POFL	0	0	40	54	0	56
Pendimethalinfb V-10029 +	1.0 0.02	POFL	0	0	0	43	100	0	0	76
Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	DPRE	DPRE	POFL	18	5	3	0	0	0
Pendimethalinfb molinate	1.0 4.0	POFL	POFL	POFL	POFL	POFL	POFL	POFL	POFL	POFL

continued

Table 1. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control									
			Northern jointvetch (AESV)			Palmleaf morningglory (IPOWR)			Smallflower morningglory (IAQTA)			
			68	6/18	6/22	7/21		68	6/18	6/22	7/21	68
Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + triclopyr +	1.0 0.02 0.28	DPRE	29	3	10	80	100	0	0	90	100	0
Kinetic (0.125%)	1.0	POFL										100
Pendimethalin fb molinate fb	4.0	DPRE										
		EPOFL										
		PI	6	0	3	23	100	0	0	0	18	100
triclopyr	0.28											0
LSD (0.05)			12	6	8	11	11	3	6	7	18	9
								8				1

Table 1. Section 3.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Rice injury			Rice yield 9/28 (lb/A)
			68	6/18	6/22	
			(%)	(%)	(%)	
Untreated check			0	0	0	0
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.018	PREFL	0	9	1	0
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	PREFL	0	9	1	0
(Propanil + molinate)	6.0	PREFL	0	1	0	0
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	MPOST	3	0	0	0
fb triclopyr	0.28	POFL				
(Propanil + molinate)	4.5	MPOST	3	1	0	0
fb triclopyr	0.28	POFL				

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 1. Section 3. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (#/A)	Application timing	Rice injury			Rice yield 9/28 (#/A)
			6/8 (%)	6/18 (%)	6/22 (%)	
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE				
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.018	MPOST DPRE	0	0	0	8424
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE				
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	MPOST DPRE	1	1	0	7809
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE				
(propanil + molinate)	4.5	MPOST DPRE	1	0	0	8430
Propanil fb	3.0	DPRE				
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	MPOST DPRE	0	1	0	8801
Thiobencarb fb	3.0	DPRE				
(propanil + molinate)	4.5	MPOST	5	0	0	8181
Thiobencarb + propanil fb	2.0	EPOST				
V-10029 + thiobencarb + 2.0 Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	MPOST	11	1	1	7748
Thiobencarb + propanil fb	2.0	EPOST				
thiobencarb + propanil	2.0	MPOST DPRE	11	0	0	7836
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE				
V-10029 + bensulfuron + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02 0.038	MPOST	0	0	0	7751

continued

Table 1. Section 3. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Rice injury 6/8 6/18 (%)			Rice yield 9/28 (lb/A)
			6/22	7/2	7/22	
Pendimethalin fb bensulfuron + (propanil + mollinate)	1.0 0.038	DPRE				
Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + bensulfuron + Kinetic (0.125%)	4.5 1.0 0.02 0.038	MPOST DPRE	3	0	0	8151
Pendimethalin fb bensulfuron Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	1.0 0.038 1.0 0.02 1.0	POFL DPRE POFL DPRE POFL DPRE	0	1	0	8775
Pendimethalin fb bensulfuron Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	1.0 0.038 1.0 0.02 1.0	POFL DPRE POFL DPRE POFL DPRE	0	0	0	7683
Pendimethalin fb mollinate Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + triclopyr + Kinetic (0.125%)	4.0 1.0 1.0 0.02 0.28	POFL DPRE POFL DPRE POFL DPRE	0	0	0	6026
Pendimethalin fb triclopyr LSD(0.05)	4.0 0.28	POFL DPRE EPOFL PI	2	2	NS	788
					NS	9183
						8483
						788

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 2. Carfentrazone (Aim) applied EPOST alone and in tank-mixes with standard rice herbicides, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Stuttgart
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4
Plot size	6 ft by 16 ft
Row width / Number of rows per plot	6.5 in / 9 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	0.9 / 5.2
Comments: EPOST = early postemergence; and PREFL = preflood.	
APPLICATION	
Application type	EPOST
Date applied	5/28/98
Time	4:00 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air / Soil temperature (F)	80 / 80
Relative humidity (%)	90
Wind (mph)	1
Weather	cloudy
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage / Height	2-3 If / 6"
Sprayer type / mph	BkPkCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type / Size	Teejet / XR11002
Boom lift / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15 / 3 / 18
Gpa / Psi	15 / 24
Weed species (density)	(# leaves/height)
ECHCG (9/ft ²)	2-3If / 1.5-2"
SEBEX (9 / row ft)	2-3If / 2-3"
AEFVII(4 / row ft)	2-3If / 1-2"
IAQTA (3 / row ft)	cot.-1If / 0.5-1.5"
IPOWR (5 row ft)	cot. - 1 If / 1.5-2"
ECIAL (12 / ft ²)	5-8If / 3-4"
	3-6If / 2-3"

Conclusions: Carfentrazone at 0.02 lb/A alone or tank-mixed with thiobencarb at 4.0 lb/A, quinclorac at 0.38 lb/A, pendimethalin at 1.0 lb/A, fenoxaprop at 0.063 lb/A, or propanil at 3.0 lb/A at the EPOST timing controlled the broadleaf weed spectrum present. Carfentrazone will need to be tank-mixed with quinclorac, fenoxaprop, or propanil to prevent yield loss from inadequate control of barnyardgrass infestations in rice.

Table 2. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) <u>62</u> <u>68</u> <u>6/26</u> <u>7/21</u>		Smallflower morningglory (IAQTA) <u>62</u> <u>68</u> <u>6/17</u>		Common purslane (POROL) <u>62</u> <u>68</u>	Eclipta (ECLAL) <u>68</u>
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0
Carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	EPOST	40	68	48	0	100	100
Carfentrazone + thiobencarb	0.02	EPOST	66	80	88	58	100	98
Carfentrazone + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	EPOST	95	93	96	100	100	100
Carfentrazone + pendimethalin	0.02	EPOST	48	75	60	29	100	100
Carfentrazone + fenoxaprop	0.02+3.0	EPOST	91	88	97	100	100	100
Carfentrazone + propanil	0.063	EPOST	89	98	95	75	90	100
Halosulfuron + Induce (0.25%)	0.06	EPOST	13	72	48	25	23	14
Bensulfuron + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.038	EPOST	5	40	46	48	18	71
Propanil + bensulfuron fb	3.0+0.019	EPOST	0	55	88	61	88	95
propanil + bensulfuron	3.0+0.019	PREFL						
LSD(0.05)			17	20	18	23	18	17
							19	19
							6	continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 2. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Hemp sesbania (SEBEX)			
			6/2 68	6/17 7/21	(%)		6/2 68	6/17 7/21	(%)	
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	EPOST	100	99	100	100	100	99	99	100
Carfentrazone + thiobencarb	0.02	EPOST	100	99	100	100	100	98	100	99
Carfentrazone + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	EPOST	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99
Carfentrazone + pendimethalin	0.75	EPOST	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	98
Carfentrazone + fenoxaprop	0.063	EPOST	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99
Carfentrazone +propanil	0.02+3.0	EPOST	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99
Halosulfuron + Induce (0.25%)	0.06	EPOST	18	38	10	100	6	96	100	99
Bensulfuron +Agri-Dex (1%)	0.038	EPOST	24	39	99	100	46	96	100	99
Propanil + bensulfuron fb	3.0+0.019	EPOST	28	91	100	100	31	98	100	99
propanil + bensulfuron	3.0+0.019	PREFL								
LSD(0.05)			9	15	9	0	4	9	1	1
							2			
										continued

Table 2. Section 3.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Rice yield (lb/A)			
			Northern jointvetch (AESVI)			(%)						
			6/2	6/8	6/17	6/26	7/21	6/26	6/27	6/28	6/17	6/26
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2094
Carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	EPOST	98	100	98	89	100	20	9	0	0	1792
Carfentrazone + thiobencarb	0.02	EPOST	99	98	90	65	94	20	9	0	0	6427
Carfentrazone + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	EPOST	100	100	98	100	100	18	8	0	0	8031
Carfentrazone + pendimethalin	0.75	EPOST	93	100	90	50	86	0	9	0	0	4322
Carfentrazone + fenoxaprop	0.0033	EPOST	88	100	85	45	73	34	21	0	0	8070
Carfentrazone + propanil	0.02+3.0 0.06	EPOST	100	100	98	99	98	19	10	0	0	7233
Halosulfuron + Induce (0.25%)		EPOST	68	95	100	92	98	0	8	0	0	5649
Bensulfuron + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.038	EPOST	49	95	98	97	100	4	5	0	0	4883
Propanil + bensulfuron fb	3.0+0.019	EPOST	48	96	100	99	100	0	8	0	0	5774
Propanil + bensulfuron	3.0+0.019	PREFL										
LSD (0.05)			12	4	8	21	12	10	6	NS	NS	1315

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 3. Comparison of clomazone (Command) and standard programs under non-flushed conditions, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Stuttgart
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4
Plot size	6 ft by 16 ft
Row width / Number of rows per plot	6.5 in / 9 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	0.9 / 5.2
Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preemergence; and DPRE = delayed preemergence.	
Application type	PPI
Date applied	May 11, 1998
Time	7:00 pm
Incorporation equipment	Triple-K
Air/Soil temperature (F)	75/80
Relative humidity (%)	85
Wind (mph)	3
Weather	mostly clear
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A
Sprayer type/ mph	BkPkCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Teejet / XR11002
Boom lift / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15 / 3 / 18
Gpa/ Psi	15 / 24
Weed species (density)	- (# leaves/height)
ECHCG (50/ft ²)	N/A
DPRE	
Planting date	May 12, 1998
Harvest date	September 29, 1998
Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Dates of Flooding	June 4 and 9, 1998
Date of Flooding	June 16, 1998
	N/A

Conclusions: Clomazone gave season-long control of barnyardgrass at 0.4 lb/A with decreased control at 0.2 lb/A by July 20. Chlorosis ratings show higher injury with PPI applications and less injury at PRE and DPRE timings. Decreased control of barnyardgrass had a significant effect on yield, with clomazone at 0.4 lb/A giving the highest rough rice yields regardless of application timing.

Table 3. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Weed control									
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)				Rice flatsedge (CYPIR)				Eclipta (ECLAL)	
			Propanil-susceptible 6/21		P-Resistant 6/11		6/18		7/20		6/11	
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone	0.2	PPI	2	84	76	88	88	91	67	67	67	50
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	88	99	98	86	91	67	68	70	70	99
Clomazone	0.2	PRE	88	71	74	73	73	65	67	73	73	99
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	99	94	88	96	87	67	67	94	94	99
Clomazone	0.2	DPRE	91	85	90	84	90	92	87	87	87	99
Clomazone	0.4	DPRE	88	85	88	89	92	96	98	80	80	99
Quinclorac	0.375	DPRE	88	89	94	99	91	97	95	95	95	61
Pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE	90	74	81	86	86	96	99	75	75	99
Thiobencarb	4.0	DPRE	93	56	71	68	23	90	97	53	53	99
LSD(0.05)			8	25	18	26	20	14	28	38	38	27

Table 3. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Rice injury										Rice yield 9/29 (lb/A)	
			Chlorosis				Biomass reduction				Biomass reduction			
			5/26		6/2		6/11		6/18		7/20			
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clomazone	0.2	PPI	25	9	6	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	55	28	15	11	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	
Clomazone	0.2	PRE	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7757	
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	13	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7142	
Clomazone	0.2	DPRE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6018	
Clomazone	0.4	DPRE	8	3	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7796	
Quinclorac	0.375	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6716	
Pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5747	
Thiobencarb	4.0	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6109	
LSD(0.05)			8	6	3	2	NS	NS	4	NS	NS	NS	1682	

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 4. Weed control with glufosinate (Liberty) in rice, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Stuttgart
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4
Plot size	6 ft by 16 ft
Row width / Number of rows per plot	6.5 in / 9 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	0.9 / 5.2
Planting date	May 12, 1998
Harvest date	August 3, 1998
Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Dates of Flushing	May 14, 21, and June 4, 1998
Date of Flooding	June 16, 1998
PREFL	
Application type	EPOST
Date applied	May 28, 1998
Time	4:00 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	80/ 80
Relative humidity (%)	90
Wind (mph)	1
Weather	cloudy
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage/ Height	2-3 If / 6"
Spray type/ mph	Bulk CO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type/ Size	Teejet / XR11002
Boom lift / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15 / 3 / 18
Gpa / Psi	15 / 24
Weed species (density)	(# leaves / height)
R-ECHCG (15/ row ft)	2-3 If / 1.5"
ECHCG (12/ row ft)	2-3 If / 1.5"
SEBREX (9/ row ft)	2-3 If / 3"
AFSWI (4/ row ft)	2-3 If / 2.5"
IAQTA (3/ row ft)	cot - 1 If / 1-2"
IPOWR (5/ row ft)	cot - 1 If / 1.5-2"
ECLAL (12/ ft ²)	cot - 1 If / 0.1"

Conclusions: Glufosinate at all rates and timings provided excellent season-long weed control of all weeds present except northern jointvetch. Northern jointvetch was controlled only by glufosinate at 0.25 and 0.35 lb/A applied at the PREFL timing. Glufosinate at all rates and timings was better than the standard of 3 lb/A propanil fb 3 lb/A propanil on smallflower morningglory, palmleaf morningglory, and propanil-resistant barnyardgrass. The highest yielding treatments in this study were glufosinate fb glufosinate at 0.375 lb/A applied EPOST fb PREFL and glufosinate at 0.25 lb/A applied EPOST and EPOST fb PREFL.

Table 4. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)			Susceptible (POWR)		
			6/2	6/8	6/26	7/21	6/2	6/8
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0
Glufosinate	0.25	EPOST	85	100	97	96	93	90
Glufosinate	0.25	PREFL			94	93	98	96
Glufosinate fb	0.25	EPOST	91	100	99	100	99	100
Glufosinate	0.25	PREFL	96	100	99	98	100	99
Glufosinate	0.375	EPOST			94	100	99	96
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL					96	98
Glufosinate fb	0.375	EPOST	91	100	99	100	98	100
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	91	100	99	100	99	100
Propanil fb	3.0	EPOST	8	20	0	15	91	98
propanil	3.0	PREFL					84	95
LSD(0.05)			11	5	6	7	8	4
							4	12
							4	12
							5	5

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 4. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Smallflower morningglory (IAQTA) 6/21 7/21	
			Hemp sesbania (SEBEX)			Northern jointvetch (AESV)				
			6/2	6/8	6/26	7/21	6/2	6/8	6/26	7/21
Untreated check										
Glufosinate	0.25	EPOST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Glufosinate	0.25	PREFL	100	100	99	98	100	99	35	31
Glufosinate fb	0.25	EPOST	100	100	99	100	96	100	62	64
glufosinate	0.25	PREFL	100	100	99	100	99	100	33	48
Glufosinate	0.375	EPOST	100	100	99	100	99	100	75	89
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	100	100	99	99	99	99	75	89
Glufosinate fb	0.375	EPOST	100	100	99	99	98	100	72	74
glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	100	100	99	99	99	100	72	74
Propanil fb	3.0	EPOST	100	100	99	100	99	100	82	100
propanil	3.0	PREFL	100	100	99	100	99	100	100	99
LSD(0.05)			1	1	1	2	5	2	28	24
									5	4

continued

Table 4. Section 3.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Rice yield 83 (lb/A)	
			Common purslane (POROL)			Eclipta (ECLAL)				
			6/2	6/8	6/26	6/8	6/26	6/26		
Untreated check										
Glufosinate	0.25	EPOST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Glufosinate	0.25	PREFL	100	100	100	98	18	18	0	
Glufosinate fb	0.25	EPOST	100	100	100	99	18	18	0	
glufosinate	0.25	PREFL	100	100	100	99	18	18	0	
Glufosinate	0.375	EPOST	100	100	100	99	25	23	0	

continued

Table 4. Section 3, Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Rice yield 83 (lb/A)	
			Common purslane (POROL)		Eclipta (ECLAL)		Rice injury			
			62	68	68	626	68	626		
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL			97		0	0	5536	
Glufosinate fb	0.375	EPOST	100	100	99	29	21	0	6585	
glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	100	98	99	1	13	0	5554	
Propanil fb	3.0	EPOST								
propanil	3.0	PREFL								
LSD(0.05)			1	3	2	3	14	9	NS	

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 5. Levee control with clomazone (Command) and quinclorac (Facet), Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Stuttgart
Experimental Design/replications	RCB / 4
Plot size	6 ft by 26 ft
Row width / Number of rows per plot	6.5 in / 9 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	1.0 / 5.2
Comments: PPI= preplant incorporated; PRE = preemergence.	
Application type	PPI
Date applied	May 11, 1998
Time	7:00 pm
Incorporation equipment	Triple-K
Air/Soil temperature (F)	75/80
Relative humidity (%)	85
Wind (mph, direction)	3
Weather	mostly clear
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A
Spray type/mph	BkPKCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Teejet XR11002
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15/3/18
Gpa / Psi	15/24
Weed species (density)	(# leaves / height)
ECHCG (25/in ²)	N/A
Planting date	May 11, 1998
Harvest date	September 30, 1998
Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Dates of Flushing	May 14, 21, and June 4, 1998
Date of Flood	June 9, 1998
PRE	
Date applied	May 13, 1998
Time	9:00 am
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	81/78
Relative humidity (%)	75
Wind (mph, direction)	3
Weather	mostly clear
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A
Spray type/mph	BkPKCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Teejet XR11002
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15/3/18
Gpa / Psi	15/24
Weed species (density)	(# leaves / height)
ECHCG (25/in ²)	N/A

Conclusions: All herbicide treatments were ineffective for season-long control of barnyardgrass on the levee. Herbicide rates of clomazone at 0.2 lb/A and quinclorac at 0.25 lb/A were too low to control barnyardgrass on levees.

Table 5. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) control (%)											
			5/27		6/2		6/11		6/18		7/1		7/21	
Plot	Levee	Plot	Levee	Plot	Levee	Plot	Levee	Plot	Levee	Plot	Levee	Plot	Levee	Plot
(%)														
Untreated check														
Clomazone (pull levee)	0.2	PPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Clomazone (pull levee)	0.2	PPI	28	56	8	13	20	13	25	45	5	0	0	0
fb clomazone (spray levee only)	0.2	PRE	88	86	96	68	98	90	96	75	97	45	91	35
Clomazone (pull levee)	0.2	PRI	90	68	99	25	96	50	98	50	98	32	93	0
Clomazone (pull levee) fb clomazone (spray levee only)	0.2	PRE	68	79	99	83	97	86	98	78	99	60	98	20
Pull levee; clomazone (spray plot & levee)	0.2	PRE	90	89	98	86	99	73	94	79	99	50	92	0
Quinclorac (pull levee)	0.25	PPI	23	23	23	21	18	13	26	34	13	8	0	0
Quinclorac (pull levee) fb quinclorac (spray levee only)	0.25	PRE	30	46	15	56	25	53	20	69	18	49	5	0
Quinclorac (pull levee) fb quinclorac (spray levee only)	0.25	PRE	94	58	97	30	93	21	91	55	99	28	97	0
Pull levee; quinclorac (spray plot & levee)	0.25	PRE	94	51	98	53	96	83	95	86	97	73	99	63
LSD(0.05)	36	40	23	33	20	31	21	22	11	33	10	27	continued	

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 5. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) control						Rice yield (lb/A)		
			5/27		6/2		6/18		7/21		
			Plot	Levee	Plot	Levee	Plot	Levee	Plot	Levee	
Untreated check											
Clomazone (pull levee)	0.2	PPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone (pull levee)	0.2	PPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	153
fb clomazone (spray levee only)	0.2	PRE	15	1	0	0	2	8	0	3	0
Clomazone (pull levee)	0.2	PRE	4	5	0	0	1	13	0	5	0
Clomazone (pull levee)	0.2	PRE	PRE	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	1494
fb clomazone (spray levee only)	0.2	PRE	3	5	0	0	0	8	0	5	0
Pull levee; clomazone (spray plot & levee)	0.2	PRE	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Quinclorac (pull levee)	0.25	PPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	929
Quinclorac (pull levee)	0.25	PPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
fb quinclorac (spray levee only)	0.25	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	581
Quinclorac (pull levee)	0.25	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	313
Quinclorac (pull levee)	0.25	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
fb quinclorac (spray levee only)	0.25	PRE	1	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	921
Pull levee; quinclorac (spray plot & levee)	0.25	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	726
LSD(0.05)			5	3	4	NS	3	NS	2	NS	NS
											599

Table 6. Triclopyr (Grandstand) mixtures, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Stuttgart
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4
Plot size	6 ft by 16 ft
Row width / Number of rows per plot	6.5 in / 9 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	1.0 / 5.2
Comments: EPOST = early postemergence; PI = panicle initiation.	
Application type	EPOST
Date applied	May 28, 1998
Time	4:00 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air / Soil temperature (F)	80 / 80
Relative humidity (%)	90
Wind (mph, direction)	3
Weather	cloudy
Soil moisture	wet
Crop stage / Height	2-3 lf / 6"
Sprayer type / mph	BkPbKCO ₃ / 3
Nozzle type / Size	Teejet / XR11002
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15 / 3 / 18
Gpa / Psi	15 / 24
Weed species (density)	- - - - - (# leaves / height) - - - - -
R-ECHCG (15 / row ft)	2-3 lf / 1"
ECHCG (15 / row ft)	2-3 lf / 1"
SEBEX (4 / row ft)	2-3 lf / 2"
AESVI (9 / row ft)	2-3 lf / 1.5"
IPQWR (5 / row ft)	cot. - 1 lf / 2"
ECLAI (2 / ft ²)	12-14 lf / 27"
	12-14 lf / 10-12"

Conclusions: All treatments gave excellent control of smallflower and palmleaf morningglories, hemp sesbania, and eclipta (>94%). Treatments containing triclopyr (Grandstand) plus propanil in combination with pendimethalin, quinclorac, or thiobencarb gave good control (>88%) of resistant and susceptible barnyardgrass at the later rating dates. The highest numerical yield was obtained with the treatment of triclopyr + propanil + quinclorac.

Table 6. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (\$/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)						Weed control				
			Resistant		Susceptible		6/8		6/22		7/21		
			6/22	6/8	6/22	6/8	6/22	6/8	6/22	6/8	6/22	7/21	
<hr/>													
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Triclopyr + propanil	0.19 + 4.0	EPOST	55	58	64	71	40	95	100	99	100	100	99
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate)	0.19	EPOST	50	71	76	80	71	100	100	99	100	100	99
Triclopyr + propanil + pendimethalin	4.5	EPOST	68	88	88	92	90	100	100	100	100	100	100
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate) + pendimethalin	0.19 + 4.0 + 1.0	EPOST	61	60	74	71	69	99	100	100	100	100	100
Triclopyr + propanil + quinclorac	4.5	EPOST	94	95	97	95	97	100	100	100	100	100	100
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate) + quinclorac	0.19 + 4.0 + 0.25	EPOST	80	89	90	91	86	99	100	100	100	100	100
Triclopyr + propanil + thiobencarb	4.5	EPOST	92	97	98	97	99	100	100	100	100	100	100
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate) + thiobencarb	0.19 + 4.0 + 3.0	EPOST	60	78	73	85	74	98	100	100	100	100	100
Propanil + pendimethalin	4.0	EPOST	58	85	78	88	76	94	100	99	100	100	99
Triclopyr + propanil fb triclopyr + propanil	0.19 + 4.0 0.25	PI	60	55	75	64	82	100	100	100	100	100	100
LSD (0.05)			13	13	14	12	20	5	1	2	1	2	2

continued

Table 6. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Northern jointvetch (AESVI)			Smallflower morningglory (IAQTA)			Eclipta (ECLAL)			Rice injury			Rice yield 9/28
			68	6/22	7/21	68	6/22	7/21	68	6/22	7/21	68	6/22	7/21	
Weed control (%)															
Untreated check															
Triclopyr + propanil	0.19 + 4.0	EPOST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1822
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate)	0.19	EPOST	94	96	90	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	4847
Triclopyr + propanil + pendimethalin	4.5	EPOST	95	96	91	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	5717
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate)	0.19 + 4.0	EPOST	99	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	6521
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate) + pendimethalin	+ 1.0	EPOST	99	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate)	0.19	EPOST	4.5	97	100	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	5064
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate) + quinclorac	1.0	EPOST	0.19 + 4.0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	6382
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate) + quinclorac	+ 0.25	EPOST	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate) + thiobencarb	0.19	EPOST	4.5	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	6152
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate) + thiobencarb	0.25	EPOST	0.19 + 4.0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate) + thiobencarb	+ 3.0	EPOST	99	98	48	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	6341
Triclopyr + (propanil + molinate)	0.19	EPOST	4.5	98	99	55	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Propanil + pendimethalin	3.0	EPOST	4.0	98	99	55	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	6069
Triclopyr + propanil fb triclopyr + propanil	4.0	EPOST	1.0	P1	95	96	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	5771
			4	4	4	14	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5651
LSD (0.05)															826

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 7. Evaluation of injury and efficacy of quinclorac (Facet) and clomazone (Command) applied PPI and PRE, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Stuttgart	Planting date	May 11, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 29, 1998
Plot size	6 ft by 16 ft	Crop/Variety	Rice / Drew
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	6.5 in / 9 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 14, 21, June 4 and 9, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flood	June 16, 1998
% OM / pH	1.0 / 5.2		

Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preemergence; DPRE = delayed preemergence.

	PPI	PRE	DPRE
Application type	May 11, 1998	May 12, 1998	May 18, 1998
Date applied	7:00 pm	10:00 am	12:00 pm
Time	Triple-K	N/A	N/A
Incorporation equipment	75/80	82/80	92/82
Air/Soil temperature (F)	85	65	45
Relative humidity (%)	3	5	4
Wind (mph, direction)	mostly clear	clear	clear
Weather	moist	moist	wet
Soil moisture	N/A	N/A	spiking / 0.25"
Crop stage/Height	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3
Spraytype/mph	Teejet / XR11002	Teejet / XR11002	Teejet / XR11002
Nozzle type/Size	15/3/18	15/3/18	15/3/18
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15/24	15/24	15/24
Gpa / Psi		(# leaves / height)	15/24
Weed species (density)	N/A	N/A	N/A
ECHG (40/ft ²)			

Conclusions: Barriardgrass control was slightly lower by July 20 with clomazone at 0.1 lb/A applied PRE and DPRE than with higher rates. Chlorosis injury was highest for PPI treatments with PRE and DPRE timings showing less chlorosis. Overall, clomazone provided excellent control of barriardgrass and Amazon sprangletop (>94%) at all timings with rates >0.3 lb/A. Clomazone, however, lacks control of rice flatsedge, and a herbicide program will be needed for control.

Table 7. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing	Weed control											
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)				Amazon strangletop (LEPPA)				Rice flatsedge (CYPIR)			
			5/27	6/2	6/17	7/1	7/20	(%)	7/20	(%)	7/20	(%)	6/17	
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	99	99	0	0	0	
Quinclorac	0.25	PPI	81	77	71	93	99	99	49	49	28	28	94	
Quinclorac	0.375	PPI	88	89	52	98	97	98	35	35	91	91	90	
Quinclorac	0.5	PPI	88	81	55	99	98	98	91	91	86	86	76	
Clomazone	0.1	PPI	94	98	96	89	86	93	95	95	91	91	81	
Clomazone	0.2	PPI	81	98	93	98	93	99	99	99	99	99	76	
Clomazone	0.3	PPI	88	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	58	
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	94	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	77	
Clomazone	0.5	PPI	94	98	98	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	47	
Quinclorac	0.25	PRE	91	97	79	98	97	98	45	45	93	93	95	
Quinclorac	0.375	PRE	95	89	78	99	99	99	70	70	95	95	94	
Quinclorac	0.5	PRE	95	99	76	97	99	99	52	52	95	95	94	
Clomazone	0.1	PRE	94	99	93	89	92	95	92	92	95	95	86	
Clomazone	0.2	PRE	89	99	98	99	99	99	92	92	92	92	68	
Clomazone	0.3	PRE	93	98	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	66	
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	90	99	98	97	94	94	94	94	94	94	61	
Clomazone	0.5	PRE	91	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	85	
Clomazone	0.1	DPRE	93	98	97	98	92	97	97	97	97	97	91	
Clomazone	0.2	DPRE	95	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	92	
Clomazone	0.3	DPRE	94	99	96	99	99	99	94	94	94	94	97	
Clomazone	0.4	DPRE	95	99	98	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	94	
Clomazone	0.5	DPRE	94	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	93	
Quinclorac	0.375	DPRE	95	98	94	99	99	99	92	92	92	92	98	
Pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE	94	99	94	98	99	98	99	99	99	99	99	
Thiobencarb	4.0	DPRE	94	99	94	98	98	98	99	99	99	99	98	
LSD (0.05)			9	14	15	9	6	21	21	21	30	30		

Table 7. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (b/A)	Effect on rice						Yield 9/29 (b/A)		
			5/27	6/2	Chlorosis 6/17	7/1	7/20 (%)	6/2	Biomass reduction 6/17	7/1	7/20
Untreated check											
Quinclorac	0.25	PPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3762
Quinclorac	0.375	PPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7331
Quinclorac	0.5	PPI	1	0	0	0	0	6	5	0	6792
Clomazone	0.1	PPI	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	6474
Clomazone	0.2	PPI	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	7321
Clomazone	0.3	PPI	27	13	0	0	0	2	0	0	7200
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	60	25	2	0	0	13	1	0	6979
Clomazone	0.5	PPI	60	36	2	0	0	13	0	0	7345
Quinclorac	0.25	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6751
Quinclorac	0.375	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7231
Quinclorac	0.5	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	6924
Clomazone	0.1	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6409
Clomazone	0.2	PRE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7408
Clomazone	0.3	PRE	18	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	6930
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6951
Clomazone	0.5	PRE	28	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	7828
Clomazone											7247
Clomazone	0.1	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7154
Clomazone	0.2	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8177
Clomazone	0.3	DPRE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7816
Clomazone	0.4	DPRE	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7994
Clomazone	0.5	DPRE	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8273
Quinclorac	0.375	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7674
Pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8229
Thiobencarb	4.0	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6854
LSD(0.05)			12	7	1	NS	NS	6	2	1	1531

Table 8. Evaluation of quinclorac (Facet) formulations (DF vs. GR) at two water depths and two grass stages, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Stuttgart
Experimental Design / replications	Splitblock / 4
Plot size	6 ft by 16 ft
Row width / Number of rows per plot	6.5 in / 9 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	1.0 / 5.2
Comments: POFL = post-flood. The dry flowable (DF) and granular (GR) formulations of quinclorac were compared at each flood depth. Propanil (Stan) was applied preflood to selected plots to establish a barnyardgrass height differential (10-12" and 14-16").	
Application type	POFL
Date applied	June 23, 1998
Time	11:30 am
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air / Soil temperature (F)	98 / 90
Relative humidity (%)	45
Wind (mph, direction)	5
Weather	clear
Soil moisture	flooded
Crop stage / Height	8-10 lf / 14"
Sprayer type / mph	BkPkCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type / Size	Treject / TJ11002
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15 / 3 / 18
Gpa / Psi	15 / 24
Weed species (density)	10-12" or 14-16" (# leaves / height)
ECHCG (16/ft ²)	13 lf - 4 tiller / 10"
LFSP (10/ft ²)	

Conclusions:

0.5-1.5 inch flood depth: Quinclorac (DF) at 0.38 lb/A provided good control of 10-12" barnyardgrass, and was less effective on 14-16" barnyardgrass at this flood depth. The granular (GR) formulation of quinclorac at 0.38 lb/A was ineffective on both 10-12" and 14-16" barnyardgrass in the 0.5-1.5 inch flood depth.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

4.5 inch flood depth: Quinclorac (DF) at 0.38 lb/A provided good control of 10-12" and 14-16" barnyardgrass which was comparable to the standard herbicide molinate at 0.3 lb/A applied to the same barnyardgrass stages. Quinclorac (GR) at 0.38 lb/A provided fair control of 10-12" barnyardgrass and poor control or 14-16" barnyardgrass.

Table 8.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing (barnyardgrass stage)	Weed control						Effect on rice		
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)			Sprangletop species (LEFSP)			Injury		Yield 9/28 (lb/A)
			7/8	7/28	8/12	7/8	7/28	8/12	7/8	7/28	8/12
Application made to rice with a 0.5-1.5" flood:											
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1131
Quinclorac (DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.38	10-12"	75	81	83	74	73	74	3	0	6429
Quinclorac (GR)	0.38	10-12"	41	55	43	26	58	40	0	0	2285
Quinclorac (DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.38	14-16"	61	65	68	61	60	71	5	0	4945
Quinclorac (GR)	0.38	14-16"	38	41	24	29	44	35	0	0	1578
Application made to rice with a 4-5" flood:											
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1991
Quinclorac (DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.38	10-12"	78	78	88	65	74	77	0	0	5975
Quinclorac (GR)	0.38	10-12"	39	65	73	26	64	84	0	0	5162
Molinate (GR)	0.3	10-12"	78	80	87	76	85	89	3	0	5729
Quinclorac (DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.38	14-16"	66	80	84	59	71	68	0	0	4159
Quinclorac (GR)	0.38	14-16"	44	54	59	34	50	64	0	0	1762
Molinate (GR)	0.5	14-16"	56	79	76	53	84	86	4	9	3168
LSD (0.05)			16	14	14	14	15	13	NS	2	NS
											865

Table 9. Evaluation of rates and timings of DE-537, Stuttgart, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

Comments: EPOST = early postemergence; PREFL = preflood.		
Application type	EPOST	
Date applied	May 28, 1998	
Time	4:00 pm	
Incorporation equipment	N/A	
Air/Soil temperature (F)	80/80	
Relative humidity (%)	90	
Wind (mph, direction)	1	
Weather	cloudy wet	
Soil moisture	2-3 If / 6"	
Crop stage/Height	BkPkCO ₂ / 3	
Sprayer type/mph	Teejet / XR11002	
Nozzle type/Size	15 / 3 / 18	
Boom ht. / # Noz. / Spacing (in.)	15 / 24	
Gpa / Psi	N/A	
Weed species (density)	---- (# leaves / height) ----	
R-ECHCG (20/ft ²)	2-3 If / 1.5-2"	
ECHCG (9/row ft)	2-3 If / 2.5-3"	
LEFFA (12/ft ²)	3-6 If / 2-3"	
PREFL		
Planting date	May 12, 1998	
Harvest date	September 28, 1998	
Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew	
Dates of Flushing	May 14, 21, and June 4, 1998	
Date of Flood	June 16, 1998	
% OM / pH	1.0 / 5.2	

Conclusions: EPOST treatments of DE-537 at all rates gave good control (>80%) of all weeds present at 28 DAT. Late-season control (56 DAT) required rates ≥ 0.125 lb./A. Comparison treatments of quinclorac or fenoxaprop also gave good control of weeds at all timings. Preflood treatments of DE-537 did not give adequate control, although there was a trend for greater control with higher rates. Highest yields were obtained with treatments of DE-537 at 0.125 to 0.5 lb./A applied EPOST, fenoxaprop EPOST or EPOST fb PREFL, or AE-F046380-42EC14A.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 9. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Barnyardgrass control						ECHCG (%)
			6/2	6/9	6/18	6/26	6/2	6/9	
Untreated check									
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.063	EPOST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.125	EPOST	36	68	76	87	36	81	78
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.187	EPOST	41	89	80	93	41	70	81
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.25	EPOST	35	85	91	97	35	86	93
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.25	EPOST	45	86	94	96	45	92	96
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.5	EPOST	46	95	95	96	46	94	95
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.063	PREFL	50	50	43	43	50	50	38
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.125	PREFL	38	38	25	25	48	48	28
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.187	PREFL	53	53	38	38	53	53	45
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.25	PREFL	53	53	56	56	53	53	51
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.5	PREFL	63	63	83	83	63	63	86
DE-537 + bensulfuron + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.187+0.038	PREFL	38	33	33	33	78	78	35
Propanil	4.0	EPOST	55	45	53	25	55	78	38
Propanil	4.0	PREFL	35	35	26	26	35	58	60
Quinclorac + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.375	EPOST	55	90	68	84	55	84	33
Quinclorac + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.5	PREFL	55	55	83	83	69	69	86
Fenoxyprop	0.034	EPOST	45	90	95	96	45	89	55
Fenoxyprop	0.034	PREFL	60	86	86	86	63	89	95
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fenoxyprop fb	0.04	EPOST							
fenoxyprop	0.07	PREFL	43	93	96	98	43	95	97
AE-F046360-42EC14Af	0.08	EPOST							
AE-F046360-42EC14A	0.13	PREFL	40	90	93	96	40	89	94
Propanil fb	4.0	EPOST							
propanil	4.0	PREFL	75	79	58	40	76	82	75
LSD(0.05)			12	17	11	13	12	19	10
								12	12

continued

Table 9. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Bearded sprangletop (LEFFA) control		Effect on rice			Yield 9/28 (lb/A)
			6/26	7/21	62 (%)	69	6/18	
Untreated check								
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.063	EPOST	0	0	0	0	0	1497
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.125	EPOST	81	91	0	4	0	3406
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.187	EPOST	91	93	3	5	0	6591
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.25	EPOST	97	89	0	6	0	4954
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.5	EPOST	96	95	3	8	0	7440
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.063	PREFL	97	96	0	4	0	6468
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.125	PREFL	48	74			5	1472
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.187	PREFL	30	84			0	1425
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.25	PREFL	48	54		4	0	1259
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.5	PREFL	40	44		4	0	2112
DE-537 + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.187+0.038	PREFL	78	60		6	0	5049
Agri-Dex(1.25%)		PREFL	35	10			1	1338
Propanil	4.0	EPOST	63	84	4	6	1	2425
Propanil	4.0	PREFL	35	86			3	1929
Quinclorac + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.375	EPOST	58	30	1	5	0	5373
Quinclorac + Agri-Dex(1.25%)	0.5	PREFL	38	20			4	5099
Fenoxaprop	0.034	EPOST	96	94	1	14	4	5904
Fenoxaprop	0.034	PREFL	83	73			1	4096
Untreated check		PREFL	0	0			0	738
Fenoxaprop fb	0.04	EPOST						
fenoxaprop	0.07	PREFL	99	95	3	16	9	6509
AE-F046360-42EC14A fb	0.08	EPOST						
AE-F046360-42EC14-A	0.13	PREFL	98	95	1	8	0	6414
Propanil fb	4.0	EPOST						
propanil	4.0	PREFL	92	90	6	5	4	4419
LSD(0.05)			15	12	4	5	4	NS
								926

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 10. Herbicide evaluation of clomazone (Command) in herbicide programs in Arkansas, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Stuttgart	Planting date	May 12, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 29, 1998
Plot size	6 ft by 16 ft	Crop\Variety	Rice / Drew
Row width / Number of rows per plot	6.5 in / 9 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 14, 21, and June 4, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flood	June 16, 1998
% OM / pH	1.0 / 5.2		

Comments: PRE = preemergence; DPRE = delayed preemergence; PREFL = preflood. Emergence of resistant barnyardgrass was poor, and only one rating was taken. A natural infestation of barnyardgrass was rated during the season.

	PRE	DPRE	PREFL
Application type	May 12, 1998	May 18, 1998	June 9, 1998
Date applied	10:00 am	12:00 pm	10:00 am
Time	N/A	N/A	N/A
Incorporation equipment	82/80	92/82	85/82
Air/Soil temperature (F)	65	45	75
Relative humidity (%)	5	4	5
Wind (mph, direction)	clear	clear	cloudy
Weather	moist	wet	moist
Soil moisture	N/A	spiking / 0.25"	4-6 If / 8"
Crop stage/Height	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	Hooded BM
Sprayer type/mph	Teejet / XR11002	Teejet / XR11002	Teejet / XR11002
Nozzle type/Size	Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15/3/18	15/3/18
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15/24	15/24	15/22
Gpa / Psi		- (# leaves / height)	- (# leaves / height)
Weed species (density)		N/A	N/A
R-ECHCG (1.5 /row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
ECHCG (40 /ft ²)	N/A	N/A	N/A
SEBEX (4 /row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
AESVI (7 /row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A
IPOWR (4 /row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Conclusions: Clomazone at 0.5 lb/A gave 99% control of barnyardgrass regardless of application timing prior to sequential applications. Herbicide programs involving quinclorac, carfentrazone, and bensulfuron were also effective for control of palmetto morningglory. Northern jointvetch control was limited to programs of quinclorac and propanil and hemp sesbania control with quinclorac, propanil, or carfentrazone.

Table 10. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)												Weed control			
			Natural infestation				Susc.				Resis.				Palmleaf morningglory (IPCWRL)			
			5/27	6/2	6/11	6/18	7/1	7/20	6/11	7/1	5/27	6/2	6/11	6/18	7/1	7/20		
Untreated check			3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.5	PRE	88	88	98	98	98	98	98	98	85	84	98	98	98	98	98	98
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.2	PRE	94	99	99	96	99	99	99	99	65	92	94	88	99	99	99	99
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.19	PRE	91	98	98	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	97	99	99	99	97
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.5	DPRE	91	98	98	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	97	99	99	99	99
Clomazone + thiobencarb	0.38	DPRE	94	98	98	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	97	99	99	99
Clomazone + thiobencarb	0.5	DPRE	95	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Clomazone + thiobencarb	0.2	DPRE	95	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Clomazone + thiobencarb	2.0	DPRE	95	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Clomazone + pendimethalin	0.2	DPRE	95	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.2	DPRE	96	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Clomazone fb	0.19	DPRE	96	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Clomazone fb	0.05	PRE																
carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	PREFL	91	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	95	99	99	99	99
Clomazone fb	0.5	PRE	94	99	99	98	98	98	99	99	99	99	99	75	75	68	88	79
propanil	3.0	PREFL	98	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	35	55	33	46	20
Clomazone fb	0.2	PRE																
propanil	3.0	PREFL																

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 10. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control														
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)			Palmetto morningglory (IPOMO)											
			Natural infestation		Susc. (%)	5/27		6/18		6/11		6/21		6/18		7/1	
Clomazone fb	0.2	PRE															
bensulfuron + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.038	PREFL	2	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Clomazone fb (propanil + mollinate) + bensulfuron	0.2	PRE															
Clomazone fb carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	4.5 0.038 0.5 0.02	PREFL DPRE	90	99	98	97	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Clomazone fb propanil	0.5 3.0 0.38 3.0	PREFL DPRE PREFL DPRE	94	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Quinclorac fb propanil		PREFL	95	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
LSD (0.05)			6	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	2	35	33	22	30	31	22

Table 10. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control														
			Northern jointvetch (AESVI)			Hemp sesbania (SEBEX)											
			6/2		6/11	7/1	7/20	5/27		6/2		6/11		6/18		7/1	
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.5 0.38 0.2 0.19	PRE PRE	99	9	82	83	95	69	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99

continued

Table 10. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Northern jointvetch (AESV)			Hemp sesbania (SEBEX)		
			6/2 6/11	7/1 7/20	(%)	5/27 6/2	6/11 6/18	7/1 7/20
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.5	DPRE	98	96	96	55	99	99
Clomazone + thiobencarb	0.5	DPRE	96	48	67	10	3	17
Clomazone + thiobencarb	40	DPRE	96	48	63	10	3	7
Clomazone + pendimethalin	0.2	DPRE	55	58	40	0	30	23
Clomazone + pendimethalin	20	DPRE	17	37	10	3	15	20
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.2	DPRE	90	81	96	30	91	88
Clomazone fb carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.19	DPRE	96	90	81	30	91	88
Clomazone fb propanil	0.02	PREFL PRE	43	75	63	48	70	40
Clomazone fb propanil	0.5	PREFL PRE	33	47	89	98	10	3
Clomazone fb propanil	3.0	PREFL PRE	53	42	99	99	25	23
Clomazone fb bensulfuron + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.02	PREFL PRE	0.038	40	45	35	25	20
Clomazone fb (propanil + molinate) + bensulfuron	0.2	PREFL DPRE	4.5	40	91	86	30	0
Clomazone fb carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	PREFL DPRE PREFL	0.038 0.5 0.02	80	86	71	30	23
Clomazone fb propanil	0.5	PREFL DPRE PREFL	3.0	71	70	99	94	5
	3.0					48	50	99

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 10. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Northeast jointvetch (AESV)				Weed control		
			6/2	6/11	7/1	7/20	5/27	6/2	6/11
Quinclorac fb propanil	0.38 30	DPRE PREFL	65	92	99	99	50	98	96
LSD(0.05)			46	26	28	27	42	53	20
							25	25	8
								16	

Table 10. Section 3.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Chlorosis				Effect on rice						
			5/27	6/2	6/11	7/1	7/20	(%)	6/2	6/11	6/18	7/1	7/20
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.5 0.38	PRE	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1786
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.02 0.19	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8566
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.05 0.38	DPRE	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8738
Clomazone + thiobencarb	0.5 0.40	DPRE	50	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8120
Clomazone + thiobencarb	0.02 0.20	DPRE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8028
Clomazone + pendimethalin	0.02 0.19	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7225
Clomazone + quinclorac													8259

continued

Table 10. Section 3. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice										Yield (lb/A)
			Chlorosis			Biomass reduction			9/29				
			5/27	6/2	6/11	6/18	7/1	7/20	6/21	6/18	7/1	7/20	(%)
Clomazone fb	0.5	PRE											
carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	PREFL	33	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone fb	0.5	PRE											
propanil	3.0	PREFL	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone fb	0.2	PRE											
propanil	3.0	PREFL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone fb	0.2	PRE											
bensulfuron + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.038	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone fb	0.2	PRE											
(propanil + molinate) + bensulfuron	4.5	PREFL	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone fb	0.5	DPRE											
carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	PREFL	63	6	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clomazone fb	0.5	DPRE											
propanil	3.0	PREFL	68	19	4	0	0	0	11	6	0	0	0
Quinclorac fb	0.38	DPRE											
propanil	3.0	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	14	4	2	NS	NS	NS	4	2	NS	NS	NS	NS	1352

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 11. Herbicide evaluation of clomazone (Command) in herbicide programs in Arkansas, Rohwer, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Rohwer	Planting date	May 7, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 17, 1998
Plot size	4.5ft by 17ft	Crop / Variety	Rice / Cypress
Row width / Number of rows per plot	6.5in / 9 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 12, 18, 21, and June 5, 1998
Soil type	Sharkey clay (8% sand, 49% silt, 43% clay)	Date of Flood	June 13, 1998
% OM / pH	3.5 / 6.7		

Comments: PRE = preemergence; DPRE = delayed preemergence; PREFL = preflood.

	PRE	DPRE	PREFL
Application type	May 8, 1998	May 13, 1998	June 9, 1998
Date applied	12:00pm	5:00pm	5:00pm
Time	N/A	N/A	N/A
Incorporation equipment			95/100
Air/Soil temperature (F)	88/80	92/82	
Relative humidity (%)	43	84	
Wind (mph, direction)	3	5	
Weather	clear	clear	partly cloudy
Soil moisture	moist	wet	dry
Crop stage/Height	N/A	N/A	5-6 ft - 2 tiller / 7"
Spravertype/mph	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	Hooded BM
Nozzle type/Size	Teejet / XR11002	Teejet / XR11002	Teejet / TJ11002
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15/3/18	15/3/18	15/3/18
Gpa / Psi	15/24	15/24	15/24
Weed species (density)		(# leaves / height)	
ECHCG (400/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	3-4 ft - 1 tiller / 4"
SEBEX (4/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	5-6 ft / 5-6"
CYPES (1/ft ²)	N/A	2-3 ft / 3-4"	7-10 ft / 5-6"

Conclusions: All programs lacked season-long control of barnyardgrass. This was primarily due to a very high population (>450 plants sq/ft) of barnyardgrass. Even under extreme populations clomazone programs provided 68 to 84% control, except for clomazone PRE fb carfentrazone PREFL (59%).

Table 11. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Hemp sesbania (SEBEX) 6/17
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)			7/8			
			5/26	6/3	6/9	6/17	(%)		
Untreated check									
Clomazone fb	0.5	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
carfentrazone	0.02	PREFL	86	97	94	88	59	100	
Clomazone fb	0.5	PRE	96	97	96	97	79	100	
propanil	3.0	PREFL	96	97	97	89	71	100	
Clomazone fb	0.5	DPRE	97	97	97	98	84	100	
carfentrazone	0.02	PREFL	97	98	97	98	84	100	
Clomazone fb	0.5	DPRE	96	98	97	98	84	100	
propanil	3.0	PREFL	96	98	97	98	84	100	
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.5	PRE	97	98	96	98	71	0	
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.38	DPRE	97	99	99	95	80	50	
Clomazone + thiobencarb	0.5	DPRE	97	98	98	90	68	0	
LSD(0.05)			2	2	4	6	10	21	

Table 11. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice						Yield (lb/A)
			Chlorosis			Biomass reduction			
			5/26	6/3	6/9	6/17	7/8	(%)	
Untreated check									
Clomazone fb	0.5	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
carfentrazone	0.02	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	0	4254

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 11. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice				Biomass reduction 9/17 78 (%)	Yield 9/17 (lb/A)
			5/26	63	Chlorosis 69	6/17		
Clomazone fb	0.5	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0
propanil	3.0	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone fb	0.5	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	6284
carfentrazone	0.02	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	4229
Clomazone fb	0.5	DPRE	5	5	0	0	0	0
propanil	3.0	PREFL	5	5	0	0	0	6355
Clomazone +	0.5	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0
quinclorac	0.38	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	3133
Clomazone +	0.5	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	5373
quinclorac	0.38	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone +	0.5	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	2419
thibencarb	4.0							
LSD (0.05)		NS	3	NS	NS	NS	NS	2256

Table 12. Imazethapyr control of red rice ecotypes, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Stuttgart
Experimental Design / replications	Split plot / 4
Plot size	1 row by 10 ft
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7 in / 1 row
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	1.3 / 5.5
Comments:	Plots not treated with imazethapyr were treated June 3 with 4 lb ai/acre propanil + 0.75 lb ai/acre bentazon and again on June 25 with 4 lb ai/acre propanil to control unwanted weeds.
Application type	POST (imazethapyr)
Date applied	June 12, 1998
Time	8:30 am
Incorporation equipment	NA
Wind (mph)	15
Weather	clear
Soil moisture	dry
Crop stage/ Height	3-4 If 5-6"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPkCO ₂ /2
Nozzle type/Size	flat fan/8001
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	20/3/20
Gpa / Psi	10/23
Weed species	(#leaves/height) 3-4 If 5-7"
OR/SA	3-4 If 5-7"

Conclusions: Most red rice ecotypes produced two to three times the number of tillers per m of row compared to Kambonnet rice. Nearly all ecotypes were killed with 0.125 lb ai/acre of imazethapyr. However, 1995-8 appears to be slightly more tolerant than other red rice ecotypes. It produced more than four times as much dry weight per m of row as 1995-10 after being sprayed with 0.125 lb ai/acre imazethapyr (the highest rate) and more than 12 times that of the other red rice ecotypes. The highest rate of imazethapyr affected the mazethapyr-tolerant rice only slightly. Mid-July control ratings of all red rice ecotypes except for 1995-8 exceeded 95% after treatment with the 0.063 lb ai/acre rate. Additional research will be required to determine whether 1995-8 is consistently more tolerant than other red rice ecotypes to imazethapyr. Note that in a second test (Table 13) in this publication, the 1995-8 red rice ecotype was not more tolerant than other ecotypes to imazethapyr.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 12.

Redrice ecotype / rice cultivar	Imazethapyr rate (lb ai/acre)	Seedling density 6/11 (no./m row)	Plant height 6/11 (cm)	Plant leaf number 6/11 (no./plant)	Injury 6/24		Tiller density 7/28 (no./m row)	Plant height 7/28 (cm)	Plant dry weight Row 7/28	
					(%)	7/14			(g/mrow)	(g/tiller)
Redrice ecotypes										
Stgs	0	23.7	17.0	3.5	0	0	145	109.90	202.30	1.40
Stgs	0.031	15.3	14.5	3.3	50	62	43	74.40	35.70	0.67
Stgs	0.063	19.3	15.1	3.2	72	57	6	69.90	5.90	0.67
Stgs	0.125	25.0	15.7	3.3	73	88	—	—	0.00	0.00
1995-8	0	39.3	15.7	3.7	0	0	179	114.30	140.90	1.03
1995-8	0.031	32.3	16.3	3.6	48	53	93	66.70	53.00	0.66
1995-8	0.063	34.3	14.8	3.3	63	89	21	60.50	10.60	2.14
1995-8	0.125	33.0	15.4	3.0	67	80	66	64.90	24.40	0.43
1995-10	0	16.3	15.5	3.5	0	0	150	118.10	103.40	0.51
1995-10	0.031	18.0	18.3	3.5	55	72	20	64.80	10.30	0.48
1995-10	0.063	13.7	13.6	3.1	68	98	2	59.20	0.30	0.17
1995-10	0.125	22.0	19.3	3.4	67	83	13	66.50	5.20	0.28
MS4	0	20.0	13.5	2.9	0	0	130	99.60	105.20	0.54
MS4	0.031	23.7	12.8	3.3	60	83	20	52.80	7.90	0.36
MS4	0.063	17.0	12.6	2.9	75	96	5	40.60	0.20	0.05
MS4	0.125	20.3	16.4	3.1	75	97	9	50.70	0.80	0.09
Stgb	0	17.0	17.5	3.7	0	0	130	90.80	81.40	0.65
Stgb	0.031	20.3	17.3	3.6	88	88	39	61.90	18.70	0.38
Stgb	0.063	15.3	18.2	3.6	65	88	7	59.90	2.10	0.24
Stgb	0.125	17.7	3.5	71	100	—	—	—	0.00	0.00
TX4	0	9.0	15.6	3.5	0	0	121	112.80	116.40	0.68
TX4	0.031	15.7	16.3	3.2	70	86	29	69.20	3.30	0.11
TX4	0.063	7.0	16.7	3.0	67	88	2	50.70	0.40	0.22
TX4	0.125	6.7	17.9	3.4	74	100	—	—	0.00	0.00
Katy-redrice hybrid	0	16.3	13.2	3.3	0	0	167	78.80	150.20	0.61
Katy-redrice hybrid	0.031	33.7	13.5	3.3	57	90	7	56.20	2.10	0.26

continued

Table 12. Continued.

Red rice ecotype/ rice cultivar	Seedling density 6/11 (lb ai/acre)	Plant height 6/11 (cm)	Plant leaf number 6/11 (no./plant)	Injury 6/24 (%)	Injury 7/14 (%)	Tiller density 7/28 (no./mrow)	Plant height 7/28 (cm)	Plant dry weight Row 7/28 (g/mrow)	Plant dry weight Tiller 7/28 (g/tiller)
Red rice ecotypes (continued)									
Katy-red rice hybrid	0.063	19.7	13.0	3.3	72	100	55	61.20	6.50
Katy-red rice hybrid	0.125	28.0	14.1	3.3	78	97	11	57.20	1.90
Rice cultivars									
IMI-tolerant	0	18.7	14.2	3.0	0	0	104	82.00	152.90
IMI-tolerant	0.031	28.0	12.9	3.3	7	18	127	76.50	162.20
IMI-tolerant	0.063	21.0	14.9	3.0	10	18	127	80.00	192.90
IMI-tolerant	0.125	22.0	13.6	3.1	13	22	109	76.60	138.40
Kaybonnet	0	20.3	13.9	3.0	0	0	55	75.00	33.70
Kaybonnet	0.031	29.3	13.0	3.1	83	80	34	67.10	10.10
Kaybonnet	0.063	26.7	13.1	2.8	78	95	13	67.50	3.30
Kaybonnet	0.125	24.7	13.1	3.1	83	93	2	51.20	0.20
LSD(0.05)	NS	NS	NS	16	17	NS	NS	41.00	NS

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 13. Rice ecotype response to imazethapyr, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Stuttgart	Planting date	May 21, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 3	Harvest date	September 11, 1998
Plot size	2 ft by 6 ft	Crop\Variety	Red rice / Rice
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	2 ft / 1 row	Date of flooding	June 26, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)		
% OM / pH	1.3 / 5.5		

Comments: Plots not treated with imazethapyr were treated June 3 with 4 lb ai/acre propanil + 0.75 lb ai/acre bentazon and again on June 25 with 4 lb ai/acre propanil to control unwanted weeds.

	POST (imazethapyr)
Application type	June 12, 1998
Date applied	8:30 am
Time	N/A
Incorporation equipment	15
Wind (mph)	clear
Weather	dry
Soil moisture	3-4 If/7-6"
Crop stage/Height	BkPkCo ₂ /2
Spraytype/mph	flat fan/8001
Nozzle type/Size	20/3 / 20
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	10/23
Gpa / Psi	(#leaves/height)
Weed species	3-4 If/7-8"
ORYSA	

Conclusions: At mid-July rating, the most tolerant red rice ecotypes to 0.063 lb ai/acre imazethapyr appeared to be the blackhull types 10A and 1995-4 and the strawhull type 13H, all at 85% control. Control of most other ecotypes exceeded 95%. Control of StgS and StgB, the local standard red rice ecotypes, was 100%.

Table 13.

Ecotype	Visual ratings		Ecotype	Visual ratings	
	June 24 (% control)	July 14 (% control)		June 24 (% control)	July 14 (% control)
Blackhull types					
StgB	82	85	17A	82	100
10A	58	95	1995-2	96	96
17C	83	88	1995-3	93	93
18E	83	88	1995-5	93	93
1995-1	100	100	1995-6	98	98
1995-10	100	100	1995-7	100	100
1995-13	100	100	Katy-red rice hybrid	97	97
1995-4	85	96	1996-10	95	95
1995-8	88	96	1997-3	98	98
1995-9	82	98	1997-22	98	98
1996-11	70	98	1997-23	100	100
1997-1	70	98	1997-24	97	97
1997-27	—	—	1997-25	98	98
19A	82	96	1997-26	92	92
8	82	98	1997-28	100	100
Tx4	57	93	20A	95	95
			21A	93	93
			2B	100	100
			3B	100	100
			4A	97	97
			7	96	96
			LA3	92	92
			MS4	98	98
Strawhull types					
StgS	83	100	White types	73	95
11A	67	100	KBNT	75	100
11B	65	72	PI414714	6	8
11C	72	67	LSD _(0.05)		
11D	100	100			
13G	58	88			
13H	88	85			
15A	65	100			
16B	97	100			
16E	100	100			

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 14. Reduced propanil rate for barnyardgrass control in rice, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Stuttgart	Planting date	May 12, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	Split plot/ 4	Harvest date	September 24, 1998
Plot size	5.25 ft by 10 ft	Crop/Variety	Rice / Kaybonnet/Lemont /PI312777
Row width / Number of rows per plot	7 in / 9 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 21 and June 4, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding	June 17, 1998
% OM / pH	1.3 / 5.5		
Comments: The whole area was treated with 1.0lb/acre bentazon+0.5% v/v Agri-Dex on June 12, 1998. POST = postemergence.			
Application type	POST		
Date applied	June 10, 1998		
Time	NA		
Incorporation equipment	NA		
Air temperature (F)	80		
Wind (mph)	15		
Weather	mostly cloudy		
Soil moisture	NA		
Crop stage/Height	3-5lf / 7-11"		
Sprayer type/mph	BkPkCO ₂ / 2		
Nozzle type/Size	flat fan/8001		
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	20/3/20		
Gpa / Psi	10/23		
Weed species	- - - (# leaves/height) - - -		
E CHCG	2-3lf / 0.5-2"		

Conclusions: With a naturally suppressive rice cultivar such as PI312777, propanil rates as low as 1 lb/acre resulted in adequate early season barnyardgrass control and lasted until harvest. Propanil rates of 2 to 5 lb./acre provided good to excellent barnyardgrass control in Kaybonnet and Lemont cultivars. Without propanil application, PI312777 had the highest grain yield.

Table 14.

Cultivar	Propanil rate (lb/acre)	Barryardgrass (ECHCG)					Rice yield (lb/acre)-----
		6/16/98 (%)	Control 7/7/98 (%)	Dry weight at harvest (lb/acre)			
Kaybonnet	0	0	0	1437	327	231	6093
	1	50	68	234	234	0	6683
	2	84	86	0	0	0	7119
	3	22	91	0	0	0	7034
	5	88	94	0	0	0	7076
Lemont	0	0	0	1245	299	560	5494
	1	81	86	0	0	61	6512
	2	82	87	0	0	0	6145
	3	85	90	0	0	0	6561
	5	90	92	0	0	0	6356
PI312777	0	72	72	104	165	17	7394
	1	80	87	0	0	0	7492
	2	87	92	0	0	0	7297
	3	90	95	0	0	0	7480
	5	95	95	0	0	0	7239
No rice	0	0	0	5311	—	—	—
	1	72	79	166	—	—	—
	2	90	98	49	—	—	—
	3	95	99	88	—	—	—
	5	100	86	0	—	—	—
LSD (0.05) two cultivars at same or different propanil rate		11	18	644	NS	—	—

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 15. Influence of flooding on the performance of herbicides and growth of rice and red rice, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Stuttgart
Experimental Design / replications	Split plot/ 4
Plot size	6.6 ft by 10 ft
Row width / Number of rows per plot
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	1.3 / 5.5

Comments: Plots were tilled with a Triple-K before planting. Red rice seeds were broadcast seeded at 48 lb/ acre was soaked for 24 h and kept drained for 24 h before broadcast seeding. Domestic rice yield was adjusted to 12% moisture content. PPI - preplant incorporated; PPL - preplant; DAS - days after sowing.

	PPI	PPL
Application type	May 19, 1998	May 19, 1998
Date applied	10:30 am	11:30 am
Time	Rototiller	N/A
Incorporation equipment	85	85
Air temperature (F)	15	5
Wind (mph)	mostly sunny	sunny
Weather	dry	dry
Soil moisture	BkPkCO ₂ /2	BkPkCO ₂ /2
Crop stage/ Height	flat fan/8001	flat fan/8001
Sprayer type/mph	20/3/20	20/3/20
Nozzle ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	10/23	10/23
Gpa / Psi		10/25

Conclusions: This study was conducted to evaluate the influence of flooding on the effect of molinate (Ordran) and thiobencarb (Bolero) to domestic rice and red rice. Thiobencarb was phytoxic to domestic rice in continuous flooding but not in pinpoint flooding. Molinate was effective on red rice and relatively safe on rice in both flooding regimes. Herbicide effect was not different between the two red rice ecotypes. When left in competition with Kaybonnet, Stuttgart strawhull and blackhull red rice ecotypes reduced yield of domestic rice by 63% in continuous flooding and 92% in pinpoint flooding.

Table 15.

Cultivar	Rate (lb/acre)	Application type	Red rice		Domestic rice		Yield (lb/acre)
			Control 62 DAS	Panicle density (no./ft ²)	Injury 62 DAS (%)	Panicle density (no./ft ²)	
Continuous flood:							
Molinate / no red rice	4.0	PPI			0	42	7000
Molinate / strawhullIRR	4.0	PPI	87	3	0	35	5900
Molinate / blackhullIRR	4.0	PPI	85	2	0	44	5100
Thiobencarb / no red rice	4.0	PPL			77	28	3800
Thiobencarb / strawhullIRR	4.0	PPL	84	1	88	22	2900
Thiobencarb / blackhullIRR	4.0	PPL	80	2	82	20	3600
Untreated / no red rice					0	46	7100
Untreated / strawhullIRR			12	11	0	23	2800
Untreated / blackhullIRR			15	15	0	18	2500
Pinpoint flood:							
Molinate / no red rice	4.0	PPI			0	51	5800
Molinate / strawhullIRR	4.0	PPI	82	7	0	32	5000
Molinate / blackhullIRR	4.0	PPI	81	7	0	34	5000
Thiobencarb / no red rice	4.0	PPL			7	45	6900
Thiobencarb / strawhullIRR	4.0	PPL	80	10	2	31	2400
Thiobencarb / blackhullIRR	4.0	PPL	80	4	0	29	3300
Untreated / no red rice					0	40	6900
Untreated / strawhullIRR			0	26	0	10	700
Untreated / blackhullIRR			0	19	0	8	400
LSD(0.05)			8	5	12	11	1800

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 16. Propanil synergists, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

LocationLonoke	Planting dateMay 11, 1998
Experimental Design / replicationsRCB / 4	Harvest dateSeptember 6, 1998
Plot size10ft by 20ft	Crop\VarietyRice/Drew
Row width / Number of rows per plot7.5in / 9 rows	Dates of FlushingMay 9, 16, and 21, 1998
Soil typeCrowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of floodingJune 15, 1998
% OM / pH0.9 / 5.2		
Comments: EPOST = early postemergence; and LPOST = late postemergence.			
Application type	EPOST	LPOST	
Date applied	May 27, 1998	June 3, 1998	
Time	5:00 pm	8:00 pm	
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A	
Air/Soil temperature (F)	85/90	85/82	
Relative humidity (%)	75	75	
Wind (mph)	3	3	
Weather	mostly cloudy	clear	
Soil moisture	wet	normal	
Crop stage/Height	3-4 ft/6"	5-6 ft/8"	
Spray type/mph	BulkPKCO ² /3	BulkPKCO ² /3	
Nozzle type/Size	Teejet/ XR11002	Teejet/ XR11002	
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	20/6/20	22/6/20	
Gpa / Psi	15/35	15/33	
Weed species (density)			
R-ECHCG (15/row ft)	2-3 ft/2"	4-5 ft/3.5"	
ECHCG (30/row ft)	2-3 ft/2"	4-5 ft/3.5"	
BRAFP (35/ft ²)	4-5 ft/3.5"	2 tiller / 5"	

Conclusions: All treatments except propanil alone gave $\geq 97\%$ control of resistant barnyardgrass. The highest yield was obtained with the sequential treatment of (propanil + molinate), although most other treatment yields were not significantly different from this. Combinations including anilophos or piperophos with quinclorac yielded significantly better than propanil + quinclorac alone. Although injury from piperophos and carbaryl was lower than in 1997 because rates were reduced, injury from sequential applications persisted until 56 DAT.

Table 16. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Barnyardgrass			Susceptible (ECHCG)		
			Resistant (R-ECHCG) 62	69	624 7/22	62	69	624 7/22
Untreated control			0	0	0	0	0	0
Propanil	3.0	EPOST	43	50	58	53	63	92
Propanil + anilophos	0.5	EPOST	90	91	94	99	95	98
Propanil + piperophos	3.0	EPOST	91	97	98	98	97	95
Propanil + carbaryl (Propanil + molinate)	3.0	EPOST	90	93	98	98	96	96
Propanil fb	4.5	EPOST	93	96	97	99	90	98
propanil	3.0	EPOST	95	96	97	99	97	94
Propanil + anilophos fb	3.0	LPOST	93	94	98	99	90	97
propanil + anilophos	0.5	EPOST	90	94	98	99	97	98
Propanil + piperophos fb	0.375	EPOST	87	94	98	99	94	96
propanil + thiobencarb	0.375	LPOST	87	94	98	99	94	98

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 16. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Barnyardgrass			Susceptible (EHC/G)		
			Resistant (R-EHC/G)	6/2 69	6/24 7/22	(%)	6/2 69	6/24 7/22
Propanil + carbaryl fb	3.0 0.03	EPOST						
propanil + carbaryl	3.0 0.03	LPOST EPOST	96	98	99	96	98	99
(Propanil + molinate) fb	4.5 4.5	LPOST	92	98	98	94	98	98
Propanil + (propanil + molinate)	3.0 0.3	EPOST	96	96	98	97	97	99
anilophos + thiobencarb	3.0 3.0	EPOST						
Propanil + anilophos +	0.5 0.375	EPOST	99	98	99	100	98	99
anilophos + quinclorac	0.375 3.0	EPOST						
Propanil + anilophos +	0.3 1.0	EPOST	93	96	97	94	94	95
pendimethalin								
Propanil + piperophos + thiobencarb	3.0 0.375 3.0	EPOST	94	96	98	98	98	98
Propanil + piperophos +	0.375 0.375 3.0	EPOST	100	98	99	100	98	99
quinclorac								
Propanil + piperophos +	0.375 1.0 3.0	EPOST	98	99	98	96	97	97
pendimethalin								
Propanil + carbaryl + thiobencarb	0.03 3.0	EPOST	100	99	98	97	100	98

continued

Table 16. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Barnyardgrass			Susceptible (ECHCG)		
			Resistant (RECHCG) 62	69	624 7/22	62	69	624 7/22
Propanil + carbaryl + quinclorac	3.0 0.03 0.375	EPOST	100	98	98	100	98	98
Propanil + carbaryl + pendimethalin	1.0 0.03	EPOST	87	93	98	94	96	98
(Propanil + molinate) + thibencarb	4.5 3.0	EPOST	91	93	98	97	98	98
(Propanil + molinate) + quinclorac	4.5 0.375	EPOST	96	95	98	99	100	96
(Propanil + molinate) + pendimethalin	4.5 1.0	EPOST	95	98	98	94	98	94
Propanil + thibencarb	4.5 3.0	EPOST	91	93	98	95	97	98
Propanil + quinclorac	0.375	EPOST	98	98	98	100	98	98
Propanil + pendimethalin	3.0 1.0	EPOST	91	92	96	92	94	97
LSD(0.05)			6	6	2	3	7	4
						3	6	

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 16. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Rice injury			Rice yield b/A
			62	69	624	722	(%)	62	69	
Weed control (%)										
Untreated control			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2497
Propanil	3.0	EPOST	68	68	67	70	0	0	0	5827
Propanil + anilophos	0.5	EPOST	88	90	87	88	5	2	0	5324
Propanil + piperophos	3.0	EPOST	78	76	78	81	2	0	0	5343
Propanil + carbaryl (Propanil + molinate)	3.0	EPOST	81	91	90	90	29	14	5	5612
Propanil fb	4.5	EPOST	86	91	91	91	1	0	0	5692
Propanil + propanil	3.0	LPOST	95	96	94	98	3	2	0	6079
Propanil + anilophos fb	0.5	EPOST	95	94	94	96	30	25	22	4927
Propanil + propanil + anilophos	3.0	LPOST	95	94	94	96	2	22	19	5082
Propanil + piperophos fb	0.375	EPOST	91	95	97	99	38	38	22	4835
Propanil + thiodencarb	0.375	LPOST	91	95	97	99	4	5	5	6113
Propanil + carbaryl fb	0.03	EPOST	92	98	98	99	38	38	19	5445
Propanil + carbaryl (Propanil + molinate) fb	0.03	LPOST	82	98	96	97	9	1	2	continued
(Propanil + molinate) fb	4.5	EPOST	84	94	91	92	9	1	2	
Propanil + anilophos + thiodencarb	0.3									
Propanil + anilophos + thiodencarb	3.0									

Table 16. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Rice injury (%)	Yield 96 (b/A)
			Broadleaf 62	signalgrass 69	BRAPP 624	7/22		
Propanil + anilophos + quinclorac	3.0 0.5 0.375	EPOST	96	98	98	98	5	2
Propanil + pendimethalin	3.0 0.3	EPOST	90	89	92	92	4	2
Propanil + piperophos + thiobencarb	3.0 0.375	EPOST	91	97	96	96	9	1
Propanil + piperophos + quinclorac	3.0 0.375	EPOST	95	98	97	97	2	2
Propanil + piperophos + pendimethalin	3.0 0.375	EPOST	94	98	96	97	4	4
Propanil + carbaryl + thiobencarb	0.03 3.0	EPOST	94	98	94	94	25	14
Propanil + carbaryl + quinclorac	0.03 0.375	EPOST	96	98	97	98	35	14
Propanil + carbaryl + pendimethalin (Propanil + molinate) + thiobencarb	3.0 1.0 4.5	EPOST	91	96	94	92	36	14
Propanil + carbaryl + quinclorac (Propanil + molinate) + pendimethalin	0.375 4.5 1.0	EPOST	84	91	92	92	4	1

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 16. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control			Rice injury			Yield 9/6 (lb/A)
			Broadleaf 6/2	signalgrass 6/24	(BRAPP) 7/22	6/2	6/9	6/24	
Propanil + thiobencarb	4.5	EPOST	86	88	88	9	5	0	1
Propanil + quinclorac	3.0	EPOST	95	98	95	2	1	1	5402
Propanil + pendimethalin	0.375	EPOST	80	81	85	2	1	1	5634
LSD(0.05)			8	6	7	6	5	5	622

Table 17. Propanil synergists, Stuttgart, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

	TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Stuttgart	Planting date
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date
Plot size	6ft by 16 ft	Crop/Variety
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	6.5in / 9 rows	Dates of Flushing
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	May 14, 21, and June 4, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 5.2	Date of Flooding
Comments: EPOST = early postemergence; and LPOST = late postemergence.		
Application type	EPOST	LPOST
Date applied	May 28, 1998	June 9, 1998
Time	4:00 pm	11:00 am
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	80/80	85/82
Relative humidity (%)	90	75
Wind (mph)	1	5
Weather	cloudy	cloudy
Soil moisture	moist	moist
Crop stage / Height	2-3if / 6"	4-6if / 8"
Sprayer type / mph	BPKCO ₂ / 3	Hooded BM / 3
Nozzle type / Size	Teejet / XR11002	Teejet / XR11002
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15/3/18	15/3/18
Gpa / Psi	15/24	15/22
Weed species (density)	(# leaves / height)	
R-ECHCG (15/row ft)	2-3if / 1-1.5"	5-6if / 4-6"
ECHCG (12/row ft)	2-3if / 1"	5-6if / 4-6"
LEFPA (N/A)	N/A	N/A

Conclusions: All treatments except propanil alone gave good control ($\geq 90\%$) of resistant barnyardgrass at 56 DAT. Single and sequential applications of propanil + carbaryl at 0.03 lb/A gave 44 and 45% injury at 7 DAT, respectively, and significantly reduced yields. However, treatment of propanil with 0.005 lb/A carbaryl, anilophos, or piperophos resulted in high yields (>7300 lb/A).

Table 17. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass control				Susceptible (ECHCG)				
			63	68	6/23	7/22	(%)	63	68	6/23	7/22
Untreated control								0	0	0	0
Propanil	4.0	EPOST	94	98	66	64	96	84	85	85	82
Propanil + anilophos	4.0	EPOST	100	96	92	94	100	97	95	95	92
Propanil + piperophos	4.0	EPOST	98	94	94	95	98	97	94	97	97
Propanil + carbaryl	0.03	EPOST	100	91	94	92	100	95	95	95	87
Propanil (80 EDF) + carbaryl + Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0	EPOST	98	84	91	92	98	94	95	95	90
Propanil (Super Wham) + Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0	EPOST	98	86	88	90	98	88	90	90	75
Propanil + thiobencarb	4.0	EPOST	98	95	88	94	100	96	96	96	94
Propanil + quinclorac	0.25	EPOST	98	93	92	93	100	95	95	95	98
Propanil + pendimethalin (Propanil + molinate)	4.0	EPOST	98	88	95	94	98	92	95	95	94
Propanil fb propanil	6.0	EPOST	98	85	91	93	98	90	94	94	85
Propanil + anilophos fb propanil + anilophos	3.0	LPOST	91	85	86	90	98	90	92	92	82
	0.25	EPOST									
	3.0	LPOST	96	88	92	92	98	90	95	95	95

continued

Table 17. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass control					
			Resistant (R-ECHCG)			Susceptible (ECHCG)		
			63	68	6/23	7/22	63	68
Propanil + piperophos fb	3.0	EPOST						
propanil + piperophos	0.375	LPOST	96	94	96	97	93	94
Propanil + carbaryl fb	3.0	EPOST						
propanil + carbaryl	0.023	LPOST	100	91	95	94	100	88
Propanil (80 EDF) + carbaryl +	3.0	EPOST						
Agri-Dex (1%) propanil (80 EDF) + carbaryl +	0.004	LPOST	99	89	94	92	99	90
Agri-Dex (1%) Propanil (Super Wham) + Agri-Dex (1%) fb	3.0	EPOST						
propanil (Super Wham) + Agri-Dex (1%)	3.0	LPOST	98	91	92	94	99	88
Propanil (80 EDF) + quinclorac + Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0 0.25	EPOST						
Propanil (80 EDF) + thiobencarb + Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0 3.0	EPOST	98	94	91	92	99	96
Propanil (80 EDF) + pendimethalin + Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0 1.0	EPOST	96	93	92	93	98	95

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 17. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Resistant (R-ECHCG)			Barnyardgrass control			Susceptible (ECHCG)		
			6/3	6/8	6/23	7/22	(%)	6/3	6/8	6/23	7/22
Propanil (80 EDF) + clomazone + Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0 0.2	EPOST	97	93	90	95	98	97	94	94	94
LSD (0.05)			3	6	6	5	3	4	4	4	10

continued

Table 17. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Amazon sprangletop (LEFPA) control			Rice injury			Rice yield (lb/A)		
			6/23	7/22	(%)	6/3	6/8	6/23	7/22	(%)	6/23
Untreated control											
Propanil	4.0	EPOST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2196
Propanil + anilophos	4.0 0.25	EPOST	65	88	8	8	0	0	0	0	6748
Propanil + piperophos	4.0 0.375	EPOST	76	75	16	18	1	2	2	2	7466
Propanil + carbaryl	4.0 0.03	EPOST	78	72	15	12	0	0	0	0	8919
Propanil (80 EDF) + carbaryl + Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0 0.005	EPOST	72	59	44	29	4	2	2	2	6358
			71	78	11	8	0	2	2	2	8309

continued

Table 17. Section 2, Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Amazon sprangletop (LEFPA) control		Rice injury (%)		Rice yield 9/28 (lb/A)
			6/23	7/22	6/23	7/22	
Propanil (Super Wham) + Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0	EPOST	2	51	16	11	0 1 7089
Propanil + thiobencarb	4.0	EPOST	22	91	24	16	0 4 6971
Propanil + quinclorac	4.0	EPOST	81	86	8	8	0 2 6818
Propanil + pendimethalin (Propanil + molinate)	4.0	EPOST	81	91	15	11	0 4 7998
Propanil <u>fb</u>	1.0	EPOST	74	85	4	5	0 4 7334
Propanil propanil	6.0	EPOST	74	85	4	5	0 4 7350
Propanil + anilophos <u>fb</u>	3.0	LPOST	2	75	9	8	0 4 7350
Propanil + anilophos <u>fb</u>	3.0	EPOST	25	80	15	10	0 4 7316
Propanil + anilophos	0.25	LPOST	78	80	15	10	0 4 7316
Propanil + piperophos <u>fb</u>	3.0	EPOST	375	70	12	11	2 2 7380
Propanil + piperophos	0.375	LPOST	76	70	12	11	2 2 7380
Propanil + carbaryl <u>fb</u>	3.0	EPOST	0.023	71	10	45	22 11 5 3259
Propanil + carbaryl + carbaryl + Agri-Dex (1%)	3.0	EPOST	0.004	71	10	45	22 11 5 3259
Propanil (80 EDF) + carbaryl + carbaryl + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.004	LPOST	78	61	15	10	1 4 7416

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 17. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Amazon sprangletop (LEPFA) control			Rice injury			Rice yield 9/28 (lb/A)
			6/23	7/22	6/23	6/23	7/22	7/22	
Propanil (Super Wham) + Agri-Dex (1%) fb	3.0	EPOST							
propanil (Super Wham) + Agri-Dex (1%)	3.0	LPOST	76	71	8	8	1	6	7095
Propanil (80 EDF) + quinclorac +	4.0 0.25	EPOST	71	58	1	5	0	0	7450
Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0	EPOST	75	93	8	9	0	0	7386
Propanil (80 EDF) + thiobencarb +	3.0	EPOST	81	90	4	4	0	1	8460
Agri-Dex (1%)	4.0	EPOST	88	99	1	5	0	1	7282
Propanil (80 EDF) + pendimethalin +	1.0	EPOST	8	13	7	5	2	NS	1658
Agri-Dex (1%)	0.2								
Propanil (80 EDF) + clomazone +	4.0								
Agri-Dex (1%)	0.2								
LSD(0.05)									

Table 18. Fenoxaprop (Whip) safener effect on rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Lonoke
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4
Plot size	10ft by 20ft
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7 in / 9 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay) % OM / pH
	0.9 / 4.3
Comments:	Entire study was sprayed with quinclorac (Facet) to remove weed competition to allow rice injury and yield to be the only objective of the study. Preplant N was applied to study, and rice was kept actively growing by flushing prior to treatments. DPRE = delayed preplant; 2-3 lf = 2-3 leaf rice; and PREFL = preflush. AEF046360 = fenoxaprop + safener.
Application type	DPRE
Date applied	May 19, 1998
Time	9:35 am
Incorporation equipment	Triple-K
Air/Soil temperature (F)	76/68
Relative humidity (%)	56
Wind (mph)	2
Weather	mostly cloudy
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage/Height	3 lf. 4.5"
Spray rate/psi/mph	BkPKCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21
Planting date	May 2, 1998
Harvest date	September 4, 1998
Crop/Variety	Rice/Bengal
Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998
PREFL	
	June 2, 1998
Time	6:30 pm
N/A	N/A
	96/98
	46
	6
	clear
	dry
	tiller/12"
	BkPKCO ₂ /3
	Driftguard/110015
	25/6/20
	10/19

Conclusions: The high rates of AEF04630 (safened fenoxaprop) applied twice resulted in no injury and yields equivalent to the propanil (Super Wham) standard. The standard formulation of fenoxaprop (Whip) applied at the same rate (0.193 lb ai/acre) as AEF04630 resulted in severe injury and yield loss. The new fenoxaprop formulation appears very promising.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 18.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice					
			5/15	5/29	6/16 (%)	Injury 7/2	7/27	8/7
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A) fb	0.25	DPRE						
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	2-3if						
Quinclorac fb fenoxaprop fb	0.25	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	1	0
fenoxaprop	0.04	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	28	25	15	15	0
Quinclorac fb AEFO46360 fb	0.25	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	0	0
AEFO46360	0.08	PREFL DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A) fb	0.25	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	1	0	9	0
fenoxaprop	0.067	PREFL DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quinclorac fb AEFO46360 fb	0.25	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	0	0
AEFO46360	0.133	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A) fb	0.25	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	0	0
fenoxaprop fb	0.067	PREFL DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quinclorac fb AEFO46360 fb	0.25	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	0	0
AEFO46360	0.133	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quinclorac fb fenoxaprop fb	0.08	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	50	91	85	66	30
fenoxaprop	0.133	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	3	0	0	0	0
Quinclorac fb AEFO46360 fb	0.25	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	0	0
AEFO46360	0.16	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.27	PREFL DPRE 2-3if	0	0	0	0	0	0
LSD(0.05)	NS	4	17	17	12	12	12	900

Table 19. Broadleaf weed control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

Comments: 2-3 If = 2-3 leaf rice; 4 If = 4 leaf rice; PREFL = preflood; and PI = panicle initiation.	
Application type	2-3 If
Date applied	May 20, 1998
Time	10:50am
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	89/80
Relative humidity (%)	28
Wind (mph)	5
Weather	clear
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage/Height	2-3 If / 3"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPkCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard / 110015
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	20/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/20
Weed species (density)	---
BRAAPP (40/ft ²)	3-5 If / 1-3"
IPOS P (1/ft ²)	1-2 If / 1-2"
CYCP CP (15/ft ²)	3 If / 0.5"
Planting date	May 2, 1998
Harvest date	September 5, 1998
Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998
PRI	June 22, 1998
PREFL	June 1, 1998
	1:00 pm
	N/A
	89/78
	47
	2
	clear
	moist
	1-2 tiller / 12"
	BkPkCO ₂ / 3
	Driftguard / 110015
	24/6/20
	10/17
	(# leaves/height)
	4-6 If / 8"
	6 If / 4"
	8 If / 2.5"
	1-2 tiller / 6"
	running / 6"
	8-10 If / 3.5"

Conclusions: This study was initiated to compare several herbicides for broadleaf control in rice. The area was oversprayed with fenoxaprop (Whip 360) PREFL for annual grass control. All propanil applications at 2- to 3-if rice provided excellent control of morningglory. Morningglories were then controlled by the flood in all treatments.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 19. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Annual sedge (CYPCP) 6/16 7/2
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 6/2 6/16 7/2	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 6/2 7/27	Morningglory species (IPOS) 6/2 7/2	(%)	
Check -fenoxaprop	0.063	PREFL	0	93	91	100	0
Triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.19	2-3 If PREFL	35	95	94	100	73
fenoxaprop	0.063	2-3 If PREFL	93	95	95	100	95
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3 If PREFL	95	95	95	100	25
fenoxaprop	0.063	2-3 If PREFL	95	95	95	100	33
(Propanil + molinate) fb	4.5						90
fenoxaprop	0.063						90
Propanil (Stam M-4) + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	3.0 0.19	2-3 If PREFL	95	95	95	100	95
fenoxaprop	0.063	2-3 If PREFL	97	95	95	100	100
(Propanil + molinate) + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	4.5 0.19	4 If PREFL	0	95	94	100	0
fenoxaprop	0.063	4 If PREFL	99	95	95	100	95
Triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	4.0 0.25						78
fenoxaprop	0.063						90
Propanil (stam M-4) + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	4.5 0.25						90
fenoxaprop	0.063						95
(Propanil + molinate) + quinclorac + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	4.5 0.125 0.19						91
	2-3 If						91

continued

Table 19. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control			
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 62/616	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 72/727	Morningglory species (IPOSP) 62/72	Annual sedge (CYPSCP) 6/16/72
(Propanil + molinate) + quinchlorac + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	4.5 0.125 0.19	4f PREFL	98 95	100 100	95 100	90 95
Fenoxaprop fb tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.025	PI PREFL	0 95	100 100	0 0	79 79
Fenoxaprop fb tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.038	PI PI	0 95	100 100	0 0	75 90
Propanil (Stam M-4) + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb fenoxaprop fb	3.0 0.19	2-3f PREFL	95 95	100 100	99 99	85 91
Propanil (Stam M-4) + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb fenoxaprop fb	0.063 0.25	PI PI	95 95	100 100	95 95	85 91
Propanil (Stam M-4) + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb fenoxaprop fb propanil (Stam M-4) + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.19 0.063 0.25	2-3f PREFL 10 PI	95 95 95 98	100 100 100 100	99 99 95 99	81 88 81 88
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb fenoxaprop fb 2,4-D	0.063 1.0 LSD (0.05)	PI PI 9	95 95 1	NS NS NS	0 0 32	80 90 6 18

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 19. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control			Effect on rice				
			Hemp sesbania SEBE(X)		Purple ammannia (AMMCO)	Injury		Yield (lb/A)		
			7/2	8/28	(%)	6/2	7/2	7/27	8/28	(lb/A)
Check -fenoxaprop	0.063	PREFL	95	98	0	0	0	0	0	5715
Triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.19	2-3 If PREFL	95	99	0	1	0	0	0	5040
fenoxaprop	0.063	2-3 If PREFL	95	99	1	0	0	0	0	6030
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	PREFL	95	99	0	0	0	0	0	5895
fenoxaprop	0.063	2-3 If PREFL	95	99	0	0	0	0	0	5895
(Propanil + molinate) fb	4.5	PREFL	95	99	0	0	0	0	0	5895
fenoxaprop	0.063	PREFL	95	98	3	1	0	0	0	5535
Propanil (Stam M-4 + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	3.0	2-3 If PREFL	95	98	3	1	0	0	0	5535
fenoxaprop	0.19	2-3 If PREFL	95	100	3	0	0	0	0	5400
(Propanil + molinate) + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	4.5	PREFL	95	100	0	0	0	0	0	5085
fenoxaprop	0.063	4 If PREFL	95	100	5	0	0	0	0	5175
Triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.25	AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.25	4 If PREFL	95	100	4	0	0	5265
fenoxaprop	0.063	4 If PREFL	95	100	4	0	0	0	0	continued
fenoxaprop	0.125	quinclorac +	0.125	4 If PREFL	95	100	4	0	0	5265
(Propanil + molinate) + quinclorac +	0.19	4 If PREFL	95	100	4	0	0	0	0	5265
triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.19	4 If PREFL	95	100	4	0	0	0	0	5265

Table 19. Section 2, Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Effect on rice		
			Hemp sesbania (SEBEX)		Purple ammannia (AMMCO)	Injury		Yield (lb/A)	
			7/2	8/28	6/2	7/27	8/28		
(Propanil + molinate) + quinclorac + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)									
Fenoxaprop fb	0.125	0.19	4f	95	100	5	0	0	5130
Fenoxaprop fb	0.063	0.025	PREFL	PI	100	0	0	0	5310
Fenoxaprop fb	0.063	0.038	PREFL	PI	100	0	0	0	5400
Propanil (Stam M-4) + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	3.0	0.19	2-3f	PREFL	99	3	0	0	5085
fenoxaprop fb	0.063	0.25	PI	PI	95	3	0	0	5085
Propanil (Stam M-4) + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	3.0	0.19	2-3f	PREFL	95	2	0	0	5175
fenoxaprop fb	0.063	0.25	PI	2-3f	PREFL	0	0	0	5895
propanil (Stam M-4) + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	0.063	PI	PI	100	NS	NS	NS	NS
fenoxaprop fb	1.0	2,4-D	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
LSD (0.05)									

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 20. Quinclorac flood depth evaluation on grass control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 2, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 5, 1998
Plot size	10 ft by 20 ft	Crop\Variety	Rice/Drew
Row width / Number of rows per plot	7 in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14 and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of flooding	June 2, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3		
Comments: 3-5" GR = 3-5" grass stage and 6-10" GR = 6-10" grass stage.			
Application type	3-5" GR		6-10" GR
Date applied	June 4, 1998		June 15, 1998
Time	4:30 pm		5:30 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A		N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	94/84		90/88
Relative humidity (%)	51		51
Wind (mph)	5		3.5
Weather	partly cloudy		mostly clear
Soil moisture	flooded		flooded
Crop stage/Height	1-2 tiller / 10"		3-4 tiller / 17"
Spray rate/type/mph	BkP ₂ KCO ₃ / 3		BkP ₂ KCO ₃ / 3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard / 110015		Driftguard / 110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	20 / 3 / 20		36 / 3 / 20
Gpa / Psi	10 / 26		10 / 20

Conclusions: This study was conducted to compare the spray vs. granular formulation of quinclorac on two grass sizes and at two flooding depths. No previous treatments were applied and barnyardgrass and broadleaf signalgrass pressure was severe. In general, the spray formulation provided better grass control than the granular formulation. The exception was on the small grass in the deep flood where weed coverage was likely not achieved with the spray treatment. In general, both formulations provided better control of the larger grass. Also, the shallow flood appeared to enhance control with the granular formulation compared to the deep flood.

Table 20.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Effect on rice		
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)			Barnyardgrass (EchCG)			Injury		Yield (lb/A)
			6/16	7/6	8/28	7/27	8/28	(%)	7/27	8/28	
4-6" flood:											
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2160
Quinclorac (7.5 DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.375	3-5" GR	0	34	10	0	0	0	0	0	2520
Quinclorac (1.5 GR) + Molinate	0.375	3-5" GR	0	58	74	0	78	0	0	0	3825
Quinclorac (7.5 DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.375	3-5" GR	0	34	28	0	40	0	0	0	2880
Quinclorac (1.5 GR) + Molinate	0.375	6-10" GR	0	91	88	0	95	94	0	0	5220
Quinclorac (1.5 GR) + Molinate	0.375	6-10" GR	0	33	20	0	18	0	0	0	3555
Quinclorac (7.5 DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.375	6-10" GR	0	20	20	0	15	0	0	0	3105
1-2" flood:											
Untreated check			0	11	44	88	25	88	0	0	3870
Quinclorac (7.5 DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.375	3-5" GR	0	43	75	90	93	88	0	0	4635
Quinclorac (1.5 GR) + Molinate	0.375	3-5" GR	3	79	88	91	91	94	0	0	4725
Quinclorac (7.5 DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.375	6-10" GR	0	88	98	91	98	95	0	0	5670
Quinclorac (7.5 DF) + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.375	6-10" GR	0	48	81	85	65	84	0	0	4725
LSD (0.05)			1	21	38	5	33	5	NS	NS	720

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 21. Clomazone (Command) for weed control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 2, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 3, 1998
Plot size	10 ft by 20 ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Row width / Number of rows per plot	7.5 in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of flooding	June 2, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3		

Comments: Bentazon + acifluorfen (Storm) was erroneously applied at 1.5 lb ai/A rather than 0.75 lb/A. PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preemergence; DPRE = delayed preemergence; and PREFL = preflush.

Application type	PPI	PRE	DPRE	PREFL
Date applied	May 2, 1998	May 2, 1998	May 5, 1998	June 1, 1998
Time	10:30 am	4:15 pm	10:10 am	11:25 am
Incorporation equipment	field cultivator	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	73/68	80/77	84/68	86/88
Relative humidity (%)	49	49	46	47
Wind (mph)	0	2	2	4
Weather	clear	mostly clear	mostly cloudy	clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A	N/A	N/A	1-2 tiller/12"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPkCO ₂ /3	BkPkCO ₂ /3	BkPkCO ₂ /3	BkPkCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015
Booms ft / # Nozz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20	18/6/20	18/6/20	24.5/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21	10/21	10/21	10/23
Weed species (density)		(# leaves/height)		
BRAPP (25/in ²)	N/A	N/A	N/A	4-9 ft/2-10"
IPSP (2/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	N/A	4-6 runner/4"
SEBEY (<1/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	N/A	8 ft / 10"

Conclusions: The study was designed to evaluate treatments in a program approach with clomazone (Command). High early injury ratings reflect primarily bleaching. Broadleaf signalgrass pressure was severe. Other weed stands, except hemp sesbania, were erratic. Program herbicides will be needed for broadleaf and sedge control. Several treatments controlled hemp sesbania and rice quickly recovered from the early clomazone injury. Clomazone + quinclorac PRE was a good treatment.

Table 21. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 5/15 6/16 7/27			Hemp sesbania (SEBEX) 5/15 6/16 7/27		
(%)								
Untreated check			11	46	0	24	0	0
Clomazone	0.3	PPI	94	90	95	99	95	0
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	95	80	95	99	95	0
Clomazone	0.4	PRE					23	23
carfentrazone	0.02	PREFL	95	88	95	100	98	0
Clomazone fb	0.4	DPRE					23	21
carfentrazone	0.02	PREFL	95	90	95	100	94	0
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE					66	60
propanil (Stam M-4) + (bentazon + acifluorfen)	3.0	PREFL	95	90	95	100	98	23
Clomazone fb	1.5	DPRE					91	95
propanil (Stam M-4) + (bentazon + acifluorfen)	0.4	PREFL	95	90	94	100	100	100
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.25	PRE	95	91	95	100	99	90
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.4	DPRE	95	91	95	100	99	88
Clomazone + thiobencarb	0.4	DPRE	95	80	95	99	95	88
Pendimethalin + quinclorac	3.0	DPRE	95	88	95	100	100	95
LSD(0.05)	0.188		3	24	1	21	2	28
							55	33
							29	29
							26	26

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 21. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control			Effect on rice		
			Morningglory sp. (POSP)		Annual sedge (CYPCP)	Injury 7/2		Yield (lb/A)
			5/15	6/16	7/2	5/15	7/2	7/21
Untreated check								
Clomazone	0.3	PPI	0	46	58	0	4	0
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	21	33	46	48	0	3240
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE		44	48	73	0	4230
carfentrazone	0.02	PREFL	21	56	71	73	0	4590
Clomazone fb	0.4	DPRE						5940
carfentrazone	0.02	PREFL	0	63	68	85	0	5265
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE						
propanil (Stam M-4) + (bentazon + acifluorfen)	3.0	PREFL	24	90	95	81	0	6255
Clomazone fb	0.4	DPRE						
propanil (Stam M-4) + (bentazon + acifluorfen)	3.0	PREFL	0	88	95	84	5	5760
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.4	PRE	90	80	91	75	1	6300
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.4	DPRE	91	88	93	75	0	5580
Clomazone + thiobencarb	0.4	DPRE	60	60	94	79	0	4815
Pendimethalin + quinclorac	1.0	DPRE	88	88	95	0	2	0
LSD(0.05)			37	40	NS	19	NS	1260

Table 22. Clomazone (Command) and quinclorac (Facet) programs for weed control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preemergence; and PREFL = preflood.	
Application type	PPI
Date applied	May 4, 1998
Time	1:00 pm
Incorporation equipment	field cultivator
Air/Soil temperature (F)	80/78
Relative humidity (%)	41
Wind (mph)	5
Weather	mostly clear
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A
Sprayer type/mph	BkPkCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/1 ^{1/2} in
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21
Weed species (density)	(# leaves/height)
BRAAPP (40/ft ²)	N/A
CYPCCP (75/ft ²)	N/A

PRE	PREFL
May 4, 1998	June 1, 1998
6:20 pm	12:00 pm
N/A	N/A
82/78	86/89
42	47
2	2.5
clear	clear
moist	moist
N/A	moist
BkPkCO ₂ /3	1-2 tiller/12"
Driftguard/1 ^{1/2} in	BkPkCO ₂ /3
18/6/20	Driftguard/1 ^{1/2} in
10/21	24/6/20
	10/23
	(# leaves/height)
	N/A
	N/A

Conclusions: This trial was conducted to evaluate program approaches to weed control in rice following an application of clomazone (Command) or quinclorac (Facet). Quinclorac alone PPI and PRE provided excellent grass control and rice yields. Clomazone alone provided excellent grass control but released annual sedge. This could cause lower yields with clomazone alone. Most postemergence treatments following clomazone provided good annual sedge control. The test area was in a "cut" soil high in salt. Clomazone injury was worse in the PPI treatments than in PRE treatments. Although injury was greater than normally expected, yields were not significantly reduced.

Table 22. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAFP)			Annual sedge (CYPCP)		
			5/15 5/30	6/16 6/21	7/27 8/28	5/30 6/16	7/2 7/27	7/2 7/27
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0
Clomazone	0.3	PPI	100	95	95	98	100	0
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	99	95	95	98	100	0
Clomazone	0.3	PRE	99	94	95	91	100	0
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	100	95	95	91	100	0
Quinclorac	0.25	PPI	84	83	88	94	91	90
Quinclorac	0.375	PPI	88	81	91	94	100	95
Quinclorac	0.25	PRE	81	85	84	90	95	93
Quinclorac	0.375	PRE	80	83	94	90	90	95
Clomazone fb	0.3	PPI						
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	PREFL	100	95	96	94	100	0
Clomazone fb	0.3	PRE						
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	PREFL	96	95	95	98	100	0
Quinclorac fb	0.25	PPI						
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	PREFL	94	91	95	95	100	90
Quinclorac fb	0.25	PRE						
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	PREFL	78	88	98	94	100	90
Clomazone fb	0.3	PPI						
propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0	PREFL	100	95	95	91	100	0
Clomazone fb	0.125	PRE						
propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.3	PREFL	99	95	94	95	100	0
propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0	PRE						
Clomazone fb	0.125	PREFL						

continued

Table 22. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control							
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAFP)		Annual sedge (CYPCP)		5/30 (%)	6/16 (%)	7/27 (%)	
			5/15	5/30	6/16	7/27	8/28			
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25 2.0 0.125	PREFL PRE	95	88	98	95	100	90	98	95
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25 2.0 0.125	PREFL PRE	85	90	96	95	100	90	96	95
Clomazone fb propanil (Super Wham) + bensulfuron + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.3 3.0 0.038	PREFL PRE	100	95	95	95	100	0	89	65
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + bensulfuron + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25 3.0 0.038	PREFL PPI PRE	90	88	98	95	100	91	98	95
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + bensulfuron + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25 3.0 0.038	PREFL PPI PRE	79	89	95	95	100	90	95	94
Clomazone fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.3 0.75	PREFL PRE	100	95	96	95	100	0	96	94
Clomazone fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.3 0.75	PREFL PPI	89	95	95	95	100	0	95	95
Quinclorac fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.25 0.75	PREFL	89	89	96	90	98	90	98	94

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 22. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAFP) 5/15 5/30 6/16			Annual sedge (CYPCP) 7/2 7/27 8/28		
Quinclorac fb (bentazon+acifluorfen) +AG-98(0.25%)	0.25 0.75	PRE						
Clomazone fb carfentrazone + AG-98(0.25%)	0.3 0.02	PREFL PPI	85 95	86 95	94 95	91 88	99 99	90 0
LSD(0.05)		PREFL	100	95	95	14	40	0
			9	4	5	6	4	40
						1	18	19
							25	

continued

Table 22. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (b/A)	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) control 8/28			Effect on rice		
			5/15 (%)			Injury 5/30 (%)		
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	2250
Clomazone	0.3	PPI	100	28	35	0	0	5535
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	100	39	45	0	0	5445
Clomazone	0.3	PRE	100	8	21	0	0	5670
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	100	14	30	0	0	5535
Quinclorac	0.25	PPI	88	0	10	0	0	5985
Quinclorac	0.375	PPI	100	0	18	0	0	5850
Quinclorac	0.25	PRE	100	0	6	0	0	5895
Quinclorac	0.375	PRE	100	0	19	0	0	5715

continued

Table 22. Section 2, Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) control 8/28	Effect on rice		Yield (lb/A)
				5/15 (%)	Injury 5/30 (%)	
Clomazone fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.3 4.0	PPI PREFL PRE	100	40	35	0
Clomazone fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.3 4.0	PREFL PPI	100	6	20	0
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25 4.0	PREFL PREFL PRE	100	1	10	0
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25 4.0	PREFL PPI	100	0	13	0
Clomazone fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.3 2.0 0.125	PREFL PREFL PRE	100	40	35	0
Clomazone fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.3 2.0 0.125	PREFL PPI	100	8	23	0
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25 2.0 0.125	PREFL PREFL PRE	100	1	16	0
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25 2.0 0.125	PREFL	100	0	15	0

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 22. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass		Effect on rice	
			ECHCG control 8/28	5/15 (%)	Injury 5/30 (%)	7/2 (%)
Clomazone fb propanil (Super Wham) + bensulfuron +	0.3 0.038	PRE E				
Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25	PREFL PPI	100	5	21	0
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + bensulfuron +	0.3 0.038	PREFL PRE	100	0	10	0
Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25	PREFL PPI	100	0	11	0
Quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + bensulfuron +	0.3 0.038	PREFL PRE	100	0	45	0
Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.3 0.75	PREFL PRE	100	13	31	0
Clomazone fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) +AG-98 (0.25%)	0.3 0.75	PREFL PPI	100	0	15	0
Clomazone fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) +AG-98 (0.25%)	0.25 0.75	PREFL PPI	100	0	0	6030
Quinclorac fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) +AG-98 (0.25%)	0.25 0.75	PREFL PPI	100	0	13	0
Clomazone fb carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.3 0.02	PREFL	100	38	35	0
LSD (0.05)			1	9	11	NS
						750

Table 23. Red rice control in IM-tolerant rice, Stuttgart, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

	Stuttgart	Planting date	May 12, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	August 26, 1998
Plot size	7.5ft by 20ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/93AS3510
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7in / 9 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding	June 10, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3		
Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preemergence; DPRE = delayed preemergence; EPOST = early postemergence; PREFL = preflood; and POFL = post flood. IM-tolerant = rice tolerant to the imidazolinone family of herbicides, which includes imazethapyr (Pursuit).			
Application type	PPI	PRE	DPRE
Date applied	May 12, 1998	May 12, 1998	May 18, 1998
Time	3:10 pm	6:10 pm	2:00 pm
Incorporation equipment	field cultivator	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	91 / 84	91 / 84	93 / 84
Relative humidity (%)	84	45	33
Wind (mph)	6.5	6	4
Weather	clear	dry	clear
Soil moisture	N/A	N/A	N/A
Crop stage/Height	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	2-3lf / 3-4"
Spray rate/mph	Driftguard /	Driftguard /	BkPKCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type/Size	110015	110015	Driftguard /
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18.4 / 20	18.4 / 20	110015
Gpa / Psi	10 / 20	10 / 20	24 / 4 / 20
Weed species (density)			
ORYSA (red rice) (20/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	(# leaves/height)
BRAPP (1.5/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	2-3lf / 3-4"
SIDSF (12/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	2-3lf / 0.5"
COMDI (3/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	1-2lf / 0.75"
		cot / 0.25"	1-2lf / 1.5"
		N/A	2-3lf / 3-4"
		N/A	4-6lf / 2-4"
		cot / 0.25"	3-4lf / 3"
		N/A	5lf / 3-4"
			/6"
Application type	PPI	EPOST	PREFL
Date applied		May 29, 1998	June 8, 1998
Time		11:30 am	9:15 am
Incorporation equipment		N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)		77 / 74	77 / 74
Relative humidity (%)		84	78
Wind (mph)		4	2.5
Weather		clear	mostly cloudy
Soil moisture		moist	moist
Crop stage/Height		N/A	2-3lf / 6-7"
Spray rate/mph		BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type/Size		Driftguard /	Driftguard /
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)		110015	110015
Gpa / Psi		24 / 4 / 20	20 / 4 / 20
Weed species (density)			
ORYSA (red rice) (20/ft ²)			
BRAPP (1.5/ft ²)			1-2 tiller / 6-7"
SIDSF (12/ft ²)			4-6lf / 2-4"
COMDI (3/ft ²)			3-4lf / 3"
			5lf / 3-4"
			/6"
Application type	POFL	POFL	POFL
Date applied		June 24, 1998	June 24, 1998
Time		11:05am	11:05am
Incorporation equipment		N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)		92 / 84	92 / 84
Relative humidity (%)		57	57
Wind (mph)		6.5	6.5
Weather		clear	clear
Soil moisture		flooded	flooded
Crop stage/Height		1-2 tiller / 6-7"	1-2 tiller / 6-7"
Spray rate/mph		BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type/Size		Driftguard /	Driftguard /
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)		110015	110015
Gpa / Psi		24 / 4 / 20	25 / 4 / 20
Weed species (density)			
ORYSA (red rice) (20/ft ²)			
BRAPP (1.5/ft ²)			
SIDSF (12/ft ²)			
COMDI (3/ft ²)			

Conclusions: The red rice in this study was broadcast seeded the same day the IMI-rice was planted. There was some natural infestation at this site. For effective red rice control, imazethapyr at 0.125 lb ai/A soil-applied or EPOST and split applications of 0.063 lb ai/A were the best treatments. Several treatments with imazethapyr PPI followed by a standard rice herbicide also provided effective results. This study will be repeated with more concentration on PPI followed by EPOST combinations.

Table 23. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Red rice (ORYSA)			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)		
			5/28 68	6/8	7/21	5/28 68	7/21	(%)
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	80	66	59	94	88	88
Imazethapyr	0.094	PPI	88	75	75	96	90	96
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	90	86	79	96	100	94
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	74	51	44	90	94	100
Imazethapyr	0.094	PRE	80	65	56	96	76	98
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	85	75	73	95	78	99
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	76	64	60	95	100	100
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	76	64	60	95	83	98
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	79	71	69	99	91	95
Imazethapyr	0.063	EPOST	0	54	60	0	90	0
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	EPOST	0	50	68	0	88	38
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST	0	55	85	0	90	35
Imazethapyr fb _L	0.063	PPI	80	64	90	100	100	0
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	80	64	100	98	100	98
Imazethapyr fb _L	0.047	PREFL	81	64	86	100	88	91
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)								91

continued

Table 23. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control										
			Red rice (ORYSA)		Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)		Prickly sida (SIDSP)						
			5/28	68	7/1	7/21	5/28	68	7/21	5/28	68	7/21	(%)
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	5	64	98	0	0	96	0	0	0	0
Pendimethalin + imazethapyr	1.0	DPRE	76	61	50	0	99	99	84	96	96	94	94
Imazethapyr + propanil (Stam M-4) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 3.0	PREFL	0	0	75	98	0	0	90	0	0	32	
Imazethapyr + (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.75	PREFL	0	0	56	70	0	0	25	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.25	PREFL	0	0	69	73	0	0	88	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr + carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	PREFL	0	0	81	98	0	0	95	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.25	PREFL	0	0	73	81	0	0	93	0	0	0	0
(Imazaquin + imazethapyr + pendimethalin)	0.84 0.063	DPRE	88	71	25	10	100	96	100	100	100	96	
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.063	EPOST											
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	PREFL	0	50	86	100	0	90	100	0	0	84	
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)		POFL	0	0	30	45	0	0	60	0	0	0	

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 23. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						
			Red rice (ORYSA)		Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAAPP)		Prickly sida (SIDS)		
			5/28	68	71	721	5/28	68	721
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	POFL PPI	0	0	38	55	0	0	60
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 3.0	PREFL DPRE	80	70	76	88	91	78	100
Pendimethalin + quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	1.0 0.188 0.25	PREFL	0	0	0	0	100	98	100
LSD(0.05)			6	10	20	16	3	10	18
continued									

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Spreading dayflower (COMDI) control						Effect on rice		
			5/28		68		Injury (%)		7/21	Heading (%)	Yield (lb/A)
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	630
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	66	0	0	0	0	0	56	2520	
Imazethapyr	0.094	PPI	70	0	0	5	0	0	65	3600	
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	81	0	0	5	0	0	64	3195	
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	51	0	0	0	0	0	25	1665	
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	61	0	0	3	0	0	31	1665	

continued

Table 23. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Spreading dayflower (COMDI) control						Effect on rice		
			5/28		68		Injury (%)		7/21	Heading (%)	Yield (lb/A)
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	630
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	66	0	0	0	0	0	56	2520	
Imazethapyr	0.094	PPI	70	0	0	5	0	0	65	3600	
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	81	0	0	5	0	0	64	3195	
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	51	0	0	0	0	0	25	1665	
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	61	0	0	3	0	0	31	1665	

Table 23. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Spreading dayflower (COMDI) control		Injury (%)		Effect on rice		Heading 7/21 (lb/A)	Yield
			5/28	5/28	6/8	7/1	7/21	7/21		
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	63	0	0	0	5	5	34	2475
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	58	0	0	0	0	0	56	1440
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	63	0	0	0	0	0	41	2970
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	63	0	0	0	0	0	56	1440
AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	0	0	0	10	0	0	21	2295
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	EPOST	0	0	0	0	0	4	18	2925
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST	0	0	0	6	10	10	18	4320
Imazethapyr <u>fl</u>	0.063	PPI	64	0	0	8	0	0	28	3060
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL PPI	58	0	0	5	0	0	49	3645
Imazethapyr <u>fl</u>	0.047	PREFL	0	0	0	18	8	8	14	2745
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	61	0	0	5	13	13	24	1305
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	0	0	10	7	7	17	3690
Imazethapyr + (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.75	PREFL	0	0	0	8	0	0	19	1395
Imazethapyr + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.25	PREFL	0	0	0	9	10	10	10	2520

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 23. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Spreading dayflower (CCMD) control		Effect on rice		
			5/28	68 (%)	7/1	7/21 (%)	
Imazethapyr + carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.02	PREFL	0	0	4	0	9 3060
Imazethapyr + quinchlorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.25	PREFL	0	0	18	13	6 3105
(Imazaquin + imazethapyr + pendimethalin)	0.84	DPRE	68	0	0	0	28 1350
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.063	EPOST					
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	0	5	10	11 4005
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	POFL	0	0	5	33	45 1530
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	POFL PPI	0	0	10	58	43 1800
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 3.0	PREFL	58	0	5	0	29 2340
Pendimethalin + quinchlorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	1.0 0.188 3.0 0.25	DPRE					
LSD (0.05)		PREFL	46	0	0	0	3 585
			17	NS	NS	14	22 1260

Table 24. Redrice controlling glufosinate (Liberty)-tolerant rice, Stuttgart, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

	Stuttgart	Planting date	May 12, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	N/A
Plot size	7.5 ft by 20 ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/LT-Gulfmont
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7 in/ 9 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding	June 10, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9/4.3		
Comments: DPRE = delayed preemergence; 2-3 If = 2-3 leaf grass; PREFL = preflood; and POFL = post flood.			
Application type	DPRE	PREFL	POFL
Date applied	May 18, 1998	May 29, 1998	June 24, 1998
Time	2:30 pm	11:45 am	11:45 am
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	93/84	80/79	84/75
Relative humidity (%)	33	80	64
Wind (mph)	4	2.5	6.5
Weather	clear	cloudy	mostly cloudy
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A	2-3 If / 3.5"	1-2 tiller / 6.5"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPkcO ₂ /3	BkPkcO ₂ /3	BkPkcO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	19/4/20	24/4/20	20/4/20
Gpa / Psi	10/20.5	10/19	10/25
Weed species (density)		(# leaves/height)	
ORYSA(redrice) (25/ft ²)	N/A	2-3 If / 3.5"	2 tiller / 7-8"
IPOLA(1.5/ft ²)	N/A	2-4 If / 2"	2-4 If / 2-4"
SDSP(7/ft ²)	cot./0.25"	2-3 If / 1"	2-4 If / 1-2"
EHC/G (N/A)	N/A	N/A	2-6 If / 2-6"

Conclusions: Injury from glufosinate (Liberty) resulted from the POFL applications at this location. This also has been observed in a similar study in 1997. The injury is specific to the Gulfmont Liberty-tolerant rice variety. Sequential applications of glufosinate provided the best full-season control of red rice. Because of potential outcrossing between rice and red rice, the crop was destroyed; thus, no yield data were taken.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 24.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control			Rice injury 7/1 7/21
			Red rice (ORYSA) 7/1 7/21	Pitted morningglory (IPOLA) 68	Prickly sida (SIDSP) 68	
Untreated check			0	0	0	0
Glufosinate	0.25	2-3If	94	46	55	56
Glufosinate	0.375	2-3If	95	53	76	64
Glufosinate	0.5	2-3If	99	68	92	76
Glufosinate	0.75	2-3If	100	94	98	97
Glufosinate	0.25	PREFL	0	45	83	51
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	0	63	91	71
Glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	0	84	96	4
Glufosinate	0.75	PREFL	0	88	97	5
Glufosinate	0.25	POFL	0	0	0	0
Glufosinate	0.375	POFL	0	0	0	0
Glufosinate	0.5	POFL	0	0	0	0
Glufosinate	0.75	POFL	0	0	0	0
Glufosinate fb	0.25	2-3If	96	96	97	97
glufosinate	0.25	PREFL	96	96	99	0
Glufosinate fb	0.375	2-3If	98	100	100	0
glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	98	100	100	0
Glufosinate fb	0.5	2-3If	100	97	100	0
glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	100	100	100	0
Glufosinate fb	0.375	2-3If	100	79	94	3
glufosinate	0.375	POFL	100	100	100	0
Glufosinate fb	0.5	2-3If	99	92	95	9
glufosinate	0.5	POFL	99	100	100	0

continued

Table 24. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Red rice (ORYSA)		Pitted morningglory (IPOLA)		Prickly sida (SIDSP)	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)
			(%)		(%)		(%)	
Glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4) fb	0.25 2.0	2-3If						
Glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4)	0.25 2.0	PREFL	100	97	100	100	98	0
Glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4) fb	0.375 2.0	2-3If						
Glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4) fb	0.375 2.0	PREFL	100	100	100	100	100	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3If						
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	0	71	93	50	100	74
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3If						
Glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	0	80	95	74	100	86
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3If						
Glufosinate	0.5	POFL	0	76	88	50	75	79
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3If						
Glufosinate	0.75	POFL	0	73	70	50	100	84
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb	0.188	DPRE PREFL	0	88	97	0	100	91
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE PREFL	0	76	93	0	75	85
Glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	0					
Glufosinate + quinclorac	0.25	2-3If	98	88	91	100	100	89
Quinclorac + glufosinate fb	0.375	2-3If						
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	98	99	98	100	100	99
Glufosinate + thiobencarb	0.5 3.0	2-3If	100	88	96	100	100	92

continued

Table 24. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Red rice (ORYSA) <u>68</u> <u>7/1</u>	Pitted morningglory (IPOLA) <u>68</u> <u>7/21</u>	Prickly sida (SIDSP) <u>68</u> <u>7/21</u>	Barnyardgrass (ECHG) <u>68</u> <u>7/21</u>	Rice injury <u>7/1</u> <u>7/21</u>	
Glufosinate + thiobencarb fb	0.375 3.0	2-3f POFL	99	96	94	100	100	0
glufosinate	0.375		3	18	14	29	22	3
LSD(0.05)						19	7	3

Table 25. Preamergence tank-mixes of imazethapyr with quinclorac and clomazone in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

<u>Comments:</u> PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preplant; and DPRE = delayed premergence. IMI-tolerant = rice tolerant to imidazolinone herbicides.	Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 1, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	August 8, 1998	
Plot size	10ft by 20ft	Crop\Variety	IMI-tolerant rice/93AS3510	
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7.5in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998	
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay) % OM / pH	Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998	
<hr/>				
Application type	PPI	PRE	DPRE	
Date applied	May 1, 1998	May 2, 1998	May 4, 1998	
Time	12:15pm	3:20 pm	4:45 pm	
Incorporation equipment	field cultivator	N/A	N/A	
Air/Soil temperature (F)	74/72	83/77	82/83	
Relative humidity (%)	44	43	37	
Wind (mph)	3	0	2	
Weather	clear moist	mostly cloudy moist	mostly clear moist	
Soil moisture	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Crop stage / Height	BkPbCO ₂ /3	BkPbCO ₂ /3	BkPbCO ₂ /3	
Sprayer type / mph	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	
Nozzle type / Size	18/6/20	18/6/20	18/6/20	
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	10/21	10/21	10/21	
Gpa / Psi		(# leaves/height)		
Weed species		N/A	N/A	
BRAPP				N/A

Conclusions: This study was initiated to compare soil-applied treatments of imazethapyr with quinclorac and clomazone in IMI-tolerant rice. All three herbicides applied alone provided excellent control of the grasses present. However, the clomazone treatments did not control the rice flatsedge. The tank-mixes of imazethapyr and clomazone provided excellent control of all weeds present. There seemed to be slight antagonism between imazethapyr and quinclorac, although weed control was very good. The quinclorac + clomazone treatments also provided 100% control of the weeds present. This study will be repeated with a closer look at the possible antagonism with imazethapyr + quinclorac.

Table 25. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control											
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)						Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)					
			5/15	5/27	6/17	7/6	7/27	(%)	5/18	5/27	6/17	7/6	7/27	(%)
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	90	95	100	100	98	63	90	100	100	0	0	100
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	88	95	100	100	98	7	94	100	100	95	95	100
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	86	95	100	100	98	65	85	100	100	100	100	100
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	95	100	100	100	95	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	94	100	100	100	94	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Clomazone	0.4	DPRE	95	100	100	100	95	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Quinclorac	0.375	PPI	88	100	100	100	96	75	95	100	100	95	95	100
Quinclorac	0.375	PRE	88	98	100	100	88	88	100	100	100	100	100	100
Quinclorac	0.375	DPRE	94	100	100	100	88	98	100	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.063	PPI	96	100	100	100	88	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.3	PPI	96	100	100	100	88	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.063	PRE	98	100	100	100	95	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.3	DPRE	98	100	100	100	95	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.047	PPI	96	100	100	100	91	99	100	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.3	PRE	98	100	100	100	94	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.047	DPRE	96	98	100	100	94	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.188	PPI	98	99	100	100	96	70	95	100	100	98	98	100
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.063	PRE	88	95	100	100	98	75	96	100	100	94	94	100
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.188	DPRE	94	99	100	100	98	80	98	100	100	100	100	100

continued

Table 25. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control											
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)						Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)					
			5/15	5/27	6/17	7/6	7/27	5/18	5/27	6/17	7/6	7/27	7/6	Susceptible 76
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.047 0.188	PPI	90	99	100	100	95	66	89	100	100	89	100	100
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.047 0.188	PRE	90	98	100	100	98	75	95	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.047 0.188	DPRE	89	95	100	100	96	80	98	100	100	100	100	100
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.3 0.188	PPI	96	100	100	100	100	91	100	100	100	100	100	100
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.3 0.188	PRE	99	100	100	100	100	94	100	100	100	100	100	100
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.3 0.188	DPRE	95	100	100	100	100	96	100	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + thiobencarb	0.063 3.0	DPRE	98	100	100	100	100	89	99	100	100	100	100	100
LSD(0.05)			6	4	1	1	6	10	6	1	1	7	1	

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 25. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Rice flatsedge (CYPIR) control		Effect on rice			Heading 7/6	Yield (lb/A)	
			5/15	5/27	5/15	5/27	Injury (%)			
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	2115	
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	100	100	6	0	0	73	4545	
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	100	100	3	0	4	64	4140	
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	100	100	4	6	0	63	3870	
Clomazone	0.4	PPI	5	0	23	15	5	53	4590	
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	0	0	15	16	5	70	4050	
Clomazone	0.4	DPRE	5	0	25	13	8	59	4095	
Quinclorac	0.375	PPI	100	100	0	0	9	51	3825	
Quinclorac	0.375	PRE	100	99	3	3	18	18	4365	
Quinclorac	0.375	DPRE	100	100	1	0	18	25	4410	
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.3	PPI	100	100	11	5	5	3	3915	
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.3	PRE	100	100	9	9	0	0	4815	
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.3	DPRE	95	95	26	10	3	0	4770	
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.3	PPI	100	100	13	3	5	11	4140	
Imazethapyr + clomazone	0.3	PRE	100	100	14	10	5	3	4230	
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.047	DPRE	100	100	14	9	0	4	55	4590
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.3	PPI	100	100	10	0	6	8	60	3510
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.063	PRE	100	100	4	0	4	13	43	4410
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.188	DPRE	100	100	10	0	5	11	61	3870

continued

Table 25. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Rice flatsedge (CYPR) control		Effect on rice			Heading 7/6 (%)	Yield (lb/A)
			5/15	5/27	Injury (%)	6/17	7/6		
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.047 0.188	PPI	100	99	16	0	5	4	63 3555
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.047 0.188	PRE	100	100	0	0	0	0	48 4320
Imazethapyr + quinclorac	0.047 0.188	DPRE	100	100	5	0	0	4	55 4050
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.3 0.188	PPI	100	99	8	5	9	20	64 3735
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.3 0.188	PRE	100	100	11	6	3	5	64 4050
Clomazone + quinclorac	0.3 0.188	DPRE	100	100	11	4	6	14	60 3645
Imazethapyr + thiobencard	0.063 3.0	DPRE	100	100	6	0	0	0	60 3285
LSD(0.05)			5	3	17	6	9	10	25 NS

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 26. Imazethapyr + pendimethalin for weed control in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

	TEST INFORMATION
Location	Lonoke
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4
Plot size	10 ft by 20 ft
Row width / Number of rows per plot	7 in / 14 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay) % OM / pH
Comments: PRE = preplant; DPRE = delayed preemergence; and SPIKE = spiking stage. IMI-tolerant = rice tolerant to imidazolinone herbicides.	May 1, 1998 May 8, 1998 IMI-tolerant rice/93AS3510 May 7, 14, and 20, 1998 June 2, 1998
Application type	PRE
Date applied	May 2, 1998
Time	3:45 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	83/78
Relative humidity (%)	42
Wind (mph)	0
Weather	mostly cloudy
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A
Sprayer type/mph	BkPKCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21
Weed species (density)	(# leaves/height)
BRAPP (8/ft ²)	N/A
CYPR (40/ft ²)	N/A
PRE	SPIKE
Date applied	May 4, 1998
Time	4:25 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	83/84
Relative humidity (%)	43
Wind (mph)	2
Weather	mostly clear
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A
Sprayer type/mph	BkPKCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21
Weed species (density)	(# leaves/height)
BRAPP (8/ft ²)	N/A
CYPR (40/ft ²)	N/A
DPRE	SPIKE
Date applied	May 8, 1998
Time	3:35 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	86/80
Relative humidity (%)	37
Wind (mph)	5
Weather	clear
Soil moisture	saturated
Crop stage/Height	spike/0.25"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPKCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/22
Weed species (density)	(# leaves/height)
BRAPP (8/ft ²)	1f/0.25"
CYPR (40/ft ²)	1f/0.125"

Conclusions: This study evaluated the tolerance of IMI-rice to imazethapyr and pendimethalin at various application timings. Pendimethalin applied PRE and DPRE provided good broadleaf signalgrass control until mid- to late-season. Pendimethalin applied to spiking rice did not perform as well. All of the other treatments provided excellent season-long control.

Table 26. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 5/15 5/27		Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 6/16 7/6		Rice flatsedge (CYPIR) 5/15 5/27	
(%)								
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	10	0
Pendimethalin	1.0	PRE	84	90	88	78	88	0
Pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE	74	76	88	74	90	91
Pendimethalin	1.0	SPIKE	28	61	86	56	40	90
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	93	99	100	100	100	74
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	93	98	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	DPRE	91	95	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	DPRE	93	99	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	SPIKE	84	98	100	100	99	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	SPIKE	86	98	100	100	99	100
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin	0.063	PRE	98	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin	0.125	PRE	96	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	DPRE	96	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	DPRE	98	100	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	SPIKE	90	98	100	100	100	100

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 26. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			5/15 5/27	6/16 5/27	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 7/6	7/27 (%)	Rice flatsedge (CYPIR) 5/15 5/27	10 6
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125 1.0	SPIKE	89	99	100	100	100	100
LSD (0.05)			8	6	7	8	8	10

Table 26. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice					
			5/15 5/27	5/27 (%)	Injury 6/16	Effect on rice 7/6	Heading 7/6	Yield (lb/A)
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	40
Pendimethalin	1.0	PRE	3	3	5	13	48	1710
Pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE	0	3	5	5	41	2970
Pendimethalin	1.0	SPIKE	0	3	8	10	54	2700
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	0	10	9	10	41	2565
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	1	14	16	28	35	2790
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	DPRE	1	6	9	18	54	2790
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	DPRE	1	9	18	18	44	3060
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	SPIKE	0	6	10	11	39	2970
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	SPIKE	4	13	18	16	34	3060
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)								2610

continued

Table 26. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice				Heading 7/6	Yield (lb/A)
			5/15	5/27	Injury 6/16	7/6 (%)		
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin	0.063 1.0	PRE	1	8	9	8	43	2790
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin	0.125 1.0	PRE	1	16	24	25	18	2655
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 1.0	DPRE	1	10	6	15	38	2790
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125 1.0	DPRE	3	19	28	43	26	2610
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 1.0	SPIKE	0	3	8	15	49	2655
Imazethapyr + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125 1.0	SPIKE	0	16	20	28	21	2520
LSD(0.05)	NS		6	10	10	10	22	495

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 27. Broadleaf signalgrass and propanil-resistant barnyardgrass in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 1, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	August 8, 1998
Plot size	10 ft by 20 ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/93AS3510
Row width / Number of rows per plot	7 in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3		
Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preplant; DPRE = delayed preemergence; EPPOST - early postemergence; PREFL = preflood; and POFL = postflood. IMI-tolerant = rice tolerant to imidazolinone herbicides.			
Application type	PPI	PRE	DPRE
Date applied	May 1, 1998	May 2, 1998	May 4, 1998
Time	12:45pm	2:45pm	4:55pm
Incorporation equipment	Field cultivator	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	78/72	81/78	85/84
Relative humidity (%)	46	40	41
Wind (mph)	3	0	2
Weather	clear	partly cloudy	mostly clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A	N/A	3if / 4"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPKCO ₂ /3	BkPKCO ₂ /3	BkPKCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard /	Driftguard /	Driftguard /
Boom ht / # Nozz / Spacing (in.)	110015	110015	110015
Gpa / Psi	18/6/20	18/6/20	19/6/20
Weed species (density)	10/21	10/21	10/21
BRAPP (25/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	(# leaves/height) -
CYPR (70/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	3if / 2"
Propanil-resistant ECHCG (200/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	3if / 0.25"
			2if / 1.5"
			4-tiller / 8"
			3-5if / 2-3"
			1 tiller / 11"
			tiller / 13"
			N/A
			tiller / 15"

Conclusions: Single applications of imazethapyr PRE or POFL did not effectively control the grasses. All other applications provided excellent broadleaf signalgrass control. Several single applications provided effective control of propanil-resistant barnyardgrass. The most consistent treatments were the split applications of imazethapyr. This study will be repeated in 1999 with more soil-applied followed by EPOST applications.

Table 27. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)				Weed control			
			5/15		6/16		7/27		5/15	
			72	827	72	827	72	827	72	827
<i>Untreated check</i>										
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr	0.094	PPI	96	96	100	100	65	100	90	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	88	99	100	100	80	100	98	100
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	96	96	100	100	70	100	95	100
Imazethapyr	0.094	PRE	95	96	100	100	74	100	94	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	90	98	100	100	78	100	96	100
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	90	95	100	100	70	100	86	100
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	92	97	100	100	75	100	93	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	95	98	100	100	79	100	96	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	18	83	100	100	0	100	70	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	EPOST	35	85	100	100	0	100	76	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST	0	81	100	100	0	100	73	100
Imazethapyr fl ₁	0.063	PPI								
imazethapyr fl ₁ + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	94	95	100	100	74	100	94	100
Imazethapyr fl ₁	0.063	PPI								
imazethapyr fl ₁ + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.047	PREFL	94	95	100	100	69	100	95	100

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 27. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)				Weed control (%)		
			5/15 6/16	7/27 7/27	8/27 8/27	Propanil-resistant barnyardgrass (ECHCG) 5/15 6/16	7/27 7/27	8/27 8/27	
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	0	83	74	79	0	91
Pendimethalin + imazethapyr	1.0	DPRE	94	100	100	100	94	100	100
Imazethapyr + propanil (Stam M-4) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.063 3.0	PREFL	0	0	95	98	98	0	93
Imazethapyr + (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.75	PREFL	0	0	66	41	48	0	80
Imazethapyr + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.25	PREFL	0	0	69	44	51	0	76
Imazethapyr + carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	PREFL	0	0	71	60	63	0	80
Imazethapyr + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.25	PREFL	0	0	78	73	78	0	81
(Imazaquin + imazethapyr + pendimethalin)	0.836	DPRE	98	100	100	100	94	100	99
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.063	EPOST							
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	86	100	100	0	100	76
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	POFL	0	0	33	50	40	0	40
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	POFL	20	0	45	61	53	0	48

continued

Table 27. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)			Weed control		
			5/15 6/16	7/27	8/27	5/15 6/16	7/27	8/27
Imazethapyr fb ₀	0.063	PPI						
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	3.0	PREFL	94	99	100	90	75	99
Pendimethalin + quinclorac fb ₀	1.0							
propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.188 3.0 0.25	PREFL	95	100	100	100	95	100
LSD(0.05)			10	4	10	20	21	8
						8	13	10
						13	10	18
						28		

continued

Table 27. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Rice flatsedge (CYPIR) control			Effect on rice				
			5/15 5/27	5/27	5/27	Injury (%)	6/16	7/9	Heading (%)	Yield (lb/A)
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	100	100	0	0	3	9	70	1395
Imazethapyr	0.094	PPI	100	100	0	5	14	18	50	2655
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	100	100	0	10	25	35	23	2745
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	100	100	0	5	10	13	65	2745
Imazethapyr	0.094	PRE	100	100	0	3	11	13	50	2745
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	100	100	0	8	15	13	26	2520
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	100	100	0	0	4	9	63	2610
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	100	100	2	10	15	17	33	2565
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	100	100	0	11	14	25	35	2790

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 27. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Rice flatsedge (CYPIR) control		Effect on rice				Heading 7/9 (lb/A)
			5/15	5/27	5/15	5/27	Injury (%)	6/16	
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	15	85	0	18	21	34	14
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	EPOST	34	94	0	15	20	31	14
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST PPI	0	86	0	23	43	55	3
Imazethapyr fb ₀ imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.063	PREFL PPI	100	100	0	5	11	18	53
Imazethapyr fb ₀ imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.047	PREFL	100	100	0	3	8	3	51
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	0	0	0	10	10	44
Pendimethalin + imazethapyr	1.0 0.063	DPRE	100	100	0	3	3	9	53
Imazethapyr + propanil (Stam M-4) + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0 0.063	PREFL	0	0	0	0	20	28	16
Imazethapyr + (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.75	PREFL	0	0	0	0	10	5	28
Imazethapyr + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.25	PREFL	0	0	0	0	13	10	31
Imazethapyr + cafenstrolane + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	10	46

continued

Table 27. Section 2, Continued.

Herbicide	Rate	Application timing (lb/A)	Rice flatsedge (CYPIR) control		Effect on rice			Heading 7/9 (lb/A)
			5/15 5/27	5/15 5/27	Injury (%)	6/16 7/9		
Imazethapyr + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.25	PREFL	0	0	0	9	10	29 2160
(Imazaquin + imazethapyr + pendimethalin)	0.836	DPRE	100	100	3	4	5	64 2610
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.063	EPOST						
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	89	0	19	36	40	6 2160
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	POFL	0	0	0	7	25	10 1305
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	POFL PPI	53	0	0	0	4	15 1755
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + PenetratorPlus (1 pt/A)	0.063 3.0	PREFL	100	100	0	9	11	50 2070
Pendimethalin + quinclorac fb propanil (Super Wham) + tridopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	1.0 0.188 3.0 0.25	PREFL	100	100	0	0	15	48 2700
LSD (0.05)			18	7	2	7	13	15 27 765

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 28. Sequential applications in IM-tolerant rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location Lonoke	Planting date	May 1, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	Factorial on an RCB / 4	Harvest date	August 5, 1998
Plot size 10 ft by 20 ft	Crop/Variety	IM-tolerant rice/93AS3510
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot 7.5 in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of flooding	June 2, 1998
% OM / pH 0.9 / 4.3		

Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preplant; DPRE = delayed preemergence; and PREFL = preflood. IM-tolerant = rice tolerant to imidazolinone herbicides.

Application type	PPI	PRE	DPRE	PREFL
Date applied	May 1, 1998	May 2, 1998	May 4, 1998	June 1, 1998
Time	1:00 pm	2:20 pm	3:00 pm	1:25 pm
Incorporation equipment	Field cultivator	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	75/72	78/78	84/84	89/89
Relative humidity (%)	47	49	38	47
Wind (mph)	3	0	2	3
Weather	clear	mostly cloudy	mostly clear	clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A	N/A	N/A	1-2 tiller/11"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPkCO ₂ /3	BkPkCO ₂ /3	BkPkCO ₂ /3	BkPkCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20	18/6/20	18/6/20	18/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21	10/21	10/21	10/21
Weed species (density)		(# leaves/height)		
BRAPP (22/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	N/A	3-4" tiller/8"
CYPR (15/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	N/A	6lf/3"
MOLVE (12/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	N/A	6-8lf/0.5"

Conclusions: This study was initiated to compare several standard PREFL treatments applied alone and following a 0.063 rate of imazethapyr PP1, PRE, or DPRE. Of treatments applied at PREFL only, propanil + imazethapyr (0.031 lb ai/A) provided the best control of broadleaf signalgrass and yielded the highest. All the soil-applied treatments provided 100% control of broadleaf signalgrass and did not need the PREFL application.

Table 28. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Rice flatsedge (CYPR) 5/15 5/29 (%)
			5/15 5/29	6/15 5/29	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 7/6 7/27 (%)		
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	PREFL	0	0	85	65	0
Propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0 0.125	PREFL PREFL	0 0	0 0	90 88	78 71	0 0
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	0	88	78	0
Propanil (Super Wham) + imazethapyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0 0.031	PREFL PREFL	0 0	0 0	95 95	94 94	0 0
Propanil (Super wham) + triclopyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0 0.25	PREFL PREFL	0 0	0 0	81 81	63 51	0 0
Propanil (Super Wham) + carfentrazone, 0.02 Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0	PREFL PPI PPI	0 95 100	0 100 100	88 48 100	56 56 100	0 0 100
Imazethapyr Imazethapyr f ₁₀ propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.063 4.0	PREFL PPI PPI	95 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100
Imazethapyr f ₁₀ propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.063	PREFL PPI PPI	94 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100

continued

Table 28. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control			
			5/15 5/29	6/15 7/6	7/27 7/27	Rice flatsedge (CYPR) 5/15 5/29
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + imazethapyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.031	PREFL PPI	95	100	100	100
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.25	PREFL PPI	94	100	100	100
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + carfentrazone + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.02	PREFL PRE PRE	70 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100
Imazethapyr Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.063 4.0	PREFL PRE PRE	93 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.125	PREFL PRE PRE	95 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100	100 100 100
Imazethapyr fb imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.063	PREFL PRE	95 100	100 100	100 100	100 100
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + imazethapyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.031	PREFL	94	100	100	100

continued

Table 28. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Rice flatsedge (CYPR) 5/15 5/29 (%)
			5/15 5/29	6/15 6/29	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 7/6 7/27		
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.25	PRE PREFL PRE	93	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + carfentrazone + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.02	PREFL DPRE DPRE	94 98	100 100	100 100	100 100	100
Imazethapyr Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.063 4.0	PREFL DPRE DPRE	93	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.125	PREFL DPRE DPRE	94	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr fb imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.063 2.0	PREFL DPRE DPRE	94	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + imazethapyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.031	PREFL	94	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + Penetrator Plus (0.25%)	0.063 2.0 0.25	PREFL	89	100	100	100	100

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 28. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Rice flatsedge (CYPR) 5/15 5/29
			5/15	5/29	6/15	7/6	
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + carfentrazone + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.02	DPRE					
LSD(0.05)		PREFL	94	100	100	100	100
			13	0	7	16	13
						0	0
							0

continued

Table 28. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLVE) control				Effect on rice			Yield (lb/A)
			5/15	5/29	6/15	7/6	Injury (%)	Heading (%)		
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	53	1305
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	2655
Propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0 0.125	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	2745
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	2565
Propanil (Super Wham) + imazethapyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0 0.031	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	3195
Propanil (Super wham) + triclopyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.25	PREFL	0	0	0	11	0	0	66	2700

continued

Table 28. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLVE) control		Injury (%)	Effect on rice 6/15	Heading 7/6	Yield (lb/A)
			5/29	5/15				
Propanil (Super Wham) + carfentrazone, 0.02 Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0	PREFL PPI PPI	0 100	0 0	0 0	3 0	0 0	55 85
Imazethapyr fb	0.063							2520 3150
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	PREFL PPI	100	0	0	0	0	3420
Imazethapyr fb	0.063							
propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0 0.125	PREFL PPI	100	0	0	0	0	3420
Imazethapyr fb	0.063							
AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063							
Imazethapyr fb	0.063							
propanil (Super Wham) + imazethapyr + imazethapyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0 0.031	PREFL	100	0	0	0	0	3195
Imazethapyr fb	0.063							
propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0 0.25	PREFL PPI	100	0	0	15	5	3150
Imazethapyr fb	0.063							
propanil (Super Wham) + carfentrazone + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	2.0 0.02	PREFL PRE PRE	100	0	0	0	0	2970 3195
Imazethapyr fb	0.063							
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	PREFL	100	0	0	0	0	3555
								continued

Table 28. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLIVE) control		Effect on rice		Heading 7/6	Yield (lb/A)
			5/15	5/29	Injury (%)	6/15		
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.125	PRE						
Imazethapyr fb imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.063	PREFL PRE	100	0	0	0	65	3375
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + imazethapyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.031	PREFL PRE	100	0	0	0	53	3060
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.25	PREFL PRE	100	0	0	0	63	3420
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + carfentrazone + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.02	PREFL PRE	100	0	3	19	50	3465
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.063 0.063	PREFL DPRE DPRE	100	0	4	0	0	3510 3060
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	PREFL DPRE	100	0	0	0	0	76
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 0.125	PREFL DPRE	100	0	0	0	65	3555
Imazethapyr fb AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	100	0	0	0	70	2880 continued

Table 28. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLVE) control		Effect on rice		Heading 7/6 (%)	Yield (lb/A)
			5/29	5/15	5/29	6/15		
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + imazethapyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 2.0 0.031	DPRE	100	0	0	0	78	3150
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + Penetrator Plus (0.25%)	0.063 2.0 0.25	PREFL DPRE	100	0	0	19	8	3420
Imazethapyr fb propanil (Super Wham) + carfentrazone + Penetrator Plus (1 ptA)	0.063 2.0 0.02	PREFL DPRE	100	0	0	3	0	3330
LSD (0.05)			1	NS	NS	6	4	540

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 29. DE-537 for rice weed control, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

LocationLonoke	Planting date	May 1, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	August 5, 1998
Plot size	10ft by 20ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Row width / Number of rows per plot	7.5in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of flooding	June 2, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3		
Comments: 2-3 If = 2-3 leaf rice; PREFL1 = first preflood; and PREFL2 = second preflood. Two preflood timings were used to avoid possible antagonism from tank-mixing grass and broadleaf herbicides. The DE-537 formulation is also referred to as KRM-5151.			
Application type	2-3If	PREFL1	PREFL2
Date applied	May 20, 1998	June 1, 1998	June 1, 1998
Time	11:20am	2:40 pm	3:05 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	89/80	96/98	96/98
Relative humidity (%)	28	43	43
Wind (mph)	5	0	0
Weather	clear	clear	clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist
Crop stage/Height	3If / 4.5"	1-2 tiller / 12"	1 tiller / 10"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard / 110015	Driftguard / 110015	Driftguard / 110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	20/6/20	24/6/20	22/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/25	10/15	10/23
Weed species (density)	- (# leaves/height) -		
BRAPP (15/ft ²)	2-5If / 2-3"	N/A	4 tiller / 10"
ECHCG (15/ft ²)	2-3If / 2"	N/A	6If / <1"

Conclusions: Broadleaf signalgrass pressure was severe. Also, the test area had natural barnyardgrass infestation and was seeded with two rows of propanil-resistant barnyardgrass across each plot. Bentazon + acifluorfen (Storm) at PREFL1, sprayed just ahead of the PREFL2 treatments, did not seem to reduce weed control. The DE-537 provided excellent control of barnyardgrass and broadleaf signalgrass.

Table 29. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) 8/28
			5/27	6/16	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 7/27	8/28 (%)	
DE-537 + pendimethalin + Agri-Dex (1.25%) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.187	1.0	2-3f				
(Bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.75		PREFL1	94	100	99	98
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%) (Bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.75		PREFL1				100
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.187		PREFL2	0	81	87	81
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.75		PREFL1				88
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.25		PREFL2	0	84	90	84
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.125		PREFL1				88
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.125		PREFL2	38	100	99	99
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.187		PREFL1				100
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.75		PREFL2	38	98	100	98
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.187		PREFL2	38	96	100	99

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 29. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control			
			5/27	6/16	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 7/2	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) 5/27
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	3.0					
	0.75	PREFL1				
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	3.0	PREFL2 2-3f	98	95	95	84
Fenoxaprop fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.063 0.75	PREFL1 PREFL2	100	96	100	100
fenoxaprop fenoxaprop (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.063 2.0 0.125	2-3f				
Propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.75	PREFL1	98	98	99	100
LSD (0.05)			4	5	5	6
					4	7

continued

Table 29. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice				Yield (lb/A)
			5/27	7/2	Injury (%)	8/28	
DE-537 + pendimethalin + Agri-Dex (1.25%) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.187	2-3 If					
(Bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	1.0						
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%) (Bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.75	PREFL1	0	0	0	0	5220
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.75	PREFL1					
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.187	PREFL2	0	0	0	0	5400
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.125	PREFL2	0	0	0	0	5445
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.75	PREFL1					
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.125	PREFL2	0	0	0	0	
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.75	PREFL1					
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.187	PREFL2	0	0	0	0	5310
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%) fb (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	0.75	PREFL1					
DE-537 + Agri-Dex (1.25%)	0.187	PREFL2	0	0	0	0	5040

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 29. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice		
			5/27 (%)	7/27 (%)	8/28 (lb/A)
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A) ^{fb} (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) ^{fb}	3.0				
propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.75	PREFL1			
Fenoxaprop ^{fb} (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) ^{fb}	3.0	PREFL2 2-3 If	0	0	5040
fenoxaprop propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A) ^{fb} (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.75 0.063 2.0 0.125 0.75	PREFL1 PREFL2 PREFL1 2-3 If PREFL1	9 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	5040
<u>LSD (0.05)</u>			3	NS	NS

Table 30. V-10029 forweed control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 1, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 3, 1998
Plot size	10ft by 20ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7.5in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9/4.3		

Comments: DPRE = delayed preemergence; 2-3 If = 2-3 leaf rice; 4 If = 4 leaf rice; PPREFL = preflood; and POFL = granular formulation of molinate.

Application type	DPRE	2-3 If	4 If	PPREFL	POFL
Date applied	May 4, 1998	May 19, 1998	May 22, 1998	June 1, 1998	June 9, 1998
Time	5:30pm	12:55pm	9:50 am	10:45am	11:00am
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	82/82	96/84	91/80	86/88	92/75
Relative humidity (%)	37	35	68	47	61
Wind (mph)	2	3	6	4	7.5
Weather	clear	clear	clear	clear	cloudy
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist	moist	flooded
Crop stage/Height	N/A	3 If / 5"	4-5 If / 6"	1-2 tiller / 12"	1-2 tiller / 12"
Spravertype/mph	BkpkCO ₂ / 3				
Nozzletype/Size	Driftguard / 110015				
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20	20/6/20	20/6/20	24.5/6/20	26/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21	10/20	10/20.5	10/23	10/27
Weed species (density)			(# leaves/height)		
BRAPP (20/ft ²)	N/A	4-5 If / 2-3"	2-3 If / 0.5-1"	2 If / 3"	2-3 tiller / 6-8"
Propanil-resistant ECHCG (25/row ft)	N/A	3 If / 2"	4-5 If / 4"	6-7 If / 5"	N/A
MOLVE (10/ft ²)	N/A		4 If / 3-6"	4-8 If / 3-6"	N/A

Conclusions: V-10029 provided outstanding control of barnyardgrass but poor control of broadleaf signalgrass. The addition of quinclorac or pendimethalin DPRE controlled broadleaf signalgrass. Weed control and rice yields in the study were excellent.

Table 30. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)						Weed control				
			5/15 5/29	6/16 7/2	8/27 7/27	5/15 5/29	6/16 7/27	7/27 8/27	(%)	Propanil-resistant barnyardgrass (ECHCG)	5/15 5/29	6/16 7/27	
Untreated check			1	0	5	0	0	0	16	0	5	0	0
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%) fb	0.188	DPRE	83	91	95	98	100	81	83	95	91	93	98
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%) fb	0.188	DPRE											
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.018	PREFL	83	85	95	98	100	81	79	95	95	100	100
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%) fb	0.188	DPRE											
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	PREFL	84	91	95	96	100	81	83	95	95	100	100
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%) fb	0.188	PREFL											
V-10029 + (propanil + molinate)	6.0	PREFL	85	88	95	94	100	81	80	95	95	99	99
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%) fb	0.188	DPRE											
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%) fb	0.02	4f											
Triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.28	POFL	88	88	95	95	100	86	90	95	94	100	100
Quinclorac + (propanil + molinate)	0.188	DPRE											
Triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	4.5	4f											
Pendimethalin fb	0.28	POFL	84	90	95	98	100	78	85	95	93	100	100
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	1.0	DPRE											

continued

Table 30. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control										
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)			Propanil-resistant barnyardgrass (ECHCG)							
			5/15	5/29	6/16	7/27	8/27	5/15	5/29	6/16	7/27	8/27	(%)
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE											
V-10029+	0.02	4If	71	70	86	71	100	100	73	74	81	71	100
Kinetic (0.125%)		DPRE											
Pendimethalin fb (propanil + molinate)	1.0	4If	94	94	98	100	99	96	94	95	95	100	100
Thiobencarb fb	4.5	DPRE											
V-10029+	3.0	4If	81	75	94	88	95	98	81	90	95	94	100
Kinetic (0.125%)		DPRE											
Thiobencarb fb (propanil + molinate)	3.0	4-If	81	91	95	100	100	100	84	94	95	95	100
Thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	4.5												
Thiobencarb + fb thiobencarb +	2.0	2-3If											
V-10029+	0.02	4If	0	85	95	95	100	100	20	75	95	96	100
Kinetic (0.125%)													
Thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	2.0	2-3If											
fb thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	3.0	4If	0	86	94	91	100	100	6	55	78	83	61
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE											
V-10029+	0.02												
Kinetic (0.125%)		POFL											
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE											
molinate (15 GR)	4.0	POFL											
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE											
V-10029+	0.02												
triclopyr + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.28	POFL	88	85	73	91	99	90	75	91	95	96	100

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 30. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)						Weed control			
			5/15	5/29	6/16	7/2	8/27	5/15	5/29	6/16	7/2	8/27
Pendimethalin fb molinate (1.5 GR) + tridopyr	1.0 4.0 0.28	DPRE POFL	91	86	91	90	98	94	94	93	94	95
LSD(0.05)			23	14	16	17	5	16	26	15	13	16

continued

Table 30. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLYE) control			Effect on rice				
			5/29	5/15	5/29	5/29	7/2	7/27	8/27	Yield (lb/A)
Untreated check			0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2430
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%)	0.188	DPRE	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	7965
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%)fb	0.188	DPRE								
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.018	PREFL	23	0	0	0	3	0	0	7110
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%)fb	0.188	DPRE								
V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	0.02	PREFL	20	0	0	0	3	0	0	7020
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%)fb (propanil + molinate)	0.188 6.0	PREFL	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	6975

continued

Table 30, Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLIVE) control 5/29	Effect on rice		
				5/15	5/29	Injury 7/2 (%)
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%) fb	0.188	DPRE				
V-10029 +	0.02	4if				
Kinetic (0.125%) fb						
triclopyr +						
AG-98 (0.25%)	0.28	POFL DPRE	81	0	3	1
Quinclorac + Kinetic (0.25%) fb (propanil + molinate)	0.188	4if				
fb triclopyr +	4.5	POFL DPRE				
AG-98 (0.25%)	0.28	4if	98	0	3	0
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE				
V-10029 +	0.018	4if	98	0	0	0
Kinetic (0.125%)		DPRE				
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	4if	75	0	0	4
V-10029 +	0.02	DPRE				
Kinetic (0.125%)		4if	70	0	24	0
Pendimethalin fb (propanil + molinate)	1.0	4if				
Thiobencarb fb	4.5	DPRE				
V-10029 +	3.0	DPRE				
Kinetic (0.125%)	0.018	4if	98	0	0	0
Thiobencarb fb (propanil + molinate)	4.5	4if				
Thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	2.0	DPRE				
fb thiobencarb +	2.0	4if	95	0	0	1
V-10029 +	0.02	2-3if				
Kinetic (0.125%)						

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 30. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLYE) control 5/29	Effect on rice			
				5/15	5/29	Injury 7/2 (%)	8/27 (lb/A)
Thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4) fb thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0	2-3 if 4if DPRE DPRE	94 0 0 94	15 0 0 0	0 15 0 0	1 1 0 0	6975
Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + Kinetic (0.125%)	1.0 0.02 1.0	POFL DPRE POFL DPRE	95 94 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1	7560 6330
Pendimethalin fb molinate (15 GR) Pendimethalin fb V-10029 + triclopyr + Kinetic (0.125%)	1.0 4.0 1.0 0.02 0.28 1.0	POFL DPRE POFL DPRE POFL DPRE	94 94 94 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	23 23 23 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	7605
Pendimethalin fb molinate (15 GR) + triclopyr LSD(0.05)	0.28 0.28 0.28	POFL POFL NS	31 31 NS	NS NS NS	1 1 0	0 0 0	7020 675

Table 31. Salvage treatments for grass control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Comments: PREFL = preflood.	
Application type	PREFL
Date applied	June 2, 1998
Time	8:00 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	94/98
Relative humidity (%)	46
Wind (mph)	2
Weather	clear
Soil moisture	dry
Crop stage/Height	4lf / 10"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPkCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015
Boom ht / # Nozz / Spacing (in.)	25 / 6 / 20
Gpa / Psi	10 / 24
Weed species (density)	- - - - - (# leaves/height) - - - - -
BRAAPP (16/ft ²)	2 lf - 2 tiller / 0.5-7"
MOLVE (30/ft ²)	5-7 lf / <1"

Conclusions: Several treatments were evaluated for PREFL salvage grass control. No prior treatments were applied and the grass infestation was solid. Several of the propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac (Facet) treatments provided excellent control. There was no difference in control between the regular fenoxaprop (Whip) and the safened formulation. Both provided excellent grass control.

Table 31.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Effect on rice		
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)			Injury (%)	Yield (lb/A)	
			6/18	7/27	8/28	7/27	8/28	7/27			
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2160
Quinclorac + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.093	PREFL	78	64	80	83	86	100	0	0	3735
Quinclorac + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.125	PREFL	74	65	88	73	93	96	0	0	3870
Quinclorac + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.25	PREFL	86	92	96	95	96	100	0	0	4140
Quinclorac + Agri-Dex (1%)	0.5	PREFL	90	100	100	98	100	100	0	0	3915
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (0.25%)	4.0	PREFL	71	54	39	23	18	48	0	0	3420
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (0.25%)	6.0	PREFL	78	56	61	35	35	36	0	0	3870
Propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (0.25%)	2.0	PREFL	86	79	84	83	83	86	0	0	3870
Propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (0.25%)	0.125	PREFL	90	91	98	86	75	88	0	0	3915
Propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (0.25%)	4.0	PREFL	89	89	85	88	81	88	0	0	3915

continued

Table 31. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Effect on rice			
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)			Injury		Yield	
			6/18	7/27	8/28	7/27	8/28	(%)	6/18	7/27	(lb/A)	
Propanil (Super Wham) + quinclorac + Penetrator Plus (0.25%)	4.0 0.25	PREFL PREFL PREFL	95 0 0	96 0 0	86 100 95	95 100 51	90 88 73	88 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3420 4860 4815	
Fenoxaprop AEF046360	0.067 0.133											
LSD (0.05)		7	15	24	17	28	19	NS	NS	NS	675	

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 32. Weed control in glufosinate (Liberty)-tolerant rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 3, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 3, 1998
Plot size	10 ft by 20 ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Row width / Number of rows per plot	7 in / 9 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3		
Comments: DPRE = delayed preemergence; 2-3 lf = 2-3 leaf rice; PREFL = preflood; and POFL = postflood.			
Application type	DPRE	PREFL	POFL
Date applied	May 5, 1998	May 20, 1998	June 2, 1998
Time	10:05 am	9:45 am	7:30 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	82/68	87/76	94/98
Relative humidity (%)	52	53	46
Wind (mph)	0	4.5	4.5
Weather	cloudy	clear	clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist	dry
Crop stage/Height	N/A	3 lf / 4.5"	4 lf / 6"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPkcO ₂ /3	BkPkcO ₂ /3	Driftguard/110015
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20	20/6/20	25/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21	10/25	10/24
Weed species (density)	-(# leaves/height)		
BRAAPP (35/ft ²)	2-3 lf / 0.5-1"	6 lf - 2 tiller / 7"	2-3 tiller / 6-8"
Propanil-resistant ECHCG (40/row/ft)	N/A	N/A	1-2 tiller / 6-8"

Conclusions: No injury was observed with any treatment at this location. Glufosinate (Liberty) provided excellent control of barnyardgrass and broadleaf signalgrass with all rates at the 2-3 lf, PREFL, and sequential timings. POFL treatments applied alone provided poor control of these weeds. Yields were also excellent in the sequentially applied treatments due to the suppression of reinfestations of broadleaf signalgrass.

Table 32. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control				
			5/15 5/27	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 6/18	7/27	8/27	Propanil-resistant barnyardgrass (ECHCG) 5/15 5/27
(%)							
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0
Glufosinate	0.25	2-3if	0	91	100	74	73
Glufosinate	0.375	2-3if	0	95	100	95	95
Glufosinate	0.5	2-3if	0	95	100	98	97
Glufosinate	0.75	2-3if	0	97	100	96	94
Glufosinate	0.25	PREFL	0	84	85	73	0
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	0	90	98	88	0
Glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	0	90	98	90	0
Glufosinate	0.75	PREFL	0	92	100	97	0
Glufosinate	0.25	POFL	0	5	38	45	0
Glufosinate	0.375	POFL	0	0	68	63	0
Glufosinate	0.5	POFL	0	0	68	63	0
Glufosinate	0.75	POFL	0	0	54	54	0
Glufosinate fb	0.25	2-3if	0	94	100	98	99
Glufosinate fb	0.375	PREFL	0	94	100	96	98
Glufosinate fb	0.5	2-3if	0	98	98	96	96
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	0	98	98	96	96
Glufosinate fb	0.5	2-3if	0	97	100	96	98
Glufosinate fb	0.375	PREFL	0	97	100	96	97
Glufosinate	0.375	POFL	0	97	100	96	95
Glufosinate fb	0.5	2-3if	0	97	100	98	96
Glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4)	0.25	2-3if	0	97	100	98	95
Glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4)	0.25	PREFL	0	98	100	100	96
Glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4) fb	0.30	2-3if	0	55	95	85	81
Glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4)	0.30	PREFL	0	20	0	0	0

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 32. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control				
			5/15 5/27	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 6/18	7/27	8/27	Propanil-resistant barnyardgrass (ECHCG) 5/15 5/27
(-%)							
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3if					
glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	0	64	100	98	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3if					
glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	0	63	100	95	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3if					
glufosinate	0.5	POFL	0	64	77	54	59
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3if					
glufosinate	0.75	POFL	0	54	81	50	61
Quinclorac +	0.188						
pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE					
glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	90	100	100	100	95
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE					
glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	76	68	100	100	99
Glufosinate +	0.375						
quinclorac	0.25	2-3if	0	99	100	100	99
Glufosinate +	0.5						
thiobencarb	3.0	2-3if	0	98	100	100	91
Glufosinate +	0.375						
thiobencarb fb	3.0	2-3if					
glufosinate	0.375	POFL	0	98	100	99	95
Quinclorac +	0.188	DPRE					
pendimethalin fb	1.0						
(propanil +	4.5						
molinate) +	0.063	PREFL	91	100	100	100	93
bensulfuron							
LSD(0.05)			3	6	5	10	8
						1	4
						4	13
						16	16

continued

Table 32. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLVE) control 5/27	Effect on rice				Yield (b/A)
				5/15	5/27	Injury 6/18 (%)	8/27	
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	1080
Glufosinate	0.25	2-3f	100	0	1	0	0	5625
Glufosinate	0.375	2-3f	100	0	4	0	0	5940
Glufosinate	0.5	2-3f	100	0	4	0	0	5535
Glufosinate	0.75	2-3f	100	0	5	0	0	5895
Glufosinate	0.25	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	5085
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	5355
Glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	4905
Glufosinate	0.75	PREFL	0	0	0	0	0	4950
Glufosinate	0.25	POFL	0	0	0	0	5	2430
Glufosinate	0.375	POFL	0	0	0	0	1	2610
Glufosinate	0.5	POFL	0	0	0	0	1	3060
Glufosinate	0.75	POFL	0	0	0	0	8	3555
Glufosinate fb	0.25	2-3f	100	0	4	0	0	5265
Glufosinate fb	0.25	PREFL	100	0	3	0	0	5760
Glufosinate fb	0.375	2-3f	100	0	3	0	0	5850
Glufosinate	0.375	PREFL	100	0	5	0	0	5895
Glufosinate fb	0.5	2-3f	100	0	3	0	0	5580
Glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4)	0.25	2-3f	2.0	0	5	0	0	
fb glufosinate + propanil (Stam M-4)	0.25	PREFL	100	0	3	0	0	5625
propanil (Stam M-4) fb	2.0	2-3f	PREFL	3.0	0	3	0	4995
propanil (Stam M-4)	3.0	PREFL	99	0	3	0	0	

continued

Table 32. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLYE) control		Effect on rice		
			5/15 5/27	5/27 (%)	6/8 7/27 8/27	Injury (%)	Yield (b/A)
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3 If	PREFL	99	0	3	0
glufosinate	0.375	2-3 If	PREFL	98	0	3	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3 If	PREFL	100	0	3	0
glufosinate	0.5	2-3 If	POLF	100	0	0	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3 If	POLF	100	0	0	4230
glufosinate	0.5	2-3 If	POLF	100	0	4	4590
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	3.0	2-3 If	POLF	100	0	0	0
glufosinate	0.75	POLF	100	0	0	0	0
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb	0.188	DPRE	100	0	0	0	0
glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	100	0	0	0	0
Pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE	100	0	0	0	5670
glufosinate	0.5	PREFL	100	0	0	0	0
Glufosinate + quinclorac	0.375	2-3 If	100	0	4	0	0
0.25	2-3 If	POLF	100	0	0	0	5490
Glufosinate + thiobencarb	0.5	2-3 If	100	0	6	0	0
Glufosinate + thiobencarb fb	3.0	2-3 If	POLF	100	0	0	5580
glufosinate	0.375	2-3 If	POLF	100	0	5	0
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb	0.188	DPRE	100	0	0	0	0
(propanil + molinate) + bensulfuron	4.5	PREFL	100	0	0	0	5715
LSD(0.05)	0.063	PREFL	1	NS	3	NS	2
							630

Table 33. Imazethapyr follow crop study; IMI-rice followed by wheat and non-IMI rice, Lonoke, 1998, (year of establishment).**TEST INFORMATION**

Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 1, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	August 10, 1998
Plot size	20ft by 20ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/ 93AS3510
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7.5in/ 28/rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9/4.3		
Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preemergence; DPRE = delayed preemergence; EPOST = early postemergence; PREFL = preflood; and POFL = postflood. The current labeled rate for imazethapyr is 0.125 lb./A.			
Application type	PPI	PRE	DPRE
Date applied	May 1, 1998	May 2, 1998	May 4, 1998
Time	1:30 am	2:05 pm	4:05 pm
Incorporation equipment	Fieldcultivator	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	72/68	78/78	84/84
Relative humidity (%)	52	49	41
Wind (mph)	5	0	2
Weather	cloudy	cloudy	mostly clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spray type/mph	BkPkCO ₂ / 3	BkPkCO ₂ / 3	BkPkCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/ 110015	Driftguard/ 110015	Driftguard/ 110015
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20	18/6/20	19/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21	10/21	10/20
Weed species (density)	N/A	N/A	(# leaves/height)
BRAPP (30/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	3lf/ 2"
MOLVE (20/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	4lf/ 0.125"
			2 tiller/ 6"
			tillerd/ 13"
			N/A

Conclusions: There has been little research on the degradation of imazethapyr in the soil under flooded rice field conditions. Since imazethapyr has a four-month rotational crop restriction for wheat and an 18-month rotational crop restriction for oats and rice on the current label, there is concern about the possible carryover problems to rotational crops.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

All soil-applied treatments of imazethapyr provided 100% control of broadleaf signalgrass and rice flatsedge. Significant injury to rice resulted from the EPOST and PREFL treatments. POFL applications were not effective.

Wheat and oats will be planted on one half of each plot after harvest in the fall of 1998. Crop emergence dates, injury, and yields will be taken on the wheat and oats. Non-IM-tolerant rice will be planted on the other half of each plot in 1999. Crop injury and yields will also be taken on the non-IM rice.

Table 33. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 5/27		Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 6/16		Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) 7/6	
			(%)			(%)		
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	86	90	100	100	85	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	91	93	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	84	87	100	100	88	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	91	93	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	75	84	100	100	95	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	84	94	100	100	98	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	0	0	100	100	98	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST	0	75	100	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	0	76	30	39	53
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	PREFL	0	0	79	48	51	55
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	POFL	0	0	31	50	38	53
Imazethapyr fb ₁	0.063	PPI						
imazethapyr fb ₁	0.063	PREFL	88	94	100	100	100	100
AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	PRE						
Imazethapyr fb ₁	0.125	PREFL	94	96	100	100	100	100
imazethapyr fb ₁	AG-98 (0.25%)							
AG-98 (0.25%)								94

continued

Table 33. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control				
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 5/15 5/27		Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) 6/16 7/6		Eclipta (ECLAL) 7/27
Imazethapyr fb imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	DPRE					
Imazethapyr fb imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	PREFL DPRE	84	86	100	100	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	PREFL	86	95	100	100	100
LSD (0.05)			6	9	8	12	16
					16	15	26

continued**Table 33. Section 2.**

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLVE) control 5/27		Effect on rice Rice injury 6/16 7/6		Heading 7/6	Yield (lb/A)
			5/15	5/27	6/16	7/6		
Untreated check								
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	0	0	0	0	0	34
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	0	0	4	5	0	66
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	0	1	6	8	0	53
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	0	3	5	3	0	4230
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	0	0	0	0	0	4005
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	0	1	3	13	0	3960
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	0	1	3	6	9	4410
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST	5	0	25	19	16	55
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST	5	0	25	30	28	3915
AG-98 (0.25%)							0	34
							0	3870
							0	14
							0	4050
								continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 33. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Carpetweed (MOLYE control 5/27)		Rice injury 6/16 (%)			Effect on rice		Heading 7/27	Yield (lb/A)
			5/15	5/27	76	727	76	76	727		
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	0	0	18	35	0	13	13	2790	
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	PREFL	0	0	28	43	0	11	11	3015	
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	POFL PPI	0	0	5	45	0	14	14	2115	
Imazethapyr <u>flo</u> imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.063	PREFL PRE	0	0	10	9	0	59	59	3870	
Imazethapyr <u>flo</u> imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	PREFL DPRE	5	3	25	18	0	41	41	3555	
Imazethapyr <u>flo</u> imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.063	PREFL DPRE	0	3	0	0	0	64	64	3690	
Imazethapyr <u>flo</u> imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125 0.125	PREFL	0	0	5	14	15	0	41	4320	
LSD(0.05)		NS	3	5	14	18	NS	25	25	810	

Table 34. Clomazone (Command)/quinclorac (Facet) in drill vs. broadcast seeding for weed control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; 2-3 If = 2-3 leaf rice; and PREFL = preflood.	
Application type	PPI
Date applied	May 2, 1998
Time	10:45 am
Incorporation equipment	Field cultivator
Air/Soil temperature (F)	79/70
Relative humidity (%)	52
Wind (mph)	0
Weather	clear moist
Soil moisture	N/A
Crop stage/Height	BkPkcO ₂ /3
Sprayer type/mph	Driftguard/110015
Nozzle type/Size	18/6/20
Boom ht / #Noz / Spacing (in.)	10/21
Gpa / Psi	- (# leaves/height) - - - - -
Weed species (density)	N/A
CYPR (30/ft ²)	3 If/0.25"
BRAPP 20 (ft ²)	4 If/4"
PREFL	
Planting date	May 21, 1998
Harvest date	September 3, 1998
Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998

Conclusions: This study was conducted to compare the effects of PPI treatments of clomazone and quinclorac in drill-seeded and broadcast-seeded rice. In the drill-seeded plots, the treatments were sprayed and then were incorporated using two passes with a field cultivator, and the rice was drilled into the plots at 105 lb/A. In the broadcast-seeded plots, the rice was dropped on the soil surface at a 120-lb/A seeding rate, the herbicides were sprayed on top of the seed, and both were incorporated using two passes with a field cultivator. Weed control was generally good, except for some rice flatedge in the clomazone treatments. The crop injury ratings were initially higher in the broadcast-seeded rice with clomazone and the higher rates of quinclorac. The yield differences between the standard (propanil fb propanil) and clomazone and quinclorac were also greater in the broadcast-seeded plots.

Table 34. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)			Rice flatsedge (CYPIR)			
			5/15 5/29	6/18 7/2	7/27 8/27	(%)	5/15 5/29	7/2 8/27	(%)
DRILL-SEEDED RICE:									
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	4.0	2-3f	PREFL	0	87	98	95	98	0
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PPI	PREFL	93	95	98	95	100	92
Clomazone fb	0.3	PPI	PREFL	93	95	97	93	100	92
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PPI	PREFL	93	95	97	93	100	92
Clomazone fb	0.4	PPI	PREFL	93	95	97	93	100	92
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PPI	PREFL	93	95	100	93	100	95
Quinclorac fb	0.25	PPI	PREFL	95	95	100	93	100	95
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PPI	PREFL	95	95	100	93	100	95
Quinclorac fb	0.375	PPI	PREFL	95	95	100	93	100	95
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL	PREFL	95	95	100	93	100	95
BROADCAST-SEEDED RICE:									
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	4.0	2-3f	PREFL	0	88	100	93	97	100
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PPI	PREFL	95	95	98	95	100	98
Clomazone fb	0.3	PPI	PREFL	95	95	98	95	100	98
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PPI	PREFL	93	95	98	93	100	95
Clomazone fb	0.4	PPI	PREFL	93	95	98	93	100	95
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PPI	PREFL	93	95	98	93	100	95
Quinclorac fb	0.25	PPI	PREFL	95	95	100	95	100	95
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PPI	PREFL	95	95	100	95	100	95
Quinclorac fb	0.375	PPI	PREFL	95	95	100	95	100	95
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL	PREFL	95	95	100	95	100	95
LSD(0.05)				3	5	NS	NS	3	8

continued

Table 34. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) control				Effect on rice							
			7/27	5/15	5/29	6/18	7/2	8/27	Yield (lb/A)					
DRILL-SEEDED RICE:														
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	4.0	2-3 If	95	100	0	0	0	0	12	0	5130			
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL PPI	95	100	37	30	13	2	15	0	5085			
Clomazone fb	0.3	PREFL PPI	95	100	50	48	23	0	28	3	4410			
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL PPI	93	100	0	3	3	0	13	0	5220			
Clomazone fb	0.4	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	13	12	0	18	0	4815			
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	13	12	0	18	0	4815			
Quinclorac fb	0.25	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	13	12	0	18	0	4815			
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	13	12	0	18	0	4815			
Quinclorac fb	0.375	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	13	12	0	18	0	4815			
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	13	12	0	18	0	4815			
BROADCAST-SEEDED RICE:														
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb	4.0	2-3 If	95	100	0	0	0	0	3	0	5400			
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL PPI	95	100	88	42	13	5	10	0	4725			
Clomazone fb	0.3	PREFL PPI	95	100	90	53	25	0	23	0	4500			
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	25	17	0	13	0	4860			
Clomazone fb	0.4	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	28	35	3	27	0	4320			
propanil (Stam M-4)	0.25	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	28	35	3	27	0	4320			
Quinclorac fb	4.0	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	28	35	3	27	0	4320			
propanil (Stam M-4)	0.375	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	28	35	3	27	0	4320			
propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	PREFL PPI	95	100	0	28	35	3	27	0	4320			
LSD (0.05)														
NS	NS	NS	8	18	14	NS	14	2	450					

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 35. Clomazone (Command)/propanil (Stam) sequentials in rice weed control, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 2, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 4, 1998
Plot size	10 ft by 20 ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Row width / Number of rows per plot	7 in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of flooding	June 2, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3		
Comments: PRE = preemergence; DPRE = delayed preemergence; and 2-3 If = 2-3 leaf rice.			
Application type	PRE	DPRE	2-3 If
Date applied	May 2, 1998	May 5, 1998	May 19, 1998
Time	4:45 pm	9:50 am	2:10 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	81/77	80/68	97/84
Relative humidity (%)	46	51	40
Wind (mph)	2	0	6
Weather	clear	cloudy	clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A	N/A	3If / 4.5"
Spray rate/mph	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard / 110015	Driftguard / 110015	Driftguard / 110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18 / 6 / 20	18 / 6 / 20	20 / 6 / 20
Gpa / Psi	10 / 21	10 / 21	10 / 20
Weed species (density)		(# leaves/height)	
SEBEX (2/ft ²)	N/A	2If / 2"	N/A
BRAAPP (1/ft ²)	N/A	2If / 2"	4-5If / 4"
MOLVE (6/ft ²)	N/A	4If / 0.125"	N/A
IPOSPI (2/ft ²)	N/A	cot.-2If / 1-2"	N/A

Conclusions: This study was conducted to evaluate sequential treatments following either clomazone (Command) PRE or a reduced rate of quinclorac and pendimethalin DPRE. Both soil-applied systems usually require follow-up treatments for sedge and broadleaf weed control. The study was overseeded with broadleaf weeds. Most of the combination programs resulted in excellent weed control and rice yields.

Table 35. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)				Weed control			
			5/12	6/16	7/27	8/27	5/12	6/16	7/27	8/27
Untreated check							0	0	21	20
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	100	100	94	91	100	10	0	73
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE								39
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3 If PRE	100	100	95	94	100	8	85	91
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE								86
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + clomazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3 If PRE	100	100	95	95	100	5	94	95
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE								88
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3 If 0.12	100	100	95	95	100	3	99	95
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE								88
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3 If 0.25	100	100	95	94	100	4	89	95
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE								100
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3 If 1.0	100	100	95	95	100	10	84	94
Quinclorac + pendimethalin	0.188	DPRE	95	100	95	95	100	51	98	95
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE								100
propanil (Stam 80 Df) + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3 If 0.188	75	100	95	95	100	48	100	95
									100	100

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 35. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)				Weed control			
			5/12	6/1	6/16	7/2	8/27	5/12	6/1	6/16
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + clomazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.188 1.0 3.0 0.2	DPRE								
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.188 1.0 3.0 0.12	2-3# DPRE	89	100	95	100	100	73	97	95
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.188 1.0 3.0 0.25	2-3# DPRE	89	100	95	91	100	46	100	95
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.188 1.0 3.0 0.188 1.0 3.0 0.25	2-3# DPRE	96	100	95	95	100	51	100	95
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.188 1.0 3.0 1.0	2-3# DPRE	86	100	95	100	100	49	100	95
Clomazone fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + bensulfuron + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.4 3.0 0.03		100	100	95	100	100	23	90	95
LSD (0.05)			18	10	14	18	19	30	15	21
								22	22	22

continued

Table 35. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Effect on rice			
			5/12 (IPOSP)	61	Carpetweed (MOLYE)	5/12 (%)	61 (%)	Injury 6/16	7/2 7/27	Yield (lb/A)
Untreated check										
Clomazone	0.4	PRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3420
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE	4	13	13	51	10	11	6	0
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3if PRE	3	13	96	54	14	11	8	0
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE	3.0							5985
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + clomazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.2	2-3if PRE	68	61	98	41	14	10	3	0
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE	3.0							6030
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.12	2-3if	1	88	96	46	20	11	6	0
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE	3.0							5940
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.25	2-3if PRE	1	85	90	44	11	9	5	0
Clomazone fb	0.4	PRE	3.0							6165
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	1.0	2-3if	4	56	100	40	10	4	4	0
Quinclorac + pendimethalin	0.188	DPRE	1.0	99	100	0	8	1	3	0
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb	0.188	DPRE	1.0							5760
propanil (Stam 80 Df) + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3if	95	100	100	0	8	1	3	0
										6570

continued

Table 35. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control			Effect on rice				
			5/12	6/1	Carpetweed (MOLVE) 6/1	5/12	6/1	Injury 6/16	7/2	7/27
										(%)
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + clomazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.188 1.0 3.0	DPRE								
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.188 1.0 3.0	2-3f DPRE	95	100	100	0	8	1	1	0
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.12 0.188 1.0 3.0	2-3f DPRE	95	100	100	0	9	1	0	0
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.25 0.188 1.0 3.0	2-3f DPRE	100	100	100	0	9	4	9	0
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.4 1.0 3.0	2-3f DPRE	98	100	100	0	8	3	6	0
Clomazone fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + bensulfuron + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.03 3.0	2-3f	5	58	98	43	10	1	0	0
LSD (0.05)			17	35	10	19	NS	NS	NS	945

Table 36. Propanil (Stam) combinations for annual grass control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

	TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Lonoke	Planting date
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date
Plot size	10ft by 20ft	Crop\Variety
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7.5in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding
% OM / pH	0.9/4.3	
Comments: 2-3 lf = 2-3 leaf rice; and PREFL = preflod.		
Application type	2-3 lf	PREFL
Date applied	May 20, 1998	June 1, 1998
Time	10:50am	3:30pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	88/80	92/98
Relative humidity (%)	59	43
Wind (mph)	5	1.5
Weather	clear	clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist
Crop stage / Height	3lf/4.5"	1-2 tiller / 11"
Sprayer type / mph	BkPkCO ₂ /3	BkPkCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type / Size	Driftguard / 110015	Driftguard / 110015
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	20/6/20	23/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/25	10/23
Weed species (density)	(# leaves/height)	
BRAAPP (20/ft ²)	cot.-5 lf/2"	5 lf/4"
MOLVE (15/ft ²)	cot.-4 lf/0.125"	1 runner / 0.5"

Conclusions: Most treatments in the study provided excellent control in a heavy infestation of barnyardgrass and broadleaf signalgrass. The tank-mix single and sequential applications provided the best overall control.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 36. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Carpetweed (MOLVE) 5/27
			5/27	6/16	Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 7/27	8/28	
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0
Propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	2-3f	93	94	91	100	86
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + AG-98 (0.25%)	4.0	2-3f	81	68	90	88	78
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	2-3f	87	86	91	98	84
(Propanil + molinate)	6.0	2-3f	90	90	100	98	96
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb propanil (Stam M-4)	3.0	2-3f	88	95	100	100	99
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb propanil (Stam 80 DF) + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3f	78	76	96	93	88
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A) fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	3.0	2-3f	85	95	100	100	100
(Propanil + molinate) fb (propanil + molinate)	4.5	PREFL	84	95	100	100	100
Propanil (Stam M-4) + thiobencarb	3.0	2-3f	91	81	100	94	94
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + thiobencarb + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3f	86	86	100	88	88
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	1.0	2-3f	85	79	100	96	84

continued

Table 36. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control				Carpetweed (MOLVE) 5/27
			5/27	6/16	7/2	8/28 (%)	
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0 0.125	2-3f	97	95	100	100	100
Propanil (Stam M-4) + quinclorac	3.0 0.125	2-3f	93	94	100	100	100
Propanil (Stam M-4) + quinclorac	3.0 0.25	2-3f	98	94	100	100	100
Propanil (Stam M-4) + clomazone	3.0 0.4	2-3f	99	94	100	100	100
Propanil (Stam M-4) + clomazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0 0.4 0.125	2-3f	96	95	100	100	100
Quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.25	2-3f	55	91	100	88	85
Quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)		2-3f	66	91	100	100	98
LSD (0.05)			9	14	6	10	12
							1

continued**Table 36. Section 2.**

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice				Yield (b/A)
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) control 7/27	8/28 (%)	5/27	Injury 7/2	
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0
Propanil (Stam M-4)	4.0	2-3f	46	83	9	0	2340 5490

continued

Table 36. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) control 7/27 8/28	Effect on rice		
				5/27 (%)	7/2 (%)	8/28 (%)
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + AG-98 (0.25%)	4.0	2-3if	56	73	0	0
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.0	2-3if	59	80	9	0
(Propanil + molinate)	6.0	2-3if	94	95	5	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) fb propanil (Stam M-4)	3.0	2-3if	94	96	5	0
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + AG-98 (0.25%) fb	3.0	2-3if	80	85	1	0
propanil (Stam 80 DF) + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	PREFL	80	85	0	0
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A) fb propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	3.0	2-3if	98	98	8	0
(Propanil + molinate) fb (propanil + molinate)	4.5	PREFL	100	100	6	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) + thiobencarb	3.0	2-3if	96	98	13	0
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + thiobencarb + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	2-3if	100	95	6	0
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + pendimethalin + AG-98 (0.25%)	1.0	2-3if	95	96	4	0
Propanil (Stam 80 DF) + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	2-3if	100	100	1	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) + quinclorac	3.0	2-3if	100	100	5	0

continued

Table 36. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) control		Effect on rice		
			7/27 8/28	(%)	7/27 7/28	7/27 8/28	Yield (lb/A)
Propanil (Stam M-4) + quinclorac	3.0 0.25	2-3 If	100	100	5	0	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) + clomazone	3.0 0.4	2-3 If	100	88	28	0	0
Propanil (Stam M-4) + clomazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.4	2-3 If	100	100	18	1	0
Quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	2-3 If	100	96	6	0	5445
Quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.25	2-3 If	100	99	6	1	0
LSD(0.05)			26	10	8	NS	585

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 37. Thiobencarb (Bolero) for weed control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 2, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 3, 1998
Plot size	10 ft by 20 ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/Drew
Row width / Number of rows per plot	7.5 in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay) % OM / pH	Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998
Comments: DPRE = delayed preemergence; 2-3 lf = 2-3 leaf rice; PREFL = preflood; and POFL = postflood.			
Application type	DPRE	2-3lf	POFL
Date applied	May 5, 1998	May 19, 1998	June 1, 1998
Time	10:45 am	1:30 pm	11:00 am
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	75/68	94/84	86/88
Relative humidity (%)	67	42	47
Wind (mph)	2	3	4.5
Weather	mostly cloudy	clear	clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist	flooded
Crop stage/Height	N/A	3 lf / 4.5"	1-2 tiller / 12"
Sprayer type/mph	BkP ₂ KCO ₃ / 3	BkP ₂ KCO ₃ / 3	BkP ₂ KCO ₃ / 3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard / 110015	Driftguard / 110015	Driftguard / 110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20	20/6/20	24/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21	10/20	10/23
Weed species (density)		(# leaves/height)	10/27
BRAAPP (50/ft ²)	N/A	3 lf / 2"	2 lf / 3"
Propanil-resistant ECHCG (40/row ft)	N/A	2 lf / 2"	N/A
Conclusions: The study had a heavy population of broadleaf signalgrass and propanil-resistant barnyardgrass. DPRE treatments containing quinclorac provided good weed control. In general, lower yields were produced in the combination treatment programs.			

Conclusions: The study had a heavy population of broadleaf signalgrass and propanil-resistant barnyardgrass. DPRE treatments containing quinclorac provided good weed control. In general, lower yields were produced in the combination treatment programs.

Table 37. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)		Propanil-resistant barnyardgrass (ECHCG)		%	
			5/15 6/2	6/16 7/27	7/27 8/27	5/15 6/2	6/16 7/27	8/27 8/27
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0
Thiobencarb + quinclorac fb	2.0	DPRE	0	0	5	0	0	0
thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	0.188	PREFL	90	93	95	100	89	98
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin	2.0	DPRE	88	25	70	66	83	94
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin	3.0	DPRE	83	70	71	94	98	95
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin fb	1.0	DPRE	84	25	78	80	88	93
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin fb	4.0	DPRE	84	25	78	74	93	96
Thiobencarb + thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	1.0	DPRE	2-3 If	78	76	90	94	98
Thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	2.0	DPRE	2-3 If	0	28	81	70	80
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin fb	3.0	DPRE	2-3 If	78	76	90	94	98
Thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	4.5	DPRE	2-3 If	0	28	81	70	80
Thiobencarb + thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	1.0	PREFL	73	43	93	95	100	93
Thiobencarb + quinclorac fb	2.0	DPRE	0.188	DPRE	73	43	93	90
thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	4.5	PREFL	2-3 If	83	94	88	94	98
Thiobencarb + quinclorac	2.0	DPRE	0.188	DPRE	89	91	89	91
Thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb	3.0	PREFL	0	30	94	94	100	0
Thiobencarb + thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	2.0	PREFL	3.0	0	30	94	76	98

continued

Table 37. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control									
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)			Propanil-resistant barnyardgrass (ECHCG)						
			5/15	6/2	6/16	7/27	8/27	5/15	6/2	6/16	7/27	8/27
Pendimethalin fb thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	1.0 3.0 4.5	DPRE										
Pendimethalin fb thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb	1.0 2.0 4.5	2-3If DPRE	61	68	85	91	98	94	83	98	91	100
Pendimethalin fb thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb bensulfuron	2.0 0.028 0.188	2-3If POFL										
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb (propanil + molinate)	1.0 4.5 4.5	DPRE 2-3If	81	66	91	93	100	99	88	98	95	94
Pendimethalin + quinclorac (propanil + molinate) fb (propanil + molinate)	1.0 0.188 4.5 4.5	DPRE 2-3If PREFL	95	95	91	95	100	100	95	96	95	95
LSD (0.05)			13	18	9	19	11	15	4	13	7	14

continued

Table 37. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice				Yield (lb/A)
			5/15	6/2	6/16 (%)	7/2	
Untreated check			0	0	1	0	0
Thiobencarb + quinclorac fb	0.188	DPRE	0	0	1	0	2565
thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	0.20	PREFL	1	3	5	11	4860
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE	0	0	3	3	4950
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin fb	4.0	DPRE	0	0	0	3	5625
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE	0	0	0	3	0
thiobencarb + thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	2.0	DPRE	0	1	5	3	0
Thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	3.0	2-3f	0	1	5	3	5535
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin fb	4.5	2-3f	0	6	1	9	0
Thiobencarb + thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	2.0	DPRE	0	26	1	3	4680
Thiobencarb + quinclorac fb	10	PREFL	0	26	1	3	0
thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	2.0	DPRE	0	26	1	3	5220
Thiobencarb + quinclorac fb	0.188	PREFL	0	26	1	3	0
thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	2.0	DPRE	0	5	10	16	0
Thiobencarb + quinclorac	0.188	DPRE	0	5	10	16	0
Thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb	3.0	PREFL	0	6	1	5	0
thiobencarb + propanil (Stam M-4)	2.0	PREFL	0	6	1	5	0

5175
continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 37. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Effect on rice				Yield (lb/A)
			5/15	6/2	6/16	7/2	
Pendimethalin fb thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	1.0 3.0 4.5	DPRE DPRE 2-3f	0	5	4	5	5995
Pendimethalin fb thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb	1.0 2.0 4.5	DPRE 2-3f					
Quinclorac + bensulfuron pendimethalin fb (propanil + molinate)	0.028 0.188 1.0 4.5	POFL POFL DPRE 2-3f	0	3	0	3	5220
Pendimethalin + quinclorac (propanil + molinate) fb	1.0 0.188 4.5 4.5	DPRE 2-3f PREFL 2-3f	0	26	0	10	5085
LSD (0.05)		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	765

Table 38. Thiobencarb (Bolero) tank-mixes and sequential weed control programs, Rohwer, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

Location	Rohwer	Planting date	May 7, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	September 17, 1998
Plot size	4.5ft by 20ft	Crop/Variety	Rice/Cypress
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	6.5in / 9 rows	Dates of Flushing	May 12, 18, 21, and June 5, 1998
Soil type	Charkey clay loam (8% sand, 49% silt, 43% clay)	Date of Flooding	June 13, 1998
% OM / pH	3.5 / 6.7		

Comments: PRE = preemergence; DPRE = delayed preemergence; EPOST = early postemergence; MPOST = mid-postemergence; PREFL = preflood; and POFL = post-flood.

Application type	PRE	DPRE	EPOST	MPOST	PREFL	POFL
Date applied	May 8, 1998	May 13, 1998	May 27, 1998	June 3, 1998	June 9, 1998	June 24, 1998
Time	12:00pm	5:00pm	10:00 am	4:00 pm	5:00 pm	12:30 am
Incorporation equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	88/80	92/82	82/78	92/97	95/100	100/92
Relative humidity (%)	43	84	72	63	55	45
Wind (mph)	3	5	4	5	5	3
Weather	clear	wet	mostly cloudy	mostly cloudy	partly cloudy	clear
Soil moisture	moist	wet	moist	dry	dry	flooded
Crop stage/height	N/A	N/A	2-3if/5"	3-4if/6"	5-6if-2 tiller/7"	8-10if-5 tiller/22"
Spravertype/mph	BkP ₁ KCO ₂ /3	BkP ₁ KCO ₂ /3	BkP ₁ KCO ₂ /3	Hooded BM/3	BkP ₁ KCO ₂ /3	BkP ₁ KCO ₂ /3
Nozzle type/Size	Teejet/ XR11002	Teejet/ XR11002	Teejet/ XR11002	Teejet/ XR11002	Teejet/ TJ11002	Teejet/ TJ11002
Boomht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	15/3/18	15/3/18	15/3/18	15/3/18	15/3/18	15/3/18
Gpa / Psi	15/24	15/24	15/24	15/24	15/24	15/23
Weed species (density)	-	-	-	(# leaves/height)	-	-
ECHG (120/f ²)	N/A	N/A	2-3if/1-3"	3-4if/2-4"	4-5if/4-5"	4 tiller/22"
SEBEX (5/f ²)	N/A	N/A	Cot.-3if/0.5-3.5"	3-4if/2-5"	5-6if/5-6"	11-14if/30"
CYPES (1/f ²)	N/A	2-3if/3-4"	4-6if/5-7"	6-7if/6-9"	7-9if/-11"	10-12if/22"
AEVI (7/f ²)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2-3tiller/10"

Conclusions: The Rohwer location had a very dense population of barnyardgrass. Thiobencarb applied DPRE at 3 or 4 lb/A tank-mixed with 1 lb/A pendimethalin provided good control of barnyardgrass, but did not control hemp sesbania. When thiobencarb at 3 lb/A plus 3 lb/A propanil or 3 lb/A (propanil + molinate) was applied EPOST, good control of hemp sesbania was achieved, but barnyardgrass control was poor by the late-season rating.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Good to excellent season-long control of both weeds was provided by the remaining treatments. No injury was observed on rice except for initial burn from treatments containing propanil. Yields were influenced by weed control.

Table 38. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)				Weed control					
			5/26	63	6/17	6/24	78	(%)	5/26	63	6/17	6/24
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thiobencarb + thiobencarb + quinchlorac fb	2.0 0.375	DPRE	90	97	97	98	94	53	81	100	98	94
Thiobencarb + propanil	2.0 3.0	MPOST	91	98	97	94	85	50	5	0	0	5
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE	91	97	97	95	88	50	13	0	0	20
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin	4.0	DPRE	91	97	97	95	88	50	13	0	0	20
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin fb	2.0 1.0	DPRE	98	97	97	91	88	59	95	100	96	90
Thiobencarb + propanil	3.0 3.0	EPOST	0	73	88	68	53	0	100	96	87	88
Thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	3.0 2.0	EPOST	0	73	84	76	58	0	97	91	90	84
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin fb	1.0 2.0	DPRE	90	97	95	95	91	46	15	100	98	94
(propanil + molinate)	3.0	PREFL										

continued

Table 38. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control									
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)			Hemp sesbania (SEBEX)						
			5/26	6/3	6/17	6/24	7/8	5/26	6/3	6/17	6/24	7/8
Thiobencarb + quinclorac fb thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	2.0 0.5 2.0	DPRE										
Thiobencarb + quinclorac	3.0	EPOST	90	98	98	97	94	50	96	90	88	85
Thiobencarb + propanil fb	0.5 2.0	DPRE	87	97	96	95	90	48	78	82	88	86
Thiobencarb + propanil fb	3.0	EPOST	0	68	93	85	68	0	99	100	98	96
Thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb	2.0 3.0	EPOST										
thiobencarb + propanil	3.0	PREFL DPRE	0	50	95	92	85	0	94	100	98	96
Pendimethalin fb	1.0 3.0	EPOST DPRE	81	99	98	98	95	48	100	100	98	96
Pendimethalin fb	4.5 1.0 2.0	MPOST										
thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb	4.5	POFL	79	91	96	85	65	50	5	0	0	85
thiobencarb + bensulfuron	2.0 0.375	DPRE										
Thiobencarb + quinclorac fb	2.0 3.0	PREFL	86	96	98	96	98	48	68	100	98	95

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 38. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control										
			Barnyardgrass (ECHCG)			Hemp sesbania (SEBEX)							
			5/26	6/3	6/17	6/24	7/8		5/26	6/3	6/17	6/24	7/8
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb (propanil + molinate)	0.375 1.0	DPRE											
Pendimethalin fb quinclorac + propanil	4.5 1.0 0.375 3.0	MPOST DPRE MPOST	91 91 80	99 93 91	99 96 91	97 84 11	97 17 11	50 50 50	83 3 3	95 100 100	93 94 94		
LSD(0.05)													

Table 38. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Rice injury						Rice yield 9/17 (lb/A)			
			5/26			6/3			6/17	6/24	7/8	
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Untreated check												
Thiobencarb + quinclorac fb thiobencarb + propanil	2.0 0.375 2.0 3.0	DPRE MPOST										
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin	3.0 1.0 4.0	DPRE DPRE	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin	1.0	DPRE										

continued

continued

Table 38. Section 2, Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Rice injury				Rice yield 9/17 (lb/A)
			5/26	6/3	6/17 (%)	6/24	
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin fb	2.0	DPRE					
thiobencarb + propanil	1.0	EPOST	0	14	0	0	4754
Thiobencarb + propanil	2.0	EPOST	0	18	0	0	4693
Thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	3.0	EPOST	0	21	0	0	3660
Thiobencarb + pendimethalin fb	2.0	DPRE					
thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	1.0	PREFL	0	0	0	0	7026
Thiobencarb + quinclorac fb	2.0	DPRE					
thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	3.0	EPOST	0	13	0	0	6838
Thiobencarb + quinclorac	2.0	DPRE	0	0	0	0	5389
Thiobencarb + propanil fb	2.0	EPOST					
thiobencarb + propanil	3.0	PREFL	0	18	0	0	5412
Thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb	3.0	EPOST					
thiobencarb + propanil	2.0	PREFL	0	20	0	0	5694

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 38. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Rice injury			Rice yield (lb/A)
			5/26	6/3	6/17	
Pendimethalin fb thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate)	1.0 3.0	DPRE				
Pendimethalin fb thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb	4.5 1.0 2.0	EPOST DPRE	0	23	0	0
						7499
Pendimethalin fb thiobencarb + (propanil + molinate) fb	4.5 2.0	MPOST				
Thiobencarb + bensulfuron quinclorac fb thiobencarb + propanil	0.028 2.0 0.375 3.0 0.375	POFL DPRE PREFL DPRE	0	0	0	0
						3645
Quinclorac + pendimethalin fb (propanil + molinate)	1.0 4.5 1.0 0.375	DPRE MPOST DPRE MPOST				
LSD(0.05)		NS	7	1	NS	861
						917

Table 39. Carfentrazone (Shark) for weed control in rice, Lonoke, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

	TEST INFORMATION
Location	Lonoke
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4
Plot size	10ft by 20ft
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7.5in / 14 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3
Comments: 3-4 If = 4 leaf rice; and PREFL = preflood.	
Application type	3-4 If
Date applied	May 27, 1998
Time	5:30 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	89/80
Relative humidity (%)	96
Wind (mph)	0
Weather	cloudy
Soil moisture	wet
Crop stage / Height	3-4 If / 3"
Spray rate / mph	BkPkCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type / Size	Driftguard / 110015
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	20/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/20
Weed species (density)	(# leaves/height) - - - - -
BRAAPP (20/ft ²)	4If/6-8"
CYPES (2/ft ²)	N/A
	PREFL
	June 1, 1998
	4:00 pm
	N/A
	92/98
	43
	1.5
	clear
	moist
	1-2 tiller / 12"
	BkPkCO ₂ / 3
	Driftguard / 110015
	23/6/20
	10/23
	2If-1 tiller / 2-6"
	3If / 3"

Conclusions: Preflood treatments of carfentrazone, alone or in various tank-mixtures, gave good to excellent control of broadleaf signalgrass and yellow nutsedge. Injury from carfentrazone was minimal. Propanil + triclopyr caused significantly higher injury and lower yield than carfentrazone.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 39.

Herbicide	Rate (b/A)	Application timing	Weed control			Yellow nutsedge (CYPES) 6/16 (%)			Effect on rice 6/16 7/2 7/27 (b/A)		
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAAPP) 6/16 7/27			Injury 6/16 7/2 7/27					
			8/28 (%)								
All plots were treated with fenoxaprop 0.045 at 3-4 flf.											
Check			95	99	100	100	86	0	0	0	4950
Carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	PREFL	95	100	100	100	89	0	0	0	4860
Carfentrazone + thiobencarb	0.02	PREFL	95	100	100	100	91	0	0	0	4725
Carfentrazone + quinclorac + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02 0.25	PREFL	95	100	100	100	95	0	0	0	4410
Carfentrazone + pendimethalin	0.02	PREFL	95	100	100	100	93	0	0	0	4680
Carfentrazone + fenoxaprop Propanil (Super Wham) + triclopyr + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 3.0 0.25	PREFL	95	100	100	100	95	3	4	0	4500
Carfentrazone + propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.02 3.0	PREFL	95	100	100	100	95	15	9	0	3915
Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)											
LSD(0.05)			NS	NS	NS	NS	4	6	NS	NS	630

Table 40. Tank-mix combinations with imazethapyr, Loncke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION	
Location	Loncke
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4
Plot size	10ft by 20ft
Rowwidth / Number of rows per plot	7.5in / 14 rows
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3
Comments: EPOST = early postemergence.	
Application type	EPOST
Date applied	May 18, 1998
Time	5:30 pm
Incorporation equipment	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	95/84
Relative humidity (%)	43
Wind (mph)	3
Weather	mostly clear
Soil moisture	moist
Crop stage / Height	3 1f / 4"
Sprayer type / mph	BkPkCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type / Size	Driftguard / 110015
Boom ht / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	19 / 6 / 20
Gpa / Psi	10 / 20
Weed species (density)	(#leaves/height)
BRAPP (24/ft ²)	3lf / 2"
CYPR (170/ft ²)	3lf / 0.5"
MOLVE (40/ft ²)	4lf / 0.125"

Conclusions: This study was designed to compare several standard postemergence rice herbicide treatments alone and tank-mixed with imazethapyr. Treatments were applied to three-leaf rice. Broadleaf signalgrass also had three leaves. All imazethapyr tank-mix treatments provided 100% season-long broadleaf signalgrass control. No antagonism was noted with any treatment.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 40.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Effect on rice									
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 5/29 6/17 7/27			Carpetweed (MOLVE) 5/29 6/17 7/27			Rice flatsedge (CYPIR) 5/29 (%)			Injury 5/29 6/17 7/29			Heading 7/29			
Untreated check			0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	1440		
Propanil (Stam M-4) + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	EPOST	91	99	96	90	100	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	1440	
Halosulfuron + AG-98 (0.25%) (Propanil + molinate)	0.047	EPOST	5	10	15	20	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	3240	
Propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	4.5	EPOST	88	96	95	86	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	1440	3195
Triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	EPOST	88	100	100	89	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	90	3240	
Triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.25	EPOST	8	0	13	10	10	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	35	900	
Bensulfuron + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.06	EPOST	5	10	15	13	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	1260	
Thiobencarb Carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	3.0	EPOST	8	5	18	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	1530	
Carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%) Fenoxaprop (Bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	EPOST	0	8	13	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	1710	
Fenoxaprop (Bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%) Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	94	100	100	98	45	53	33	33	3	3	3	3	69	3510		
Imazethapyr + propanil (Stam M-4) + AG-98 (0.25%) Imazethapyr + halosulfuron + AG-98 (0.25%) Imazethapyr + (propanil + molinate)	0.75	EPOST	40	42	40	42	97	97	7	7	8	8	3	3	43	2475		
Imazethapyr + propanil (Stam M-4) + AG-98 (0.25%) Imazethapyr + halosulfuron + AG-98 (0.25%) Imazethapyr + (propanil + molinate)	0.063	EPOST	78	100	100	100	55	90	29	29	10	9	51	51	3465			

continued

Table 40. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Effect on rice						
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)			Carpetweed (MOLVE)			Rice flatsedge (CYPIR)			Injury Heading			
			5/29	6/17	7/27	5/29	5/29	5/29	5/29	6/17	7/9	5/29	6/17	7/9	
Imazethapyr + propanil (Super Wham) + Penetrator Plus (1 pt/A)	0.063 3.0	EPOST	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	33	8	6	53	3600	
Imazethapyr + triclopyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.25	EPOST	78	100	100	69	93	24	8	0	54	3645			
Imazethapyr + bensulfuron + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063 0.06	EPOST	76	100	100	70	89	26	13	10	35	3015			
Imazethapyr + thiobencarb	0.063 3.0	EPOST	83	100	100	80	91	33	15	9	25	3105			
Imazethapyr + carfentrazone + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.02	EPOST	79	100	100	85	93	30	8	0	66	3330			
Imazethapyr + fenoxaprop	0.063 0.063	EPOST	79	100	100	61	84	39	8	5	55	3285			
Imazethapyr + (bentazon + acifluorfen) + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.75	EPOST	90	100	100	100	100	45	10	3	38	3510			
LSD (0.05)			11	10	11	16	24	10	8	10	8	26	855		

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 41. Imazethapyr flush vs. no flush of soil-applied treatments, Lonoke, 1998.

TEST INFORMATION

Location Lonoke	Planting date May 1, 1998
Experimental Design / replications Split plot / 3	Harvest date August 7, 1998
Plot size 10ft by 95ft	Crop\Variety IMI-tolerant Rice/93AS3510
Row width / Number of rows per plot 7 in / 14 rows	Dates of Flushing May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
Soil type Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Date of Flooding June 2, 1998
% OM / pH 0.9 / 4.3		
Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preemergence; and EPOST = early postemergence. IMI tolerant = rice tolerant to imidazolinone herbicides. A non-MI-tolerant commercial rice cultivar was planted to simulate red rice.			
Application type	PPI	PRE	EPOST
Date applied	May 1, 1998	May 2, 1998	May 18, 1998
Time	1:30pm	3:00 pm	4:10pm
Incorporation equipment	Field cultivator	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	76/72	83/78	97/86
Relative humidity (%)	46	41	43
Wind (mph)	3	0	5
Weather	clear	mostly cloudy	partly cloudy
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist
Crop stage/Height	N/A	N/A	3 ft / 4"
Sprayer type/mph	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3	BkPKCO ₂ / 3
Nozzle type/Size	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015
Boom ft / # Noz / Spacing (in.)	18/6/20	18/6/20	19/6/20
Gpa / Psi	10/21	10/21	10/20
Weed species (density)	(# leaves/height) -----		
BRAPP (3/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	3 ft / 1"
Commercial ORYSA (1/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	3 ft / 3"

Conclusions: This study was designed to look at the effects of PPI and PRE treatments with or without flushing. If growers do not have to flush the rice to get a stand, they often will not flush to get herbicide activity. Two showers of 0.4" rain were received about the time the flush sections were getting watered. All treatments effectively controlled the non-tolerant commercial rice, broadleaf signalgrass, and barnyardgrass. There was essentially no difference between flushing and not flushing for herbicide activity, perhaps because non-flushed plots received rainfall for activation. Significant early-

season rice injury occurred with early POST treatments. The injury delayed maturity, as can be seen in the % heading ratings. However, yield was not affected. Yield from POST treatments was actually higher because of heavy bird feeding that occurred in the rice that headed first. This study will be repeated in 1999.

Table 41. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control						Commercial rice (ORYSA) 5/15 5/29 (%)	Carpetweed (MOLVE) 5/15 5/29 (%)		
			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP) 5/15 5/29 6/17 7/9 7/27 (%)			Rice flatsedge (CYPIR) 5/15 5/29 (%)						
FLUSH:												
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	88	97	100	98	100	97	93	90	100	
Imazethapyr fb	0.063	PRE	88	93	95	88	100	88	88	90	100	
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PPI	85	98	100	100	100	100	98	98	100	
Imazethapyr fb	0.063	EPOST	85	98	100	100	100	100	97	93	100	
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PRE	85	98	100	100	100	100	97	93	100	
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	85	98	100	100	100	100	97	93	100	
NO FLUSH:												
Untreated check			0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	95	95	100	87	100	98	95	95	100	
Imazethapyr fb	0.063	PRE	85	90	90	87	100	88	88	82	100	
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PPI	85	90	90	87	100	98	98	98	100	
Imazethapyr fb	0.063	EPOST	87	98	100	100	100	87	98	98	100	
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PRE	85	97	100	100	100	70	93	93	98	
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	85	97	100	100	100	1	9	5	1	
LSD(0.05)			12	7	7	1	12					

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 41. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Barnyardgrass (ECHCG) control		Effect on rice		Heading 7/9 (lb/A)
			7/9 (%)	7/27 (%)	Injury 5/29 (%)	6/17 (%)	
FLUSH:							
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	37
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	100	100	0	0	1035
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	100	100	0	0	2205
Imazethapyr fb	0.063	PPI			0	0	2340
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	100	100	0	32	2745
Imazethapyr fb	0.063	PRE			17	7	
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	100	100	0	32	2655
NO FLUSH:							
Untreated check			100	100	0	0	37
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	100	100	0	0	855
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	100	100	0	3	2070
Imazethapyr fb	0.063	PPI			0	0	2205
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	100	100	0	33	2925
Imazethapyr fb	0.063	PRE			13	8	
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	100	100	0	33	2835
LSD(0.05)			0	0	0	4	810

Table 42. Multi-species weed control with imazethapyr in simulated rice field, Lonoke, 1998.**TEST INFORMATION**

Location	Lonoke	Planting date	May 1, 1998
Experimental Design / replications	RCB / 4	Harvest date	August 7, 1998
Plot size	10ft by 20ft	Crop/Variety	No crop
Soil type	Crowley silt loam (8% sand, 75% silt, 16% clay)	Dates of Flushing	May 7, 14, and 20, 1998
% OM / pH	0.9 / 4.3	Date of Flooding	June 2, 1998

Comments: PPI = preplant incorporated; PRE = preemergence; DPRE = delayed preemergence; EPOST = early postemergence; and PREFL = pre flood. Weed species were planted across each replication. Rice was not planted so that weed control evaluations would be unaffected by rice competition. Weed species planted included: entrieleaf, ivyleaf, pitted, and palmleaf morningglory, prickly sida, sicklepod, hemp sesbania, and northern jointvetch. Several native species were also evaluated.

Application type	PPI	PRE	DPRE	EPOST	PREFL
Date applied	May 1, 1998	May 2, 1998	May 4, 1998	May 18, 1998	June 1, 1998
Time	12:30pm	2:30pm	4:00pm	4:42pm	3:30pm
Incorporation equipment	Field cultivator	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air/Soil temperature (F)	74/72	78/78	83/84	97/84	97/98
Relative humidity (%)	44	49	40	39	43
Wind (mph)	3	0	2	5	1.5
Weather	clear	mostly cloudy	mostly clear	mostly clear	clear
Soil moisture	moist	moist	moist	moist	moist
Crop stage/Height	BkPkCO ² /3				
Sprayer type/mph	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015	Driftguard/110015
Nozzle type/Size	18/6/20	18/6/20	18/6/20	19/6/20	19/6/20
Boom ht. / # Noz. / Spacing (in.)	10/21	10/21	10/21	10/21	10/20
Gpa / Psi	-	-	(# leaves/height)	-	-
Weed species (density)	N/A	N/A	N/A	2 If/2"	2-3 If/1"
IPOLA (20/rowft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1If/1"	2-3If/1"
IPDHE (6 row/ft)	-	-	-	-	-

Weed species (continued)

		-(# leaves/height)			
		PRE	DPRE	EPOST	PREFL
PPI	N/A	N/A	N/A	2if/1.5"	6if/5"
SEBEX (21/row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1if/1"	NA
SIDS/P (80/row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	2if/1"	2-3if/1"
IPOH/G (17/row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	2if/1.5"	NA
SENOB (34/row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1if/1.5"	2-3if/1"
AESVI (35/row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	3if/0.5"	NA
CYPR (200/ft ²)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1if/1.5"	NA
IPDWR (13/row ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	2-3if/1"	continued

Conclusions: Imazethapyr effectively controlled all morningglory species as well as prickly sida and sicklepod until the permanent flood was established. Hemp sesbania, water hyssop, and pink ammannia were not controlled by imazethapyr. Northern jointvetch was suppressed with the EPOST applications. Eclipta was effectively controlled with the 0.125 lb ai/A rate or the split applications of 0.063 lb ai/A. Purple ammannia, duckssalat, carpetweed, rice flatsedge, and broadleaf signalgrass were effectively controlled at all rates and timings.

Table 42. Section 1.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Pitted morningglory (POLA)			Ivyleaf morningglory (IPOHE)			Weed control		
			5/15		6/15	5/15		5/27	6/15		(%)
			%	(%)		%	(%)		%	(%)	
Untreated check			0	0	100	0	0	100	0	0	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	19	71	100	24	91	100	13	10	3
Imazethapyr	0.094	PPI	19	74	100	24	91	100	9	20	5
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	16	74	100	23	94	100	13	18	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	20	73	100	25	91	100	13	14	5
Imazethapyr	0.094	PRE	14	70	100	24	90	100	14	28	0
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	16	73	100	29	91	100	8	25	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	13	66	100	18	85	100	4	5	0
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	16	71	100	24	88	100	10	9	3
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	21	73	100	19	91	100	8	13	0
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	0	59	100	0	88	100	0	28	3
										11	

Table 42. Section 1. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Pitted morningglory (IPOLA)			Ivyleaf morningglory (IPOHE)			Weed control			
			5/15	5/27	6/15	5/15	5/27	6/15	5/15	6/27	6/15	
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	EPOST	0	56	100	0	86	100	0	21	0	8
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST PPI	0	58	100	0	98	100	0	28	3	8
Imazethapyr <u>f₁₀</u>	0.063	PREFL PRE	19	70	100	30	94	100	14	11	0	5
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	15	68	100	25	91	100	13	25	0	0
Imazethapyr <u>f₁₀</u>	0.063	PREFL	6	7	NS	15	7	NS	NS	15	NS	NS
LSD(0.05)												continued

Table 42. Section 2.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Prickly sida (SDSP)			Entireleaf morningglory (IPOHG)			Weed control		
			5/15	5/27	6/15	5/15	5/27	6/15	5/15	5/27	6/15
Untreated check			0	0	100	0	100	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	15	91	100	15	78	100	18	70	100
Imazethapyr	0.094	PPI	19	94	100	16	81	100	20	76	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	15	91	100	13	85	100	19	76	100
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	16	91	100	13	88	100	14	74	100
Imazethapyr	0.094	PRE	15	88	100	14	81	100	18	79	100

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 42. Section 2. Continued.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Prickly sida (SIDSP)		Entireleaf morningglory (IPOHG)		Sicklepod (SEN0B)	
			5/15	5/27	5/15	5/27	6/15	5/27
Untreated check			0	0	100	0	100	0
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	16	94	100	15	80	18
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	20	91	100	10	76	76
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	25	88	100	14	84	9
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	18	94	100	15	100	88
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	0	80	100	0	88	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	EPOST	0	85	100	0	64	64
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST	0	88	100	0	71	100
Imazethapyr <u>fb</u> imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PPI						
Imazethapyr <u>fb</u> imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL	20	88	100	13	84	100
Imazethapyr <u>fb</u> imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PRE						
LSD(0.05)			10	5	NS	6	9	NS
						5	12	NS
							2	

continued

Table 42. Section 3.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control								
			Northern jointvetch (AESVI)			Broadleaf signalgrass (BRAPP)		Rice flatsedge (IPWR)			
			5/15	5/27	6/15	7/6		5/15	5/27	6/15	5/15
Untreated check											
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr	0.094	PPI	11	63	10	3	94	100	15	88	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	16	63	18	18	93	100	19	88	100
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	14	66	20	10	90	100	20	88	100
Imazethapyr	0.094	PRE	13	71	28	18	93	98	11	88	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	15	68	33	23	93	100	24	90	100
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	11	68	4	0	88	95	16	90	100
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	10	68	33	20	88	100	19	90	100
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	11	71	20	14	94	100	16	91	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	0	76	58	46	0	90	0	90	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	EPOST	0	81	48	55	0	90	0	90	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST	0	80	49	43	0	90	0	90	100
Imazethapyr fb ₀	0.063	PPI									
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	8	64	48	18	94	100	16	90	100
Imazethapyr fb ₀	0.063	PRE									
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	EPOST	9	70	48	18	88	98	16	91	100
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PRE									
LSD (0.05)			6	10	24	21	6	NS	7	2	0
									1	3	

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Table 42. Section 4.

Herbicide	Rate (lb/A)	Application timing	Weed control					
			Eclipta (ECLAL) 7/27	Waterhemp (BACXX) 7/27	Ducksalad (HETLI) 7/27	Ammannia 7/27	Purple (AMMCO) 7/27	Pink (AMMTE) 7/27
Untreated check			0	0	0	0	0	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	PPI	88	58	0	94	94	0
Imazethapyr	0.094	PPI	78	68	0	95	95	0
Imazethapyr	0.125	PPI	88	85	0	100	100	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	PRE	72	25	78	81	98	0
Imazethapyr	0.094	PRE	84	49	0	100	100	0
Imazethapyr	0.125	PRE	84	76	0	95	100	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	DPRE	73	0	80	90	78	0
Imazethapyr	0.094	DPRE	88	63	0	88	88	0
Imazethapyr	0.125	DPRE	88	100	74	3	100	0
Imazethapyr	0.063	EPOST	75	60	0	88	91	0
AG-98 (0.25%)	0.094	EPOST	85	73	68	10	90	0
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.125	EPOST	98	91	80	20	95	0
Imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PPI						
Imazethapyr ^{flo}	0.063	PREFL	88	94	81	8	99	100
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PRE						
Imazethapyr ^{flo}	0.063	PREFL	88	98	79	15	88	100
imazethapyr + AG-98 (0.25%)	0.063	PREFL						
LSD(0.05)			13	18	21	14	12	10
							NS	

Appendix Table 1. Common and trade names, formulation (pounds of active ingredient or acid equivalent per gallon), sponsoring companies, and chemical names of herbicides.^z

Common name	Trade name (formulation) ^y	Company	Chemical name
AFF046360 (fenoxaprop + safener)	— (1.2 EC)	AgriEvo UAP	(see fenoxaprop)
Activator 90 (surfactant)	--	Rohm & Haas	—
AC-98 (surfactant)	—	Helena	—
Agri-Dex (crop oil)	—	AgriEvo	S-[2-[1-(4-chlorophenyl)(1-methylethyl)amino]-2-oxoethyl] 0,0-dimethyl phosphorodithioate
anilofos or anilofos	-- (2.5 EC)	DuPont	2-[[[[[4-(6-dimethoxy-2-pyrimidinyl)amino]carbonyl] 0,0-dimethyl sulfonyl]methyl]benzoic acid
bensulfuron	Londax (60 DF)	BASF	3-(1-methylethyl)-1(1H)-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-4(3H)-one 2,2-dioxide + 5-[2-chloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy]-2-nitrobenzoic acid
bentazon + acifluorfen	Storm (4 SL)	Rhone-Poulenc	1-naphthalenyl methylcarbamate
carbaryl (insecticide)	Sevin (4 F)	FMC	N-[2,4-dichloro-5-(4-difluoromethyl)-4,5-dihydro-3-methyl-5-oxo-1H-1,2,4-triazo]-1-phenyl-methanesulfonamide
carenitrazone (F-8426)	Aim or Shark (40 DF)	Valent	(E,E)-(+)-2-[1-[(3-chloro-2-propenyl)oxy]limino]propyl-[2-(ethylthio)propyl]-3-hydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one
clethodim	Select (2 EC)	FMC	2-[2-(chlorophenyl)methyl]-4,4-dimethyl-3-isoxazolidinone
clomazone	Command (3 ME)	Dow AgroSciences	--
DE-537	Whip 1EC; Whip 360 (0.57 EC)	AgriEvo	(+)-2-[4-[6-chloro-2-benzoxazolyl]oxy]phenoxyl propanoic acid
fenoxaprop	Bugle (0.67 EC)	Liberty (1.67 EC)	2-amino-4-(hydroxymethylphosphinyl)butanoic acid
glufosinate	Roundup Ultra (4 SL)	Monsanto	N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine
glyphosate	Permit (75 DF)	Monsanto	3-chloro-5-[[[(4,6-dimethoxy-2-pyrimidinyl)amino]carbonyl]amino]-sulfonyl]-1-methyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid
halosulfuron	Pursuit (2 EC, 70 WG)	Cyanamid	2-[4,5-dihydro-4-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)-5-oxo-1H-imidazol-2-yl]-5-ethyl-3-pyridinecarboxylic acid
imazethapyr	Steel (2.23 EC)	Cyanamid	— (see individual listings)
imazethapyr + imazaquin + pendimethalin	—	—	continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Appendix Table 1. Continued.

Common name	Trade name (formulation ^x)	Company	Chemical name
Kinetic (surfactant) molinate pendimethalin	Kinetic Ordran (15 G) Prowl (3.3 EC); Pentagon (60 DF)	Helena Zeneca Cyanamid	— S-ethyl hexahydro-1H-azepine-1-carbothioate N-(1-ethylpropyl)-3,4-dimethyl-2,6-dinitrobenzenamine
Penetrator Plus (crop oil / surfactant) piperophos phosphorodithioate propanil	— (4.17 EC) Stam 4M (4 EC); Stam 80DF; Super Wham (4 EC)	Novartis Rohm & Haas; Cedar	S-[2-(2-methyl-1-piperidiny)-2-oxoethyl] O,O-diprolyl N-(3,4-dichlorophenyl) propanamide (see individual components)
(propanil + molinate) quinclorac thiobencarb triclopyr 2,4-D	Arrosolo (3 + 3 EC) Facet (75 DF; 1.5 G) Bolero (8 EC; 10 G) Grandstand (3 SL) Weedar 64 (3.8 SL); Hi-Dep (3.8 SL)	BASF Valent Dow AgroSciences Rhone-Poulenc; UAP	3,7-dichloro-8-quinoinecarboxylic acid S-[4-chlorophenyl)methyl]diethylcarbamothioate [(3,5,6-trichloro-pyridinyl)oxy]acetic acid (2,4-dichlorophenoxy)acetic acid
V10029 [bispyribac-sodium (proposed)]	Regiment (80 WP)	Valent	sodium 2,6-bis[(4,6-dimethoxyppyrimidin-2-yl)oxy]benzoate

^x ‘—’ indicates information is not available or not applicable.

^y Formulations are followed by amount of active ingredient per gallon for liquids and % active ingredient for solid formulations. Abbreviations for formulations: EC = emulsifiable concentrate; DF = dry flowable; G = granule; ME = micro-encapsulated; WP = wettable powder; SL = soluble liquid; F = flowable.

Appendix Table 2. Common, coded, and scientific names of plant species.

Common name	Bayer code ^z	Scientific Name
Amazon sprangletop	LEFPA	<i>Leptochloa panicoides</i> (Presl) Hitchc.
Annual sedge	CYPCP	<i>Cyperus compressus</i> L.
Barnyardgrass	ECHCG	<i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> (L.) Beauv.
Bearded sprangletop	LEFFA	<i>Leptochloa fascicularis</i> (Lam.) Gray
Broadleaf signalgrass	BRAPP	<i>Brachiaria platyphylla</i> (Griseb.) Nash.
Carpetweed	MOLVE	<i>Mollugo verticillata</i> L.
Common purslane	POROL	<i>Portulaca oleracea</i> L.
Eclipta	ECLAL	<i>Eclipta prostrata</i> L.
Hemp sesbania	SEBEX	<i>Sesbania exaltata</i> (Raf.) Rydb.
Morningglory species	IPOSS	<i>Ipomoea</i> spp.
Northern jointvetch	AESVI	<i>Aeschynomene virginica</i> (L.) B.S.P.
Palmleaf morningglory	IPOWR	<i>Ipomoea wrightii</i> Gray
Pink ammannia	AMMTE	<i>Ammannia latifolia</i> L.
Purple ammannia	AMMCO	<i>Ammannia coccinea</i> Rottb.
Red rice	ORYSA	<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.
Rice flatsedge	CYPIR	<i>Cyperus iria</i> L.
Sicklepod	SENOB	<i>Senna obtusifolia</i> L.
Smallflower morningglory	IAQTA	<i>Jacquemontia tamnifolia</i> (L.) Griseb.
Smartweed species	POLSS	<i>Polygonum</i> spp.
Spreading dayflower	COMDI	<i>Commelina diffusa</i> Burm. f.
Yellow nutsedge	CYPES	<i>Cyperus esculentus</i> L.

^z WSSA-approved computer code from Composite List of Weeds, Revised 1989. WSSA, 810 East 10th Street, Lawrence, KS 66044.

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Appendix Table 3. Climatological data, 1998.

Day	May			June			July			August		
	Temp.		Rain-fall	Temp.		Rain-fall	Temp.		Rain-fall	Temp.		Rain-fall
	Max (°F)	Min (°F)	(in.)	(°F)	(°F)	(in.)	(°F)	(°F)	(in.)	(°F)	(°F)	(in.)
Fayetteville	54	48	0.090	90	65		81	66	0.10	97	69	
1	69	48		86	71		83	65	0.32	100	69	
2	71	44	0.090	92	73		88	67		93	72	0.01
3	71	48		90	76		94	70		85	71	
4	79	53		88	56	0.05	96	71		89	70	0.68
5	77	56	0.420	62	47		93	74		86	67	
6	82	53	0.200	68	47		96	78		86	65	
7	78	50	0.018	74	65		94	75	0.04	89	67	
8	76	59		76	64		90	73	0.06	92	70	
9	73	52	0.670	86	71		98	78		77	69	0.31
10	73	54		85	71	0.03	97	77		93	71	0.01
11	77	54		83	70	0.07	82	71	0.15	87	68	0.17
12	80	59		91	63		87	73	0.49	89	70	
13	85	60		92	75		90	70		85	67	0.37
14	85	65		86	65		90	69		87	67	0.30
15	81	71		79	56		92	70		88	67	
16	83	53		86	66		91	64		89	69	
17	85	59		91	74	0.18	93	67		94	73	
18	85	69		88	68	0.12	94	71		96	71	
19	88	67		88	68		98	78		94	70	
20	89	66		89	68		94	77		95	70	0.10
21	90	68		94	73		98	78		93	64	
22	90	73		88	75	0.37	98	78		94	69	
23	87	72		91	69		98	74		94	70	
24	89	69		92	76		97	73	0.05	94	74	
25	89	61	0.800	92	77		90	72		97	76	
26	78	63	0.690	92	77		95	77		98	73	
27	80	60	0.620	92	75		102	80		98	74	
28	84	63		88	75		101	72		99	77	
29	81	62		93	76		90	75		87	65	
30	83	64	0.530	93	71		100	79		92	64	
31	89	77					102	73	0.01	97	97	

continued

Appendix Table 3. Continued.

Day	May			June			July			August		
	Temp.		Rain-fall	Temp.		Rain-fall	Temp.		Rain-fall	Temp.		Rain-fall
	Max (°F)	Min (°F)	(in.)	(°F)	(°F)	(in.)	(°F)	(°F)	(in.)	(°F)	(°F)	(in.)
1 Lonoke	77	53		94	77		94	75	T	93	72	
2	80	52	0.37	95	71		98	74	0.04	95	72	
3	76	56	0.01	92	82		99	72		95	67	0.07
4	84	52		92	69	1.03	98	77	T	84	72	0.03
5	82	55	0.03	78	62	0.48	101	78	T	94	73	
6	85	69	T	75	56		104	77		92	73	0.31
7	87	64	0.37	80	56		106	81		86	72	0.01
8	83	61		85	65		103	81	0.08	91	68	T
9	79	64	0.03	92	78		101	79		96	68	0.04
10	82	61		94	77		105	78	0.43	95	74	
11	86	59		91	76		93	76	0.04	95	72	0.33
12	92	64		96	74		T	85	78	0.26	88	71
13	91	64		96	74		91	73	0.24	90	72	1.19
14	85	65		94	72	0.24	92	74		87	72	
15	87	75		92	69	0.15	92	74		90	72	
16	91	65		92	64		94	76		91	69	
17	91	61		94	72		97	74		94	70	
18	92	69		92	80		101	76		93	77	
19	95	65		95	70		100	77		96	74	
20	93	64		98	79		102	76		94	75	
21	94	69		97	80		101	76		95	71	
22	92	72		99	77		101	74		95	74	
23	87	74		100	74		99	75	T	97	72	
24	88	72		100	75		94	75	T	100	72	
25	91	72		101	77		96	76	T	103	75	
26	79	68	1.95	95	75		99	75	0.98	104	75	
27	87	67		94	76		102	78		101	75	
28	80	72	0.10	93	76		94	79		107	75	
29	86	71		96	77		102	80		93	75	
30	89	69		100	81		101	80		96	74	
31	98	72								91	69	

continued

Herbicide Evaluation in Arkansas Rice, 1998

Appendix Table 3. Continued.

Day	May			June			July			August		
	Temp.		Rain-fall (in.)									
	Max (°F)	Min (°F)	(°F)									
Stuttgart												
1	67	54	100	72	54	99	74	74	90	72	72	72
2	75	54	95	74	54	97	74	75	95	71	71	74
3	79	53	0.44	96	75	96	75	75	98	74	72	72
4	78	53	94	79	54	95	76	76	97	72	72	72
5	81	55	95	69	0.27	97	78	78	96	72	72	72
6	82	64	80	57	0.79	101	78	78	97	72	72	1.46
7	85	67	0.40	74	55	102	79	79	89	74	74	0.13
8	85	61	79	64	64	102	83	83	85	71	71	0.14
9	80	67	84	68	68	101	77	77	90	67	67	67
10	81	62	92	79	99	78	78	78	93	74	74	74
11	82	59	94	78	102	71	0.14	95	95	75	75	75
12	84	64	93	77	93	76	0.35	92	92	72	72	0.10
13	91	67	96	74	83	74	0.22	89	89	73	73	0.10
14	90	67	97	78	88	74	0.16	89	89	74	74	1.45
15	85	70	95	69	90	73	0.03	85	85	72	72	72
16	87	67	92	65	90	73	0.03	87	87	69	69	69
17	89	61	91	72	91	74	0.03	89	89	69	69	69
18	90	65	95	78	96	74	0.03	92	92	70	70	70
19	93	68	96	73	97	74	0.03	95	95	72	72	72
20	94	68	95	77	98	74	0.03	94	94	71	71	71
21	92	70	98	79	99	74	0.03	93	93	70	70	70
22	94	73	96	78	98	74	0.03	94	94	70	70	70
23	91	73	98	75	96	74	0.03	93	93	69	69	69
24	93	73	97	76	96	74	0.03	94	94	71	71	71
25	88	72	97	77	95	76	0.03	96	96	71	71	71
26	91	74	98	75	92	74	0.03	99	99	74	74	74
27	83	68	94	76	95	76	0.03	101	101	74	74	74
28	89	74	95	75	100	77	0.03	98	98	72	72	72
29	80	69	0.05	93	75	78	0.03	101	101	72	72	72
30	87	69	95	77	100	77	0.03	93	93	72	72	72
31	89	68			101	75	0.03	93	93	69	69	69

